

# Soybean leaf hydraulic conductance does not acclimate to growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] or temperature in growth chambers or in the field

Anna M. Locke<sup>1</sup>, Lawren Sack<sup>2</sup>, Carl J. Bernacchi<sup>1,3,4</sup> and Donald R. Ort<sup>1,3,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1606, USA, <sup>3</sup>Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA and <sup>4</sup>Global Change and Photosynthesis Research Unit, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Urbana, IL 61801, USA \* For correspondence. E-mail d-ort@uiuc.edu

Received: 7 February 2013 Returned for revision: 18 March 2013 Accepted: 30 April 2013 Published electronically: 16 July 2013

• *Background and Aims* Leaf hydraulic properties are strongly linked with transpiration and photosynthesis in many species. However, it is not known if gas exchange and hydraulics will have co-ordinated responses to climate change. The objective of this study was to investigate the responses of leaf hydraulic conductance ( $K_{\text{leaf}}$ ) in *Glycine max* (soybean) to growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and increased temperature compared with the responses of leaf gas exchange and leaf water status.

• *Methods* Two controlled-environment growth chamber experiments were conducted with soybean to measure  $K_{\text{leaf}}$ , stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  and photosynthesis (A) during growth at elevated  $[CO_2]$  and temperature relative to ambient levels. These results were validated with field experiments on soybean grown under free-air elevated  $[CO_2]$  (FACE) and canopy warming.

• *Key results* In chamber studies,  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  did not acclimate to growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], even though stomatal conductance decreased and photosynthesis increased. Growth at elevated temperature also did not affect  $K_{\text{leaf}}$ , although  $g_s$  and A showed significant but inconsistent decreases. The lack of response of  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  to growth at increased [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature in chamber-grown plants was confirmed with field-grown soybean at a FACE facility.

• *Conclusions* Leaf hydraulic and leaf gas exchange responses to these two climate change factors were not strongly linked in soybean, although  $g_s$  responded to  $[CO_2]$  and increased temperature as previously reported. This differential behaviour could lead to an imbalance between hydraulic supply and transpiration demand under extreme environmental conditions likely to become more common as global climate continues to change.

**Key words:** Leaf hydraulic conductance, elevated  $CO_2$ , temperature, climate change, water potential, soybean, *Glycine max*.

# INTRODUCTION

A strong interdependency of leaf water transport capacity and photosynthetic capacity is expected from the principles of leaf gas exchange. Under natural mesophytic conditions, leaves lose several orders of magnitude more water to the atmosphere than they acquire CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere. Thus, leaves must resupply water to the sites of evaporation within the leaf mesophyll to enable the maintenance of open stomata for photosynthetic CO2 acquisition without desiccating the leaf. Leaf hydraulic conductance  $(K_{\text{leaf}})$  is a measure of water flow efficiency through the leaf and is defined as the water flux through the leaf per unit water potential driving force (Sack and Holbrook, 2006). Across sets of angiosperm species,  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  was found to correlate positively with maximum stomatal pore area per leaf area, mid-day stomatal conductance, photosynthetic electron transport rate and lightsaturated CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (Sack et al., 2003; Brodribb et al., 2007), suggesting evolutionary co-ordination between hydraulic and photosynthetic capacities of the leaf. Indeed, dynamic coordination of leaf and plant hydraulic conductance with gas exchange and photosynthesis has been observed in numerous species in response to environmental perturbation. Leaf net photosynthetic rates (A) were limited by whole-plant hydraulics under sufficient soil moisture conditions in *Pinus ponderosa* (Hubbard *et al.*, 1999), and stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) was limited by whole-plant and shoot hydraulics in several different deciduous and evergreen tree species (Salleo *et al.*, 2000; Nardini and Salleo, 2000). Within given species, photosystem II quantum yield correlated with  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  on a diurnal cycle and also during leaf senescence (Brodribb and Holbrook, 2003, 2004).  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  plasticity has also been observed in response to dynamic changes in temperature and light (Sack *et al.*, 2004; Scoffoni *et al.*, 2008; Nardini *et al.*, 2010), in some cases in coordination with *A* during growth under different environmental conditions (Brodribb and Jordan, 2011).

Atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] is expected to exceed 550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (ppm) by mid-century and to drive increases of global temperature by 1–6 °C (Meehl *et al.*, 2007). Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] almost always leads to a reduction of  $g_s$ , lowering leaf- and canopy-level transpiration (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Bernacchi *et al.*, 2007). A lower transpiration rate should permit the reduction of  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  with no penalty to photosynthetic rate at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Consistent with this expectation, whole-plant hydraulic conductance was reported to decrease in response to short-term exposure to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] in chamber-grown *Amaranthus hypochondriacus* and *Zea mays*, as well as to long-term growth at elevated

© The Author 2013. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Annals of Botany Company. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com  $[CO_2]$  for chamber-grown *Glycine max* (soybean) and *Medicago* sativa (Bunce, 1996; Bunce and Ziska, 1998). Further,  $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decreased in *Pinus taeda* needles grown at elevated  $[CO_2]$  with free-air concentration enrichment (FACE) (Domec *et al.*, 2009).

The effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] on sovbean have been studied extensively because of its importance as the world's third most economically valuable agricultural crop (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2010). With approx. 75 million acres of soybean planted annually in the USA, a thorough understanding of how soybean water relations respond to climate change is crucial to predicting how climate change will affect environmental processes and global food security. A generally increases at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]. However, in field-grown soybean, the maximum velocity of carboxylation by ribulose-1,5bisphosphate carboxylasae/oxygenase (Rubisco,  $V_{c,max}$ ) tends to decrease during acclimation to growth at elevated  $[CO_2]$ , thus restricting the degree to which elevated  $[CO_2]$  increases A (D. M. Rosenthal, USDA-ARS, Urbana, IL, USA, unpubl. res.). Leaf gs consistently decreases at elevated [CO2], but no acclimation of  $g_s$  to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] has been observed across a decade of study at the SoyFACE field site (Leakey et al., 2006). The consistent decrease in upper canopy leaf  $g_s$  during growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] is accompanied by decreased canopy evapotranspiration for field-grown soybean (Bernacchi et al., 2007). Despite the larger canopy leaf area for elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]-grown soybean, the reduction in g<sub>s</sub> significantly decreased water flow through the canopy, coupled with only a slight and inconsistent water potential ( $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ) decrease, suggesting that  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  could be lower for soybean grown at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] without limiting A (Bernacchi et al., 2007). That hypothesis was also supported by a recent field study in which intrinsic water use efficiency  $(A/g_s)$  was observed to increase for soybeans grown at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Ruiz-Vera et al., 2013).

Temperature and vapour pressure deficit (VPD) are major determinants of evapotranspiration. Global temperature increases throughout the 21st century will result in increased evaporative demand, but projections for VPD are less certain (Meehl et al., 2007). As temperatures rise with climate change, evapotranspiration (E) is likely to increase on a leaf area basis, as has been measured in soybean and Z. mays (Yang et al., 2012). With higher transpiration demand,  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  could become limiting to A if it has insufficient capacity to adjust, thereby causing reduction of  $g_s$ . A recent study with soybean grown at increased temperature in the field indeed found that  $g_s$  declined at higher temperatures, but intrinsic water use efficiency increased at higher temperatures due to biochemical properties of Rubisco (Ruiz-Vera et al., 2013). However, the stimulatory effect of temperature on photosynthesis declines at peak summer temperatures in many soybean-growing regions (D. M. Rosenthal, USDA-ARS, Urbana, IL, USA, unpubl. res.), and A will decline even as E continues to rise. There is evidence of a fast, reversible increase of  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  with rising temperature in Acer saccharum, Aesculus hippocastanum and Quercus rubra relating to changes in the viscosity of water and of membrane properties and/or aquaporin activity (Sack et al., 2004; Nardini et al., 2010). It is not known, however, if long-term growth at elevated temperature induces a more permanent increase in  $K_{\text{leaf}}$ .

Our objective in this study was to investigate the responses of  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  to growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature in soybean. We hypothesized that for leaves grown at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>],  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  will decrease to reduce costly investment in water transport capacity

while maintaining  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ,  $g_s$  and A. Furthermore, we hypothesized that for leaves grown at elevated temperature,  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  will increase to match higher E driven by an increase in VPD. These hypotheses were tested in a two growth chamber experiments, one a factorial CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature experiment, and the second focused on temperature responses alone. Gas exchange parameters were measured along with  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  in these experiments. These chamber experiments were validated using soybean grown under FACE for [CO<sub>2</sub>] and open-air, infrared temperature elevation in the field. Because the leaf is a critical component in the transpiration pathway, knowledge of leaf hydraulic responses and limits is necessary to be able to predict the extent to which gas exchange can adjust under increasingly extreme environmental conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant material and growth conditions

For both chamber experiments, seeds of soybean (*Glycine max*) cultivar '93B15' (Pioneer Hi-Bred, Johnston, IA, USA), a variety with indeterminate growth, were planted in 14.5 L pots with LC-1 Sunshine mix (SunGro Horticulture Canada Ltd, Bellevue, WA, USA). All seeds emerged from the soil within 4 d of planting. For the  $CO_2 \times$  temperature factorial experiment, soybeans were germinated and grown in eight temperatureand CO<sub>2</sub>-controlled growth chambers inside a greenhouse; four plants were grown per chamber. The chambers were constructed with aluminium frames and enclosed with clear acetate to allow entry of greenhouse light (Maherali and DeLucia, 2000). Natural light was supplemented with overhead lighting to reach approx.  $750 \mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at plant level inside the growth chambers. The experiment was conducted from January to May 2010, with two separate sets of plants grown in succession. Measurements were taken on the youngest fully expanded leaves at 31, 36 and 43 d after planting in the first run of the experiment, and at 36, 38 and 43 d after planting in the second run of the experiment, so leaf developmental stage and leaf age were consistent for all measurements while plant age varies. Daylength varied greatly over the course of the experiment, but supplemental lighting was provided for 12 h  $d^{-1}$ . [CO<sub>2</sub>] was continuously monitored in the centre of each chamber and fumigation was automatically adjusted to sustain the target. Treatments were applied in a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design with [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments of 400 (ambient) and 700 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (ppm) (elevated) and daytime temperatures of 27 °C (ambient) and 31 °C (elevated). Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature treatments began at seed planting, so plants experienced their assigned treatment conditions for their entire life span. Plants were randomly rotated among chambers weekly to reduce chamber effects, watered every other day, and fertilized twice weekly with 50 % Long Ashton solution supplemented with 10 mM NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (Hewitt, 1966). In the CO<sub>2</sub>  $\times$  temperature experiment, we were unable to control humidity, but it was high enough in all treatments to produce condensation on the walls of the growth chambers occasionally. High humidity may have obscured a possible response to temperature via VPD in the experiment.

