# **Original Article**



# Sociodemographic and Anthropometric Factors Associated With Screen-Based Sedentary Behavior Among Japanese Adults: A Population-Based Cross-Sectional Study

Kaori Ishii, Ai Shibata, and Koichiro Oka

Faculty of Sport Sciences, Waseda University, Tokorozawa, Saitama, Japan

Received February 1, 2013; accepted May 28, 2013; released online July 27, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Kaori Ishii et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### ABSTRACT -

**Background:** Concern over the health risks of sedentary behavior has highlighted the need to examine factors associated with screen-based (television/computer) sedentary behavior. The present study examined the association of screen-based sedentary behavior with body weight and sociodemographic attributes among Japanese adults.

**Methods:** A population-based cross-sectional study enrolled 1034 Japanese adults aged 40 to 69 years who lived in 2 Japanese cities. Sociodemographic variables, height, weight, and time spent on screen-based sedentary behavior were collected by self-administered questionnaire. Differences in screen time in relation to body mass index and weight gain since age 20 years were assessed by the Mann-Whitney U test. Independent associations of each variable with screen time were examined by forced-entry logistic regression analyses.

**Results:** Mean (SD) age and median (interquartile range) duration of screen time per week were 55.6 (8.4) years and 832.0 (368.8–1263.1) minutes, respectively, for men, and 55.3 (8.4) years and 852.6 (426.0–1307.5) minutes, respectively, for women. Screen time among participants with weight gain was longer than among those with a weight gain of less than  $10 \,\mathrm{kg}$  (P = 0.08). Unmarried and unemployed participants had longer screen times. Participants aged 40 to 49 years were less likely than older age groups to spend time on screen-based sedentary behavior during leisure hours.

**Conclusions:** The present findings imply that strategies are necessary to discourage screen-based sedentary behavior among all demographic groups, especially among adults who are elderly, unmarried, or unemployed.

Key words: weight status; Japanese; sedentary behavior; sociodemographic

#### **INTRODUCTION -**

Recent studies have reported that the amount of leisure time spent on discretionary screen-based sedentary behavior (as opposed to that required during working hours), such as television viewing and computer use, is associated with increased risks of weight gain, type II diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. 1–10 Sedentary behavior is defined as activity that involves energy expenditure in the range of 1.0 to 1.5 metabolic equivalents (METs). 11 The mean time spent by adults on screen-based sedentary behavior was reported to be 188.0 minutes/day in Scotland 12 and 235.1 minutes/day in Australia. 13 Although screen-based sedentary behavior is associated with health risks, a large proportion of the population in developed countries is sedentary. Reducing sedentary time increases opportunities to be

physically active, so it is important to focus on screen-based sedentary behavior.

Physical activity guidelines<sup>14,15</sup> and a recent global statement<sup>16</sup> encourage a widespread, population-based approach to decrease sedentary behavior. The guidelines emphasize the consequences of sedentary behavior and the need to tailor interventions to the requirements of specific population groups such as children, adults, men, women, older persons, disabled persons, employees, and diverse cultural groups. <sup>14–16</sup> Determining the amount of leisure time spent by specific population groups on screen-based sedentary behavior is also important when designing strategies to decrease such behavior.

Screen-based sedentary behavior has been investigated among various demographic groups, especially in the United States, Australia, and Europe. A systematic review found that relationships between sedentary behavior and

Address for correspondence. Kaori Ishii, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Waseda University, 2-579-15 Mikajima, Tokorozawa, Saitama 359-1192, Japan (e-mail: ishiikaori@aoni.waseda.jp).

Ishii K, et al. 383

sociodemographic status vary by country. <sup>17</sup> Many studies on the correlates of sedentary behavior have been conducted among children, but studies of adults are less common. The sociodemographic factors associated with screen-based sedentary behavior among Japanese adults remain to be determined. In addition, associations of anthropometric factors such as body mass index (BMI) and weight gain with screen-based sedentary behavior were less evident in the Japanese population. The present study examined the associations of sociodemographic and anthropometric factors with screen-based sedentary behavior among adults in Japan.

