Estrogen Receptor (ER1) Transactivation Is Differentially Modulated by the Transcriptional Coregulator Tip60 in a *cis***-Acting Element-dependent Manner***

Received for publication, April 12, 2013, and in revised form, June 26, 2013 Published, JBC Papers in Press, July 15, 2013, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M113.476952

 $\bm{\mathsf{Ming\text{-}Tsum}}$ Lee $^\ddag$, Yuet-Kin Leung $^{\ddag\mathsf{q}\mathsf{q}}$, Irving Chung $^\ddag$, Pheruza Tarapore $^{\ddag\mathsf{q}\mathsf{q}}$, and Shuk-Mei Ho $^{\ddag\mathsf{q}\mathsf{q}\mathsf{q}}$

From the ‡ *Division of Environmental Genetics and Molecular Toxicology, Department of Environmental Health,* § *Center for Environmental Genetics, and* ¶ *Cancer Institute, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio 45267 and the Cincinnati Veteran Affairs Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio 45220*

Background: The interactions between estrogen receptor β (ER β 1) and different coregulators are responsible for the distinct functions of $ER\beta1$.

Results: Tip60 enhances $ER\beta1$ transactivation at the AP-1 site but inhibits it at ERE sites.

Conclusion: Tip60 is either a coactivator or a corepressor for $ER\beta1$ in a regulatory element-dependent manner.

Significance: Tip60 is the first multifaceted coregulator of the transcriptional activity of $ER\beta1$ that has been identified.

Estrogen receptor (ER) 1 and ER- **have overlapping and distinct functions despite their common use of estradiol as the physiological ligand. These attributes are explained in part by their differential utilization of coregulators and ligands. Although Tip60 has been shown to interact with both receptors,** its regulatory role in $ER\beta1$ transactivation has not been defined. **In this study, we found that Tip60 enhances transactivation of ER** β **1** at the AP-1 site but suppresses its transcriptional activity **at the estrogen-response element (ERE) site in an estradiol-independent manner. However, different estrogenic compounds can modify the Tip60 action. The corepressor activity of Tip60 at the ERE site is abolished by diarylpropionitrile, genistein, equol, and bisphenol A, whereas its coactivation at the AP-1 site is augmented by fulvestrant (ICI 182,780). GRIP1 is an important tethering mediator for ERs at the AP-1 site. We found that coexpression of GRIP1 synergizes the action of Tip60. Although Tip60 is a known acetyltransferase, it is unable to acetylate ER1, and its coregulatory functions are independent of its acetylation activity. In addition, we showed the co-occupancy of** $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 at ERE and AP-1 sites of $ER\beta1$ target genes. **Tip60 differentially regulates the endogenous expression of the target genes by modulating the binding of ER1 to the** *cis-***regulatory regions. Thus, we have identified Tip60 as the first dualfunction coregulator of ER1.**

Estrogen normally exerts its effects via two main receptor subtypes, estrogen receptor $(ER)^2$ α and β (ER β 1) (1). These

receptors function as transcription factors and regulate gene expression either by binding directly to estrogen-response elements (EREs) within the regulatory region of target genes (2, 3) or by interacting with other transcription factors, such as AP-1, $NFKB$, and Sp1 (4, 5). The activation of ERs is controlled by interplay between the binding of ligands and coregulators (coactivators and corepressors) (6). Most ER signaling pathways require ligand binding because ligands are able to induce the dimerization of ERs and conformational changes in receptors and thus to increase the potency of coactivator recruitment (7). However, studies of the ligand-independent regulation of $ER\beta1$ by coregulators are limited to previous findings demonstrating this mode of action for SRC1 and GRIP1 (8, 9). Global transcriptional profiling also reveals that unliganded $ER\beta1$ regulates a significant number of target genes (10, 11). These findings, taken together, have stimulated significant interest in the topic of ligand-independent action.

Coregulators regulate the activity of transcription factors through several mechanisms, including post-translational modification. Activities of ERs are regulated, for example, by acetylation, phosphorylation, and ubiquitination (12–14). A putative acetylation motif is present in many hormone receptors conserved among different species (13, 15), revealing that acetylation is a common regulatory mechanism of receptor activity. ER α is acetylated by p300 and SRC1 (16, 17), whereas its hormone sensitivity and transactivation are regulated by acetylation (17). Moreover, acetylation of $ER\alpha$ modulates or is modulated by other post-translational modifications, such as ubiquitination and phosphorylation (18, 19). However, acetylation of $ER\beta1$ has not yet been reported. Alternatively, coregu-

activated B cell; ERE, estrogen-response element; $E₂$, estradiol; DPN, diarylpropionitrile; GEN, genistein; EQ, equol; DAI, daizein; API, apigenin; TAM, 4-OH-tamoxifen; RAL, raloxifene; BPA, bisphenol A; TSA, trichostatin A; ICI, ICI 182,780; Ni-NTA, nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid; HAT, histone acetyltransferase; CSS, charcoal-stripped serum; HD, hinge domain; LBD, ligand-binding domain; DBD, DNA-binding domain; PR, progesterone receptor; SRC, steroid receptor coactivator; AR, androgen receptor; SERM, selective estrogen receptor modulator.

ton of ERS in a lation of ERS in a lot yet been reported. Alternatively, coregu-
Grants R01CA015776, R01DK061084, R01ES015584, U01ES019480, lators can act as scaffold proteins to allow tethering of ERs and Grants R01CA015776, R01DK061084, R01ES015584, U01ES019480, U01ES020988, and P30ES006096 from NIEHS (to S. M. H.). This work was also supported by Veterans Affairs Grant I01BX000675 (to S. M. H.) and Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program Department of Defense Grants PC094619 (to P. T.) and BC094017 (to M. T. L.).

 1 To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Environmental Health, Rm. 130, Kettering Laboratory Complex, 3223 Eden Ave., Cincinnati, OH 45267. Tel.: 513-558-5701; Fax: 513-558-5155; E-mail: shuk-

mei.ho@uc.edu.
² The abbreviations used are: ER, estrogen receptor; AP-1, activation protein 1; Sp1, specificity protein 1; NF κ B, nuclear factor κ -light-chain-enhancer of

TABLE 1

Primers used in the experiments of domain-deletion study of ER1 and site-directed mutagenesis of Tip60

F is forward, and R is reverse.

associated proteins onto other transcription factors (4, 20). For example, AP-1 recruits CBP and p300, which bind to p160 coactivators. ERs then tether onto the transcriptional complex of AP-1 through the physical interaction with p160 coactivators (4, 20). In short, the diverse actions of a nuclear receptor such as $ER\beta1$ could depend largely on its interacting coregulators.

Tip60 (lysine acetyltransferase 5 (KAT5)) is a well studied ER α coregulator. It belongs to the MYST (MOZ, Ybf2/Sas3, Sas2, and Tip60) family. Members of this family possess an acetyltransferase domain capable of acetylating histones and other proteins (21). Moreover, Tip60 functions as either a coactivator (22–26) or a corepressor (27, 28), depending on its interacting transcription factors. Tip60 enhances ER α transactivation at ERE sites in a ligand-dependent manner (29, 30) and thus increases the expression of certain ER α target genes (29, 31). A study also shows that Tip60 interacts with $ER\beta1$ in the presence of estrogen (32). However, it remains unclear how Tip60 modulates $ER\beta1$ function.

This study investigated the biological function of Tip60 on ER_{B1} transactivation, particularly at the various *cis-regulatory* sequences and/or in the presence of different types of ligand. The dependence of histone acetyltransferase (HAT) domain activity in Tip60 was evaluated with a HAT domain mutant. Its interactions with other common coregulators such as SRC-1 and GRIP1 were determined. Moreover, the co-occupancy of $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 at *cis-*regulatory elements of endogenous $ER\beta1$ target genes and their differential regulation by Tip60 were evaluated. Here, we showed that Tip60 is a unique dualfunction coregulator of ER_{β1} in a *cis*-acting element-dependent manner.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture Conditions—HEK293 and DU-145 cells were grown in Eagle's minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, L-glutamine. PC-3 cells were grown in F-12K medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (ATCC, Manassas, VA). All cells were grown in 1% penicillin/ streptomycin. The phenol red-free DMEM was supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (CSS) prior to the addition of ligands in experiments. Cells were grown at 37 °C and 5% $CO₂$.

Transfection Reagents and Chemicals—Transient transfection of plasmids into HEK293 cells was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Transient transfection of plasmids into PC-3 and DU-145 cells was performed using X-tremeGENE HP (Roche Applied Science). DharmaFECT 2 was used as the siRNA transfection reagent for PC-3 (Thermo Scientific Dharmacon, Florence, KY). Chemicals such as estradiol (E_2) , diarylpropionitrile (DPN), genistein (GEN), equol (EQ), daizein (DAI), apigenin (API), 4-OH-tamoxifen (TAM), raloxifene (RAL), bisphenol A (BPA), anacardic acid, trichostatin A (TSA), and nicotinamide were purchased from Sigma. ICI 182,780 (ICI) was a gift from Zeneca Pharmaceuticals (Cheshire, UK).

Plasmids, siRNAs, and Recombinant Protein—Full-length ER β 1 and ER α were subcloned into pGBKT7 vector, whereas Tip60 was cloned into pACT2 vector (Clontech). $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 were also cloned into pcDNA-HisMax (Invitrogen) or subcloned into pENTR entry vector (Invitrogen) and then transferred into destination vector pDEST40 through gateway cloning (Invitrogen). In addition, full-length $ER\beta1$ and $ER\alpha$ were subcloned into the pGBKT7 vector, whereas Tip60 was cloned into the pACT2 vector (Clontech). SRC-1 and GRIP-1, gifts from Dr. Nancy Weigel (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston), were cloned into pcDNA3.1. ONTARGETplus SMARTpool 4 siRNAs specific to Tip60 were used for gene knockdown. ONTARGETplus nontargeting siRNA was used as the negative control (Thermo Scientific Dharmacon). Recombinant $ER\beta1$ protein was purchased from Thermo Scientific Pierce.

