



Published in final edited form as:

*Ophthalmology*. 2013 November ; 120(11): . doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.04.009.

## Isolated Third, Fourth and Sixth Cranial Nerve Palsies From Presumed Microvascular Versus Other Causes: A Prospective Study

Madhura A. Tamhankar, MD<sup>1</sup>, Valerie Biousse, MD<sup>2</sup>, Gui-Shuang Ying, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Sashank Prasad, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Prem Subramanian, MD, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Michael S. Lee, MD<sup>5</sup>, Eric Eggenberger, DO<sup>6</sup>, Heather E. Moss, MD, PhD<sup>7</sup>, Stacy Pineles, MD<sup>8</sup>, Jeffrey Bennett, MD, PhD<sup>9</sup>, Benjamin Osborne, MD<sup>10</sup>, Nicholas J. Volpe, MD<sup>11</sup>, Grant T. Liu, MD<sup>1</sup>, Beau B. Bruce, MD, MS<sup>2</sup>, Nancy J. Newman, MD<sup>2</sup>, Steven L. Galetta, MD<sup>1</sup>, and Laura J. Balcer, MD, MSCE<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, University of Pennsylvania

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, Emory University

<sup>3</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital

<sup>4</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, Wilmer Eye Institute

<sup>5</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, University of Minnesota

<sup>6</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, Michigan State University

<sup>7</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, University of Illinois at Chicago

<sup>8</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, University of California at Los Angeles

<sup>9</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, University of Colorado

<sup>10</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, Georgetown University

<sup>11</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Neurology, Northwestern University

### Abstract

**Purpose**—To estimate the proportion of patients presenting with isolated third, fourth or sixth cranial nerve palsies of presumed microvascular origin versus other causes.

**Design**—Prospective, multi-center observational case series.

**Participants**—One hundred and nine patients, 50 years of age or older with acute isolated ocular motor nerve palsy.

**Testing**—Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain

---

© 2013 American Academy of Ophthalmology, Inc. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author: Madhura A. Tamhankar, MD, Scheie Eye Institute, Division of Neuro-ophthalmology, 51 North, 39<sup>th</sup> Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, Office: 215-662-8042, Fax: 215-243-4694, madhura.tamhankar@uphs.upenn.edu.

This work was presented at the North American Neuro-Ophthalmologic Society meeting in February 2012 at San Antonio, Texas.

Conflict of Interest: No conflicting relationship exists for any author.

Financial Disclosure (s): The authors have no proprietary or commercial interest in any materials discussed in this article.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Main outcome measures**—Causes of acute isolated ocular motor nerve palsy (presumed microvascular or other) as determined with early MRI and clinical assessment.

**Results**—Among 109 patients enrolled in the study, there were 22 patients with cranial nerve III palsy, 25 patients with cranial nerve IV palsy and 62 patients with cranial nerve VI palsy. A cause other than presumed microvascular ischemia was identified in 18 patients (16.5 %, 95% confidence interval (CI): 10.7–24.6%). The presence of one or more vasculopathic risk factors (diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, stroke and smoking) was significantly associated with a presumed microvascular cause ( $p=0.003$ , Fisher's exact test). Vasculopathic risk factors were also present in 61% of patients (11/18) with other causes. In the group of patients who had vasculopathic risk factors only, with no other significant medical condition, 10% of patients (8/80) were found to have other causes including midbrain infarction, neoplasms, inflammation, pituitary apoplexy and giant cell arteritis (GCA). Excluding patients with third cranial nerve palsies and those with GCA the incidence of other causes for isolated fourth and sixth cranial nerve palsies was 4.7% (3/64).

**Conclusions**—In our series of patients with acute isolated ocular motor nerve palsies, substantial proportion of patients had other causes including neoplasm, GCA and brainstem infarction. Brain MRI and laboratory work-up has a role in the initial evaluation of older patients with isolated acute ocular motor nerve palsies regardless of whether vascular risk factors are present or not.

