Rare Variants in Hypermutable Genes Underlie Common Morphology and Growth Traits in Wild Saccharomyces paradoxus

Jeremy I. Roop*^{,†} and Rachel B. Brem*^{,1}

*Department of Molecular and Cell Biology and [†]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT Understanding the molecular basis of common traits is a primary challenge of modern genetics. One model holds that rare mutations in many genetic backgrounds may often phenocopy one another, together explaining the prevalence of the resulting trait in the population. For the vast majority of phenotypes, the role of rare variants and the evolutionary forces that underlie them are unknown. In this work, we use a population of *Saccharomyces paradoxus* yeast as a model system for the study of common trait variation. We observed an unusual, flocculation and invasive-growth phenotype in one-third of *S. paradoxus* strains, which were otherwise unrelated. In crosses with each strain in turn, these morphologies segregated as a recessive Mendelian phenotype, mapping either to *IRA1* or to *IRA2*, yeast homologs of the hypermutable human neurofibromatosis gene *NF1*. The causal *IRA1* and *IRA2* haplotypes were of distinct evolutionary origin and, in addition to their morphological effects, associated with hundreds of stress-resistance and growth traits, both beneficial and disadvantageous, across *S. paradoxus*. Single-gene molecular genetic analyses confirmed variant *IRA1* and *IRA2* haplotypes as causal for these growth characteristics, many of which were independent of morphology. Our data make clear that common growth and morphology traits in yeast result from a suite of variants in master regulators, which function as a mutation-driven switch between phenotypic states.

A primary goal of modern genetics is to understand the molecular basis of traits that segregate at high frequency in populations. Toward this end, hundreds of studies have sought to map causal genes, using tests for allele sharing among affected but unrelated individuals. Against a backdrop of recent successes in fruit fly and plant populations (Atwell *et al.* 2010; Brachi *et al.* 2010; Chan *et al.* 2011; Filiault and Maloof 2012; Mackay *et al.* 2012; Magwire *et al.* 2012; Weber *et al.* 2012; Dunn *et al.* 2013), association mapping in many systems has yielded loci that explain only a small part of the variation in a given trait across individuals (McCarthy *et al.* 2008). The latter challenges have motivated the proposal that the bulk of common phenotypic variation may be attributable to rare, highly penetrant mutations (Dickson *et al.* 2010; McClellan and King 2010;

Copyright © 2013 by the Genetics Society of America

doi: 10.1534/genetics.113.155341

Manuscript received May 23, 2013; accepted for publication July 18, 2013

Veltman and Brunner 2012), including recurrent variation at hypermutable loci (Shen *et al.* 1996; Chan *et al.* 2010; Michaelson *et al.* 2012). As yet, the genetic architecture of most common traits remains unknown, and experimental systems in which to investigate the principles of common trait variation have been at a premium in the literature.

Saccharomyces yeasts have long been a workhorse of the molecular-genetic research community, with resources now becoming available for analyses of population-level variation (Liti et al. 2009). Among the most well-studied phenotypes in the classic yeast literature are cell-clumping and filamentation-like behaviors in certain laboratory strains, which serve as models for fungal pathogenesis and have been subject to elegant molecular dissection (Carstens and Lambrechts 1998; Jin et al. 2008; Soares 2011; Ryan et al. 2012). These morphologies can arise spontaneously in laboratory and brewing yeast, owing to variants in a number of hypermutable genes, including effectors and regulators of budding and adhesion (Halme et al. 2004; Verstrepen et al. 2005). In wild populations, the prevalence of morphological trait variation and its consequences for growth and fitness have not been well characterized. Wild yeast have, however,

Supporting information is available online at http://www.genetics.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/genetics.113.155341/-/DC1.

¹Corresponding author: 304A Stanley Hall, no. 3220, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720. E-mail: rbrem@berkeley.edu

been the focus of phenomic profiling efforts (Liti *et al.* 2009; Warringer *et al.* 2011), which have revealed strain differences in growth phenotypes in hundreds of conditions. Although some genome-scale mapping analyses have been reported (Cubillos *et al.* 2011; Warringer *et al.* 2011; Zörgö *et al.* 2012), for the vast majority of growth attributes varying among yeasts, the molecular basis remains to be identified.

We set out to use yeast morphologies as a testbed for the study of common trait variation, and the role of hypermutable loci, in a system of genetically tractable, wild-collected *Saccharomyces paradoxus* strain backgrounds. Our goal was to establish whether and how differences in hypermutable morphology genes segregate in wild yeast populations and to what extent they underlie morphology and growth behaviors. The pursuit of these questions led us to the discovery of a suite of hundreds of common traits in wild yeast and to the mapping of their genetic determinants.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains

Strains used in this study are listed in Supporting Information, Table S1. With the exception of wild progenitor strains, all were homothallic diploid strains obtained from the *Saccharomyces* Genome Resequencing Project (SGRP) collection (Liti *et al.* 2009) or engineered from these SGRP strains. All data were generated with these laboratory-derived strains except for the results presented in Figure S10, Figure S15, and Figure S16; the latter used homothallic progenitor strains that were obtained directly from either V. Koufopanou or G. Liti.

Hybrid strains were generated by sporulation and tetrad dissection of two strains, immediately followed by single-cell mating of progeny from each, and confirmed by PCR amplification of regions containing strain-specific indels. Homozygous deletion strains were constructed by transformation with a KanMX cassette amplified from the pUG6 plasmid (Güldener et al. 1996), followed by sporulation, tetrad dissection, and selection. Reciprocal hemizygotes were constructed by transformation of the KanMX cassette into a given hybrid background, followed by selection and PCR at the appropriate locus to determine which allele of the target gene had been deleted. Deletion of FLO10 and FLO11 in all backgrounds, as well as *IRA1* in the W7 \times Z1 background, was accomplished by replacing the entire ORF with the KanMX cassette. Engineered mutation of IRA1 and IRA2 in all other backgrounds, and FLO9 in all backgrounds, was accomplished by replacing 2000-3000 bp of the 5' end of the respective gene, including the start codon, with the KanMX cassette.

Invasive growth

Cultures of each strain were grown to stationary phase, followed by normalization to an optical density of 2.0. Four microliters of a 1:100 dilution of this culture was then spotted onto a YPD plate (2% dextrose, 2% bacto-peptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% agar) and allowed to grow at room temperature for 5 days. Plates were photographed, washed under a stream of distilled water such that all nonadherent cells were removed, and photographed again. Custom Python scripts were used to quantify invasive growth from digital images by calculating the proportion of the original colony that remained after washing.

DNA sequence analysis

For the species tree in Figure 1, whole-genome alignments of laboratory derivatives of *S. paradoxus* strains were downloaded from the *Saccharomyces* Genome Resequencing Project (Liti *et al.* 2009) and accessed using the alicat.pl script. Sites with an error probability >0.0001 as inferred by Liti *et al.* (2009) were excluded from analysis. For species phylogenies, 19,695 polymorphic sites across *S. paradoxus* from these published data were used to infer a tree, using FastTree (Price *et al.* 2010), which was visualized using FigTree. These publicly available sequences were used, in Table S2, as input into custom Python scripts to calculate genetic identity between strains KPN3828 and KPN3829 and to calculate nucleotide diversity (Nei and Li 1979) within each *S. paradoxus* population, as

$$\pi = \sum_{ij} x_i x_j \pi_{ij},$$

where x_i and x_j are the respective frequencies of the *i*th and *j*th sequences in the population and π_{ij} is the number of nucleotide differences per nucleotide site between the *i*th and *j*th sequences.

In Figure 4 and Figure S9, inference of gene trees of the coding regions of IRA1 and IRA2 in laboratory derivatives of S. paradoxus strains and trees of the regions 800 bp directly upstream of the start codon of each of these loci used a combination of published and amended sequence data as follows. We used additional Sanger sequencing to fill gaps and confirm polymorphisms in the IRA1 orthologs of laboratory derivatives of KPN3829 and W7 and the IRA2 orthologs of laboratory derivatives of KPN3828 and CBS5829 from Liti et al. (2009). Reads were assembled with the PhredPhrap script (Ewing and Green 1998). For other strains analyzed in gene trees in Figure 4, additional high-coverage genomic sequences used to confirm polymorphisms in IRA1 and IRA2 were downloaded from ftp://ftp.sanger.ac.uk/pub/users/dmc/yeast/SGRP2. The complete set of gene sequences was used as input into FastTree as above.

For analysis of original isolates of Q31.4, KPN3829, KPN3828, DBVPG4650, and CBS5829 in Figure S10, we generated complete sequence data of *IRA1* and *IRA2* coding regions and the regions 800 bp upstream of each of these two genes by Sanger sequencing, and we visualized the results with Jalview (Waterhouse *et al.* 2009).

Linkage analysis

For each strain, marker SNPs were identified in *IRA1* or *IRA2* and strains were genotyped by Sanger sequencing of a region containing the marker. Genotypes at a given locus in a given cross were used in linkage analysis as follows. Two progeny



Figure 1 Flocculation and invasive growth vary across European *S. paradoxus*. (A) Photograph of cultures of European *S. paradoxus* strains after overnight growth in rich liquid yeast peptone dextrose (YPD) medium containing 2% glucose. The eight flocculent strains and the nonflocculent strain Z1 are labeled with identifiers as in Liti *et al.* (2009). (B) Photographs at bottom are of invasive growth assays: a colony of each strain was grown on YPD solid medium for 5 days and then photographed before ("pre-wash") and after ("post-wash") removal of nonadherent cells by a water wash. At top, each bar height reports the ratio of cell density in colonies after and before a water wash as a mean of two replicate colonies; error bars represent one standard deviation. (C) Maximum-likelihood genome tree of European *S. paradoxus*. Branch lengths are proportional to the number of segregating sites that differentiate each pair of strains. In B and C, identifiers of flocculent strains are in purple.

