DRUG CLASS REVIEW

Phosphodiesterase-5 (PDE₅) Inhibitors In the Management of Erectile Dysfunction

Sharon A. Huang; and Janette D. Lie, PharmD, BCACP

INTRODUCTION

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is the persistent inability to achieve or maintain an erection sufficient for satisfactory sexual performance.¹ According to data from the Massachusetts Male Aging Study, up to 52% of men between the ages of 40 and 70 are affected by ED.² Based on findings from the 2001–2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), it is estimated that 18.4% of men in the U.S. who are 20 years of age and older have ED.

Although ED is not an inevitable consequence of aging, there is a positive correlation with age. The prevalence of 5.1% in 20- to 39-year-old men increases to 70.2% in men 70 years of age and older. Because the etiology of ED often involves a combination of vascular, neurological, endocrinological, and psychological factors, the condition is not limited to elderly men. Other risk factors such as cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and smoking have been strongly associated with an increased prevalence of ED.³

Historically, a limited understanding of the physiological mechanism of erections restricted the treatment of ED to vacuum-constriction devices, prosthetic implants, intracavernosal injections, and intraurethral suppositories.⁴ Since its advent, the class of agents known as type-5 phosphodiesterase (PDE₅) inhibitors has revolutionized the management of ED. PDE₅ inhibitors have become the first-line therapy for ED, as recommended by the American Urological Association (AUA) and the European Association of Urology (EAU).^{4,5}

The four oral PDE_5 inhibitors commercially available in the U.S. are sildenafil (Viagra, Pfizer), vardenafil (Levitra and Staxyn, Bayer/GlaxoSmithKline), tadalafil (Cialis, Eli Lilly), and a more recently approved drug, avanafil (Stendra, Vivus). The expansion of this class has allowed for greater flexibility in prescribing based on individual response.

CLASSIFICATION AND HISTORY

Sildenafil, vardenafil, tadalafil, and avanafil are classified as PDE_5 inhibitors and are indicated for the treatment of men with ED. Sildenafil, the first PDE_5 inhibitor, was introduced in 1998. More than 20 million men were treated with sildenafil in its first 6 years on the market.⁵ In 2003, vardenafil was approved, offering patients an alternative option. Tadalafil followed several months later and was also approved in 2003. Nicknamed the

Sharon A. Huang is a pharmacy doctoral candidate at the University of Southern California School of Pharmacy and an Intern at the Pharmacy Veterans Affairs Learning Opportunities Residency (VALOR) in the Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California. Dr. Lie is Program Manager of Pharmacy Education and Training and Residency Program Director, PGY1 Pharmacy Practice, in the Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System in Los Angeles. "weekend pill," tadalafil's 36-hour effectiveness offered patients more spontaneity.

In 2010, a 10-mg oral disintegrating tablet (ODT) formulation of vardenafil (Staxyn) was introduced; this ODT discreet formulation is considered more convenient to administer.

Several years after the introduction of tadalfil on the market, researchers toyed with the idea of a chronic, low-dose formulation to further enhance spontaneity. In 2008, Eli Lilly obtained FDA approval for the once-daily administration of tadalafil. In October 2011, tadalafil (Cialis) was also approved to treat benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) with or without ED. Avanafil (Stendra) was approved in April 2012, offering an onset of action as early as 15 minutes after administration and further expanding treatment options for men with ED.

Sildenafil and tadalafil are also used to treat pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) under the trade names Revatio (sildenafil 20-mg tablets and 10-mg/12.5-mL single-use vial injections) and Adcirca (tadalafil 20-mg tablets).

Although alternative roles exist for these agents, PDE_5 inhibitors for the treatment of ED are the focus of this review.

PHARMACOLOGY

During sexual arousal, nitric oxide (NO) is released from nerve terminals and endothelial cells in the corpus cavernosum. NO activates guanylate cyclase to convert guanosine triphosphate (GTP) into cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP), triggering a cGMP-dependent cascade of events. The accumulation of cGMP leads to smooth-muscle relaxation in the corpus cavernosum and increased blood flow to the penis.

 PDE_5 is an enzyme found primarily in the smooth muscle of the corpus cavernosum that selectively cleaves and degrades cGMP to 5'-GMP. PDE_5 inhibitors are similar in structure to cGMP; they competitively bind to PDE_5 and inhibit cGMP hydrolysis, thus enhancing the effects of NO. This increase in cGMP in the smooth muscle cells is responsible for prolonging an erection.

