

Published in final edited form as:

*Gut*. 2013 December ; 62(12): . doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303006.

## Plasma antibodies to oral bacteria and risk of pancreatic cancer in a large European prospective cohort study

Dominique S. Michaud<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Jacques Izard<sup>3,4,\*</sup>, Charlotte S. Wilhelm-Benartzi<sup>2,5</sup>, Doo-Ho You<sup>3</sup>, Verena A Grote<sup>6</sup>, Anne Tjønneland<sup>7</sup>, Christina C. Dahm<sup>8,9</sup>, Kim Overvad<sup>8</sup>, Mazda Jenab<sup>10</sup>, Veronika Fedirko<sup>10</sup>, Marie Christine Boutron-Ruault<sup>11,12</sup>, Françoise Clavel-Chapelon<sup>11,12</sup>, Antoine Racine<sup>11,12</sup>, Rudolf Kaaks<sup>6</sup>, Heiner Boeing<sup>13</sup>, Jana Foerster<sup>13</sup>, Antonia Trichopoulou<sup>14,15</sup>, Pagona Lagiou<sup>14,16,17</sup>, Dimitrios Trichopoulos<sup>16,17</sup>, Carlotta Sacerdote<sup>18</sup>, Sabina Sieri<sup>19</sup>, Domenico Palli<sup>20</sup>, Rosario Tumino<sup>21</sup>, Salvatore Panico<sup>22</sup>, Peter Siersema<sup>23</sup>, Petra HM Peeters<sup>24</sup>, Eiliv Lund<sup>25</sup>, Aurelio Barricarte<sup>26,27</sup>, José-María Huerta<sup>27,28</sup>, Esther Molina-Montes<sup>27,29</sup>, Miren Dorronsoro<sup>30</sup>, J. Ramón Quirós<sup>31</sup>, Eric J. Duell<sup>32</sup>, Weimin Ye<sup>33,34</sup>, Malin Sund<sup>35</sup>, Björn Lindkvist<sup>36</sup>, Dorthe Johansen<sup>37</sup>, Kay-Tee Khaw<sup>38</sup>, Nick Wareham<sup>39</sup>, Ruth C. Travis<sup>40</sup>, Paolo Vineis<sup>2</sup>, H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita<sup>23,41,\*</sup>, and Elio Riboli<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Division of Biology and Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom <sup>3</sup>The Forsyth Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA <sup>4</sup>Department of Oral Medicine, Infection and Immunity, Harvard School of Dental Medicine, Boston, MA, USA <sup>5</sup>Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom <sup>6</sup>Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany <sup>7</sup>Institute of Cancer Epidemiology, Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark <sup>8</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Aarhus University, Denmark <sup>9</sup>Department of Cardiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark <sup>10</sup>International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC-WHO), Lyon, France <sup>11</sup>Inserm, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, U1018, Institut Gustave Roussy, F-94805, Villejuif, France <sup>12</sup>Paris South University, UMRS 1018, F-94805, Villejuif, France <sup>13</sup>Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Nuthetal, Germany <sup>14</sup>WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece <sup>15</sup>Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece <sup>16</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA, USA <sup>17</sup>Bureau of Epidemiologic Research, Academy of Athens, 28 Panepistimiou Street, Athens, Greece <sup>18</sup>Center for Cancer Prevention (CPO-Piemonte) and Human Genetic Foundation (HuGeF), Torino, Italy <sup>19</sup>Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Department of Preventive & Predictive Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy <sup>20</sup>Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, ISPO- Cancer Research and Prevention Institute, Florence Italy <sup>21</sup>Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civile - M.P.Arezzo" Hospital, ASP 7 Ragusa, Italy <sup>22</sup>Department of Clinical and Experimental

**Correspondence to:** Dominique Michaud, ScD, Department of Epidemiology, Brown University, Box G-S121-2, Providence, RI 02912, Dominique\_Michaud@brown.edu.

\*equal contributors for first and last authorship.

**Author contributions:** Study concept and design (DSM, JI) ; acquisition of data (JI, DHY, AT, KO, MJ, MCBR, FCC, RK, HB, AT, PL, DT, CS, DP, RT, SP, PHMP, LE, AB, JMH, EMM, MD, JRQ, KTK, NW, PV, HBBM, ER); analysis and interpretation of data (DSM, CSWB, DHY, JI); drafting of the manuscript (DSM, JI); critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content (CSWB, VAG, AT, CCD, KO, MJ, VF, MCBR, FCC, AR, RK, HB, JF, AT, PL, DT, CS, SS, DP, RT, SP, PS, PHMP, LE, AB, JMH, EMM, MD, JRQ, EJD, WY, MS, BL, DJ, KTK, NW, RCT, PV, HBBM, ER); statistical analysis (DSM, CSWB); obtained funding (DSM, JI); study supervision (DSM, JI, HBBM)

**Competing Interests:** No conflicts of interest exist for all authors.

Medicine, Federico II University, Naples, Italy <sup>23</sup>Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Centre Utrecht (UMCU), Utrecht, The Netherlands <sup>24</sup>Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands <sup>25</sup>Institute of Community Medicine, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway <sup>26</sup>Public Health Institute of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain <sup>27</sup>CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain <sup>28</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Authority, Murcia, Spain <sup>29</sup>Andalusian School of Public Health, Granada, Spain <sup>30</sup>Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa, Basque Regional Health Department, San Sebastian, Spain <sup>31</sup>Public Health and Participation Directorate, Health and Health Care Services Council, Asturias, Spain <sup>32</sup>Unit of Nutrition, Environment and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO-IDIBELL), Barcelona, Spain <sup>33</sup>Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden <sup>34</sup>The Medical Biobank at Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden <sup>35</sup>Departments of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Surgery and Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Nutrition Research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden <sup>36</sup>Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden <sup>37</sup>Department of Surgery, Skåne University Hospital, SUS, Malmö, Sweden <sup>38</sup>School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK <sup>39</sup>MRC Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge, UK <sup>40</sup>Cancer Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, Oxford, UK <sup>41</sup>National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

## Abstract

**Objective**—Examine the relationship between antibodies to 25 oral bacteria and pancreatic cancer risk in a prospective cohort study.