To generate different domain-deleted $ER\beta1$ constructs, a c-Myc tag was first added by PCR to the N terminus of the full-length $ER\beta1$ coding sequence, which was cloned into pDEST40. We generated different domain-deleted ER β 1 by performing PCR with different sets of primers (Table 1) and using $ER\beta1-pDEST40$ as the template.

Antibodies—Rabbit polyclonal anti-ΕRβ (H-150), goat polyclonal anti-Tip60 (N-17 and K-17), goat polyclonal anti-SRC-1 (C-20), rabbit polyclonal anti-GRIP-1 (M-343), mouse monoclonal anti-c-Myc (9E10), and control IgG were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX). Mouse monoclonal anti-ER β 1 was purchased from AbD Serotec (Raleigh, NC). Rabbit polyclonal anti-acetyl-lysine and IgG XP isotype control were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). EZview red anti-HA and anti-c-Myc affinity gel were purchased from Sigma.

Construction of ER1 Stably Expressed Cell Lines—Stably expressed cell lines were constructed according to the published data (33). Full-length $ER\beta1$ or LacZ (negative control) was subcloned, respectively, into pLenti6 lentiviral vector by Multisite Gateway Cloning (Invitrogen) and transfected into 293FT for production of lentivirus. The titer of lentivirus was measured, and the multiplicity of infection of PC-3 cells was determined. Lentivirus-infected PC-3 cells were selected with blasticidin (10 μ g/ml) for 3 weeks. Quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR, Western blot, and β -galactosidase assay were performed to confirm the stable expression of $ER\beta5$ or LacZ.

In Vitro Coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP)—T7 promoter and HA tag were added to the N terminus of the coding sequence of Tip60 by PCR. pGBKT7 vector containing the full-length of $ER\beta$ 1, ER α , and purified PCR product of Tip60 were, respectively, translated *in vitro* by the TNT T7-reticulocyte system (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) labeled with EasyTag EXPRESS 35S protein labeling mix (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Tip60 (10 μ l) and ER β 1 or ER α (each 10 μ l) proteins were mixed at 4 °C for 1 h. Lysates were incubated with 20 μ l of EZview red anti-HA affinity gel (Sigma) at 4 °C overnight with agitation. The samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE. The dried gel was exposed to x-ray film for 72 h, and an intensifying screen (Eastman Kodak) was used for signal enhancement. Films were scanned using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LiCor Bioscience, Lincoln, NE).

Yeast Two-hybrid Assays-ERa- or ER_{B1}-pGBKT7 and Tip60-pACT2 were cotransformed into yeast strain Y187 through the polyethylene glycol/lithium acetate method with the use of the Yeastmaker yeast transformation system (Clontech). Procedures followed the manufacturer's protocol. The transformed yeast cells were grown on quadruple dropout $(SD/-Ade-His-Leu-Trp)$ (QDO) agar with X- α -galactosidase until the appearance of blue colonies.

Ni-NTA Purification of His-tagged Proteins—HEK293 cells were transfected with $ER\beta1$ and Tip60. After a 24-h transfection, medium was added with 10 nm E_2 . Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mm NaH_2PO_4 , 300 mm NaCl, 10 mm imidazole, 0.1% Tween 20) containing complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Calbiochem) followed by sonication. About 1 mg of total lysate was incubated with 20 μ l of Ni-NTA-agarose beads (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) at 4 °C overnight. Washing and elution procedures followed the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were subjected to Western blot analysis. IRDye secondary antibody was used to detect the protein bands, and the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LiCor Bioscience) was used to detect the signals.

Mammalian Co-IP—HEK293 cells transfected with plasmids or $ER\beta1$ stably expressed PC-3 cells were used. Medium was added with or without 10 nm E_2 as indicated. Cells were lysed in M-PER lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific Pierce) containing protease inhibitor mixture. Lysates were incubated with $2 \mu g$ of Tip60 or ER β 1 antibody at 4 °C overnight and then with protein G Dynabeads (Invitrogen) at room temperature for 1.5 h. The immunoprecipitates were subjected to Western blot analysis.

In the domain-deletion study, full-length and domain-deleted $ER\beta1$ constructs were immunoprecipitated by EZview red anti-c-Myc affinity gel (Sigma). IgG XP isotype was used as negative control (Cell Signaling Technology).

Immunofluorescence Staining—HEK293 cells or ERβ1 stably expressed PC-3 cells were seeded on a round coverslip. HEK293 cells were transfected with $ER\beta1$ and Tip60. Cells were fixed in 10% formalin and permeabilized with 1% Nonidet P-40. Normal chicken serum was used for blocking. Cells were incubated with rabbit $ER\beta$ (H150) and goat Tip60 (N-17) at room temperature for 1 h followed by incubation with different fluorescenttagged secondary antibodies. DAPI (Sigma) was used for nuclear counterstaining. Prolong R Gold anti-fade reagent (Invitrogen) was used for signal enhancement. Fluorescent images were obtained with an Axiovert 200 M fluorescent microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera and Axiovision 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Site-directedMutagenesis—The acetylation-deficientmutant of Tip60, Tip60 Δ HAT (Q377E/G380E), was generated with the use of the Stratagene QuikChange lightning site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) as described in the protocol. Primers for mutagenesis were designed through the QuikChange primer design program (Agilent Technologies) (Table 1). In brief, the mutant strand synthesis was done by PCR, and products were treated with the restriction endonuclease DpnI to digest the parental DNA. The mutated single-stranded DNA was converted to the duplex form *in vivo* through bacterial transformation. Plasmids were extracted and sequenced to confirm the mutations.

In Vitro and in Vivo Acetylation Assay—For the *in vitro* acetylation assay, HEK293 cells were transfected with either wild-type $Tip60 (Tip60WT)$ or $Tip60\Delta HAT$. Cells were treated with 3 μ M TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide for 6 h. Recombinant Tip60 was purified on the Ni-NTA column as described above, and the lysis and wash buffers were added with 1 $\mu{\rm m}$ TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide, which are inhibitors of different deacetylase families. The Tip60-bound Ni-NTA column was resuspended in HAT buffer (50 mm Tris-HCl, pH 8, 10% glycerol, 100 μ M EDTA, 1 mm DTT, 1 mm PMSF, 10 mm sodium butyrate, 5 mm nicotinamide) with 500 μ M acetyl-CoA and 500 μ g of recombinant ER β 1. The mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 1 h. Lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis.

For the *in vivo* acetylation assay, HEK293 cells were transfected with $ER\beta1$, Tip60WT, or Tip60 Δ HAT. Cells were treated with 3 μ M TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide for 6 h. Immunoprecipitation was performed with $ER\beta1$ or Tip60 antibody, and the lysis and wash buffers were added with 1 μ M TSA and 5 mM nicotinamide. Lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis.

Luciferase Reporter Assay—Different luciferase reporter plasmids were used. The pt109-ERE3-Luc carrying $3\times$ vitellogenin ERE was provided by Dr. Craig Jordan (Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia). The pAP-1-Luc was purchased from Clontech. The C3 ERE-Luc, c-Fos ERE-Luc, progesterone receptor (PR) ERE-Luc, and pS2 ERE-Luc reporters were gifts from Dr. Carolyn Klinge (University of Louisville, Louisville, KY). NF κ B-Luc and $pSp1_{3}$ -Luc were provided by Dr. Francis Chan (University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA). HEK293 cells were seeded on 24-well plates at 2.8 \times 10⁵ in phenol red-free medium supplemented with 10% charcoal-

TABLE 2

Primers used in the experiments of quantitative RT-PCR and ChIP real time PCR

F is forward, and R is reverse.

stripped serum (CSS). Expression plasmids of $ER\beta1$, GFP, or Tip60, together with luciferase reporter plasmids and β -galactosidase, were transiently transfected into cells. Different ligands, such as E_2 , DPN, GEN, EQ, DAI, API, TAM, RAL, ICI, and BPA were added to the medium after a 24-h transfection. Transactivation activities of $ER\beta1$ were measured by using the Bright-Glo luciferase kit (Promega). Normalization of transfection efficiency was done by measuring β -galactosidase activity using the β -gal assay kit (Promega). Each independent experiment was carried out in technical triplicates.

Quantitative RT-PCR—Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and cDNA synthesis was done with SMART Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase with poly(dT) primer following the manufacturer's protocols (Promega). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with ABI7900 real time PCR system (Invitrogen). The sequences of primers used are summarized in Table 2.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and Re-ChIP Assays— $PC-3-ER\beta1$ cells were grown in CSS-containing medium supplemented with 10 nm E_2 . ChIP assays were performed as described previously (34), except for the use of magnetic beads (Dynabeads) for capturing antibodies (Invitrogen). In re-ChIP assays, DNA-containing magnetic beads were incubated in TE buffer with 10 mm dithiothreitol (DTT) to elute the immunoprecipitated DNA after the first ChIP assay. The second ChIP assay was performed with the purified DNA by the second antibody. The ChIP DNA was amplified by PCR with the ABI7900 real time PCR system. The sequences of primers used in the amplification are summarized in Table 2.