For the temperature-only experiment, plants were grown in eight controlled environment chambers (GC-15, Environmental

Growth Chambers, Chagrin Falls, OH, USA). Twelve plants were grown in each chamber, and ambient and elevated temperature treatments were replicated in four chambers each. Daytime temperatures were 25° C for ambient plants and 30° C for the elevated treatment; night-time temperature was  $22^{\circ}$  C and [CO<sub>2</sub>] was 400 ppm for all plants. Elevated temperature treatment began at seed planting, so plants experienced their assigned treatment temperature for their entire life span. Measurements were taken on the youngest fully expanded leaves at 32, 39 and 41 d after planting. Plants were randomly rotated within chambers every 2 d and among chambers every 4 d to reduce chamber effects. Light levels were approx. 1200  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PAR at plant height. Plants were fertilized every other day with 50 % Long Ashton solution supplemented with 10 mM NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>. Dataloggers were placed inside each chamber to record temperature and humidity at 15 min intervals (HOBO U12-012, Onset Computer Corporation, Inc., Pocasset, MA, USA). In this chamber experiment, relative humidity was carefully controlled at 60% for both temperature treatments and continuously recorded, with an average VPD increase of 0.4 kPa for plants grown at elevated temperature (Fig. 1).

In 2010 and 2012, the same soybean cultivar '93B15' (Pioneer Hi-Bred) was planted at the SoyFACE facility in Champaign, IL, USA in 0.38 m row spacing. Planting occurred on 27 May in 2010 and 15 May in 2012. A detailed description of the field site and SoyFACE CO<sub>2</sub> fumigation method can be found in Rogers *et al.* (2004). The [CO<sub>2</sub>] experiment was conducted in a completely randomized block design. Each block consisted of two 20 m diameter rings, one at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and one fumigated with pure CO<sub>2</sub> to an elevated target [CO<sub>2</sub>]. In 2010, ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] was 385 ppm and target elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] was 585 ppm; in elevated plots, [CO<sub>2</sub>] was within 10 % of the target 75 % of the time. In 2012, ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] was 390 ppm. Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment began by the time seedlings emerged from the soil and continued for the full growing season, so all



FIG. 1. Average vapour pressure deficit (VPD) calculated from the four control and four elevated temperature chambers on every day of the experiment, beginning at planting. Temperature and relative humidity were logged at 15 min intervals; VPD was calculated for each of those intervals and then averaged over each 14 h daylight period. Ambient temperature chambers (25 °C) and elevated temperature chambers (30 °C) are as indicated. Relative humidity for all chambers was set at 60 %, although chamber dehumidification was insufficient to maintain this level as plants grew. Thus, relative humidity increased slightly and VPD decreased slightly over time.

leaves developed entirely under their assigned treatment conditions. Measurements were taken at 32 and 50 d after planting.

In 2012, temperature elevation of 3.5 °C above ambient was achieved by placing infrared heaters above the canopy as detailed in Ruiz-Vera *et al.* (2013). Temperature treatment was applied continuously from 7 d after planting, with two exceptions from 10–15 July and 12–16 August, when electrical power was not available. After power was restored, measurements were not taken until at least one new leaf had fully developed under the elevated temperature treatment. Measurements were taken at 64 and 86 d after planting.

#### Gas exchange

Photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) were measured on the uppermost, fully expanded leaf on a plant using an open-path gas analysers equipped with a leaf chamber fluorometer (LI-6400, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Gas exchange was measured between 1200 h and 1400 h central standard time, as this typically corresponds to daily peak photosynthetic rates. Plants were briefly removed from growth chambers for gas exchange measurement, but [CO<sub>2</sub>], temperature and PAR were set equal to growth conditions for each plant. Relative humidity in the gas exchange cuvette was maintained between 60 and 70 %. Different LI-6400s were used for the CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature chamber experiment and the temperature-only chamber experiment, but comparisons are only made between measurements taken with the same instrument.

