Mengovirus-Induced Cytopathic Effect in L-Cells: Protective Effect of Interferon

A. T. HAASE, S. BARON, H. LEVY, AND J. A. KASEL

Laboratory of Clinical Investigation, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and the Laboratory of Viral Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Received for publication 23 April 1969

The effect of interferon on mengovirus-induced cytopathic effect (CPE) in L cells, the cut-off of host-cell protein synthesis, and production of mature virus were found to be dependent on the concentration of interferon. CPE and inhibition of host protein synthesis were not affected until the concentration of interferon was increased 100-fold over that required to reduce viral yields by 90%.

Interferon at a concentration which reduces yields of mengovirus by 85 to 90 $\%$ in L cells fails to prevent cell degeneration in 100% of the cells at the usual time (9, 12). This finding is surprising for the following reasons. The cytopathic effect (CPE) of mengovirus requires virus-directed synthesis of a cytopathic protein(s) [CPP, (5)]. However, since interferon is thought to act by altering host ribosomes to discriminate selectively against translation of viral messenger ribonucleic acid $(mRNA)$ $(11, 13-15)$, one would expect that synthesis of ^a CPP by mengovirus RNA would be inhibited by interferon. To explain this apparent inconsistency, one could suppose that either (i) early viral mRNA coding for CPP is much less sensitive to the action of interferon than later messages which result in mature virus, or (ii) the low level of translation of viral mRNA even in the presence of moderate concentrations of interferon results in accumulation of sufficient CPP to eventuate in cell death. In either case, if enough interferon were used it should be possible to reduce mengovirus-induced CPE in L cells. The present paper provides data in support of this concept.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. The CCL1 strain of mouse L cells was used throughout. The cells were maintained in spinner culture in BME supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), glutamine and antibiotics (growth medium, GM). Monolayers were grown in four dram vials (Opticlear shell vials, 25 by 52 mm; Owen-Illinois Consumer & Technical Products Div., Toledo, Ohio) containing half of an 11- by 22-mm cover slip. Seeding vials with 2×10^5 cells in 1 ml of GM resulted in nearly confluent monolayers of 3.3 \times 10⁵ to 4 \times 10⁵ cells the following day. All experiments were carried out in a humid atmosphere with 5% CO₂ in air at 37 C.

Virus and virus assay. One mengovirus pool with a

titer of 2×10^3 plaque-forming units (PFU)/ml was used in all experiments. Virus induced CPE and viral growth cycles were studied by infecting monolayers with 0.5 ml of mengovirus at a virus to cell input multiplicity of 20 to 1. After adsorption for ¹ hr at 37 C, the monolayers were washed three times with BME to remove unadsorbed virus; the cultures were then incubated at ³⁷ C with ¹ ml of BME supplemented with 2% FCS, glutamine, and antibiotics (maintenance media, MM). To determine viral growth curves, cells were scraped at hourly intervals from duplicate vials with a rubber policeman and the cell suspensions were subjected to three cycles of freezing and thawing in a $CO₂$ -alcohol ice bath. Infectivity was assayed by inoculating duplicate L-cell monolayers with 0.2 ml of serial dilutions of the lysates in MM. After adsorption at ³⁷ C for ¹ hr, the inoculum was discarded and 1 ml of an agar overlay consisting of 0.9% agar-Noble in Eagles tryptose phosphate base with 5% FCS, antibiotics, and 200 μ g of diethylaminoethyl (DEAE)-dextran per ml (17) was added. After 24 hr of additional incubation, 0.5 ml of the agar overlay without DEAE-dextran but with 0.01% neutral red was added; the plaques were counted 12 hr later.

Interferon. Interferon was obtained in mouse serum after Newcastle disease virus infection (6) and assayed as previously described (7). The NIH reference standard is 500 units/ml by this assay procedure. In L cells, the titers are equivalent whether expressed in 0.5 log yield-reducing units or 50% plaque-reducing units. A single lot of pooled mouse sera with 100,000 0.5 log yield-reducing units/ml was appropriately diluted in MM in each experiment and incubated overnight with L cells. Comparable dilutions of a pool of normal mouse sera were added to control cultures.

