



Published in final edited form as:

Transplantation. 2013 September 15; 96(5): 476–479. doi:10.1097/TP.0b013e318299dc0e.

Cancer Risk Following ABO Incompatible Living Donor Kidney Transplantation (1)

Erin C. Hall, MD MPH,

Department of Surgery, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine; Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute

Eric A. Engels, MD MPH,

Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute

Robert A. Montgomery, MD DPhil, and

Department of Surgery, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Dorry L Segev, MD PhD

Department of Surgery, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Abstract

Background—Recipients of ABO incompatible (ABOi) living donor kidney transplants often undergo more intense immunosuppression than their ABO compatible (ABOc) counterparts. It is unknown if this difference leads to higher cancer risk after transplantation. Single-center studies are too small, and lack adequate duration of follow-up, to answer this question.

Methods—We identified 318 AB Oi recipients in the Cancer Transplant Match Study, a national linkage between the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients and population-based U.S. cancer registries. Seven cancers (non-Hodgkin lymphoma, Merkel cell carcinoma, gastric adenocarcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thyroid cancer, pancreatic cancer, and testicular cancer) were identified among AB Oi recipients. We then matched AB Oi recipients to ABOc controls by age, gender, race, HLA mismatch, retransplantation, and transplant year.

Results—There was no demonstrable association between AB Oi and cancer in unadjusted incidence rate ratio (IRR 0.83, 95% CI 0.33–1.71, $p=0.3$) or matched control analysis (IRR 0.99, 95% CI 0.38–2.23, $p=0.5$).

¹Erin C. Hall: participated in research design, writing of the paper, performance of the research, and data analysis. She was funded by NIH training grant number T32CA126607, Clinical and Laboratory Research Training for Surgical Oncologists. She has no conflicts of interest to report.

Eric A. Engels: participated in research design, writing of the paper, performance of the research, and data analysis. He was funded by the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute. He has no conflicts of interest to report.

Robert A. Montgomery: participated in research design and writing of the paper. He received no direct funding for work on this manuscript. He has no conflicts of interest to report.

Dorry L. Segev: participated in research design, writing of the paper, performance of the research, and data analysis. He received no direct funding for work on this manuscript. He has no conflicts of interest to report.

Address for Correspondence: Dorry Segev, M.D. Ph.D., Director of Clinical Research, Transplant Surgery, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, 720 Rutland Ave, Ross 771B, Baltimore, MD 21205, 410-502-6115 (tel) 410-614-2079 (fax), dorry@jhmi.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

²Infections and Immunoepidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics National Cancer Institute 6120 Executive Blvd, EPS 7076, Rockville, MD 20852

³Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions 720 Rutland Ave, Ross 771B, Baltimore, MD 21205

Conclusion—To the extent that could be determined in this registry study, current desensitization protocols are not associated with increased risk of cancer after transplantation.

Keywords

incompatible transplantation; cancer; living donor kidney transplantation

Introduction

ABO incompatible (ABOi) living donor kidney transplantation is becoming increasingly common, largely as a response to continuing shortage of kidney donors. Since 2006, ABOi transplants comprise 1.5% of all living donor transplants in the United States [1]. In most reports, ABOi recipients have similar patient and graft survival to their ABO compatible (ABOc) counterparts [1–4]. In order to achieve these results, most ABOi kidney recipients undergo more intense immunomodulatory protocols that include plasmapheresis, intravenous immune globulin, anti-CD20 treatment, and/or splenectomy [5, 6].

In general, the cancer risk for organ recipients is increased, due largely to immunosuppression [7]. This increased risk is particularly pronounced among infection-related cancers and ranges from 1.5-fold increased risk for stomach cancer to 61-fold increased risk for Kaposi sarcoma. Individual steps of ABOi protocols, including splenectomy and other forms of B-cell modulation, are associated with mildly increased cancer risk in other contexts [8–10]. It is possible that these protocols might further increase the risk of cancer after transplantation, although this has never been studied.