 $\rm PDE_5$ inhibitors lack a direct effect on corpus cavernosum smooth-muscle relaxation. Therefore, after administration, adequate sexual stimulation is necessary for an erection to occur. 67

Pharmacokinetics

 PDE_5 inhibitors have been studied to determine their pharmacokinetic characteristics in absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion. A summary of the pharmacokinetic activity of these agents is presented in Table 1.

continued on page 414

Disclosure: The authors report that they have no commercial or financial relationships in regard to this article.

continued from page 407

Absorption

As a class, although PDE₅ inhibitors can reach maximum observed plasma concentrations (C_{max}) in as little as 30 minutes, the median times to maximum concentration (T_{max}) are 60 minutes for sildenafil and vardenafil and 2 hours for tadalafil. For avanafil, a median T_{max} of 30 to 45 minutes is reported, possibly translating to a quicker onset of action; however, the actual clinical significance has not been determined.

The exact values for vardenafil ODT (Staxyn) have not been reported, but this drug provides a higher systemic exposure compared with the film-coated formulation (Levitra). For this reason, these two formulations are not equivalent milligram for milligram and therefore are not interchangeable. In addition, despite the perception that an ODT formulation would take effect more quickly, both the film-coated tablets and the ODT formulation have similar onsets of action.

A comparison of agents in the \mbox{PDE}_5 drug class is presented in Table 2.8-12

Except for tadalafil, the rate and extent of absorption of PDE₅ inhibitors are diminished when they are ingested with high-fat meals. Despite the similar rate and extent of change observed with vardenafil and avanafil, only sildenafil has been found to have clinical significance, according to its manufacturer. Absorption is affected significantly with a mean reduction in C_{max} of 29% and a mean delay in T_{max} of 60 minutes. Patients are advised to avoid taking sildenafil after a high-fat meal to avoid

a possible diminished potency and delay in the onset of effect.8

Unique to vardenafil ODT is the effect of water on the drug's absorption. When vardenafil was taken with water, the $T_{\rm max}$ was shortened by 60 minutes and the area-under-the curve (AUC) concentration was decreased by 29%. To maintain appropriate onset and maximum potency, patients should not take vardenafil ODT with liquids. $^{\rm 12}$

Distribution

High volumes of distribution (V_d) for sildenafil (105 L), vardenafil (208 L), and tadalafil (63 L) suggest extensive tissue binding; data are unavailable for avanafil. All PDE₅ inhibitors are highly protein-bound (94%–99%), contributing to the observed high volume of distribution.⁸⁻¹² Therefore, disease states that alter protein levels, such as malnutrition and liver disease (e.g., cirrhosis), can be expected to cause variations in the distribution of PDE₅ inhibitors.

Metabolism

Each PDE₅ inhibitor undergoes metabolism predominantly through the hepatic isoenzyme cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 pathway. Minor pathways include CYP2C9 for sildenafil, CYP3A5 and CYP2C for vardenafil, and CYP2C for avanafil. Because the metabolism of these agents relies primarily on CYP3A4, moderate-to-strong inhibitors, such as erythromycin (Ery-Tab, E-Mycin, Abbott; Eryc, Warner Chilcott), ketocon-

	Sildenafil (Viagra)	Vardenafil (Levitra)	Vardenafil ODT (Staxyn)	Tadalafil (Cialis)	Avanafil (Stendra)
Bioavailability	41% (mean) 25%–63% (range)	15% (mean)	-	_	-
T _{max}	1 hour (median) 0.5–2 hours (range)	1 hour (median) 0.5–2 hours (range)	1.5 hours (median) 0.75–2.5 hours (range)	2 hours (median) 0.5–6 hours (range)	0.5–0.75 hours (range)
V _d	105 L	208 L	208 L	63 L	-
Protein binding	96%	95%	95%	94%	99%
Metabolism	Major: CYP3A4 Minor: CYP2C9	Major: CYP3A4 Minor: CYP3A5, CYP2C	Major: CYP3A4 Minor: CYP3A5, CYP2C	СҮРЗА4	Major: CYP3A4 Minor: CYP2C
Active metabolite (% effect)	Yes (20%) <i>N</i> -desmethylation	Yes (7%) Desmethylation	Yes (7%) Desmethylation	No	Yes (4%) Methylation, glucuronidation
Half-life	4 hours	4–5 hours	4–6 hours	17.5 hours	5 hours
Elimination	80% feces 13% urine	91%–95% feces 2%–6% urine	91%–95% feces 2%–6% urine	61% feces 36% urine	62% feces 21% urine
Ingestion with high-fat meals	↓ C_{max} 29% ↑ T_{max} by 1 hour	↓ C _{max} 18%–50%	↓ C _{max} 35%	Not affected	↓ C _{max} 24-39% ↑ T _{max} by 1.12–1.25 hours
	Avoid	May use (per manufacturer)	May use (per manufacturer)		May use (per manufacturer)
Additional PDE inhibition	PDE ₁ , PDE ₆	PDE ₁ , PDE ₆	PDE ₁ , PDE ₆	PDE ₁₁	_

 C_{max} = peak concentration; CYP = cytochrome P450; ODT = oral dissolving tablet; T_{max} – time to peak concentration Data from prescribing information for sildenafil,⁸ vardenafil,⁹ tadalafil,¹⁰ avanafil,¹¹ and vardenafil ODT.¹²

