

Published in final edited form as:

J Cell Biochem. 2013 August ; 114(8): . doi:10.1002/jcb.24521.

CELLULAR CONTROL OF CONNECTIVE TISSUE MATRIX TENSION†

Helene M. Langevin¹, Maiken Nedergaard², and Alan Howe³

¹Department of Neurological Sciences, University of Vermont

²Department of Pharmacology, Vermont Cancer Center, University of Vermont

³Division of Glial Disease and Therapeutics, University of Rochester

Abstract

The biomechanical behavior of connective tissue in response to stretching is generally attributed to the molecular composition and organization of its extracellular matrix. It also is becoming apparent that fibroblasts play an active role in regulating connective tissue tension. In response to static stretching of the tissue, fibroblasts expand within minutes by actively remodeling their cytoskeleton. This dynamic change in fibroblast shape contributes to the drop in tissue tension that occurs during viscoelastic relaxation. We propose that this response of fibroblasts plays a role in regulating extracellular fluid flow into the tissue, and protects against swelling when the matrix is stretched. This article reviews the evidence supporting possible mechanisms underlying this response including autocrine purinergic signaling. We also discuss fibroblast regulation of connective tissue tension with respect to lymphatic flow, immune function and cancer.

Keywords

Connective tissue; fibroblast; mechanical; ATP; cytoskeleton; interstitial fluid; osmotic swelling

The field of mechanobiology has provided a growing awareness that cells respond to mechanical forces, and that these forces influence a host of physiological and pathological processes including wound healing, inflammation and cancer [1]. In all organs, the connective tissue matrix or stroma is a key determinant of the transmission of these tissue forces. In other words, how much stress occurs in response to an externally applied deformation is determined by the material properties of the stroma (i.e. its intrinsic “hardness” or “softness”) which is largely determined by its matrix content and structure [2]. In addition, recent evidence is showing that tension within the stroma is under cellular control. This article will review the evidence suggesting that fibroblasts within areolar connective tissue play an active role in regulating tissue tension by adjusting to changes in tissue length. We speculate that this function of fibroblasts plays a protective role in minimizing osmotically-driven swelling of tissue when the extracellular matrix is stretched.

†This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1002/jcb.24521]

© 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Corresponding Author: Helene M. Langevin, MD Professor, Department of Neurological Sciences University of Vermont
helene.langevin@uvm.edu tel: 802-656-1001 fax: 802-656-8704.

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

This role of fibroblasts in extracellular fluid dynamics could contribute to overall health and immune function as well as the body's defense against cancer.

Myofibroblast transformation and connective tissue contraction

It has been known since the 1970s that, in response to injury, fibroblasts transform into contractile cells or myofibroblasts that can generate tissue tension during scar formation, as well as some pathological states including fibrosis and cancer [3]. Importantly, tissue contraction produced by myofibroblasts is sustained, and is thought to continue unabated after the injury until the myofibroblast undergoes apoptosis [4]. Although the contractile function of myofibroblasts is vital to insure proper closure of wounds, it is also thought to have a “dark” side that can contribute to fibrotic and neoplastic disease [5].

Fibroblast modulation of connective tissue tension

Our recent work has shown that fibroblasts can dynamically influence the tension of connective tissue by rapidly remodeling their cytoskeleton, without transforming into myofibroblasts [6]. Tension modulation by fibroblasts is rapid and occurs within minutes in response to sustained changes in tissue length within the physiological range. When whole areolar connective tissue is elongated, fibroblasts expand and flatten, such that their cross sectional area in the plane of the tissue increases several-fold [7]. This expansion and flattening requires intact microtubules and actin microfilaments. Importantly, this change in fibroblast shape is accompanied by a lowering of connective tissue tension [6]. Furthermore, these cytoskeletal responses appear to be specific to areolar or “loose” connective tissues, such as those forming interfaces between subcutaneous and perimuscular layers, and does not occur in more densely packed connective tissues such as dermis [8, 9].