As ABOi transplantation becomes more common and survival improves, it is necessary to evaluate the risks of long-term complications such as cancer in order to tailor patient selection, consent, screening, and prevention appropriately. Our objective was to compare cancer risk in equivalent ABOi versus ABOc living donor kidney transplant recipients using the Transplant Cancer Match (TCM) Study, a linkage between the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) and U.S. population-based cancer registries [7]. The TCM offers the first opportunity to study high quality cancer follow-up data in a large, national cohort of ABOi recipients.

Results

Comparing 318 living donor ABOi kidney recipients with 37,643 ABOc recipients during the study period, age at transplantation, gender, race, percentage of retransplants and zero HLA mismatch status were similar. However, a higher percentage of ABOi recipients were African-American (19.3% vs. 14.0%, $p=0.02$) and had received a retransplant (11.0% vs. 8.0%, $p=0.03$) (Table 1).

As expected, ABOi transplantation was skewed towards more recent years, with 55.4% of ABOi transplants performed between 2004 and 2008. The total time at risk for ABOi recipients was 990.7 person years (median 2.00 years). An A donor to 0 recipient was the most common type of ABOi (27.0%) (Table 2).

Among ABOi recipients, there were seven cancers identified with one case each of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC), gastric adenocarcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, papillary thyroid cancer, pancreatic cancer, and testicular germinoma. Four of these cancers were infection-related (NHL, Merkel cell carcinoma, gastric adenocarcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma). The time to cancer diagnosis ranged from 0.9 to 9.2 years (median 3.6 years). ABOi recipients had no demonstrable difference in

overall cancer risk compared to ABOc recipients in unadjusted (IRR 0.83, 95% CI 0.33–1.71, $p=0.3$) or matched (IRR 0.99, 95% CI 0.38–2.23) analysis (Table 3).

The NHL case diagnosed among the ABOi recipients was a nodal Burkitt lymphoma. The time to diagnosis was 5.9 years. ABOi recipients had no demonstrable difference in NHL risk compared to ABOc recipients in unadjusted (IRR 0.86, 95% CI 0.02–4.85, $p=0.5$) or matched (IRR 1.02, 95% CI 0.02–8.38, $p=0.5$) analysis.

Discussion

In this first, limited exploration of cancer after ABOi transplantation, using a national linkage of transplant registry to cancer registry data, we did not detect an elevated post-transplant cancer risk associated with ABO incompatibility.

Only one ABOi recipient was diagnosed with NHL, typically the most common malignancy after transplantation (except for basal and squamous cell skin cancers). There was not a demonstrable difference between the incidence rate of NHL in ABOi and ABOc recipients. The single case of NHL was diagnosed at 5.9 years, consistent with the late peak of NHL risk after transplantation [11]. While in general, late NHL is less likely to be Epstein-Barr virus associated and more likely to be extra-nodal [12], the NHL diagnosed among the ABOi recipients was Burkitt lymphoma and nodal. Burkitt lymphoma risk is increased in association with immunosuppression due to HIV infection or transplantation, and possibly related to EBV infection [13–15]. Somewhat surprisingly, there were no diagnoses of early NHL (within 2 years after transplant) among ABOi recipients. Anti-CD20 antibodies are given as part of incompatible desensitization protocols at certain centers and are also used in the treatment of NHL [16, 17]. Anti-CD20 antibodies deplete B-cells that may contribute to development of NHL. The peak period of B-cell immunomodulation with anti-CD20 is during the peak of early risk for NHL [18]. It is possible that the anti-CD20 antibodies could decrease risk of NHL, particularly during this early period. More targeted research into the associations of this immunomodulation and NHL risk should be performed.

Of interest, Merkel cell carcinoma, a rare neuroendocrine tumor of the skin associated with immunosuppressed states and thought to be caused by Merkel cell polyoma virus [19], was diagnosed among the ABOi cohort. Merkel cell carcinoma risk is elevated among transplant recipients [20, 21]. Increased risk has also been found in HIV-positive patients [22] and associated with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [23, 24]. Prominent immune dysfunctions in CLL include B-cell dysfunction and hypogammaglobulinemia [25–27]. B-cell deficits are also induced in ABOi recipients as part of desensitization protocols and may offer a mechanistic explanation for the development of this rare cancer.