**Design**—We measured antibodies to oral bacteria in prediagnosis blood samples from 405 pancreatic cancer cases and 416 matched controls, nested within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study (EPIC). Analyses were conducted using conditional logistic regression and additionally adjusted for smoking status and body mass index.

**Results**—Individuals with high levels of antibodies against *Porphyromonas gingivalis* ATTC 53978, a pathogenic periodontal bacteria, had a 2-fold higher risk of pancreatic cancer than individuals lower levels of these antibodies (odds ratio [OR], 2.14; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.05–4.36; >200 ng/ml vs 200 ng/ml). To explore the association with commensal (non-pathogenic) oral bacteria, we performed a cluster analysis and identified 2 groups of individuals, based on their antibody profiles. A cluster with overall higher levels of antibodies had a 45% lower risk of pancreatic cancer than a cluster with overall lower levels of antibodies (OR, 0.55; 95% CI, 0.36–0.83).

**Conclusion**—Periodontal disease might increase the risk for pancreatic cancer. Moreover, increased levels of antibodies against specific commensal oral bacteria, which can inhibit growth of pathogenic bacteria, might reduce the risk of pancreatic cancer. Studies are needed to determine whether oral bacteria have direct effects on pancreatic cancer pathogenesis or serve as markers of the immune response.

## Keywords

infection; oral bacteria; pancreatic cancer; periodontal disease

## INTRODUCTION

Each year, approximately 40,000 Americans die of cancer of the pancreas, making pancreatic cancer the fourth most common cause of cancer-related mortality.<sup>1</sup> Pancreatic

cancer is one of the most rapidly fatal diseases, with fewer than half of patients surviving past 6 months from diagnosis. Detection of pancreatic cancer at early stages could increase survival; however, no biomarker currently has sufficient sensitivity and specificity for screening of pancreatic cancer at the population level. Consequently, primary prevention of pancreatic cancer is of particular importance in reducing the burden of this malignancy.

A positive association between periodontal disease and pancreatic cancer was observed in a prospective cohort study of men health professionals.<sup>2</sup> In this study, men reporting a positive history of periodontal disease had a 64% higher risk of pancreatic cancer compared with those reporting no periodontal disease; among never smokers, a 2-fold increase in pancreatic cancer risk was observed (RR = 2.09, 95% CI = 1.18–3.71), ruling out the possibility that the overall association was confounded by smoking. Other studies reported similar findings between periodontal disease<sup>3, 4</sup> or tooth loss<sup>5</sup> and pancreatic cancer.

The role of bacteria in pancreatic diseases etiology or tumor development has been suggested by multiple approaches. Using molecular methods, *Helicobacter* genus-specific DNA (but not *H. pylori* species-specific DNA) was identified in pancreatic cancer tissues,<sup>6</sup> while a positive association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer has been reported in several studies.<sup>7</sup> Using culture methods, the microbiota isolated from the pancreas had similarities to oral microbiota, particularly in the case of pancreatitis.<sup>8–11</sup> Bacteria reaching the pancreatic tissues by dissemination has been documented in both animal model and human subjects.<sup>9, 12, 13</sup> Additionally, multiple observations have shown that oral microbiota overlap with the digestive tract microbiota, providing multiple avenues for dissemination in dysbiosis.<sup>14–17</sup> In a recent retrospective case-control study, oral bacteria measure in saliva were associated with pancreatic cancer.<sup>18</sup>

We undertook this study to further investigate the association between periodontal bacteria and pancreatic cancer risk. Our *a priori* hypothesis (NIH R21 grant) was that antibodies to three periodontal pathogens (*Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*, and *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*) are positively associated with pancreatic cancer risk. We measured antibody levels to 25 oral bacteria, including strains that are elevated in patients with chronic periodontitis, in plasma samples collected prior to disease onset in a large European cohort study. This is the first study to examine antibodies to oral bacteria in relation to pancreatic cancer risk.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study Population

The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) includes 519,978 participants, mostly aged 35–70 years, who were recruited in 23 centers within 10 European countries (Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom) between 1992 and 2000. Detailed descriptions of the study design, population, and baseline data collection of the cohort can be found in previous report.<sup>19</sup> Each participant provided informed consent. The local ethical review committees approved the EPIC cohort study as well as the current project.

### Blood Sample Collection and Storage

Collection of blood samples was obtained from 385,747 EPIC study participants. In all but three countries (Denmark, Norway and Sweden), blood samples were collected based on a standardized protocol and aliquoted in plastic straws (plasma, serum, erythrocytes, and buffy coat for DNA). The aliquoted specimens were then stored in a central biorepository (IARC, France) in liquid nitrogen (–196°C). In Norway the biological samples were collected in twenty 0.5 ml plastic straws; 12 of the 16 plasma and two of the four buffy coat samples

were shipped to IARC for storage in the central repository. In Sweden, all samples were stored locally in freezers at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  and in Denmark in nitrogen vapour ( $-150^{\circ}\text{C}$ ).

