Retrotransposon Microsatellite Amplified Polymorphism Strain Fingerprinting Markers Applicable to Various Mushroom Species

Quy Vang Le¹, Hyo-Kyung Won¹, Tae-Soo Lee², Chang-Yun Lee³, Hyun-Sook Lee¹ and Hyeon-Su Ro^{1*}

¹Department of Microbiology and Research Institute of Life Sciences, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea ²Department of Biology, University of Incheon, Incheon 402-749, Korea ³Greenpeace Mushroom Co., GyeongBuk, Korea

(Received August 26, 2008. Accepted September 24, 2008)

The retrotransposon marY1 is a gypsy family retroelement, which is detected ubiquitously within the fungal taxonomic groups in which mushrooms are included. To utilize marY1 as a molecular marker for the DNA fingerprinting of mushrooms, oligonucleotides marY1-LTR-L and marY1-LTR-R were designed on the basis of highly conserved regions from the multiple sequence alignment of 30 marY1 sequences retrieved from a nucleotide sequence database. In accordance with <u>Ret</u>rotransposon <u>Microsatellite Amplified Polymorphism (REMAP)</u> fingerprinting methodology, the two oligonucleotides were utilized together with the short sequence repeat primers UBC807 and UBC818 for polymerase chain reaction using templates from different mushroom genomic DNAs. Among the tested oligonucleotides, the marY1-LTR-L and UBC807 primer set yielded the greatest amount of abundance and variation in terms of DNA band numbers and patterns. This method was successfully applied to 10 mushroom species, and the primer set successfully discriminated between different commercial mushroom cultivars of the same strains of 14 *Pleurotus ostreatus* and 16 *P. eryngii*. REMAP reproducibility was superior to other popular DNA fingerprinting methodologies including the random amplified polymorphic DNA method.

KEYWORDS : Fingerprinting, marY1 retrotransposon, Mushroom, REMAP

Molecular approaches have proven to be potent tools in the classification of complex fungal taxonomic groups including mushrooms. The direct comparison of the DNA sequences of certain molecular markers, for example, internal transcribed spacer (ITS), intergenic spacer (IGS), and small and large ribosomal DNA can be used to confirm genetic differences between diverse fungal species. However, currently the applicability of this technique is limited principally to the classification of fungi at the species level (Garnica et al., 2003; Peintner et al., 2004). On the other hand, DNA fingerprinting techniques that recognize DNA fragmentation patterns from a specific DNA sample by randomly primed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) restriction endonuclease treatment, or a combination thereof, have proven their capacity to verify closely related species or strains belonging to the same species (Zervakis et al., 2001; Lopandic et al., 2005). Our previous study concerning the genotyping of various cultivars of the edible mushroom, Pleurotus eryngii, demonstrated the efficacy of DNA fingerprinting using a random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) as compared to ITS1-5.8S rDNA-ITS2 sequence comparison (Ro et al., 2007). Despite the potential of this technique with regard to genotyping, RAPD has a critical weak point in terms of reproducibility, largely because its performance depends heavily on the PCR reaction conditions. Slight changes in

the annealing temperature, changes in thermostable DNA

The gypsy-type retroelement marY1 was first identified in *Tricholoma matsutake* (Murata and Yamada, 2000) and later in other basidiomycetes species (Murata and Miyazaki, 2001; Murata *et al.*, 2001, 2005). This retroelement spans approximately 6kb and harbors 5'-LTR, gag, prt, pol, and 3'-LTR regions in consecutive order (Murata and Yamada, 2000). The intact unit of the marY1 LTR retrotransposon may be contained in the genomic DNA in

polymerase, or even changes occurring in different batches of DNA polymerase from the same supplier often result in misleading outputs. This lack of robustness has compelled us to seek an alternative method on the basis of the variability of DNA sequences around the retrotransposon. Retrotransposon microsatellite amplified polymorphism (REMAP) is such a technique, and is premised on the amplification of the DNA region between a long terminal repeat (LTR) of the retrotransposon and simple sequence repeat (SSR) using an outward-facing LTR primer and an SSR specific primer (Kalendar et al., 1999; Kalendar and Schulman, 2006). The LTR-SSR regions are recurring sequences along the genomic DNA. The design of primers that anneal these regions enables the primers to amplify DNA fragments of different lengths, and the recurring patterns of these regions differ among various species or even among strains of the same species. Therefore, REMAP is an appealing method for study and application in the classification of mushrooms.