## Introduction

Isolated third, fourth and sixth cranial nerve palsies in adults frequently occur from presumed microvascular ischemia to the nerve in the setting of atherosclerotic risk factors such as older age, diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidemia<sup>2–3</sup>. Since the development of magnetic resonance imaging, less benign and potentially treatable causes for acute ocular motor mononeuropathies have been documented, that include intracranial neoplasm, aneurysm, inflammation, infection and brainstem infarction<sup>3–30</sup>. In prior prospective studies, the percentage of patients with identifiable non- microvascular causes of acute ocular motor mononeuropathy has ranged from 1–15%<sup>3, 27–29</sup>. Based on these findings, some authors recommend that early neuroimaging with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) be performed during the initial evaluation of adults presenting with acute ocular motor mononeuropathies<sup>3,27,28,30</sup>, while other studies have advocated observation without neuroimaging unless spontaneous resolution has not occurred by 3–6 months<sup>29,31–35</sup>. We thus conducted a multi-center prospective study to assess whether early neuro-imaging is warranted in the evaluation of acute isolated ocular motor nerve palsy.

## Methods

Patients 50 years or older presenting with neurologically isolated third, fourth or sixth cranial nerve palsies within 30 days of onset, were prospectively evaluated by neuro-ophthalmologists at 10 centers from June 2010 through December 2011. Patients with a prior history of strabismus, orbital disease, head trauma, neurosurgical intervention, lumbar puncture, or those in whom an MRI could not be obtained were excluded from the study.

Neurologically isolated palsy was defined as the absence of other signs and symptoms, with the exception of headache or periorbital pain within one month of the onset of diplopia and during follow up until enrollment. A standardized protocol was used to document the time course of diplopia symptoms, the presence of headache or pain, and a history of vasculopathic risk factors other than older age (i.e., diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, stroke, myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease and tobacco use). The presence or absence of a prior history of neurologic disease, ocular motor palsy,

cancer or any other pertinent history was assessed by the examining neuro-ophthalmologist. MRI of the brain with and without gadolinium was obtained on all patients who presented with either no prior neuroimaging or computed tomography (CT) scan only, performed for this acute event. All patients were followed until the resolution of diplopia or until a definitive diagnosis was established for their ocular motor palsy. Results of diagnostic testing (e.g., erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, acetylcholine receptor antibody test, temporal artery biopsy, and lumbar puncture) were recorded when obtained. At the end of follow-up, the patients were determined to have had an ocular motor palsy due to presumed microvascular ischemia versus another cause. A presumed microvascular cause was assigned in those patients for whom the MRI scan and clinical testing did not reveal an alternative cause, other neurological signs remained absent, and the ophthalmoparesis resolved spontaneously<sup>36</sup>.

A panel of neuro-ophthalmologists (SLG, GTL and MAT) reviewed all the patient data and classified the patients into two groups based on the presence of relevant past medical history. Group I included patients in whom the history and clinical examination suggested a different cause for the third, fourth or sixth cranial nerve palsy (such as prior history of neurologic symptoms, worsening eye pain or headache, progression of diplopia, prior history of cancer, history of immunosuppression). Group 2 included patients who presented with isolated third, fourth or sixth cranial nerve palsy with vasculopathic risk factors alone. The above groups were further subdivided into subgroups: patients with no prior neuroimaging versus patients who presented to the study examiner with a prior CT or MRI of the brain that had been performed for the inclusion event. Study data were collected using a Microsoft Access database, and transferred into SAS v9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) for statistical analysis. Mean, standard deviation (SD), median and range were used to summarize the continuous variables; proportions and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for the categorical outcomes. Two-sample t-tests were used to compare means of normally distributed variables, and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used if distribution of data did not follow normal distribution. The Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportions between groups.