#### **METHODS** -

#### Participants and data collection

The present cross-sectional study was conducted from February through March 2011. A total of 3000 residents aged 40 to 69 years and living in 2 Japanese cities, Kanuma and Nerima, were randomly selected from the residential registries of those cities. Potential participants were stratified by sex and age bracket (40–49, 50–59, and 60–69 years). The plan was to include 1500 participants of each sex, 1000 participants from each age bracket, and 1500 participants from each city. Nerima is an urban area within commuting distance of Tokyo, while Kanuma is a mid-sized city in central Japan. These 2 cities were chosen to reflect urban and suburban lifestyles.

A self-administered questionnaire that included questions on sociodemographic variables, sedentary behavior, height, and weight was mailed to participants. To encourage a response, letters explaining the study were sent to all participants 2 weeks before the questionnaire. Nonresponders were sent 1 reminder about the questionnaire. A total of 1105 participants replied to the questionnaire (36.8% overall response rate; 33.8% from Kanuma and 37.9% from Nerima). All participants signed an informed consent document before answering the questionnaire. The Ethics Committee of Waseda University, Japan approved the study before its commencement.

#### **Measures**

#### Sociodemographic factors

Participants provided information on sociodemographic factors such as sex, age, education level, employment status, marital status, living arrangements, and household income level by choosing the most suitable response from a set of predetermined options, as follows: education level (graduate school or university, 2 years of university education or equivalent, college, high school, or junior high school), employment status (full-time, part-time, full-time homemaker, student, or unemployed), marital status (married or unmarried), living arrangements (cohabitating or living alone), and household income level (<3,  $\ge 3$ –<5,  $\ge 5$ –<7,  $\ge 7$ –<10, or  $\ge 10$  million yen).

#### Anthropometric variables

BMI was calculated from self-reported height and weight. Weight gain since age 20 years was estimated from self-reported weight at age 20 years.

#### Screen-based sedentary behavior

Participants reported duration of screen-based sedentary behavior over a usual week (screen time). Screen-based sedentary behavior comprised computer and Internet use for leisure purposes, television watching, computer gaming, and video or DVD watching.<sup>13,18</sup> Participants were asked how many times per week they spent on screen time and the duration of screen time on each of those days. The scale was previously shown to have acceptable reliability and validity.<sup>18</sup> The test–retest reliability of the items was found to be moderate (range 0.6–0.8). Validity, as defined by correlations with 3-day behavioral log data, was moderate (range 0.3–0.6). The total time spent on screen time was classified as low or high, based on the median of 841.8 minutes/week.

#### Statistical analyses

Differences in participant characteristics and screen time were compared by sex and city with the t-test,  $\chi^2$  test, and Mann-Whitney U test. Differences in screen time between those with a BMI of less than  $25 \,\mathrm{kg/m^2}$  versus  $25 \,\mathrm{kg/m^2}$ or higher, and those with a weight gain of less than 10 kg versus 10 kg or more, since age 20 were assessed by the Mann-Whitney U test. Forced-entry logistic regression analyses were conducted to examine independent relationships of each sociodemographic variable with screen time. Responses to sociodemographic attributes were categorized as follows: sex (male or female), age (40-49, 50-59, and 60-69 years), education level (≥4 years of university education, 2 years of university education or equivalent, or high school or junior high school), employment status (employed or not employed), marital status (married or unmarried), living arrangements (living with another individual or living alone), and household income level (<3,  $\ge 3-<5$ ,  $\ge 5-<7$ ,  $\geq$ 7–<10, or  $\geq$ 10 million yen). All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 18.0J for Windows (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

#### **RESULTS -**

#### Sociodemographic characteristics of participants

Data from the 1034 adults (540 men and 494 women) who fully completed the survey were included in the analysis. Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants. Mean (SD) age for men was 55.6 (8.4) years, and mean age for women was 55.3 (8.4) years. The proportions of married male and female respondents were 84.2% and 85.0%, respectively; 91.3% and 94.1%,