^{*}Corresponding author <E-mail:rohyeon@gsnu.ac.kr>

several to over 1,000 copies, which are equivalent to 0.05~5.5% of the approximately 34 Mb genomic sequence of each different *Tricholoma* species (Murata and Babasaki, 2005). Interestingly, the LTR region alone has been shown to consistently evidence markedly higher copy numbers than those observed in the coding region of marY1 (Murata and Babasaki, 2005). It was also determined that this LTR region is highly conserved in a variety of higher fungi (Murata *et al.*, 2001). These properties of marY1, and in particular the LTR region of marY1, render marY1 as an excellent candidate for fungal fingerprinting techniques.

In this study, we conducted an alignment of the marY1 sequences of multiple mushroom species and selected the highly conserved region for the cross-species amplification of DNA regions of interest. We assessed the feasibility of utilizing these primers in the fingerprinting of mushroom strains.

Materials and Methods

Strains and culture conditions. Forty mushroom species and strains including *Phellinus linteus, Cordyceps* spp., *Ganoderma lucidum, Coprinus comatus, Hericium erinaceus, Flammulina velutipes, Lentinula edodes, Agaricus bisporus,* 16 cultivars of *Pleurotus eryngii*, and 14 cultivars of *P. ostreatus* were acquired from the Culture Collection of Wild Mushroom (CCWM, Incheon University, Korea) and from GyeongNam Agricultural Research and Extension Services (GNARES, Korea). To extract total cellular DNA from each mushroom, the mushroom

| Mushroom Species, Strain | ACCESSION | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| T. matsutake | AB028236 | (277) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| A. bisporus | AB056681 | (61) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| S. bovines | AB056682 | (61) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| T. matsutake, Y1 | AB298290 | (85) | TTTTCCCCACCTTATTCCTTCTATT |
| T. matsutake, TM-8 | AB298291 | (85) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| S. cerevisiae | AB056685 | (61) | TTTTCCCCACCT <mark>TG</mark> TTTCCTTCTGTT |
| L. edodes | AB056683 | (61) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| T. matsutake, CHI1 | AB298293 | (85) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| T. matsutake, BH1 | AB298294 | (85) | TTTTCCCCACTCATTCCTTCTATT |
| T. matsutake, Tm-156 | AB298292 | (85) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| P. chrysosporium | AB056684 | (61) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTCTATT |
| | Consensus | (278) | TTTTCCCCACCTCATTTCCTTC TATT |
| Mushroom Species, Strain | ACCESSION | | marY1-LTR-L,(C) |
| T. matsutake | AB028236 | (471) | |
| | | | TIMGGTIMI MITIGATITITGICGICTIC |
| A. bisporus | AB056681 | (255) | TTTAGGTTAT_ATTTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC |
| A. bisporus S. bovines | AB056681 AB056682 | (255) (255) | TTTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 | (255) (255) (279) | TTTAGGTAT ATTTGATTTTTGCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGCGTCTTC |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 | (255) (255) (279) (279) | TTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTGTCGTCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTAGGTTAT |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 S. cerevisiae | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 AB056685 | (255) (255) (279) (279) (243) | TTAGGTAAT ATTGATTTTGTCGPCTTC TTTAGGTAAT ATTGATTTTTGCGPCTTC TTTAGGTAAT ATTTGATTTTTGTCGPCTTC TTTAGGTAAT ATTTGATTTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGATTATT |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 S. cerevisiae L. edodes | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 AB056685 AB056683 | (255) (255) (279) (279) (243) (255) | TTAGETAT ATTGATTTTTE CERCTO TTAGETAT ATTGATTTTTE CERCTO TTAGETAT ATTGATTTTTE CERCTO TTAGETAT ATTGATTTTTE CERCTO TTAGETAT ATTGATTTTTE CERCTO TTAGETAT ATTGATTTTE CATOTTE TTAGETAT ATTGATTTTE COCTO |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 S. cerevisiae L. edodes T. matsutake, CHI1 | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 AB056685 AB056683 AB056683 | (255) (255) (279) (279) (243) (255) (279) | TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGTCGTOTT TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGTCGTOTT TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTTGCGTOTT TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTTGCGTOTT TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTTGCATOCT TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCCGTOTT TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCCGTOTT |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 S. cerevisiae L. edodes T. matsutake, CHI1 T. matsutake, BH1 | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 AB056685 AB056683 AB298293 AB298294 | (255) (255) (279) (279) (243) (255) (279) (279) | TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCGPCTTC TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCGPCTTC TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTTGCGPCTTC TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTTGCGPCTTC TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCPCTTC TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCCPCTTC TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCCPCTC TTAGGTAN ATTGATTTTGCCPCTC |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 S. cerevisiae L. edodes T. matsutake, CH/1 T. matsutake, BH1 T. matsutake, Tm-156 | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 AB056683 AB056683 AB298293 AB298294 AB298292 | (255) (255) (279) (279) (243) (255) (279) (279) (279) | TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTC GPOTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTC GPOTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTTC GPOTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTTC GPOTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTC ATCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTC C GPOTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTC C GPOTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTC C GPOTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTC C GPOTTC |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 S. cerevisiae L. edodes T. matsutake, CHI1 T. matsutake, BH1 T. matsutake, Tm-156 P. chrysosporium | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 AB056685 AB056683 AB298293 AB298294 AB298292 AB056684 | (255) (255) (279) (279) (243) (255) (279) (279) (279) (279) (255) | TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGTCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGTCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGTCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGTCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGTCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGTCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTTCCGCTTC TTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTTCCGCTTC |
| A. bisporus S. bovines T. matsutake, Y1 T. matsutake, TM-8 S. cerevisiae L. edodes T. matsutake, CHI1 T. matsutake, BH1 T. matsutake, Tm-156 P. chrysosporium | AB056681 AB056682 AB298290 AB298291 AB056685 AB056683 AB298293 AB298294 AB298292 AB056684 Consensus | (255) (255) (279) (279) (243) (255) (279) (279) (279) (279) (255) (472) | TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTGTCGTCTT TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC TTTAGGTTAT ATTGATTTTTGTCGTCTTC |