Assay of cytopathic effect. Mengovirus-induced CPE in L cells was quantitated both by morphological methods and by determination of lysozomal activation. The latter has been shown to correlate with virus-induced CPE (1). Lysozomal activity was assayed histochemically by a modified Gomorri technique (1). Cells that exhibited staining for acid phosphatase in discrete bodies (Fig. IA) or extensive

FIG. 1. Histochemical staining of acid phosphatase in lysosomes. (A) First-stage lysosomal activation; (B) second-stage lysosomal activation. Photomicrograph. \times 1,000.

staining extending into the cytoplasm (Fig. 1B) were considered to be damaged. These changes in staining reflect increased permeability of the lysozomal membrane to the enzyme substrate, β -glycerol phosphate, and are referred to as first and second stage lysozomal activation, respectively.

CPE was quantitated by combining the results of the lysozomal assay with those obtained by examination of the cells by ordinary light and phase microscopy. Cells showing extensive vacuolization, rounding, and nuclear pycnosis or staining of lysozomes, or both, were considered damaged. In experiments performed in triplicate, 200 cells per cover slip were examined and the percentage of damaged cells was recorded and averaged.

Incorporation of radioactive metabolites. "4C-valine (0.2 c/mmole) was purchased from New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass. Spinner cultures infected at a multiplicity of infection of 20 to ¹ were diluted in valine-free BME and labeled at intervals with 1 μ c per 15-ml sample. Incorporation of the radioactive metabolite into the trichloroacetic acidinsoluble precipitate was taken as a measure of protein synthesis. Counting was done in a Packard Tri-Carb scintillation counter (Packard Instrument Co., Inc., Downers Grove, Ill.) on acid-insoluble precipitates collected on membrane filters (Schleicher & Schuell Co., Keene, N.H).

RESULTS

Effect of interferon concentration on mengovirusinduced CPE in L cells. Interferon at concentrations which markedly reduce viral yields, 10 and ¹⁰⁰ units/ml, did not affect CPE in infected L cells as previously noted (9, 12). Higher concentrations of 1,000 and 10,000 units/ml, however, effected a delay in the time of appearance of CPE and slowed the rate of development of CPE (Fig. 2). Cells treated with these higher concentrations of interferon appeared morphologically normal 10 to 12 hr postinfection when more than 75% of infected cells not exposed to interferon exhibited advanced cellular degeneration (Fig. 3A and B). It is possible that CPE might be completely suppressed by interferon at concentrations in excess of 10,000 units/ml since nearly 50% of the cells treated with 10,000 units of interferon did not exhibit CPE 24 hr postinfection.

Effect of increased concentrations of interferon on the cut-off of cell protein synthesis. Within 2

FiG. 2. Effect of interferon concentration on mengovirus induced CPE. Symbols: \bigcirc , infected cells; \bigtriangleup , infected cells pretreated with 10 units interferon; \Box , infected cells pretreated with 100 units of interferon; 0, infected cells pretreated with 1,000 units of interferon; \blacksquare , infected cells pretreated with 10,000 units of interferon.

FIG. 3. Effect of 1,000 units of interferon on mengovirus-induced CPE. (A) CPE in L cells 10 hr after infection with mengovirus; (B) L cells pretreated with $1,000$ units of interferon 10 hr postinfection. Phase microscopy. \times 1,000.

hr postinfection, proteins synthesized under control of mengovirus RNA inhibit synthesis of cell RNA and protein (5). It had been shown previously that interferon at a concentration which did not prevent CPE would delay the cut-off of RNA synthesis by ¹ hr, but did not affect the mengovirus-induced inhibition of protein synthesis (12). Since interferon did diminish CPE at high concentrations, it was of interest to determine if increasing the concentration of interferon would also affect the cut-off of protein synthesis. Figure 4 depicts the effect of 1,000 units of interferon on the rates of protein synthesis at intervals following infection of spinner cultures of L cells. The rapid cut-off of protein synthesis in infected cells was delayed by 3 hr by exposure to interferon at the increased concentration. The rise in protein synthesis during virus production was also less, presumably reflecting the decrease in virus yields in the interferon-treated cells. By 6 hr postinfection, comparable reductions of protein synthesis were evident in infected czlls with or without exposure to interferon.

The relationship of the cytopathic protein to inhibition of macromolecular synthesis. The experiments to be described had two aims: (i) to confirm the dependence of CPE on virus-directed protein

synthesis and (ii) to show that the proteins responsible for inhibition of host cell protein and RNA synthesis are different from the protein(s) responsible for CPE.