Regulation of interstitial tissue fluid pressure

What role might this extensive cytoskeletal remodeling of fibroblast play in connective tissue physiology *in vivo*? An intriguing possibility is this fibroblast response could actively regulate interstitial fluid pressure and flow. A defining characteristic of areolar connective tissue is its loose collagen mesh filled with polyanionic glycosaminoglycans that bind large quantities of water. It is a well-known phenomenon that an excised piece of connective tissue swells when placed in an isotonic bath, and extrudes water when compressed. The reasons for this swelling tendency are that 1) glycosaminoglycans have large numbers of negative charges that attract water and 2) the areolar connective tissue matrix is not maximally hydrated *in vivo* under normal physiological conditions. Although this has been known for quite some time, the mechanism responsible for this matrix “under-hydration” has only recently been elucidated. In a series of elegant experiments, Reed et al. showed that tension exerted by fibroblasts onto the collagen network actively restrains the loose matrix and prevents water from entering the tissue [10, 11]. Reed et al. also showed that a large initial drop in interstitial fluid pressure occurs at the onset of acute inflammation, and that this drop in fluid pressure is inhibited when cell-matrix contacts are disrupted using integrin blockers (Figure 1) [12, 13]. Thus, it appears that inflammatory edema is not simply due to increased vascular permeability, but is also caused by fluid being “sucked into” the tissue. This makes sense if one thinks of areolar connective tissue as a meshwork with pores partially occupied by cells (fibroblasts) that hold on to the sides of the pores. Keeping the mesh within a certain average pore size prevents swelling in the absence of inflammation. Inflammatory mediators unrestrain the matrix by disrupting integrin-mediated cell-matrix contacts, which causes a drop in matrix tension and allows the matrix to swell. The initial “loosening” of the matrix tension is then followed by an increase in matrix stiffness and turgidity, once water has entered into the tissue following the now accessible osmotic gradient created by the larger tissue pores.

The presence of such a mechanism, however, begs the following question: how does connective tissue maintain the critical pore size needed to avoid tissue swelling when the collagen matrix is mechanically stretched? As illustrated in Figure 2 (A,B), sustained stretching of the matrix for several minutes should cause the reverse of compression, i.e. a decrease in matrix compaction and increase in pore size. If allowed to continue for several minutes, this decrease in matrix compaction would promote the flow of fluid from the capillary bed into the extracellular space. How would fibroblasts within the tissue respond to such a situation? One possibility is that the fibroblasts might “let go” of the cell-matrix contacts in response to matrix stretching. This would have the drawback of further unrestraining the matrix, causing an even greater transient drop in interstitial fluid pressure, an increase in transcapillary fluid flow and further swelling, similar to what happens during inflammation (Figure 2C). Alternatively, fibroblasts could remodel and expand, maintaining and remodeling their cell-matrix contacts while continuing to exert counter-tension until a new tension equilibrium is reached (Figure 2D). This would restrain the matrix and avoid tissue swelling. This mechanism, if true, would require fibroblasts to be able to 1) maintain cell matrix contacts in the face of increased tissue strain (and, presumably, increased local stress) and 2) expand to accommodate the increased tissue pore size.

Mechanisms allowing maintenance of cell matrix contacts in stretched tissue

Intuitively, it is clear that there is a level of stress at which any cell-matrix contact will fail, either through pure mechanical failure, regulated disassembly/release, or a combination of both. While the numerical value of such a ‘failure point’ has not been experimentally determined for fibroblasts in a 3D matrix, it would undoubtedly vary as a function of the qualitative and quantitative molecular composition of both sides of the cell-matrix contacts [14, 15] as well as the milieu of soluble factors surrounding the cell during the applied stress. Furthermore, while the mechanism for such release also has not been directly investigated, it would likely invoke some or all of the pathways described for focal adhesion disassembly/turnover (e.g. Rho- and myosin IIB-dependent contractility, calpain-mediated proteolysis of focal adhesion proteins such as talin and FAK [16]). It has long been known that the abrupt release of a highly-strained cellular contact can allow and even promote membrane and cytoskeletal remodeling in the rest of the cell [17, 18] and that regulated dissolution of adhesive contacts is a bona fide protective mechanism in response to excessive matrix stretching and tension [19]. On the other hand, the ability of cells to respond to applied mechanical stress by *strengthening* the strained adhesive contacts also is well documented [20–22] and provides a clear pathway for active tensional regulation. While this response is becoming increasingly well understood at discrete cellular, molecular, and biophysical mechanistic levels [23–31], considerable additional effort will be required to form a unifying theoretical mechanism and even more effort to apply its tenets to mechanoresponses in three dimensions.

