

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biochemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 June 18.

Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2013 June 18; 52(24): 4149–4156. doi:10.1021/bi400319c.

Free energy changes and components implicit in the MWC allosteric model for the cooperative oxygen binding of hemoglobin.#

Enrico Bucci^{*}

University of Maryland Med. Sch., Baltimore, MD, USA and Stefania Pucciarelli and Mauro Angeletti University of Camerino, Camerino, IT

Abstract

Hill's plots of oxygen binding isotherms reveal the presence of a transition between two different oxygen affinities at the beginning and end of the isotherm. They correspond to the two conformations anticipated by the MWC model, namely the T and R conformations at the beginning and end of oxygen binding, when the lower affinity of the T form develops into the higher affinity of the R form. The difference between the binding Gibbs free energies changes of the two affinities (ΔG_L) is the free energy of binding cooperativity. Notably ΔG_L is positive in favor of the T form, that moves to a higher energy level upon oxygen release. Osmotic stress reveals a higher volume/surface ratio of deoxyHb, with a positive ΔG_W also in favor of the T form. Increasing protein concentration shifts the isotherms to the right indicating the formation of intermediate polymeric forms. Enthalpy of the intermediates show a strong absorption of heat at the third oxygenation step due to polymers formation with quinary, and above, structures. The disassembly of intermediate polymers releases energy with a *negative* ΔG that compensates and allow the *positivity* of ΔG_L . High energy polymers are the barrier preventing the relaxation of the T and R conformations into one another. The MWC allosteric model is the best justification of oxygen binding cooperativity.

Keywords

Hemoglobin function; oxygen binding cooperativity; intermediates of oxygenation; concentration dependence of oxygen affinity; osmotic stress of hemoglobin; hemoglobin polymers

Introduction

To explain the cooperativity of oxygen binding isotherms Monod et al. ^[1] proposed the presence of two Hb forms with low (T form) and high (R form) oxygen affinity. In the absence of oxygen the allosteric equilibrium constant Lo=To/Ro is in favor of To, while with increasing Hb saturation Ro becomes the favored species.

Consistent with the model, linear extrapolation of the very first and very last saturation data of Hill's plots isotherms define the presence of two hyperbolic binding for the first and last oxygen bound to Hb^[2]. They have a higher and lower P₅₀ reflecting a lower and higher oxygen affinity respectively. It is easy to reconcile the initial low affinity (K_T) with deoxyhemoglobin in the T form, and the final high affinity (K_R) with oxyhemoglobin in the

[#]This paper is dedicated to the late Gary C. Ackers. We miss a friend. Science is missing one of its major contributor.

^{*} Correspondence (by e-mail and fax only) Enrico Bucci Dept of Biochemistry and Mol. Biol. 108 N.Greene St Baltimore MD 21201 Tel 443-451-5038 Fax 410-510-1457 ebucci@umaryland.edu.

R form. The overall binding affinity of the isotherm is defined by the value of PO_2 at 50% saturation of the isotherm (P_{50}), or the value of P_m when available.

Thermodynamic implications of the MCW allosteric model

As mentioned above, Hill's plots of cooperative isotherms clearly indicate the presence of two different hyperbolic oxygen affinities of hemoglobin with lower (K_T) and higher (K_R) oxygen affinity, respectively.

The difference between the respective binding free energy changes, ΔG_T and ΔG_R , is

$$\Delta G_L = \Delta G_T - \Delta G_R \quad (1)$$

where ΔG_L defines the free energy change of cooperativity.

The lower affinity of the initial isotherm implies that $\Delta G_T > \Delta G_R$. Thus, in the difference, ΔG_L has a positive value, indicating that during deoxygenation the system in the T form gains a ΔG_L amount of free energy, so that the T form is at higher energy level than the R form. Implied in eq (1) is a scalar L_L defined as :

$$L_L = \exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_L}{RT}\right) \quad (2)$$

which is equivalent to the allosteric constant, Lo, of the Monod model ^[1]. Iimplied in eq (2), we have

$$K_R = L_L^* K_T \quad (3)$$

as in the model [1,2].

It should be stressed that the evolving equilibrium between the T and R forms during the binding event implies a barrier of energy that prevents their mutual collapsing into a single conformation system.

