

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Nat Methods. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 08.

Published in final edited form as: *Nat Methods.*; 8(12): . doi:10.1038/nmeth.1750.

Enhanced Y1H assays for Arabidopsis

Allison Gaudinier¹, Lifang Zhang², John S. Reece-Hoyes³, Mallorie Taylor-Teeples¹, Li Pu¹, Zhijie Liu², Ghislain Breton⁴, Jose L. Pruneda-Paz⁴, Dahae Kim¹, Steve A. Kay⁴, Albertha J.M. Walhout³, Doreen Ware^{2,5}, and Siobhan M. Brady^{1,6}

¹Dept. of Plant Biology and Genome Center, University of California Davis, 95616, USA

²Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, 11724 USA

³Program in Gene Function and Expression and Program in Molecular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, 01605 USA

⁴Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla CA, 92093 USA

⁵United States Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service, Ithaca, NY, USA

Abstract

We present an *Arabidopsis thaliana* full-length transcription factor resource of 92% of root stele– expressed transcription factors and 74.5% of root-expressed transcription factors. We demonstrate its use with enhanced yeast one-hybrid (eY1H) screening for rapid, systematic mapping of plant transcription factor–promoter interactions. We identified 158 interactions with 13 stele-expressed promoters, many of which occur physically or are regulatory *in planta*.

> Transcriptional regulation has a key role in development and response to the environment. In plants, regulation of gene expression is complex with the majority of genes differently expressed in different tissues and cell types^{1,2}. Gene regulatory networks in stele tissue, which contains vascular and associated cell types (Fig. 1a), are of great biological and biotechnological importance. Using high-spatial-resolution gene expression data and veast one-hybrid (Y1H) assays, we have previously mapped 103 gene interactions between 64 transcription factors and eight microRNAs in Arabidopsis root stele tissue, 66 of which comprise transcription factor-promoter interactions, of which 49% we confirmed to be regulatory in planta. This validation rate is higher or on par with reports using chromatin immunoprecipitation-based assays³⁻⁵. Interactions had been identified by screening against a collection representing 24% of stele-expressed transcription factors⁶ and had been identified with only 25% of promoters, which is likely due to the limited size of the transcription factor collection. Furthermore, not all clones in the previous collection are full length, which may influence both false negatives and false positives. Here we report a comprehensive full-length collection of transcription factors expressed in the stele. We adapted the enhanced Y1H (eY1H) method⁷ to rapidly and systematically map stele and plant gene regulatory networks.

We generated a sequence-verified collection of 653 *Arabidopsis* full-length transcription factor clones, representing 92% of all transcription factors reported to be expressed in the

⁶Corresponding author: sbrady@ucdavis.edu.

Author Contributions S.M.B., D.W., A.J.M.W. and J.S.R.-H. conceived the project; J.S.R.-H. and A.J.M.W. provided the eY1H mating protocol; A.G. and D.K. performed the yeast experiments; A.G. and L.Z. cloned the *de novo* transcription factors; L.Z., M.T.-T., A.G., L.P. and Z.L. recombined the transcription factors; L.Z., G.B., J.L.P.-P. and S.A.K. accumulated and curated transcription factor entry clones; and S.M.B., A.G., D.W., L.Z., A.J.M.W. and J.S.R.-H. wrote the manuscript.

root stele (Fig. 1, Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Table 1) and 74.5% of all transcription factors expressed in the root. We obtained Gateway transcription factor Entry clones from public resources (484 clones), our previous studies (91 clones)⁶ or we performed *de novo* cloning (78 clones) (Supplementary Fig. 1). Gene models and transcription factor families associated with these clones are available in Supplementary Table 1. The library contains substantial representation (greater than 40 members) from the NAC (NAM, ATAF1, CUC2), bHLH (basic helix loop helix), C2H2, MYB and AP2/EREBP (APETALA2 and ethylene responsive element binding protein) families and has nearly comprehensive coverage of transcription factors expressed generally in the stele tissue and in specific cell types (Fig. 1b,c).

We had performed our previous screens using manual direct transformation-based assays with a low-copy activation domain vector⁶. In contrast, the eY1H configuration uses a mating-based assay along with a high-copy activation domain vector, an mating type yeast bait strain, four technical replicates and automation⁷ (Online Methods). We tested both mating and direct-transformation assays with 94 previously screened transcription factors in both the low-copy and high-copy activation domain vector using a single Arabidopsis promoter (REVOLUTA, or REV) for which many interactors have been biologically validated⁶ (Supplementary Fig. 1). Mating-based Y1H assays in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using a low-copy activation domain vector detect about half as many interactions compared to haploid transformation assays⁸. We found similar results, and use of the high-copy eY1H activation domain vector largely rectified these inconsistencies (Supplementary Notes and Supplementary Fig. 2). We tested use of a hand replicator with this pipeline and obtained similar results (Supplementary Notes). We recombined the 653 transcription factorencoding open reading frames with a high-copy GAL4-activation domain fusion Y1H prey vector (AD-2µ) (Fig. 1b and Online Methods) and fully sequence-verified all clones in the prey vector.