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents

	Men		Women			Nerima		Kanuma		
	n	%	n	%	P value	n	%	n	%	P value
Overall	540	100.0	494	100.0		548	52.7	491	47.3	_
Age group (years)										
40–49	149	27.6	149	30.2		153	27.9	146	29.7	
50–59	189	35.0	164	33.2	0.645	175	31.9	178	36.3	0.115
60–69	202	37.4	181	36.6		220	40.1	167	34.0	
Mean ± SD	55.6	5 ± 8.4	$55.3 \pm 8.4$		0.637	55.8 ± 8.5		55.1 ± 8.3		0.190
Marital status										
Unmarried	85	15.8	74	15.0	0.731	89	16.3	71	14.5	0.440
Married	453	84.2	419	85.0		458	83.7	418	85.5	
Living condition										
Living with others	493	91.3	465	94.1	0.095	498	90.9	464	94.5	0.032
Living alone	47	8.7	29	5.9		50	9.1	27	5.5	
Education level										
4 or more years of university	246	45.6	90	18.2		250	45.6	87	17.7	
2 years of university or equivalent	51	9.4	160	32.4	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>	120	21.9	93	18.9	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
High school or junior high school	243	45.0	244	49.4		178	32.5	311	63.3	
Employment status										
Employed	462	85.6	304	61.5	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>	403	73.5	367	74.7	0.671
Not employed	78	14.4	190	38.5		145	26.5	124	25.3	
Household income level (yen)										
<3000000	104	19.3	127	25.7		105	19.2	129	26.3	
<5000000	149	27.6	140	28.3		145	26.5	144	29.3	
<7 000 000	109	20.2	97	19.6	0.066	102	18.6	104	21.2	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
<10 000 000	104	19.3	78	15.8		108	19.7	76	15.5	
≥10 000 000	74	13.7	52	10.5		88	16.1	38	7.7	
BMI										
<25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	373	69.1	414	83.8	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>	433	79.0	356	72.5	0.016
≥25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	167	30.9	80	16.2		115	21.0	135	27.5	
Mean ± SD	$23.7 \pm 3.0$		22.3 ± 3.3		<0.001 <sup>b</sup>	22.6 ± 3.2		$23.4 \pm 3.2$		<0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Weight gain										
<10 kg	376	69.6	422	85.4	.0.0043	425	77.7	373	76.6	0.744
≥10 kg	164	30.4	72	14.6	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>	122	22.3	114	23.4	0.711
Mean ± SD	$7.1 \pm 7.8$		$3.9 \pm 7.5$		<0.001 <sup>b</sup>	5.3 ± 7.7		$5.8 \pm 8.0$		0.307
Screen time, min/week										
Median (interquartile range)	832.0 (368.8–1263.1)		852.6 (426.0–1307.5)		0.041 <sup>c</sup>	840.0 (408.4–1269.8)		848.5 (393.9–1269.2)		0.517 <sup>c</sup>

All values are n (%) unless indicated otherwise. Groups compared by using the (a)  $\chi^2$  test, (b) t-test, or (c) Mann-Whitney U test. BMI: body mass index.

respectively, lived with other people and 85.6% and 61.5%, respectively, were employed. Median (interquartile range [IQR]) screen time per week among men and women was 832.0 (368.8–1263.1) and 852.6 (426.0–1307.5) minutes, respectively. Significant differences were observed between men and women in BMI, weight gain, screen time, education level, and employment status. Significant differences were observed between urban and suburban areas in BMI, living arrangements, education level, and household income level; however, no differences in age, marital status, employment status, weight gain, or screen time were observed between areas.

#### Association between screen time and weight status

Median (IQR) screen time/week was 843.8 (403.8-1265.6) among participants with a BMI of less than  $25\,\mathrm{kg/m^2}$  and 842.9 (405.0-1403.3) among those with a BMI of  $25\,\mathrm{kg/m^2}$  or higher. The association between screen time and BMI was

not statistically significant (P = 0.24). Median screen time per week was greater among participants with a weight gain of 10 kg or more (median = 844.8; IQR, 425.6–1357.5) than among those with a weight gain of less than 10 kg since age 20 (841.8, 386.3–1266.6) (P = 0.08).

# Association between screen time and demographic variables

Table 2 shows the results of adjusted logistic regression analysis. Unmarried participants (odds ratio [OR], 2.02; 95% CI, 1.32–3.10) and unemployed participants (OR, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.19–2.23) were significantly more likely to spend more time on screen-based sedentary behavior. Participants aged 40 to 49 years (OR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.46–0.89) reported less screen time during leisure hours than did those aged 60 to 69 years. Screen time was not significantly associated with sex, living arrangements, education level, or household income level.