$\ensuremath{\text{PDE}}_{\ensuremath{\text{5}}}$ Inhibitors for Erectile Dysfunction

	Sildenafil (Viagra)	Vardenafil (Levitra)	Vardenafil ODT (Staxyn)	Tadalafil (Cialis)	Avanafil (Stendra)
Manufacturer	Pfizer	Bayer/ GlaxoSmithKline	Bayer/ GlaxoSmithKline	Eli Lilly	Vivus
lear of approval	1998	2003	2010	2003	2012
Jsual dosage	25—100 mg/day	5—20 mg/day	10 mg/day	5–20 mg/day (as needed); 2.5–5 mg/day once daily	50–200 mg/day
Administration time	1 hour before sexual activity	1 hour before sexual activity	1 hour before sexual activity	At least 0.5 hours before sexual activity	0.5 hours before sexual activity
Time frame of efficacy	0.5-4 hours post dose	_	_	Up to 36 hours post dose	As early as 0.25 hour post dose
Dosage adjustments	Renal CrCl < 30 mL/minute: starting dose 25 mg Hepatic impairment: starting dose 25 mg Drug Interactions • Potent CYP3A4 inhibitors: starting dose 25 mg • Ritonavir: maxi- mum 25 mg/48 hours Other >65 years old: start- ing dose 25 mg	Renal Do not use in patients receiving hemodialysis Hepatic • Moderate impair- ment: starting dose 5 mg; maximum 10 mg • Severe impair- ment: do not use Drug Interactions • Moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors: maximum 5 mg/ 24 hours • Potent CYP3A4 inhibitors: maximum 2.5 mg/24 hours • Ritonavir: maxi- mum 2.5 mg / 72 hours Other ≥65 years of age: starting dose 5 mg	Renal Do not use in patients receiving hemodialysis Hepatic Moderate/severe impairment: do not use Drug Interactions Moderate/potent CYP3A4 inhibitors: do not use	As-needed use: Renal • CrCl 30–50 mL/minute: starting dose 5 mg/day; maximum 10 mg/48 hours • CrCl < 30 mL/minute or if patient is receiving hemo- dialysis: maximum 5 mg/72 hours Hepatic • Mild/moderate impair- ment: maximum 10 mg/day • Severe impairment: do not use Drug Interactions Potent CYP3A4 inhibitors: maximum 10 mg/72 hours Once-daily use: Renal CrCl <30 mL/minute or if on hemodialysis: do not use Hepatic • Mild/moderate impair- ment: use with caution • Severe impairment: do not use Drug Interactions Potent CYP3A4 inhibitors: maximum 10 mg/72 hours	Renal Do not use if CrCl < 30 mL/minute or in patients receiving hemodialysis Hepatic Severe impairment: do not use Drug Interactions • Moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors: maximun 50 mg/day • Potent CYP3A4 inhibitors: do not us
Common adverse reactions	Headache, flushing, dyspepsia, nasal congestion, naso- pharyngitis, visual abnormalities	Headache, flushing, dyspepsia, nasal congestion, naso- pharyngitis, visual abnormalities	Headache, flushing, dyspepsia, nasal congestion, naso- pharyngitis, visual abnormalities	Headache, flushing, dyspepsia, nasal congestion, naso- pharyngitis, back pain, myalgia	Headache, flushing, dyspepsia, nasal congestion, nasopharyngitis
Time required from last dose to administration of a nitrate (e.g., nitroglycerin)	24 hours	24 hours	24 hours	48 hours	12 hours
AWP unit cost	\$22.49 (100 mg)	\$21.46 (20 mg)	\$13.98 (10 mg)	\$24.48 (20 mg)	_

Data from prescribing information for sildenafil,⁸ vardenafil,⁹ tadalafil;¹⁰ avanafil;¹¹ vardenafil ODT;¹² and Red Book Online.³⁶

azole (Nizoral, PriCara/ Janssen), itraconazole (Sporanox, Janssen), and ritonavir (Norvir, Abbott) and inducers, such as rifampin, phenytoin (Dilantin, Pfizer) of this isoenzyme can be expected to affect the blood concentrations of PDE_5 inhibitors.

Of the four agents available in the U.S., only tadalafil has metabolites that are not pharmacologically active. Sildenafil produces an active metabolite that retains approximately 50% of the potency of the parent drug, contributing to approximately 20% of its total pharmacological activity.⁸ Vardenafil and avanafil produce active metabolites that contribute to the total pharmacological activity of each of these agents (7% and 4%, respectively).^{9,11}

The precise clinical impact of these active metabolites, particularly in patients with impaired ability to metabolize or eliminate these agents, has not been determined.

