

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 21.

Published in final edited form as:

Chem Commun (Camb). 2013 September 21; 49(73): 8060–8062. doi:10.1039/c3cc44116d.

[Gd(CyPic3A)(H2O)2)]−**: A Stable, Bis(aquated) and High-Relaxivity Gd(III) Complex**

Eric M. Gale, **Nathaniel Kenton**, and **Peter Caravan**

Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Suite 2301, 149 Thirteenth Street, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA

Abstract

We report the synthesis and MR relevant properties of CyPic3A, a heptadentate chelator that forms ternary Gd(III) complexes of hydration state $q = 2$. [Gd(CyPic3A)(H₂O)₂]⁻ affords an r_1 value of 5.70 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ at 1.41 T and 310 K and displays thermodynamic stability and kinetic inertness comparable to FDA approved MR imaging probes.

> Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) exploits the 1 H NMR signal of endogenous water to image tissue. Image contrast can be generated by weighting the data acquisition to differences in the longitudinal (T_1) relaxation times of water, which reflect local tissue environment and are influenced by several variables. The presence of a paramagnetic species represents one variable that strongly influences nuclear relaxation and can reduce T_1 -times significantly.^{1,2} Accordingly, contrast is often enhanced through the addition of a paramagnetic MR probe. The efficacy of such probes is measured in terms of relaxivity (r_1) , defined by the resultant increase in $1/T_1$ scaled by probe concentration in mM.

> Due to strong paramagnetism ($S = 7/2$) and slow electronic relaxation (T_{1e}), complexes of Gd(III) are ideal for generating T_1 contrast.¹ Clinical usage of Gd(III)-based probes has become routine and is widely considered very safe in patients with normal renal function. In fact, roughly one third of all clinical scans are enhanced using paramagnetic agents. However, large dosages are required to obtain meaningful contrast (0.1 mmol/kg patient) using most approved probes ($r_1 = 3-4$ mM⁻¹s⁻¹ at 0.47 T, 310 K).^{1,3} In light of this, probes displaying enhanced relaxivity represent desirable and oft sought targets in molecular imaging.

> Clinically utilized Gd(III) imaging probes comprise nonacoordinate, ternary complexes where the Gd(III) ion is held within an octadentate polyaminocarboxylate ligand and coordinated by one rapidly exchanging water ligand. Regarding r_1 optimization at field strengths used clinically, there are three modular parameters readily available to the chemist: the rate of inner-sphere water exchange ($k_{ex} = 1/m$; m = mean residency time of the H₂O ligand), the rotational correlation time of the complex $\binom{R}{x}$ and the hydration number of the Gd(III) ion (q). The property $_{\text{m}}$ is dictated by the ligand frame and choice of donor groups, and the manifestations of commonly used functional groups on $_m$ have been explored in</sub> detail.^{4,5} The optimal range of μ values depends on both μ and magnetic field,^{6,7} however $10 < \text{m} < 30 \text{ ns}$ is optimal across all field strengths. Increasing R can afford tremendous r_1 enhancement at relatively low field strength $($ <1.5 T).⁶ This strategy has met with much success by either multimerization⁸ or through covalent or non-covalent conjugation to macromolecular entities.9,10

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Synthesis and experimental details. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

Modulation of the hydration number q can significantly affect the r_1 of Gd(III) complexes, and r_1 tends to scale with this parameter independent of field. However, an increase in q requires a reduction in available ligand donors and can come at the cost of reduced thermodynamic stability and kinetic inertness with respect to transchelation of the Gd(III) ion. Therefore, one must be judicious in ligand design. A handful of $q > 1$ Gd(III) complexes featuring hexa- and heptadentate ligands have been prepared and evaluated, $11-16$ with several examples highlighted in Figure 1 (See SI for additional examples). These ligands are highly pre-organized and are designed for maximal stability given the reduction in ligand denticity (< 8) .

Herein, we introduce the heptadentate ligand, CyPic3A, designed to chelate Gd(III) in a stable fashion while allowing for a hydration state of $q > 1$ (Figure 1, highlighted). $[Gd(CyPic3A)(H_2O)_2]$ ⁻ exhibits high relaxivity and maintains stability and inertness comparable to clinically used probes. The synthesis and evaluation of the physical properties of $[\text{Gd(CyPic3A)(H₂O)₂]}$ are discussed below.

In the design of CyPic3A, stabilizing structural factors were sought to compensate for the reduced denticity. In this regard, trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane was incorporated into the ligand framework. Exchanging 1,2-diaminoethane for this rigidified linkage has been shown to increase the stability and inertness of lanthanide(III) complexes significantly.^{17,18} The bidentate 2-picolinate functionality is similarly stabilizing.^{19,20} These two moieties were fused to comprise the ligand backbone.

