Regulation of estrogen receptor α by histone methyltransferase SMYD2-mediated protein methylation

Xi Zhang^{a,b}, Kaori Tanaka^{a,b}, Jiusheng Yan^c, Jing Li^{a,b,d}, Danni Peng^{a,b}, Yuanyuan Jiang^e, Zhe Yang^e, Michelle C. Barton^{a,b,d}, Hong Wen^{a,b}, and Xiaobing Shi^{a,b,d,1}

Departments of ^aBiochemistry and Molecular Biology and ^cAnesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine and ^bCenters for Cancer Epigenetics, Stem Cell and Developmental Biology, and Genetics and Genomics, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030; ^dGenes and Development Graduate Program, University of Texas Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Houston, TX 77030; and ^eDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI 48201

Edited by Jan-Åke Gustafsson, University of Houston, Houston, TX, and approved September 12, 2013 (received for review April 26, 2013)

Estrogen receptor alpha (ER α) is a ligand-activated transcription factor. Upon estrogen stimulation, ER α recruits a number of coregulators, including both coactivators and corepressors, to the estrogen response elements, modulating gene activation or repression. Most coregulator complexes contain histone-modifying enzymes to control ERa target gene expression in an epigenetic manner. In addition to histones, these epigenetic modifiers can modify nonhistone proteins including ERa, thereby constituting another layer of transcriptional regulation. Here we show that SET and MYND domain containing 2 (SMYD2), a histone H3K4 and H3K36 methyltransferase, directly methylates ER α protein at lysine 266 (K266) both in vitro and in cells. In breast cancer MCF7 cells, SMYD2 attenuates the chromatin recruitment of ER α to prevent ER α target gene activation under an estrogen-depleted condition. Importantly, the SMYD2-mediated repression of ERa target gene expression is mediated by the methylation of ER α at K266 in the nucleus, but not the methylation of histone H3K4. Upon estrogen stimulation, ER_α-K266 methylation is diminished, thereby enabling p300/cAMP response element-binding protein-binding protein to acetylate ERa at K266, which is known to promote ERa transactivation activity. Our study identifies a previously undescribed inhibitory methylation event on ERa. Our data suggest that the dynamic cross-talk between SMYD2-mediated ER α protein methylation and p300/cAMP response element-binding protein-binding protein-dependent ER α acetylation plays an important role in fine-tuning the functions of $ER\alpha$ at chromatin and the estrogen-induced gene expression profiles.

ERα hinge region | lysine methylation | LSD1

E strogen receptors (ERs) are a subfamily of nuclear receptors that control cellular responses to estrogens (1). There are two different forms of ER, usually referred to as ER α and ER β , and ER α is the dominant form expressed in breast and ovary tissues. The regulation of hormone-responsive gene expression by ER α as well as other nuclear receptors is a complex process involving a variety of cellular responses. One essential step is the recruitment of transcriptional coregulators-namely, nuclear receptor coactivators (NCOAs; also known as steroid receptor coactivators; e.g., SRC1, 2, and 3) or nuclear receptor corepressors (NCORs)-in a hormone-dependent manner (2). Most coactivator complexes comprise histone lysine (K) acetyltransferases such as p300/cAMP response element-binding protein-binding protein (CBP) (3), which can put on acetylation marks on histones. Histone acetylation helps open up chromatin around the estrogen response element (ERE) regions to facilitate the loading of RNA polymerase II transcriptional machinery. In the absence of its hormone ligands, ER α interacts with corepressor complexes, which normally consist of histone deacetylases (HDACs), to remove acetylation on histones, leading to gene repression (4).

In addition to modifying histones, these nuclear receptor coregulators can modify nonhistone proteins, including $ER\alpha$. For

instance, p300/CBP acetylates ERa at several K residues in the hinge region (5, 6). Interestingly, acetylation of ER α on different K residues is associated with distinct functions: acetylation of ERα on K266/288 promotes ERα transactivation activity, whereas acetvlation of ERa on K302/303 inhibits ERa target gene expression (5, 6). Besides acetylation, ER α undergoes many other posttranslational modifications-including phosphorylation, sumovilation, and ubiquitylation—that regulate $ER\alpha$ protein stability, subcellular localization, and hormone sensitivity (7). Some modifications of ER α are associated with distinct biological and clinical outcomes, suggesting that these modifications have great potential as markers for prognosis or prediction of endocrine therapy response. For example, phosphorylation of ER α on serine (S) 305 is associated with tamoxifen resistance (8), whereas patients whose tumors express ERa with phosphorylation on S118 and/or S167 often have a better clinical outcome to tamoxifen therapy (9, 10).