Ishii K, et al. 385

Table 2. Multiple logistic regression analyses of sociodemographic correlates of screen-based sedentary behavior

	OR	95% CI	P value
Sex			
Men	0.99	0.75-1.31	0.96
Women	1.00		
Age group (years)			
40–49	0.64	0.46-0.89	0.01
50–59	0.79	0.57-1.09	0.15
60–69	1.00		
Marital status			
Unmarried	2.02	1.32-3.10	0.001
Married	1.00		
Living condition			
Living with others	1.59	0.89-2.81	0.12
Living alone	1.00		
Educational level			
4 or more years of university	0.80	0.59-1.09	0.16
2 years of university or equivalent	0.97	0.69-1.37	0.86
High school or junior high school	1.00		
Employment status			
Not employed	1.63	1.19-2.23	0.001
Employed	1.00		
Household income level (yen)			
<3000000	1.21	0.74-2.00	0.44
<5000000	1.15	0.73-1.82	0.55
<7 000 000	1.31	0.82-2.11	0.26
<10 000 000	1.41	0.88-2.26	0.16
≥10 000 000	1.00		

OR: odds ratio.

Odds ratios were calculated after adjustment for all variables listed in the table.

#### **DISCUSSION -**

The present study examined the association of sociodemographic and anthropometric factors such as body weight and weight gain with screen-based sedentary behavior among Japanese adults. The results revealed that the level of screen-based sedentary behavior varied between population subgroups: individuals who were older, unmarried, or unemployed reported more screen time during their leisure hours.

The present study focused only on leisure screen time rather than total screen-related sedentary behavior. Sedentary behavior tends to occur at specific time points and in specific contexts. Thus, it is difficult to ascertain all the details of such behavior. Furthermore, sedentary behavior is relatively independent of physical activity, and both sedentary and active behaviors can occur at any time of the day.<sup>5</sup> In addition, determinants associated with sedentary behavior differ by specific domain, such as leisure time versus working time.<sup>19</sup> It is thus important to determine when sedentary behavior occurs and to study certain specific time periods, such as leisure time.<sup>20,21</sup>

The median duration of screen time in the present study was 841.8 minutes/week (about 120.6 minutes/day). In contrast,

duration of screen time was 188.0 minutes/day in Scotland<sup>12</sup> and 235.1 minutes/day in Australia<sup>13</sup>; thus, duration of screen time was shorter in Japan than in other countries. However, the present values for screen time were based on participant recall of the number of days spent on screen time during the previous 7 days and the average amount of screen time on each of those days. Thus, we cannot directly compare the present results with those of studies that used different methods to measure duration of screen time, and our results should be interpreted with caution.

From the present results, association between screen time and weight gain since age 20 were found among Japanese adults. By contrast, BMI was not associated with screen time, because only a small percentage of participants had a BMI of 25 kg/m² or higher. In Japan, although the rate of overweight/obesity has rapidly increased during the past decade, 22 it is low in comparison with other developed countries. In other developed countries and Japan, body weight is positively associated with the risks of lifestyle-related disease and mortality. 23 Therefore, it was important to determine the sociodemographic correlates of screen time associated with weight status in the present study.

The present study found a positive association between screen time and age. Because previous studies assessed different age groups of adults, care should be taken in interpreting the present findings and comparing them with the results of earlier studies. Previous studies also reported that screen-based sedentary behavior differed by age<sup>7,24–28</sup>; however, those studies found no consistently significant relationship between age and general screen-based sedentary behavior.<sup>17</sup> The results of the present study show a strong relationship between age and screen time. Therefore, interventions should aim to decrease leisure-time sedentary behavior among older Japanese adults.

Marriage, or a long-term relationship, significantly contributes to individual quality of life. <sup>29</sup> The present study found that unmarried participants tended to report more screen time. Social support strongly correlates with physical activity level and enhances well-being. Being married is associated with an increased likelihood of engaging in health-promoting behaviors such as exercise, presumably because marital partners exert influence over each other's behavior. <sup>21</sup> However, a previous study found that the association between marital status and screen-based sedentary behavior was weak and that more evidence was needed. <sup>17</sup> Thus, the results of the present study are important evidence for an association between marital status and screen-based sedentary behavior.