CBS432

Q59.1

295.3

Rich solid medium

S36.7

Y8.1

274.4 T21.4

Z1.1

Y6.5

were obtained from each of four tetrads, comprising two flocculent pairs and two nonflocculent pairs. Among the flocculent pairs, the probability $p_{\rm floc}$ of observing by chance *a* pairs sharing the flocculent parent's allele, *b* pairs sharing the nonflocculent parent's allele, and *c* pairs with distinct alleles was calculated as $p_{\rm floc} = n!/(a!b!c!)[(1/6)^a(2/3)^c(1/6)^b]$, and the chance probability $p_{\rm nonfloc}$ of observing a given pattern of allele sharing among nonflocculent pairs was calculated analogously. The final probability of the observed allele sharing under the binomial null was taken as the product of $p_{\rm floc}$ and $p_{\rm nonfloc}$.

Q31.4

Q32.3

DBVPG4650

KPN3825

CBS582

Quantification of flocculation

.2

n

(PN3828

Post-wash Pre-wash

Quantification of flocculation in the presence of mannose was conducted for a given strain as in Van Mulders *et al.*

(2009) with several modifications as follows. A preculture was grown overnight in liquid medium and then pipetted vigorously to disrupt flocs. A total of 0.6 ml of this culture was then added to a well containing 0.6 ml YPD and, separately, to a well containing 0.6 ml of 0.5 M EDTA, in a 24-well plate. The plate was shaken at room temperature for 15 min to settle flocs at the bottom of the well, after which 200 μ l of culture media was pipetted off the top of each well. Optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of this 200- μ l aliquot of media was measured, and flocculation ability of a given strain was calculated as 1 – (OD₆₀₀ in YPD/OD₆₀₀ in EDTA). The final measure of the degree of flocculation for each strain in a given condition was calculated as the mean of three such assays from the same overnight culture.

289.8 N-17 269.8

Y9.6

062.5

Y8.5 Z1

Association analysis of growth fitness data

Fitness data for *S. paradoxus* strains were downloaded from Warringer *et al.* (2011). These values included fitness measurements for 5 of the strains we had determined to harbor variant *IRA* gene alleles and 15 nonflocculent strains. For each of the 592 environment–parameter measurements in the data set, fitness of the strains bearing variant *IRA* genes was grouped together and compared to fitness of the nonflocculent strains, using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test. At a given *P*-value threshold *t*, we estimated the expected number of false positives n_f as the product of p_0 and the number of tests, with the false discovery rate (FDR) then calculated as n_f divided by the number of true tests with *P*-value < p_0 ; we set p_0 to attain an FDR of 0.05.

Fitness profiling

A preculture of each strain was grown for 24 hr at room temperature in complete synthetic media (CSM) (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 0.079% complete supplement mixture) supplemented with 2% glucose. Each strain was back-diluted to an OD of 0.02 in a 96-well plate containing 150 μ l of a given treatment in CSM. Plates were vigorously shaken for 10 sec and placed in a VersaMax MicroPlate reader (Molecular Devices). OD₆₀₀ was measured every 10 min for 72 hr of growth at a temperature of 27° without shaking. Each experiment that characterized a flocculent European strain included on the plate a replicate culture of the nonflocculent European strain Z1, which was used to normalize growth measurements of the respective flocculent strain in Figure 5 and Figure S12.

From a given time course of OD_{600} measurements, custom Python scripts were used to quantify lag time, growth rate, and density change parameters as described in Warringer et al. (2011), with minor modifications as follows: the growth rate parameter was calculated in a sliding window as the slope of the line of best fit between 10 consecutive growth rate measurements corresponding to 100 min of growth. The top slope was discarded and a mean was taken of the second- to the fourth-highest slopes. Population doubling time was calculated as the ratio of $\ln(2)$ to this mean as in Warringer et al. (2011). Each fitness parameter value was calculated as the average of at least two replicate wells on the same plate inoculated from the same preculture well. For a given parameter and condition in Figure 5, Figure S12, and File S2, significance of the effect of IRA variants was assessed in parental strains by comparing the set of measurements of flocculent European strains to the set of all replicates of Z1, using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and for a given parameter, P-values were corrected for multiple testing across conditions by the Bonferroni method. Separately, for reciprocal hemizygotes, we estimated analogously the significance of differences between the set of measurements across all strains with variant alleles and the set of strains with wild-type alleles. In Figure S13 and File S3, for a given parameter and condition, the set of measurements across all strains with wild-type *FLO9* was compared to the set of measurements in strains mutant for *FLO9*, using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and for a given parameter, *P*-values were corrected for multiple testing across conditions by the Bonferroni method. In each of these analyses, results were comparable, using a paired Wilcoxon test (data not shown).

Results

A polyphyletic S. paradoxus clade displays a flocculent and invasive phenotype

We used strains derived from wild isolates of S. paradoxus in a screen for flocculation, the formation of macroscopic cell aggregates during growth in liquid medium. Of the 24 strains in the well-defined European population of this yeast (Liti et al. 2009), 8 were flocculent (Figure 1A). These strains formed flocs across a range of cell densities and environmental treatments (Figure S1), in contrast to reports of condition-specific flocculation in S. cerevisiae (Smit et al. 1992; Dengis et al. 1995; Bayly et al. 2005; Sampermans et al. 2005). We also surveyed European S. paradoxus strains for invasive growth, the ability of yeast colonies to adhere to and penetrate a solid substrate (Guo et al. 2000; Palecek et al. 2000; Bester et al. 2006). Most strains invaded a solid rich-medium agar substrate to some extent (Figure 1B), again in contrast to the requirement for nutrient limitation often seen in S. cerevisiae (Vinod et al. 2008; Zaman et al. 2008). S. paradoxus strains with the most dramatic invasive phenotype were also those flocculating in liquid media, such that the two traits were tightly correlated across the population (Spearman's rank correlation = 0.81, P = 2.14e-6).

To begin to investigate the evolutionary history of these morphology traits, we inferred the phylogeny of European S. paradoxus, using genome-scale polymorphism data (Liti et al. 2009). Surprisingly, flocculent/highly invasive strains were scattered across the phylogeny, and strains collected from neighboring locations, even those from within the same English county park, often had dissimilar morphologies (Figure 1C). Such a pattern was consistent with either of two interpretations. On the one hand, the flocculent/ invasive phenotype could have been independently acquired in multiple strains, following descent from a nonflocculent, noninvasive ancestor. Alternatively, the phenotype could have been lost in multiple strains following descent from a flocculent, invasive common ancestor. Under either model, morphological variation in S. paradoxus would be a product of independent mutational events in distinct lineages.

Flocculation and invasive growth are linked Mendelian traits

To characterize further the molecular and evolutionary basis of flocculation and invasive growth in *S. paradoxus*, we sought to evaluate the genetic complexity of these traits. For this purpose, we carried out crosses between each flocculent, homothallic European strain and the nonflocculent European strain Z1. Seven European flocculent strains successfully

formed hybrid diploids when mated as single cells with Z1. Each such hybrid strain was nonflocculent but showed a degree of invasive growth intermediate between that of its parents (see Figure 3 and Figure S6); thus, flocculation acted as a recessive phenotype and invasive growth as incompletely dominant. For each homothallic hybrid diploid, we induced sporulation, dissected the resulting tetrads, and allowed each recombinant spore to form an isogenic colony of homozygous diploid cells. Over 70% of the progeny formed colonies in each cross, except for the mating between Z1 and the flocculent European strain DBVPG4650, in which 50% of the spores dissected from each tetrad failed to yield viable colonies. For each of the remaining crosses, we cultured progeny strains in liquid culture and observed, in five cases, a 1:1 ratio of flocculent to nonflocculent morphologies (Table 1 and Figure S2). Among the progeny of each such cross, flocculation and invasive growth were coincident (Figure S2 and data not shown), indicating that for a given parent strain, a single variant locus was causal for both traits. Segregation patterns among the progeny of the flocculent, invasive European strain CBS432 suggested a polygenic model and were not investigated further.

We reasoned that if flocculation and invasive growth were a monogenic trait in a given European strain, some or all of the affected strains could share the same causal locus. To test this, we carried out complementation analyses as follows. In a cross between haploid cells of two flocculent, invasive European strains harboring variants at the same causal locus, all progeny are expected to exhibit both morphological phenotypes. In a cross between two haploids harboring causal variants at distinct loci, 25% of progeny will inherit neither causal allele and will exhibit a nonflocculent, noninvasive phenotype. From crosses of pairs of the five flocculent European strains, we inferred two linkage groups: the UK strains Q31.4 and W7 and the Siberian strain KPN3829 showed evidence for a single causative locus, distinct from that shared by a second Siberian strain, KPN3828, and the Italian strain CBS5829 (Table 1 and Figure S3). The evidence for unlinked causal loci in the two Siberian strains was particularly striking, given the >99.7% identity of these two genomes, and suggested that the determinants of flocculation and invasive growth among S. paradoxus strains could be highly mutable over short evolutionary timescales.

