# Comparative Immunogenicities of Chikungunya Vaccines Propagated in Monkey Kidney Monolayers and Chick Embryo Suspension Cultures

ARTHUR WHITE, SANFORD BERMAN, AND JOSEPH P. LOWENTHAL

Department of Biologics Research, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, D.C. 20012

### Received for publication 27 January 1972

A comparative study was made of Formalin-inactivated Chikungunya vaccines prepared from the virus propagated in African green monkey kidney monolayers and concentrated chick embryo suspension cultures. The vaccine prepared in the chick embryo suspension cultures was significantly more protective to mice against a live homologous virus challenge and stimulated the production of 4 to 5 times more circulating antibodies than the vaccine prepared with virus grown in African green monkey kidney monolayer cultures.

Chikungunya (CHIK) virus is a group A arbovirus which produces a denguelike febrile illness in man (12) and is widely disseminated throughout Africa and Asia (4, 8, 9, 11). The virus replicates in a variety of monolayer cultures (6-8, 10) and in at least one cell line in suspension cultures to high infective titers (1). CHIK vaccines that protect mice, guinea pigs, hamsters, and monkeys against live-virus challenge and produce neutralizing (N), hemagglutinating-inhibiting (HI) and complementfixing (CF) antibodies have been produced in avian and mammalian cell cultures (2, 5). However, the vaccine produced from virus grown in chick embryo (CE) monolayer cultures was immunogenically weak due, at least in part, to low preinactivation infectivity and antigen titers (5). Thus, attempts were made to grow CHIK virus to high titers in concentrated CE suspension cultures for vaccine preparation, as has been done in this laboratory with Eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus (13). This report describes an immunogenical study of two Formalin-inactivated CHIK vaccines prepared from virus grown in African green monkey kidney (MK) monolayer cultures and in concentrated CE suspension cultures.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

African CHIK strain 168 was used in both vaccines. Lots of virus were propagated in MK monolayer cultures as previously described (2). Also, lots of virus were propagated in concentrated CE suspension cultures by the methods reported for Eastern equine virus (White et al., Arch. Gesamte Virusforch., **36:**13-17, 1972).

Vaccine preparation and potency assays. The vaccines from virus grown in MK monolayers and concentrated CE suspension cultures were prepared as previously described (2, 13). Potency assays of the vaccines were performed in 3- to 4-week-old Swiss Bagg strain male mice which were obtained from the Department of Laboratory Animals, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, D.C. Vaccines were serially diluted, and groups of mice were inoculated intraperitoneally with 0.25 ml on days 0 and 7. All groups were challenged by the intracerebral route with a 100 to 1,000 mouse lethal dose<sub>50</sub> of the homologous strain of virus 7 days after the second vaccination. Effective dose<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by the method of probit analysis (3).

Antibody assays were performed on sera obtained from adult mice that had been given two 0.25-ml doses of vaccine intraperitoneally on days 0 and 7 and were bled 7 days after the last inoculation. The sera were tested for N, HI, and CF antibodies by methods previously described (13).

#### RESULTS

Pre- and postinactivation infectivity and antigenic activities of the vaccines are summarized in Table 1. As seen, the virus harvests from concentrated CE suspension cultures consisted of far greater numbers of infective and antigenic particles than the virus harvests from MK monolayer cultures. The differences in infectivity, hemagglutination, and CF activ-

 TABLE 1. Infectivity and antigenic activities of CHIK virus preparations before and after Formalin inactivation

Source of virus	Infectivity <sup>a</sup>		HA®		CF	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
MK monolayer cultures CE suspension cultures	7.2 11.0	<0.1 <0.1	64 10,240	$<2 \\ <2$	8 128	8 128

<sup>a</sup> Mouse LD<sub>50</sub> per ml.

<sup>o</sup> Reciprocal of highest antigen dilution agglutinating 0.4 ml of 0.25% goose red blood cells.

<sup>c</sup> Reciprocal of highest antigen dilution fixing 5 units of complement<sub>50</sub> when added to 0.3 ml of homologous antiserum.

TABLE 2. Comparison of the two Formalininactivated CHIK vaccines as measured in mice

Vaccines	ED <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup>	HI۵	CF <sup>c</sup>	Nď
MK monolayer cul- tures CE suspension cul-	0.197	10	4	4
tures	0.044	40	32	32

 $^{a}$  ED<sub>50</sub> is the volume (in 0.5 ml) of vaccine protecting 50% of vaccinated mice against a lethal challenge.