## Leaf water potential

Discs of 1.5 cm diameter were cut from uppermost mature leaves and sealed inside a stainless steel thermocouple psychrometer chamber within 15 s of cutting (Wescor C-30, Wescor, Inc., Logan, UT, USA). The psychrometer temperature was maintained at 22 °C in a controlled-environment chamber for 3 h until equilibrium temperature was achieved, and then the water potential of the leaf discs was recorded using a datalogger (Campbell CF-1000, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). When pre-dawn  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  was measured in the CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature experiment, leaves were collected between 0500 h and 0700 h. In this experiment, 12 leaf discs were sampled from the youngest, fully expanded leaf on each of two plants in each growth chamber. These discs were divided evenly among four psychrometer chambers for water potential measurement. Pre-dawn  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  is assumed to be equal to soil water potential and was used to confirm that the treatments did not result in large soil moisture differences. There were only small differences in pre-dawn  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ , with values ranging from -0.44 to -0.73 MPa across both experiments. When mid-day  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  was measured in the temperature-only chamber experiment, three leaves were sampled per growth chamber, and three leaf discs were punched per leaf and measured in one psychrometer chamber. In this experiment, discs were sampled between 1300 h and 1400 h.

#### Leaf hydraulic conductance

 $K_{\text{leaf}}$  was measured using the evaporative flux method (Sack *et al.*, 2002), with modifications as described below. Leaves

were cut at the base of the petiole immediately prior to turning on supplemental lighting for the growth chamber experiments or just prior to sunrise for the field experiments. Sampling at that time ensured that all leaves were fully hydrated and any emboli acquired during the previous day were refilled, so maximum  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  could be measured for each treatment. The youngest, fully expanded leaves were sampled for all measurements, so leaf age is consistent among all measurements, while plant age varied with the repeated sampling within experiments. Leaves of this soybean cultivar fully mature in approx. 8 d, so sampled leaf ages were within 4 d of each other, between the time the leaf becomes fully expanded and the time when it becomes shaded by a younger leaf above.

Evaporative flux measurements were made in the lab at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and about 25 °C air temperature, so persistent but not quickly reversible effects of treatments were measured. Cut petioles were submerged immediately in water and re-cut under water. Crevices in the soybean petioles were blocked with petroleum jelly, and petioles were wrapped in Parafilm (Pechiney Plastic Packaging Company, Chicago, IL, USA) to ensure a tight seal with tubing that supplied water to the leaf (Tygon R-3603, Saint-Groban Performance Plastics Corporation, Paris, France). This tubing was connected to a reservoir of ultrapure, partially degassed water situated on a highprecision balance (XS 250, Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA). The leaf was then placed under halogen lighting, with a glass tray of water placed between the lamp and the leaf to absorb infrared radiation, allowing approx. 700  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PAR to reach the leaf. A box fan was used to disrupt the leaf boundary layer, and water flow through the leaf was allowed to stabilize for at least 30 min. When water flow reached steady state, top and bottom leaf surface temperatures were measured (Fluke 574 Precision Infrared Thermometer, Fluke Corporation, Everett, WA, USA; calibrated using a black body calibrator, BB701, Omega Engineering, Inc., Stamford, CT, USA). Leaf discs were subsequently removed for  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  determination using thermocouple psychrometers as described above; 12 discs were measured per leaf in four psychrometer chambers. Leaves were then photographed and leaf area was measured with ImageJ software (NIH, http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). Kleaf was calculated as flow rate/leaf water potential and normalized for leaf area and the effect of temperature on water viscosity (Yang and Tyree, 1993). To increase throughput, four identical balances were connected to a 4-channel serial I/O interface (SDM-SIO4, Campbell Scientific, Inc.) which was linked to a datalogger (CR1000, Campbell Scientific, Inc.). This allowed water flow data from four leaves on four balances to be recorded in a single file and viewed simultaneously on a computer in realtime. Approximately 15 % of leaves wilted during evaporative flux measurement, and these values were not included in the analyses.

### Statistical analyses

The SAS MIXED procedure was used for all statistical analyses (SAS 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). For each experiment, data from multiple measurements days were pooled and analysed by repeated measures. This accounted for variation due to measurement day, as measurements were taken from the same replicate chambers or plots on multiple days in each experiment. [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature were always considered as fixed effects. In chamber experiments, each group of plants within a chamber was a replicate, and plants within these groups were treated as sub-samples. Because groups of plants were randomly rotated among chambers every 4 d, chamber effects were considered to be evenly distributed and were not included in the model. In field experiments, each treatment plot was a replicate, and plants within plots were considered as sub-samples. Plots were spatially blocked in the field, with one replicate of each treatment per block.