Compared with what is known about the phosphorylation and acetylation of ER α , much less is known about the protein methylation of ER α . Until recently, only one ER α protein K methylation event, which is catalyzed by SET domain containing 7/9 (SET7/9) to control ER α protein stability, has been reported (11). In addition, arginine 260 of ER α is methylated by the protein arginine methyltransferase 1, which regulates the nongenomic function of ER α in the cytoplasm (12). In fact, ER α

Significance

Histone-modifying enzymes play an important role in regulating chromatin-associated processes such as transcription. In addition to modifying histones, these enzymes control gene expression through modifying nonhistone proteins, including transcription factors. In this study, we show that SET and MYND domain containing 2 (SMYD2), a histone H3K4 and H3K36 methyltransferase, directly methylates estrogen receptor alpha (ER α) protein at lysine 266 and represses ER α transactivation activity. Upon estrogen activation, this repressive mark is relieved by the histone H3K4 demethylase lysine-specific demethylase 1, followed by p300/cAMP response element-binding proteinbinding protein (CBP)-mediated protein acetylation. Our study suggests that the cross-talk of distinct posttranslational modifications in the hinge region of ER α plays an important role in fine tuning the functions of ER α at chromatin in hormone response.

Author contributions: X.Z., M.C.B., H.W., and X.S. designed research; X.Z., K.T., J.Y., J.L., D.P., Y.J., Z.Y., and H.W. performed research; X.Z., K.T., J.Y., M.C.B., H.W., and X.S. analyzed data; and X.Z., J.Y., H.W., and X.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: xbshi@mdanderson.org.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1307959110/-/DCSupplemental.

recruits a number of enzymes involved in the regulation of histone methylation dynamics. Coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase 1 is a well-known ER α coactivator that methylates histone H3R17 to promote chromatin recruitment of p300/CBP to EREs (13–16). Recent studies have demonstrated that ER α also recruits a number of K methyltransferases (KMTs) and K demethylases (KDMs), including the MLL/KMT2 family of proteins, G9a/KMT1C, SMYD3, KDM4B, and lysine-specific demethylase 1 (LSD1)/KMT1A (reviewed in ref. 17 and references therein). Most of these enzymes function as ER α coactivators by depositing active methylation marks or removing repressive methylation marks from histones. However, whether these enzymes also directly modify ER α protein to control ER α activities remains unknown.

In the present study, we screened nearly 30 KMTs and identified an inhibitory methylation event on $ER\alpha$, which is catalyzed by SET and MYND domain containing 2 (SMYD2, a.k.a. KMT3C), a H3K4 and H3K36 methyltransferase (18, 19). SMYD2 specifically methylates ER α at K266 in the hinge region both in vitro and in cultured cells. ERa-K266 monomethylation (ERa-K266me1) prevents ERa from activating target genes by inhibiting the chromatin recruitment of ERa. Our results demonstrate that the transcriptional repression by ER α -K266me1 is, at least in part, through inhibition of K266/268 acetylation, a known mark of active ER α (5). Furthermore, we found that knockdown of LSD1 leads to increased ERa-K266 methylation and decreased K266/268 acetylation, suggesting that ER α -K266 methylation is dynamically regulated by SMYD2 and LSD1, which are known to also control the methylation of histone H3K4 and p53K370 (20, 21). Our study suggests that the crosstalk of distinct modifications in the hinge region of $ER\alpha$ plays an important role in fine tuning the functions of $ER\alpha$ at chromatin in hormone response.

Results

SMYD2 Methylates ER α in Vitro. To determine whether ER α protein can be methylated, we cloned and purified the SET domains of ~30 known and potential KMTs (22) and performed in vitro methylation assays with these enzymes and recombinant ERa protein. We found that in addition to the previously reported SET7/9 (11), SMYD2 exhibited strong methylation activity on ERα protein (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). To identify the residues on ER α that are methylated by SMYD2, we first divided ER α protein into three fragments: fragment 1 contained an N-terminal regulatory domain known as activation function domain 1; fragment 2 contained a central DNA-binding domain and a flexible hinge region; and fragment 3 contained a C-terminal ligand-binding domain that is also known as activation function domain 2 (Fig. 1A). In vitro methylation assays using these ER α fragments revealed that SMYD2 methylated only the hinge region within fragment 2 (Fig. 1B). Using a series of point mutant proteins of ER α for methylation assays, we found that SMYD2 specifically methylated K266, but not any other K residues in the hinge region (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, we carried out mass spectrometric (MS) analysis of the ERa peptide (amino acids 258-276) that was methylated by SMYD2, and we determined that, compared with the unmethylated peptide, the SMYD2 methylated peptide had mass increases of 14 and 28 Da, indicating that SMYD2 can carry out both monomethylation and dimethylation on ER α (Fig. 1D). The sequences of K266 and its neighboring residues are conserved among ER α proteins in multiple species (Fig. 1*E*), but distinct from ER β and other nuclear receptors (5), suggesting that SMYD2-dependent methylation on this residue may have a conserved functional role specific to ER α .