### Pancreatic Cancer Ascertainment

In seven of the participating countries (Denmark, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom), follow-up of cancer cases is based on population cancer registries. In France, Germany, Greece, and Naples (one center in Italy), a combination of methods are used for follow-up and cancer ascertainment, including health insurance records, cancer and pathology registries, and active follow-up through study subjects and their next-of-kin. In all EPIC centers, data on vital status were collected from mortality registries at the regional or national level, and combined with health insurance data (France) or data collected by active follow-up (Greece). The percentage of subjects lost to follow-up was 1.6% (loss is due to emigration, study withdrawal, or nonresponse in active follow-up centers). Cancer incidence data are coded according to International Classification of Diseases-Oncology 2<sup>nd</sup> edition and mortality data according to the International Classification of Diseases 10<sup>th</sup> edition (ICD-10). Incidence cases of exocrine pancreatic cancer reported during the study period were eligible for selection into this study. We excluded participants who had other malignant tumors preceding the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer, except for non-melanoma skin cancer. We identified 578 incident cases of pancreas cancer that were coded according to ICD-10 (C25.0–25.3, 25.7–25.9); 468 of these cases had blood specimens available. For each case, one control subject was selected (alive and free of cancer at the time that the index case was diagnosed) using an incident density sampling procedure. Matching characteristics consisted of: study center, sex, age at blood collection, date and time of blood collection, fasting, and exogenous hormone use (women only). For efficiency purposes matching was conducted for several planned cohort analyses simultaneously; matching factors were selected with consideration of all analyses. Due to insufficient volume or assay failure, the final dataset consisted of 405 cases and 416 control subjects.

### Antibody detection

All samples were de-identified and blinded to case-control status. Samples were sent to the laboratory in matched pairs so that assays were consistently conducted on the same day for each pair; however, the pairs were not kept in the same order (e.g., case-control; case-control; control-case) to maintain blinding. The presence of antibodies in the plasma samples against a pre-selected panel of whole-cell formalin fixed bacterial antigen was tested using an immunoblot array.<sup>20</sup> This method has the advantage of using a very small amount of primary sample (less than 10 $\mu\text{l}$ ). Bacterial strain selection was based on prior detection in pancreatic tissues<sup>8–11</sup> and known serotypes for *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (ATCC 33277 [also known as strain 381], serotype a; ATCC 53978 [also known as the capsulated strain W50], serotype b),<sup>21, 22</sup> and *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* (ATCC 29523, serotype a; ATCC 43718, serotype b)<sup>23</sup>(see Table 2 for full list).

On a subset of the case and control subjects (n=532) replicate measurements of each bacterial strain were performed (Supplemental Table 1). These were averaged for the overall analysis and percent concordance was calculated among this subset of subjects for each bacterial strain, in the following ranges of human IgG (ng/ml) antibody levels: 0–7.5; 7.6–50; 50–200; >200 (respectively: no signal detected and to the lower detection limit of 7.5; (>7.5–<50 ng/ml) lower range of the fitted reference curve; within the reference curve; and higher end of the fitted reference curve to saturation). Percent concordance was found to be good for all bacterial strains, ranging from 0.67 to 0.84 (Supplemental Table 1).

## Statistical Analysis

Differences between cases and controls across baseline characteristics were assessed by paired t-tests (continuous variables) or by McNemar's test (categorical variables). Continuous measurements of the IgG antibody levels were log transformed to achieve approximate normality.

To assess the association between individual bacterial strains suspected to be periodontal pathogens and pancreatic cancer, we created four categories for the human IgG (ng/ml) based on the quantitative results from the immunoassays (ranges of human IgG [ng/ml] antibody levels: no signal detected and to the lower detection limit of 7.5; lower range of the fitted reference curve (>7.5-<50 ng/ml); within the reference curve (50–200 ng/ml); higher end of the fitted reference curve to saturation >200 ng/ml). We considered values above 200 ng/ml as seropositive and conducted the main analysis for the pathogens of interest as a dichotomous variable comparing values above to below 200 ng/ml.

Potential confounding effects of factors other than those controlled for by matching (i.e., BMI, waist circumference, current and past tobacco smoking, and diabetes) were examined by assessing the association of these factors with pancreatic cancer risk. We retained smoking and BMI in all multivariate models; none of the other variables changed the logistic estimate by more than 10% (individually or when included simultaneously). Subjects were defined as diabetics if they self-reported the condition in the baseline questionnaire at recruitment. Analyses using unconditional regression models controlling for matching factors led to similar results; we present results for the conditional regression analyses.

To avoid multiple comparison issues when examining the measured oral bacteria antibodies for which we did not have strong *a priori* hypotheses (i.e., the non-pathogenic periodontal strains), we used an exploratory analysis to identify groups of people with similar levels of oral antibodies (using all 25 measured strains). The cluster analysis was performed in R using the MCLUST procedure.<sup>24</sup> We retained two clusters based on optimal clustering examining the BIC scores (for more details on cluster analysis refer to Supplemental Method section).<sup>25</sup> We examined the association between the two identified clusters and the risk of pancreatic cancer using conditional logistic regression.

For the oral pathogens, we performed subgroup analyses to assess possible effect modifications by smoking status and age (median, 62 years); tests for interaction were conducted by including a product term of the antibodies by smoking and age in the regression models. In sensitivity analyses, we removed the first two years of follow-up after blood collection to address reverse causality, and separately, removed diabetics for the analysis as these individuals are at higher risk for periodontal disease. For these sub-analyses we used unconditional logistic regression to retain power.

All statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software package, Version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) except for the cluster analysis which was conducted in R (using RStudio, Inc., Version 0.94.110).

## RESULTS

Age at blood collection and sex were similar for cases and controls (controls were matched to cases on these factors). Mean follow-up time was 5.0 years for cases (from time of blood draw until date of diagnosis); controls had to be alive and free of cancer at the time the matched case was diagnosed. Cases were more likely to be current smokers or diabetics than controls, and had slightly higher body mass indexes (BMI; Table 1). Alcohol intake and



height were similar among cases and controls (Table 1). Characteristics among cases who gave blood were similar to overall pancreatic cancer cases in the EPIC cohort (blood cases vs. total cases<sup>26</sup>: e.g., diabetes 7.0% vs 7.1%; BMI 26.7 vs 26.2; males 48% vs 40%; age 57.8 vs 58.1); although current smoking was somewhat higher in this study (30% blood cases vs 25% all cases).