Elimination

 PDE_5 inhibitors are eliminated predominantly as metabolites in the feces and, to a lesser extent, in the urine. Sildenafil, vardenafil, and avanafil share similar mean terminal half-lives of 4 to 5 hours. In contrast, tadalafil has an extended half-life of approximately 17.5 hours, allowing its use as a once-daily agent.⁸⁻¹²

Renal Impairment

In patients with mild-to-moderate renal impairment or with a creatinine clearance (CrCl) above 30 to 80 mL/minute, the pharmacokinetic properties of sildenafil remain unaltered. However, in patients with severe renal impairment (CrCl, 30 mL/minutes or less), the AUC concentration and the C_{max} are doubled. As a precaution, it is recommended that sildenafil be initiated at 25 mg per dose in men with severe renal impairment.⁸

When vardenafil was studied in patients with moderate and severe renal impairment (CrCl, 50 mL/minute or less), the AUC concentration was increased by 20% to 30% compared with patients with a CrCl exceeding 80 mL/minute, resulting in no need for dosage adjustments. Because pharmacokinetic parameters for vardenafil have not been assessed in patients undergoing dialysis, this drug is not recommended in this population. These renal dosing recommendations also apply to vardenafil ODT.^{9,12}

When avanafil was administered to patients with mild-tomoderate renal impairment (a CrCl of 30–90 mL/minute or more), the AUC concentration and the C_{max} increased slightly, resulting in no need for dosage adjustments. However, because avanafil has not been studied in patients with severe renal impairment or in patients on dialysis, it is not recommended for these patient populations.¹¹

When tadalafil was given to patients with mild-to-moderate renal impairment (a CrCl of 30–80 mL/minute or more), the AUC concentration doubled, resulting in changes to the dosing recommendations. Therefore, in patients with moderate renal insufficiency (CrCl, 30–50 mL/minute) who used tadalafil as needed, a starting dose of 5 mg no more than once daily is recommended, with a maximum of no more than 10 mg in 48 hours.

Patients who have severe renal impairment (CrCl, below 30 mL/minute) or men who are receiving dialysis should not take more than 5 mg of tadalafil within 72 hours. The once-

daily use of tadalafil is not recommended for men with severe renal insufficiency.¹⁰

Hepatic Impairment

Patients with mild-to-moderate hepatic impairment (Child– Pugh class A and B) who received sildenafil experienced increased AUC concentrations (85%) and C_{max} (47%). A starting dose of sildenafil 25 mg is recommended for these patients.⁸

In men with moderate hepatic impairment who received vardenafil, the AUC concentration and the C_{max} were increased by 160% and 130%, respectively. Therefore, the recommended starting dose of vardenafil in patients with moderate hepatic impairment is 5 mg with a maximum dose of 10 mg per dose.⁹ Vardenafil ODT is not recommended for use in men with moderate hepatic impairment.¹²

When tadalafil is used as needed in patients with mild-tomoderate hepatic impairment, doses exceeding 10 mg are not recommended. Once-daily tadalafil has not been well studied in patients with mild-to-moderate hepatic impairment and should be used with caution.¹⁰

In patients with moderate hepatic impairment, avanafil's AUC concentration was increased by 11% and the C_{max} was decreased by 51%. No dosage adjustments are recommended for avanafil in patients with mild-to-moderate hepatic impairment.¹¹

As a result of insufficient data, none of the four agents are recommended for men with severe hepatic impairment (Child– Pugh class C).

Pharmacodynamics

Mammalian phosphodiesterases (PDEs) comprise 11 gene families, which are distributed in different areas of the body. Each PDE family contributes to various physiological functions.¹³ Because the smooth muscle of the corpus cavernosum expresses type-5 PDEs predominantly, the specificity and selectivity of PDE₅ inhibition play a crucial role in prolonging erections and in limiting adverse effects from nonspecific PDE inhibition.

All of the currently available PDE₅ inhibitors are highly selective for the type-5 gene family. Sildenafil and vardenafil, however, are less selective against PDE₆, which is expressed in the retina.^{13,14} Patients have reported vision-related adverse effects consistent with PDE₆ inhibition, such as dose-related impairment in color discrimination (blue/green) or cyanopsia (objects appearing blue).⁸⁻¹⁰ By contrast, although tadalafil is selective against PDE₆, it also expresses selectivity against PDE₁₁, which is concentrated in the prostate, testes, and skeletal muscles.^{13,14} Inhibition of the type-11 PDE isoenzyme has been associated with pain and myalgia.¹⁵