FLO9 and FLO11 are terminal effectors of flocculation and invasive growth

We expected that the variants underlying flocculation and invasive growth in *S. paradoxus* were likely to lie in genes of the adhesion or cell polarity regulatory networks, which in *S. cerevisiae* comprise hundreds of components (Palecek *et al.* 2000; Jin *et al.* 2008). To streamline a candidate-based search among these genes, we elected to identify terminal effectors that mediated flocculation and invasive growth in *S. paradoxus*. We first tested for the involvement of adhesion proteins of the flocculin (FLO) family by treating liquid cultures of several flocculent European strains with mannose,

Table 1 Two distinct Mendelian loci underlie flocculation in the *S. paradoxus* population

Strain ^a	Proportion of flocculent recombinants from cross ^b	Linkage group ^c
W7	0.5 (8/16)	1
Q31.4	0.5 (8/16)	1
KPN3829	0.5 (6/12)	1
KPN3828	0.5 (6/12)	2
CBS5829	0.5 (8/16)	2
CBS432	0.3 (6/20)	ND

^a Flocculent, highly invasive European strains mated to the nonflocculent, noninvasive European strain Z1.

^b Results of phenotype scoring of segregants from a cross between the indicated flocculent strain and Z1. For all strains except CBS432, the invasive growth trait segregated with the flocculation trait; photographs of segregants from representative crosses are shown in Figure S2.

^c Results of complementation crosses among flocculent, invasive European strains. ND, not done. Photographs of segregants are shown in Figure S3.

a known FLO protein inhibitor. We observed a dose-dependent inhibition of flocculation by mannose, suggesting that FLO proteins did indeed play a role in the flocculent phenotype (Figure S4). We next tested directly which members of the FLO family were required for flocculation and invasive growth by knocking out, in turn, each of the S. paradoxus orthologs of five known S. cerevisiae FLO genes in the flocculent European strain W7. Deletion of FLO9 abolished flocculation in liquid culture but had no effect on invasive growth on solid media; by contrast, a FLO11 mutant was fully flocculent but almost entirely noninvasive (Figure 2). Deletion of FLO1, FLO5, and FLO10 had no morphological effect (data not shown). We repeated these deletion experiments in the flocculent, invasive European strain CBS5829, which fell into a different linkage group from that of W7 (Table 1) and thus harbored a distinct causal variant for the morphologies. We also analyzed FLO gene deletions in a third flocculent, invasive European strain, KPN3829. The results in each case mirrored those from the W7 strain: FLO9 was required for flocculation and FLO11 for invasive growth (Figure S5). We conclude that in the context of multiple distinct genetic variants that drive morphological traits in S. paradoxus, FLO9 is an effector of flocculation and FLO11 mediates invasive growth.

Variants at IRA1 and IRA2 underlie flocculation and invasive growth

In pursuing the genetic basis of variation in flocculation and invasive growth in *S. paradoxus*, we reasoned that the causal polymorphisms were likely to lie in master regulators upstream of the *FLO* genes. In *S. cerevisiae*, the MAPK and PKA signaling pathways act as primary regulators of *FLO* gene expression and as central control points for the sensing of and response to environmental conditions (Palecek *et al.* 2002). To assess the role of regulators of the MAPK and PKA cascades in control of morphological phenotypes, we carried out candidate-based linkage analyses in crosses between flocculent European strains and the nonflocculent European strain Z1. In a first test of candidate genes in the



Figure 2 *FLO9* and *FLO11* are terminal effectors of flocculation and invasive growth. Each row reports morphologies of one homozygous derivative of the flocculent, invasive European strain W7. Δ , engineered loss-of-function allele. Left photographs show overnight cultures in rich liquid medium, and right photographs show the results of invasive growth assays of colonies grown on rich solid medium, both as in Figure 1.

flocculent European strain W7, only inheritance at IRA1, a negative regulator of Ras1/Ras2 and a homolog of the hypermutable human neurofibromatosis gene NF1 (Ballester et al. 1990; Shen et al. 1996), was correlated with flocculation and invasive growth phenotypes among progeny in a cross with Z1 (Figure S2). We likewise detected co-inheritance between IRA1 and morphology traits in the other strains of this linkage group and no evidence for linkage at other tested candidate loci (data not shown). As expected, inheritance at IRA1 was not linked to flocculation and invasive growth in KPN3828 or CBS5829, which had formed a distinct linkage group in complementation analysis (Table 1). Instead, in these two strains our candidate-based linkage analysis detected coinheritance between both morphological traits and IRA2, an inhibitor of Ras1/Ras2 paralogous to IRA1 (Figure S2 and not shown).

To establish variants in IRA1 and IRA2 as causal for morphological phenotypes, we used the reciprocal hemizygote approach (Steinmetz et al. 2002), in which a gene of interest is deleted in each homolog in turn of a hybrid diploid to create a pair of hemizygotes that differ only at the manipulated locus. We constructed reciprocal hemizygotes at IRA genes in hybrid diploids formed by mating each flocculent, invasive European strain to Z1. Phenotyping of these diploids confirmed the IRA loci as causal for morphologies in each case: the hemizygote harboring the IRA1 or IRA2 allele from the flocculent, invasive parent strain exhibited these morphologies, and the hemizygote bearing the IRA1 or IRA2 allele from the Z1 parent was nonflocculent and minimally invasive (Figure 3 and Figure S6). This held true not only for the strains we had analyzed by linkage mapping, but also for the flocculent European strain DBVPG4650, which was refractory to linkage analyses: reciprocal hemizygote experiments revealed IRA1 to be the causal locus in this strain (Figure S6). We conclude that IRA1 underlies flocculent and invasive growth traits in

strains W7, KPN3829, Q31.4, and DBVPG4650, and *IRA2* underlies these traits in strains KPN3828 and CBS5829, validating our linkage and complementation analyses and highlighting *IRA* genes as a nexus of evolutionary change in this yeast population.

IRA1 and IRA2 variants are loss-of-function alleles of distinct evolutionary origin

Both Ira1 and Ira2 promote the GTP hydrolysis activity of Ras and facilitate the conversion of Ras1/Ras2 from a GTPbound (active) to a GDP-bound (inactive) state (Tanaka et al. 1990). We hypothesized that the IRA1 and IRA2 alleles underlying morphological traits in S. paradoxus were likely loss-of-function variants, since such mutations in laboratory strains of S. cerevisiae deregulate Ras1/Ras2, leading to hyperactivation of the PKA signaling cascade, which in turn promotes flocculation and invasive growth (Tanaka et al. 1989; Palecek et al. 2002; Halme et al. 2004). To test this hypothesis, we disrupted the variant IRA coding region in each strain in which we had found these variants to confer flocculation and invasive growth morphologies. Phenotypes of the resulting *IRA* null strains differed only marginally from those of their wild-type parents (Figure S7), making clear that the IRA gene variants present in the wild S. paradoxus population are complete, or nearly complete, loss-of-function alleles. Additionally, disruption of either IRA1 or IRA2 in the nonflocculent Z1 strain resulted in a flocculent and invasive phenotype, confirming that a loss-of-function mutation in either gene is sufficient to confer these traits in S. paradoxus (Figure S8).

To investigate the evolutionary history of the IRA1 and IRA2 alleles in European S. paradoxus, we used Sanger sequencing to fill gaps and confirm polymorphisms in publicly available DNA sequence data (Liti et al. 2009), and we inferred gene trees for each IRA locus. The results revealed no consistent relationship between variant alleles in a given IRA gene; instead, the strains whose IRA gene alleles we had identified as causal for morphological phenotypes formed polyphyletic clades, reflecting the likely origin of each allele through distinct mutational events (Figure 4). Gene trees inferred from promoter regions of either gene gave similar results (Figure S9). We observed no derived alleles common to the flocculent/invasive strains and absent from the remainder of the population, further arguing against a shared evolutionary origin of the morphologies (Figure S10). Taken together, our mutational and sequence analyses supported a model in which independently derived, loss-of-function mutations in IRA1 and IRA2 have led to the convergence of six S. paradoxus strains on flocculent and invasive growth phenotypes.

Hundreds of fitness traits are associated with IRA1 and IRA2 variation

Given that the target of *IRA1* and *IRA2*, yeast Ras, is central to metabolic and stress response signaling processes, we hypothesized that variant alleles of these genes could have



Figure 3 Variation at *IRA1* and *IRA2* underlies flocculation and invasive growth. Each panel reports results of reciprocal hemizygote analysis of genetic variation at an *IRA* gene, between one flocculent, invasive European strain and the nonflocculent European strain Z1. Each column represents one strain, with each element in the bottom panels showing results from the strain indicated at top. (A and D) Each cartoon represents one diploid strain, with the haploid genome inherited from a flocculent parent or Z1 represented as a symbol with dark or light shading, respectively, and a solid X indicating an engineered loss-of-function of an *IRA* gene as indicated in labels. Δ , engineered loss-of-function allele. The fourth and fifth strains in each experiment are isogenic to one another at all loci except the *IRA* gene indicated, such that trait variation between them can be attributed to genetic differences at the manipulated *IRA* locus. (B and E) Overnight cultures in rich liquid medium as in Figure 1. (C and F) Invasive growth assays of colonies grown on solid medium as in Figure 1. Bar heights report mean invasive growth measurements of two replicate colonies and error bars represent one standard deviation.

wide-ranging pleiotropic effects. To investigate this, we used publicly available fitness measurements of strains derived from European S. paradoxus isolates in 199 growth conditions (Warringer et al. 2011). For each growth condition, our analyses considered lag time prior to exponential growth, doubling rate during exponential growth, and final cell density at the end of the culture, for a total of 592 environment-parameter combinations. In each case, we tested for a significant difference in fitness between European strains whose flocculent and invasive morphologies we had established to be the result of variation at *IRA1* or *IRA2* and nonflocculent, noninvasive European strains. This analysis identified 371 cases in which a growth trait was significantly associated with mutations in IRA1 or IRA2 (false discovery rate <0.05; Figure S11 and File S1). Associations were apparent in a variety of conditions, including alternative carbon and nitrogen sources and toxin treatments. Flocculent and invasive strains bearing variants in IRA1 and IRA2 had advantages with respect to some growth parameters and conditions and defects in others (Figure S11). Thus, IRA1 and IRA2 variants were associated with hundreds of differences in growth traits, implicating these loci as global correlates of phenotype across S. paradoxus strains.