Mechanisms leading to fibroblast expansion

If one assumes that fibroblasts are able to maintain cell-matrix contacts in the stretched tissue, what mechanism would trigger the increase in cell size? An interesting possibility is that the initial fluid influx (and drop in tissue osmotic pressure) at the onset of stretching could itself constitute the mechanism triggering fibroblast remodeling and expansion. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that increasing the osmolarity of the tissue bath inhibits fibroblast expansion in response to tissue stretch (Figure 3). Koyama et al. showed that, in cultured fibroblasts, hypotonic stress causes a change in cell shape from stellate to expanded and flattened that is similar to the remodeling of fibroblasts that occurs in whole connective tissue in response to static stretch. Furthermore, this osmotically-induced

cytoskeletal remodeling was accompanied by a Rho-dependent sustained extracellular release of ATP. Several lines of evidence suggest that changes in cell volume, triggered by either changes in osmolarity or mechanical stress are linked to a sustained extracellular release of ATP [9] [32]. [33, 34]. ATP can then activate purine receptors on the cell surface followed by an increase in cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration [35]. This autocrine signaling pathway appears to be adaptive, as the elevation in Ca^{2+} activate membrane channels and co-transporters necessary for restoring or altering cell volume [33, 36], and at the same time may facilitate reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton. In support of this mechanism, we have recently shown that exposure of cultured fibroblast to purine receptor agonists induced both Ca^{2+} increases and a transient disassembly of the actin cytoskeleton (Goldman et al. Cell Calcium, in press). The Ca^{2+} pump inhibitor, cyclopiazonic acid (CPA) eliminated both the purine induced Ca^{2+} increases and the actin cytoskeleton disassembly. Interestingly, however, elevations of cytosolic Ca^{2+} were in themselves not sufficient for this transient cytoskeletal remodeling, since the Ca^{2+} ionophore A-23187 did not induce significant alterations in the fibroblast actin organization, despite triggering robust increases in cytosolic Ca^{2+} . Possible mechanisms by which Ca^{2+} increases may trigger a transient remodeling/severing of actin filaments include activation of gelsolin [37] and/or ADF/cofilin [38]. Ca^{2+} influx also can promote adhesion disassembly through multiple mechanisms (e.g. [39, 40]), which may be necessary for remodeling of the cell structure to maintaining tissue tension. In further support of the possible role of ATP signaling in stretch-induced fibroblast expansion, we have recently found that stretching of whole connective tissue ex vivo is accompanied by a sustained Rho-dependent release of extracellular ATP (unpublished results—submitted to J Cell Physiol). We also showed that both suramin (inhibitor of ATP binding to cell surface receptors) and apyrase (enzyme degrading extracellular ATP) inhibit fibroblast expansion in response to tissue stretch ex vivo. Taken together, these findings suggest that the remodeling of fibroblasts induced by mechanical stretching of connective tissue may be the consequence ATP autocrine signaling triggered by the initial drop in tissue osmotic pressure that occurs as water enters into the stretched tissue.