ER α **Is Methylated by SMYD2 at K266 in Cells.** To determine whether SMYD2 methylates ER α at K266 in cells, we developed a polyclonal antibody that specifically recognizes ER α -K266me1. The antibody did not recognize the unmethylated and dimethylated



Fig. 1. SMYD2 methylates ER α in vitro. (A) Schematic representation of ER α protein domains. (B and C) ER α is methylated at K266 by SMYD2. Autoradiograms of SMYD2-dependent in vitro methylation of recombinant ER α fragments (B) and point mutant proteins (C) are shown. GelCode Blue staining shows equal amount of ER α and SMYD2 proteins used in the assays. (D) ER α is monomethylated and dimethylated by SMYD2. MS analysis of ER α peptide (amino acids 258–276) with or without SMYD2 incubation is shown. (E) ER α –K266 is evolutionally conserved. Alignment of amino acid sequences surrounding K266 in human ER α and ER α proteins from several other species is shown.

ER α -K266 peptide or monomethylated and dimethylated H3K4, a histone substrate of SMYD2 (19), on a dot blot (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S24), suggesting that this antibody was specific to ER α -K266me1. The specificity of this antibody was further verified by probing the recombinant ER α hinge-region protein methylated by SMYD2 in vitro (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2B). The antibody recognized ER α protein incubated with SMYD2 and the methyl donor *S*-adenosyl methionine (SAM), but not the ER α protein without SAM or SMYD2. Importantly, we did not detect any signals on the ER α -K266R mutant that could not be methylated by SMYD2 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2B).

Next, we used the ER α -K266me1-specific antibody to determine whether SMYD2 methylates ER α at K266 in cells. We cotransfected HEK 293T cells with Myc-SMYD2 and Flag-tagged wild-type (WT) ER α or the K266R mutant, and we probed whole-cell extracts (WCEs) and the immunoprecipitated (IP) Flag-ER α proteins with the anti-ER α -K266me1 antibody. We detected a clear signal in both the WCEs and Flag-IP samples in the cells coexpressing SMYD2 and WT ER α (Fig. 24). We did not detect any signals in the cells coexpressing SMYD2 and the ER α -K266R mutant, indicating that this signal was methylation specific. Furthermore, this methylation is dependent on the



Fig. 2. ERa is methylated by SMYD2 in cells. (A) SMYD2 methylates ectopic ER α at K266 in cells. Western blot analysis of ER α -K266me1 and total ER α levels in WCE and Flag IP of 293T cells cotransfected with Flag-WT ER α or K266R mutants and Myc-SMYD2 are shown. (B) The enzymatic activity of SMYD2 is required for ER_α-K266 methylation in cells. Western blot analysis of ERa-K266me1 and total ERa levels in WCE and Flag IP of 293T cells cotransfected with Flag-ERα and MYC-WT SMYD2 or the Y240F catalytic mutant are shown. (C) SMYD2 is required for endogenous $ER\alpha$ -K266 methylation in MCF7 cells. Western blot analysis of ER α -K266me1 and total ER α levels in WCE and ERa IP in control (shCtrl) and SMYD2-knockdown (shSMYD2) MCF7 cells are shown. IgG IP is shown as a negative control. Tubulin is shown as a loading control. (D) K266-methylated ERa mainly resides in the nucleus. Western blot analysis of ERa, SMYD2, and ERa-K266me1 levels in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of MCF7 cells is shown. Tubulin and histone H3 are shown as markers of the cytoplasm and nucleus, respectively. (E) ERa-K266 methylation levels decrease upon E2 treatment. Western blot analysis of ERa-K266me1 and total ER α levels in WCE and ER α IP of MCF7 cells with (+) or without (-) E2 treatment are shown.

methyltransferase activity of SMYD2, because the methylationspecific signal was greatly diminished in cells coexpressing ER α and a catalytic mutant SMYD2–Y240F (18), compared to the cells coexpressing ER α and the WT SMYD2 (Fig. 2*B*).

Next, we sought to determine whether the anti-ER α -K266me1 antibody was able to detect K266 methylation on endogenous ER α protein. We used an anti-ER α antibody to IP endogenous ER α protein from MCF7 cells and probed the IP samples with the anti-ER α -K266me1 antibody. We detected a clear signal in the ER α IP, but not control IgG IP, samples (Fig. 2C). To further determine whether the signal we observed was ER α -K266 methylation-specific, we knocked down SMYD2 using shRNA and probed endogenous ER α immunoprecipitated from the SMYD2-knockdown cells. The ER α -K266me1 signal was greatly diminished in SMYD2-knockdown cells, comparing to the control MCF7 cells treated with nontargeting shRNA, whereas the levels of total ER α proteins remained unchanged (Fig. 2C).

K266 is dependent on SMYD2. To detect endogenous ER α -K266 methylation using an independent approach, we performed liquid chromatography-tandem MS (LC-MS/MS) analysis of endogenous ER α proteins purified from MCF7 cells. LS-MS/MS identified several unique modifications of ER α , including acetylation of K32 and K171, monomethylation of K171, and dimethylation of K171, K180, R277, and K401 (*SI Appendix*, Table S1 and Fig. S3), in addition to acetylation of K299 that has been reported (6). However, because the hinge region around K266 is highly enriched with positively charged residues, both Arg-C and Asp-N protease digestions did not yield visible peptides that contained K266 in our MS analysis.