To validate the role of *IRA1* and *IRA2* mutations in growth phenotypes across *S. paradoxus*, we used the phenotypic profiles in Figure S11 to identify traits likely to be

affected by IRA gene variants, and we evaluated these predictions in reciprocal hemizygote assays. We grew reciprocal hemizygote strain pairs interrogating the IRA genes, and the respective parent strains, in eight environmental conditions and measured growth parameters in each case. The results revealed extensive differences between the hemizygotes of strain pairs (Figure 5, Figure S12, and File S2), confirming sequence variation at IRA1 and IRA2 as causal for the majority of growth traits tested. In most cases, the strains of a reciprocal hemizygote pair mirrored differences between the respective parents, and the effect of an IRA gene variant in one hemizygote pair usually phenocopied the effects in other pairs (Figure 5 and Figure S12). The most marked benefits of *IRA1* and *IRA2* mutations were to growth rates (Figure 5), and the most uniformly deleterious effects were on cell densities reached by cultures after nutrient exhaustion (Figure S12). These results establish variants in IRA1 and IRA2 as toggles between distinct phenotypic states in S. paradoxus, underlying growth benefits and disadvantages in a range of conditions and genetic backgrounds.

Growth traits in strains bearing IRA gene mutations can be independent of morphology

Given that cell clumping can affect growth and viability (Halme *et al.* 2004), we asked whether variation in growth traits across *S. paradoxus* could be attributed to mechanisms



Y8.5

Figure 4 *IRA1* and *IRA2* haplotypes from flocculent/invasive strains are not closely related. Each panel shows a maximum-likelihood phylogeny inferred from coding sequences of *IRA1* (A) or *IRA2* (B). Identifiers of strains in which *IRA1* or *IRA2* underlies flocculent and invasive growth traits are colored purple. Scale bars indicate frequencies of base pair substitutions per site.

(PN3828

KPN3829

independent of morphology. For this purpose, in each of three flocculent European strains bearing variants in IRA1 and *IRA2*, we eliminated flocculation by mutating the effector FLO9, and we compared growth in these nonflocculent strains to that in isogenic controls across a panel of conditions. The results identified growth traits fully or partly independent of flocculation (Figure 6, Figure S13, and File S3). For example, in all strains tested, IRA variant alleles compromised the maximal cell density attainable by cultures at stationary phase in the presence of 2% arabinose (Figure S12), and this fitness defect was unaffected by FLO9 mutation (Figure 6 and Figure S13). Likewise, in cultures using melibiose as a carbon source, IRA variant alleles conferred an advantage in several growth parameters (Figure 5 and Figure S12), which persisted, although in some cases with reduced magnitude, in nonflocculent FLO9 mutant backgrounds (Figure 6 and Figure S13). Interestingly, in a few conditions the growth effects of FLO9 mutation differed across strains, likely reflecting the action of modifier loci segregating in the population (Figure S13). We conclude that flocculation contributes to, but cannot fully explain, the growth profile of strains bearing variant alleles at IRA1 and IRA2, highlighting the pleiotropic effects of these polymorphisms on yeast growth and stress resistance.

Variant IRA1 and IRA2 alleles are present in wild yeast

In light of previous reports of rapid evolution of *IRA1* and *IRA2* in laboratory *S. cerevisiae* (Halme *et al.* 2004), we aimed to trace the history of these loci during the processing

of *S. paradoxus* strains from wild collection to laboratory derivatives. For each of the six wild-derived strains in which we had identified *IRA* gene variants, we obtained the originally isolated wild progenitor diploid and cultured it with minimal passaging. Five of these cultures (progenitors of CBS5829, DBVPG4650, KPN3828, KPN3829, and Q31.4) displayed a flocculent and invasive growth phenotype, confirming the prevalence of these phenotypes in wild yeast populations (Figure S14). The sixth strain, the progenitor of W7, was nonflocculent and minimally invasive (Figure S14). Progeny from sporulation of this strain were nonflocculent and noninvasive (data not shown), ruling out heterozygosity of a loss-of-function allele in the wild diploid and establishing that the mutation had been acquired after introduction into the laboratory in this case.

To investigate the genetic basis of morphological traits in wild, flocculent progenitor strains, we mated a haploid from each of three such strains to the nonflocculent strain Z1, with the remaining two, KPN3828 and Q31.4, refractory to repeated mating attempts. In each of the three hybrids successfully generated between wild progenitors and Z1, sporulation revealed a pattern of Mendelian inheritance of the flocculation phenotype (Figure S15). Reciprocal hemizygote experiments implicated variation at IRA1 in the progenitor strain of KPN3829 and at IRA2 in the progenitor strain of CBS5829, consonant with our analyses of their laboratory derivatives (Figure S6 and Figure S16). Interestingly, in reciprocal hemizygote analysis of the mating between Z1 and the progenitor of DBVPG4650, we validated *IRA2* as causal for flocculation, in contrast to our discovery of IRA1 as causal in the monosporic laboratory derivative of this strain (Figure S6 and Figure S16), which could reflect heterozygosity at both IRA1 and IRA2 in the wild DBVPG4650 diploid. Taken together, these data make clear that flocculation and invasive growth segregate among wild S. paradoxus and can be attributed to variation at IRA1 and IRA2, underscoring the relevance to yeast evolution of our observations in laboratory derivative strains.

Discussion

The search for the molecular basis of common trait variation dominates the modern study of genetics. In *Saccharomyces* yeasts, although elegant linkage studies have mapped phenotypic differences between pairs of genetically distinct strains (Steinmetz *et al.* 2002; Gerke *et al.* 2009; Kim and Fay 2009; Lee *et al.* 2011; Parts *et al.* 2011; Ehrenreich *et al.* 2012; Bloom *et al.* 2013), the determinants of common phenotypes, and the evolutionary forces that underlie them, are less well understood (Hittinger *et al.* 2010; Will *et al.* 2010; Warringer *et al.* 2011). In this work we have characterized a panel of flocculent and invasive, but otherwise unrelated, European *S. paradoxus* strains. Our results showed flocculation and invasive growth to be linked Mendelian traits, caused by loss-of-function alleles of either *IRA1* or *IRA2* that are of distinct evolutionary origin. Because each independent



Figure 5 Variation at *IRA1* and *IRA2* underlies growth rate differences in multiple conditions. (A) Shown is an example growth time course in liquid medium containing 2% melibiose as the sole carbon source, with time after inoculation on the *x*-axis and cell density, measured as the optical density (OD) at 600 nm, on the *y*-axis. Each curve reports the mean of at least two measurements of one homozygous European diploid (the flocculent strain KPN3828 or the nonflocculent strain Z1) or reciprocal hemizygote diploid constructed in the KPN3828 × Z1 hybrid background (+/ Δ *IRA2*, bearing only the KPN3828 allele of *IRA2*, or Δ *IRA2/+*, bearing only the Z1 allele of *IRA2*; see Figure 3A for schematic). Error bars indicate one standard deviation. (B) Each cell reports the results of a growth experiment as in A. Color in each cell represents a ratio of the growth rates, during log-phase growth, of two strains measured in liquid culture, with each row showing data from one strain pair and each column showing data from one media condition. Top, each cell reports the ratio of growth of the homozygous nonflocculent, noninvasive European strain Z1 to growth of the indicated homozygous European strain harboring a variant *IRA1* or *IRA2* allele. Bottom, each row gives results from a pair of hybrid reciprocal hemizygote strains interrogating *IRA1* or *IRA2* in the indicated strain and Z1: each cell reports the floecule to the meany growth of the hemizygote bearing the variant allele at the *IRA* gene. Media labels at top marked by an asterisk are those in which homozygote bearing two significantly growth effects: homozygote European strains bearing variant *IRA* genes differed significantly from Z1 (Wilcoxon's rank-sum test, *P* < 0.05 after Bonferroni correction). Media marked by ** are those inducing two significant growth effects: homozygote European strains bearing variant *IRA* genes differed from hemizygote bearing the Z1 allele at the *IRA* genes (Wilcoxon's rank-sum test, *P* < 0.05 after Bonferroni corre

allele of *IRA1* and *IRA2* largely phenocopies the others, *in toto* they represent a suite of rare variants that underlie common traits in the *S. paradoxus* population.