Potential consequences for interstitial fluid flow, lymphatic flow and immune function

A change in fibroblast shape occurring in response to sustained stretching of areolar connective tissue would serve two related purposes: 1) preserve cell-matrix contacts and 2) prevent tissue swelling. Interstitial fluid slowly and constantly moves through the interstitial space, returning to the vascular compartment via the lymphatics at a speed of $\sim 0.1\text{--}2\mu\text{/sec}$ [41]. Flow into lymphatics is determined by pressure gradients between the interstitium and lymphatics, with an increase in interstitial pressure driving fluid flow [41]. Compression of tissues during body movements (e.g. walking) plays a well-established role in promoting interstitial fluid flow. However, tissue stretching during these same movements might have effects opposite of those of compression (i.e. transiently decreasing interstitial fluid pressure and “pooling” fluid into the tissues). If connective tissue is in fact like a sponge, taking up water every time tissues are stretched for more than a few minutes might not be beneficial (e.g. during changes in posture or sleep position). Although increased tissue tension due to tissue swelling would ultimately increase interstitial fluid pressure and restore lymphatic drainage, this would come at the cost of an expanded, swollen matrix. Therefore, connective tissue needs a mechanism that would allow it to be stretched without becoming “water-logged”.

If the fibroblast cytoskeletal mechanisms outlined above are correct, fibroblast remodeling might serve as a protective mechanism against stretch-induced fluid stasis by keeping the matrix under tension equilibrium. Importantly, inflammatory mediators such as PGE1, IL-1,

IL-6 and TNF- have been shown to have the same effect as integrin blockers in lowering interstitial fluid pressure [10]. It therefore is plausible that even low levels of inflammation in the tissue could predispose to swelling and fluid stasis when connective tissue is being stretched. Although the consequences of fluid stasis are not well understood, we know that lymph stasis due to lymphatic duct obstruction interferes with immune surveillance and predisposes to fibrosis and fat accumulation into the tissues [42]. Fibroblast dysfunction therefore could contribute to tissue inflammation, fatty infiltration and fibrosis, which, importantly, all are thought to promote cancer formation.

Contrasting behavior of fibroblasts and myofibroblasts

The presence of myofibroblasts in tissues increases matrix stiffness in both chronically inflamed and cancer-associated tissues [5, 43]. There is recent evidence that this increase in stiffness is accompanied by increased interstitial pressure and lymphatic flow, and that these factors may predispose an individual to cancer invasion and metastasis [43]. If both fibroblasts and myofibroblasts can exert tension on the matrix, how could this protect against cancer in one case and promote it in another? This apparent paradox can be resolved if one considers that the primary function of myofibroblasts is to close wounds. In order to perform this task, myofibroblasts need to pull continuously on the matrix until the job is done. Furthermore, myofibroblasts seem to operate via a positive feedback mechanism, i.e. the more tension there is in the matrix, the harder they pull [4]. This contrasts with the dynamic regulation of tissue tension that results from cytoskeletal remodeling in normal fibroblasts. In the model proposed here, areolar connective tissue fibroblasts use their cytoskeletal machinery to adjust the tension of the matrix, not simply pull on it. The feedback loop allowing this tension regulation appears to involve osmosensation and ATP signaling, reminiscent of mechanisms used by astrocytes to protect the brain from swelling in the presence of hyponatremia [44, 45]. In the case of myofibroblasts, continuous stretching over several days may give rise to sustained release of ATP and prolonged and activation of P2Y2 receptors causing an increase alpha-smooth muscle actin (alpha-SMA) production and collagen accumulation [32]. One can also speculate that alteration in purinergic signaling of myofibroblast could contribute to the increased interstitial pressure and matrix stiffness characteristic of inflamed and cancer-associated tissues.

It is important to note, however, that a great deal of our current knowledge of “fibroblasts” from cell culture models applies more to myofibroblasts than to fibroblasts. There is a tendency in the literature to attribute myofibroblast behaviors (e.g. stress fiber formation, sustained matrix traction), to normal fibroblasts. However, stress fibers are not present in fibroblasts within loose connective tissues, or fibroblasts grown in loose compliant 3d gels, in the absence of a stimulus (e.g. TGF) leading to myofibroblast transformation [46]. It is therefore important that our conclusions regarding the function of fibroblasts need to take these considerations into account, especially with respect to mechanosensing and mechanotransduction.