Fig. 1. Highly conserved regions of the marY1 sequences from various fungi retrieved from the NCBI database. Newly designed primers are shown in boxes. (C) denotes complementary sequence.

mycelia were grown in Potato dDextrose broth containing potato starch (4 g/l) and glucose (20 g/l) (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) for 2 weeks at 25° C.

Multiple sequence alignment and design of oligonucleotides. The nucleotide sequences of the marY1-LTR regions of various fungal species were retrieved from the NCBI database and aligned with the AlignX utility (Vector NTI, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using standard parameters (Fig. 1). Highly conserved regions were utilized as primary sequences, upon which the outwardfacing oligonucleotides were designed according to PCR parameters of selected SSR primers including melting temperatures (Tm) between 50°C and 57°C. The resulting oligonucleotides (Fig. 1) were: 5'-GAA GGA AAT GAG GTG GGG AA-3' (marY1-LTR-L, Tm = 57°C) and 5'-TTT TTA GGT TAT ATT TGA TTT TTG TCG TCT T-3' (marY1-LTR-R, Tm = 53°C).

Mushroom total cellular DNA extraction and PCR conditions. The mushroom mycelia were collected and frozen with liquid nitrogen. Total cellular DNA was extracted from the ground powder of the frozen mycelia using a DNA prep kit for plants (Solgent, Daejeon, Korea). The concentration of extracted DNA was determined using a Qubit fluorescence photometer (Invitrogen). For REMAP analysis, PCR was conducted using *Taq* DNA polymerase (Solgent) with a Px2 thermal cycler (Thermo Electron, Pittsburgh, PN, USA) at 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 2 min; and 72°C for 10 min. The primer sets were various combinations of marY1-LTR-L, marY1-LTR-R, and SSR primers (Han *et al.*, 2002) 5'-A(GA)7GT-3' (UBC807) and 5'-C(AC)7AG-3' (UBC818), as shown in Table 1.

Long agarose gel electrophoresis and image analysis. Long agarose gel electrophoresis (20 cm) was conducted as previously described (Kalendar and Schulman, 2006; Branco *et al.*, 2007). The 1.7% gel was constructed with Seakem LE agarose (Cambrex, East Rutherford, NJ, USA) in STBE buffer (20 mM Tris-borate, 5 mM Na₂B₄O₇, and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.6). The gel image was acquired with a Bio-Vision model 3000 gel documentation system (Vilber-Lourmat, Cedex, France) and the pattern of the DNA fragments was analyzed using Bio-profil Bio1D++ software (Vilber-Lourmat). The cluster analyses of the REMAP and the RAPD pattern data were performed as described previously (Ro *et al.*, 2007).