Institutional review board approval was obtained for each of the study sites. Patient enrollment and informed consent were all handled according to local international review board approval obtained at each site and in accordance with health insurance portability and accountability act regulations. The research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

## Results

Of the 109 patients enrolled in the study (Table 1), the mean ( $\pm$  SD) age of the cohort was  $65.6 \pm 9.3$  years (median 64 years, range 50–90 years). There were 76 (69.7%) Caucasians, 22 (20.2%) African Americans, 2 (1.8%) Asians and 9 (8.3%) were of other or unknown race. The mean ( $\pm$  SD) duration of diplopia was  $14 \pm 7.8$  days (median 14, range 1–30 days). Sixty percent of the cohort ( $n=65$  patients) experienced pain or headache in association with the double vision and the presence or absence of pain was not predictive for the etiology of the palsy. There were 22 patients with a cranial nerve III palsy (18 were partial and 4 were complete with pupillary sparing), 25 patients with a cranial nerve IV palsy, and 62 patients with a cranial nerve VI palsy. Seventy patients had been imaged prior to presentation, while a prospective MRI was obtained in 39 (36%) of the patients. One hundred and three patients (95%) were reexamined in follow up at 8 to 12 weeks while in 6 patients follow up was obtained via telephone contact.

Of the 109 subjects, neuroimaging and other studies identified a non-microvascular cause in 18 patients (16.5 %, 95% CI 10.7–24.6%), while 91 patients (83.5%, 95% CI 75.4–89.3%) were diagnosed with a presumed microvascular palsy. The comparisons of patient characteristics between presumed microvascular ischemia group versus other causes is shown in Table 2. Distribution of age and gender were similar between the two groups. The percentage of patients with one or more vasculopathic risk factors (diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, stroke and smoking) was significantly higher in patients with presumed microvascular ischemia than in those with other causes (91.2% vs. 61.1%,  $p=0.003$ , Fisher's exact test). In univariate analysis, the association of diabetes with presumed microvascular palsy was most frequent, although this did not reach significance ( $p=0.06$ ). Vasculopathic risk factors were also present in 11 of the 18 patients (61%) with other causes. The sixth cranial nerve was the most commonly involved, and had the highest incidence of other causes.

Among 29 patients who had a past significant medical condition (Group1), 10 (34.4%) patients had other causes responsible for the ocular motor palsy which included neoplasms ( $n=6$ ) and one patient each with idiopathic pachymeningitis, herpes zoster, pituitary apoplexy and decompensated phoria (Table 3). Among 80 patients with presumed vasculopathic risk factors alone (Group 2), 8 (10%) patients had other causes to explain their ocular motor palsy (Table 4) which included 3 patients with giant cell arteritis, and one patient each with midbrain infarction, neoplasm, inflammation, and pituitary apoplexy.

To assess the utility of early neuro-imaging, analyses was performed on the subset of patients without third cranial nerve palsies (for which there is consensus regarding early imaging) and those without GCA in the subgroup of patients with no significant prior medical history (Group 2). This revealed 3 of 64 (4.7%) subjects with either a 4<sup>th</sup> or 6<sup>th</sup> cranial nerve palsy having a causative lesion on MRI. One was a 68-year-old man with multiple vasculopathic risk factors who presented with an isolated fourth cranial nerve palsy and was found to have an acute infarction of the dorsal midbrain. The second patient was a 58-year-old man with hypertension and hypercholesterolemia who presented with one week history of diplopia due to a right sixth cranial nerve palsy and MRI revealed a sphenoid sinus mass infiltrating the cavernous sinus that was diagnosed as large B-cell lymphoma on biopsy. The third patient was a 53-year-old woman with a sixth cranial nerve palsy and a normal CT scan whose MRI revealed a petroclival meningioma that was subsequently treated with surgery.

There were two patients with a third cranial nerve palsy in the vasculopathic category; one had a normal initial MRI, but then later developed pupillary involvement and subsequent MRI of the orbits revealed enhancement of the third cranial nerve that was deemed idiopathic after further work up and resolved without treatment; the second patient had a pupil-sparing complete third cranial nerve palsy and MRI showed enlarged pituitary gland consistent with apoplexy. There were 3 patients (all with sixth cranial nerve palsies) who were diagnosed with GCA based on high sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein and positive temporal artery biopsies. These patients did not have any systemic symptoms of GCA apart from diplopia.