Also in eq (1) ΔG_L is non zero and positive, while conformational equilibrium requires a zero balance of all free energy exchanges as in

$$\Delta G_{eql} = \Delta G_T - \Delta G_R + \Delta G_{corr} = \Delta G_L + \Delta G_{corr} = 0 \quad (4)$$

In the equation ΔG_{corr} is the free energy that allows the relaxation of the R form into the higher energy level of the T form.

For the origin of ΔG_{corr} , a possible hypothesis is the presence of a third intermediate component(s) that upon formation absorbs heat and energy from the environment (positive ΔG_{on}). Then, by degrading, would release the absorbed energy (negative ΔG_{off}) to the system and/or the environment. The $\Delta G_{balance}$ would be the net sum of positive and negative ΔG_i 's related to assembly/disassembly of the third component(s) as in

$$\Delta G_{balance} = \sum_{i} \Delta G_{i} \quad (5)$$

At equilibrium it should be $\Delta G_{balance} = 0$. A negative non zero balance would be the amount (ΔG_{corr}) released to the system, not to the environment, to compensate for the positive ΔG_L in eq (4). A positive balance would invalidate the hypotheses formulated above.

It should be stressed that the third intermediate component(s) must be at higher energy level than T and R forms, in order to degrade into the lower levels of the two forms. Thus, the third component(s) would also provide the barrier necessary to prevent the mutual relaxation of the T and R forms into a single conformation system.

The implication of intermediate third components has been proposed also by Ackers et al., Perrella et al. and Smith et al. from data of equilibrium, cryo-electrophoresis and kinetics ^[3-5]. Ackers concludes that the data (his words) "imply the existence (in the Hb system, *authors note*) of at least three molecular structures; while a degeneracy of multiple structure into only a few dominant free energy levels (the T and R forms, *authors note*) is frequently to be expected, the reverse situation is extremely unlikely" ^[3].

This report

In order to explore the thermodynamics involved in the binding statistics of the MWC model we monitored the response of oxygen binding isotherms to challenges of temperature, osmotic stress, and protein concentration. In our laboratories temperature dependence has been already explored ^[6], and is used here as reference data.

Materials

Human Hb was prepared as described [6].

Sebacyl crosslinked Hb (DECA) was prepared as described ^[7].

All reagents used in the various manipulations were reagent grade and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).

Protocols

Measurements of OD changes were performed using a Varian 14 DS recording spectrophotometer.

Oxygen-binding isotherms were measured following the technique developed by Dolman and Gill, using a thin-layer Hb solution exposed to successive dilution of the initial PO₂ with nitrogen. ^[8].

Osmotic dependence of the isotherms was measured at osmotic pressures varying from 1.0 to 80 Atm, using betaine concentrations up to 5 M. Buffer osmolality was measured using a Wescor osmometer (Logan, UT). Protein concentration was near 30 mg mL⁻¹ in 0.2 M borate buffer at pH 9.0, at 30°C.

Protein-concentration dependence of the isotherms was measured at Hb concentrations varying from 5 to 50 mg mL⁻¹ in 0.1 phosphate buffer at pH 7.4, at 37°C.

Numerical Analyses

Numerical analyses of the isotherms were based on the binding polynomial, P_w ^[2]

$$P_{W} = \left(1 + \beta_{1}X + \beta_{2}X^{2} + \beta_{3}X^{3} + \beta_{4}X^{4}\right) = 1 + \sum_{i} \beta_{i}X^{i} \quad (6)$$

from which the Adair's sequential binding equation is obtained

$$Y = \frac{\beta_1 X + 2\beta_2 X^2 + 3\beta_3 X^3 + 4\beta_4 X^4}{4(1+\beta_1 X + \beta_2 X^2 + \beta_3 X^3 + \beta_4 X^4)} = \frac{\delta \ln P_w}{4\delta \ln X}$$
(7)

In these equations, X is the partial pressure of oxygen (PO₂) and β_i are the Adair's binding parameters at subsequent binding steps, i, from 1 to 4. Y is the fractional saturation with oxygen. The intrinsic binding constant at each step was computed from

$$K_i = \frac{i\beta_i}{(5-i)\beta_{(i-1)}} \quad (8)$$

while the relative proportion, α , of each intermediate as function of PO₂ was computed from