A previous study<sup>17</sup> provided evidence of a positive relationship between TV viewing (as part of screen-based sedentary behavior) and being unemployed, but associations between other sedentary behaviors and employment status were less clear. In the present study, unemployed individuals were more likely to report greater screen time. Studies that

examined correlates of physical activity among Japanese adults found that unemployed adults were more likely than employed persons to engage in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.<sup>30,31</sup> Therefore, when designing interventions to promote the health of unemployed persons, measures to decrease screen-based sedentary behavior may be required, in addition to those aimed at increasing an already high level of physical activity.

Living arrangements, sex, education level, and household income level were not associated with screen time in the present study. Participants were not asked for detailed information about the persons they lived with or about the nature of their relationship. Hence, the explanatory power of this information is limited; participants who answered that they lived with other people may be living with parents, siblings, or children, among other possibilities. A previous study<sup>17</sup> reported that current evidence of an association between living with a child and engaging in sedentary behavior was scant. However, only a limited number of studies have investigated this issue; further studies are needed to confirm any association between screen time and living arrangements.

The present study did not find a statistically significant sex difference in duration of screen-based sedentary behavior. Some previous studies found no evidence of a sex difference in screen-based sedentary behavior<sup>32–38</sup>; however, other studies reported that the amount of screen time was greater among men than among women.<sup>7,27,39,40</sup> Therefore, any approach to discourage screen time during leisure hours may need to target both Japanese women and men.

Socioeconomic status may be a key factor in determining the health status of an individual. A systematic review<sup>17</sup> of data from other countries summarized associations between education, income, and screen-based sedentary behavior. The authors suggested that the association between income and TV viewing was inconclusive, that there was no association between income and amount of computer use, and that educational level may be inversely associated with TV viewing and positively associated with computer use. However, in the present study, neither education status nor household income level were associated with screen time. Therefore, to decrease sedentary behavior in relation to educational status and household income level, an effective approach might be to focus on screen-based sedentary behavior in the relevant segments of the Japanese adult population.

The present study had limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study limits conclusions regarding the causality of observed relationships of sociodemographic and anthropometric factors with screen time. Second, to estimate screen time, the study relied on self-reported measures that are subject to error, owing to different interpretations of the questions. 41,42 In addition, the reliability and validity of the scale have yet to be demonstrated in Japan. Third, the study

respondents slightly differed from the general population. To estimate the representativeness of participant responses, the adjusted prevalences of marital and employment statuses, by age bracket, were compared with data from the Japanese Population Census Survey of 2005.43 In the 2 surveys, the prevalence of married participants was 84.2% and 63.5%, respectively, among men and 85.0% and 63.8% among women. Regarding employment status, 85.6% and 66.3% of men, and 61.5% and 34.9% of women, respectively, worked full-time or part-time. 44 Therefore, the basic characteristics of respondents might have been biased, and the findings in such a setting may not be applicable to the general population. However, the characteristics of the present population are sufficiently similar to those of the general population because the present study randomly selected participants from a registry of residential addresses of each city, which allowed an equal number of responses to be obtained from both sexes and from each age group category between age 40 and age 69 years. Fourth, the study included only participants aged 40 to 69 years, so the generalizability of the present study findings to other age groups is unclear and requires further assessment.

Despite these limitations, few studies have examined the present topic among a randomly selected Japanese population. The present findings increase understanding of the determinants of screen time and may help in developing new strategies and interventions to promote public health and well-being in Japan.

### Conclusions

The present study identified sociodemographic and anthropometric factors associated with leisure-time screen-based sedentary behavior among Japanese adults. In the absence of similar studies in Japan, the present results will help in developing interventions to promote health and discourage screen-based sedentary behavior by targeting important determinants of sedentary pursuits in all sociodemographic groups, and especially among elderly, unmarried, and unemployed adults.