## Discussion

Our results suggest that a substantial number of patients (16.5%) in our cohort were found to have an identifiable cause other than presumed microvascular ischemia. In the group without a significant past medical history, we identified patients with ocular motor palsy in whom the management was modified by early discovery of an underlying cause other than presumed microvascular ischemia. Excluding patients with third cranial nerve palsies and

GCA there was approximately 1 in a 20 chance that a patient with vasculopathic risk factors alone had another cause found for the 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> cranial nerve palsy. Over the last two decades, there have been major advances in the treatment of demyelinating disease, neoplasms and other neurological conditions, making early diagnosis important for those patients with isolated palsies not related to presumed microvascular ischemia.

Age and gender distributions were similar in patients with and without presumed microvascular palsies. The presence of at least one vasculopathic risk factor was significantly associated with presumed microvascular cause similar to findings in other studies<sup>28, 29, 36, 37</sup>. Nonetheless, vasculopathic risk factors were also present in over 60% (11/18) of patients with other causes. In one prospective study of isolated ocular motor palsies at a single institution, Chou *et al* found that over 50% of patients with non-microvascular palsies had vasculopathic risk factors a finding not surprising given the inclusion only of patients 50 years and older<sup>28</sup>.

MRI is a more sensitive modality for identifying intracranial pathology as compared to CT scan. In our study, one patient with a sixth cranial nerve palsy who had a normal CT scan and was referred to the neuro-ophthalmology service with the diagnosis of presumed vasculopathic palsy was found to have a clival meningioma with brain stem compression seen on MRI scan (Table 4). With increasing use of MRI over the past 20 years there have been several published reports of other causes of acute isolated ocular motor palsies, including demyelinating disease, brainstem infarction/hemorrhage and pituitary apoplexy<sup>3-30</sup>. In our study we had one patient with isolated fourth cranial nerve palsy due to midbrain infarction. Prompt initiation of antiplatelet therapy or anticoagulation for brainstem infarction; and immediate evaluation and control of blood pressure in the setting of hypertensive brainstem hemorrhage represent interventions that are often instituted after neuroimaging identifies such lesions. Some patients with brainstem infarction and isolated third cranial nerve palsies<sup>16</sup> or others with isolated or minimal neurologic deficits<sup>37,38</sup> have demonstrated cardiac sources of emboli or large vessel thromboembolic disease. Therefore, while small vessel occlusion is one potential cause for a brainstem infarction, the causes of such infarction and their subsequent management may be heterogeneous and different from management of presumed microvascular cranial mononeuropathies. Finally, isolated ocular motor palsies may be a manifestation of multiple sclerosis, and the early initiation of immunomodulatory therapy should be strongly considered in patients with lesions distinct from the presenting lesion.

The need for immediate neuroimaging in older patients remains controversial, in part due to the presumed low yield, to save expense and the belief that delay in neuroimaging does not necessarily lead to adverse outcomes. Three prior prospective studies have attempted to address the question of need for early neuroimaging in older adults presenting with isolated acute ocular motor mononeuropathies<sup>3, 28,29</sup>. In one study, the yield of MRI in identifying other causes for sixth cranial nerve palsy was 15% (4 patients, 2 with metastasis, 1 with a meningioma and one with aneurysm). The details of past medical history were not provided and the median age of the cohort in this study was 43 years, an age where there is a higher likelihood of finding a specific cause<sup>3</sup>. A second study of patients over the age 50 by Chou *et al*<sup>28</sup> found a 13.6% (9/66) incidence of other identifiable causes in patients presenting with acute ocular motor mononeuropathies. Even excluding third cranial nerve palsies from this cohort (since the majority of 3<sup>rd</sup> cranial nerve palsy patients will undergo neuro-imaging regardless of the presence of vasculopathic risk factors) the incidence of other causes for fourth and sixth cranial nerve palsies was 13.5% (5/37). The causes were neoplasm, brainstem infarction, demyelinating disease and pituitary apoplexy. The authors concluded that early neuroimaging should be performed in all patients with an acute ocular motor cranial mononeuropathy. In contrast a third study by Murchison *et al*<sup>29</sup> assessed the yield