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\beta_i X^i}{P_w} \quad (9)$$

The median ligand activity was computed from

$$P_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\beta_4}} = \beta_4^{(-0.25)} \quad (10)$$

Alternatively, the value of P_{50} and extent of cooperativity was estimated from the midpoint of the isotherm, using the Hill equation

$$Y = \frac{PO_2^n}{P_{50}^n + PO_2^n} \quad (11)$$

and its logarithmic transformation for the Hill's plots. In the equation, Y is the fractional saturation of hemoglobin with oxygen and "n" is the cooperativity index. Due to the quasi symmetric shape of the isotherm, P_m and P_{50} are practically identical.

Fitting minimization

Isotherms were globally minimized, grouping together those exposed to various temperatures or osmotic stresses. This technique substantially reduced the correlation between the floating parameters, allowing estimations within a range close to 1% of the estimated value.

Results

Temperature dependence

These data were previously reported by Bucci et al. ^[6]. Isotherms were measured at 7 temperatures between 20°C and 37°C. Numerical analyses were based on the binding polynomial

$$P_{H} = 1 + \sum_{i} \beta_{1,25} \cdot X^{i} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{\Delta H_{i}}{R} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.2}\right)\right] \qquad i = 1 - 4 \quad (12)$$

where the reference parameter $\beta_{i,25}$ at 25°C is corrected for the enthalpy at each binding step. The enthalpy of conformational changes *per se* was obtained by subtracting the enthalpy of the binding of oxygen to heme, estimated to be $\Delta H = -14$ Kcal/heme. The corrected data are plotted in Fig. 1. Notably, the enthalpy of the third step of oxygenation

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

shows a large heat absorption, $\Delta H = 14$ Kcal M⁻¹ while the second and fourth oxygenation steps show large heat releases, $\Delta H = -13$ and -8 Kcal M⁻¹ respectively.

Osmotic stress

The osmolite of choice was betaine (trimethyl glycine MW 114.14 Da), which under the conditions of all experiments (0.2 M borate buffer at pH 9.0, at 30°C) did not bind to HbA, as is shown by the linearity of the increases of osmolality produced by increasing concentrations of betaine in the presence of Hb (Fig. 2).

Isotherms at three different osmotic pressures are shown in Fig. 3. The presence of the osmolite right-shifts the isotherms, increasing their P_{50} indicating that the free energy of oxygen binding to Hb is increased by osmotic stress, as in:

$$\Delta G_{\pi} = \Delta G_0 + \pi \cdot \Delta V \quad (13)$$

where ΔV is a volume change produced by the osmolite, $\pi = osm \cdot RT$ is the osmotic pressure, and *osm* is the measured molality of the samples. The term $\pi \Delta V$ is the free energy change, ΔG_W , of the osmotic stress that would increase ΔG_0 so reducing the oxygen affinity of Hb.

Numerical analyses for estimating the ΔV_i 's produced by the osmotic stress at each step of oxygen binding were based on the binding polynomial

$$P = 1 + \sum_{i} \beta_{i,o} \cdot X^{i} \cdot e^{(\pi \cdot \Delta V_{i})}$$
(14)

where ΔV_i 's are the volume change at each step.

The overall volume change produced by the osmotic stress was also obtained from the slope of the plot of $\ln(P_{50})$ against the measured osmolality of the buffer, using:

$$\ln(P_{50,\pi}) = \ln(P_{50,0}) + osm \cdot \Delta V_{ovr} \quad (15)$$

as shown in Fig. 4.

The numerical data obtained from these experiments show a very good correspondence between the cumulative and overall displaced volumes $\Delta V_{ovr} = \Sigma \Delta V_i = 1.2 \text{ L M}^{-1}$ (Table 1). The step-by-step volume increases were not evenly distributed along the oxygen-binding steps (Fig. 5); they are evident only on the first and third steps.

Colombo et al.^[9] were first to notice that addition of sugars not binding to Hb lowered its oxygen affinity. They interpreted this phenomenon as a solvation change involving 66 moles of water per mole of hemoglobin. The volume of 66 moles of water is near 1.2 L M⁻¹, consistent with our ΔV values.