#### Optimizing $\alpha$

To avoid unnecessarily high rates of Type II error,  $\alpha$  values were optimized for hypothesis testing. Instead of minimizing only Type I or Type II error, this approach minimizes the average of Type I and Type II error, and therefore the overall error rate, which is optimal for a study in which Type I and type II errors are considered to have equal consequence (Mudge *et al.*, 2012). Degrees of freedom and Cohen's  $f^2$  were inputs for R code provided by Mudge *et al.* (2012). Degrees of freedom were taken from the data sets, and Cohen's  $f^2$  of 0.35 was chosen *a priori* as representing a large effect size and corresponding 26 % of variance explained (Cohen, 1988). Based on the degrees of freedom in our study, the  $\alpha$  values generated for hypothesis testing are higher than the standard  $\alpha = 0.05$  that is used to interpret most plant physiological data (Table 1).

# RESULTS

# K<sub>leaf</sub> did not acclimate to growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]

The  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  values obtained using the evaporative flux method were comparable with values observed in past studies with pot-grown soybeans and other herbaceous crop species (Sober, 1997; Tsuda and Tyree, 2000; Sack and Holbrook, 2006). In the CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature experiment, growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not significantly affect  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  (P = 0.5466, d.f. = 39) (Fig. 2A). Measurements with field-grown soybean showed similar results to the chamber experiment. Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not lead to a significant change in  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  for field-grown soybean under free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (P = 0.9852, d.f. = 3) (Fig. 3).

# Elevated $[CO_2]$ increased carbon gain at both ambient and elevated temperature

Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] increased A by 23 % in the CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature experiment (P = 0.0009, d.f. = 12) (Fig. 2C). Elevated

TABLE 1. Optimal  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values used for hypothesis testing

Degrees of freedom	Optimal α	Optimal β
2	0.38	0.40
7	0.25	0.30
12	0.18	0.21
39	0.04	0.05

Values were calculated according to Mudge *et al.* (2012); inputs were degrees of freedom from the data set and Cohen's  $f^2$  of 0.35, chosen *a priori*. Degrees of freedom for each data set can be found in the figures.



FIG. 2. Leaf hydraulic conductance ( $K_{\text{leaf}}$ ), photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) for the CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature chamber experiment. Measurements were taken with the youngest, fully expanded leaves. Growth [CO<sub>2</sub>] was 400 ppm (ambient) or 700 ppm (elevated), and growth temperature was 27 °C (ambient) or 31 °C (elevated).  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  was measured for leaves sampled before sunrise, while A and  $g_s$  were measured at mid-day on the previous day. Asterisks denote significant treatments effects, and degrees of freedom for each data set are noted in the upper right corner of the graphs. Alpha levels for each hypothesis test can be found in Table 1.

[CO<sub>2</sub>] decreased  $g_s$  by 42 % compared with ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] (P = 0.0093, d.f. = 12) (Fig. 2D).

#### Growth at elevated temperature did not alter K<sub>leaf</sub>

In the CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature experiment, increased temperature did not change  $K_{\text{leaf}}(P = 0.4213, \text{d.f.} = 39)$  (Fig. 2A). Similarly, in the temperature-only chamber experiment, growth temperature did not alter  $K_{\text{leaf}}(P = 0.9542, \text{d.f.} = 4)$  (Fig. 3). Elevated temperature also did not affect  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  for field-grown plants in



FIG. 3. Leaf hydraulic conductance ( $K_{\text{leaf}}$ ) for field-grown soybean under free-air [CO<sub>2</sub>] enrichment (FACE). The youngest, fully expanded leaves were sampled pre-sunrise from ambient and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] plots. [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not affect K<sub>leaf</sub>. Alpha levels for each hypothesis test can be found in Table 1.

2012 (P = 0.8002, d.f. = 7) (Fig. 5). Additionally, in the growth chamber experiment, mid-day  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$  was not significantly altered by elevated temperature (P = 0.6731, d.f. = 2) (Fig. 4B).

## Temperature did not consistently affect gas exchange

In the CO<sub>2</sub> × temperature experiment, temperature did not have a significant effect on A (P = 0.8691, df = 12) or  $g_s$  (P = 0.7828, d.f. = 12) (Fig. 2B, C). Growth at elevated temperature also did not affect A (P = 0.4589, d.f. = 2) or  $g_s$  (P = 0.8128, d.f. = 2) in the temperature-only chamber experiment (Fig. 4).

For each experiment, data for individual measurement days were also analysed individually.  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  across treatments was statistically different for measurement days in the temperature-only chamber experiment (P = 0.01, d.f. = 2) and in the [CO<sub>2</sub>] field experiment (P = 0.06, d.f. = 13). Some variation was present in these data which was not consistent or significant across experiments; these results are presented separately (Supplementary Data Tables S1, S2, Figs S1–S4).

# DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, we present the first measurements of  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  and its co-ordination with gas exchange in response to temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] for field-grown soybean.  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  in field-grown soybean was maintained within a stable range of values for plants grown under open-air CO<sub>2</sub> fumigation or temperature elevation (Figs 3 and 5). Although there was some variation between measurement days for pooled data sets in the temperature chamber experiment and the [CO<sub>2</sub>] field experiment, this variation could not be attributed to any known factor in these experiments, and these differences were accounted for in the statistical analyses.