and cost utility of neuroimaging for acute isolated ocular motor mononeuropathies in adults over the age of 50 years and found a low prevalence of causative lesions on MRI (1.1%, 1/93 patients)<sup>29</sup>. The one patient identified had a sixth cranial nerve palsy from a pontine hemorrhage that did not need intervention. The authors concluded that in older adults with presence of vasculopathic risk factors, early neuroimaging was not cost-effective. The above two studies<sup>28, 29</sup> were single center studies and excluded all patients with prior history of cancer or neurologic and orbital disease as well as head trauma. In contrast, our multicenter study encompasses a wider geographic distribution of patients, different practices, and referral patterns and therefore perhaps is more representative of the general population of patients with acute isolated ocular motor palsies, although is also potentially biased by the inclusion of only patients referred to neuro-ophthalmology services. Our rationale in including those patients with past medical, neurologic and cancer history was to get an overall sense of the yield of neuroimaging in isolated acute ocular motor nerve palsies amongst all older patients which in turn may aid the nonspecialist in deciding whether to obtain neuro-imaging, more so if the patient fails to report a cancer or systemic disease history, especially if they have been told that the disease is in remission, leading to a false sense of reassurance for the clinician. By dividing the cohort into those who had a prior significant medical history versus those who didn't and further separating patients who presented with prior MRI and those who did not have prior neuroimaging helped to ascertain a true incidence of causes of ocular motor palsy amongst different groups and overcome a referral bias.

Given the higher incidence of other etiologies found in our cohort of patients, early neuro-imaging is recommended as a general guideline in all patients presenting with acute isolated ocular motor palsies especially when the patient presents to a nonspecialist who may fail to elucidate a thorough history and may lack the expertise of the neuro-ophthalmologist.

Cost effectiveness of early MRI in our study compares favorably with such accepted practices in imaging patients with headaches and non-focal neurological examinations. For example, the diagnostic yield of neuroimaging in headache patients was found to be 1.5%<sup>39, 40</sup>. Although the overall yield of MRI scan in our cohort of patients was 16.5%, in patients with 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> nerve palsies who had vasculopathic risk factors alone the yield from neuro-imaging was significantly lower. In such patients the decision to perform immediate MRI scan could be weighed against observation alone especially in patients with no insurance coverage and MRI should be obtained if there is non-resolution of the palsy. However the decision to delay neuro-imaging in such patients often depends on the expertise of the clinician in obtaining a thorough history and clinical examination and making an accurate diagnosis or the patient's ability to pay for the imaging study. It may also be argued that obtaining an MRI to evaluate for cause of the palsy has an intrinsic value to the patient that has not been acknowledged previously. A normal MRI obtained in patients who experience acute onset diplopia from isolated ocular motor palsy may help to allay anxiety and fears of brain tumor or other serious disease, which in turn may have significant social, psychological and even economic benefit in terms of productivity. This value is however inherently subjective and difficult to measure.

Our study has several limitations. First, because the source of patients in our study came from patients referred to neuro-ophthalmology clinics it is possible that subtle historical and physical examination clues led referring physicians to seek additional consultation, thereby increasing our proportion of patients with serious underlying pathologies. Second, it is likely that referring physicians have different referral patterns depending on whether they initially image the patients and discover an underlying lesion or refer patients without prior imaging to neuro-ophthalmology. In the former situation it is also possible that patients may not be referred to a neuro-ophthalmologist if an underlying lesion is identified, and may, instead,

be referred to other providers such as emergency room physicians, neurosurgeons, oncologists etc, which may also lead to underestimation of the true incidence of other etiologies. Finally, while all patients underwent MRI, not all patients underwent identical laboratory evaluations and other testing. Such systematic screening would have likely increased the proportion of alternative lesions identified.

The advances in the management of multiple sclerosis, stroke and neoplasms make early diagnosis and treatment of these conditions more important than in the past. Although the presence of vasculopathic risk factors in patients at least 50 years of age is a significant predictor for a presumed microvascular cause for an isolated ocular motor mononeuropathy, a substantial proportion of our patients with other causes, also harbored vasculopathic risk factors. Our results suggest that a contrast-enhanced brain MRI likely has an important role to play in the initial evaluation of patients who present with acute isolated ocular motor mononeuropathies, even in the population over 50 years of age.

## Acknowledgments

Financial Support: This study was funded in part by departmental grant (Department of Ophthalmology) from Research to prevent blindness Inc, New York, NY for Scheie Eye Institute. Drs Subramanian, Lee, Bruce and Pineles received grant funding from NEI/NIH.