Concentration dependence

Experimental conditions were chosen for maximizing the effect of protein concentrations, similar to physiologic conditions: 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 and Hb concentrations between 5 and 50 mg mL⁻¹ at 37°C. Sebacyl crosslinked Hb (DECA) was chosen because the intramolecular crosslink eliminates dimers formation. DECA is characterized by a P_{50} near 30 mmHg and a cooperativity with "n" above 2.0, very similar to the P_{50} and cooperativity of normal blood ^[7].

As shown in Fig. 6, the oxygen affinity of DECA decreases with increasing protein concentration, suggesting the association process of DECA tetramers into polymeric forms. The phenomenon can be described by:

$$\Delta G_p = \Delta G_0 + K_{pol} C_{Hb} \quad (16)$$

where K_{pol} is a pseudo-association constant for polymer formation because it refers to the total Hb concentration C_{Hb} . The term $K_{pol} C_{Hb}$ is the free energy changes, ΔG_C , of polymer formation that would increase ΔG_0 so reducing the oxygen affinity of Hb.

In numerical analyses, the Adair's parameter β_3 was so low that it was difficult to estimate. Therefore, for the concentration-dependence data, we were unable to produce data for individual steps.

The negative slope (Δ H's) of the van t' Hoff plots at 5 mg mL⁻¹ and 50 mg mL⁻¹ hemoglobin concentration remained constant with Δ H = -6.1 kCal M⁻¹ and -6.5 kCal M⁻¹, respectively; only the intercept on the ordinates was higher at the higher Hb concentration. In the presence of 50 mg mL⁻¹ Hb concentration, addition of 3 M betaine further increased the ordinates intercept of the sloping line and the enthalpy slightly decreased to Δ H = -8.2 kCal M⁻¹. Fig. 7 shows the quasi-parallel upward displacement of the van t'Hoff lines under the three conditions.

Discussion

Osmotic stress

Based on eq (13), as mentioned above, the right shift of the isotherm in response to increasing π reveals the presence of a term

$$\Delta G_w = RT \ln (\pi \Delta V)$$
 (17)

that decreases the oxygen affinity of the system.

 ΔG_W is the free energy change of the osmotic stress that, as proposed by Timasheff^[10], is the expression of an increased solvent preferential exclusion from the protein surface that increases in size. In hemoglobin it is consistent with the increased hydrophobicity of the oxyHb surface, reported by Chothia^[11].

The ΔV_i data shown in Table 1 are positive, in favor of the deoxy T structure . Therefore ΔG_W adds energy to the system in T form contributing to the free energy of cooperativity ΔG_L . Assuming that the free energy changes ΔG_1 and ΔG_4 listed in Table 2, correspond to ΔG_T and ΔG_R respectively, a comparison between ΔG_w , computed with eq (17), and ΔG_L computed with eq (1) is shown in Table 3.

In Table 3 ΔG_L is always higher than ΔG_W by a few hundred Kcal M⁻¹. The difference is better expressed by the respective values of the scalars L_W and L_L , eq (3). The differences are small and in the same direction. This may suggest that ΔG_W refers only to the solvation of Hb, while ΔG_L includes also the net sum of the positive and negative free energy changes resulting from the rearrangements of the T \leftrightarrow R conformation within the subunits and across their interfaces ^[12]. In other words ΔG_W only detects the different solvation resulting from the conformational change included in ΔG_L .

The third component(s) are polymeric

As shown in Fig. 6, the P_{50} of DECA has a distinct dependence on protein concentration, implying the presence of a reversible associating system that modulates the oxygen binding isotherm, as shown by eq (16). It is a general phenomenon in hemoglobin systems, previously reported from our laboratory for human and bovine hemoglobins^[13].

In the experiments reported above, DECA is an intramolecularly crosslinked, nondissociable tetrameric Hb^[7]. In the absence of dimers, the association process could be only a polymerization of tetrameric Hb molecules.

Detailed step analyses of the concentration dependent isotherms are not available because, as mentioned above, the Adair's parameter of the third step, β_3 , was so small to be undefined, suggesting that the tri-ligation fractional saturation of the isotherm results in the formation of components with very low oxygen affinity.

This phenomenon is concomitant with the strong heat absorption by the enthalpy of the third step of oxygenation, shown in Fig. 1 with ΔH_3 near 14 Kcal M^{-1[6]}. It can be proposed that the tri-ligation absorbs into the system the energy (ΔG_{on}) necessary for the formation of the stereochemistry of new polymeric forms with high energy levels and very low oxygen affinity.