Because  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  measurements were made at ambient indoor [CO<sub>2</sub>] while leaves developed at either ambient (385 ppm) or elevated (585 ppm) [CO<sub>2</sub>], our inferences are restricted to acclimation which would have occurred over the course of leaf development rather than instantaneous, rapidly reversible effects of [CO<sub>2</sub>]. The findings of a consistent  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  across growth [CO<sub>2</sub>] levels in growth chambers and in the field did not support the



FIG. 4. Leaf hydraulic conductance ( $K_{\text{leaf}}$ ), mid-day leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ), photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) for the temperature-only chamber experiment. Measurements were taken with the youngest, fully expanded leaves. Daytime growth temperature was 25 °C (ambient) or 30 °C (elevated).  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  was measured for leaves sampled before daytime growth lights turned on, while  $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ , A and  $g_s$  were measured at mid-day on the previous day. Degrees of freedom for each data set are given in the corner of each panel.

Alpha levels for each hypothesis test can be found in Table 1.



FIG. 5. Leaf hydraulic conductance  $(K_{\text{leaf}})$  for field-grown soybean. Temperature was ambient or elevated to 3.5 °C over ambient with infrared heaters. The youngest, fully expanded leaves were sampled pre-sunrise from control plots and heated plots. Temperature did not affect  $K_{\text{leaf}}$ . Alpha levels for each hypothesis test can be found in Table 1.

hypothesis that  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  would be reduced at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] to match the decline of  $g_s$  and transpiration (Figs 2 and 3). Our data also suggested that the 46 % decrease in whole-plant hydraulic conductance at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] previously reported from a chamber experiment with soybean (Bunce, 1996) probably did not involve a contribution from the leaves. Although that previous study did not measure  $K_{leaf}$ , it reported a much greater [CO<sub>2</sub>] effect on stem hydraulic conductance than on root hydraulic conductance. In our study, gas exchange parameters responded as expected to elevated  $[CO_2]$ , with  $g_s$  decreasing and A increasing. That these responses were not reflected in  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  measurements indicates that soybean leaf gas exchange and leaf hydraulics are not closely coupled in their ability to acclimate to environmental conditions, and that  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  itself was not mechanistically influenced by growth [CO2] in the ways that have been recently hypothesized (Flexas et al., 2012), for example, due to developmental acclimation of aquaporin/CO2-porin activity. Notably, the high  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  relative to  $g_s$  in plants grown at high [CO<sub>2</sub>] could contribute to drought tolerance. Plants undergoing the onset of soil drying, or increases in VPD, can better maintain open stomata given high  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  relative to  $g_s$  (Brodribb and Jordan, 2008; Osborne and Sack, 2012). Furthermore, this insensitivity of  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  to growth [CO<sub>2</sub>] suggests that  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  was not limiting gas exchange under either the ambient or elevated [CO2] conditions tested in this study, which included field conditions.

 $K_{\text{leaf}}$  was similarly unresponsive to growth at elevated temperature (Figs 4A and 5).  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  has consistently been observed to increase with temperature in other studies, both at the time scale of minutes during measurement for *A. hippocastanum*, *A. saccharum* and *Q. rubrum* (Sack *et al.*, 2004; Nardini *et al.*, 2010) and with varying *in situ* leaf temperatures in *Tilia cordata* (Sellin and Kupper, 2007). While  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  did not show acclimation to growth at increased temperature in this study, evapotranspiration has been observed to increase for soybeans grown at elevated temperature in a chamber study (Allen *et al.*, 2003). This finding suggests a lack of co-ordination of hydraulic and stomatal plasticity in soybean leaves.

Since the CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature treatment differentials were not maintained during  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  measurements in this study, it is possible that soybean in a high temperature environment does have a higher  $K_{\text{leaf}}$ , but that this effect is transient and fully reversed when steady state was reached during the evaporative flux measurement. If so, this would suggest a lack of phenotypic plasticity for response to temperature in the structural components of the leaf which influence  $K_{\text{leaf}}$ , such as vein density (Sack and Frole, 2006; Brodribb et al., 2007). A previous study of the effect of growth [CO<sub>2</sub>] on *Quercus petraea* (350 ppm vs. 700 ppm) found no effects on vein density although stomatal density was reduced at high CO<sub>2</sub> (Uhl and Mosbrugger, 1999), results analogous to our findings for  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  and  $g_{\text{s}}$  in soybean. In contrast, elevated growth temperature was reported to increase  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  in *Populus tremula* when measurements were taken at a constant temperature (Aasamaa et al., 2005), and vein density is often found to increase in leaves grown under higher temperatures (Uhl and Mosbrugger, 1999; Sack and Scoffoni, 2013). Beyond vein density, the limited capability to adjust realized leaf hydraulic capacity observed in soybean may relate to its being a herbaceous annual bred under strong artificial selection. Greater  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  plasticity could also be more adaptive in tall plants than in short, herbaceous species. In Sclerobium paniculatum, taller individuals had lower maximum  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  and lower  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  vulnerability than shorter individuals (Zhang et al., 2009). As cultivated soybean is short with ancestors that were vines, there may be less penalty for overall lack of hydraulic plasticity in the shoot.