In summary, we propose that areolar “loose” connective tissue functions under normal physiological conditions as an “adjustable sponge” according to the following model:

- 1) the sponge's pore size is regulated to admit an optimal amount of water molecules according to whether the sponge is in a stretched or compressed state;
- 2) opposing forces that determine water flux into the sponge are the osmotic pull of under-hydrated glycosaminoglycans and active restraint of the collagen mesh by fibroblasts;

- 3) water flux in and out of the tissue also serves as the mechanism by which fibroblasts sense a change in osmotic pressure and adjust their size, driven by ATP autocrine signaling;
- 4) expansion of fibroblasts in response to a lower tissue osmotic pressure allows maintenance of cell matrix contacts and prevents further water influx and swelling.

Areolar connective tissue wears many hats: as part of the musculoskeletal system, it forms compliant layers that can stretch linearly up to 60% of their length without tearing [47], yet can bear significant loads when subjected to shear stress. Areolar connective tissue also is the “container” through which metabolic, endocrine and immune exchanges take place in all tissues, as well as the conduit through which water, proteins and immune cells return to the blood via lymphatics. Reconciling connective tissue's biomechanical, metabolic and immune functions demands mechanisms ensuring that these roles do not interfere with one another. The mechanisms proposed here could play an important role in maintaining a healthy interstitial fluid environment, metabolic homeostasis and immune surveillance, all the while allowing the body to move and stretch.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Cathryn Koptiuch for technical assistance and manuscript preparation, Nicole Bouffard for creating the illustrations and Ben Kress for editing the manuscript. This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine research Grant RO1-AT01121. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

1. Janmey PA, et al. The hard life of soft cells. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton*. 2009; 66(8):597–605. [PubMed: 19479819]
2. Fung, YC. *Biomechanics : mechanical properties of living tissues*. Vol. xii. Springer-Verlag; New York: 1981. p. 433
3. Gabbiani G. The myofibroblast in wound healing and fibrocontractive diseases. *J Pathol*. 2003; 200(4):500–3. [PubMed: 12845617]
4. Tomasek JJ, et al. Myofibroblasts and mechano-regulation of connective tissue remodelling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2002; 3(5):349–63. [PubMed: 11988769]
5. Hinz B. Formation and function of the myofibroblast during tissue repair. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2007; 127(3):526–37. [PubMed: 17299435]
6. Langevin HM, et al. Fibroblast cytoskeletal remodeling contributes to connective tissue tension. *J Cell Physiol*. 2011; 226(5):1166–75. [PubMed: 20945345]
7. Langevin HM, et al. Dynamic fibroblast cytoskeletal response to subcutaneous tissue stretch ex vivo and in vivo. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*. 2005; 288(3):C747–56. [PubMed: 15496476]
8. Abbott RD, et al. Stress and matrix-responsive cytoskeletal remodeling in fibroblasts. *J Cell Physiol*. 2013; 228(1):50–7. [PubMed: 22552950]
9. Koyama T, Oike M, Ito Y. Involvement of Rho-kinase and tyrosine kinase in hypotonic stress-induced ATP release in bovine aortic endothelial cells. *J Physiol*. 2001; 532(Pt 3):759–69. [PubMed: 11313444]
10. Reed RK, Lidén Å, Rubin K. Edema and fluid dynamics in connective tissue remodelling. *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*. 2010; 48(3):518–523. [PubMed: 19595693]
11. Wiig H, Rubin K, Reed RK. New and active role of the interstitium in control of interstitial fluid pressure: potential therapeutic consequences. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2003; 47(2):111–21. [PubMed: 12631039]
12. Reed RK, Rubin K. Transcapillary exchange: role and importance of the interstitial fluid pressure and the extracellular matrix. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2010; 87(2):211–7. [PubMed: 20472565]