Statistical Analysis—The Student's *t* test of QuickCalcs (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) was used for statistical analysis. p values calculated were two-sided, and values $<$ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

ER1 Can Interact with Tip60 in Either the Absence or Presence of Estrogen—To show the physical binding between $ER\beta1$ and Tip60, we performed *in vitro* coimmunoprecipitation. Tip60 translated *in vitro* was incubated with ER α or ER β in the

presence of $E₂$ and immunoprecipitated with HA antibody. The translated Tip60 interacted with both ERα (Fig. 1*A, lane 2*) and ER β 1 (Fig. 1*A*, *lane* 6). To confirm the interactions in a cellular system, we cotransformed ER β 1, ER α , or empty vector with Tip60 into yeast cells. We were surprised to find that Tip60 interacted with ER β 1 in the absence or presence of E₂, as indicated by the growth of blue yeast colonies (Fig. 1*B, left panel*). Consistent with previous findings (29, 32), $ER\alpha$ -Tip60 interaction occurred only in the presence of $E₂$ (Fig. 1*B, middle panel*). To verify the interaction in a mammalian system, we transfected HEK293 cells with Tip60 or empty vector along with ER β 1, followed by immunoprecipitation (Fig. 1*C*). ER β 1 was coimmunoprecipitated with Tip60 in the absence and presence of E2 (Fig. 1*C, lanes 1* and *3*). Their interaction was verified by reciprocal coimmunoprecipitation using $ER\beta1$ -specific antiserum. Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated only when cells overexpressed ERβ1 and Tip60 (Fig. 1*D, lane 1*). However, no Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated when the cells overexpressed only $ER\beta1$ (Fig. 1*D*, *lane* 2) or Tip60 alone (Fig. 1*D*, *lane* 3). The interaction was also confirmed in a cell line with a high endogenous level of Tip60. A prostate cancer cell line, PC-3, with ectopic expression of $ER\beta1$ (PC-3- $ER\beta1$) was used (35). Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated with $ER\beta1$ in the absence or presence of E_2 (Fig. 1*E*, *lanes* 1 and 3).

We further determined the presence of $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 in the same subcellular compartments. ER_{B1} (*red*) was shown to be colocalized with Tip60 (*green*) (Fig. 1*F*) in the nucleus of HEK293 cells in the absence or presence of E_2 . Colocalization of the two proteins also was observed in $PC-3-ER\beta1$ (Fig. 1*G*). These data show that $ER\beta1$ physically interacts with Tip60 inside the nucleus in either the absence or presence of E_2 .

Hinge Domain of ER1 Is Responsible for the Interaction with Tip60—We performed interaction analysis of different domains of ER β 1 with Tip60 to further characterize the interaction between ER β 1 and Tip60. Functional domains of ER β 1 include activation function 1 (AF-1), DNA-binding domain (DBD), hinge domain (HD), ligand-binding domain (LBD), and AF-2 domain. We constructed five domain-deleted $ER\beta1$ mutants (ΕRβ1ΔAF-1, ΕRβ1ΔAF-1-DBD, ΕRβ1ΔAF-1-HD, $ER\beta1\Delta LBD-AF-2$, and $ER\beta1\Delta AF-2$) with the c-Myc tag at the N termini (Fig. 2A). Tip60 together with full-length $ER\beta1$ or its domain-deleted mutants were transfected into HEK293 cells followed by immunoprecipitation. A considerable amount of Tip60 was pulled down simultaneously with the N-terminally deleted mutants $ER\beta1\Delta AF-1$ and $ER\beta1\Delta AF-1-DBD$ (Fig. 2*B*, *upper panel*) and the C-terminally deleted mutants ERβ1ΔLBD-AF-2 and ERβ1ΔAF-2 (Fig. 2*B*, lower panel). However, no Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated with the $ER\beta1\Delta AF$ -1-HD construct (Fig. 2*B*, *lower panel*). The data show that the hinge domain of $ER\beta1$ is responsible for interacting with Tip60.

Tip60 Differentially Regulates ER1 Transactivation at ERE $and AP-1 \, Sites—ER\beta1$ is a transcription factor controlling gene expression by either directly binding to consensus DNA sequences or tethering on other transcription factors (2, 5, 36). We were interested in investigating whether Tip60 enhances $ER\beta1$ transactivation and whether the effect is dependent on a *cis-*regulatory element.

FIGURE 1. ERβ1 can interact with Tip60 in either the absence or presence of estrogen. A, Tip60 interacts with ERβ1 and ER α in vitro. ERβ1, ER α , and HA-tagged Tip60 were translated *in vitro* and labeled with [³⁵S]methionine. The lysates were mixed and incubated with E₂ and then immunoprecipitated (*IP*) with HA antibody. The immunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by autoradiography. B, ERB1 interacts with Tip60 in yeast cells independent of E₂. ER β 1, ER α , or empty vector (pGBKT7) was transformed into yeast with Tip60. The transformed cells were grown on quadruple dropout agar (QDO) containing X-α-galactosidase and DMSO or E₂ until the appearance of *blue colonies*. C, ERβ1 interacts with Tip60 *in vivo*. HEK293 cells were grown in CSS-containing medium and transfected with ER β 1 and His-tagged Tip60 before the addition of E₂. Lysates were precipitated on an Ni-NTA column and immunoblotted (IB) with ERB1 or Tip60 antibody. The samples were run on the same gel. D, ERB1-Tip60 interaction was confirmed by reciprocal coimmunoprecipitation. Procedures were similar to those in *C*, except that lysates were immunoprecipitated with ERß1 antibody. *E*, ERß1 interacts with Tip60 in an E₂-independent manner in a hormone-sensitive prostate cancer cell line, PC-3. ER β 1 stably expressed PC-3 cells (PC-3-ER β 1) were grown in CSS-containing medium before the addition of DMSO or E₂. Lysates were immunoprecipitated by ER β 1 antibody and immunoblotted with ER β 1 or Tip60 antibody. F, ER β 1 colocalized with Tip60 with or without E₂. HEK293 cells were grown in CSS-containing medium transfected with ER β 1 and Tip60 followed by the incubation of DMSO (vehicle) (*upper panel*) or E₂ (*lower panel*). *G*, ERβ1 colocalized with Tip60 in PC-3. PC-3-ERβ1 cells were grown in full-serum containing medium. *F* and *G*, antibodies to ER_{B1} and Tip60 were used for immunostaining, and DAPI was used as the nuclear marker. The images in *F* and *G* were captured by a fluorescence microscope. Bar, 20 μ m.

We therefore transfected Tip60, $ER\beta1$, and different luciferase reporter plasmids into HEK293 cells. Tip60 reduced $ER\beta1$ transactivation at the vitellogenin-ERE site in the absence or presence of E_2 (Fig. 3*A*). Moreover, we verified its inhibitory effect at ERE sequences of different $ER\beta1$ -target genes. Tip60 inhibited $ER\beta1$ transactivation at C3-ERE (Fig. 3*B*) and c-Fos-ERE sites (Fig. 3*C*) in the absence or presence of E_2 and also at pS2-ERE (Fig. 3*D*) and PR-ERE sites (Fig. 3*E*) in the absence of E_2 . To determine its mode of regulatory action, we showed that the inhibitory effect of Tip60 on $ER\beta1$ transactivation was concentration-dependent (Fig. 3*F*). Tip60 decreased constitutive and E_2 -induced transactivation, and the fold change also was similar in the absence and presence of $E₂$ (Fig. 3*F*). Apart from directly binding to DNA sequences, $ER\beta1$ can interact with coregulators to tether onto other transcription factors to activate the transcription. Tip60 enhanced $ER\beta1$ transactivation at

FIGURE 2. **Hinge domain of ER1 is responsible for the interaction with Tip60.** A, schematic diagram shows the domains of full-length $ER\beta1$ and different domain-deleted constructs. The c-Myc tag was added to the N terminus of each construct. The strength of interaction between different $ER\beta1$ constructs and Tip60 is represented by " $+$ " and " $-$ " signs. " $+++$ " represents the strongest interaction, and "-" represents no interaction. *AF-1,* activation function 1; *AF-2,* activation function 2. *B,* HEK293 cells were grown in CSScontaining medium and transfected with Tip60 and different domain-deleted ERβ1 constructs. Lysates were immunoprecipitated (*IP*) with c-Myc antibody. Immunoglobulin IgG was used as the negative control. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted (*IB*) with c-Myc or Tip60 antibody. Asterisks denote the positions of $ER\beta1$ and its mutants.

the AP-1-response element (Fig. 3*G*). Tip60 increased $ER\beta1$ transactivation more significantly in the absence of E_2 than in the presence of E_2 . The transcriptional regulation by Tip60 required $ER\beta1$ expression because cells transfected with only Tip60 showed very little luciferase activity (data not shown). In contrast, no regulatory effect of Tip60 was observed at NFKBand Sp1-binding sites (Fig. 3, *H* and *I*). Differential regulation of $ER\beta1$ transactivation at vitellogenin ERE and AP-1 sites was also observed in the different prostate cancer cell lines PC-3 (Fig. 3, *J* and *K*) and DU-145 (Fig. 3, *L* and *M*). These data suggest that Tip60 enhances $ER\beta1$ transactivation at the AP-1 site but reduces the transactivation at different ERE sites.

Various Ligands Modulate the Regulatory Effects by Tip60 on ER1 Transactivation—Because we found that the regulatory effect of Tip60 at AP-1 site was reduced by E_2 , we sought to determine whether various ligands could influence its regulation. This was especially relevant because transcriptional activ-

Differential Regulation of ER1 Transactivation by Tip60

ity of $ER\beta1$ responds differently depending on ligands and binding sites (36). We tested five categories of chemicals, estrogens (E₂ and DPN), phytoestrogens (GEN, EQ, DAI, and API), selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) (RAL and TAM), antiestrogen (ICI), and an endocrine disruptor (BPA). As with previous findings (8, 36, 37), $ER\beta1$ transactivation at the ERE site was enhanced in the presence of estrogens or phytoestrogens but was suppressed in the presence of TAM, RAL, and ICI (Fig. 4*A*). In stark contrast, SERMs and antiestrogen stimulated the transactivation at the AP-1 site, whereas estrogens and phytoestrogens inhibited $ER\beta1$ transcriptional activity (Fig. 4*B*). Moreover, we examined the regulatory effect by Tip60 in the presence of these ligands. The transcriptional inhibition by Tip60 persisted at the ERE site in response to all of the ligands except DPN, GEN, EQ, and BPA (Fig. 4*A*). In contrast, all the estrogens and phytoestrogens except apigenin downregulated the enhancement of $ER\beta1$ transactivation by Tip60 at the AP-1 site (Fig. 4*B*). SERMs could not further up-regulate the effect of Tip60, whereas ICI was the only ligand that increased Tip60 enhancement over that of the control (Fig. 4*B*). Hence, we suggest that various ligands differentially modulate the regulatory effects by Tip60 at ERE and AP-1 sites.