Results and Discussion

REMAP analysis of mushroom genomic DNA with various combinations of primer sets. REMAP analysis was conducted using different combinations of primer

| 1 | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| Combination | Primer set | |
| Com 1 | UBC807 | |
| Com2 | UBC818 | |
| Com3 | UBC807 + marY1-LTR-L (V) | |
| Com4 | UBC807 + marY1-LTR-R | |
| Com5 | UBC818 + marY1-LTR-L (V) | |
| Com 6 | UBC818 + marY1-LTR-R | |
| Com 7 | marY1-LTR-R + marY1-LTR-L | |
| Primer | Sequence | |
| UBC807 | 5'-A(GA)7GT-3' | |
| UBC818 | 5'-C(AC)7AG-3' | |
| marY1-LTR-L | 5'-GAA GGA AAT GAG GTG GGG AA-3' | |
| marY1-LTR-R | marY1-LTR-R 5'-TTT TTA GGT TAT ATT TGA TTT TTGTCG TCT T-3' | |
| | | |

Table 1. Primer combinations and sequences.

sets (Table 1) with genomic DNA templates from 10 distinct mushroom species (Fig. 2). Among the tested samples, the Com3 primer set yielded the best results by showing the most abundance and variation in terms of DNA band numbers and patterns (Fig. 2A). The Com5 set generated significant numbers of bands, but not to the same degree as observed with Com3 (Fig. 2B). Remarkably, although Com4 and Com6 followed the same procedure as Com3 and Com5 did, they generated lower band numbers and less band pattern variation (data not shown). Com1 and Com2, which used only the SSR primer,

M 1 23 45 6 78 910 M 1 2 3 45 6 78 910



Fig. 2. REMAP analyses of 10 mushroom species. Genomic DNA of the mushrooms were subjected to REMAP analysis using the Com3 (A) and Com5 (B) primer sets. Lanes: 1, *Phellinus linteus*; 2, *Cordyceps* spp.; 3, *Ganoderma lucidum*; 4, *Coprinus comatus*; 5, *Hericium erinaceus*; 6, *Flammulina velutipes*; 7, *Pleurotus eryngii*; 8, *Pleurotus ostreatus*; 9, *Lentinula edodes*; 10, *Agaricus bisporus*. yielded simple band patterns consisting of one or two bands for each lane (data not shown). Com7, which harbors only outward-facing LTR-based primers, did not lead to many bands for each of the samples (data not shown) as had been expected with the inter-retrotransposon amplified polymorphism (IRAP) technique (Kalendar *et al.*, 1999; Kalendar and Schulman, 2006). So it may be inferred from this result that the combinations of SSR primers with marY1-LTR-L rather than with marY1-LTR-R were more successful in facilitating the REMAP analysis. Thus, the Com3 primer set proved useful for the fingerprinting of mushroom strains. In addition, because the marY1-LTR-L primer was designed on the basis of the reported marY1 retrotransposon sequences from a few other mushroom species, rather than those from the 10





species tested in this study, it strongly suggests that marY1-LTR-L can likely be utilized as a universal primer for the genotyping of various mushroom species. It is useful to point out, however, that even though Com3 markers work well on multiple mushroom species, they do not meaningfully correlate REMPAP fingerprinting of different mushroom species. Nonetheless, the fact that 10 species used in this study comprised different mushroom groups including medicinal and edible mushrooms confirms that marY1, or at least the LTR regions of marY1, are widespread among higher fungi.

Reproducibility of the markers. The genetic analysis conducted on the fungal genus *Fellomyces* previously showed that RAPD and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) demonstrate a higher potential in distinguishing strains within species than do other DNA sequence-based methods (Lopandic *et al.*, 2005). In particular, the RAPD method was applied successfully in the

verification of *P. eryngii* strains from various hosts from a wide range of geographical origins (Zervakis *et al.*, 2001). However, there are concerns about the reproducibility of this PCR-based technique that have been discussed elsewhere (Skroch and Nienhuis, 1995; Jones *et al.*, 1997). It has been widely recognized that PCR mixture and reaction conditions are factors upon which the reproducibility of this PCR-based technique is solely based. To assess the reproducibility of our Com3-based REMAP, we evaluated the DNA band patterns from both the RAPD and REMAP analyses using different thermostable DNA polymerases. Three genomic DNA samples of *P. ostreatus* were employed as templates for the RAPD and REMAP analyses with three different commercial DNA polymerases (Fig. 3).