These results suggested that methylation of endogenous ERa at

SMYD2-Methylated ER α Proteins Reside in the Nucleus and the ER α -K266 Methylation Levels Decrease upon E2 Treatment. Under an unstimulated condition, ERa resides in the cytoplasm; upon binding to its hormone ligands, such as 17β -estradiol (E2), ER α dimerize and translocates into the nucleus (1). Next, we asked where ERa-K266 methylation occurs and what is the dynamics of the methylation event during E2 response. To address this question, we biochemically separated the MCF7 cells into cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions and immunoprecipitated the endogenous ER α protein to determine ER α -K266 methylation levels. We found that under a regular growth condition that contains low levels of E2, ERa mainly resided in the nucleus, whereas SMYD2 was predominantly a cytoplasmic protein. Surprisingly, in contrast to the cytoplasmic localization of SMYD2, K266-methylated ERa was observed only in the nucleus (Fig. 2D). To assess the dynamics of ER α -K266 methylation in response to E2 treatment, we cultured MCF7 cells in E2-depleted medium for 3 d, treated the cells with 10 nM E2 for 1 h, and determined the methylation levels of the ERa proteins. Although the levels of both SMYD2 and total ER α proteins remained largely the same before and after E2 treatment, the ERα-K266 methylation levels decreased drastically upon E2 treatment (Fig. 2*E*), suggesting that ER α -K266 methylation may play an inhibitory role in regulating ER α activities.

SMYD2-Mediated ER α -K266 Methylation Negatively Regulates ER α Target Gene Activation During E2 Response. ER α activates a large number of target genes in response to E2 treatment. We asked whether SMYD2-mediated ERα-K266 methylation plays a role in regulating ER α -dependent gene activation, and we sought to address this question by assessing the expression of endogenous ERα target gene in MCF7 cells upon SMYD2 depletion. We used two shRNAs to independently knockdown endogenous SMYD2 in MCF7 cells, in which the mRNA and proteins levels of ERα were not affected (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B). We treated the cells with 10 nM E2 for 3 or 6 h and then determined the expression of several ER α target genes in both the control and SMYD2-knockdown MCF7 cells. E2 treatment activated the expression of several ERa target genes in MCF7 cells, including growth regulation by estrogen in breast cancer 1 (GREB1), progesterone receptor (PR), trefoil factor 1 (TFF1, a.k.a. pS2), and IGFBP4. Importantly, compared with the control cells, SMYD2-depleted cells exhibited a higher expression of the ER α target genes (Fig. 3 B and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S4C), suggesting that endogenous SMYD2 negatively controls the expression of ER α target genes during E2 response.

Next, we sought to determine whether the suppression of ER α target gene activation by SMYD2 is dependent on methylation of ER α at K266. We generated stable cells expressing either WT ER α or the K266R mutant in the ER α -negative MDA-MB 231 breast cancer cells (Fig. 3D), and we determined their transactivation activities by assessing the expression of endogenous ER α target genes. The ectopic ER α proteins enabled the MDA-MB 231 cells to respond to E2 treatment (Fig. 3 *E* and *F*).



Fig. 3. Depletion of SMYD2 enhances the expression of ER α target genes. (A) Western blot analysis of ER α and SMYD2 protein levels in control and SMYD2-knockdown MCF7 cells. (*B* and *C*) Knockdown of SMYD2 enhances the expression of ER α target genes in MCF7 cells. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR) analysis of gene expression in cells as in *A* at 3 and 6 h after E2 treatment. (*D*) Western blot analysis of ER α roteins in MDA-MB 231 cells stably expressing the WT ER α or ER α -K266R mutant. (*E* and *F*) ER α -K266R mutant exhibits increased transactivation activity compared with the WT ER α . qPCR analysis of gene expression in cells as in *D* at 3 and 6 h after E2 treatment is shown. Error bars represent SEM of three experiments.

Notably, ER α -K266R mutant possesses a higher transactivation activity than the WT ER α in these cells, not only under E2 treatment, but also under unstimulated condition without E2 (Fig. 3 *E* and *F*). Importantly, the cells expressing ER α -K266R mutant exhibited a higher proliferation rate than the cells expressing WT ER α under the grow condition without E2 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S5). These results strongly suggest that SMYD2mediated ER α -K266 methylation plays an inhibitory role in regulating ER α target gene expression.