Evolvability of IRA1 and IRA2

The independent loss-of-function alleles of IRA1 and IRA2 we have uncovered in S. paradoxus echo previous reports of mutations in these genes in wild and laboratory S. cerevisiae, including some acquired during the course of experimental culture (Halme et al. 2004; Kao and Sherlock 2008; Smith and Kruglyak 2008; Parts et al. 2011). The recurrent focus on IRA gene variants in the yeast literature a priori could reflect ascertainment bias, given the dramatic phenotypes of these alleles. However, in unbiased sequence analyses, we detected elevated nucleotide diversity at IRA1 and IRA2 in most S. paradoxus populations (Table S2), consistent with either hypermutability or relaxed selection at these loci. Because the striking fitness consequences of IRA gene mutations render the latter model unlikely, the most compelling interpretation of results in the field is as evidence for hypermutability at IRA1 and IRA2. These findings parallel the pattern of widespread de novo variation in the human homolog, NF1, which underlies susceptibility to neurofibromatosis (Ballester et al. 1990; Shen et al. 1996; Ars et al. 2000),

raising the possibility that the mechanism for hypermutability at these loci may be shared between yeast and human. Our work leaves open the question of which nucleotide changes, among the dozens segregating in the population, underlie growth and morphology behaviors. Sequence analyses identified a nonsense mutation in *IRA1* in both the progenitor and the laboratory derivative of Q31.4 (Figure S10), representing a plausible causal variant in this background. Likewise, in several other cases, *IRA* genes of wild flocculent progenitor strains harbored one or more private nonsynonymous or regulatory mutations, which may underlie the observed growth and morphology phenotypes (Figure S10).

If *IRA1* and *IRA2* are prone to mutation, are variants at these loci evolutionarily relevant? Our work makes clear that *IRA* gene polymorphisms confer fitness benefits and disadvantages in a range of environmental conditions. And as a complement to landmark studies of the advantages of morphological traits in *S. cerevisiae* (Smukalla *et al.* 2008; Koschwanez *et al.* 2011), our results show that growth traits in *S. paradoxus* strains harboring mutations at the *IRA* loci can be independent of cell aggregation. The strong and pleiotropic effects of *IRA* gene mutations set them apart from rare, recessive growth defects (Doniger *et al.* 2008; Zörgö *et al.* 2012) and weakly deleterious variants (Li *et al.* 2008; Liti



Figure 6 Fitness of strains bearing variant *IRA* gene alleles is partly or completely independent of flocculation. Each column of panels shows the results of growth experiments with one flocculent homozygote European strain, its engineered nonflocculent derivative, and the nonflocculent European strain Z1. Each row of panels shows results from cultures grown in one environmental condition. In a given panel, each trace reports the time course of growth of one strain, with solid lines reporting the mean of three replicate cultures and error bars indicating one standard deviation. Δ , engineered loss-of-function allele. OD₆₀₀, optical density of cultures at 600 nm. Raw measurements and significance estimates are given in File S3.

et al. 2009; Elyashiv et al. 2010) thought to be maintained in yeast populations as a consequence of their peculiar demography. Instead, IRA1 and IRA2 exhibit the features of contingency loci (Moxon et al. 1994), whose hypermutability serves as a bet-hedging strategy. Under this model, constantly arising mutations at the IRA genes would provide short-term benefits in some environments and be eliminated or compensated for when conditions change (Halme et al. 2004). A specialist role for mutants at the IRA genes is further suggested by the loss of *IRA1* function arising under laboratory selection for tolerance to low glucose (Kao and Sherlock 2008). In contrast to the classic understanding of diversifying selection (Murrell et al. 2012), the distinct wild alleles we have cataloged at the IRA genes all yield very similar, convergent phenotypes. Thus, in addition to the phenotypic switches driven by stochastic noise in biochemical processes and by protein aggregation (Acar et al. 2005; Halfmann et al. 2012; Li and Kowal 2012), our work establishes rapid evolution of master regulators as a mechanism of phenotype switching in yeast.

Mechanisms of IRA1 and IRA2 variant phenotypes

In investigating morphologies of *S. paradoxus*, we identified *FLO11* as an effector of invasive growth, dovetailing with the roles of this gene in multicellularity behaviors of *S. cerevisiae* (Lo and Dranginis 1996; Lambrechts *et al.* 1996; Reynolds and

Fink 2001). By contrast, our dissection of flocculation in *S. paradoxus* implicated *FLO9* as the major effector, a gene whose functional role in wild *S. cerevisiae* is unknown (Van Mulders *et al.* 2009). The emerging picture from our work and that of others (Verstrepen *et al.* 2005; Douglas *et al.* 2007; Smukalla *et al.* 2008; Govender *et al.* 2011) is one in which the activity of flocculin genes and the traits they underlie are highly variable on short evolutionary timescales. Our findings make clear that morphology traits driven by the *FLO* genes have fitness benefits in certain growth conditions, plausibly mediated by the increased efficiency of sugar uptake observed in clumping yeast cultures (Koschwanez *et al.* 2011) and protection of cells in the interior of flocs from soluble chemical stressors (Smukalla *et al.* 2008).

Additional fitness effects of *IRA* gene variants are likely to be mediated by other downstream targets of the cAMP/PKA pathway. We expect that poor growth and low cell density in stationary phase, which we observe in *S. paradoxus IRA1* and *IRA2* variants in many stress conditions, result from the repression of protective stress responses seen in hyperactive PKA mutants (Markwardt *et al.* 1995; Smith *et al.* 1998; Budovskaya *et al.* 2004) as well as the disadvantages of flocculation in late phases of growth (Smukalla *et al.* 2008). Some growth advantages that we have mapped to *IRA* gene variants, including resistance to cobalt chloride, a known hypoxia mimic (Kwast *et al.* 1999), are likely tied to the increased respiratory capacity of hyperactive Ras mutants (Dejean *et al.* 2002). Our findings of rapid growth by *IRA1* and *IRA2* variants in some conditions after transfer from nutrient-poor stationary phase may be a consequence of the metabolic program activated by unregulated Ras1 and Ras2, which in wild-type cells is associated with starved cultures upon addition of glucose (Wang *et al.* 2004; Santangelo 2006). It is also tempting to speculate that the release of checks on cell growth may also underlie some of the advantages we observe in *IRA* gene variants, at least on the relatively short timescales we analyze here.

Mapping rare variants that underlie common traits

Whether rare variants underlie common traits is one of the most controversial questions in the current genetics literature (Dickson et al. 2010; McClellan and King 2010; Wray et al. 2011), with a few landmark studies implicating hypermutable loci as the determinants of common phenotypes (Shen et al. 1996; Chan et al. 2010; Michaelson et al. 2012). Our work establishes the hypermutable *IRA* genes as drivers of a broad swath of yeast phenotypes. Such a central role for mutational hotspots in yeast trait variation would be consistent with the evidence for allelic series at other loci in recent mapping studies (Ehrenreich et al. 2012). In the ongoing search for such loci in populations, our results underscore the power of a candidate-based approach, drawing on knowledge of gene networks and population-genomic data to pinpoint rare variants with sizeable fitness consequences. With the increasing availability of sequence compendia and functional-genetic resources, this strategy holds promise for application to common traits in many organisms.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jasper Rine and Sarah Bissonette for assistance with automated growth assays; Gianni Liti for generously providing sequence data before publication; Vassiliki Koufopanou, Hana Lee, Perihan Saygin, and Jonas Warringer for helpful discussions; Gianni Liti and Vassiliki Koufopanou for strains; and Chris Ellison, Leonid Kruglyak, Hilary Martin, and Joshua Schraiber for insightful comments on the manuscript.

Literature Cited

- Acar, M., A. Becskei, and A. van Oudenaarden, 2005 Enhancement of cellular memory by reducing stochastic transitions. Nature 435: 228–232.
- Ars, E., E. Serra, J. García, H. Kruyer, A. Gaona *et al.*, 2000 Mutations affecting mRNA splicing are the most common molecular defects in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9: 237–247.
- Atwell, S., Y. S. Huang, B. J. Vilhjálmsson, G. Willems, M. Horton *et al.*, 2010 Genome-wide association study of 107 phenotypes in Arabidopsis thaliana inbred lines. Nature 465: 627–631.
- Ballester, R., D. Marchuk, M. Boguski, A. Saulino, R. Letcher *et al.*, 1990 The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. Cell 63: 851–859.