ER1 Cannot Be Acetylated by Tip60 and Preferentially Interacts with Unacetylated Tip60—Tip60 was found to acetylate different transcription factors, such as androgen receptor, p53, c-Myc, and ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) (24–26, 38). To examine whether $ER\beta1$ can be acetylated by Tip60, we performed an *in vitro* acetylation assay. The structural domains of the Tip60 wild-type (Tip60WT) and the mutation sites of its HAT-defective mutant (Tip60 Δ HAT) (Q377E/G380E) are shown in Fig. 5A. His-tagged Tip60WT and Tip60 Δ HAT proteins were purified on Ni-NTA columns. Recombinant $ER\beta1$ protein and purified Tip60 were incubated with acetyl-CoA. Consistent with the finding by another group (36), we found that Tip60WT, but not Tip60 Δ HAT, was able to auto-acetylate in *vitro* (Fig. 5*B, lanes 1* and 3). However, $ER\beta1$ could not be acetylated by either Tip60WT or Tip60 Δ HAT as shown by the absence of signal when pan-acetyl-lysine antibody was used (Fig. 5*B, lanes 3* and *4*).

Next, we verified the results *in vivo*. Either Tip60WT or Tip60 Δ HAT was expressed simultaneously with ER β 1. The cells were incubated with TSA and nicotinamide to maximize the level of acetylation. Immunoprecipitation was performed with either $ER\beta1$ or Tip60 antibody to isolate different populations of protein complex. Tip60WT, but not Tip60 Δ HAT, was able to auto-acetylate *in vivo*, as shown in the input lysate (Fig. 5*C, right panel*). Immunoprecipitation was first performed with ER β 1 antibody to isolate ER β 1 complexes that may or may not contain Tip60. Although Tip60 was coimmunoprecipitated with $ER\beta1$, no acetylation of $ER\beta1$ or Tip60 was detected (Fig. 5*C, left panel*). Similarly, Tip60 antibody was then used in the pulldown assay to isolate two populations of Tip60 complexes, including the one with or without $ER\beta1$. As expected, Tip60 and $ER\beta1$ were isolated simultaneously. Although there was no acetylation of $ER\beta1$, auto-acetylation of Tip60WT was detected in the immunoprecipitate (Fig. 5*C*, *middle panel*), and its unacetylated form may interact preferentially with $ER\beta1$. To

FIGURE 4. **Various ligands modulate Tip60-mediated regulatory effects on ER** β **1 transactivation.** A and B, ER β 1 was transfected with GFP or Tip60 together with pCMV-β-gal and vitellogenin ERE (A) or AP-1 reporter plasmids (*B*) into HEK293 cells grown in CSS-containing medium. Various ligands, namely E₂ (10 nm), DPN (10 nm), GEN (1 μ m), EQ (1 μ m), DAI (1 μ m), API (100 nm), TAM (1 μ M), RAL (1 μ M), ICI (1 μ M), and BPA (10 nM), were added, respectively, or DMSO was used as the control after transfection for 24 h. Relative luciferase activity was determined as above. The results are the average of at least two independent experiments. All data are represented as mean \pm S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in luciferase activity between the overexpression of GFP and Tip60 in the presence of each ligand is shown as follows: *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$.

conclude, ER β 1 is not acetylated by Tip60 *in vitro* or *in vivo* and may preferentially interact with the unacetylated form of Tip60.

HAT Activity of Tip60 Is Not Involved in the Regulation of ER1 Transactivation at AP-1 and ERE Sites—Acetylation of androgen receptor (AR) by Tip60 is essential for up-regulating the transactivation of AR at AR-response elements (24). The inability of Tip60 to acetylate $ER\beta1$ infers that its HAT activity may not be important for regulating $ER\beta1$ activity. Luciferase reporter assays were performed to determine $ER\beta1$ activity with the overexpression of Tip60WT and Tip60 Δ HAT. Western blot analysis showed that their expression was similar (Fig. 6*A*). At the vitellogenin-ERE site, the two Tip60 proteins were equally effective in reducing ER β 1 transactivation (Fig. 6*B*). However, Tip60 Δ HAT enhanced ER β 1 transactivation to a greater extent than Tip60WT did at the AP-1 site (Fig. 6*C*). To

Differential Regulation of ER1 Transactivation by Tip60

further determine the significance of the HAT activity of Tip60 to the transcriptional activity of $ER\beta1$, we used a HAT inhibitor, anacardic acid, which inhibits Tip60-dependent acetylation (39). Similar to the results shown in Fig. 6, *B* and *C*, enhancement of $ER\beta1$ transactivation by Tip60 was up-regulated at the AP-1 site in the presence of anacardic acid (Fig. 6*E*), but no change was observed at the ERE site (Fig. 6*D*). The results suggest that HAT activity of Tip60 is not required to regulate $ER\beta1$ transactivation at ERE or AP-1 site.

Tip60 Interacts with GRIP1 to Enhance ER_{B1} Transactiva*tion at the AP-1 Site Synergistically*—The p160 SRC family consists of three homologous members, SRC1, GRIP1, and SRC3 (40– 42). Of these, SRC1 and GRIP1 are coactivators of ERs at the AP-1 and ERE sites (4, 43). Because Tip60 enhanced $ER\beta1$ transactivation at the AP-1 site but diminished transactivation at different ERE sites, we investigated whether Tip60 has any combinatorial effect with p160 coactivators. We overexpressed different combinations of Tip60, SRC1, and GRIP1 together with ER β 1 and determined the regulation of ER β 1 transactivation by these proteins at the ERE and AP-1 sites. SRC1 enhanced ER β 1 transactivation in the absence of E₂, whereas Tip60 and GRIP1 reduced $ER\beta1$ transactivation in the absence or presence of E_2 . The effect of inhibition persisted when Tip60 and GRIP1 were overexpressed simultaneously (Fig. 7*A*). At the AP-1 site, all three coregulators were able to enhance $ER\beta1$ transactivation with or without E_2 (Fig. 7*B*). In the absence of $E₂$, coexpression of Tip60 and GRIP1 had the strongest stimulatory effect on the transactivation. Interestingly, overexpression of SRC1 abolished the synergistic effects of Tip60 and GRIP1 (Fig. 7*B*). To further investigate the synergistic effect of Tip60 and GRIP1 on ER β 1 transactivation at the AP-1 site, we performed luciferase assays with different ratios of GRIP1 and Tip60 plasmids. Consistent with the results in Fig. 7*B*, coexpression of GRIP1 and Tip60 resulted in a greater enhancement of $ER\beta1$ transactivation than expression of GRIP1 alone, whereas a 1:1 ratio of GRIP1 and Tip60 plasmids resulted in the greatest enhancement at the AP-1 site (Fig. 7*C*). Next, an immunoprecipitation experiment was used to determine whether $ER\beta1$, Tip60, and the two p160 coactivators are involved in a transcriptional complex. Tip60 interacted with ER_B1, GRIP1, and SRC1 (Fig. 7D). To conclude, ER_{B1}, Tip60, GRIP1, and SRC1 are able to form a multiprotein complex, whereas Tip60 and GRIP1 synergistically enhance $ER\beta1$ transactivation at the AP-1 site.

Tip60 Differentially Regulates ER1 Target Genes by Modulating ER1 Binding to the cis-Regulatory Regions Possessing the ERE or AP-1 Site—In our study, Tip60 either enhanced or reduced ER β 1 transactivation at the AP-1 or ERE site. To inves-

FIGURE 3. **Tip60 differentially regulates ER1 transactivation at ERE and AP-1 sites but has minimal effect on other transcription factor-binding sites.** *A–E*, Tip60 reduces ERβ1 transactivation at various ERE sites. ERβ1 was transfected with GFP or Tip60 together with pCMV-β-gal and vitellogenin ERE (A), C3 ERE (*B*), c-Fos ERE (*C*), pS2 ERE (*D*), or progesterone receptor ERE (*E*) reporter plasmids into HEK293 cells grown in CSS-containing medium. *F,* inhibition of ER1 transactivation by Tip60 is concentration-dependent. ER β 1 was transfected with different amounts of GFP and Tip60 together with pCMV- β -gal and vitellogenin ERE reporter plasmid. Different ratios of plasmids of Tip60 to GFP were transfected. *G–I,* Tip60 enhances ER1 transactivation at AP-1 sites but has minimal effect on other transcription factor-binding sites. ER β 1 was transfected with GFP or Tip60 together with pCMV- β -gal and reporter plasmids containing the binding site of AP-1 (G), NF_KB (H), or Sp1 (/) into HEK293 cells grown in CSS-containing medium. *J–M*, Tip60 reduces ERβ1 transactivation at ERE site but increases its transactivation at AP-1 site in different PCa cell lines. ER_{B1} was transfected with GFP or Tip60 together with pCMV-B-gal and reporter plasmids containing vitellogenin ERE (*J* and *L*) or AP-1-binding site (*K* and *M*) into PC-3 or DU-145 cells grown in CSS-containing medium. After the transfection, HEK293, PC-3, and DU-145 cells were added with DMSO or E₂. Relative luciferase activity was determined and normalized with the β -gal activity. Results were the average of three independent experiments. All data are represented as mean \pm S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in luciferase activity between the overexpression of GFP and Tip60 in the presence of DMSO or E_2 is shown as follows: *, $p < 0.05$, **, $p < 0.01$, ***, $p < 0.001$.

