

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

*Phys Rev Lett.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 12.

Published in final edited form as: *Phys Rev Lett.* 2010 August 13; 105(7): 078101.

# Pulsating Tandem Microbubble for Localized and Directional Single Cell Membrane Poration

#### G.N. Sankin, F. Yuan, and P. Zhong\*

Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Duke University, Box 90300 Durham, NC 27708, USA

# Abstract

The interaction of laser-generated tandem microbubble (maximum diameter about 50  $\mu$ m) with single (rat mammary carcinoma) cells is investigated in a 25- $\mu$ m liquid layer. Anti-phase and coupled oscillation of the tandem microbubble leads to the formation of alternating, directional microjets (with max. microstreaming velocity of 10 m/s) and vortices (max. vorticity of 350,000 s<sup>-1</sup>) in opposite directions. Localized and directional membrane poration (200 nm to 2  $\mu$ m in pore size) can be produced by the tandem microbubble in an orientation and proximity dependent manner, which is absence from a single oscillating microbubble of comparable size and at the same stand-off distance.

# Introduction

Cavitation, the formation and dynamics of vapor/gas bubbles in aqueous medium, plays a pivotal role in a diverse range of biomedical and engineering applications [1, 2]. An oscillating bubble can release energy upon symmetric collapse in the form of heat, light and shockwave [3] or high-speed liquid jet due to bubble-bubble interaction [4], asymmetric collapse either near a boundary [2] or under the influence of an impinging shock wave [5]. In contrast to damaging ship propellers and hydraulic structures [6], the power of cavitation has often been harnessed in biomedical applications for the treatment of cancers by highintensity focused ultrasound, non-invasive disintegration of kidney stones by shock wave lithotripsy, and ultrasound-mediated drug and gene delivery [1]. However, understanding the dynamic bubble-cell interaction and its causal relation with the biological consequences still remains to be a significant challenge. Only a few studies have been performed to investigate bubble-cell interaction under well-controlled experimental conditions, using either a small air bubble [7, 8] or ultrasound contrast agents as stabilized cavitation nuclei [9] in an acoustic field, or a laser-generated millimeter- and micron-size bubble to interact with adherent [10] or suspension [11] cells. Overall, these previous studies are limited in resolving the transient bubble-cell interaction, associated fluid dynamics, and membrane poration by the cavitating bubble, as well as subsequent transport of macromolecule into the cell.

In this letter, we introduce a method for generating tandem microbubble in close proximity that leads to alternating formation of microjets in opposite directions. The transient bubble-bubble and microjet-cell interaction, as well as resultant microstreaming and vortex formation are characterized by high-speed imaging and micro-Particle Image Velocimetry ( $\mu$ PIV). Using this method, localized and directional membrane poration in single biological cells can be produced in an orientation and proximity dependent manner.

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence: pzhong@duke.edu.

#### Experimental setup

A Zeiss Axio Observer inverted microscope was configured to incorporate two laser beams for tandem microbubble generation and high-speed cameras for imaging of bubble dynamics, bubble-cell interaction, and  $\mu$ PIV of fluid motion (Fig. 1a). Two Q-switched Nd:YAG lasers of 5 ns pulse duration (MiniLase I (LASER1) and Solo PIV (LASER2), New Wave Research) with wavelength of 1064 nm and 532 nm, respectively, were transmitted through a 63X long working distance objective. The incident angle of LASER1 was slightly tilted so that the foci of the two laser beams were separated by a distance of 40  $\mu$ m. Each laser beam (~ 30  $\mu$ J) is preferentially absorbed by the fluid medium containing 0.4% Trypan blue in a microfluidic channel (Fig. 1b), generating a microbubble via optical breakdown [11]. By controlling the release of individual laser pulses using a digital delay generator (BNC 555, Berkeley Nucleonics Corporation), tandem microbubble with different oscillation phases can be produced.