The free energy release by the negative enthalpies detected at the 2nd and 4th steps (Fig. 1), with ΔH_2 near -13 Kcal M^{-1[6]} and ΔH_4 near -8 Kcal M^{-1[6]}, would result from the disassembly (ΔG_{off}) of unstable polymers relaxing into lower energy, stable, tetrameric structures like the T and R forms.

Our data proposing the presence of unstable polymeric intermediates dovetail with Ackers' hypothesis of at least a third intermediate component degenerating into more stable forms^[3].

Energetic relevance of the intermediates of oxygenation

Random-isodesmic aggregating polymers cannot be proposed because they would be at a lower energy level stabilizing intermediate forms, producing negative cooperativity, as described by Koshland et al. ^[14]. In fact, the equilibrium contribution of high energy components (the polymers) makes the average energy level of all of the intermediates higher than the levels of both the T and R forms. The high energy level of intermediates would be the barrier that prevents the T and R forms of Hb from relaxing into each other.

The high heat absorption at the third Adair's step ($\Delta H_3^{[6]}$) implies the formation of quinary, and above, polymeric forms. This hypothesis is supported by the fibers of HbS, which reveal the presence on the surface of tetrameric Hb of sites ready for the intermolecular contacts necessary to the self assembly and formation of perfectly designed quinary and higher order structures ^[15]. Similarly, also HbS fibers self assemble into a very low oxygen affinity. It is very tantalizing to propose that the HbS fibers are the mutation-stabilized form of an intermediate polymer. The history of measured isotherms in our laboratories and the data of Campbell et al ^[16] on fiber formation *in vitro* may support this proposition.

Osmotic stress offers a suggestion for the different shape of the R and T forms. A different solvation that involves 66 moles of water per mole of Hb, implies a larger surface/volume ratio of the molecule with a larger osmotic shell for oxyhemoglobin. Conversely the T form has a lower molecular surface/volume ratio. For the same mass, minimizing the surface gives to the T form a more compact and spherical shape, more spherical than that of the R structure with a larger surface/volume ratio.

The same reasoning can be applied to the hypothesis of Colombo et al.^[9] that 66 molecules of water bind directly on the surface of hemoglobin. ΔG_W would not distinguish between the equivalence of two hypotheses. The increased hydrophobicity of the system^[11] would support the hydration shell proposal.

Distribution of the intermediates

The Adair's subsequent parameters β_i are statistical binding constants, referred to all intermediates of ligation present along the evolving oxygen saturation of the isotherm. They describe the changing distribution of species increasingly saturated with oxygen, as shown in Fig 8. This is why we prefer to define the subsequent oxygenation steps as "Adair's steps".

Fig 5 shows the progress of ΔV_i 's along the four Adair's steps. The progress is not linear with the steps. The maxima at the first and third step are probably due to local prevalence of the most asymmetric species.

Similarly, for the enthalpies, as shown in Fig 1,, the non linear progress would be due to local prevalence of certain ligated species. The maximum heat absorption at the third Adair's step is reached when tri-ligation makes of the polymers the dominant species.

Comparisons of Fig 1,5 and 8 support the hypothesis that there are large equilibrium conformational fluctuations among the intermediates of oxygenation, resulting into a multiple forms single system.

The correction in eq(4)—The enthalpy values shown in Fig 1 are data taken from Bucci et al.^[6] obtained in the absence of betaine. They are the free energies either absorbed (ΔH_3) or liberated (ΔH_2 , ΔH_4) from and to the environment upon the T \leftrightarrow R conformational changes. As discussed, they would correspond to formation and degradation of polymeric forms. The free energy balance between absorption and release can be estimated by

$$\Delta G_{balance} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=4} \Delta H_i^{[6]} = -7.5 K cal M^{-1} \quad (18)$$

where the residual -7.5 Kcal M^{-1} is the energy not released to the environment, because it is delivered to and absorbed by the intermediates of Hb oxygenation. The average, equally distributed over the four Adair's steps, is near -1.9 Kcal M^{-1} close to the ΔG_L 's in Table 3.