13. Rodt SA, Reed RK. Interstitial fluid pressure in rat skin becomes more negative in the initial phase of carrageenan-induced edema. *Int J Microcirc Clin Exp.* 1993; 12(3):299–312. [PubMed: 8375964]
14. Pedersen JA, Swartz MA. Mechanobiology in the third dimension. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2005; 33(11):1469–90. [PubMed: 16341917]
15. Harunaga JS, Yamada KM. Cell-matrix adhesions in 3D. *Matrix Biol.* 2011; 30(7–8):363–8. [PubMed: 21723391]
16. Wehrle-Haller B. Assembly and disassembly of cell matrix adhesions. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2012; 24(5):569–81. [PubMed: 22819514]
17. Chen WT. Surface changes during retraction-induced spreading of fibroblasts. *Journal of cell science.* 1981; 49:1–13. [PubMed: 7309801]
18. Chen WT. Mechanism of retraction of the trailing edge during fibroblast movement. *J Cell Biol.* 1981; 90(1):187–200. [PubMed: 7195906]
19. Liu XY, et al. Alveolar type II cells escape stress failure caused by tonic stretch through transient focal adhesion disassembly. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2011; 7(5):588–99. [PubMed: 21614151]
20. Bershadsky AD, et al. Assembly and mechanosensory function of focal adhesions: experiments and models. *Eur J Cell Biol.* 2006; 85(3–4):165–73. [PubMed: 16360240]
21. Geiger B, Spatz JP, Bershadsky AD. Environmental sensing through focal adhesions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2009; 10(1):21–33. [PubMed: 19197329]
22. Parsons JT, Horwitz AR, Schwartz MA. Cell adhesion: integrating cytoskeletal dynamics and cellular tension. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology.* 2010; 11(9):633–43.
23. Grashoff C, et al. Measuring mechanical tension across vinculin reveals regulation of focal adhesion dynamics. *Nature.* 2010; 466(7303):263–6. [PubMed: 20613844]
24. Schober M, et al. Focal adhesion kinase modulates tension signaling to control actin and focal adhesion dynamics. *J Cell Biol.* 2007; 176(5):667–80. [PubMed: 17325207]
25. Solon J, et al. Fibroblast adaptation and stiffness matching to soft elastic substrates. *Biophys J.* 2007; 93(12):4453–61. [PubMed: 18045965]
26. Stricker J, et al. Spatiotemporal constraints on the force-dependent growth of focal adhesions. *Biophys J.* 2011; 100(12):2883–93. [PubMed: 21689521]
27. Maruthamuthu V, Aratyn-Schaus Y, Gardel ML. Conserved F-actin dynamics and force transmission at cell adhesions. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2010; 22(5):583–8. [PubMed: 20728328]
28. Aratyn-Schaus Y, Gardel ML. Transient frictional slip between integrin and the ECM in focal adhesions under myosin II tension. *Curr Biol.* 2010; 20(13):1145–53. [PubMed: 20541412]
29. Dumbauld DW, et al. Contractility modulates cell adhesion strengthening through focal adhesion kinase and assembly of vinculin-containing focal adhesions. *J Cell Physiol.* 2010; 223(3):746–56. [PubMed: 20205236]
30. Wolfenson H, et al. Actomyosin-generated tension controls the molecular kinetics of focal adhesions. *Journal of cell science.* 2011; 124(Pt 9):1425–32. [PubMed: 21486952]
31. Watanabe-Nakayama T, et al. Direct detection of cellular adaptation to local cyclic stretching at the single cell level by atomic force microscopy. *Biophys J.* 2011; 100(3):564–72. [PubMed: 21281570]
32. Lu D, et al. ATP released from cardiac fibroblasts via connexin hemichannels activates profibrotic P2Y2 receptors. *FASEB J.* 2012; 26(6):2580–91. [PubMed: 22415310]
33. Dezaki K, et al. Receptor-mediated facilitation of cell volume regulation by swelling-induced ATP release in human epithelial cells. *Jpn J Physiol.* 2000; 50(2):235–41. [PubMed: 10880880]
34. Luckprom P, Kanjanamekanant K, Pavasant P. Role of connexin43 hemichannels in mechanical stress-induced ATP release in human periodontal ligament cells. *J Periodontal Res.* 2011; 46(5): 607–15. [PubMed: 21615411]
35. Pedersen S, et al. Mechanical stress induces release of ATP from Ehrlich ascites tumor cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1999; 1416(1–2):271–84. [PubMed: 9889382]
36. Feranchak AP, et al. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase contributes to cell volume regulation through effects on ATP release. *J Biol Chem.* 1998; 273(24):14906–11. [PubMed: 9614094]