### High-speed imaging and µPIV

Two 45°-dichroic mirrors (900dcsp and z532dcrb, Chroma) were used for reflection of the laser beams and simultaneous transmission of illumination light for high-speed imaging. A fiber-optic coupled Xenon flash lamp (ML-1000, Dyna-Lite) was used to provide backlight illumination, petitioned into an 80/20 intensity ratio between two high-speed cameras (Imacon 200, DRS Hadland and Phantom v7.3, Vision Research). The Imacon 200 was operated at 1 million frames/second, 50 ns exposure time, and 14 frames per sequence to capture the dynamics of bubble-bubble-cell interaction. The Phantom camera was used to record the subsequent uptake and progressive diffusion of Trypan blue into the targeted cell within 1 minute. Moreover, a Shimadzu HPV-1 camera operated at 1 million frames/second, 250 ns exposure time, and 100 frames per sequence was used for  $\mu$ PIV. For flow visualization, 2 µm polystyrene beads (R0200, Duke Scientific) were added in the medium as tracers at 1x10<sup>9</sup> beads/ml concentration. The acquired images were processed using DaVis 7 software (LaVision, GmbH) for determining the velocity field around pulsating microbubble(s).

#### Cell culture and experimental design

Rat mammary carcinoma cells maintained routinely in culture (37°C) were trypsinized and re-seeded in a 60-mm diameter Petri dish at  $10^5$  cells/ml concentration one day before the experiment. When cell growth reached about 10% confluence, the culture medium was replaced by saline solution containing 0.4% Trypan blue (T8154, Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature (~ 20°C). Subsequently, two 25-µm platinum wire (A-M Systems) were placed in parallel in the Petri dish to form a channel of ~10 mm wide and 25 mm long. A 1.0-mm thick glass plate was then placed on top of the platinum wires to form a microfluidic exposure chamber (Fig. 1b). Trypan blue enhances laser absorption to facilitate optical breakdown while providing concomitantly a biomarker for membrane poration.

Adherent cells of spindle shape were selected and randomly assigned to one of the seven groups. Cells in groups  $G_1$  to  $G_3$  were treated at different orientations (0° and 90°) with respect to tandem microbubble axis while maintaining the same stand-off distance (SD) of 10 µm. Cells in groups  $G_4$  to  $G_6$  were treated at SD = 20, 30, and 40 µm, respectively, while maintaining the same orientation (0°, as used in case  $G_1$ ). In group  $G_0$  (control), cells were treated by a single bubble (B<sub>1</sub>) produced at SD = 10 µm.

#### Bubble-bubble and microjet-cell interaction

Similar to shockwave-bubble interaction [12, 13], the most effective energy transfer is produced when the collapse time of the bubble matches with the duration of external driving force. By releasing the two laser pulses in tandem with a 4  $\mu$ s interpulse delay, a first bubble (B<sub>1</sub>) can be generated (at time = 0  $\mu$ s) that expands to a maximum size of about 50  $\mu$ m before a second bubble (B<sub>2</sub>) of similar size is produced (Fig. 1c, 4  $\mu$ s). Consequently, the collapse of B<sub>1</sub> is coupled with the simultaneous expansion of B<sub>2</sub>, leading to asymmetric deformation of the bubbles with microjets formation (Fig. 1c, 6  $\mu$ s) under the influence of secondary Bjerknes forces [14]. Thereafter, B<sub>2</sub> begins to collapse with its elongated portion in contact with B<sub>1</sub> contracting rapidly, producing a second microjet moving away from B<sub>1</sub> (Fig. 1c, 8 ~ 12  $\mu$ s). This alternating formation of microjets moving in opposite directions along the axis of the tandem microbubble (Supplementary movie 1) is not observed during the symmetric expansion and collapse of a single microbubble (Supplementary movie 2) of comparable size produced by one laser (Fig. 1d). Similar bubble dynamics have been observed in an unconstrained fluid medium using spark-generated vapor bubbles of millimeter size [15].