Strengths of our study include the use of a national cohort of living donor kidney recipients and accurate cancer ascertainment independent of transplant center follow-up and reporting. Using cancer registry linkage allowed for the greatest and most accurate follow-up time possible for each of the ABOi kidney transplant recipients captured; however, because the practice of ABOi transplantation is a relatively recent one, our median follow-up time could only be 2 years. It is possible that differences in cancer risk will become apparent when increasing numbers of ABOi recipients are followed for longer periods of time. While our cohort is the largest to date in answering this question, it is nonetheless too small to allow additional interesting analyses such as stratification by blood type, other recipient characteristics, or cancer types. Limitations also include lack of antibody titer in SRTR data, minimal information about desensitization protocols, and likely heterogeneity in practice patterns throughout the country. Another limitation is the lack of information on the incidence of non-melanoma skin cancer, the most common cancer after transplantation.

Expansion of ABOi kidney transplantation offers hope for increasing available kidney donors and access to transplantation. As outcomes after ABOi transplantation improve, it will be necessary to closely study the possible long-term risks associated with this procedure. Using the largest, albeit not large, national cohort to date, we were unable to demonstrate differences in cancer risk associated with ABOi compared with ABOc kidney transplantation. Further efforts should be made to capture accurate information on additional incompatible transplants and to track the long-term outcomes in this unique cohort of recipients.

Methods

Eligible living kidney recipients were identified in the TCM Study, a linkage of data from SRTR (1987–2008) with 14 population-based cancer registries throughout the United States (<http://transplantmatch.cancer.gov/>). The SRTR includes data on all U.S. solid organ transplants. Participating cancer registries, which together cover approximately 43% of the U.S. transplant population, ascertained the occurrence of malignancies (other than basal cell and squamous cell skin cancer) based on mandatory reporting from hospitals, medical providers, and pathology laboratories. Following linkage with the SRTR, investigators retained only anonymized data from the cancer registries. The study was approved by human subjects committees at the National Cancer Institute and, as required, at participating cancer registries.

Eligible recipients were those that received an ABOi living donor kidney transplant during a time period with available data on cancer from participating registries. We used the linked cancer registry data to identify first incident cancer cases following transplantation. Cancers were classified and recorded by cancer registries using topography and morphology codes. Follow-up started at transplantation and ended at death, graft failure, retransplantation, loss of follow-up, or end of cancer registry coverage.

Unadjusted incidence rate ratios and exact 95% confidence intervals for ABOi vs. ABO compatible (ABOc) living donor kidney recipients were calculated for all cancers and separately for non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL). In addition, a matched control cohort was created by matching ABOc living kidney recipients 5-to-1 with ABOi recipients. Matches were drawn from 37,643 possible ABOc controls available in SRTR data. Using iterative expanding radius matching as previously described [28, 29], each control was matched on age at transplantation, gender, race, zero HLA mismatch status, retransplantation, and year of transplant. Based on an incidence rate of 0.0085 per year in the ABOc cohort, we had 80% power to detect a 3-fold increase in cancer incidence based on our cohort of 318 ABOi patients.

All analyses were performed using Stata 12.0/MP for Linux (StataCorp, College Station, TX, www.stata.com).

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and assistance provided by individuals at the Health Resources and Services Administration (including Monica Lin), the SRTR (Ajay Israni, Bertram Kasiske, Paul Newkirk, Jon Snyder), and the following cancer registries: the states of California (Christina Clarke), Colorado (Jack Finch), Connecticut (Lou Gonsalves), Georgia (Rana Bayakly), Hawaii (Marc Goodman), Iowa (Charles Lynch), Illinois (Lori Koch), Michigan (Glenn Copeland), New Jersey (Karen Pawlish, Xiaoling Niu), New York (Amy Kahn), North Carolina (Chandrika Rao), Texas (Melanie Williams), and Utah (Janna Harrell), and the Seattle-Puget Sound area of Washington (Margaret Madeleine). We also thank analysts at Information Management Services for programming support (David Castenson, Ruth Parsons).