We detected transcription factor–promoter interactions in the eY1H assay using two promoter:reporter constructs: *HIS3* and *LacZ* (Fig. 2a). We arranged transcription factors in 384-well plates with four technical replicates per transcription factor, one set of empty wells and one set with an empty vector as a negative control. At least two of the replicates must have displayed reporter activation with both reporters for an interaction to be recorded⁷.

We screened 13 previously assayed *Arabidopsis* stele-expressed gene promoters, including four for which we did not detect any interacting transcription factors previously. We had previously identified 36 interactions between 19 transcription factors and 9 promoters. With the enhanced transcription factor coverage we now identified 158 interactions between 85 transcription factors and all 13 promoters (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). We observed 39% of previously identified interactions, which is similar to other comparisons of yeast assays (Supplementary Fig. 3a)^{8,9}. Moreover, we identified 7 of 14 transcription factor–promoter interactions previously confirmed to occur physically or be regulatory *in planta*⁷ demonstrating that our approach can be used to identify biologically relevant interactions (Supplementary Fig. 3b). This false negative rate is comparable to that identified in yeast two-hybrid assays^{9,10}. Finally, to validate a new interaction, we tested the interaction between the OBP2 transcription factor and the *REV* gene using a 35S-OBP2– glucocorticoid receptor translational fusion. *OBP2* repressed expression of its target gene, *REV*, similar to its regulatory action on its target that is closely related to *REV*, PHABULOSA (*PHB*)⁶ (Fig. 2c).

Previously, we determined a significant positive correlation (P = 0.05) between genes bound by a relatively large number of transcription factors and their developmental importance, including *PHB* and *REV*⁶. We found that *PHB* and *REV* continue to have the greatest

Nat Methods. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 08.

number of transcription factors binding to their promoters, with 30 transcription factors identified to bind to the PHB promoter and 37 to the REV promoter relative to the average number of 3.36 transcription factors per promoter. Furthermore, network complexity for these 13 promoters increased from three to four tiers of transcriptional regulation (Fig. 2c).

Page 3

In summary, a nearly comprehensive root stele transcription factor resource combined with a high-throughput robotic approach to Y1H screens enabled rapid and systematic mapping of plant gene regulatory networks. These networks will increase our understanding of plant tissue complexity and development.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by University of California Davis startup funds to S.M.B., US National Institutes of Health grant GM082971 to A.J.M.W., US Department of Agriculture 1907-21000-030 to D.W., GM092412 and GM056006 to S.A.K., We thank K. Cavanaugh, D. Lavelle, L. Williams, P. Nittler, R. Michelmore, J. Pelletier, K. Vo, J. Foret, S. Winte and J. Harada for assistance.

References

- 1. Brady SM, et al. Science. 2007; 318:801-806. [PubMed: 17975066]
- 2. Jiao Y, et al. Nat. Genet. 2009; 41:258-263. [PubMed: 19122662]
- 3. Farnham PJ. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2009; 10:605-616. [PubMed: 19668247]
- 4. Moreno-Risueno MA, Busch W, Benfey PN. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2010; 13:126-131. [PubMed: 20036612]
- 5. Kaufmann K, et al. Science. 2010; 328:85-89. [PubMed: 20360106]
- 6. Brady SM, et al. Mol. Syst. Biol. 2011; 7:459. [PubMed: 21245844]
- 7. Reece-Hoyes JS, et al. Nat. Methods. Oct 30.2011 doi:10.1038/nmeth.1748.
- 8. Vermeirssen V, et al. Nat. Methods. 2007; 4:659-664. [PubMed: 17589517]
- 9. Chen YC, Rajagopala SV, Stellberger T, Uetz P. Nat. Methods. 2010; 7:667-668. [PubMed: 20805792]
- 10. Braun P, et al. Nat. Methods. 2009; 6:91-97. [PubMed: 19060903]



Figure 1.

An Arabidopsis eY1H transcription factor resource. (a) Schematic of root stele cell types. (b) Stele cell-type expression profiles of the transcription factors present in our collection. Colors are as in a. (c) Family categorization of transcription factors within the resource. 'Other' are families with fewer than eight members (Supplementary Table 1). GRAS, gibberellic acid–insensitive, repressor of GA1 and scarecreow; DOF, DNA-binding with one finger; GATA, GATA DNA motif; HSF, heatshock factor; CO-like, Constans-like; ARF, auxin response factor; MADS, MCM1, Agamous, Deficiens and SRF box; HB, homeobox; and BZIP, basic leucine zipper. Gaudinier et al.



Figure 2.

Using the transcription factor resource in an eY1H assay. (a) Reporter assay readout with the REV promoter and 94 transcription factors. Empty wells (orange) and negative control (green) are marked. Scale bar, 4 mm. (b) Relative expression of REV in the 35S-OBP2– glucocorticoid receptor line after induction with dexamethasone. Error bars, s.e.m. (n = 3; ~100 plants per biological replicate). (c) The stele gene regulatory network for the 13 screened promoters. Yellow circles are transcription factors, green diamonds are in the screen as both transcription factors and promoters, and blue squares are promoters.