SMYD2 Attenuates ER α Chromatin Recruitment. What is the molecular mechanism by which SMYD2-mediated ERα-K266 methylation inhibits ERa target gene activation? Because K266methylated ER α resides mainly in the nucleus, whereas SMYD2 is predominantly a cytoplasmic protein, one possibility is that SMYD2 controls the translocation of ER α from the cytoplasm to the nucleus in response to E2. However, fractionation experiments in both control and SMYD2-knockdown MCF7 cells revealed that SMYD2 depletion did not affect the overall distribution of ERa proteins in the cytoplasm and nucleus (SI Ap*pendix*, Fig. S6). We then investigated another possibility, which is that SMYD2-mediated ERa methylation in the nucleus regulates ER α chromatin recruitment. To test this hypothesis, we performed ERa ChIP experiments in both control and SMYD2knockdown MCF7 cells. We treated the cells with 10 nM E2 for 15 or 45 min and determined the occupancy of ER α on its strong binding ERE sites on target genes, including the distal EREs/ enhancers of GREB1 and PR and the proximal ERE/promoter of TFF1 (Fig. 4 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). ERa occupancy on these EREs gradually increased during the time course of E2 treatment. Importantly, compared with the non-targeting shRNA-infected control cells, the SMYD2-knock-down cells exhibited a higher ER α occupancy (Fig. 4 *A* and *B* and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S7*A*), suggesting that SMYD2 prevents ER α chromatin recruitment.

The SMYD family protein SMYD3 has been shown to methylate H3K4 at the promoters of ER α target genes (23). Because SMYD2 can methylate both histone H3K4 and ERa-K266, we next sought to determine whether the increase of ER α occupancy in SMYD2-knockdown cells is due to the loss of ERα-K266 methylation or the loss of H3K4 methylation. First, we performed ChIP experiments using anti-ERa-K266me1 antibody to determine the levels of methylated ER α on EREs. However, we did not detect any signals on the enhancers of GREB1 and PR genes or the promoter of TFF1 gene before or after E2 treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B), suggesting that K266 methylation prevents $ER\alpha$ from binding to chromatin. Next, we performed ChIP experiments using H3K4me2 and H3K4me3 antibodies to determine whether SMYD2 depletion leads to decreased H3K4 methylation levels at the enhancers and promoters of the ERa target genes. However, we found no apparent decrease in H3K4me2 levels at the enhancers of the ERa target genes in SMYD2-knockdown cells compared with the control MCF7 cells (Fig. 4 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). Instead, the H3K4me3 levels at the promoters of the ERa target genes



Fig. 4. SMYD2 attenuates ER α chromatin recruitment. (A and B) Depletion of SMYD2 enhances ER α chromatin recruitment. qPCR analysis of ER α ChIP in control and SMYD2-knockdown MCF7 cells 15 and 45 min after E2 treatment is shown. ER α occupancies on the ERE sites of target genes are shown in *Upper*. (C and D) Depletion of SMYD2 does not affect H3K4me2 levels on the enhancers of ER α target genes. qPCR analysis of H3K4me2 ChIP in control and SMYD2-knockdown MCF7 cells as in A is shown. (*E* and *F*) Depletion of SMYD2 does not decrease H3K4me3 levels on the promoters of ER α target genes. qPCR analysis of H3K4me3 ChIP in cells as in A is shown. All error bars indicate SEM of two or three independent experiments.

were even slightly higher in SMYD2-knockdown cells (Fig. 4 E and F and SI Appendix, Fig. S7A), suggesting that SMYD2 is not responsible for maintaining the histone H3K4 methylation levels at ER α target genes. Together, these results demonstrated that SMYD2 attenuates ER α chromatin recruitment through the methylation of ER α , but not the methylation of histone H3K4.

SMYD2-Mediated Methylation Inhibits ER α **K266/268 Acetylation.** The "histone code" hypothesis proposed that modifications on histone can induce or repel interactions with "reader" proteins and can cross-talk with other modifications (24). We speculated that the methylation on nonhistone proteins may function via similar mechanisms. First, we determined whether ER α -K266 methylation is recognized by any reader proteins. We screened >300 reader domains using a chromatin-associated domain array but did not identify any specific readers of ER α -K266me1 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S8).

Next, we determined whether K266 methylation could crosstalk with other modifications of ER α protein. ER α -K266 and the neighboring K268 can be acetylated by p300/CBP, which enhances ER α transactivation activity (5). Because SMYD2 methylates ER α at the same residue (K266) and has the opposite function of p300/CBP in regulating ER α transactivation activity, we hypothesized that SMYD2-mediated ER α methylation antagonizes p300/CBP-dependent K266/268 acetylation. To test this hypothesis, we cotransfected HEK 293 cells with Flag-ER α and Myc-SMYD2 and assessed ER α -K266me1 and K266/268 acetylation levels by Western blot analysis. We found that overexpression of SMYD2 resulted in increased K266 methylation and decreased K266/268 acetylation of ER α protein (Fig. 5*A*),