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and should not be interpreted to reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute, Health Resources and Services Administration, SRTR, cancer registries, or their contractors. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute and by training grant number T32CA126607, Clinical and Laboratory Research Training for Surgical Oncologists.

During the initial period when registry linkages were performed, the SRTR was managed by Arbor Research Collaborative for Health in Ann Arbor, MI (contract HSH234200537009C); beginning in September 2010, the SRTR was managed by Minneapolis Medical Research Foundation in Minneapolis, MN (HSH250201000018C). The following cancer registries were supported by the National Program of Cancer Registries of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: California (agreement 1U58 DP000807-01), Colorado (U58 DP000848-04), Georgia (5U58DP000817-05), Illinois (5658DP000805-04), Michigan (5U58DP000812-03), New Jersey (5U58/DP000808-05), New York (15-0351), North Carolina (U58DP000832), and Texas (5U58DP000824-04). The following cancer registries were supported by the SEER Program of the National Cancer Institute: California (contracts HHSN261201000036C, HHSN261201000035C, and HHSN261201000034C), Connecticut (HHSN261201000024C), Hawaii (HHSN261201000037C, N01-PC-35137, and N01-PC-35139), Iowa (N01-PC-35143), New Jersey (HHSN261201000027C N01-PC-54405), Seattle-Puget Sound (N01-PC-35142), and Utah (HHSN261201000026C). Additional support was provided by the states of California, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, New Jersey, New York (Cancer Surveillance Improvement Initiative 14-2491), Texas, and Washington, as well as the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center in Seattle, WA.

Abbreviations

AAMR	acute antibody mediated rejection
ABOc	ABO compatible
ABOi	ABO incompatible
IVIG	intravenous immune globulin
SRTR	Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients
TCM	Transplant Cancer Match

References

1. Montgomery JR, Berger JC, Warren DS, James NT, Montgomery RA, Segev DL. Outcomes of ABO-incompatible kidney transplantation in the United States. *Transplantation*. 2012 Jan 27.
2. Montgomery RA, Locke JE, King KE, Segev DL, Warren DS, Kraus ES, et al. ABO incompatible renal transplantation: A paradigm ready for broad implementation. *Transplantation*. 2009 Apr 27; 87(8):1246–1255. [PubMed: 19384174]
3. Tanabe K. Japanese experience of ABO-incompatible living kidney transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2007 Dec 27; 84(12 Suppl):S4–S7. [PubMed: 18162988]
4. Thaiss F. Specific issues in living donor kidney transplantation: ABO - incompatibility. *Atheroscler Suppl*. 2009 Dec 29; 10(5):133–136. [PubMed: 20129392]
5. Garonzik Wang JM, Montgomery RA, Kucirka LM, Berger JC, Warren DS, Segev DL. Incompatible live-donor kidney transplantation in the United States: Results of a national survey. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011 Aug; 6(8):2041–2046. [PubMed: 21784826]
6. Segev DL, Simpkins CE, Warren DS, King KE, Shirey RS, Maley WR, et al. ABO incompatible high-titer renal transplantation without splenectomy or anti-CD20 treatment. *Am J Transplant*. 2005 Oct; 5(10):2570–2575. [PubMed: 16162210]
7. Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, Fraumeni JF Jr, Kasiske BL, Israni AK, Snyder JJ, et al. Spectrum of cancer risk among US solid organ transplant recipients. *JAMA*. 2011 Nov 2; 306(17):1891–1901. [PubMed: 22045767]
8. Mellemkjaer L, Hammarstrom L, Andersen V, Yuen J, Heilmann C, Barington T, et al. Cancer risk among patients with IgA deficiency or common variable immunodeficiency and their relatives: A combined Danish and Swedish study. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2002 Dec; 130(3):495–500. [PubMed: 12452841]