Plasma antibody detection against 25 oral bacteria was performed. Very high correlations were observed for the two strains of *P. gingivalis* (ATTC strains 33277 and 53978,  $r=0.91$ ), and *A. actinomycetemcomitans* (ATTC strains 29523 and 43718,  $r=0.94$ ). A high correlation was also noted for the two species of *Veillonella* tested (*Veillonella atypica* and *Veillonella parvula*,  $r=0.88$ ); those species are relatively genetically distant.<sup>27</sup> Most antibodies were correlated to each other, although strength of correlations varied (Supplemental Table 2). High antibodies levels (>200ng/ml) of *P. gingivalis* ATTC 53978 were more common in cases than controls ( $p=0.05$ , Table 2), but not for the other *P. gingivalis* strain.

Given our *a priori* hypothesis that periodontal pathogens are associated with higher risk for pancreatic cancer, we examined individual antibodies to those bacteria which have been previously associated with periodontal tissue destruction, which include *P. gingivalis*, *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *Tannerella forsythia* (i.e., five pathogens in our assay). Of these, the highest concentration of *P. gingivalis* ATTC 53978 (>200 ng/ml) was associated with a two fold increase risk of pancreatic cancer (OR = 2.14, 95% CI = 1.05–4.36, compared with levels <200 ng/ml; Table 3). The association was similar after removing cases that were diagnosed within two years of blood collection, although it was no longer statistically significant (Table 3). Adding a five year or seven year lag did not weaken the association with *P. gingivalis*, if anything the association became stronger over time (OR = 2.56, 95% CI = 0.75–8.7 for the seven year lag). Furthermore, associations were positive for all three categories of smoking status (never: OR = 2.1, 95% CI = 0.9–4.9; former: OR = 3.2, 95% CI = 0.5–21; current: OR = 2.2, 95% CI = 0.5–9.4), or after removing diabetics (OR = 1.7, 95% CI = 0.9–3.1). Tests for interaction for smoking, age and diabetes were not statistically significant. No associations were observed for the five oral pathogens when using four categories of antibody levels (Supplemental Table 3).

Given our lack of a priori hypothesis on individual oral bacteria that are not considered oral pathogens, and given the high correlations observed between these bacteria, we performed clustering analysis to aggregate individuals depending on their antibody profiles. Two clusters were retained for analysis based on the model with the lowest BIC score (see Supplemental Methods for more details on cluster analysis). A strong statistically significant inverse association was observed cluster 2 which identified individuals with consistently higher levels of commensal oral bacteria antibodies (Table 4) compared with cluster 1 (correlations between individual bacteria and clusters are provided in Supplement Table 4). In addition, frequencies for individual commensal oral bacteria by four categories of antibody levels are provided in Supplemental Table 3; the majority of these associations were inverse, indicating that antibodies to commensal bacteria are higher in controls than cases.

## DISCUSSION

We observed a 2-fold increase in pancreatic cancer among individuals who had high levels (>200 ng/ml) of antibodies to the periodontal pathogen *P. gingivalis* ATTC 53978 compared with those with lower levels (< 200 ng/ml). In addition, we noted that individuals with consistently high levels of antibodies to common oral bacteria had a 45% lower risk of pancreatic cancer compared to those with a profile of lower antibody levels. Antibodies were measured in blood samples that were collected up to 10 years prior to cancer diagnosis,

thereby most likely minimizing changes in immune response that could have occurred after pancreatic cancer development.

A recent study reported an association between microbiota and pancreatic cancer using saliva specimens collected after cancer diagnosis and comparing them to healthy controls (retrospective case-control study).<sup>18</sup> In this study, microbiota from 10 pancreatic cancer cases were compared to 10 healthy controls, and validated in 28 independent pancreatic cancer cases and 28 healthy controls. Two bacteria, *Neisseria elongata* and *Streptococcus mitis*, were found to be lower in cases than controls in both datasets. These findings are similar to ours as we also observed an inverse association with *S. mitis* (we did not measure *N. elongata*). Our results, however, suggest that it may be more than one or two commensal bacteria that are inversely associated with risk of pancreatic cancer.

Despite a high correlation between the two *Porphyromonas* strains measured in this study ( $r=0.91$ ), high levels of antibodies to *P. gingivalis* ATCC 53978 (6%) were much less prevalent than for *P. gingivalis* ATCC 33277 (17%). These two strains are quite distinct as *P. gingivalis* ATCC 53978 has a capsule known as a major antigen associated with pathogenicity of the strain,<sup>28</sup> while *P. gingivalis* ATCC 33277 lacks this antigen and is minimally inflammatory.<sup>29, 30</sup> Furthermore, these two strains also have different fimbrial and outer membrane serotypes,<sup>21, 31</sup> and a set of common antigens shared among species based on genomic data. The association between 19 bacterial antibodies and measured clinical indices of oral health was examined in a large U.S. population study (the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, NHANES III).<sup>32</sup> Of the 19 antibodies tested, only antibody titers to *P. gingivalis* (mixed suspension of ATCC strains 53978 and 33277) were significantly higher in individuals with periodontitis (moderate or severe, and separately for extensive periodontitis) compared with healthy individuals ( $p<0.05$ ),<sup>32</sup> as was previously observed in smaller studies.<sup>33–36</sup> High levels of antibodies to *P. gingivalis* ATCC 53978 may be the best antibody marker for high bacterial load and aggressive periodontal disease, which is in agreement with the NHANES III data,<sup>32</sup> and thus may explain why it was the only suspected periodontal pathogen associated with an elevated risk of pancreatic cancer.

*P. gingivalis* ATCC 53978 levels were also elevated in cluster 2 (which was inversely associated with risk of pancreatic cancer), as most of the other tested bacterial target were elevated in this cluster. In adults, prevalence of *P. gingivalis* in subgingival plaques of patients with periodontitis has been found to be higher than in individuals with healthy gums.<sup>36, 37</sup> While *P. gingivalis* bacterial load is strongly associated with periodontal pocket depth, prevalence is otherwise similar between patients and controls with healthy gums indicating the normal presence of the species in health.<sup>38–40</sup> Most recently, a study from the Human Microbiome Project reported that certain bacterial genera thought to be composed of pathogenic species present in periodontal disease (e.g., *Aggregatibacter*, *Porphyromonas*, *Tannerella* and *Treponema*) were found in at least 93% of individuals with no gum disease suggesting that these bacterial genera are also part of the normal oral microbiota and exposed to the immune system response.<sup>41</sup>

Oral diseases originate from changes in the ecological balance of the microbiota,<sup>35, 42, 43</sup> suggesting that there is a beneficial effect of members of the oral microbiota.