FIGURE 5. **ER1 cannot be acetylated by Tip60 and preferentially interacts with unacetylated Tip60.** *A,*schematic diagram shows the structural domains of Tip60 and the substitution of amino acids on the HAT-defective mutant (Q377E/G380E) (Tip60HAT). *B,* ER1 is not acetylated by Tip60 *in vitro*. His-tagged wild-type of Tip60 (Tip60WT) or Tip60ΔHAT was transfected, respectively, into HEK293 cells, and Tip60 proteins were purified on an Ni-NTA column. Recombinant ERβ1 protein and Tip60 were incubated in HAT buffer containing acetyl-CoA. The immunoprecipitates (*IP*) were immunoblotted (*IB*) with acetyl-lysine, ER1, or Tip60 antibody. *Asterisk* denotes the nonspecific band that appeared when the blot was immunoblotted with pan-acetyl-lysine antibody. *C,* ER1 is not acetylated by Tip60 *in vivo* and preferentially interacts with unacetylated Tip60. Tip60WT or HAT was transfected with ER1 into HEK293 cells. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with either ER1 (*left panel*) or Tip60 (*middle panel*) antibody. Immunoglobulin IgG was used as the negative control. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with acetyl-lysine, $ER\beta1$, or Tip60 antibody.

tigate whether $ER\beta1$ target genes are differentially regulated by Tip60, we determined their gene expressions in $ER\beta1$ or LacZ stably expressed PC-3 cells (PC-3-ER β 1/PC-3-LacZ) after the knockdown of Tip60. The ectopic expression of $ER\beta1$ and the efficiency of Tip60 knockdown were confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 8, *A* and *B*) and Western blotting (data not shown). We found that the expressions of CXCL12 and cyclin D2 were drastically increased in $PC-3-ER\beta1$ compared with the control (PC-3-LacZ) (Fig. 8, *C* and *D*). Moreover, their expressions were differentially regulated with the knockdown of Tip60 in PC-3-ER β 1 cells. Expression of CXCL12 was further up-regulated (Fig. 8*C*), whereas that of cyclin D2 was downregulated after Tip60 depletion (Fig. 8*D*). The *cis-*regulatory sequence of the *CXCL12* gene was found to have an ERE site (44), and sequence analysis revealed a predicted AP-1-binding site at the upstream region of the *cyclin* D2 gene (data not shown). In ChIP assays, $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 were significantly recruited to the respective investigated regions (Fig. 8, *E* and *F*). Moreover, the co-occupancy of $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 on the respective *cis-*regulatory regions of *CXCL12* and *cyclin D2* was confirmed in the re-ChIP assay (Fig. 8*G*). Similar results were observed in the reciprocal re-ChIP assay (data not shown). Next, we investigated the molecular mechanism of differential regulation of $ER\beta1$ target genes by Tip60. Upon the depletion of Tip60, the recruitment of ER_{B1} to the *cis-*regulatory region of *CXCL12* was significantly enhanced, whereas the recruitment of ERβ1 to the investigated region of *cyclin D2* was decreased (Fig. 8*H*). Collectively, our results showed that Tip60 differen-

tially regulates the expression of $ER\beta1$ target genes by modulating the binding of $ER\beta1$ to their respective *cis-regulatory* regions.

DISCUSSION

Estrogen signaling is mediated primarily by ER α and ER β 1, whereas $ER\beta1$ is able to activate a distinct set of target genes and also to antagonize ER α transactivation (45–49). Although ERs share many common coregulators, the differential interaction between the coregulatory proteins and ERs may be responsible for their distinct functions (8). In this study, Tip60 was found to interact with $ER\beta1$ in the absence or the presence of E_2 . Tip60 either enhances or inhibits ER β 1 transactivation, depending on the *cis-*regulatory sites. Moreover, Tip60 and GRIP1 enhance the transactivation at the AP-1 site synergistically. We also showed that $ER\beta1$ is not acetylated by Tip60 and thus that the regulation of $ER\beta1$ activity by Tip60 is independent of its HAT activity. In addition, Tip60 is able to differentially control the endogenous expression of $ER\beta1$ target genes possessing the ERE or AP-1 site by modulating $ER\beta1$ binding to the respective *cis-*regulatory regions. On the basis of these data, we suggest that $ER\beta1$ transactivation is differentially regulated by Tip60 in a regulatory element-dependent manner.

Tip60 is an interacting partner of some hormone receptors, including ERs, AR, and PR. Their interactions were shown to require the presence of respective agonists (32). In this study, we found that the binding of Tip60 to $ER\beta1$ does not require ligands and that the strength of the interaction is similar in the

FIGURE 6. HAT activity of Tip60 is not necessary for regulation of the ER β 1 transactivation at AP-1 and ERE sites. A, expression of Tip60 Δ HAT was similar to that of Tip60WT. Lysates were extracted and immunoblotted (*IB*) with Tip60 antibody. β -Actin was used as the loading control. B and C , HAT activity of Tip60 is not necessary for the regulation of $ER\beta1$ transactivation at AP-1 and ERE sites. GFP, Tip60WT, or Tip60 Δ HAT was transfected, respectively, with ERβ1, pCMV-β-gal (*B*), AP-1(*C*), or vitellogenin-ERE reporter plasmids into HEK293 cells before the addition of E₂. *D* and *E*, GFP or Tip60 was transfected, respectively, with ERβ1, pCMV-β-gal (D), AP-1 (E), or vitellogenin-ERE reporters into HEK293 cells. After the transfection, DMSO or E_2 together with ethanol (vehicle) or anacardic acid (*AnAc*) was added as indicated. *B–D,* relative luciferase activity was determined as in Fig. 3. Results are the average of three independent experiments. Data are represented as mean \pm S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in luciferase activity between the overexpression of GFP and Tip60 in the presence of DMSO or E_2 is shown as follows: *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$.

absence or presence of E_2 . The discrepancy between our finding and that from another group may be due to our use of different $ER\beta1$ sequences and interaction assays. Gaughan *et al.* (32) used a construct containing only LBD of $ER\beta1$ in the mammalian two-hybrid assay. We used the full-length $ER\beta1$, which is more biologically relevant in terms of protein folding, to show the interaction in yeast two-hybrid assays, *in vitro* and *in vivo* coimmunoprecipitation, and subcellular localization studies in different cell lines. It is not uncommon for ligand-independent interactions to occur between $ER\beta1$ and coregulators. For example, phosphorylation of $ER\beta1$ leads to ligand-independent recruitment of SRC1 (48), and GRIP1 is also recruited by unliganded $ER\beta1$ (8, 10, 20). Both coactivators stimulated unliganded ER β 1 transactivation (8, 10). Our data suggest that Tip60 interacts with $ER\beta1$ regardless of E_2 presence.

The interaction of Tip60 with LBD of ER α in a ligand-dependent manner is well documented (29, 30, 32). The distinct mechanisms of recruiting Tip60 by ER α and ER β 1 imply that they may have different domains interacting with Tip60. We performed domain deletion of $ER\beta1$ followed by immunoprecipitation to show that the hinge domain of $ER\beta1$ is the interacting region. Although ERs interact with the most coactivators and corepressors at either or both N and C termini (50), they

Differential Regulation of ER1 Transactivation by Tip60

also bind to some coregulators at the hinge domain. L7/SPA interacts with the hinge domain of ER α and enhances transactivation of antagonist-occupied ER α at the ERE site (51). ER α also binds to PGC-1 at its hinge domain in a ligand-independent manner (52). Although the hinge domain of ERs is not as well characterized, it has been shown to affect protein degradation and activity of ER β 1 (53, 54), ER α tethered-mediated AP-1 transactivation (55), and the functional synergy between AF-1 and AF-2 of ERs (56). Because AF-1 and AF-2 domains are responsible for E_2 -independent and E_2 -dependent activation of the transactivation of ERs (50), we speculate that the atypical interaction interface between $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 at the hinge domain may contribute to the unique regulation of $ER\beta1$ activity by Tip60.

Tip60 functions as a coregulator of many transcription factors (57). Hence, we determined its role in the regulation of $ER\beta1$ transactivation by the luciferase assay and used reporter constructs with different *cis-*regulatory sequences of the target genes of ER β 1. Tip60 reduced ER β 1 transactivation at different ERE sequences, such as vitellogenin-, C3-, c-Fos-, pS2- and PR-EREs. Moreover, the inhibitory action of $ER\beta1$ transactivation by Tip60 is concentration-dependent but E_2 -independent. Our results imply that Tip60 can inhibit transcription of certain $ER\beta1$ -regulated genes possessing ERE sites. In contrast, Tip60 increased the expression of some estrogen-regulated ER α target genes containing EREs $(29, 30)$. Because ER β 1 antagonizes $ER\alpha$ -dependent transcription through hetero-dimerization (50), Tip60 may be a key factor in determining the antagonism between ERs. ER β 1 also interacts with other transcription factors to mediate the transcription through tethering. We showed that Tip60 did not regulate $ER\beta1$ transactivation at either the NF κ B or the Sp1 site but that it drastically increased the transactivation at the AP-1 site. Moreover, the enhancement by Tip60 was more drastic in the absence of $E₂$. It is not surprising for a coregulator to show dual regulation of the activity of transcription factors. GRIP1 acts as a coactivator of ER α at ERE and AP-1 sites (4, 8) but inhibits the activity of E_2 -bound ER α , which tethers on c-Jun and NF κ B at TNF α promoter (58). In addition, GRIP1 is either a coactivator or a corepressor of glucocorticoid receptor in a hormone-response element-dependent manner (59). Our study not only shows that the regulation of ER β 1 transactivation by Tip60 occurs in an E₂-independent manner but also provides evidence that it can enhance or inhibit the transactivation at the AP-1-response element or ERE, respectively.