9. Mellekjoer L, Olsen JH, Linet MS, Gridley G, McLaughlin JK. Cancer risk after splenectomy. *Cancer*. 1995 Jan 15; 75(2):577–583. [PubMed: 7812926]
10. van Leeuwen FE, Klokmann WJ, Hagenbeek A, Noyon R, van den Belt-Dusebout AW, van Kerkhoff EH, et al. Second cancer risk following Hodgkin's disease: A 20-year follow-up study. *J Clin Oncol*. 1994 Feb; 12(2):312–325. [PubMed: 8113838]
11. van Leeuwen MT, Grulich AE, Webster AC, McCredie MR, Stewart JH, McDonald SP, et al. Immunosuppression and other risk factors for early and late non-Hodgkin lymphoma after kidney transplantation. *Blood*. 2009 Jul 16; 114(3):630–637. [PubMed: 19443660]
12. Quinlan SC, Pfeiffer RM, Morton LM, Engels EA. Risk factors for early-onset and late-onset post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder in kidney recipients in the United States. *Am J Hematol*. 2011 Feb; 86(2):206–209. [PubMed: 21264909]
13. Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, Goedert JJ, Virgo P, McNeel TS, Scoppa SM, et al. Trends in cancer risk among people with AIDS in the United States 1980–2002. *AIDS*. 2006 Aug 1; 20(12):1645–1654. [PubMed: 16868446]
14. Penn I. Occurrence of cancers in immunosuppressed organ transplant recipients. *Clin Transpl*. 1998:147–158. [PubMed: 10503093]
15. Picarsic J, Jaffe R, Mazariegos G, Webber SA, Ellis D, Green MD, et al. Post-transplant Burkitt lymphoma is a more aggressive and distinct form of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder. *Cancer*. 2011 Oct 1; 117(19):4540–4550. [PubMed: 21446044]
16. Salama AD, Pusey CD. Drug insight: Rituximab in renal disease and transplantation. *Nat Clin Pract Nephrol*. 2006 Apr; 2(4):221–230. [PubMed: 16932428]
17. Shirakawa H, Ishida H, Shimizu T, Omoto K, Iida S, Toki D, et al. The low dose of rituximab in ABO-incompatible kidney transplantation without a splenectomy: A single-center experience. *Clin Transplant*. 2010 Dec 22.
18. Becker YT, Samaniego-Picota M, Sollinger HW. The emerging role of rituximab in organ transplantation. *Transpl Int*. 2006 Aug; 19(8):621–628. [PubMed: 16827678]
19. Feng H, Shuda M, Chang Y, Moore PS. Clonal integration of a polyomavirus in human Merkel cell carcinoma. *Science*. 2008 Feb 22; 319(5866):1096–1100. [PubMed: 18202256]
20. Buell JF, Trofe J, Hanaway MJ, Beebe TM, Gross TG, Alloway RR, et al. Immunosuppression and Merkel cell cancer. *Transplant Proc*. 2002 Aug; 34(5):1780–1781. [PubMed: 12176573]
21. Lanoy E, Costagliola D, Engels EA. Skin cancers associated with HIV infection and solid-organ transplantation among elderly adults. *Int J Cancer*. 2010 Apr 1; 126(7):1724–1731. [PubMed: 19810102]
22. Engels EA, Frisch M, Goedert JJ, Biggar RJ, Miller RW. Merkel cell carcinoma and HIV infection. *Lancet*. 2002 Feb 9; 359(9305):497–498. [PubMed: 11853800]
23. Howard RA, Dores GM, Curtis RE, Anderson WF, Travis LB. Merkel cell carcinoma and multiple primary cancers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2006 Aug; 15(8):1545–1549. [PubMed: 16896047]
24. Tadmor T, Aviv A, Polliack A. Merkel cell carcinoma, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and other lymphoproliferative disorders: An old bond with possible new viral ties. *Ann Oncol*. 2011 Feb; 22(2):250–256. [PubMed: 20587511]
25. Lankester AC, van Schijndel GM, van der Schoot CE, van Oers MH, van Noesel CJ, van Lier RA. Antigen receptor nonresponsiveness in chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells. *Blood*. 1995 Aug 1; 86(3):1090–1097. [PubMed: 7620163]
26. Davey FR, Kurec AS, Tomar RH, Smith JR. Serum immunoglobulins and lymphocyte subsets in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 1987 Jan; 87(1):60–65. [PubMed: 2948384]
27. Scrivener S, Goddard RV, Kaminski ER, Prentice AG. Abnormal T-cell function in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2003 Mar; 44(3):383–389. [PubMed: 12688308]
28. Montgomery RA, Lonze BE, King KE, Kraus ES, Kucirka LM, Locke JE, et al. Desensitization in HLA-incompatible kidney recipients and survival. *N Engl J Med*. 2011 Jul 28; 365(4):318–326. [PubMed: 21793744]
29. Segev DL, Muzaale AD, Caffo BS, Mehta SH, Singer AL, Taranto SE, et al. Perioperative mortality and long-term survival following live kidney donation. *JAMA*. 2010 Mar 10; 303(10):959–966. [PubMed: 20215610]