# Flow field around the pulsating microbubble(s)

Following the 1<sup>st</sup> optical breakdown, the fluid is initially pushed outward from the center of  $B_1$  before reversing the direction of flow during subsequent collapse after the maximum bubble expansion (Supplementary movie 3). In contrast, the initial symmetric expansion of  $B_2$  is quickly distorted with its proximal end being sucked in by the collapsing  $B_1$ , leading to the formation of the first microjet ( $J_1$  in Fig. 2a, 6 µs). The acceleration of  $J_1$  produces a microstreaming impulse with a maximum flow velocity on the order of 10 m/s near the jet tip (5 to 6 µs). Upon maximum expansion, the elongated  $B_2$  collapses asymmetrically and generates the 2<sup>nd</sup> microjet ( $J_2$ ) that moves away from  $B_1$  (7 to 11 µs). As a consequence of the alternating microjet formation, two pairs of vortices are generated around  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , one rotating clockwise and the other counter-clockwise (Fig. 2b), reaching a maximum vorticity of 350,000 s<sup>-1</sup> at 6 µs. Vortex formation from laser-induced single bubble (~150 µm in diameter) near a microfludic channel wall was recently reported with a maximum vorticity of 100,000 s<sup>-1</sup> [16].

# **Cell membrane poration**

Because of the unique flow pattern produced by the pulsating tandem microbubble, we examined its influence on the morphology and membrane permeability of individual adherent cells (Rat mammary carcinoma) placed nearby. As shown in Fig. 3a, a cell placed on the axis of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  with a SD of 10  $\mu$ m from the proximal surface of  $B_2$  (case  $G_2$ ) can be deformed significantly (see Fig. 2a for an example of case  $G_1$ ) while its counterpart in the symmetric flow field of a single bubble is only strained mildly (case G<sub>0</sub>). The microjet-flowcell interaction along the tandem bubble axis causes a micron-scale bending and shear deformation of the cell (65 µs in Fig. 3a for case G<sub>2</sub>) that leads to localized membrane disruption and uptake of Trypan blue within 1 minute. In comparison, when a cell is placed at the same SD but rotated by 90° from the axis of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  (Fig. 3a, case  $G_3$ ) or subjected to the symmetric expansion and collapse of a single bubble (case  $G_0$ ), much milder strain with no concomitant Trypan blue uptake is observed. Also, no appreciable membrane poration is produced when the cell is located either off the tandem microbubble axis with a SD greater than 10  $\mu$ m or on the axis with a SD greater than 40  $\mu$ m. Quantitatively, the membrane poration produced by the tandem microbubble shows a clear dependence on orientation (Fig. 3b) and stand-off distance (Fig. 3c). Statistically significant (p<0.05) increase in Trypan blue uptake is observed in G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>4</sub> compared to G<sub>3</sub> and G<sub>6</sub>,

respectively, with maximum probability for Trypan blue uptake produced at  $SD = 20 \ \mu m$  along the tandem microbubble axis.

### Localized and directional membrane poration

The membrane disruption is highly localized. Using time-lapse imaging (Fig. 4a), we can observe a pinpoint entrance of the Trypan blue from the cell surface proximal to the jet impact in 6 s. The dye gradually diffuses and spreads out in the cytosol towards the distal end in 24 s, with subsequent directional entrance and staining of the cell nuclei by 42 s (Supplementary movie 4). Using our setup, the cell can be quickly fixed by a perfusion flow of 2.5% glutaraldehyde, started in about 10 seconds after the tandem microbubble-cell interaction. Subsequently, cell location and jet impact direction are registered by laser-produced markings at the bottom of the Petri dish (Fig. 4b). Scanning electron microscopy of the treated cell reveals highly localized membrane disruption with single or dual pore formation at the site of membrane surface facing the microjet impact. The pore size varies from 200 nm to 2  $\mu$ m (Fig. 4c and 4d). Because the cell is treated in Trypan blue solution (with no Ca<sup>2+</sup> content), active repair of the pore is likely to be significantly compromised with smaller than 1  $\mu$ m spherical vesicles observed at the disruption site (see Fig. 4d) [17, 18]. Therefore, the observed pore size may represent an upper limit in membrane disruption produced by the tandem microbubble under physiological conditions.