DRUG-DRUG INTERACTIONS

CYP3A4 Isoenzymes

Because of their extensive CYP3A4 metabolism, PDE_5 inhibitors carry a risk of interacting with potent CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers. The concomitant use of potent CYP3A4 inhibitors increases plasma concentrations of sildenafil. When sildenafil 100 mg was administered with erythromycin (e.g., Ery-Tab, E-Mycin, Eryc) and saquinavir (Invirase, Hoffman-LaRoche) (both are considered relatively potent CYP3A4 inhibitors), the AUC concentration was increased by 182% and 210%, respec-

tively. More notably, when sildenafil 100 mg was administered with ritonavir (Norvir), a highly potent CYP3A4 inhibitor, the AUC concentration was increased by 11-fold (1,000%). Therefore, a starting dose of 25 mg is recommended in patients initiating sildenafil therapy with the concomitant use of moderate-to-strong CYP3A4 inhibitors such as ketoconazole (Nizoral), itraconazole (Sporanox), erythromycin, and saquinavir. Doses of sildenafil should not exceed 25 mg in 48 hours when it is taken with ritonavir.⁸

The use of potent CYP3A4 inhibitors with vardenafil has also been studied. A dramatic 49-fold increase in the AUC concentration resulted when vardenafil 5 mg was taken with ritonavir 600 mg twice daily. Coadministration with erythromycin, ketoconazole, and indinavir (Crixivan, Merck) may also lead to an increase in the AUC concentration of vardenafil by four-fold to 16-fold. Consequently, a maximum dose of vardenafil 5 mg per 24 hours is recommended when it is used concomitantly with moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., erythromycin, ketoconazole 200 mg, and itraconazole 200 mg).

With potent CYP3A4 inhibitors such as indinavir, saquinavir, atazanavir (Reyataz, Bristol-Myers Squibb), ketoconazole 400 mg, itraconazole 400 mg, and clarithromycin (Biaxin, Abbott), doses of vardenafil 2.5 mg per 24 hours should not be exceeded, except for ritonavir, the dose of which should not exceed 2.5 mg every 72 hours.⁹

The concomitant use of moderate or potent CYP3A4 inhibitors with vardenafil ODT is not recommended.¹²

When given with ketoconazole 400 mg daily, a single dose of tadalafil 20 mg increased the AUC concentration of tadalafil by 312%. When ritonavir 500 to 600 mg twice daily or 200 mg twice daily was taken with a single dose of tadalafil 20 mg, tadalafil's AUC concentration increased by 32% and 124%, respectively. Nonetheless, when tadalafil is prescribed as needed, a maximum dose of 10 mg in a 72-hour period should not be exceeded when used concomitantly with any potent CYP3A4 inhibitor. Similarly, for daily use of tadalafil, a maximum dose of 2.5 mg/day is recommended in patients taking potent CYP3A4 inhibitors.¹⁰

The use of both ketoconazole 400 mg daily and ritonavir 600 mg twice daily has been shown to increase avanafil's AUC concentration by 13-fold. As recommended by the manufacturer, the avanafil dose should not exceed 50 mg/day in patients who are also taking moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors. Avanafil should not be used in patients taking potent CYP3A4 inhibitors.¹¹

Not all interactions with moderate and potent CYP3A4 inhibitors have been studied with each PDE_5 inhibitor; however, dosage adjustments and avoiding concomitant use altogether in some cases should be considered.

Alpha Blockers

Coadministration of PDE_5 inhibitors with alpha-adrenergic blocking agents can result in additive vasodilatory effects, causing potentially significant reductions in blood pressure (BP).¹⁶ PDE₅ inhibitor therapy for ED should be initiated only if the patient's BP is already stable with alpha blockers and at the lowest recommended dose.

Vardenafil ODT (Staxyn) is not recommended for patients who are taking alpha blockers, particularly new users of this combination. Alternatively, low-dose, film-coated vardenafil tablets (Levitra) can be used. Patients taking PDE₅ inhibitors and alpha blockers concomitantly should be monitored closely.⁸⁻¹²

Nitrates

Nitrates such as nitroglycerin increase the production of cGMP, PDE_5 inhibitors decrease cGMP degradation. The concomitant use of these agents can cause a significant increase in cGMP accumulation, resulting in a synergistic reduction in BP. Therefore, the use of PDE_5 inhibitors with any form of organic nitrates is contraindicated. In emergency situations, if patients have taken a PDE_5 inhibitor and experience chest pain requiring treatment, a non-nitrate containing agent (e.g., a calcium-channel blocker or a beta blocker) can be used as an alternative when appropriate.¹⁷

A minimum of 24 hours should elapse after the last dose of sildenafil or vardenafil is taken before a nitrate can be used.^{8,9} A period of at least 12 hours for avanafil and 48 hours for tadalafil should elapse before the patient takes a nitrate.^{10,11,18} In such an event, nitrates should be administered only under close monitoring.

CLINICAL EFFICACY

Results from clinical trials of PDE₅ inhibitors have shown consistent improvement in erectile functioning compared with placebo. Studies commonly use questionnaires based on diaries and event logs to evaluate efficacy and functional improvements.

One of the most extensively used measurements is the mean score derived from the International Index of Erectile Function– Erectile Function domain (IIEF–EF). Scores range from 0 to 30 based on 15 questions. Separate evaluation of responses to Question 3 (successful penile penetration) and Question 4 (maintenance of erection after penetration) are often used to further determine the efficacy of PDE₅ inhibitors.