Coupling of mono-BOC protected *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane $(1)^{21}$ and methyl 6formylpyridine-2-carboxylate (**2**) ²² (prepared in one and two steps, respectively) via reductive amination cleanly gives the BOC-protected backbone **3** in high yield. Deprotection of **3** followed by alkylation of the two amines affords O-protected CyPic3A (**5**). Odeprotection yields the ligand, isolated as CyPic3A•2TFA. In total, this straightforward synthesis requires seven steps, each affording high yields and few requiring chromatography (SI). Complex formation occurs rapidly in water upon addition of $GdCl_{3} \cdot 6H_{2}O$ followed by adjustment of the pH to 6.5. We note that 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate, from which **2** is derived, is a highly modular synthon.23,24 Thus, one could envision the preparation of bifunctional analogues of CyPic3A.

The bis(aqua) hydration state of $[Gd(CyPic3A)(H_2O)_2]$ ⁻ was confirmed by analogy through luminescence lifetime experiments on the Eu(III) congener measured in H₂O vs. D₂O.^{25,26} Following excitation at 396 nm, we measured the first order rate constant for the decay of the emission intensity at 616 nm. There is an empirical relationship that relates the difference in luminescence decay rates measured in H_2O and D_2O to the hydration number.²⁷ We determined $q = 2.09$ using this method.

The mean residency time of the coordinated H_2O molecules was also measured by recording the ¹⁷O transverse relaxation (T₂) times of solvent H₂O between 278 and 363 K in the presence and absence of $[Gd(CyPic3A)(H_2O)_2]$ ⁻. The reduced relaxation rate $(1/T_{2r})$ is this relaxation rate difference normalized to the mole fraction of water coordinated to the Gd(III). A four-parameter fit to this data yields the water exchange kinetic parameters and an estimate of the electronic relaxation time $(T_{1e})^{28}$ The water residency time at 310 K $\binom{m^{310}}{m}$ was determined to be 14 \pm 1 ns, and this very short residency time is optimal for relaxivity applications (See SI for plot of $1/T_{2r}$ vs temperature, table of fitted parameters, and comparison to other Gd complexes).

The relaxivity of $[Gd(CyPic3A)(H_2O)_2]$ ⁻ is consistent with two inner-sphere water ligands. At 310 K in pH 7.4 50 mM HEPES buffer, $r_1 = 5.70$ mM⁻¹s⁻¹ at 1.41 T (7.53 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ at

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 21.

298 K). This is 75% higher than $\text{[Gd(DTPA)(H₂O)]}^{2-}$ measured under these conditions (see SI for detailed table of r_1 values). To probe the effects of potentially coordinating anions, r_1 was measured in the presence of 20 equiv. carbonate or L-lactate and also in 25 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The results are summarized in Figure 2. The r_1 values across these conditions indicate that the water coordination sites remain resistant to endogenously encountered bidentate anions known to significantly decrease the r_1 of $q = 2$ Gd(III) complexes such as Gd(DO3A).²⁹ Relaxivity was also measured to be 8.90 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ in bovine blood plasma at 310 K and a value of 9.73 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ was recorded in the presence of 4.5% w/v human serum albumin (HSA) at 1.41 T (12.03 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ at 0.47 T). Separation of free and HSA-bound $\left[\text{Gd(CyPic3A)(H₂O)₂ \right]$ ⁻ by ultrafiltration (5,000 Da cut-off) followed by quantification of filtrate Gd content by ICP-MS revealed 8% of $[Gd(CyPic3A)(H_2O)_2]$ ⁻ associated with HSA. The large r_1 boost provided by the 8% protein bound complex suggests that an r_1 of 51 and 71 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ at 1.41 and 0.47 T, respectively, could potentially be achieved through full macromolecular association.

As a means of assessing thermodynamic stability relative to other Gd(III)-complexes, [Gd(CyPic3A)(H₂O)₂]⁻ was challenged with DTPA at pH 7.4. CyPic3A possesses a strong chromophore at 280 nm and the relative distributions of complex and free ligand are easily monitored by LC-MS. From this information we could deduce equilibrium constants (K_{conn}) for the competition reaction described in Equation 1,³⁰ where **L** = DTPA and **L** = challenging chelator. We obtained a K_{comp} of 0.17 at pH 7.4. In other words, the affinity of CyPic3A for Gd(III) is about 5-fold lower than DTPA at pH 7.4. Figure 3 compares this result to calculated K_{comp} values for ligands (L) of complexes used clinically in MRI^{30–32} and previously characterized $q = 2$ Gd(III) complexes (see SI for a complete list of structures).^{31,33–35} The complex $[Gd(CyPic3A)(H_2O)_2]$ ⁻ is of comparable stability to $(ProHance[®])$ and >600-fold more stable $[Gd(HP-DO3A)(H₂O)]$ (Omniscan[®]). CyPic3A also forms than $[Gd(DTPA-BMA)(H₂O)]$ more stable complexes than many of the $q = 2$ complexes (See SI for detailed table and comparison against the angiography probe MS-325). Thus, it appears that structural factors considered in the design of CyPic3A compensate for the stability penalty incurred by reduction of available donors.