<sup>b</sup>Reciprocal of the highest serum dilution inhibiting agglutination of 0.25% goose red blood cells by eight units of homologous antigen.

<sup>c</sup> Reciprocal of the highest serum dilution fixing 5 units of complement  $_{50}$  when added to 0.3 ml of homologous antigen.

<sup>*a*</sup> Reciprocal of the highest serum dilution which protected 50% of the mice against 100 to 1,000 mouse  $LD_{50}$ .

ities were 10,000-, 160-, and 16-fold, respectively. It is apparent from the data presented in Table 2 that the vaccine propagated in CE suspension cultures is significantly more potent than the MK monolayer-propagated virus vaccine. Serological results were comparable to the potency results with the vaccine from CE suspension culture virus stimulating the production of 4 to 5 times more N, HI, and CF antibodies than the MK monolayer-grown virus vaccine.

## DISCUSSION

From our study it may be concluded that a Formalin-inactivated vaccine prepared from virus propagated in concentrated CE suspension cultures was more effective in protecting mice against an intracerebral challenge than a vaccine prepared from virus propagated in MK cell monolayers. The differences in the immune response appear to be directly related to the quantitative difference in the physical mass of the virus populations, as shown by the preinactivation infective and antigenic activities. Thus, the use of concentrated CE suspension cultures provides readily available and inexpensive materials which support the growth of high-titered viral preparations that can be converted to potent vaccines and diagnostic antigens.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Douglass B. Tang of the Department of Biostatistics and Mathematics, WRAIR, for the statistical analysis of our experimental data.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Davis, J. L., H. M. Hodge, and W. E. Campbell, Jr. 1970. Growth of Chikungunya virus in baby hamster kidney cell (BHK-21-clone 13) suspension cultures. Appl. Microbiol. 21:338-341.
- Eckels, K. H., V. R. Harrison, and F. M. Hetrick. 1970. Chikungunya virus vaccines prepared by Tween-ether extraction. Appl. Microbiol. 19:321-325.
- 3. Finney, D. J. 1952. Statistical methods in biological assay. Hafner Publishing Co., New York.
- Halstead, S. B., C. Yamarat, and J. E. Scanlon. 1963. The Thai hemorrhagic fever epidemic of 1962: a preliminary report. J. Med. Ass. Thailand 46:449-465.
- Harrison, V. R., L. N. Binn, and R. Randall. 1967. Comparative immunogenicities of Chikungunya vaccines prepared in avian and mammalian tissues. Amer. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 16:786-791.
- Heller, E. 1963. Enhancement of Chikungunya virus replication and inhibition of interferon production by actinomycin-D. Virology 21:652-656.
- Higashi, N., A. Matsumoto, K. Tabata, and V. Hagatoma. 1967. Electron microscopic study of the development of Chikungunya virus in green monkey kidney stable (Ver 0) cells. Virology 33:55-69.
- Lumsden, W. H. R. 1955. An epidemic disease in Southern Province, Tanganyika Territory in 1952-53.
   II. General description and epidemiology. Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 49:33-57.
   McIntosh, B. M., R. M. Harwin, H. E. Paterson, and M.
- McIntosh, B. M., R. M. Harwin, H. E. Paterson, and M. L. Westwater. 1963. An epidemic of Chikungunya in Southeastern Rhodesia. Cent. Afr. J. Med. 9:351-359.
- Mantani, M., A. Igarashic, P. Tuchinda, and S. Kato. 1967. Cytoplasmic RNA. Synthesis and viral antigen in FL cells infected with Chikungunya virus. Biken J. 10:203-218.
- Ramakrishman, S. D. 1964. The epidemic of acute hemorrhagic fever, Calcutta, 1963: epidemiological inquiry. Indian J. Med. Res. 52:633-650.
- Ross, R. W. 1956. Original isolation and characteristics of Chikungunya virus. J. Hyg. 54:192-200.
- White, A.; S. Berman, and J. P. Lowenthal. 1971. Inactivated Eastern equine encephalomyelitis vaccines prepared in monolayer and concentrated suspension chick embryo cultures. Appl. Microbiol. 22:909–913.