- Bayly, J. C., L. M. Douglas, I. S. Pretorius, F. F. Bauer, and A. M. Dranginis, 2005 Characteristics of Flo11-dependent flocculation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res. 5: 1151–1156.
- Bester, M. C., I. S. Pretorius, and F. F. Bauer, 2006 The regulation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae FLO gene expression and Ca2+-dependent flocculation by Flo8p and Mss11p. Curr. Genet. 49: 375–383.
- Bloom, J. S., I. M. Ehrenreich, W. T. Loo, T.-L. V. Lite, and L. Kruglyak, 2013 Finding the sources of missing heritability in a yeast cross. Nature 494: 234–237.
- Brachi, B., N. Faure, M. Horton, E. Flahauw, A. Vazquez *et al.*, 2010 Linkage and association mapping of Arabidopsis thaliana flowering time in nature. PLoS Genet. 6: e1000940.
- Budovskaya, Y. V., J. S. Stephan, F. Reggiori, D. J. Klionsky, and P. K. Herman, 2004 The Ras/cAMP-dependent protein kinase signaling pathway regulates an early step of the autophagy process in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. 279: 20663–20671.
- Carstens, E., and M. Lambrechts, 1998 Flocculation, pseudohyphal development and invasive growth in commercial wine yeast strains. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 19: 52–61.
- Chan, E. K. F., H. C. Rowe, J. A. Corwin, B. Joseph, and D. J. Kliebenstein, 2011 Combining genome-wide association mapping and transcriptional networks to identify novel genes controlling glucosinolates in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Biol. 9: e1001125.
- Chan, Y. F., M. E. Marks, F. C. Jones, G. Villarreal, M. D. Shapiro et al., 2010 Adaptive evolution of pelvic reduction in sticklebacks by recurrent deletion of a Pitx1 enhancer. Science 327: 302–305.
- Cubillos, F. A., E. Billi, E. Zörgö, L. Parts, P. Fargier *et al.*, 2011 Assessing the complex architecture of polygenic traits in diverged yeast populations. Mol. Ecol. 20: 1401–1413.
- Dejean, L., B. Beauvoit, A.-P. Alonso, O. Bunoust, B. Guérin *et al.*, 2002 cAMP-induced modulation of the growth yield of Saccharomyces cerevisiae during respiratory and respiro-fermentative metabolism. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1554: 159–169.
- Dengis, P. B., L. R. Nélissen, and P. G. Rouxhet, 1995 Mechanisms of yeast flocculation: comparison of top- and bottom-fermenting strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61: 718–728.
- Dickson, S. P., K. Wang, I. Krantz, H. Hakonarson, and D. B. Goldstein, 2010 Rare variants create synthetic genome-wide associations. PLoS Biol. 8: e1000294.
- Doniger, S. W., H. S. Kim, D. Swain, D. Corcuera, M. Williams *et al.*, 2008 A catalog of neutral and deleterious polymorphism in yeast. PLoS Genet. 4: e1000183.
- Douglas, L. M., L. Li, Y. Yang, and A. M. Dranginis, 2007 Expression and characterization of the flocculin Flo11/Muc1, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae mannoprotein with homotypic properties of adhesion. Eukaryot. Cell 6: 2214–2221.
- Dunn, B., T. Paulish, A. Stanbery, J. Piotrowski, G. Koniges et al., 2013 Recurrent rearrangement during adaptive evolution in an interspecific yeast hybrid suggests a model for rapid introgression. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003366.
- Ehrenreich, I. M., J. Bloom, N. Torabi, X. Wang, Y. Jia et al., 2012 Genetic architecture of highly complex chemical resistance traits across four yeast strains. PLoS Genet. 8: e1002570.
- Elyashiv, E., K. Bullaughey, S. Sattath, Y. Rinott, M. Przeworski et al., 2010 Shifts in the intensity of purifying selection: an analysis of genome-wide polymorphism data from two closely related yeast species. Genome Res. 20: 1558–1573.
- Ewing, B., and P. Green, 1998 Base-calling of automated sequencer traces usingPhred. II. Error probabilities. Genome Res. 8: 186–194.
- Filiault, D. L., and J. N. Maloof, 2012 A genome-wide association study identifies variants underlying the Arabidopsis thaliana shade avoidance response. PLoS Genet. 8: e1002589.

- Gerke, J., K. Lorenz, and B. Cohen, 2009 Genetic interactions between transcription factors cause natural variation in yeast. Science 323: 498–501.
- Govender, P., S. Kroppenstedt, and F. F. Bauer, 2011 Novel winemediated FLO11 flocculation phenotype of commercial Saccharomyces cerevisiae wine yeast strains with modified FLO gene expression. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 317: 117–126.
- Guo, B., C. A. Styles, Q. Feng, and G. R. Fink, 2000 A Saccharomyces gene family involved in invasive growth, cell-cell adhesion, and mating. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 12158–12163.
- Güldener, U., S. Heck, T. Fiedler, J. Beinhauer, and J. H. Hegemann, 1996 A new efficient gene disruption cassette for repeated use in budding yeast. Nucleic Acids Res. 24: 2519–2524.
- Halfmann, R., D. F. Jarosz, S. K. Jones, A. Chang, A. K. Lancaster et al., 2012 Prions are a common mechanism for phenotypic inheritance in wild yeasts. Nature 482: 363–368.
- Halme, A., S. Bumgarner, C. Styles, and G. R. Fink, 2004 Genetic and epigenetic regulation of the FLO gene family generates cellsurface variation in yeast. Cell 116: 405–415.
- Hittinger, C. T., P. Goncalves, J. P. Sampaio, J. Dover, M. Johnston *et al.*, 2010 Remarkably ancient balanced polymorphisms in a multi-locus gene network. Nature 464: 54–58.
- Jin, R., C. J. Dobry, P. J. McCown, and A. Kumar, 2008 Large-scale analysis of yeast filamentous growth by systematic gene disruption and overexpression. Mol. Biol. Cell 19: 284–296.
- Kao, K. C., and G. Sherlock, 2008 Molecular characterization of clonal interference during adaptive evolution in asexual populations of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nat. Genet. 40: 1499– 1504.
- Kim, H. S., and J. C. Fay, 2009 A combined-cross analysis reveals genes with drug-specific and background-dependent effects on drug sensitivity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 183: 1141–1151.
- Koschwanez, J. H., K. R. Foster, and A. W. Murray, 2011 Sucrose utilization in budding yeast as a model for the origin of undifferentiated multicellularity. PLoS Biol. 9: e1001122.
- Kwast, K. E., P. V. Burke, B. T. Staahl, and R. O. Poyton, 1999 Oxygen sensing in yeast: evidence for the involvement of the respiratory chain in regulating the transcription of a subset of hypoxic genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 5446–5451.
- Lambrechts, M. G., F. F. Bauer, J. Marmur, and I. S. Pretorius, 1996 Muc1, a mucin-like protein that is regulated by Mss10, is critical for pseudohyphal differentiation in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 8419–8424.
- Lee, H. N., P. M. Magwene, and R. B. Brem, 2011 Natural variation in CDC28 underlies morphological phenotypes in an environmental yeast isolate. Genetics 188: 723–730.
- Li, L., and A. S. Kowal, 2012 Environmental regulation of prions in yeast. PLoS Pathog. 8: e1002973.
- Li, Y. F., J. C. Costello, A. K. Holloway, and M. W. Hahn, 2008 "Reverse ecology" and the power of population genomics. Evolution 62: 2984–2994.
- Liti, G., D. M. Carter, A. M. Moses, J. Warringer, L. Parts *et al.*, 2009 Population genomics of domestic and wild yeasts. Nature 458: 337–341.
- Lo, W. S., and A. M. Dranginis, 1996 FLO11, a yeast gene related to the STA genes, encodes a novel cell surface flocculin. J. Bacteriol. 178: 7144–7151.
- Mackay, T. F. C., S. Richards, E. A. Stone, A. Barbadilla, J. F. Ayroles *et al.*, 2012 The Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel. Nature 482: 173–178.
- Magwire, M. M., D. K. Fabian, H. Schweyen, C. Cao, B. Longdon *et al.*, 2012 Genome-wide association studies reveal a simple genetic basis of resistance to naturally coevolving viruses in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genet. 8: e1003057.
- Markwardt, D. D., J. M. Garrett, S. Eberhardy, and W. Heideman, 1995 Activation of the Ras/cyclic AMP pathway in the yeast

Saccharomyces cerevisiae does not prevent G1 arrest in response to nitrogen starvation. J. Bacteriol. 177: 6761–6765.

- McCarthy, M. I., G. R. Abecasis, L. R. Cardon, D. B. Goldstein, J. Little *et al.*, 2008 Genome-wide association studies for complex traits: consensus, uncertainty and challenges. Nat. Rev. Genet. 9: 356–369.
- McClellan, J., and M.-C. King, 2010 Genetic heterogeneity in human disease. Cell 141: 210–217.
- Michaelson, J. J., Y. Shi, M. Gujral, H. Zheng, D. Malhotra *et al.*,
 2012 Whole-genome sequencing in autism identifies hot spots for de novo germline mutation. Cell 151: 1431–1442.
- Moxon, E. R., P. B. Rainey, M. A. Nowak, and R. E. Lenski, 1994 Adaptive evolution of highly mutable loci in pathogenic bacteria. Curr. Biol. 4: 24–33.
- Murrell, B., J. O. Wertheim, S. Moola, T. Weighill, K. Scheffler et al., 2012 Detecting individual sites subject to episodic diversifying selection. PLoS Genet. 8: e1002764.
- Nei, M., and W. H. Li, 1979 Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76: 5269–5273.
- Palecek, S. P., A. S. Parikh, and S. J. Kron, 2000 Genetic analysis reveals that FLO11 upregulation and cell polarization independently regulate invasive growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 156: 1005–1023.
- Palecek, S. P., A. S. Parikh, and S. J Kron, 2002 Sensing, signalling and integrating physical processes during Saccharomyces cerevisiae invasive and filamentous growth. Microbiology 148: 893–907.
- Parts, L., F. A. Cubillos, J. Warringer, K. Jain, F. Salinas *et al.*, 2011 Revealing the genetic structure of a trait by sequencing a population under selection. Genome Res. 21: 1131–1138.
- Price, M. N., P. S. Dehal, and A. P. Arkin, 2010 FastTree 2 approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE 5: e9490.
- Reynolds, T. B., and G. R. Fink, 2001 Bakers' yeast, a model for fungal biofilm formation. Science 291: 878–881.
- Ryan, O., R. S. Shapiro, C. F. Kurat, D. Mayhew, A. Baryshnikova et al., 2012 Global gene deletion analysis exploring yeast filamentous growth. Science 337: 1353–1356.
- Sampermans, S., J. Mortier, and E. V. Soares, 2005 Flocculation onset in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: the role of nutrients. J. Appl. Microbiol. 98: 525–531.
- Santangelo, G. M., 2006 Glucose signaling in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 70: 253–282.
- Shen, M. H., P. S. Harper, and M. Upadhyaya, 1996 Molecular genetics of neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1). J. Med. Genet. 33: 2–17.
- Smit, G., M. H. Straver, B. J. Lugtenberg, and J. W. Kijne, 1992 Flocculence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells is induced by nutrient limitation, with cell surface hydrophobicity as a major determinant. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58: 3709–3714.
- Smith, A., M. P. Ward, and S. Garrett, 1998 Yeast PKA represses Msn2p/Msn4p-dependent gene expression to regulate growth, stress response and glycogen accumulation. EMBO J. 17: 3556–3564.
- Smith, E. N., and L. Kruglyak, 2008 Gene–environment interaction in yeast gene expression. PLoS Biol. 6: e83.
- Smukalla, S., M. Caldara, N. Pochet, A. Beauvais, S. Guadagnini et al., 2008 FLO1 is a variable green beard gene that drives biofilm-like cooperation in budding yeast. Cell 135: 726–737.
- Soares, E. V., 2011 Flocculation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: a review. J. Appl. Microbiol. 110: 1–18.
- Steinmetz, L. M., H. Sinha, D. R. Richards, J. I. Spiegelman, P. J. Oefner *et al.*, 2002 Dissecting the architecture of a quantitative trait locus in yeast. Nature 416: 326–330.
- Tanaka, K., K. Matsumoto, and A. Toh-E, 1989 IRA1, an inhibitory regulator of the RAS-cyclic AMP pathway in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 757–768.