*Capnocytophaga ochracea*, for example, was significantly more prevalent among healthy patients (>90%) than chronic periodontitis patients (<60%), and was found at more sites among healthy individuals.<sup>38</sup> In addition, this microbe has been associated with significantly less disease progression in other studies.<sup>44, 45</sup> *Veillonella* species were, in another study, associated with periodontal health.<sup>42</sup> We therefore propose that the inverse associations

observed in our study for cluster 2 may reflect individuals with oral microbial stability, healthy gum status, and a strong immune response toward bacteria.

Host genetic susceptibility related to immune function could explain our observations. Recent data from Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) examining genetic susceptibility and pancreatic cancer risk reported that individuals with non-O blood groups had a higher risk of pancreatic cancer than those with blood type O.<sup>46</sup> Although it is not clear how these findings translate to carcinogenesis, SNPs at the *ABO* gene locus were found to be determinants of circulating levels of molecules that are important mediators of chronic inflammation and immune cell recruitment.<sup>47–50</sup> The best-established connection between local inflammation and pancreatic cancer comes from studies on chronic pancreatitis.<sup>51</sup> It has been proposed that the prolonged inflammation observed in chronic pancreatitis patients is what initiates or aids the progression of a pancreatic tumor.<sup>52</sup> Culture based studies of pancreatitis have shown a bacterial colonization of the tissues.<sup>8–10</sup>

Genetic determinants of immune surveillance clearly play a critical role in pancreatic cancer development. Consequently, it is plausible that elevated levels of antibodies to oral bacteria in individuals serve as a marker for a genetically stronger immune response, providing protection against carcinogenesis. In a study of periodontal disease and cancer among twins, the associations with periodontal disease could be partially explained by shared genetic risk factors.<sup>4</sup> As periodontitis is a complex disease of polymicrobial origins which is influenced by genetic susceptibility, host response and environmental factors, deciphering the genetic component of the disease is still under study.<sup>35, 53–55</sup>

The strength of this study includes a large sample size, prediagnostic bloods, and a methodology that enabled us to measure a large number of antibodies using small volumes of plasma (as these are valuable resources). By using prediagnostic bloods, we were able to minimize reverse causation, and examine the association with antibodies many years prior to diagnosis of cancer. With 405 cases and 416 controls, we had adequate power to examine a large number of antibodies. Furthermore, we had detailed data on smoking history and other known risk factors of pancreatic cancer and conducted multivariate analyses to rule out potential confounding by these factors.

The two main limitations of this study were lack of gold standard measurements for the antibodies and having only one blood measure per subject at one point in time. We could not conduct ELISA tests to measure antibodies, which are considered the gold standard measurement, because we did not have sufficient blood volume in the EPIC samples; however, the methods we used have been validated in previous studies where blood product amounts were not a limiting factor. While we only had one measurement per individual, antibodies to periodontal pathogens have been shown to be fairly stable over time,<sup>33, 56</sup> which suggests that our findings would be similar if we had more than one measurement over time. Another limitation of this study was that we did not have any data on drug use (e.g., antibiotics or NSAID use) that may have influenced bacteria antibody levels. Similarly, we were not able to control for chronic pancreatitis as these outcomes were not ascertained in the EPIC cohort; it is plausible that the association observed is mediated through pancreatitis, in which case we would not want to control for this factor. More research is needed to examine the pathways and mechanisms that may explain our findings.

In summary, this is the first study to examine antibodies to oral bacteria and risk of pancreatic cancer. Our findings suggest that individuals who have high levels of antibodies to *P. gingivalis* ATTC 53978, a bacterium strongly associated with periodontal tissue destruction, are at higher risk of pancreatic cancer, while a distinct cluster of individuals with elevated antibodies to oral bacteria were associated with a lower risk of pancreatic



cancer. Given that this is the first study to examine these associations, they will need to be confirmed in other studies. If confirmed, our findings may open new avenues to investigating the role of the oral bacteria and periodontal disease in pancreatic carcinogenesis and provide exciting opportunities to improve our understanding of the development of this fatal disease.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

### Funding:

Primary: NIH/NCI R21 CA139193 MPI: Dominique Michaud and Jacques Izard

Cancer Research UK A11692 PI Dame Beral. valerie.beral@ndm.ox.ac.uk

Cancer Research UK Grant number C864/A8257 PI Kay-Tee Khaw kk101@medschl.cam.ac.uk

MRC Grant reference G0401527 Grant D74922 PI Kay-Tee Khaw kk101@medschl.cam.ac.uk

The sponsors were not involved in the design, data collection, analysis, or interpretation of the study nor were they involved in writing the manuscript.

The coordination of EPIC is financially supported by the European Commission (DG-SANCO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer. The national cohorts are supported by Danish Cancer Society (**Denmark**); Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum and Federal Ministry of Education and Research (**Germany**); the Hellenic Health Foundation, the Stavros Niarchos Foundation and the Hellenic Ministry of Health and Social Solidarity (**Greece**); Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC) and National Research Council (**Italy**); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (**The Netherlands**); Health Research Fund (FIS), Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia (no. 6236) and Navarra, ISCIII RETIC (RD06/0020) (**Spain**); Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Scientific Council and Regional Government of Skåne and Västerbotten (**Sweden**); Cancer Research UK, Medical Research Council, Stroke Association, British Heart Foundation, Department of Health, Food Standards Agency, and Wellcome Trust (**United Kingdom**).

We thank Emily McDonald for her technical support for the immunoassay and Melissa Elliot for her support in R programming.