In summary, our data suggest a lack of phenotypic plasticity in soybean  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  during growth at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature. The responses of  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  and gas exchange to [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature do not appear to be mechanistically co-ordinated in soybean. This independence allows a shift in hydraulic supply relative to demand, such that plants grown at high [CO<sub>2</sub>] have high  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  relative to  $g_s$ , and thus would be able to sustain higher  $g_s$  and A during declines in soil water potential or high VPD. As gas exchange and leaf hydraulic conductance appear to be acting independently of each other in these studies, it is likely that  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  is not limiting to gas exchange under the conditions tested in these experiments. However, if  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  cannot be increased under elevated temperature, then it is possible that  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  could limit the delivery of water to points of evaporation within the leaf and thereby lead to a decline in leaf water potential, and a reduction of  $g_s$ , that would limit A under such extreme weather conditions as are projected to become more frequent during this century (Meehl et al., 2007). Such a hydraulic limitation could be responsible for an increase in stomatal limitation to A at high temperatures, as had been previously observed in a study with field-grown soybean (D. M. Rosenthal, USDA-ARS, Urbana, IL, USA, unpubl. res.).

# SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online at www.aob.oxfordjournals.org and consist of the following. Table S1: degrees of freedom and *P*-values for each measurement day from all experiments, with measurement days analysed individually by ANOVA in SAS PROC MIXED. Table S2: optimal alpha and beta values used for hypothesis testing. Figure S1: leaf hydraulic conductance, photosynthesis and stomatal conductance for the  $CO_2 \times$  temperature experiment. Figure S2: leaf hydraulic conductance, midday leaf water potential, photosynthesis and stomatal conductance for the temperature-only chamber experiment. Figure S3: leaf hydraulic conductance for field-grown soybean under free-air [CO<sub>2</sub>] enrichment (FACE). Figure S4: leaf hydraulic conductance ( $K_{\text{leaf}}$ ) for field-grown soybean. Data are shown for individual measurement days.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Nathan Couch, Adrian Zimbelman, Bob Koester, Sharon Gray, Katie Richter, Bob Koester, Courtney Leisner, Becky Slattery and Colleen Cahill for assistance with data collection and plant care, John Drake for assistance with setting up dataloggers, and David Drag, Charlie Mitsdarfer and Kannan Puthuval for maintaining growth chambers and managing operations at SoyFACE. This research was funded by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agriculture Research Service (ARS) and by the Office of Science (BER), US Department of Energy, through the Midwestern Center of the National Institute for Climate Change Research (NICCR).

# LITERATURE CITED

- Aasamaa K, Niinemets U, Sõber A. 2005. Leaf hydraulic conductance in relation to anatomical and functional traits during *Populus tremula* leaf ontogeny. *Tree Physiology* 25: 1409–1418.
- Ainsworth EA, Long SP. 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO2. New Phytologist 165: 351–371.
- Allen LHJr, Pan D, Boote KJ, Pickering NB, Jones JW. 2003. Carbon dioxide and temperature effects on evapotranspiration and water use efficiency of soybean. Agronomy Journal 95: 1071–1981.
- Bernacchi CJ, Kimball BA, Quarles DR, Long SP, Ort DR. 2007. Decreases in stomatal conductance of soybean under open-air elevation of [CO<sub>2</sub>] are closely coupled with decreases in ecosystem evapotranspiration. *Plant Physiology* **143**: 134–144.
- Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM. 2003. Changes in leaf hydraulic conductance during leaf shedding in seasonally dry tropical forest. *New Phytologist* 158: 295–303.
- Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM. 2004. Diurnal depression of leaf hydraulic conductance in a tropical tree species. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 27: 820–827.
- Brodribb TJ, Jordan GJ. 2008. Internal coordination between hydraulics and stomatal control in leaves. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 31: 1557–1564.
- Brodribb TJ, Jordan GJ. 2011. Water supply and demand remain balanced during leaf acclimation of *Nothofagus cunninghamii* trees. *New Phytologist* 192: 437–448.
- Brodribb TJ, Feild TS, Jordan GJ. 2007. Leaf maximum photosynthetic rate and venation are linked by hydraulics. *Plant Physiology* 144: 1890–1898.
- **Bunce JA. 1996.** Growth at elevated carbon dioxide concentration reduces hydraulic conductance in alfalfa and soybean. *Global Change Biology* **2**: 155–158.
- Bunce JA, Ziska LH. 1998. Decreased hydraulic conductance in plants at elevated carbon dioxide. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 21: 121–126.
- Cohen JE. 1988. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Domec J-C, Palmroth S, Ward E, Maier CA, Thérézien M, Oren R. 2009. Acclimation of leaf hydraulic conductance and stomatal conductance of *Pinus taeda* (loblolly pine) to long-term growth in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment) and N-fertilization. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32: 1500–1512.
- Flexas J, Barbour MM, Brendel O, et al. 2012. Mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: an unappreciated central player in photosynthesis. *Plant Science* 193–194: 70–84.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2010. Food and agricultural commodities production. Rome: FAO.