- Tanaka, K., M. Nakafuku, T. Satoh, M. S. Marshall, J. B. Gibbs *et al.*, 1990 S. cerevisiae genes IRA1 and IRA2 encode proteins that may be functionally equivalent to mammalian ras GTPase activating protein. Cell 60: 803–807.
- Van Mulders, S. E., E. Christianen, S. M. G. Saerens, L. Daenen, P. J. Verbelen *et al.*, 2009 Phenotypic diversity of Flo protein familymediated adhesion in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res. 9: 178–190.
- Veltman, J. A., and H. G. Brunner, 2012 De novo mutations in human genetic disease. Nat. Rev. Genet. 13: 565–575.
- Verstrepen, K. J., A. Jansen, F. Lewitter, and G. R. Fink, 2005 Intragenic tandem repeats generate functional variability. Nat. Genet. 37: 986–990.
- Vinod, P. K., N. Sengupta, P. J. Bhat, and K. V. Venkatesh, 2008 Integration of global signaling pathways, cAMP-PKA, MAPK and TOR in the regulation of FLO11. PLoS ONE 3: e1663.
- Wang, Y., M. Pierce, L. Schneper, C. G. Güldal, X. Zhang *et al.*, 2004 Ras and Gpa2 mediate one branch of a redundant glucose signaling pathway in yeast. PLoS Biol. 2: e128.
- Warringer, J., E. Zörgö, F. A. Cubillos, A. Zia, A. Gjuvsland *et al.*, 2011 Trait variation in yeast is defined by population history. PLoS Genet. 7: e1002111.

- Waterhouse, A. M., J. B. Procter, D. M. A. Martin, M. Clamp, and G. J. Barton, 2009 Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. Bioinformatics 25: 1189– 1191.
- Weber, A. L., G. F. Khan, M. M. Magwire, C. L. Tabor, T. F. C. Mackay *et al.*, 2012 Genome-wide association analysis of oxidative stress resistance in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS ONE 7: e34745.
- Will, J. L., H. S. Kim, J. Clarke, J. C. Painter, J. C. Fay *et al.*, 2010 Incipient balancing selection through adaptive loss of aquaporins in natural Saccharomyces cerevisiae populations. PLoS Genet. 6: e1000893.
- Wray, N. R., S. M. Purcell, and P. M. Visscher, 2011 Synthetic associations created by rare variants do not explain most GWAS results. PLoS Biol. 9: e1000579.
- Zaman, S., S. I. Lippman, X. Zhao, and J. R. Broach, 2008 How Saccharomyces responds to nutrients. Annu. Rev. Genet. 42: 27–81.
- Zörgö, E., A. Gjuvsland, F. A. Cubillos, E. J. Louis, G. Liti *et al.*, 2012 Life history shapes trait heredity by accumulation of lossof-function alleles in yeast. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29: 1781–1789.

Communicating editor: M. Johnston

GENETICS

Supporting Information http://www.genetics.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/genetics.113.155341/-/DC1

Rare Variants in Hypermutable Genes Underlie Common Morphology and Growth Traits in Wild Saccharomyces paradoxus

Jeremy I. Roop and Rachel B. Brem

Copyright © 2013 by the Genetics Society of America DOI: 10.1534/genetics.113.155341



Figure S1 A subset of European *S. paradoxus* strains flocculate in a variety of conditions. Each photograph shows a liquid culture of a homozygous European *S. paradoxus* strain after overnight growth, with each row showing one strain and each column showing one treatment condition. Galactose, melibiose, and trehalose indicate media with the respective sugar as the sole carbon source. Ammonium sulfate indicates synthetic complete medium with 2% glucose and ammonium sulfate as the sole nitrogen source. The top two rows show representative flocculent strains and the bottom row shows the non-flocculent strain Z1.



Figure S2 Flocculation and invasive growth are Mendelian traits linked to genetic variation at *IRA1* or *IRA2*. Each panel shows the results of linkage analysis of morphological traits and inheritance at an *IRA* gene, in a cross between a flocculent, invasive European strain and the non-flocculent, non-invasive European strain Z1. Each pair of photographs shows flocculation and invasive growth in one strain, assayed as in Figure 1 of main text. In each panel, the top row shows homozygote European strains used as parents in the respective cross, and each pair of photographs at the bottom shows one recombinant segregant from the cross, with segregants inheriting the variant allele of the *IRA* gene at left, and segregants inheriting the Z1 allele of the *IRA* gene at right. (A) The flocculent, invasive European homozygote KPN3828 crossed to Z1. Black and red text indicates genotype at positions 4437-4441 of *IRA2*. (B) The flocculent, invasive European homozygote W7 crossed to Z1. Black and red text indicates genotype at positions 5015-5019 of *IRA1*. In both (A) and (B), linkage between inheritance at the *IRA* gene and flocculation is significant at *p* = 0.0007.

CBS5829 X KPN3828 recombinants



W7 X Q31.4 recombinants



W7 X KPN3829 recombinants



W7 X KPN3828 recombinants



Figure S3 Two distinct loci underlie flocculation across strains. Each panel shows liquid cultures of recombinant progeny from one complementation cross between two European flocculent parent strains. Each row shows cultures of YPD medium inoculated from each of the four spores of one tetrad and grown overnight as in Figure 1A of the main text. All progeny from each cross exhibit flocculation except the cross of W7 with KPN3828 (lower right), indicating that distinct loci underlie the flocculation trait in the latter and for each other pair of European parent strains tested, the two strains share the same causal locus.



Figure S4 Flocculation is inhibited by mannose. Each panel shows the response of flocculation to increasing concentrations of mannose in one European *S. paradoxus* strain. In each panel, the *x* axis reports mannose concentration and the *y* axis reports flocculation, as measured by cell density at the top of a liquid culture allowed to settle, normalized by the analogous quantity from a culture treated with EDTA. Each data point represents the mean of three replicate cultures, and error bars report one standard deviation. Note that mannose had no effect on spatial inhomogeneity of cultures of the non-flocculent European strain Z1 (lower right), while the other three flocculent strains (see Figure 1A of the main text), are sensitive to mannose.



Figure S5 *FLO9* and *FLO11* are terminal effectors of flocculation and invasive growth in multiple *S. paradoxus* **strains**. Data are as in Figure 2 of the main text except that flocculent European strains CBS5829 and KPN3829 were analyzed.



Figure S6 Variation at *IRA1* and *IRA2* underlie flocculation and invasive growth. Data are as in Figure 3 of the main text, except that flocculent European strains CBS5829 (A-C), W7 (D-F), KPN3829 (G-I), and DVBPG4650 (J-L) were analyzed.







Figure S8 Engineered mutation of either *IRA1* or *IRA2* is sufficient for flocculation and invasive growth. Each row reports morphologies of one homozygous derivative of the non-flocculent, non-invasive European strain Z1. Left photographs show overnight cultures in rich liquid medium, and right photographs show the results of invasive growth assays of colonies grown on rich solid medium, both as in Figure 1 of the main text. Δ , engineered loss-of-function allele.



Figure S9 Phylogeny of promoter regions of IRA1 and IRA2. Maximum likelihood phylogeny inferred from 800bp of nucleotide sequence upstream of the IRA1 (A) or IRA2 (B) coding region. Identifiers of strains in which IRA1 or IRA2 underlie flocculent and invasive growth traits are colored purple. Scale bars indicate frequencies of base pair substitutions per site.

 Image: Image:

non-coding
 non-synonomous
 synonomous
 nonsense

В



site relative to the start of the coding sequence are indicated above the alignment and color-coded to indicate the effect of each minor allele on the protein sequence. Original isolates of the five flocculent/invasive strains were used for sequencing, with names indicated in bold.



Figure S11 Hundreds of growth traits across environmental treatments associate with variants in *IRA1* **and** *IRA2***.** Each column reports fitness measurements from a liquid culture of one strain, and each row reports measurements in one condition. For each row, measurements of lag time before resumption of log-phase growth after dilution into fresh medium, growth rate in log phase, or final culture density in stationary phase were taken from (Warringer et al. 2011) and normalized against the median across strains. Shown is each environment-parameter combination for which the difference between flocculent and invasive strains bearing variants in *IRA1* or *IRA2*, and the remainder of strains in the data set, reached a significance level corresponding to a false discovery rate less than 5%. Raw measurements for all environment-parameter combinations and significance estimates are provided in File S1.