## References

1. Cancer facts & figures 2012. Atlanta: American Cancer Society, Inc; 2012.
2. Michaud DS, Jshipura K, Giovannucci E, et al. A prospective study of periodontal disease and pancreatic cancer in US male health professionals. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Jan 17; 2007 99(2):171–175. [PubMed: 17228001]
3. Hujoel PP, Drangsholt M, Spiekerman C, et al. An exploration of the periodontitis-cancer association. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2003 May; 13(5):312–316. [PubMed: 12821269]
4. Arora M, Weuve J, Fall K, et al. An exploration of shared genetic risk factors between periodontal disease and cancers: a prospective co-twin study. *Am J Epidemiol.* Jan 15; 2010 171(2):253–259. [PubMed: 19969528]
5. Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Dodd KW, Blaser MJ, et al. Tooth loss, pancreatic cancer, and *Helicobacter pylori*. *Am J Clin Nutr.* Jul; 2003 78(1):176–181. [PubMed: 12816788]
6. Nilsson HO, Stenram U, Ihse I, et al. Re: *Helicobacter pylori* seropositivity as a risk factor for pancreatic cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Apr 17; 2002 94(8):632–633. [PubMed: 11959898]
7. Trikudanathan G, Philip A, Dasanu CA, et al. Association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer. A cumulative meta-analysis. *JOP.* 2011; 12(1):26–31. [PubMed: 21206097]

8. Brook I, Frazier EH. Microbiological analysis of pancreatic abscess. *Clin Infect Dis*. Feb; 1996 22(2):384–385. [PubMed: 8838210]
9. Swidsinski A, Schlien P, Pernthaler A, et al. Bacterial biofilm within diseased pancreatic and biliary tracts. *Gut*. Mar; 2005 54(3):388–395. [PubMed: 15710988]
10. De Waele B, Delvaux G, Lauwers S. Microbiology of bile in cholelithiasis patients with and without acute pancreatitis. *Pancreas*. Jan; 2009 38(1):102–103. [PubMed: 19106748]
11. Holden JL, Berne TV, Rosoff L Sr. Pancreatic abscess following acute pancreatitis. *Arch Surg*. Aug; 1976 111(8):858–861. [PubMed: 1085141]
12. Widdison AL. Pathogenesis of pancreatic infection. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. Jul; 1996 78(4):350–353. [PubMed: 8712649]
13. Fritz S, Hackert T, Hartwig W, et al. Bacterial translocation and infected pancreatic necrosis in acute necrotizing pancreatitis derives from small bowel rather than from colon. *Am J Surg*. Jul; 2010 200(1):111–117. [PubMed: 20637344]
14. Seville LA, Patterson AJ, Scott KP, et al. Distribution of tetracycline and erythromycin resistance genes among human oral and fecal metagenomic DNA. *Microb Drug Resist*. Sep; 2009 15(3):159–166. [PubMed: 19728772]
15. Dal, Bello F.; Hertel, C. Oral cavity as natural reservoir for intestinal lactobacilli. *Syst Appl Microbiol*. Jan; 2006 29(1):69–76. [PubMed: 16423658]
16. Maukonen J, Matto J, Suihko ML, et al. Intra-individual diversity and similarity of salivary and faecal microbiota. *J Med Microbiol*. Dec; 2008 57(Pt 12):1560–1568. [PubMed: 19018030]
17. Pei Z, Bini EJ, Yang L, et al. Bacterial biota in the human distal esophagus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Mar 23; 2004 101(12):4250–4255. [PubMed: 15016918]
18. Farrell JJ, Zhang L, Zhou H, et al. Variations of oral microbiota are associated with pancreatic diseases including pancreatic cancer. *Gut*. Apr; 2012 61(4):582–588. [PubMed: 21994333]
19. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, et al. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr*. Dec; 2002 5(6B):1113–1124. [PubMed: 12639222]
20. Sakellari D, Socransky SS, Dibart S, et al. Estimation of serum antibody to subgingival species using checkerboard immunoblotting. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. Oct; 1997 12(5):303–310. [PubMed: 9467384]
21. Ebersole JL, Steffen MJ. Human antibody responses to outer envelope antigens of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* serotypes. *J Periodontal Res*. Jan; 1995 30(1):1–14. [PubMed: 7722842]
22. Pussinen PJ, Vilkkuna-Rautiainen T, Alftan G, et al. Multiserotype enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay as a diagnostic aid for periodontitis in large-scale studies. *J Clin Microbiol*. Feb; 2002 40(2):512–518. [PubMed: 11825965]
23. Vilkkuna-Rautiainen T, Pussinen PJ, Mattila K, et al. Antigenically diverse reference strains and autologous strains of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* are equally efficient antigens in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay analysis. *J Clin Microbiol*. Dec; 2002 40(12):4640–4645. [PubMed: 12454165]
24. Fraley C, Raftery AE. Model-based clustering, discriminant analysis, and density estimation. *J Am Stat Assoc*. Jun; 2002 97(458):611–631.
25. Mount, DW. *Bioinformatics : sequence and genome analysis*. 2nd ed.. Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2004.
26. Vrieling A, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Boshuizen HC, et al. Cigarette smoking, environmental tobacco smoke exposure and pancreatic cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Int J Cancer*. May 15; 2010 126(10):2394–2403. [PubMed: 19790196]
27. Dewhirst FE, Chen T, Izard J, et al. The human oral microbiome. *J Bacteriol*. Oct; 2010 192(19):5002–5017. [PubMed: 20656903]
28. Singh A, Wyant T, Anaya-Bergman C, et al. The Capsule of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* Leads to a Reduction in the Host Inflammatory Response, Evasion of Phagocytosis, and Increase in Virulence. *Infect Immun*. Nov; 2011 79(11):4533–4542. [PubMed: 21911459]
29. Laine ML, van Winkelhoff AJ. Virulence of six capsular serotypes of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in a mouse model. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. Oct; 1998 13(5):322–325. [PubMed: 9807125]