The sponsor or funding support had no role in the design and conduct of this research

The authors thank K.S. Shindler for providing patients for this study.

## References

1. Richards BW, Jones FR Jr, Younge BR. Causes and prognosis in 4,278 cases of paralysis of the oculomotor, trochlear, and abducens cranial nerves. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1992; 113:489–96. [PubMed: 1575221]
2. Patel SV, Mutyala S, Leske DA, et al. Incidence, associations, and evaluation of sixth nerve palsy using a population-based method. *Ophthalmology.* 2004; 111:369–75. [PubMed: 15019392]
3. Bendszus M, Beck A, Koltzenburg M, et al. MRI in isolated sixth nerve palsies. *Neuroradiology.* 2001; 43:742–5. [PubMed: 11594423]
4. Movsas TZ, Balcer LJ, Eggenberger ER, et al. Sixth nerve palsy as a presenting sign of intracranial plasmacytoma and multiple myeloma. *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2000; 20:242–5. [PubMed: 11130748]
5. Winterkorn JM, Bruno M. Relative pupil-sparing oculomotor nerve palsy as the presenting sign of posterior fossa meningioma. *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2001; 21:207–9. [PubMed: 11725187]
6. Petermann SH, Newman NJ. Pituitary macroadenoma manifesting as an isolated fourth nerve palsy. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1999; 127:235–6. [PubMed: 10030582]
7. Kim JS, Kang JK, Lee SA, Lee MC. Isolated or predominant ocular motor nerve palsy as a manifestation of a brain stem stroke. *Stroke.* 1993; 24:581–6. [PubMed: 8465365]
8. Hopf HC, Gutmann L. Diabetic 3rd nerve palsy: evidence for a mesencephalic lesion. *Neurology.* 1990; 40:1041–5. [PubMed: 2356004]
9. Breen LA, Hopf HC, Farris BK, Gutmann L. Pupil-sparing oculomotor nerve palsy due to midbrain infarction. *Arch Neurol.* 1991; 48:105–6. [PubMed: 1986715]
10. Fujioka T, Segawa F, Ogawa K, et al. Ischemic and hemorrhagic brain stem lesions mimicking diabetic ophthalmoplegia. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 1995; 97:167–71. [PubMed: 7656493]
11. Thömke F, Gutmann L, Stoeter P, Hopf HC. Cerebrovascular brainstem diseases with isolated cranial nerve palsies. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2002; 13:147–55. [PubMed: 11914530]
12. Thömke F, Hopf HC. Abduction paresis with rostral pontine and/or mesencephalic lesions: pseudoabducens palsy and its relation to the so-called posterior internuclear ophthalmoplegia of Lutz. *BMC Neurol [serial online].* 2001; 1:4. Available at: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2377/1/4>.