- Hewitt EJ. 1966. Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant nutrition. London: Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau.
- Hubbard RM, Bond BJ, Ryan MG. 1999. Evidence that hydraulic conductance limits photosynthesis in old *Pinus ponderosa* trees. *Tree Physiology* 19: 165–172.
- Leakey ADB, Bernacchi CJ, Ort DR, Long SP. 2006. Long-term growth of soybean at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] does not cause acclimation of stomatal conductance under fully open-air conditions. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 29: 1794–1800.
- Maherali H, DeLucia EH. 2000. Interactive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on water transport in ponderosa pine. *American Journal of Botany* 87: 243–249.
- Meehl GA, Stocker TF, Collins WD, et al. 2007. Global climate projections. In: Solomon S, Quin D, Manning M, et al eds. Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mudge JF, Baker LF, Edge CB, Houlahan JE. 2012. Setting an optimal α that minimizes errors in null hypothesis significance tests. *PLoS One* 7: e32734.
- Nardini A, Salleo S. 2000. Limitation of stomatal conductance by hydraulic traits: sensing or preventing xylem cavitation? *Trees* 15: 14–24.
- Nardini A, Raimondo F, Lo Gullo MA, Salleo S. 2010. Leafminers help us understand leaf hydraulic design. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 33: 1091–1100.
- Osborne CP, Sack L. 2012. Evolution of C4 plants: a new hypothesis for an interaction of CO<sub>2</sub> and water relations mediated by plant hydraulics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367: 583–600.
- Rogers A, Allen DJ, Davey PA, et al. 2004. Leaf photosynthesis and carbohydrate dynamics of soybeans grown throughout their life-cycle under Free-Air Carbon dioxide Enrichment. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 27: 449–458.
- Ruiz-Vera UM, Siebers M, Gray SB, et al. 2013. Global warming can negate the expected CO<sub>2</sub> stimulation in photosynthesis and productivity for soybean grown in the Midwest United States. *Plant Physiology* 162: 410–423.
- Sack L, Frole K. 2006. Leaf structural diversity is related to hydraulic capacity in tropical rain forest trees. *Ecology* 87: 483–491.
- Sack L, Holbrook NM. 2006. Leaf hydraulics. Annual Review of Plant Biology 57: 361–381.

- Sack L, Scoffoni C. 2013. Leaf venation: structure, function, development, evolution, ecology, and applications in past, present, and future. *New Phytologist* 198: 983–1000.
- Sack L, Melcher PJ, Zwieniecki MA, Holbrook NM. 2002. The hydraulic conductance of the angiosperm leaf lamina: a comparison of three measurement methods. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53: 2177–2184.
- Sack L, Cowan PD, Jaikumar N, Holbrook NM. 2003. The 'hydrology' of leaves: co-ordination of structure and function in temperate woody species. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 26: 1343–1356.
- Sack L, Streeter CM, Holbrook NM. 2004. Hydraulic analysis of water flow through leaves of sugar maple and red oak. *Plant Physiology* 134: 1824–1833.
- Salleo S, Nardini A, Pitt F, Lo Gullo MA. 2000. Xylem cavitation and hydraulic control of stomatal conductance in laurel (*Laurus nobilis* L.). *Plant, Cell and Environment* 23: 71–79.
- Scoffoni C, Pou A, Aasamaa K, Sack L. 2008. The rapid light response of leaf hydraulic conductance: new evidence from two experimental methods. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 31: 1803–1812.
- Sellin A, Kupper P. 2007. Temperature, light and leaf hydraulic conductance of little-leaf linden (*Tilia cordata*) in a mixed forest canopy. *Tree Physiology* 27: 679–688.
- **Sober A. 1997.** Hydraulic conductance, stomatal conductance, and maximal photosynthetic rate in bean leaves. *Photosynthetica* **34**: 599–603.
- **Tsuda M, Tyree MT. 2000.** Plant hydraulic conductance measured by the high pressure flow meter in crop plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **51**: 823–828.
- Uhl D, Mosbrugger V. 1999. Leaf venation density as a climate and environmental proxy: a critical review and new data. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 149: 15–26.
- Yang S, Tyree MT. 1993. Hydraulic resistance in *Acer saccharum* shoots and its influence on leaf water potential and transpiration. *Tree Physiology* 12: 231–242.
- Yang Z, Sinclair TR, Zhu M, Messina CD, Cooper M, Hammer GL. 2012. Temperature effect on transpiration response of maize plants to vapour pressure deficit. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 78: 157–162.
- Zhang Y-J, Meinzer FC, Hao G-Y, et al. 2009. Size-dependent mortality in a Neotropical savanna tree: the role of height-related adjustments in hydraulic architecture and carbon allocation. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32: 1456–1466.