Table 1

Demographics of living donor kidney recipients in the Transplant Cancer Match Study by ABO compatibility status

	ABOi	ABOc (entire cohort)	P-Value	ABOc (matched controls) ^a
	N=318	N=37,643		N=1,590
Age			0.4	
0–35	107 (33.7)	13,669 (36.3)		550 (34.6)
36–50	99 (31.1)	12,392 (32.9)		467 (29.2)
51–60	70 (22.0)	7,357 (19.5)		374 (23.5)
>60	42 (13.2)	4,225 (11.2)		199 (12.5)
Gender			0.5	
Male	181 (56.9)	22,067 (58.6)		905 (56.9)
Female	137 (43.1)	15,576 (41.4)		685 (43.1)
Race ^b			0.02	
White	190 (60.1)	23,208 (62.1)		954 (60.0)
African-American	61 (19.0)	5,238 (14.0)		307 (19.3)
Hispanic/Other	65 (20.6)	8,941 (23.9)		329 (20.7)
Zero HLA Mismatch ^b			0.6	
No	274 (88.7)	32,377 (87.6)		1,410 (88.7)
Yes	35 (11.3)	4,575 (12.4)		180 (11.3)
Retransplantation			0.03	
No	282 (88.7)	34,633 (92.0)		1,410 (88.7)
Yes	36 (11.3)	3,010 (8.00)		180 (11.3)

ABOi = ABO incompatible; ABOc = ABO compatible. Cells show N (%).

^a) Matched 5 to 1 on age at transplantation (within five years), gender, race, zero HLA mismatch status, retransplantation, and year of transplant (within ten years) to ABOi recipients.

^b) Recipients do not sum to total because of missing data. P-values represent the comparison between ABOi patients and the entire ABOc cohort, using a chi-squared test.

Table 2

Types of living donor ABO incompatible transplants in the Transplant Cancer Match Study

Recipient Blood Type	Donor Blood Type						
	A	A1	A1B	A2	A2B	AB	B
O	87 (27.4)	17 (5.4)	0 (0)	60 (18.9)	0 (0)	4 (1.3)	49 (15.4)
A	-	-	1 (0.3)	-	1 (0.3)	5 (1.6)	35 (11.0)
B	29 (9.1)	2 (0.6)	0 (0)	9 (2.8)	9 (2.8)	10 (3.1)	-

Cells show N (%), where percentages are of total ABOi (N=318).

Table 3

Cancer risk after living donor kidney transplantation, comparing ABO incompatible recipients with ABO compatible recipients and matched ABO compatible controls

	ABOi	ABOc (entire cohort)	ABOc (matched controls) ^a
All Cancer			
Rate ^b	7.1	8.5	7.1
IRR (95% CI) vs. entire cohort	0.86 (0.02–4.85)	Reference	
IRR (95% CI) vs. matched controls	0.99 (0.38–2.23)		Reference
NHL			
Rate ^b	1.0	1.2	1.0
IRR (95% CI) vs. entire cohort	0.76 (0.02–4.29)	Reference	
IRR (95% CI) vs. matched controls	1.02 (0.02–8.38)		Reference

ABOi = ABO incompatible; ABOc = ABO compatible; IRR = incidence rate ratio; CI = confidence interval; NHL = non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

^a) Matched 5 to 1 on age at transplantation, gender, race, zero HLA mismatch status, retransplantation, and year of transplant to ABOi recipients.

^b) Per 1,000 person-years