Apparently, it is formation and degradation of polymeric components that provides the $\Delta G_{corr} = -1.9$ Kcal M⁻¹ corr necessary to have $\Delta G_{eql} = 0$ in eq (4) that justifies the higher energy level of the T form.

The MCW model scenario—The energy necessary for the conformational change $(\Delta G_L=2.0 \text{ Kcal M}^{-1})$ include the osmotic stress, $\Delta G_W=1.6 \text{ Kcal M}^{-1}$ and the net free energy change near 300 Kcal M⁻¹ of structural conformational changes; which, within the MWC model^[1], can be considered allosteric effectors specific for the T form, that increase the energy level of the T form to a higher ΔG_T values with lower oxygen affinity.

Reversibility implies the release of a - ΔG_L free energy from the T form into the R structure. This decreases the energy level of the system and decreases the ΔG_R value to a higher oxygen affinity.

It appears that the MWC model implies an extra packet of about 2000 Kcal M⁻¹that is in turn either absorbed or released by the system modulating its oxygen affinity. The energy of

the packet is provided by the release into the system of the energy absorbed from the environment by the enthalpies of the intermediates.

The data suggest that the T and R forms of Hb are separated at the two ends of a reversible thermal itinerary across the higher energy levels of the intermediates.

Besides providing the energy necessary for cooperativity, the high energy level of polymeric intermediates provide most of the barrier that prevents the T and R forms from relaxing into each other.

In this view the MWC allosteric model best describes a system where the evolving equilibrium between two conformations is regulated by intermediates all averaged by and included in the allosteric constant Lo.

Acknowledgments

Silvia Perozzi contributed her technical expertise to this research. The osmotic data are part of the master thesis of Henry Gering, a student of EB.

This manuscript is a late expression of NIH grant P48517 (EB). Partial support by the University of Maryland Medical School and University of Camerino is also acknowledged.

Appendix

Initial and final parabolas

In eq (13,16) ΔG_w and ΔG_C are mass dependent extensive additive parameters that do not interfere with the intensive parameters of the system as shown in Fig. 7. Thus, referring to ΔG_1 and ΔG_4 (Table 2) as ΔG_T and ΔG_R , respectively, and from eq (13,16), for the hyperbolic binding of the T form we would have

$$\Lambda G_T = \Delta G_{deoxy} = \Delta H_0 - T \Delta S_0 + K_{pol} C_{Hb} + \Delta G_L.$$
(19)

where, according to eq(1), the positive ΔG_L parameter specifically adds energy to the system to form the T structure and decreasing its oxygen affinity.

Regarding the R form, reversibility implies an opposite negative ΔG_L in eq (1), therefore for the R form we would have :

$$\Delta G_{R} = \Delta G_{oxy} = \Delta H_0 - T \Delta S_0 + K_{pol} C_{Hb} + (\Delta G_{L}^* - 1) \quad (20)$$

where a negative ΔG_L specifically adds its negativity to ΔG_R decreasing the energy level of the system into the R form and further increasing its oxygen affinity.

It is as if there is a fundamental hyperbolic oxygen binding of Hb

$$\Delta G_0 = \Delta H_0 - T \Delta S_0 \quad (21)$$

modulated by protein concentration, osmotic stress, and structural changes.

Governing equations

Fluctuations of the intermediates of oxygenation prevent their description with a universal equation. Only averages may be used. It is well known that the Hill's equation (11) and its logarithmic transformation average in the scalar "n" all of the intermediates, and are very useful for estimating the overall oxygen affinity (P_{50}) and presence of cooperativity (n>1.0)

in the isotherm. Most useful are the statistical Adair's parameters, eq (6-10) for following the equilibrium distribution of the intermediates and computing P_m .

Simultaneous numerical analyses of groups of isotherms are useful for reducing the correlations among the floating parameters. Di Cera and Gill ^[17,18] have developed numerical procedures for obtaining the Adair's parameters and oxygen saturation fractions from single isotherms.

The MWC binding model adequately describes the system and is useful for simulations. It cannot be used for numerical analyses because, as shown in eq (3), there is a correlation equal 1.0 between the floating parameters Lo and either K_R or K_T (depending on the equation spelling). This kind of correlation cannot be reduced by simultaneous group analyses and prevents minimizations.