#### **ONLINE ONLY MATERIALS —**

Abstract in Japanese.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** -

This study was supported in part by the National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund (23-A-5); Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 22700681) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; and the Global COE Program "Sport Sciences for the Promotion of Active Life" from the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Ishii K, et al. 387

#### **REFERENCES -**

- Levine JA, Lanningham-Foster LM, McCrady SK, Krizan AC, Olson LR, Kane PH, et al. Interindividual variation in posture allocation: possible role in human obesity. Science. 2005;307: 584–6.
- Hu FB, Li TY, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Manson JE. Television watching and other sedentary behaviors in relation to risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. JAMA. 2003;289:1785–91.
- 3. Ford ES, Kohl HW III, Mokdad AH, Ajani UA. Sedentary behavior, physical activity, and the metabolic syndrome among U.S. adults. Obes Res. 2005;13:608–14.
- Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, Dunstan DW. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent health outcomes in adults a systematic review of longitudinal studies, 1996–2011. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41(2):207–15.
- 5. Biddle SJ. Sedentary behavior. Am J Prev Med. 2007;33:502-4.
- Hu FB, Leitzmann MF, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rimm EB. Physical activity and television watching in relation to risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in men. Arch Intern Med. 2001:161:1542–8.
- Bowman SA. Television-viewing characteristics of adults: correlations to eating practices and overweight and health status. Prev Chronic Dis. 2006;3(2):A38.
- Sisson SB, Camhi SM, Church TS, Martin CK, Tudor-Locke C, Bouchard C, et al. Leisure time sedentary behavior, occupational/domestic physical activity, and metabolic syndrome in U.S. men and women. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2009;7:529–36.
- 9. van der Ploeg HP, Chey T, Korda RJ, Banks E, Bauman A. Sitting time and all-cause mortality risk in 222 497 Australian adults. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172(6):494–500.
- Chang PC, Li TC, Wu MT, Liu CS, Li CI, Chen CC, et al. Association between television viewing and the risk of metabolic syndrome in a community-based population. BMC Public Health. 2008;8:193–201.
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, Irwin ML, Swartz AM, Strath SJ, et al. Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;32 Suppl:S498–504.
- Stamatakis E, Hirani V, Rennie K. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and sedentary behaviours in relation to body mass index-defined and waist circumference-defined obesity. Br J Nutr. 2009;101:765–73.
- Sugiyama T, Healy GN, Dunstan DW, Salmon J, Owen N. Joint associations of multiple leisure-time sedentary behaviours and physical activity with obesity in Australian adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008;5:35–40.
- 14. Kesäniemi A, Riddoch CJ, Reeder B, Blair SN, Sørensen TI. Advancing the future of physical activity guidelines in Canada: an independent expert panel interpretation of the evidence. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2010;7:41.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. 2008, Available at: http:// www.health.gov/paguidelines/pdf/paguide.pdf. Accessed 12 December 2012.
- 16. Global Advocacy Council for Physical Activity, International

Society for Physical Activity and Health. The Toronto Charter for Physical Activity: A Global Call to Action. 2010, Available at: http://www.cflri.ca/icpaph/en/documents/CharterDocument3-ENG draft3.pdf. Accessed 12 December 2012.