Fig. 5. SMYD2-mediated methylation inhibits ERα–K266/268 acetylation. (*A*) Overexpression of SMYD2 increases ERα–K266 methylation and decreases K266/ 268 acetylation. Western blot analysis of ERα–K266me1 and ERα–K266/268ac and total ERα levels in WCE and Flag-ERα IP of 293T cells transfected with Flag-ERα with or without SMYD2 are shown. (*B*) Depletion of SMYD2 decreases K266 methylation and increases K266/268 acetylation on endogenous ERα protein. Western blot analysis of ERα–K266me1 and ERα–K266/268ac and total ERα levels in WCE and ERα IP in control and SMYD2-knockdown MCF7 cells are shown. (*C*) Depletion of LSD1 increases K266 methylation and decreases K266/268 acetylation on endogenous ERα protein. Western blot analysis of ERα–K266me1 and ERα–K266/268ac and total ERα levels in WCE and ERα IP in control and LSD1 knockdown MCF7 cells are shown. (*D*) Model of ERα–K266 methylation dynamically regulated by SMYD2 and LSD1 and its cross-talk with p300/CBP-dependent ERα–K266/268 acetylation in regulating ERα target gene expression.

demonstrating the negative correlation between these two modifications. We then sought to determine whether the crosstalk between methylation and acetylation on K266 also occurs on the endogenous ER α protein. We knocked down SMYD2 in MCF7 cells and determined ER α -K266me1 and -K266/ 268 acetylation levels in the immunoprecipitated endogenous ER α protein. The results revealed that K266 methylation on the endogenous ER α protein was diminished in the SMYD2knockdown cells. Notably, the decreased K266 methylation was accompanied by increased K266/268 acetylation (Fig. 5*B*), demonstrating the methylation/acetylation cross-talk on the endogenous ER α protein.

LSD1 is the first identified histone demethylase (25). In addition to demethylating histone H3K4, LSD1 has recently been found to remove the methyl groups from p53 and heat shock protein 90 (HSP90); both are methylated by SMYD2 (21, 26). Thus, LSD1 may antagonize SMYD2 in the regulation of methylation dynamics on a variety of protein substrates. Interestingly, LSD1 is known to act as a coactivator of ERa and androgen receptor (27, 28), a function opposite from that of SMYD2. Therefore, we hypothesized that LSD1 could remove the repressive K266-methylation mark on ER α . To test this hypothesis, first we cotransfected 293T cells with LSD1 and Flag- $ER\alpha$ that was premethylated by SMYD2, and we found that overexpression of LSD1 reduced SMYD2-mediated ERa-K266 methylation (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). Next, we knocked down LSD1 in MCF7 cells and determined K266 methylation and K266/268 acetylation levels on endogenous ERα protein. We fond that LSD1 knockdown led to decreased ERa protein levels as well as ER α -K266/268 acetylation levels; in contrast, the levels of ER α -K266 methylation were slightly increased upon LSD1 depletion (Fig. 5C), suggesting that LSD1 negatively regulates ERα-K266 methylation in cells. Together, these results suggest a model in which SMYD2 and LSD1 control the dynamics of ERα-K266 methylation and its cross-talk with K266/268 acetylation, thereby modulating ER α functions in breast cancer cells (Fig. 5D).

Discussion

The regulation of estrogen-induced gene expression is a complex process mediated by ERs. Upon estrogen stimulation, ERα recruits numerous coregulators, including a number of histone-modifying enzymes, which can modify histones to facilitate chromatin relaxation, thereby allowing the binding of ER α and the transcriptional machinery to EREs (17). Increasing evidence suggests that these enzymes can also modify nonhistone proteins such as ER α and other nuclear receptors, thus constituting another layer of regulation of the hormone-responsive gene expression. The first methylation event on ER α was reported a few years ago—that ER α protein is methylated by SET7/9 at K302, the methylation of which stabilizes ERa proteins by inhibiting ERα ubiquitylation and therefore promotes ERα transactivation activity (11). Our study identifies SMYD2-mediated K266 methylation as a previously undescribed inhibitory methylation event on ERa.

SMYD2 was initially identified as a histone methyltransferase that can deposit methyl groups on histones H3K4 and H3K36, two epigenetic marks of active transcription; therefore, SMYD2 was thought to be a transcription coactivator (18, 19). However, recent studies and the findings of the present study suggest that SMYD2 can also methylate diverse nonhistone protein substrates. In contrast to its activity on histones, SMYD2 normally plays an inhibitory role in regulating nonhistone proteins. For instance, SMYD2 directly methylates p53 and pRb and inhibits their transactivation and tumor suppression functions (20, 29, 30). In the present study, we found that SMYD2 was a negative regulator of ER α , and the inhibition of ER α target gene activation by SMYD2 was through the methylation of ER α protein at K266, but not histone H3K4 methylation. These results suggest that the enzymatic activity of SMYD2 toward histones and nonhistone proteins is precisely controlled for transcription activation or repression. However, how the substrate specificity is controlled remains unknown. HSP90 was shown to interact with SMYD2, and this interaction enhances SMYD2 histone methyltransferase activity toward histone H3K4, but not H3K36 (19). HSP90 is known to function as a chaperone of ER α and many other nuclear receptors (31). Interestingly, a recent study revealed that SMYD2 can also methylate HSP90 in the cytoplasm (26). Additional studies are needed to determine whether HSP90 regulates the enzymatic activity of SMYD2 toward ER α protein and histone H3K4 during hormone response.