$$
[\text{GdL}] + L^{'} \rightleftharpoons [\text{GdL}^{'}] + L; K_{\text{comp}} = \frac{[\text{GdL}][L^{'}]}{[\text{GdL}^{'}][L]} \quad (1)
$$

Kinetic inertness must also be taken into account in the evaluation of potential probes. In this regard, $[\text{Gd(CyPic3A)(H₂O)₂]⁻$ was challenged with 1 equiv. $[\text{ZnPO}₄]⁻$ as a slurry in pH 7.0 phosphate buffer at 310 K (SI). Several clinically utilized Gd(III) complexes have been benchmarked relative to one another in this manner.³⁶ As Gd(III) replaced by $Zn(II)$ precipitates from the solution as GdPO₄, the relaxation rate $1/T_I$ decreases. In this method, the time required to reach 80% of the initial $1/T_1$ value was utilized as an index of kinetic inertness. We measured a time to 80% of the initial $1/T_1$ of 95 min for [Gd(CyPic3A) $(H₂O)$ ⁻, 124 min for [Gd(DTPA-BMA)(H₂O)] and 383 min for [Gd(DTPA)(H₂O)]²⁻. The observed kinetic inertness compares well to acyclic clinically used Gd(III) complexes.

In conclusion, we have designed and evaluated a new ligand framework, CyPic3A, capable of forming ternary complexes with Gd(III) featuring two coordinated waters. The two bound waters afford favorable r_1 and appear to be impervious to displacement by endogenously encountered bidentate ions such as phosphate, carbonate and lactate. Despite the heptadentate nature of the ligand, CyPic3A forms Gd(III) complexes with thermodyanamic stability and kinetic inertness comparable to some FDA approved probes employing octadentate ligands. CyPic3A holds promise regarding the development of highly efficient imaging probes amenable to use across a wide range of magnetic fields.

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 21.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (award EB009062 to P.C., and a post-doctoral fellowship, CA009592, to E.M.G.)

Notes and references

- 1. Caravan P, Ellison JJ, McMurry TJ, Lauffer RB. Chem Rev. 1999; 99:2293. [PubMed: 11749483] 2. Lauffer RB. Chem Rev. 1987; 87:901.
- 3. Caravan P. Chem Soc Rev. 2006; 35:512. [PubMed: 16729145]
- 4. Helm L, Merbach AE. Chem Rev. 2005; 105:1923. [PubMed: 15941206]
- 5. Dumas S, Jacques V, Sun WC, Troughton JS, Welch JT, Chasse JM, Willich-Schmitt H, Caravan P. Invest Radiol. 2010; 45:600. [PubMed: 20808235]
- 6. Caravan P, Farrar CT, Frullano L, Uppal R. Contrast Media Mol Imag. 2009:89.
- 7. Boros E, Polasek M, Zhang Z, Caravan P. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134:19858. [PubMed: 23157602]
- 8. Mastarone DJ, Harrison VSR, Eckermann AL, Parigi G, Luchinat C, Meade TJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:5329. [PubMed: 21413801]
- 9. Villaraza AJL, Bumb A, Brechbiel MW. Chem Rev. 2010; 110:2921. [PubMed: 20067234]
- 10. Caravan P. Acc Chem Res. 2009; 42:851. [PubMed: 19222207]
- 11. Aime S, Calabi L, Cavallotti C, Gianolio E, Giovenzana GB, Losi P, Maiocchi A, Palmisano G, Sisti M. Inorg Chem. 2004; 43:7588. [PubMed: 15554621]
- 12. Pellagatti L, Zhang J, Drahoš B, Villette S, Suzenet F, Guillaumet G, Petoud S, Tóth E. Chem Commun. 2008:6951.
- 13. Datta A, Raymond KN. Acc Chem Res. 2009; 42:938. [PubMed: 19505089]
- 14. Messeri D, Lowe MP, Parker D, Botta M. Chem Commun. 2001:2742.
- 15. Aime S, Botta M, Crich SG, Giovenzana G, Pagliarin R, Sisti M, Terreno E. Magn Reson Chem. 1998; 36:S200.
- 16. Baranyai Z, Tei L, Giovenzana GB, Kálmán FK, Botta M. Inorg Chem. 2012; 51:2597. [PubMed: 22313334]
- 17. McMurry TJ, Pippin CG, Wu C, Deal KA, Brechbiel MW, Mirzadeh S, Gansow OA. J Med Chem. 1998; 41:3546. [PubMed: 9719608]
- 18. Thakur P, Conca JL, Van de Burgt LJ, Choppin GR. J Coord Chem. 2009; 62:3719.
- 19. Nonat AM, Gateau C, Fries PH, Helm L, Mazzanti M. Eur J Inorg Chem. 2012; 51:2049.
- 20. Balogh E, Mato-Iglesias M, Platas-Iglesias C, Tóth E, Djanashvili K, Peters JA, de Blas A, Rodríguez-Blas T. Inorg Chem. 2006; 45:8719. [PubMed: 17029383]
- 21. Garimella PD, Datta A, Romanini DW, Raymond KN, Francis MB. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:14704. [PubMed: 21800868]
- 22. Platas-Iglesias C, Mato-Iglesias M, Djanashvili K, Muller RN, Vander Elst L, Peters JA, de Blas A, Rodríguez-Blas T. Chem Eur J. 2004; 10:3579. [PubMed: 15252806]
- 23. Syper L, Kloc K, Mochowski J. Tetrahedron. 1980; 36:123.
- 24. Kotera M, Lehn JM, Vigneron JP. Tetrahedron. 1995; 51:1953.
- 25. Horrocks WD, Sudnick DR. Acc Chem Res. 1981; 14:342.
- 26. Manus LM, Strauch RC, Hung AH, Eckermann AL, Meade TJ. Anal Chem. 2012; 84:6278. [PubMed: 22624599]
- 27. Beeby A, Clarkson IM, Dickins RS, Faulkner S, Parker D, Royle L, de Sousa AS, Williams JAG, Woods MJ. Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2. 1999:493.
- 28. Caravan P, Parigi G, Chasse JM, Cloutier NJ, Ellison JJ, Lauffer RB, Luchinat C, McDermid SA, Spiller M, McMurry TJ. Inorg Chem. 2007; 46:6632. [PubMed: 17625839]