Significance for Biochemistry

Cooperativity is physiologically relevant. In fact, at the periphery, the acquired lower affinity helps the mass action of oxygen release, which corrects for the asymmetry of slow oxygen supply from red cells and fast mitochondrial consumption ^[19,20]. At the lungs the acquired higher affinity assures a full saturation of Hb exposed to a modest PO₂.

It is tantalizing to present hemoglobin as a molecular machine that extracts oxygen from the lungs and expels it to the tissues under the pumping action of the assembly-disassembly of polymeric forms during the binding event. The MWC model best describe this mechanism with two switching conformations pumped by the allosteric constant Lo.

The thermodynamics of the $T \leftrightarrow R$ conformational change finalize and justify the origin of the cooperativity of oxygen binding isotherms, after an almost century of search based on the binding statistics of non unique allosteric models.

Abbreviations

ΔH	enthalpy			
ΔG _C	free energy change for polymer formation			
ΔG_{eql}	equilibrium free energy change of cooperativity			
ΔG_L	the free energy change of cooperativity			
ΔG_{off}	free energy of polymers disassembly			
ΔG_{on}	free energy of polymers formation			
ΔG_R	free energy change of oxygen binding to R form			
ΔG_{T}	free energy change of oxygen binding to T form			
ΔG_W	free energy change of osmotic stress			
DECA	sebacyl crosslinked hemoglobin			
LL	scalar of ΔG_L			
L_W	scalar of ΔG_W			

References

 Monod J, Wyman J, Changeaux JP. On the nature of allosteric transitions: a plausible model. J. Mol. Biol. 1965; 12:88–118. [PubMed: 14343300]

- 2). Wyman, J.; Gill, SJ. Binding and Linkage: Functional Chemistry of Biological Macromolecules. University Science Books; Mill Valley, CA: 1990.
- 3). Ackers GK. The energetic of ligand linked subunit assembly in hemoglobin requires a third allosteric structure. Bipohys.Chem. 1990; 37:371-373.
- 4). Perrella M, Benazzi L, Shea MA, Ackers GK. Subunits hybridization studies of partially ligated cyanmethemoglobins using a cryogenic method. Biophys.Chem. 1990; 35:97-103. [PubMed: 2328279]
- 5). Smith FR, Ackers GK. Experimental resolution of cooperative free energies for the ligation states of human hemoglobin. Proc.Natl. Acad.Sci.Usa. 1985; 82:5347–5351. [PubMed: 3860865]
- 6). Bucci E, Fronticelli C, Gryczynski Z. Discontinuous release of heat at successive steps of oxygenation in human and bovine hemoglobin at pH 9.0. Biochemistry. 1991; 30:3195–3199. [PubMed: 2009260]
- 7). Bucci E, Razynska A, Kwansa H, Matheson-Urbaitis B, O'Hearne M, Ularowski JA, Koehler RC. Production and characteristics of an infusable oxygen-carrying fluid based on hemoglobin intramolecularly cross-linked with sebacic acid. J.Lab.Clin.Med. 1996; 128:146-153. [PubMed: 8765210]
- 8). Dolman D, Gill SJ. Membrane-covered thin-layer optical cell for gas-reaction studies of hemoglobin. Annals Biochem. 1978; 87:127-134.
- 9). Colombo MF, Rau DC, Parsegian VA. Protein solvation in allosteric regulation: a water effect on hemoglobin. Science. 1992; 256:655-659. [PubMed: 1585178]
- 10). Timasheff SN. In disperse solution "osmotic stress" is a restricted case of preferential interactions. Proc.Nat,Acad,Sci.USA. 1998; 95:7363-7367. [PubMed: 9636154]
- 11). Chothia C, Wodak S, Janin J. Role of subunits interfaces in the allosteric mechanism of hemoglobin. Proc.Nat.Acad.SciencesUSA. 1976; 73:5793-5797.
- 12). Perutz MF. Hemoglobin structure function and synthesis. Br.Med.Bull. 1976; 32:193–194. [PubMed: 974485]
- 13). Kwansa HE, Arosio D, Bucci E. Adipyl crosslinked bovine hemoglobins as new models for allosteric transitions. Proteins: Structure, function and Genetics. 2000; 39:166-169.
- 14). Koshland DE Jr. Nemethy G, Filmer D. Comparison of experimental binding data and theoretical models in proteins containing subunits. Biochemistry. 1966; 5:365–385. [PubMed: 5938952]
- 15). Cretegny I, Edelstein SJ. Double strand packing in hemoglobin S fibers. J.Mol.Biol. 1993; 230:733-738. [PubMed: 8478930]
- 16). Campbell B, Bucci E. Dependence on pH of formation and oxygen affinity of hemoglobin S fibers in the presence and absence of phosphates and polyphosphates. Biophys Chem. 1987; 28:215-223. [PubMed: 3440122]
- 17). DiCera E, Gill SJ. On the determination of species fractions from ligand binding data. Application to human hemoglobin. Biophys Chem. 1988; 29:351–356. [PubMed: 3390529]
- 18). Gill SJ, Gaud HT. Analysis of ligand binding curves in terms of species fractions. Biophys Chem. 1978; 8:53-59. [PubMed: 647103]
- 19). Bucci E. Thermodynamic approach to oxygen delivery in vivo by natural and artificial oxygen carriers. Biophys.Chem. 2009; 142:1-6. [PubMed: 19349106]
- 20). Bucci E. Basic science offer a challenge for developing hemoglobin based oxygen carriers into therapeutic agents. Art. Cells, Blood Subst. Immobil. Biotechnol. 2011; 39:206-213.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript





Conformational enthalpy of the intermediates of oxygenation of hemoglobin. In 0.1 M borate buffer at ph 9.0. Adapted from [6].



Fig 2.





Fig 3.

Increasing right displacements of binding isotherms produced by the increasing osmolality of betaine listed in the graphic. In 0.2 M borate buffer at ph 9.0, 30°C.





Dependence on osmotic pressure of the oxygen affinity (ln (P_m)) of hemoglobin. In 0.2 M borate buffer at ph 9.0, 30°C.









Fig 6.

Concentration dependence of oxygen affinity (ln (P_{50})) of DECA (sebacyl crosslinked hemoglobin ^[7]). In the presence (\bullet) and absence of 3.0 M betaine (\blacksquare). In 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4, 37°C.





Van t'Hoff plots for DECA ^[7] at 5 mg ml⁻¹(\blacktriangle), at 50 mg ml⁻¹(\blacksquare) and at 50 mg ml⁻¹ + 3M betaine (\bigcirc). In 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4.



Fig 8.



Table 1

) V_{i} values: overall and at individual Adair's steps (1-4).

)V _i (L M ⁻¹) cumulative i=1 to 4)V _i (L M ⁻¹) indiv.step i=1 to 4			
0.663	0.663			
0.626	-0.037			
1.126	0.500			
1.127	0.001			
Overall)V (L M ⁻¹) 1.10				

Table 2

Osmotic data for Hb in 0.2 M borate buffer at pH 9.0 at 30E C. The four rows at each π value correspond to the 4 Adair's steps (1-4) from top to bottom.

π (Atm)	$\beta_i (torr^{BI})$	$K_{i}\left(mM^{-I}\right)$	$\Delta G_i (cal \; M^{-1})$
11	0.236	190	-7171
	0.094	855	-8059
	0.051	2620	-8720
	0.023	5810	-9189
16	0.207	166	-7094
	0.077	798	-8019
	0.045	2823	-8764
	0.017	4866	-9085
25	0.144	115	-6880
	0.056	834	-8045
	0.026	2242	-8628
	0.012	5945	-9203
31.5	0.122	98	-6782
	0.061	990	-8193
	0.019	1567	-8369
	0.009	725	-9181

Table 3

Osmotic (ΔG_W) and analytical (ΔG_L) free energy changes for the conformational transition, with the corresponding scalars L_W and L_L , and the differences between analytical and osmotic values.

ATM	∆G _W Kcal M ⁻¹	∆G _L Kcal M ⁻¹	$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}}$	$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{L}}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta G_L\text{-}\Delta G_W\\ \text{cal } M^{-1} \end{array}$
11	1.56	2.01	13	30	450
16	1.79	1.99	19	29	200
25	2.06	2.32	29	46	260
31.5	2.20	2.40	37	52	200
37.5	2.30	2.37	44	50	70
					Average 236