30. Sundqvist G, Figdor D, Hanstrom L, et al. Phagocytosis and virulence of different strains of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Scand J Dent Res*. Apr; 1991 99(2):117–129. [PubMed: 2052893]
31. Lee JY, Sojar HT, Bedi GS, et al. *Porphyromonas (Bacteroides) gingivalis* fimbrillin: size, amino-terminal sequence, and antigenic heterogeneity. *Infect Immun*. Jan; 1991 59(1):383–389. [PubMed: 1987052]
32. Vlachojannis C, Dye BA, Herrera-Abreu M, et al. Determinants of serum IgG responses to periodontal bacteria in a nationally representative sample of US adults. *J Clin Periodontol*. Aug 1; 2010 37(8):685–696. [PubMed: 20561113]
33. Tanner AC, Kent RL Jr, Maiden MF, et al. Serum IgG reactivity to subgingival bacteria in initial periodontitis, gingivitis and healthy subjects. *J Clin Periodontol*. Jul; 2000 27(7):473–480. [PubMed: 10914887]
34. Ebersole JL, Taubman MA, Smith DJ, et al. Humoral immune responses and diagnosis of human periodontal disease. *J Periodontol Res*. Sep; 1982 17(5):478–480. [PubMed: 6218276]
35. Papapanou PN. Population studies of microbial ecology in periodontal health and disease. *Ann Periodontol*. Dec; 2002 7(1):54–61. [PubMed: 16013217]
36. Papapanou PN, Baelum V, Luan WM, et al. Subgingival microbiota in adult Chinese: prevalence and relation to periodontal disease progression. *J Periodontol*. Jul; 1997 68(7):651–666. [PubMed: 9249637]
37. Griffen AL, Becker MR, Lyons SR, et al. Prevalence of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and periodontal health status. *J Clin Microbiol*. Nov; 1998 36(11):3239–3242. [PubMed: 9774572]
38. Riep B, Edesi-Neuss L, Claessen F, et al. Are putative periodontal pathogens reliable diagnostic markers? *J Clin Microbiol*. Jun; 2009 47(6):1705–1711. [PubMed: 19386852]
39. Lau L, Sanz M, Herrera D, et al. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction versus culture: a comparison between two methods for the detection and quantification of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Tannerella forsythensis* in subgingival plaque samples. *J Clin Periodontol*. Dec; 2004 31(12):1061–1069. [PubMed: 15560806]
40. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature*. Jun 14; 2012 486(7402):207–214. [PubMed: 22699609]
41. Segata N, Haake SK, Mannon P, et al. Composition of the adult digestive tract bacterial microbiome based on seven mouth surfaces, tonsils, throat and stool samples. *Genome Biol*. Jun 14. 2012 13(6):R42. [PubMed: 22698087]
42. Kumar PS, Leys EJ, Bryk JM, et al. Changes in periodontal health status are associated with bacterial community shifts as assessed by quantitative 16S cloning and sequencing. *J Clin Microbiol*. Oct; 2006 44(10):3665–3673. [PubMed: 17021095]
43. Marsh PD. Microbial ecology of dental plaque and its significance in health and disease. *Adv Dent Res*. Jul; 1994 8(2):263–271. [PubMed: 7865085]
44. Dzink JL, Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. The predominant cultivable microbiota of active and inactive lesions of destructive periodontal diseases. *J Clin Periodontol*. May; 1988 15(5):316–323. [PubMed: 3292595]
45. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, Smith C, et al. Relation of baseline microbial parameters to future periodontal attachment loss. *J Clin Periodontol*. Nov; 1991 18(10):744–750. [PubMed: 1661304]
46. Amundadottir L, Kraft P, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants in the ABO locus associated with susceptibility to pancreatic cancer. *Nat Genet*. Sep; 2009 41(9):986–990. [PubMed: 19648918]
47. Pare G, Chasman DI, Kellogg M, et al. Novel association of ABO histo-blood group antigen with soluble ICAM-1: results of a genome-wide association study of 6,578 women. *PLoS Genet*. Jul. 2008 4(7):e1000118. [PubMed: 18604267]
48. Paterson AD, Lopes-Virella MF, Waggott D, et al. Genome-wide association identifies the ABO blood group as a major locus associated with serum levels of soluble E-selectin. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. Nov; 2009 29(11):1958–1967. [PubMed: 19729612]
49. Qi L, Cornelis MC, Kraft P, et al. Genetic variants in ABO blood group region, plasma soluble E-selectin levels and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Hum Mol Genet*. May 1; 2010 19(9):1856–1862. [PubMed: 20147318]

50. Barbalic M, Dupuis J, Dehghan A, et al. Large-scale genomic studies reveal central role of ABO in sP-selectin and sICAM-1 levels. *Hum Mol Genet.* May 1; 2010 19(9):1863–1872. [PubMed: 20167578]
51. Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Cavallini G, et al. Pancreatitis and the risk of pancreatic cancer. International Pancreatitis Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* May 20; 1993 328(20):1433–1437. [PubMed: 8479461]
52. Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Lankisch PG. Chronic pancreatitis and other risk factors for pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 1999; 28(3):673–685. x. [PubMed: 10503143]
53. Nishihara T, Koseki T. Microbial etiology of periodontitis. *Periodontol 2000.* 2004; 36:14–26. [PubMed: 15330940]
54. Kinane DF, Hart TC. Genes and gene polymorphisms associated with periodontal disease. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med.* 2003; 14(6):430–449. [PubMed: 14656898]
55. Carvalho FM, Tinoco EM, Deeley K, et al. FAM5C contributes to aggressive periodontitis. *PLoS One.* 2010; 5(4):e10053. [PubMed: 20383335]
56. Papananou PN, Neiderud AM, Disick E, et al. Longitudinal stability of serum immunoglobulin G responses to periodontal bacteria. *J Clin Periodontol.* Nov; 2004 31(11):985–990. [PubMed: 15491314]

**What is already known about this subject**

- Pancreatitis increases the risk of pancreatic cancer
- Bacteria can disseminate into the pancreas
- Periodontal disease has been associated with pancreatic cancer

**What are the new findings**

- First study to prospectively examine the relationship between oral bacteria and pancreatic cancer risk
- *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, a periodontal pathogen, may increase pancreatic cancer
- Oral commensal bacteria may decrease risk of pancreatic cancer

**How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?**

- Provide opportunity to better understand which bacteria are related to risk of pancreatic cancer can lead to improvement in early detection of disease



**Table 1**

Characteristics of pancreatic cancer cases and control subjects selected from the EPIC cohort for this analysis.