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 21.

- 29. Polasek M, Caravan P. Inorg Chem. 2013; 52:4084. [PubMed: 23517079]
- 30. Caravan P, Comuzzi C, Crooks W, McMurry TJ, Choppin GR, Woulfe SR. Inorg Chem. 2001; 40:2170. [PubMed: 11304163]
- 31. Kumar K, Chang CA, Francesconi L, Dischino DD, Malley MF, Gougoutas JZ, Tweedle MF. Inorg Chem. 1994; 33:3567.
- 32. Moriggi L, Cannizzo C, Prestinari C, Berrière F, Helm L. Inorg Chem. 2008; 47:8357. [PubMed: 18714983]
- 33. Baranyai Z, Uggeri F, Giovenzana GB, Bényei A, Brücher E, Aime S. Chem Eur J. 2009; 15:1696. [PubMed: 19130509]
- 34. Doble DMJ, Melchior M, O'Sullivan B, Siering C, Xu J, Pierrre VC, Raymond KN. Inorg Chem. 2003; 42:4930. [PubMed: 12895117]
- 35. Delgado R, Qunitino S, Teixeira M, Zhang A. J Chem Soc Dalton Trans. 1997:55.
- 36. Laurent S, Vander Elst L, Henoumon C, Muller RN. Contrast Media Mol Imag. 2010; 5:305.

Figure 1.

Previously studied Gd(III) complexes of hydration state $q = 2$ and $\text{[Gd(CyPic3A)(H₂O)₂]}$, bottom right.

Figure 2.

Relaxivity values recorded for $[Gd(CyPic3A)(H_2O)_2]^-$ at pH 7.4, 310 K in different media. The yellow line corresponds to the measured r_1 of $[Gd(DTPA)(H_2O)]^{2-}$ (3.26 mM⁻¹s⁻¹) in 50 mM HEPES.

Figure 3.

Equilibrium (K_{comp}) constant of [Gd(DTPA)(H₂O)]^{2–} upon challenge with CyPic3A and calculated K_{comp} for challenge with select FDA approved probes (HP-DO3A, DTPA-BMA) and prior reported heptadentate chelators (AAZTA, HOPO, DO3A, PCTA, PTDITA).

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of CyPic3A. (i) BOC₂O, dioxane; (ii) NaBH₄, MetOH, 0° C; (iii) SeO₂, dioxane, ; (iv) 2, RT; (v) NaBH₄, 0° C; (vi) TFA/CH₂Cl₂; (vii) tert-butyl bromoacetate, KI, DIPEA, (viii) LiOH, H₂O/THF; (ix) TFA.