# **Discussion and summary**

A new method is developed to produce localized and directional membrane poration on single cells with high precision and reproducibility. The pore size can be varied from nanoto micro-meter range, limited only by the ability of the cell to reseal the disruption site [18]. Because jet diameter is usually about one-tens of the maximum bubble size, the potential energy accumulated by a single bubble with comparable size to a cell (i.e.,  $\sim 20 \ \mu m$ ) is limited and insufficient to produce measurable membrane disruption when collapsing near a boundary [19]. By confining the bubble generation in a quasi-2D thin layer, and furthermore, by transferring and focusing energy through tandem microbubble interaction, we have demonstrated the feasibility for generating pinpoint mechanical forces for highly localized membrane poration.

Microjetting induced flow shear stress and resultant bending (and stretching) of the cell body may contribute to the observed pore formation. The flow-induced shear stress () near the microfluidic channel (height =  $2 h = 25 \mu m$ ) surface can be estimated by  $= \mu(V_j h)$ where  $\mu$  is dynamic viscosity of the medium and  $V_j$  is jet speed. The estimated maximum shear stress in our setup is about 1 kPa, which is within the range of previously reported critical shear stress of 0.1 kPa for cell detachment [19] and water-hammer pressure of 3 kPa for jet impact induced membrane rupture [9].

Individual cells placed on the axis of the tandem microbubble (see Fig. 1c, Fig. 2a, and Fig. 3a) show different degrees of bending deformation produced by jet impact and resultant microstreaming and vortex flow. The central portion of the cell directly under jet impact is detached, while one or both distal ends of the cell remain attached, leading to a significant stretching of the cell membrane. The stretching ratios of material lines located at different orientations and SDs from the tandem microbubble (data not shown), estimated based on  $\mu$  PIV images, show a good correlation with the membrane poration.

It is envisioned that the technique developed in this work can be easily integrated in microfluidics and thus possessing great potential in high-throughput biosynthesis and bioengineering applications, and for mechanistic investigation of cavitation-induced bioeffects.

Phys Rev Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 12.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

### Acknowledgments

We thank K. Wolf for discussion of laser optics in a microscope, C.Y. Li for providing rat mammary carcinoma cells, W.N. Simmons for fabricating fixation plate, M. Heaton for assistance in statistical analysis, and DRS Hadland Inc. for providing Shimadzu HPV-1 camera. This work was supported in part by NIH through grants RO1-EB002682, RO1-DK052985, R21-CA135221, and S10-RR016802.

# References

- 1. Mitragotri S. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2005; 4:255. [PubMed: 15738980]
- 2. Blake JR, Gibson DC. Annu Rev Fluid Mech. 1987; 19:99.
- 3. Ohl CD, et al. Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser A-Math Phys Eng Sci. 1999; 357:269.
- 4. Quinto-Su PA, et al. Phys Rev Lett. 2010; 104:014501. [PubMed: 20366365]
- 5. Dear JP, Field JE, Walton AJ. Nature. 1988; 332:505.
- 6. Arndt REA. Annu Rev Fluid Mech. 1981; 13:273.
- 7. Rooney JA. Science. 1970; 169:869. [PubMed: 5432582]
- 8. Marmottant P, Hilgenfeldt S. Nature. 2003; 423:153. [PubMed: 12736680]
- 9. Prentice P, et al. Nature Physics. 2005; 1:107.
- 10. Dijkink R, et al. Phys Med Biol. 2008; 53:375. [PubMed: 18184993]
- 11. Le Gac S, et al. Lab on a Chip. 2007; 7:1666. [PubMed: 18030385]
- 12. Sankin GN, et al. Phys Rev Lett. 2005; 95:034501. [PubMed: 16090745]
- 13. Sankin GN, Zhong P. Phys Rev E. 2006; 74:046304.
- 14. Chahine GL, Duraiswami R. J Fluids Eng-Trans ASME. 1992; 114:680.
- 15. Khoo BC, et al. Mod Phys Lett B. 2009; 23:229.
- 16. Zwaan E, et al. Phys Rev Lett. 2007; 98:254501. [PubMed: 17678027]
- 17. Zhou Y, et al. J Control Release. 2008; 126:34. [PubMed: 18158198]
- 18. McNeil PL, Steinhardt RA. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2003; 19:697. [PubMed: 14570587]
- 19. Ohl CD, Wolfrum B. Biochim Biophys Acta-Gen Subj. 2003; 1624:131.