In accord with the observations of others (2, 9), we found that mengovirus-induced CPE requires virus-directed protein synthesis and that the CPP accumulates in cells at the end of the viral latency period. The requirement for, and time of synthesis of, the CPP was determined by replacing media of infected cultures at 0.5-hr intervals postinfection with media containing 25 μ g/ml of cycloheximide, a compound that rapidly blocks protein synthesis by inhibiting the transfer of amino acids from aminoacyl-soluble RNA to growing polypeptide chains on the ribosome (8). Additions of cycloheximide up to 4 hr postinfection prevented the development of CPE; additions of cycloheximide after this time resulted in CPE indistinguishable in time of appearance and rate of development from infected monolayers not exposed to cycloheximide. Since new virus is first detected 5 hr postinfection (Fig. 6), the CPP must have accumulated in cells at the end of the viral latency period.

Two lines of evidence suggest that the proteins responsible for depression of host-cell macromolecular synthesis are not the same as the 100 $CPP(s)$. First, the "cut-off" proteins are present and exert maximum effects by 2 hr postinfection (5), whereas CPP(s) appears 4 hr postinfection with maximum effects $\overline{8}$ hr postinfection. Further $\overline{80}$ evidence that CPP and the cut off proteins are $\overline{80}$ evidence that CPP and the cut-off proteins are different was obtained by studying the effect of inhibitors of protein and RNA synthesis on the development of CPE. Actinomycin D and puro-
mycin, inhibitors of deoxyribonucleic acid mycin, inhibitors of deoxyribonucleic acid $\frac{\omega}{60}$ (DNA)-dependent RNA synthesis and protein osynthesis, respectively, were incubated with L-cell $\frac{1}{2}$ monolayers at concentration of 10 μ g of actinomycin per ml synthesis, respectively, were incubated with L-cell monolayers at concentration of 10 μ g of actinomycin per ml and 200 μ g of puromycin per ml that have been shown to inhibit 98 to 99% of $\frac{10}{6}$ 40 RNA and protein synthesis in L cells (4, 10). The extent of CPE was then compared to that seen in infected cells at corresponding times postinfection. The dramatic CPE induced by viral infection 20 was not observed in the cells incubated with the metabolic inhibitors, although by 24 hr of incubation up to 30% of the cells showed extensive vacuolization and rounding. Therefore, it appears

synthesis in mengovirus-infected L cells. Incorporation each of several dependent steps in the viral of '4C-valine into the trichloroacetic acid-insoluble precipitate of spinner cultures of L cells. Symbols: growth cycle would be cumulative and thereby precipitate of spinner cultures of L cells. Symbols: block production of mature virus. \bullet , infected cultures; \Box , infected cultures pretreated block production of mature virus.
with 1,000 units of interfaceus Represent enseits estiming. There are several reasons to attribute the effects with 1,000 units of interferon. Per cent specific activity There are several reasons to attribute the effects hased on 520 counts per min/ug of protein incorporal of mouse-serum interferon on virus-induced CPE based on 520 counts per min/ μ g of protein incorpora-
tion in the control culture.

heximide 25 μ g/ml added to infected monolayers of **o f f** *f* \bullet **f** *f cells.* \circ , 2 *hr postinfection*; \Box , 3 *hr postinfection*; \triangle , 4 hr postinfection; \bullet , 5 hr postinfection.

tion than the cut-off proteins, but also the action of the cut-off proteins on L cells could not account for the effect of the CPP.

 $\overrightarrow{40}$ affected by interferon, but only at concentrations of interferon 100 times that required to reduce yields of mature virus by 90% . Two alternative explanations can be offered for the relative in- $20\frac{1}{20}$ sensitivity of these two viral effects. One is that there are simply portions of the viral genome that are inherently resistant to the action of interferon. The second is that even though viral mRNA is translated with low efficiency on interferon-altered $\begin{array}{ccc}\n0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{ribosomes, there is, nevertheless, sufficient synthetic} \\
\text{is of the cytopathic or cut-off proteins to have}\n\end{array}$ HOURS POST INFECTION sis of the cytopathic or cut-off proteins to have their full effect on the host cell. In contrast, the FIG. 4. Effect of 1,000 units of interferon on protein low efficiency in translation of viral mRNA at

and inhibition of protein synthesis to interferon

FIG. 6. Growth curve of mengovirus in CCLI subline of L cells.

and not to increased concentrations of other factors in the serum. First, the anti-CPE had the following properties in common with interferon: species specificity (CPE was affected in mouse cells but not in chicken cells), lack of viral specificity (CPE induced by Semliki Forest virus and vesicular stomatitis virus was also inhibited), stability at pH 2, and cell-bound activity (not removed by washing prior to viral challenge). In addition, the mouse serum with interferon had been characterized fully for activity against viral replication and met criteria for interferon, namely, trypsin sensitivity, nonsedimentability, requirement for RNA and protein synthesis as well as the properties listed above. Finally, normal mouse serum treated identically and used at the same concentration on control cultures did not affect induction of CPE or inhibition of protein synthesis.