The modulation by ligands of $ER\beta1$ signaling at different response elements has been well documented (36). We extensively investigated the effects of various steroidal compounds on the transcriptional regulation by Tip60. Consistent with the previous findings (36, 60, 61), we found that estrogenic compounds (E_2 and DPN) and phytoestrogens (GEN, EQ, DAI, and API) up-regulated $ER\beta1$ transactivation at ERE, whereas SERMs (TAM and RAL) and antiestrogen (ICI) did the opposite. Surprisingly, DPN, GEN, and EQ abolished Tip60-mediated inhibition at the ERE site. Moreover, all estrogenic chemicals except apigenin significantly inhibited enhancement by Tip60 at the AP-1 site. The discrepancy may be due to differential conformational changes of $ER\beta1$ through binding to dif-

FIGURE 7. **Tip60 interacts with GRIP1 to enhance ER1 transactivation at the AP-1 site synergistically.** *A* and *B,* Tip60 and GRIP1 exert a synergistic effect on ERβ1 transactivation at the AP-1 site. Different combinations of GFP, Tip60, GRIP1, and SRC1 were transfected with ERβ1, pCMV-β-gal, vitellogenin-ERE (A) or AP-1 reporter plasmids (B) as indicated. After the transfection, DMSO or 10 nm E₂ was added as indicated. C, synergistic effect of Tip60 and GRIP1 on the ER β 1 transactivation at the AP-1 site is concentration-dependent. GFP or different ratios of plasmids of Tip60 to GRIP1 were transfected. DMSO was added after the transfection. Relative luciferase activity was determined as in Fig. 3. Results are the average of three independent experiments. Data are presented as mean \pm S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in luciferase activity between overexpressing Tip60, GRIP1, and GFP is shown as *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. *D*, Tip60 forms a multiprotein complex with p160 coactivators and ER β 1. HEK293 cells were transfected with Tip60, ER β 1, SRC1, and GRIP1 and grown in CSS-containing medium. Lysates were immunoprecipitated (*IP*) with Tip60 antibody. Immunoglobulin IgG was used as the negative control. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted (*IB*) with Tip60, ER β 1, GRIP1, or SRC1 antibody as indicated.

ferent estrogenic chemicals (62, 63) and thus affect the formation of the ER β 1 transcriptional complex (62). Perhaps the binding of these compounds triggers the recruitment of other coactivators to counteract the Tip60-mediated inhibition (7). For example, GEN can recruit SRC1 isoforms to $ER\beta1$ (64, 65). Moreover, all estrogenic chemicals except apigenin significantly inhibited the enhancement by Tip60 at the AP-1 site. Although Fujimoto *et al.* (37) suggested that estrogens and phytoestrogens do not exert any regulatory effect on $ER\beta1$ -mediated AP-1 transactivation, previous findings and this study have clearly shown that estrogens or phytoestrogens repress the transactivation at the AP-1 site (36, 66). It is tempting to speculate that these compounds reduce the potency of recruitment of coactivators, such as Tip60, by $ER\beta1$ at AP-1 site. In contrast, ICI and SERMs were agonists of $ER\beta1$ -mediated AP-1 transactivation, but SERMs did not further up-regulate the enhancement by Tip60 as compared with the control. We suggest that SERMs cannot improve the potency of Tip60 recruitment by $ER\beta1$. Another possible explanation may be that the binding of either Tip60 or SERMs causes a similar conformational change in ER β 1 that is favorable to tethering on the AP-1 site (67–69). Tip60 and SERMs are thus redundant to the enhancement of $ER\beta1$ transactivation. To conclude, we showed that the differential regulation of $ER\beta1$ transactivation by Tip60 at ERE and AP-1 sites is controlled through binding to different ligands.

Tip60 enhances the activities of certain transcription factors through acetylation (57). Thus, we sought to determine whether its regulation of $ER\beta1$ activity is mediated through acetylation. We used different acetylation assays to illustrate that Tip60 is incapable of acetylating $ER\beta1$. This is consistent with studies of other coregulators of $ER\beta1$ that possess HAT activity, but none of them was found to acetylate $ER\beta1$ (9, 13, 16, 45, 48). Moreover, acetylation of nuclear receptors is assumed to occur at a conserved motif "(K/R)*X*KK" (13), which is absent in $ER\beta1$ (data not shown). These findings suggest that $ER\beta1$ may not be post-translationally modified through acetylation.

In addition to acetylating its interacting partners, Tip60 can auto-acetylate to regulate its activity (71, 72). In our *in vivo* acetylation assays, acetylation of Tip60 was detected only in the immunoprecipitation that used Tip60 antibody but not $ER\beta1$ antibody, revealing that those Tip60 proteins in the $ER\beta1$ -Tip60 complex are probably unacetylated. The result implies that $ER\beta1$ may preferentially interact with unacetylated Tip60, perhaps because auto-acetylation modifies the structure of Tip60 (71). Our study verified that HAT activity of Tip60 does not increase ER β 1 transactivation. In contrast, Tip60 Δ HAT did not reduce but enhanced $ER\beta1$ activity at the AP-1 site. The result was confirmed with the use of anacardic acid, which inhibits the HAT activity of Tip60 (37). The observation may be

of ERß1 and Tip60 in ERß1 and LacZ stably expressed PC-3 cells upon the knockdown of Tip60 was determined. PC-3-LacZ/-ERß1 cells were grown in CSS-containing medium and transfected with nontargeting control siRNA (*siNT*) or siRNAs specific to Tip60 (*siTip*). E₂ was added after 24 h. Expression of ER β 1 (*A*) and Tip60 (*B*) was determined by quantitative RT-PCR. Human GAPDH was used as the housekeeping gene. *C* and *D,* Tip60 differentially regulates ER1 target genes. PC-3-LacZ/-ERß1 cells were treated as described in A and B. Expression of CXCL12 (C) and cyclin D2 (D) was determined by quantitative RT-PCR. The results are the average of three independent experiments. All data are represented as mean \pm S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in gene expression between different treatments is shown asfollows: *, *p* 0.05; **, *p* 0.01; ***, *p* 0.001. *E–G,* ER1 and Tip60 are both recruited to the *cis-*regulatory regions of CXCL12 and cyclin D2. PC-3-ERβ1 cells were grown in CSS-containing medium added with E₂. ChIP assays were performed with ERβ1 (E) or Tip60 antibody (F). G, re-ChIP assay was performed with Tip60 antibody followed by the second immunoprecipitation with ERB1 antibody. The ChIP DNA was amplified by real time PCR for the target regions containing an ERE site of CXCL12 or an AP-1 site of cyclin D2. The genomic region of ER β isoform 5 (ER β 5) containing neither an ERE nor an AP-1 site was used as the negative control. The fold enrichment of recruitment of ER β 1 and/or Tip60 at the target regions is relative to respective IgG controls. The results are the average of two independent experiments. All data are represented as mean \pm S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in the recruitment between ER β 1 (and/or Tip60) and IgG is shown as follows: *, $p < 0.05$. H, Tip60 differentially regulates the recruitment of ER_{B1} to the *cis-regulatory regions of CXCL12 and cyclin D2. PC-3-ERB1 cells were grown in CSS-containing medium added with E₂ and* transfected with siRNAs (siNT or siTip) for 48 h. ChIP assays were performed with ER β 1 antibody. The procedures of the amplification of ChIP DNA were similar to those described in *E-G*. The results are the average of two independent experiments. Data are represented as mean \pm S.D. The statistical significance of the difference in the ER β 1 recruitment with or without the knockdown of Tip60 is shown as follows: *, $p < 0.05$.

explained by the increased amount of unacetylated Tip60 that binds to $ER\beta1$. In fact, HAT activity of Tip60 is not essential for the regulation of the activity of some transcription factors, such as CREB, STAT3, and PGC-1 α (27, 28, 73). Our data indicate that $ER\beta1$ transactivation is not regulated through HAT activity of Tip60. Furthermore, the receptor appears to interact preferentially with unacetylated Tip60.

In this study, we found that $ER\beta1$ activity was enhanced by Tip60 at the AP-1 site. The ER β 1-mediated transactivation requires the recruitment of CBP/p300 and p160 coactivators at the AP-1-response element (4), where $ER\beta1$ interacts primarily with p160 coactivators (4, 43, 74, 75). These observations urged us to investigate whether Tip60 interacts with p160 coactivators to regulate $ER\beta1$ transactivation. We found that Tip60 interacted with SRC1 and GRIP1, although it only enhanced

 $ER\beta1$ activity synergistically with GRIP1 at the AP-1 site. Moreover, expression of different amounts of GRIP1 and Tip60 always resulted in a greater enhancement of $ER\beta1$ transactivation compared with expression of GRIP1 alone, revealing that they simultaneously act as coactivators of $ER\beta1$ at the AP-1 site. It is interesting that SRC1 was not synergistic with the other two coregulators, implying that it may have other mechanisms regulating ER β 1 transactivation. Because ER β 1 interacts with Tip60 at its hinge domain and GRIP1 binds to AF-1 and AF-2 domains of the receptor (43), we therefore hypothesize that Tip60 and GRIP1 cooperate to modify the conformation of $ER\beta1$, permitting more efficient tethering on the AP-1 site.

In addition, we showed that Tip60 modulates $ER\beta1$ regulation of endogenous gene expression in prostate cancer cells. In our search for $ER\beta1$ -regulated genes (10, 11), *CXCL12* (76) and

cyclin D2 (previously unknown) were the only two that we identified in this study that were regulated by both $ER\beta1$ and Tip60. We found that upon the knockdown of Tip60, the expression of CXCL12 increased and that of cyclin D2 decreased. Interestingly, the promoter region of *CXCL12* contains multiple EREs (44, 76, 77) and that of *cyclin D2* harbors two AP-1 sites based on bioinformatics. In the ChIP and re-ChIP assays, $ER\beta1$ and Tip60 were shown to co-occupy the investigated regions. Moreover, the depletion of Tip60 appeared to increase $ER\beta1$ binding to the promoter of *CXCL12* and decrease its recruitment to the promoter of *cyclin D2*. These results raise the possibility that Tip60 promotes the recruitment of $ER\beta1$ to AP-1 site but reduces its ERE binding, a mechanism that likely contributes to the differential regulation of $ER\beta1$ -targeted gene expression.

In conclusion, we showed that Tip60 modulates $ER\beta1$ action in a regulatory element-dependent manner as exemplified by its opposing roles on $ER\beta1$ transactivation at the ERE and AP-1 sites. Furthermore, its coregulatory action on $ER\beta1$ appears to be E₂-independent at both *cis*-elements, unlike its action on ER α . Contrary to common belief, Tip60 action is not mediated by its HAT activity. Our data also suggest that the interaction between Tip60 and GRIP1 synergistically enhances $ER\beta1$ tethering on the AP-1 site. Moreover, Tip60 can modulate the recruitment of ERβ1 to the promoters of *CXCL12* and *cyclin D2*, harboring the ERE and AP-1 site, respectively. Collectively, these data put Tip60 into the category of a multifaceted coregulator in the ER β 1 context, similar to GRIP1 in the regulation of the activities of ER α and glucocorticoid receptor (4, 8, 58, 59).