Figure S12 Variation at IRA1 and IRA2 underlies differences between strains in final culture density and lag in

multiple conditions. Data are as in Figure 5B of the main text, except that final culture density in stationary phase (A) or lag time before resumption of log-phase growth after dilution into fresh medium (B) were analyzed. Media marked by \dagger are those in which hemizygote hybrid strains bearing the variant *IRA* genes differed significantly from hemizygote hybrid strains bearing the Z1 allele at the *IRA* genes (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, *p* < 0.05 after Bonferroni correction), but homozygote European strains bearing variant *IRA* genes did not differ significantly from Z1.









Figure S13 Effect of flocculation on culture growth. Each panel reports one growth parameter measured in liquid cultures of homozygous flocculent European strains and their engineered non-flocculent derivatives. In a given panel, each row reports results from one European strain and each row reports measurements in one medium. Color in each cell reports the indicated growth parameter in the indicated condition as a ratio of measurements from two strains: the indicated flocculent European strain and an isogenic non-flocculent strain homozygous for a null allele of *FLO9*. (A) Growth rate; (B) lag time; (C) cell density in stationary phase. In a given panel, media marked by * are those in which growth of flocculent European strains differed significantly from non-flocculent *FLO9* mutants (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, p < 0.05 after Bonferroni correction). Δ , engineered loss-of-function allele. Raw measurements and significance estimates are given in Supplementary File S3.



Figure S14 Most wild European *S. paradoxus* strains exhibit the same morphologies as their laboratory derivatives. Each panel shows morphologies in wild European *S. paradoxus* strains (top row) and their monosporic laboratory derivatives (bottom row). (A) Photographs of cultures after overnight growth in liquid rich medium as in Figure 1A of the main text. (B) Results of invasive growth assays of colonies grown on solid medium as in Figure 1B of the main text.



Figure S15 Flocculation is a Mendelian trait in wild progenitor strains. Each panel shows the growth phenotype in rich liquid media of a wild progenitor strain (original isolate, OI) and the Z1 non-flocculent strain (top), and recombinant progeny from a mating between these two parental strains (bottom). Recombinant progeny represent all four spores from two tetrad dissections, as indicated. (A) The flocculent wild progenitor of the DBVPG4650 strain crossed to Z1. (B) The flocculent wild progenitor of the CBS5829 strain crossed to Z1. (C) The flocculent wild progenitor of the KPN3829 strain crossed to Z1.



Figure S16 Variation at *IRA1* and *IRA2* underlie flocculation and invasive growth in wild progenitor strains. Data are as in Figure 3 of the main text and Figure S6, except that the wild progenitors (original isolates, OI) of strains DBVPG4650 (A-C), KPN3829 (D-F) and CBS5829 (G-I), were analyzed.

Files S1-S3

Available for download at http://www.genetics.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/genetics.113.155341/-/DC1

File S1 Association test of *IRA* gene variants with growth parameters across European *S. paradoxus*. Each row reports the results of a Wilcoxon rank-sum test comparing the indicated growth measurement from (Warringer et al. 2011) between two sets of European *S. paradoxus* strains: those without the flocculation and invasive growth morphologies (Z1, Y7,N-17, T21.4, Y6.5, Q59.1, Q62.5, Q89.8, Q95.3, S36.7, Z1.1, Y9.6, Q74.4, Y8.5, Y8.1, and Q69.8), and those whose morphologies were the result of variation at *IRA1* or *IRA2* (KPN3828, KPN3829, CBS5829, DBVPG4650, and Q31.4).

File S2 Growth measurements in wild-type European S. paradoxus homozygotes and engineered IRA gene reciprocal

hemizygotes. Each tab gives analysis of one growth parameter. In a given tab, the first 21 rows report measurements of the indicated parameter in the indicated condition, in a strain falling into one of two classes: European homozygote diploid strains, and reciprocal hemizygote hybrid diploids bearing an engineered loss-of-function mutation in one of the two homologs of an *IRA* gene (see Figure 3A of the main text for schematic). All growth values reported are the mean of three replicate cultures, except for values reported for the Z1 strain which are the mean of two replicate cultures. The last two rows report comparisons of sets of strains defined based on genotype at the *IRA* genes. Significance, parents: nominal *p* value from Wilcoxon rank-sum test comparing fitness differences between the complete set of flocculent European strains (three replicate cultures per strain) and the non-flocculent European strain Z1 (six total replicate cultures). Significance, reciprocal hemizygotes bearing the Z1 allele at the respective *IRA* gene (three replicate cultures per strain), and the complete set of hybrid hemizygotes strain).

File S3 Growth measurements in European homozygote strains and their *FLO9*-null non-flocculent derivatives. Each tab gives analysis of one growth parameter. In a given tab, the first six rows report measurements of the indicated parameter in the indicated growth condition, in a flocculent European homozygote or its non-flocculent derivative homozygous for an engineered loss-of-function allele at *FLO9*. Δ , engineered loss-of-function allele. All growth values reported are the mean of three replicate cultures. Significance, nominal *p* value from Wilcoxon rank-sum test comparing fitness between European homozygotes (three replicate cultures per strain) and *FLO9* nulls (three replicate cultures per strain).

Table S1 Strains used in this work

Strain	Parent(s)	Genotype	Source
031.4			NCYC
032.3			NCYC
059.1			NCYC
062 5			NCYC
069.8			NCYC
074.4			NCYC
089.8			NCYC
095.3			NCYC
QJJ.J S26 7			NCVC
330.7 T21 4			NCYC
121.4			NCYC
			NCYC
10.5 V7			NCYC
Y7			NCYC
Y8.1			NCYC
Y8.5			NCYC
Y9.6			NCYC
Z1			NCYC
Z1.1			NCYC
N-17			NCYC
CBS432			NCYC
CBS5829			NCYC
DBVPG4650			NCYC
KPN3828			NCYC
KPN3829			NCYC
YJR66	Q31.4 X Z1		this work
YJR44	W7 X Z1		this work
YJR119	CBS432 X Z1		this work
YJR78	CBS5829 X Z1		this work
YJR45	KPN3828 XZ1		this work
YJR39	KPN3829 X Z1		this work
YJR65	DBVPG4650 X Z1		this work
YJR46	W7 X KPN828		this work
YJR129	KPN3829 X W7		this work
YJR130	CBS5829 X KPN3828		this work
YJR91	W7	ΔFLO9::kanMX/ΔFLO9::kanMX	this work
YJR123	KPN3829	ΔFLO9::kanMX/ΔFLO9::kanMX	this work
YJR125	CBS5829	ΔFLO9::kanMX/ΔFLO9::kanMX	this work
YJR60	W7	ΔFLO11::kanMX/ΔFLO11::kanMX	this work
YJR59	KPN3829	ΔFLO11::kanMX/ΔFLO11::kanMX	this work
YJR127	CBS5829	ΔFLO11::kanMX/ΔFLO11::kanMX	this work
YJR67	W7	Δflo1::kanMX/Δflo1::kanMX	this work
YJR68	W7	Δflo5::kanMX/Δflo5::kanMX	this work
YJR69	W7	Δflo10::kanMX/Δflo10::kanMX	this work
YJR97	YJR66	ΔIRA1::kanMX/IRA1 (Q31.4)	this work
YJR98	YJR66	ΔIRA1::kanMX/IRA1 (Z1)	this work
YJR58	YJR44	$\Delta IRA1::kanMX/IRA1 (W7)$	this work
YJR57	YJR44	ΔIRA1::kanMX/IRA1 (Z1)	this work
YJR120	YJR39	$\Delta IRA1::kanMX/IRA1$ (KPN3829)	this work
YJR121	YJR39	ΔIRA1::kanMX/IRA1 (71)	this work
YJR117	YJR65	$\Delta IRA1::kanMX/IRA1$ (DBVPG4650)	this work
YIR118	YIR65	ΔΙΖΑ1::kanMX/IRA1 (71)	this work
YIR105	YIR78	ΛIRA2::kanMX/IRA2 (CBS5829)	this work
YIR106	YIR78	AIRA2kanMX/IRA2 (2000020)	this work
YIR134	YIR45	ΛΙRA2::kanMX/IRA2 (KPN3828)	this work

YJR135	YJR45	ΔIRA2::kanMX/IRA2 (Z1)	this work
YJR100	Z1	ΔIRA2::kanMX/ΔIRA2::kanMX	this work
YJR101	Z1	ΔIRA1::kanMX/ΔIRA1::kanMX	this work
Q31.4(OI)			V. Koufopanou
W7(OI)			V. Koufopanou
KPN3828(OI)			G. Liti
CBS5829(OI)			G. Liti
DBVPG4650(OI)			G. Liti
KPN3829(OI)			G. Liti

OI, Original isolate.

Table S2 IRA1 and IRA2 have high nucleotide diversity in S. paradoxus populations

Demulationa	Proportion CDS	Proportion CDS
Population®	with $\pi > IRA1^{b}$	with $\pi > IRA2^{c}$
European	.307	.004
American	.049	.071
Far East	.052	.037

^a S. paradoxus population as in (Liti et al. 2009).

^b The proportion of gene-coding regions (CDS) with higher nucleotide diversity (π) than *IRA1* across the indicated population. ^c The proportion of gene-coding regions with higher nucleotide diversity than *IRA2* across the indicated population.