The Sexual Encounter Profile (SEP) is a diary that has also been used to document a patient's sexual experiences. In particular, responses to Question 2 (SEP2, erection sufficient for penetration) and Question 3 (SEP3, erection sufficient for successful intercourse) are used to measure efficacy outcomes.

The Global Assessment Question (GAQ) asks the patient whether the treatment has improved erectile function and is reported as the percentage of patients responding "yes."

In a published systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials by Tsertsvadze et al., the efficacy of oral PDE₅ inhibitors was found to be consistent in the treatment of ED.¹⁹ In 16 trials that evaluated sildenafil in men with various comorbidities, the mean per-patient percentage of successful sexual intercourse was 69% with treatment (range, 52%–85%) compared with 35.5% for placebo (range, 19%–68%). Data pooled from four trials reported a 34.4% improvement from baseline in successful intercourse attempts.

In 13 trials evaluating vardenafil in men with various comorbidities using SEP3, the mean per-patient percentage of successful sexual intercourse attempts was 68% for vardenafil (range, 50%–88%) versus 35% for placebo (range, 20%–49%). Data pooled from two trials reported a 33.2% improvement from baseline in successful intercourse attempts.

Fifteen trials that assessed the use of tadalafil in men with various comorbidities were conducted to determine the mean per-patient percentage of successful intercourse attempts.

Based on data collected from SEP3, tadalafil was reported as having a success rate of 69% (range, 50%–85%) versus 33% for placebo (range, 23%–52%). Data pooled from five trials revealed a 35.1% improvement from baseline in successful intercourse attempts.¹⁹

Data supporting the safety and efficacy of once-daily tadalafil led to the eventual approval of this dosing option. One of the most cited reasons for poor response to the treatment of ED is the lack of spontaneity with on-demand dosing.²⁰ By taking advantage of the prolonged half-life of tadalafil, this new approach to treatment has created more "natural" sexual experiences for patients and has even been referred to as a "cure" for ED.²¹

Chronic low-dose PDE_5 inhibitor therapy has also benefited difficult-to-treat populations such as diabetic, post-prostatectomy, and post-radiotherapy patients and may be an alternative for men who have not responded to other PDE_5 inhibitors or to traditional on-demand dosing.²²

In a 1- to 2-year open-label extension study by Porst et al., once-daily tadalafil 5 mg was found to be a safe and efficacious option for men with ED. No serious adverse events attributed to the drug were observed. Upon the completion of the study period, 95.7% and 92.1% of patients, respectively, reported positive responses in GAQ1 (improved erections) and GAQ2 (improved ability to engage in sexual activity) scores. Mean IIEF–EF domain scores also increased by 10.8 points from baseline.²³

In a multicenter, randomized, double-blind phase 3 trial, 646 men with mild-to-moderate ED received avanafil (50 mg, 100 mg, 200 mg) or placebo. SEP2, SEP3, and IIEF-EF domain scores were evaluated to determine efficacy. After 12 weeks of treatment, patients receiving avanafil showed statistically significant improvements over placebo in all primary endpoints studied. The mean percentage change from baseline for successful vaginal penetration (SEP2) was 54% for placebo vs. 64% to 77% for 50 to 200 mg of avanafil. The mean percentage change from baseline for successful sexual intercourse (SEP3) was 27% for placebo vs. 41% to 57% for 50 mg to 200 mg of avanafil. At the end of treatment, the mean IIEF-EF domain score was 15.3 for placebo versus 18.1 to 22.2 for 50 mg to 200 mg for avanafil. Although all three studied strengths of avanafil were found to be more effective than placebo, doses of 100 mg and 200 mg showed greater benefit over 50 mg. No significant difference was noted between the higher doses.24

Although PDE₅ inhibitors have clearly shown efficacy in placebo-controlled trials for the treatment of ED, only a limited number of head-to-head trials have compared the various agents. The evidence is inconclusive regarding the superiority of one agent over another; however, most men in the comparative studies cited tadalafil as the preferred agent because of its extended duration of action.²⁵

SAFETY AND ADVERSE EFFECTS

 PDE_5 inhibitors are generally well tolerated for the treatment of ED. The most common adverse drug reactions reported include headache, flushing, nasal congestion, nasopharyngitis, and dyspepsia. Rare but serious reports of prolonged erections lasting more than 4 hours and priapism (painful erections lasting more than 6 hours) have been reported with PDE_5 inhibitors. Patients should be advised to seek immediate medical attention if they experience these events. Cases of priapism that are not immediately treated can lead to permanent penile tissue damage.⁸⁻¹²

Visual abnormalities have been reported with PDE₅ inhibitors. In July 2005, an FDA alert recommended that men discontinue all such agents and seek immediate medical attention if they experienced a sudden loss of vision.²⁶ Cases of non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) were reported during postmarketing experience with PDE₅ inhibitors.²⁷⁻³⁰ In this condition, blood flow to the optic nerve is blocked. Although evidence of a cause-and-effect relationship is insufficient, caution should be used in prescribing PDE₅ inhibitors, particularly for men with pre-existing risk factors for the development of NAION, such as hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia.