37. Kinosian HJ, et al. Ca²⁺ regulation of gelsolin activity: binding and severing of F-actin. *Biophys J*. 1998; 75(6):3101–9. [PubMed: 9826630]
38. Wang Y, Shibasaki F, Mizuno K. Calcium signal-induced cofilin dephosphorylation is mediated by Slingshot via calcineurin. *The Journal of biological chemistry*. 2005; 280(13):12683–9. [PubMed: 15671020]
39. Bhatt A, et al. Regulation of focal complex composition and disassembly by the calcium-dependent protease calpain. *J Cell Sci*. 2002; 115(Pt 17):3415–25. [PubMed: 12154072]
40. Easley, C.A.t., et al. CaMK-II promotes focal adhesion turnover and cell motility by inducing tyrosine dephosphorylation of FAK and paxillin. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton*. 2008; 65(8):662–74. [PubMed: 18613116]
41. Swartz MA, Fleury ME. Interstitial flow and its effects in soft tissues. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng*. 2007; 9:229–56. [PubMed: 17459001]
42. Alitalo K. The lymphatic vasculature in disease. *Nat Med*. 2011; 17(11):1371–80. [PubMed: 22064427]
43. Swartz MA, Lund AW. Lymphatic and interstitial flow in the tumour microenvironment: linking mechanobiology with immunity. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012; 12(3):210–9. [PubMed: 22362216]
44. Thrane AS, et al. Critical role of aquaporin-4 (AQP4) in astrocytic Ca²⁺ signaling events elicited by cerebral edema. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011; 108(2):846–51. [PubMed: 21187412]
45. Risher WC, Andrew RD, Kirov SA. Real-time passive volume responses of astrocytes to acute osmotic and ischemic stress in cortical slices and in vivo revealed by two-photon microscopy. *Glia*. 2009; 57(2):207–21. [PubMed: 18720409]
46. Grinnell F. Fibroblast biology in three-dimensional collagen matrices. *Trends Cell Biol*. 2003; 13(5):264–9. [PubMed: 12742170]
47. Iatridis JC, et al. Subcutaneous tissue mechanical behavior is linear and viscoelastic under uniaxial tension. *Connect Tissue Res*. 2003; 44(5):208–17. [PubMed: 14660091]




Figure 1.

Role of fibroblasts in promoting fluid flux into the tissue during acute inflammation (Adapted from Reed et al. [11, 12]). A: In areolar connective tissue, the loose collagen mesh is filled with polyanionic glycosaminoglycans that attract large quantities of water. In the absence of inflammation, active tension exerted by fibroblasts onto the collagen network keeps the tissue under-hydrated by restraining the matrix, keeping pore size small and restricting water entry into the tissue. B: In the early stages of acute inflammation, inflammatory mediators cause the rupture of cell matrix contacts. This unrestrains the matrix, allowing fluid to enter the tissue driven by the osmotic force created by the now accessible glycosaminoglycans. Integrin blockers have a similar effect by interfering with cell-matrix contacts.




Figure 2.

Proposed mechanism for fibroblast control of matrix tension and fluid flux in response to tissue stretch. A: Fibroblasts maintain tension on the extracellular matrix and prevent fluid influx into the tissue. B: Sustained stretching of the matrix for several minutes decreases matrix compaction and increase in pore size, allowing water to flow in. C: Fibroblasts “letting go” of the cell-matrix contacts would further unrestrain the matrix and cause further swelling. D: Fibroblast remodeling, expansion and maintenance of cell-matrix contacts would keep the matrix restrained and reduces water influx into the tissue.




Figure 3. Response to fibroblasts to tissue stretch ex vivo in isotonic and hypertonic tissue bath. Raising the osmolarity of the bath by 20–40% (by adding bovine serum albumin) inhibited the expansion of fibroblasts in response to tissue stretch.