Our study suggests that the SMYD2-dependent methylation of ER α is reversible and that, similar to methylation of histone H3K4 and p53, the removal of ER α -K266 methylation is likely mediated by LSD1. LSD1 was initially identified as an H3K4 demethylase and was thus thought to be a transcription co-repressor (25). However, several studies have demonstrated that LSD1 functions as a transcription coactivator of ER α and an-drogen receptor (27, 28). It has been proposed that, when associated with nuclear receptors, LSD1 switches its enzymatic activity from H3K4 to H3K9 (27, 32); however, direct biochemical evidence is lacking. Our finding of demethylation of the repressive methylation mark on ER α protein provides an alternative mechanism by which LSD1 activates ER α target gene expression in its demethylation activity-dependent manner.

The hinge region of $ER\alpha$ is a flexible fragment that links the DNA-binding domain to the ligand-binding AF2 domain. The hinge region is heavily posttranslationally modified, indicating a regulatory role in fine-tuning $ER\alpha$ activities (7). $ER\alpha$ -K266 and -K268, as well as -K299, -K302, and -K303, are subject to acetylation and sumoylation (5, 33). In addition, $ER\alpha$ K302 and K303 are ubiquitinated, and K302 is methylated (11, 34). Both acetylation and sumoylation on K266/268 are believed to enhance $ER\alpha$ transactivation activity. In contrast, our study

- Tsai MJ, O'Malley BW (1994) Molecular mechanisms of action of steroid/thyroid receptor superfamily members. Annu Rev Biochem 63:451–486.
- Xu L, Glass CK, Rosenfeld MG (1999) Coactivator and corepressor complexes in nuclear receptor function. Curr Opin Genet Dev 9(2):140–147.
- 3. Xu J, Wu RC, O'Malley BW (2009) Normal and cancer-related functions of the p160 steroid receptor co-activator (SRC) family. *Nat Rev Cancer* 9(9):615–630.
- Perissi V, Jepsen K, Glass CK, Rosenfeld MG (2010) Deconstructing repression: Evolving models of co-repressor action. Nat Rev Genet 11(2):109–123.
- Kim MY, Woo EM, Chong YT, Homenko DR, Kraus WL (2006) Acetylation of estrogen receptor alpha by p300 at lysines 266 and 268 enhances the deoxyribonucleic acid binding and transactivation activities of the receptor. *Mol Endocrinol* 20(7):1479–1493.
- Wang C, et al. (2001) Direct acetylation of the estrogen receptor alpha hinge region by p300 regulates transactivation and hormone sensitivity. J Biol Chem 276(21):18375–18383.
- Le Romancer M, et al. (2011) Cracking the estrogen receptor's posttranslational code in breast tumors. *Endocr Rev* 32(5):597–622.
- Michalides R, et al. (2004) Tamoxifen resistance by a conformational arrest of the estrogen receptor alpha after PKA activation in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 5(6):597–605.
 Yamashita H, et al. (2008) Low phosphorylation of estrogen receptor alpha (ERalpha)
- serine 118 and high phosphorylation of ERalpha serine 167 improve survival in ER-positive breast cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 15(3):755–763.
- Jiang J, et al. (2007) Phosphorylation of estrogen receptor-alpha at Ser167 is indicative of longer disease-free and overall survival in breast cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 13(19):5769–5776.
- Subramanian K, et al. (2008) Regulation of estrogen receptor alpha by the SET7 lysine methyltransferase. Mol Cell 30(3):336–347.
- 12. Le Romancer M, et al. (2008) Regulation of estrogen rapid signaling through arginine methylation by PRMT1. Mol Cell 31(2):212–221.
- Wang H, et al. (2001) Methylation of histone H4 at arginine 3 facilitating transcriptional activation by nuclear hormone receptor. *Science* 293(5531):853–857.
- 14. Strahl BD, et al. (2001) Methylation of histone H4 at arginine 3 occurs in vivo and is mediated by the nuclear receptor coactivator PRMT1. *Curr Biol* 11(12):996–1000.
- Koh SS, Chen D, Lee YH, Stallcup MR (2001) Synergistic enhancement of nuclear receptor function by p160 coactivators and two coactivators with protein methyltransferase activities. J Biol Chem 276(2):1089–1098.
- Yang Y, et al. (2010) TDRD3 is an effector molecule for arginine-methylated histone marks. *Mol Cell* 40(6):1016–1023.
- 17. Wu SC, Zhang Y (2009) Minireview: Role of protein methylation and demethylation in nuclear hormone signaling. *Mol Endocrinol* 23(9):1323–1334.

demonstrates that K266 methylation by SMYD2 plays an inhibitory role. Interestingly, we found that knockdown of LSD1, which is known to abolish ER α target gene activation (28), decreased ERa-K266/268 acetylation, whereas knockdown of SMYD2 increased ERa-K266/268 acetylation and the expression of ER α target genes. These results are consistent with a previous observation that ERa-K266/268 acetylation promotes ER α transactivation activity (5). Together, our findings point to a model in which SMYD2 represses ER α target gene activation, at least partly through the inhibition of ER α -K266/268 acetylation. Interestingly, SMYD2 has been shown to interact with the Sin3 homolog A (SIN3A)/HDAC complex (18). Additional studies are needed to determine whether SMYD2 associates with Sin3A/ HDAC to maintain ERa protein at a K266-hypoacetylated and -hypermethylated status during the quiescent stage and whether LSD1 cooperates with p300/CBP to facilitate ERa protein acetylation and target gene activation upon estrogen stimulation.