Acknowledgments—We thank Drs. Carolyn Klinge, Craig Jordan, Francis Chan, and Nancy Weigel for providing different plasmids; Dr. Xiaoting Zhang for critical reading of the manuscript, and Nancy Voynow for professional editing of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ho, S. M., Lee, M. T., Lam, H. M., and Leung, Y. K. (2011) Estrogens and prostate cancer: etiology, mediators, prevention, and management. *Endocrinol. Metab Clin. North Am.* **40,** 591–614
- 2. Carroll, J. S., and Brown, M. (2006) Estrogen receptor target gene: an evolving concept. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **20,** 1707–1714
- 3. Klinge, C. M. (2001) Estrogen receptor interaction with estrogen-response elements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29,** 2905–2919
- 4. Kushner, P. J., Agard, D. A., Greene, G. L., Scanlan, T. S., Shiau, A. K., Uht, R. M., and Webb, P. (2000) Estrogen receptor pathways to AP-1. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **74,** 311–317
- 5. Saville, B., Wormke, M., Wang, F., Nguyen, T., Enmark, E., Kuiper, G., Gustafsson, J. A., and Safe, S. (2000) Ligand-, cell-, and estrogen receptor subtype (α/β) -dependent activation at GC-rich (Sp1) promoter elements. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 5379–5387
- 6. Heldring, N., Pike, A., Andersson, S., Matthews, J., Cheng, G., Hartman, J., Tujague, M., Ström, A., Treuter, E., Warner, M., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2007) Estrogen receptors: how do they signal and what are their targets. *Physiol. Rev.* **87,** 905–931
- 7. Jeyakumar, M., Carlson, K. E., Gunther, J. R., and Katzenellenbogen, J. A. (2011) Exploration of dimensions of estrogen potency: parsing ligand binding and coactivator binding affinities. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286,** 12971–12982
- 8. Klinge, C. M., Jernigan, S. C., Mattingly, K. A., Risinger, K. E., and Zhang, J. (2004) Estrogen-response element-dependent regulation of transcriptional activation of estrogen receptors α and β by coactivators and corepressors. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **33,** 387–410
- 9. Wong, C. W., Komm, B., and Cheskis, B. J. (2001) Structure-function evaluation of ER α and β interplay with SRC family coactivators. ER selective ligands. *Biochemistry* **40,** 6756–6765
- 10. Vivar, O. I., Zhao, X., Saunier, E. F., Griffin, C., Mayba, O. S., Tagliaferri, M., Cohen, I., Speed, T. P., and Leitman, D. C. (2010) Estrogen receptor β binds to and regulates three distinct classes of target genes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285,** 22059–22066
- 11. Zhao, C., Gao, H., Liu, Y., Papoutsi, Z., Jaffrey, S., Gustafsson, J. A., and Dahlman-Wright, K. (2010) Genome-wide mapping of estrogen receptor- β -binding regions reveals extensive cross-talk with transcription factor activator protein-1. *Cancer Res.* **70,** 5174–5183
- 12. Lonard, D. M., and O'malley, B. W. (2007) Nuclear receptor coregulators: judges, juries, and executioners of cellular regulation. *Mol. Cell* **27,** 691–700
- 13. Wang, C., Tian, L., Popov, V. M., and Pestell, R. G. (2011) Acetylation and nuclear receptor action. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **123,** 91–100
- 14. Wu, R. C., Smith, C. L., and O'Malley, B. W. (2005) Transcriptional regulation by steroid receptor coactivator phosphorylation. *Endocr. Rev.* **26,** 393–399
- 15. Fu, M., Wang, C., Zhang, X., and Pestell, R. G. (2004) Acetylation of nuclear receptors in cellular growth and apoptosis. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **68,** 1199–1208
- 16. Kim, M. Y., Woo, E. M., Chong, Y. T., Homenko, D. R., and Kraus, W. L. (2006) Acetylation of estrogen receptor α by p300 at lysines 266 and 268 enhances the deoxyribonucleic acid binding and transactivation activities of the receptor. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **20,** 1479–1493
- 17. Wang, C., Fu, M., Angeletti, R. H., Siconolfi-Baez, L., Reutens, A. T., Albanese, C., Lisanti, M. P., Katzenellenbogen, B. S., Kato, S., Hopp, T., Fuqua, S. A., Lopez, G. N., Kushner, P. J., and Pestell, R. G. (2001) Direct acetylation of the estrogen receptor α hinge region by p300 regulates transactivation and hormone sensitivity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 18375–18383
- 18. Cui, Y., Zhang, M., Pestell, R., Curran, E. M., Welshons, W. V., and Fuqua, S. A. (2004) Phosphorylation of estrogen receptor α blocks its acetylation and regulates estrogen sensitivity. *Cancer Res.* **64,** 9199–9208
- 19. Ma, Y., Fan, S., Hu, C., Meng, Q., Fuqua, S. A., Pestell, R. G., Tomita, Y. A., and Rosen, E. M. (2010) BRCA1 regulates acetylation and ubiquitination of estrogen receptor-α. *Mol. Endocrinol*. **24,** 76–90
- 20. Webb, P., Nguyen, P., Valentine, C., Lopez, G. N., Kwok, G. R., McInerney, E., Katzenellenbogen, B. S., Enmark, E., Gustafsson, J. A., Nilsson, S., and Kushner, P. J. (1999) The estrogen receptor enhances AP-1 activity by two distinct mechanisms with different requirements for receptor transactivation functions. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **13,** 1672–1685
- 21. Utley, R. T., and Côté, J. (2003) The MYST family of histone acetyltransferases. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **274,** 203–236
- 22. Baek, S. H., Ohgi, K. A., Rose, D.W., Koo, E. H., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (2002) Exchange of N-CoR corepressor and Tip60 coactivator complexes links gene expression by $NF - \kappa B$ and β -amyloid precursor protein. *Cell* **110,** 55–67
- 23. Brady, M. E., Ozanne, D. M., Gaughan, L., Waite, I., Cook, S., Neal, D. E., and Robson, C. N. (1999) Tip60 is a nuclear hormone receptor coactivator. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274,** 17599–17604
- 24. Gaughan, L., Logan, I. R., Cook, S., Neal, D. E., and Robson, C. N. (2002) Tip60 and histone deacetylase 1 regulate androgen receptor activity through changes to the acetylation status of the receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277,** 25904–25913
- 25. Legube, G., Linares, L. K., Tyteca, S., Caron, C., Scheffner, M., Chevillard-Briet, M., and Trouche, D. (2004) Role of the histone acetyl transferase Tip60 in the p53 pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279,** 44825–44833
- 26. Patel, J. H., Du, Y., Ard, P. G., Phillips, C., Carella, B., Chen, C. J., Rakowski, C., Chatterjee, C., Lieberman, P. M., Lane, W. S., Blobel, G. A., and Mc-Mahon, S. B. (2004) The c-MYC oncoprotein is a substrate of the acetyltransferases hGCN5/PCAF and TIP60. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24,** 10826–10834
- 27. Gavaravarapu, S., and Kamine, J. (2000) Tip60 inhibits activation of CREB protein by protein kinase A. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **269,** 758–766
- 28. Xiao, H., Chung, J., Kao, H. Y., and Yang, Y. C. (2003) Tip60 is a corepressor for STAT3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 11197–11204
- 29. Jeong, K. W., Kim, K., Situ, A. J., Ulmer, T. S., An, W., and Stallcup, M. R.

(2011) Recognition of enhancer element-specific histone methylation by TIP60 in transcriptional activation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **18,** 1358–1365