- 17. Rhodes RE, Mark RS, Temmel CP. Adult sedentary behavior: a systematic review. Am J Prev Med. 2012;42(3):e3–28.
- Salmon J, Owen N, Crawford D, Bauman A, Sallis JF. Physical activity and sedentary behavior: A population-based study of barriers, enjoyment, and preference. Health Psychol. 2003;22: 178–88.
- 19. Owen N, Sugiyama T, Eakin EE, Gardiner PA, Tremblay MS, Sallis JF. Adults' sedentary behavior determinants and interventions. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41:189–96.
- Drygas W, Kwaśniewska M, Kaleta D, Pikala M, Bielecki W, Głuszek J, et al. Epidemiology of physical inactivity in Poland: prevalence and determinants in a former communist country in socioeconomic transition. Public Health. 2009;123:592–7.
- 21. Hawkley LC, Thisted RA, Cacioppo JT. Loneliness predicts reduced physical activity: cross-sectional & longitudinal analyses. Health Psychol. 2009;28:354–63.
- 22. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. The national health and nutrition survey 2011. 2012, Available at: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/houdou/2r9852000002q1st-att/2r9852000002q1wo.pdf. Accessed 12 December 2012 (in Japanese).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Differences in Prevalence of Obesity among Black, White, and Hispanic Adults-United States, 2006–2008. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58(27):740–4.
- 24. Berry B. Disparities in free time inactivity in the U.S.: trends and explanations. Sociol Perspect. 2007;50:177–208.
- 25. Touvier M, Bertrais S, Charreire H, Vergnaud AC, Hercberg S, Oppert JM. Changes in leisure-time physical activity and sedentary behaviour at retirement: a prospective study in middle-aged French subjects. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2010; 7:14.
- Polley DC, Spicer MT, Knight AP, Hartley BL. Intrafamilial correlates of overweight and obesity in African American and Native American grandparents, parents, and children in rural Oklahoma. J Am Diet Assoc. 2005;105(2):262–5.
- 27. Clark BK, Sugiyama T, Healy GN, Salmon J, Dunstan DW, Shaw JE, et al. Socio-demographic correlates of prolonged television viewing time in Australian men and women: the AusDiab study. J Phys Act Health. 2010;7(5):595–601.
- 28. King AC, Goldberg JH, Salmon J, Owen N, Dunstan D, Weber D, et al. Identifying subgroups of U.S. adults at risk for prolonged television viewing to inform program development. Am J Prev Med. 2010;38(1):17–26.
- 29. Hancher-Rauch HL, Hyner GC. Are regular exercises encouraged by their spouses? Am J Health Stud. 2005. Available at: http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\_m0CTG/is\_1-2\_20/ai\_n27869273/. Accessed 12 December 2012.
- Shibata A, Oka K, Nakamura Y, Muraoka I. Prevalence and Demographic Correlates of Meeting Physical Activity Recommendation among Japanese Adults. J Phys Act Health. 2009;6:24–32.
- Liao Y, Harada K, Shibata A, Ishii K, Oka K, Nakamura Y, et al.
   Association of self-reported physical activity patterns and sociodemographic factors among normal-weight and overweight

- Japanese men. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:278.
- 32. Shields M, Tremblay MS. Screen time among Canadian adults: a profile. Health Rep. 2008;19(2):31–43.
- 33. Proper KI, Cerin E, Brown WJ, Owen N. Sitting time and socioeconomic differences in overweight and obesity. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31:169–76.
- 34. Sugiyama T, Salmon J, Dunstan DW, Bauman AE, Owen N. Neighborhood walkability and TV viewing time among Australian adults. Am J Prev Med. 2007;33(6):444–9.
- 35. Martínez-González MA, Martínez JA, Hu FB, Gibney MJ, Kearney J. Physical inactivity, sedentary lifestyle and obesity in the European Union. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999; 23(11):1192–201.
- 36. Rhodes RE, Dean RN. Understanding physical inactivity: prediction of four leisure-time sedentary behaviors. Leis Sci. 2009;31:124–35.
- 37. Santos R, Soares-Miranda L, Vale S, Moreira C, Marques AI, Mota J. Sitting time and body mass index, in a Portuguese sample of men: results from the Azorean Physical Activity and Health Study (APAHS). Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2010; 7(4):1500–7.
- 38. Yancey AK, Wold CM, McCarthy WJ, Weber MD, Lee B, Simon PA, et al. Physical inactivity and overweight among Los

- Angeles County adults. Am J Prev Med. 2004;27(2):146-52.
- Brown WJ, Miller YD, Miller R. Sitting time and work patterns as indicators of overweight and obesity in Australian adults. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003;27(11):1340–6.
- 40. Burazeri G, Goda A, Kark JD. Television viewing, leisure-time exercise and acute coronary syndrome. Prev Med. 2008;47(1): 112–5.
- Clark BK, Sugiyama T, Healy GN, Salmon J, Dunstan DW, Owen N. Validity and reliability of measures of television viewing time and other non-occupational sedentary behavior of adults: a review. Obes Rev. 2009;10:7–16.
- 42. Healy GN, Clark BK, Winkler EA, Gardiner PA, Brown WJ, Matthews CE. Measurement of adults' sedentary time in population-based studies. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41(2):216–27.
- Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications of Japan. Population Census Survey 2010. 2012, Available at: http://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/kokusei/index.htm. Accessed 12 December 2012.
- 44. Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications of Japan. Employment Status Survey 2007. 2008, Available at: http://www.e-stat.go.jp/SG1/estat/List.do?bid=000001013824&cycode=0. Accessed 12 December 2012 (in Japanese).