	Cases	Controls	P-values*
Numbers	405	416	
Males (%)	48.4	47.8	
Mean (SD) age at blood collection (y)	57.8 (8.0)	57.8 (7.9)	
Smoking status (%)			0.09
Never	36.4	43.0	
Past	32.4	34.4	
Current	30.0	21.4	
Unknown	1.2	1.2	
Mean (SD) BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.7 (4.3)	25.9 (3.9)	0.01
Mean (SD) height (cm)	168.5 (9.1)	168.2 (9.9)	0.43
Mean (SD) waist circumference (cm)	90.2 (12.5)	88.8 (12.9)	0.11
Mean (SD) alcohol at baseline (g/d)	15.8 (22.2)	15.1 (24.0)	0.88
Diabetes (%)	7.0	4.6	0.17
Postmenopausal (% , among women)	70.3	73.3	0.40

\* Paired t-test for categorical variables and McNemar's test for continuous variables; p-values for variables that were used to match cases and controls are not shown (i.e., sex, age, length of follow-up).

**Table 2**

Percentage of samples with oral bacteria levels above 200 ng/ml by case and control subjects.

Bacteria	Cases % with IgG levels >200 ng/ml	Controls % with IgG levels >200 ng/ml	P-value *
<b>Oral pathogens** (Gram negative)</b>			
<i>Porphyromonas gingivalis</i> ATCC 33277	17.3	17.3	1.0
<i>Porphyromonas gingivalis</i> ATCC 53978	7.2	4.6	0.05
<i>Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans</i> ATCC 29523	19.8	21.9	0.45
<i>Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans</i> ATCC 43718	20.0	23.8	0.15
<i>Tannerella forsythia</i> ATCC 43037	2.2	2.2	1.0
<b>Oral bacterial species of the human microbiome (Gram negative)</b>			
<i>Captonocytophaga ochracea</i> ATCC 33596	30.9	31.3	0.82
<i>Eikenella corrodens</i> ATCC 23834	10.6	12.7	0.31
<i>Fusobacterium nucleatum</i> ATCC 25586	4.4	4.6	0.86
<i>Fusobacterium periodonticum</i> ATCC 33693	0.49	0.24	0.56
<i>Fusobacterium polymorphum</i> ATCC 10953	0.25	0.24	1.0
<i>Prevotella intermedia</i> ATCC 25611	4.7	4.6	0.86
<i>Prevotella melaninogenica</i> ATCC 25845	10.6	11.5	0.51
<i>Prevotella nigrescens</i> ATCC 33563	10.4	12.0	0.30
<i>Veillonella atypica</i> ATCC 17744	0.25	0.25	1.0
<i>Veillonella parvula</i> ATCC 10790	1.0	1.9	0.25
<b>Oral bacterial species of the human microbiome (Gram positive)</b>			
<i>Actinomyces naeslundii</i> ATCC 12104	77.5	80.5	0.22
<i>Bifidobacterium dentium</i> ATCC 27534	0.99	0.48	0.41
<i>Corynebacterium matruchotii</i> ATCC 14266	0.74	1.9	0.13
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> ATCC 29212	0.25	0.75	0.32
<i>Fingoldia magna</i> ATCC 29328	0.25	0	
<i>Parvimonas micra</i> ATCC 33270	47.2	52.2	0.09
<i>Peptostreptococcus anaerobius</i> ATCC 27337	0	0	
<i>Streptococcus intermedius</i> ATCC 27335	5.4	5.8	0.86
<i>Streptococcus mitis</i> ATCC 49456	43.7	46.9	0.25
<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> ATCC 7073	10.6	11.3	0.69

\* McNemar's test.

\*\* Oral bacterial pathogens which have been previously associated with periodontal disease.

**Table 3**

Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for pancreatic cancer associated with antibody levels to *P. gingivalis* ATTC 53978.

Antibody level to <i>P. gingivalis</i> ATTC 53978	Case / Controls	OR (95% CI)*	MV OR (95% CI)**
Low levels ( < 200ng/ml)	376/397	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
High levels (>200 ng/ml)	29/19	2.00 (1.00–4.00)	2.14 (1.05–4.36)
Removing cases diagnosed within 2 yrs of blood collection			
Low levels ( < 200ng/ml)	304/397	1.0 (referent)	1.0 (referent)
High levels (>200 ng/ml)	25/19	2.10 (0.99–4.46)	2.11 (0.97–4.59)

\* Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained using conditional logistic regression (cases were matched to controls on center, sex, follow-up time, age at blood collection, date and time of blood collection, fasting status and use of exogenous hormones among women).

\*\* Multivariate odd ratios (MV OR) were obtained using conditional logistic regression and additionally adjusting for BMI (continuous) and smoking status (never, past, current).

**Table 4**

Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for pancreatic cancer associated with two profiles of antibody response (clusters 1 and 2).

Cluster*	Case / Controls	MV OR (95% CI)**
1 Low antibody levels to commensal oral bacteria	192/229	1.0 (referent)
2 High antibody levels to commensal oral bacteria	212/187	0.55 (0.36–0.83)

\* For more details on cluster analysis approach, refer to Supplemental Methods.

\*\* Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained using conditional logistic regression (cases were matched to controls on center, sex, follow-up time, age at blood collection, date and time of blood collection, fasting status and use of exogenous hormones among women) and adjusting for BMI and smoking status.