Reproducibility of each method was assessed by comparing lanes of the same DNA sample (i.e Fig. 3A, group S1) with three different DNA polymerases (i.e lanes 1, 2, 3 under S1 of Fig. 3A). Reproducibility of RAPD and



Fig. 4. REMAP analyses on the mushroom strains within the same species. Band patterns and dendrograms of 14 *P. ostreatus* strains (A and B, respectively) and 16 *P. eryngii* strains (C and D, respectively) are displayed. The 14 *P. ostreatus* strains identified by lane are: 1, IUM1051; 2, IUM1313; 3, IUM1367; 4, IUM1490; 5, IUM1556; 6, IUM1491; 7, IUM557; 8, IUM1677; 9, IUM165; 10, IUM778; 11, IUM1520; 12, IUM1373; 13, IUM1341; 14, IUM1346. Similarly, the 16 *P. eryngii* strains are: 1, IUM2501; 2, IUM2502; 3, IUM2503; 4, IUM2510; 5, IUM1511; 6, IUM2512; 7, IUM2513; 8, IUM2514; 9, IUM2515; 10, IUM2518; 11, IUM2519; 12, IUM2520; 13, IUM2521; 14, IUM2523; 15, IUM2524; 16, IUM2322. The patterns of DNA bands were analyzed and dendograms were created with Bio-1D++.

REMAP was compared by considering how each method performed using different DNA polymerases while using the same genomic DNA sample. The comparision was done three times with three genomic DNA samples (S1, S2, S3).

Even though variations were observed in some major bands, particularly in the case of DNA polymerase 1, REMAP was markedly less affected by differences in DNA polymerases (Fig. 3B) than RAPD, which resulted in a dramatic loss of bands (Fig. 3A). This reveals that the Com3 markers allow more reproducible results than the RAPD markers, and prompted an experiment to test Com3 markers with strains of the same species.

Intra-species REMAP analysis. Previous studies regarding the conventional methods have demonstrated the inherent difficulty in differentiation of variations within the same species or within the same strains. These include restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), RAPD (Ro et al., 2007), small subunit (SSU) rDNA, and ITS sequence analyses (Gonzalez and Labarere, 2000; Zhang et al., 2006). On the other hand, REMAP has proven to be a simple yet powerful tool for the discrimination of strains of barley (Kalendar et al., 1999) and rice (Branco et al., 2007). These observations strongly advocate for the use of REMAP in the genotyping of mushroom species at the sub-species level.

As shown in Fig. 3, the Com3 primer set verified their feasibility in generating gel patterns for the genotyping of mushroom strains. Fourteen cultivars of *P. ostreatus* (Fig. 4A) and 16 cultivars of *P. eryngii* (Fig. 4C) were selected and subjected to REMAP. Variations in the band patterns are more distinctively evident in adjacent dendrograms (Fig. 4B and 4D).

It is necessary to emphasize that if there were the same confidence level between the two, it would be tempting to take REMAP markers as a replacement of RAPD or other markers. However, the correlation between RAPD and REMAP result is not necessarily high because the two methods are surveying different areas in the genomic DNA template. In other words, reoccurrence patterns of RAPD markers do not need to follow reoccurrence patterns of REMAP markers.

Conclusion

The REMAP markers developed herein have demonstrated their feasibility in the fingerprinting of strains of various mushroom species. Their superior reproducibility over the RAPD technique, the clear band patterns they generate, and fewer numbers of bands due to higher specificity because of longer primer length suggest that these markers are good alternatives for RAPD in the fingerprinting of mushroom strains, although it might take some time to select suitable markers. For mushroom species containing LTR regions of marY1 retroelement (including the 10 species used in this study), the Com3 markers can be utilized for fingerprinting of their strains.

Acknowledgements

This study was carried out with the support of "On-Site Cooperative Agriculture Research Project (Project No. 20070201080016)", RDA and Technology Development Program for Agriculture and Forestry, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Republic of Korea.