13. Thömke F. Isolated cranial nerve palsies due to brainstem lesions. *Muscle Nerve*. 1999; 22:1168–76. [PubMed: 10454711]
14. Donaldson D, Rosenberg NL. Infarction of abducens nerve fascicle as cause of isolated sixth nerve palsy related to hypertension. *Neurology*. 1988; 38:1654. [PubMed: 3419614]
15. Keane JR. Isolated brain-stem third nerve palsy. *Arch Neurol*. 1988; 45:813–4. [PubMed: 3390036]
16. Kumar P, Ahmed I. Pupil-sparing oculomotor palsy due to midbrain infarction [letter]. *Arch Neurol*. 1992; 49:348. [PubMed: 1558509]
17. Mahagne MH, Dunac A, Bedoucha P, Chatel M. Isolated ischemic third-nerve palsy as a warning sign of severe internal carotid artery stenosis. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2002; 14:264–5. [PubMed: 12403963]
18. Galetta SL, Balcer LJ. Isolated fourth nerve palsy from midbrain hemorrhage. *J Neuroophthalmol*. 1998; 18:204–5. [PubMed: 9736207]
19. I ikay CT, Yücesan C, Yücesan N, et al. Isolated nuclear oculomotor nerve syndrome due to mesencephalic hematoma. *Acta Neurol Belg*. 2000; 100:248–51. [PubMed: 11233682]
20. Hattori S, Utsunomiya K. Isolated oculomotor nerve palsy caused by mesencephalic hemorrhage [in Japanese]. *Rinsho Shinkeigaku*. 1994; 34:1021–5. [PubMed: 7834946]
21. Shintani S, Tsuruoka S, Minato Y, Shiigai T. Radiologic-clinical correlation. Isolated third nerve palsy caused by midbrain hemorrhage. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 1994; 15:1508–11. [PubMed: 7985571]
22. Kamei T, Uchiyama F, Fukuyama J. Primary tectal mesencephalic hemorrhage with isolated trochlear nerve palsy: a case report [in Japanese]. *Rinsho Shinkeigaku*. 1987; 27:1167–9. [PubMed: 3440362]
23. Mon Y. Midbrain hemorrhage presenting with trochlear nerve palsy--a case report [in Japanese]. *Rinsho Shinkeigaku*. 1996; 36:71–3. [PubMed: 8689798]
24. Batocchi AP, Evoli A, Majolini L, et al. Ocular palsies in the absence of other neurological ocular symptoms: analysis of 105 cases. *J Neurol*. 1997; 244:639–45. [PubMed: 9402541]
25. Cho WJ, Joo SP, Kim TS, Seo BR. Pituitary apoplexy presenting as isolated third cranial nerve palsy with ptosis: two case reports. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc*. 2009; 45:118–21. [PubMed: 19274125]
26. Lee AG, Hayman LA, Brazis PW. The evaluation of isolated third nerve palsy revisited: an update on the evolving role of magnetic resonance, computed tomography, and catheter angiography. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2002; 47:137–57. [PubMed: 11918895]
27. Akagi T, Miyamoto K, Kashii S, Yoshimura N. Cause and prognosis of neurologically isolated third, fourth, or sixth cranial nerve dysfunction in cases of oculomotor palsy. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2008; 52:32–5. [PubMed: 18369697]
28. Chou KL, Galetta SL, Liu GT, et al. Acute ocular motor mononeuropathies: prospective study of the roles of neuroimaging and clinical assessment. *J Neurol Sci*. 2004; 219:35–9. [PubMed: 15050435]
29. Murchison AP, Gilbert ME, Savino PJ. Neuroimaging and acute ocular motor mononeuropathies: a prospective study. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2011; 129:301–5. [PubMed: 21402985]
30. Warwar RE, Bhullar SS, Pelstring RJ, Fadell RJ. Sudden death from pituitary apoplexy in a patient presenting with an isolated sixth cranial nerve palsy. *J Neuroophthalmol*. 2006; 26:95–7. [PubMed: 16845307]
31. Peters GB III, Bakri SJ, Krohel GB. Cause and prognosis of nontraumatic sixth nerve palsies in young adults. *Ophthalmology*. 2002; 109:1925–8. [PubMed: 12359616]
32. Moster ML, Savino PJ, Sergott RC, et al. Isolated sixth-nerve palsies in younger adults. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1984; 102:1328–30. [PubMed: 6477251]
33. Miller RW, Lee AG, Schiffman JS, et al. A practice pathway for the initial diagnostic evaluation of isolated sixth cranial nerve palsies. *Med Decis Making*. 1999; 19:42–8. [PubMed: 9917019]
34. Tiffin PA, MacEwen CJ, Craig EA, Clayton G. Acquired palsy of the oculomotor, trochlear and abducens nerves. *Eye (Lond)*. 1996; 10:377–84. [PubMed: 8796166]