Sudden hearing loss was also reported in postmarketing studies. In October 2007, the FDA requested that this potential risk be displayed more prominently on the labels for PDE₅ inhibitors. At the time of the announcement, 29 cases of hearing loss had been reported in postmarketing analyses. Additional cases were identified in a retrospective analysis of the clinical trials. Although the direct association of hearing loss with PDE₅ inhibitor use has not been established, patients were advised to discontinue the use of all PDE₅ inhibitors and to seek medical attention if they experienced a sudden decrease in or a loss of hearing.³¹

Back pain and myalgia have been reported with tadalafil. In general, the pain was reported as mild to moderate in severity, occurring 12 to 24 hours after administration and typically resolving within 48 hours without medical treatment. When treatment was necessary, acetaminophen and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were generally effective in alleviating the reported pain.¹⁰

INDICATIONS

Sildenafil, vardenafil, tadalafil, and avanafil are approved for as-needed use in the treatment of ED. In 2008, tadalafil was granted FDA approval for once-daily use in the treatment of ED and in 2011, it was given an indication for BPH with or without ED. Sildenafil and tadalafil are also approved for PAH under the trade names of Revatio and Adcirca, respectively.⁸⁻¹²

CONTRAINDICATIONS AND PRECAUTIONS

 PDE_5 inhibitors are contraindicated in patients who are taking any form of organic nitrates, regularly or intermittently. Because these agents modify the cGMP and NO pathways, PDE_5 inhibitors, if used with nitrates, may synergistically contribute to hypotensive effects.

Rare but serious hypersensitivity reactions, such as Stevens–Johnson syndrome and exfoliative dermatitis, have been reported with sildenafil and tadalafil. Cases of pruritus and eyelid swelling have been reported with avanafil. All PDE₅ inhibitors are contraindicated in patients with a known hypersensitivity to any component of the agents.⁸⁻¹²

The vardenafil ODT formulation contains phenylalanine and should be used with caution in patients with phenylketonuria. Patients with a rare hereditary intolerance to fructose should also exercise caution with the ODT formulation because of its sorbitol content.¹²

COST AND ECONOMIC BURDEN

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is a common male sexual disorder, affecting nearly one in five men older than age 20, and the prevalence increases with advancing age. Extrapolating from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), the cost of treatment for ED in the U.S. was estimated to be as high as \$15 billion if all men with ED were to seek treatment.³² The true burden of ED is unclear because of the various economical and psychological components involved.

With the expansion of this class of agents, men with ED have effective and reliable options. PDE₅ inhibitors have gained tremendous popularity, as shown in 2011 worldwide revenues of sildenafil (\$1.98 billion), vardenafil (\$332 million), and tadalafil (\$1.88 billion).³³⁻³⁵ Although PDE₅ inhibitors are effective, their more widespread use is limited by their high cost (see Table 2).³⁶

CONCLUSION

The currently available PDE₅ inhibitors, including the more recently approved avanafil, provide men with ED with a safe, convenient, and effective method for improving erectile function. These agents have become the first-line therapy for ED and are preferred by health care practitioners and patients because of their ease of use, quick onset of action, and tolerability. Given the lack of adequate head-to-head trials, it is difficult to determine the superiority of one agent over another. Further studies comparing these agents are needed to distinguish the possible benefits of each, particularly in specific subsets of patients.

All PDE₅ inhibitors allow for flexibility in prescribing and patient preference based on individual response and need. Specific characteristics of each agent (cost, ease of use, and adverse effects) should be used to guide appropriate treatment.

REFERENCES

- NIH Consensus Conference. Impotence: NIH Consensus Development Panel on Impotence. JAMA 1993;270:83–90.
- Feldman HA, Goldstein I, Hatzichristou DG, et al. Impotence and its medical and psychosocial correlates: Results of the Massachusetts Male Aging Study. J Urol 1994;151:54–61.
- 3. Selvin E, Burnett, AL, Platz EA. Prevalence and risk factors for erectile dysfunction in the U.S. *Am J Med* 2007;120:151–157.
- 4. Montague DK, Jarow JP, Broderick GA, et al. The management of erectile dysfunction: An AUA update. *J Urol* 2005;174:230–239.
- Wespes E, Amar E, Hatzichristou D, et al. EAU guidelines on erectile dysfunction: An update. *Eur Urol* 2006;49:806–815.
- Alwaal A, Al-Mannie R, Carrier S. Future prospects in the treatment of erectile dysfunction: Focus on avanafil. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 2011;5:435–443.
- Limin M, Johnsen N, Hellstrom JG. Avanafil, a new rapid-onset phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. *Exp Opin Investig Drugs* 2010;19(11):1427–1437.
- Viagra (sildenafil), prescribing information. New York: Pfizer; January 2010.
- 9. Levitra (vardenafil), prescribing information. Wayne, N.J.: Bayer Healthcare/GlaxoSmithKline; revised November 2011.
- 10. Cialis (tadalafil), prescribing information. Indianapolis, Ind.: Eli Lilly; October 2011.
- Stendra (avanafil), prescribing information. Mountainview, Calif.: Vivus, Inc.; April 2012.
- Staxyn (vardenafil ODT), prescribing information. Wayne, N.J.; Bayer Healthcare/GlaxoSmithKline; revised April 2011.
- Omori K, Kotera J. Overview of PDEs and their regulation. Circ Res 2007;100:309–327.
- 14. Kotera J, Mochida H, Inoue H, et al. Avanafil, a potent and highly selective phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor for erectile dysfunction.