References

- Branco, C. J., Vieira, E. A., Malone, G., Kopp, M. M., Malone, E., Bernardes, A., Mistura, C. C., Carvalho, F. I. and Oliveira, C. A. 2007. IRAP and REMAP assessments of genetic similarity in rice. J. Appl. Genet. 48:107-113.
- Garnica, S., Weiss, M., Oertel, B. and Oberwinkler, F. 2003. Phylogenetic relationships of European *Phlegmacium* species (*Cortinarius*, *Agaricales*). *Mycologia* 95:1155-1170.
- Gonzalez, P. and Labarere, J. 2000. Phylogenetic relationships of *Pleurotus* species according to the sequence and secondary structure of the mitochondrial small-subunit rRNA V4, V6 and V9 domains. *Microbiology* 146:209-221.
- Han, Q., Inglis, G. D. and Hausner, G. 2002. Phylogenetic relationships among strains of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Nomuraea rileyi*, as revealed by partial β-tubulin sequences and inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) analysis. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 34:376-383.
- Jones, C. J., Edwards, K. J., Castaglione, S., Winfield, M. O., Sala, F., van de Wiel, C., Bredemeijer, G., Vosman, B., Matthes, M., Daly, A., Brettschneider, R., Bettini, P., Buiatti, M., Maestri, E., Malcevschi, A., Marmiroli, N., Aert, R., Volckaert, G, Rueda, J., Linacero, R., Vazquez, A. and Karp, A. 1997. Reproducibility testing of RAPD, AFLP and SSR markers in plants by a network of European laboratories. *Mol. Breeding*. 3:381-390.
- Kalendar, R., Grob, T., Regina, M., Suoniemi, A. and Schulman, A. 1999. IRAP and REMAP: two new retrotransposon-based DNA fingerprinting techniques. *TAG Theor. Appl. Genet.* 98:704-711.
- Kalendar, R. and Schulman, A.H. 2006. IRAP and REMAP for retrotransposon-based fingerprinting and fingerprinting. *Nature Protoc.* 1:2478-2484.
- Lopandic, K., Molnar, O. and Prillinger, H. 2005. Application of ITS sequence analysis, RAPD and AFLP fingerprinting in characterising the yeast genus *Fellomyces*. *Microbiol. Res.* 160:13-26.
- Murata, H. and Babasaki, K. 2005. Intra- and inter-specific variations in the copy number of two types of retrotransposons from the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete *Tricholoma matsutake*. *Mycorrhiza* 15:381-386.
- Murata, H., Babasaki, K. and Yamada, A. 2005. Highly polymorphic DNA markers to specify strains of the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete *Tricholoma matsutake* based on sigmamarY1, the long terminal repeat of gypsy-type retroelement marY1. *Mycorrhiza* 15:179-186.

- Murata, H. and Miyazaki, Y. 2001. Expression of marY1, a gypsy-type LTR-retroelement from the ectomycorrhizal homobasidiomycete *Tricholoma matsutake*, in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem*. 65:993-995.
- Murata, H., Miyazaki, Y. and Babasaki, K. 2001. The long terminal repeat (LTR) sequence of marY1, a retroelement from the ectomycorrhizal homobasidiomycete *Tricholoma matsutake*, is highly conserved in various higher fungi. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 65:2297-2300.
- Murata, H. and Yamada, A. 2000. marY1, a member of the gypsy group of long terminal repeat retroelements from the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete *Tricholoma matsutake*. *Appl. Environ*. *Microbiol*. 66:3642-3645.
- Peintner, U., Moncalvo, J.-M. and Vilgalys, R. 2004. Toward a better understanding of the infrageneric relationships in *Corti*narius (Agaricales, Basidiomycota). Mycologia 96:1042-1058.

- Ro, H. S., Kim, S. S., Ryu, J., S., Jeon, C. O., Lee, T. S. and Lee, H. S. 2007. Comparative studies on the diversity of the edible mushroom *Pleurotus eryngii*: ITS sequence analysis, RAPD fingerprinting, and physiological characteristics. *Mycol. Res.* 111:710-715.
- Skroch, P. and Nienhuis, J. 1995. Impact of scoring error and reproducibility RAPD data on RAPD based estimates of genetic distance. *TAG Theor. Appl. Genet.* 91:1086-1091.
- Zervakis, G. I., Venturella, G. and Papadopoulou, K. 2001. Genetic polymorphism and taxonomic infrastructure of the *Pleurotus eryngii* species-complex as determined by RAPD analysis, isozyme profiles and ecomorphological characters. *Microbiology* 147:3183-3194.
- Zhang, J., Huang, C., Ng, T. and Wang, H. 2006. Genetic polymorphism of ferula mushroom growing on *Ferula sinkiangen*sis. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 71:304-309.