Materials and Methods

In vitro methylation assays were carried out using recombinant proteins and 3H-labelled S-adanosylmathionine at 30 °C for 4 h. Cell culture, RNA interference, ChIP, cell fractionation, co-IP, reverse-transcription PCR, and real-time PCR experiments were performed as described with slight modifications (35). Materials and detailed methods are available in *SI Appendix*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank M. T. Bedford, W. L. Kraus, C. M. Chiang, J. Sage, M. Lee, and L. Ma for reagents; D. Weber (University of California Davis Proteomics Facility) for MS data collection; and Joseph Munch for editing the manuscript. This work was supported by American Cancer Society Grant RSG-13-290-01-TBE (to X.S.) Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas Grant RP110471 (to X.S.), Welch Grant G1719 (to X.S.), National Institutes of Health/MD Anderson (MDA) Cancer Center Support Grant CA016672 (to X.S.), MDA Institutional Research Grant (to H.W.), the Leukemia Research Foundation (Z.Y.), and Aplastic Anemia & Myelodysplastic Syndromes International Foundation (Z.Y.). X.Z. is a recipient of an MDA Center for Cancer Epigenetics Postdoc Scholar Award, and X.S. is a recipient of a Kimmel Scholar Award.

- Brown MA, Sims RJ, 3rd, Gottlieb PD, Tucker PW (2006) Identification and characterization of Smyd2: A split SET/MYND domain-containing histone H3 lysine 36-specific methyltransferase that interacts with the Sin3 histone deacetylase complex. *Mol Cancer* 5:26.
- Abu-Farha M, et al. (2008) The tale of two domains: Proteomics and genomics analysis of SMYD2, a new histone methyltransferase. Mol Cell Proteomics 7(3):560–572.
- Huang J, et al. (2006) Repression of p53 activity by Smyd2-mediated methylation. Nature 444(7119):629–632.
- Huang J, et al. (2007) p53 is regulated by the lysine demethylase LSD1. Nature 449(7158):105–108.
- Qian C, Zhou MM (2006) SET domain protein lysine methyltransferases: Structure, specificity and catalysis. Cell Mol Life Sci 63(23):2755–2763.
- Kim H, et al. (2009) Requirement of histone methyltransferase SMYD3 for estrogen receptor-mediated transcription. J Biol Chem 284(30):19867–19877.
- Strahl BD, Allis CD (2000) The language of covalent histone modifications. Nature 403(6765):41–45.
- Shi Y, et al. (2004) Histone demethylation mediated by the nuclear amine oxidase homolog LSD1. Cell 119(7):941–953.
- Abu-Farha M, et al. (2011) Proteomic analyses of the SMYD family interactomes identify HSP90 as a novel target for SMYD2. J Mol Cell Biol 3(5):301–308.
- Metzger E, et al. (2005) LSD1 demethylates repressive histone marks to promote androgen-receptor-dependent transcription. *Nature* 437(7057):436–439.
- Garcia-Bassets I, et al. (2007) Histone methylation-dependent mechanisms impose ligand dependency for gene activation by nuclear receptors. *Cell* 128(3):505–518.
- Saddic LA, et al. (2010) Methylation of the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor by SMYD2. J Biol Chem 285(48):37733–37740.
 Cho HS, et al. (2012) RB1 methylation by SMYD2 enhances cell cycle progression
- through an increase of RB1 phosphorylation. Neoplasia 14(6):476–486.
- Pratt WB, Welsh MJ (1994) Chaperone functions of the heat shock proteins associated with steroid receptors. Semin Cell Biol 5(2):83–93.
- Metzger E, et al. (2010) Phosphorylation of histone H3T6 by PKCbeta(I) controls demethylation at histone H3K4. *Nature* 464(7289):792–796.
- Sentis S, Le Romancer M, Bianchin C, Rostan MC, Corbo L (2005) Sumoylation of the estrogen receptor alpha hinge region regulates its transcriptional activity. *Mol Endocrinol* 19(11):2671–2684.
- Berry NB, Fan M, Nephew KP (2008) Estrogen receptor-alpha hinge-region lysines 302 and 303 regulate receptor degradation by the proteasome. *Mol Endocrinol* 22(7):1535–1551.
- Wen H, et al. (2010) Recognition of histone H3K4 trimethylation by the plant homeodomain of PHF2 modulates histone demethylation. J Biol Chem 285(13):9322–9326.