J Urol 2012;188:668–674.

- 15. Carrier S. Pharmacology of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors. *Can J Urol* 2003(10 Suppl 1):12–16.
- Kloner RA. Pharmacology and drug interaction effects of the phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors: Focus on alpha-blocker interactions. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;96(12B):42M–46M.
- Rosen RC, Jackson G, Kostis JB. Erectile dysfunction and cardiac disease: Recommendations of the Second Princeton Conference. *Curr Urol Rep* 2006;7:490–496.
- Kloner RA, Hutter AM, Emmick JT, et al. Time course of the interaction between tadalafil and nitrates. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003; 42(10):1855–1860.
- Tsertsvadze A, Fink HA, Yazdi F, et al. Oral phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors and hormonal treatments for erectile dysfunctions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;151:650–661.
- Hackett GI. Patient preferences in treatment of erectile dysfunction: The continuing importance of patient education. *Clin Cornerstone* 2005;7:57–65.
- Fusco F, Razzoli E, Imbimbo C, et al. A new era in the treatment of erectile dysfunction: Chronic phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibition. *BJU Int* 2010;105(12):1634–1639.
- Sung HH, Lee SW. Chronic low dosing of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor for erectile dysfunction. *Korean J Urol* 2012;53 (6):377–385.
- Porst H, Rajfer, J, Casabe A, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of tadalafil 5 mg dosed once daily in men with erectile dysfunction. *J Sex Med* 2008;5:2160–2169.
- Goldstein I, McCullough AR, Jones LA, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled evaluation of the safety and efficacy of avanafil in subjects with erectile dysfunction. J Sex Med 2012;9:1122–1133.
- Porst H, Hell-Momeni K, Buttner H. Chronic PDE-5 inhibition in patients with erectile dysfunction: A treatment approach using tadalafil once daily. *Exp Opin Pharmacother* 2012;13(10):1481– 1494.
- FDA Alert. Sildenafil citrate (marketed as Viagra) information for healthcare professionals. July 2005. Available at: www. fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm162862.htm. Accessed July 6, 2012.
- Egan R, Pomeranz H. Sildenafil (Viagra) associated anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;118(2):291–292.
- Boshier A, Pambakian N, Shakir SA. A case of non-arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) in a male patient taking sildenafil. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2002;40(9):422–423.
- 29. Pomeranz HD, Bhavsar AR. Nonarteritic ischemic optic neuropathy developing soon after use of sildenafil (Viagra): A report of seven new cases. *J Neuro-Ophthalmol* 2005;25(1):9–13.
- Akash R, Hrishikesh D, Amith P, et al. Case report: Association of combined non-arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) and obstruction of cilioretinal artery with overdose of Viagra. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21(4):315–317.
- 31. FDA announces revisions to labels for Cialis, Levitra, and Viagra: Potential risk of sudden hearing loss with ED drugs to be displayed more prominently. Available at: www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/ Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/2007/ucm109012.htm. Accessed July 6, 2012.
- Wessells H, Joyce GF, Wise M, et al. Erectile dysfunction. J Urol 2007;177:1675–1681.
- Pfizer. Appendix A: Annual Report 2011. Available at: www.pfizer. com/files/annualreport/2011/financial/financial2011.pdf. Accessed July 6, 2012.
- Bayer. Annual Report 2011. Available at: www.annualreport2011. bayer.com/en/healthcare.aspx. Accessed July 6, 2012.
- Eli Lilly. Annual Report 2011. Available at: http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/LLY/1957466321x0x548541/E8FFDA89-5EC1-4D08-AB37-CD85F4C0863D/English.pdf. Accessed July 6, 2012.
- 36. *Red Book Online*. Micromedex Healthcare Series. Truven Health Analytics. Available at:www.micromedexsolutions.com. Accessed April 12, 2013. Formerly available at: http://thomsonhc.com/ home/April 2013dispatch. Accessed June 29, 2012. ■