The relationship of mengovirus-induced CPE to virus-directed synthesis of a protein(s) that accumulates in cells at the end of the viral latency period was confirmed (2, 9). To support our contention that the concentration effect of interferon held for two viral events, induction of CPE and inhibition of protein synthesis, experiments were

performed to demonstrate that the cut-off proteins were different from the CPP(s). That these proteins are different is suggested by the timing experiments with inhibitors of protein synthesis that show that the virus-induced cut-off proteins which depress host cell protein and RNA synthesis are present and have their effect in L cells at least 2 hr prior to synthesis of CPP. In addition, the inability of almost total inhibition of host protein and RNA synthesis by metabolic inhibitors to mimic viral CPE is further evidence that the CPP and the cut-off proteins are distinct and have different effects on the cells. The situation with another picornavirus, polio virus, is similar where it has been shown (4) that CPE does not occur in infected cells treated with guanidine, but nearly total depression of host-cell macromolecular synthesis does occur under these conditions. In the case of vaccinia virus, it also appears that the protein(s) responsible for inhibition of macromolecular synthesis in host cells and the protein which causes CPE are separate since the latter protein must be synthesized after viral infection (3), whereas the inhibitor proteins are already present on the intact viral particle (16).

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Allison, A. C., and L. Mallucci. 1965. Histochemical studies of lysosomal enzymes in virus-infected cell cultures. J. Exp. Med. 121:463-476.
- 2. Amako, K., and S. Dales. 1967. Cytopathology of mengovirus infection. I. Relationship between cellular disintegration and virulence. Virology 32:184-200.
- 3. Bablanian, R. 1968. The prevention of early vaccinia-virusinduced cytopathic effects by inhibition of protein synthesis. J. Gen. Virol. 3:51-61.
- 4. Bablanian, R., H. J. Eggers, and I. Tamm. 1965. Studies on the mechanism of poliovirus-induced cell damage. I. The relation between poliovirus-induced metabolic and morphological alterations in cultured cells. Virology 26:100- 113.
- 5. Baltimore, D., R. M. Franklin, and J. Callender. 1963. Mengovirus-induced inhibition of host ribonucleic acid and protein synthesis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 76:425-430.
- 6. Baron, S., and C. E. Euckler. 1963. Circulating interferon in mice after intravenous injection of virus. Science 141:1061- 1063.
- 7. Baron, S., C. E. Buckler, H. B. Levy, and R. M. Friedman. 1967. Some factors affecting the interferon-induced antiviral state. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 125:1320-1326.
- 8. Ennis, H. L., and M. Lubin. 1964. Cycloheximide: aspects of inhibition of protein synthesis in mammalian cells. Science 146:1474-1476.
- 9. Gauntt, C. J., and R. Z. Lockart, Jr. 1966. Inhibition of mengo virus by interferon. J. Bacteriol. 91:176-182.
- 10. Gauntt, C. J., and R. Z. Lockart, Jr. 1968. Destruction of L cells by mengo virus: use of interferon to study the mechanism. J. Virol. 2:567-575.
- 11. Joklik, W. K., and T. C. Merigan. 1966. Concerning the mechanism of action of interferon. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 56:558-565.
- 12. Levy, H. B. 1964. Studies on the mechanism of interferon action. II. The effect of interferon on some early events in mengo virus infection in L cells. Virology 22:575-579.
- 13. Levy, H. B., W. Carter, C. Buckler, R. Snellbaker, and S. Baron. 1966. Action and induction of interferon. Bacteriol. Proc., p. 119.
- 14. Levy, H. B., and W. A. Carter. 1968. Molecular basis of the action of interferon. J. Mol. Biol. 31:561-577.
- 15. Marcus, P. I., and J. M. Salb. 1966. Molecular basis of interferon action: inhibition of viral RNA translation. Virology 30:502-516.
- 16. Moss, B. 1968. Inhibition of HeLa cell protein synthesis by the vaccinia virion. J. Virol. 2:1028-1037.
- 17. Liebhaber, H., and K. K. Takemoto. 1961. Alteration of plaque morphology of EMC virus with polycations. Virology 14:502-504.