35. Chi SL, Bhatti MT. The diagnostic dilemma of neuro-imaging in acute isolated sixth nerve palsy. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* 2009; 20:423–9. [PubMed: 19696672]
36. Jacobson DM, McCanna TD, Layde PM. Risk factors for ischemic ocular motor nerve palsies. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1994; 112:961–6. [PubMed: 8031277]
37. Kumral E, Bayulkem G, Akyol A, et al. Mesencephalic and associated posterior circulation infarcts. *Stroke.* 2002; 33:2224–31. [PubMed: 12215591]
38. Bassetti C, Bogousslavsky J, Barth J, Regli F. Isolated infarcts of the pons. *Neurology.* 1996; 46:165–75. [PubMed: 8559368]
39. Jordan JE, Ramirez GF, Bradley WG, et al. Economic and outcomes assessment of magnetic resonance imaging in the evaluation of headache. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2000; 92:573–8. [PubMed: 11202760]
40. Weingarten S, Kleinman M, Elperin L, Larson EB. The effectiveness of cerebral imaging in the diagnosis of chronic headache. *Arch Intern Med.* 1992; 152:2457–62. [PubMed: 1456857]

**Table 1**

Distribution of the 109 enrolled patients across centers.

Center	Number
University of Pennsylvania	31
Brigham and Women's	20
Emory University	19
Wilmer Eye Institute	13
Michigan State University	6
University of Minnesota	6
University of Illinois	4
University of California at Los Angeles	4
University of Colorado	3
University of Maryland	3

**Table 2**

Comparison of characteristics of patients with acute isolated ocular motor palsy of presumed microvascular versus other causes.

Characteristics	Presumed Microvascular Ischemia (n=91) (83.5%)	Other Causes (n=18) (16.5%)	P-value
Age: Mean $\pm$ SD,	65.6 (SD: $\pm$ 9.5)	63.4 (SD: $\pm$ 7.9)	0.27 <sup>a</sup>
Median (range)	64 (50–90)	64 (50–80)	0.38 <sup>b</sup>
Gender			
Female	35 (38.5%)	8 (44.4)	0.79 <sup>c</sup>
Male	56 (61.5%)	10 (55.6)	
Nerve involved (n)	91 (83.5%)	18 (16.5%)	
3 (22)	19 (21%)	3 (17%)	
4 (25)	22 (24%)	3 (17%)	
6 (62)	50 (55%)	12 (67%)	
History of vasculopathic risk factors			0.003 <sup>c</sup>
Yes	83 (91.2%)	11 (61.1%)	
No	8 (8.8%)	7 (38.9%)	

<sup>a</sup>P-values from two-sample t-test.

<sup>b</sup>P-value from Wilcoxon rank sum test

<sup>c</sup>P-value from Fisher's exact test.

n= number of patients, SD-Standard deviation

**Table 3**

The proportion of patients with presumed microvascular ischemia versus other causes of acute isolated ocular motor nerve palsy.

<b>Groups</b>	<b>Subgroups</b>	<b>Presumed Microvascular Cause <i>n</i>=91,83.5% (95% CI 75.4–89.3)</b>	<b>Other Causes <i>n</i>=18,16.5% (95% CI, 10.7–24.6)</b>
Significant past medical history ( <i>n</i> =29)	No Prior Imaging ( <i>n</i> =10)	8 (8.8%)	2 (11.1%)
	Prior Imaging ( <i>n</i> =19)	11 (12.1%)	8 (44.4%)
Vasculopathic risk factors only ( <i>n</i> =80)	No Prior Imaging ( <i>n</i> =28)	26 (28.6%)	2 (11.1%)
	Prior Imaging ( <i>n</i> =52)	46 (50.6%)	6 (33.3%)

*n*=Number of patients, CI-Confidence interval

**Table 4**

Other causes for patients in the group with vasculopathic risk factors only (Group 2).

Vasculopathic Risk Factors Only (Group 2) (n=8)	Cranial nerve affected	Etiology
No previous Imaging (2)	Fourth	Infarct dorsal Midbrain
	Sixth	Cavernous sinus B-cell lymphoma
Previous CT/MRI (6)	Sixth	Petroclival meningioma <sup>a</sup>
	Third	Enhancement of third nerve <sup>b</sup>
	Third	Pituitary apoplexy
	Sixth	Giant cell arteritis <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Presented with normal CT scan. MRI revealed a meningioma.

<sup>b</sup>MRI brain was normal. MRI orbits revealed enhancement of the third nerve.

<sup>c</sup>There were 3 patients with giant cell arteritis.

CT-Computed tomography, MRI-Magnetic resonance imaging