#### Figure 1.

(Color online) Dynamics of tandem microbubble and bubble-cell interaction. **a.** Experimental setup. Microbubbles are generated by two pulsed Nd:YAG lasers, which are separated by  $= 40 \ \mu m$  in the focal plane of a 63X objective. **b.** Microfluidic exposure chamber with 25  $\mu m$  in height, 10 mm x 25 mm in channel width and length. **c.** Dynamics of tandem microbubble (generated by both lasers with a 4  $\mu$ s interpulse delay) and their interaction with an adherent cell (pointed by arrow) near the first bubble (B<sub>1</sub>). **d.** Dynamics of single microbubble (generated by LASER1) and its interaction with an adherent cell (pointed by arrow). The asymmetric deformation with resultant formation of alternating microjets in opposite directions along the tandem microbubble axis (Supplementary movie 1) is not observed during the symmetric expansion and collapse of the laser-generated single microbubble of comparable size (Supplementary movie 2). Sankin et al.



#### Figure 2.

(Color online) Fluid motion. **a.** High-speed imaging of bubble dynamics and micro-Particle Image Velocimetry ( $\mu$ PIV) using 10x10  $\mu$ m interrogation windows with 25% overlap indicating the flow direction around individual oscillating microbubbles. Flow tracers (i.e., 2  $\mu$ m polystyrene beads) were used to facilitate visualization of the velocity field. **b.** Streamline display of three  $\mu$ PIV images, demonstrating vortex formation in the flow field, with one pair of vortices rotating clockwise and the other counterclockwise within 22  $\mu$ s (Supplementary movie 3) following the generation of the tandem microbubble.



#### Figure 3.

Trypan blue uptake. **a.** Cell deformation produced by the microjet and vortex flow initiated from the pulsating tandem microbubble. Representative images of individual cells (circled) before, 10 and 65  $\mu$ s, and 1 minute after the interaction with either single (case G<sub>0</sub>) or tandem (case G<sub>2</sub> or G<sub>3</sub>) microbubble. **b.** Probability of Trypan blue uptake in one minute after microbubble-cell interaction – effect of orientation (G<sub>1</sub> – impacted by J<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> – impacted by J<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>3</sub> – parallel to J<sub>1</sub>). The stand-off distance between the proximal cell surface and bubble center is 10 µm. **c.** Probability of Trypan blue uptake in one minute after microbubble-cell interaction – effect of stand-off distance. Individual cells are located on the axis of the tandem microbubble, with a bubble-cell stand-off distance varying from 10 µm to 40 µm, at an inter-bubble separation distance = 40 µm. Error bars indicate intervals  $\pm 1/\sqrt{N}$ , where N (= 15 to 27) is the number of cells treated in each group. Two-tailed test of proportions was used for statistical analysis for comparing results between different groups.

Sankin et al.



#### Figure 4.

Membrane poration. **a.** Time-elapse images showing pinpoint entrance of Trypan blue into the cell from the site of microjet impact (pointed by arrow) with subsequent progressive diffusion in the cytosol and staining of the nuclei (see also Supplementary movie 4). **b.** Laser-generated marking dots (circled) on the bottom surface (~1.0 mm thick polystyrene) of the Petri dish, which are used to register the treated cell, site and direction (distal to "T" marking) of the microjet impact. **c.** SEM images of the porated cell shown in **b**, demonstrating the formation of a 200 nm pore (pointed by arrow) at the site of microjet impact. **d.** SEM images of another porated cell, showing the formation of two adjacent pores (pointed by arrow) of about  $1~2 \ \mu m$  in individual pore size. Spherical vesicles of less than 1  $\mu m$  in size were observed near the disruption site, which is characteristic of cell membrane resealing after mechanical damage without Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the surrounding medium.