- 30. Nakajima, A., Maruyama, S., Bohgaki, M., Miyajima, N., Tsukiyama, T., Sakuragi, N., and Hatakeyama, S. (2007) Ligand-dependent transcription of estrogen receptor α is mediated by the ubiquitin ligase EFP. *Biochem*. *Biophys. Res. Commun.* **357,** 245–251
- 31. Won Jeong, K., Chodankar, R., Purcell, D. J., Bittencourt, D., and Stallcup, M. R. (2012) Gene-specific patterns of coregulator requirements by estrogen receptor-α in breast cancer cells. *Mol. Endocrinol*. **26,** 955–966
- 32. Gaughan, L., Brady, M. E., Cook, S., Neal, D. E., and Robson, C. N. (2001) Tip60 is a co-activator specific for class I nuclear hormone receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 46841–46848
- 33. Leung, Y. K., Lam, H. M., Wu, S., Song, D., Levin, L., Cheng, L., Wu, C. L., and Ho, S. M. (2010) Estrogen receptor β 2 and β 5 are associated with poor prognosis in prostate cancer and promote cancer cell migration and invasion. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **17,** 675–689
- 34. Leung, Y. K., Gao, Y., Lau, K. M., Zhang, X., and Ho, S. M. (2006) ICI 182,780-regulated gene expression in DU145 prostate cancer cells is mediated by estrogen receptor- β /NF_KB crosstalk. *Neoplasia* 8, 242–249
- 35. Shiota, M., Yokomizo, A., Masubuchi, D., Tada, Y., Inokuchi, J., Eto, M., Uchiumi, T., Fujimoto, N., and Naito, S. (2010) Tip60 promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation by translocation of androgen receptor into the nucleus. *Prostate* **70,** 540–554
- 36. Paech, K.,Webb, P., Kuiper, G. G., Nilsson, S., Gustafsson, J., Kushner, P. J., and Scanlan, T. S. (1997) Differential ligand activation of estrogen receptors ERα and ERβ at AP1 sites. *Science* **277,** 1508–1510
- 37. Fujimoto, N., Honda, H., and Kitamura, S. (2004) Effects of environmental estrogenic chemicals on AP1-mediated transcription with estrogen receptors α and β. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **88,** 53–59
- 38. Sun, Y., Jiang, X., Chen, S., Fernandes, N., and Price, B. D. (2005) A role for the Tip60 histone acetyltransferase in the acetylation and activation of ATM. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102,** 13182–13187
- 39. Sun, Y., Jiang, X., Chen, S., and Price, B. D. (2006) Inhibition of histone acetyltransferase activity by anacardic acid sensitizes tumor cells to ionizing radiation. *FEBS Lett.* **580,** 4353–4356
- 40. Lonard, D. M., and O'Malley, B. W. (2005) Expanding functional diversity of the coactivators. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **30,** 126–132
- 41. Puigserver, P., Adelmant, G., Wu, Z., Fan, M., Xu, J., O'Malley, B., and Spiegelman, B. M. (1999) Activation of PPARy coactivator-1 through transcription factor docking. *Science* **286,** 1368–1371
- 42. Xu, J., Wu, R. C., and O'Malley, B. W. (2009) Normal and cancer-related functions of the p160 steroid receptor co-activator (SRC) family. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **9,** 615–630
- 43. Webb, P., Nguyen, P., Shinsako, J., Anderson, C., Feng, W., Nguyen, M. P., Chen, D., Huang, S. M., Subramanian, S., McKinerney, E., Katzenellenbogen, B. S., Stallcup, M. R., and Kushner, P. J. (1998) Estrogen receptor activation function 1 works by binding p160 coactivator proteins. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **12,** 1605–1618
- 44. Holmes, K. A., Song, J. S., Liu, X. S., Brown, M., and Carroll, J. S. (2008) Nkx3-1 and LEF-1 function as transcriptional inhibitors of estrogen receptor activity. *Cancer Res.* **68,** 7380–7385
- 45. Chang, E. C., Charn, T. H., Park, S. H., Helferich, W. G., Komm, B., Katzenellenbogen, J. A., and Katzenellenbogen, B. S. (2008) Estrogen receptors α and β as determinants of gene expression: influence of ligand, dose, and chromatin binding. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **22,** 1032–1043
- 46. Liu, Y., Gao, H., Marstrand, T. T., Ström, A., Valen, E., Sandelin, A., Gustafsson, J. A., and Dahlman-Wright, K. (2008) The genome landscape of ERα- and ERβ-binding DNA regions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105, 2604–2609
- 47. Matthews, J., Wihlén, B., Tujague, M., Wan, J., Ström, A., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2006) Estrogen receptor (ER) β modulates ER α -mediated transcriptional activation by altering the recruitment of c-Fos and c-Jun to estrogen-responsive promoters. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **20,** 534–543
- 48. Tremblay, A., Tremblay, G. B., Labrie, F., and Giguère, V. (1999) Ligandindependent recruitment of SRC-1 to estrogen receptor β through phosphorylation of activation function AF-1. *Mol. Cell* **3,** 513–519
- 49. Williams, C., Edvardsson, K., Lewandowski, S. A., Ström, A., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2008) A genome-wide study of the repressive effects of estrogen

receptor β on estrogen receptor α signaling in breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* **27,** 1019–1032

- 50. Zhao, C., Dahlman-Wright, K., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2008) Estrogen receptor : an overview and update. *Nucl. Recept. Signal.* **6,** e003
- 51. Jackson, T. A., Richer, J. K., Bain, D. L., Takimoto, G. S., Tung, L., and Horwitz, K. B. (1997) The partial agonist activity of antagonist-occupied steroid receptors is controlled by a novel hinge domain-binding coactivator L7/SPA and the corepressors N-CoR or SMRT. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **11,** 693–705
- 52. Tcherepanova, I., Puigserver, P., Norris, J. D., Spiegelman, B. M., and Mc-Donnell, D. P. (2000) Modulation of estrogen receptor- α transcriptional activity by the coactivator PGC-1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 16302–16308
- 53. Sanchez, M., Sauvé, K., Picard, N., and Tremblay, A. (2007) The hormonal response of estrogen receptor β is decreased by the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway via a phosphorylation-dependent release of CREBbinding protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282,** 4830–4840
- 54. Sanchez, M., Picard, N., Sauvé, K., and Tremblay, A. (2013) Coordinate regulation of estrogen receptor β degradation by Mdm2 and CREB-binding protein in response to growth signals. *Oncogene* **32,** 117–126
- 55. Burns, K. A., Li, Y., Arao, Y., Petrovich, R. M., and Korach, K. S. (2011) Selective mutations in estrogen receptor α D-domain alters nuclear translocation and non-estrogen-response element gene regulatory mechanisms. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286,** 12640–12649
- 56. Zwart, W., de Leeuw, R., Rondaij, M., Neefjes, J., Mancini, M. A., and Michalides, R. (2010) The hinge region of the human estrogen receptor determines functional synergy between AF-1 and AF-2 in the quantitative response to estradiol and tamoxifen. *J. Cell Sci.* **123,** 1253–1261
- 57. Sapountzi, V., Logan, I. R., and Robson, C. N. (2006) Cellular functions of TIP60. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **38,** 1496–1509
- 58. Cvoro, A., Tzagarakis-Foster, C., Tatomer, D., Paruthiyil, S., Fox, M. S., and Leitman, D. C. (2006) Distinct roles of unliganded and liganded estrogen receptors in transcriptional repression. *Mol. Cell* **21,** 555–564
- 59. Rogatsky, I., Luecke, H. F., Leitman, D. C., and Yamamoto, K. R. (2002) Alternate surfaces of transcriptional coregulator GRIP1 function in different glucocorticoid receptor activation and repression contexts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **99,** 16701–16706
- 60. Harris, D. M., Besselink, E., Henning, S. M., Go, V. L., and Heber, D. (2005) Phytoestrogens induce differential estrogen receptor α - or β -mediated responses in transfected breast cancer cells. *Exp. Biol. Med.* **230,** 558–568
- 61. Kuiper, G. G., Lemmen, J. G., Carlsson, B., Corton, J. C., Safe, S. H., van der Saag, P. T., van der Burg, B., and Gustafsson, J. A. (1998) Interaction of estrogenic chemicals and phytoestrogens with estrogen receptor β . *Endocrinology* **139,** 4252–4263
- 62. Nikov, G. N., Hopkins, N. E., Boue, S., and Alworth, W. L. (2000) Interactions of dietary estrogens with human estrogen receptors and the effect on estrogen receptor-estrogen-response element complex formation. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **108,** 867–872
- 63. Paige, L. A., Christensen, D. J., Grøn, H., Norris, J. D., Gottlin, E. B., Padilla, K. M., Chang, C. Y., Ballas, L. M., Hamilton, P. T., McDonnell, D. P., and Fowlkes, D. M. (1999) Estrogen receptor (ER) modulators each induce distinct conformational changes in ER α and ER β . *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **96,** 3999–4004
- 64. Mueller, S. O., Simon, S., Chae, K., Metzler, M., and Korach, K. S. (2004) Phytoestrogens and their human metabolites show distinct agonistic and antagonistic properties on estrogen receptor α (ER α) and ER β in human cells. *Toxicol. Sci.* **80,** 14–25
- 65. Routledge, E. J., White, R., Parker, M. G., and Sumpter, J. P. (2000) Differential effects of xenoestrogens on coactivator recruitment by estrogen receptor (ER) α and ERβ. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 35986–35993
- 66. Björnström, L., and Sjöberg, M. (2004) Estrogen receptor-dependent activation of AP-1 via non-genomic signalling. *Nucl. Recept.* **2,** 3
- 67. Pike, A. C., Brzozowski, A. M., Hubbard, R. E., Bonn, T., Thorsell, A. G., Engström, O., Ljunggren, J., Gustafsson, J. A., and Carlquist, M. (1999) Structure of the ligand-binding domain of oestrogen receptor β in the presence of a partial agonist and a full antagonist. *EMBO J.* **18,** 4608–4618
- 68. Pike, A. C., Brzozowski, A. M., Walton, J., Hubbard, R. E., Thorsell, A. G., Li, Y. L., Gustafsson, J. A., and Carlquist, M. (2001) Structural insights into the mode of action of a pure antiestrogen. *Structure* **9,** 145–153

- 69. Wang, Y., Chirgadze, N. Y., Briggs, S. L., Khan, S., Jensen, E. V., and Burris, T. P. (2006) A second binding site for hydroxytamoxifen within the coactivator-binding groove of estrogen receptor β . Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *U.S.A.* **103,** 9908–9911
- 70. Deleted in proof
- 71. Wang, J., and Chen, J. (2010) SIRT1 regulates autoacetylation and histone acetyltransferase activity of TIP60. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285,** 11458–11464
- 72. Yang, C., Wu, J., and Zheng, Y. G. (2012) Function of the active site lysine autoacetylation in Tip60 catalysis. *PLoS One* **7,** e32886
- 73. Lerin, C., Rodgers, J. T., Kalume, D. E., Kim, S. H., Pandey, A., and Puigserver, P. (2006) GCN5 acetyltransferase complex controls glucose metabolism through transcriptional repression of PGC-1a. Cell Metab. 3, 429–438
- 74. Kamei, Y., Xu, L., Heinzel, T., Torchia, J., Kurokawa, R., Gloss, B., Lin, S. C.,

Heyman, R. A., Rose, D. W., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1996) A CBP integrator complex mediates transcriptional activation and AP-1 inhibition by nuclear receptors. *Cell* **85,** 403–414

- 75. Lee, S. K., Kim, H. J., Na, S. Y., Kim, T. S., Choi, H. S., Im, S. Y., and Lee, J. W. (1998) Steroid receptor coactivator-1 coactivates activating protein-1-mediated transactivations through interaction with the c-Jun and c-Fos subunits. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273,** 16651–16654
- 76. Sauvé, K., Lepage, J., Sanchez, M., Heveker, N., and Tremblay, A. (2009) Positive feedback activation of estrogen receptors by the CXCL12-CXCR4 pathway. *Cancer Res.* **69,** 5793–5800
- 77. Zhu, Y., Sullivan, L. L., Nair, S. S., Williams, C. C., Pandey, A. K., Marrero, L., Vadlamudi, R. K., and Jones, F. E. (2006) Coregulation of estrogen receptor by ERBB4/HER4 establishes a growth-promoting autocrine signal in breast tumor cells. *Cancer Res.* **66,** 7991–7998

