



Reply to "Breakthrough Bacteremia by Linezolid-Susceptible *Enterococcus faecalis* under Linezolid Treatment in a Severe Polytrauma Patient"

Laura Morata, Josep Mensa, Alex Soriano

Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Clínic of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

A rena et al. describe in this issue a case of a young patient admitted to the intensive care unit for an acute subdural hematoma who developed bacteremia due to *Enterococcus faecalis* under linezolid treatment (1). They measured linezolid concentrations after 6 h of the last administration on 2 occasions, and both were close (2.13 mg/liter) or below (1.47 mg/liter) the MIC of *E. faecalis* (2 mg/liter). The low concentrations achieved in this patient could explain, at least in part, the breakthrough bacteremia due to linezolid-susceptible *E. faecalis*. Indeed, previous experience in orthopedic infections has documented clinical failure associated with low linezolid concentrations (2). These results are in agreement with pharmacodynamic studies showing that linezolid is a time-dependent antibiotic (3, 4).

Linezolid has a higher volume of distribution (V) than betalactams do (0.7 liters/kg versus 0.2 to 0.4 liters/kg); it is mainly eliminated by a nonenzymatic pathway in the liver, and the kidneys eliminate 30% of unmodified linezolid. According to these characteristics, it would not be expected that variations in the V or in the glomerular filtration (GF) modify serum linezolid concentrations, and there are no recommendations for adjusting linezolid dose in clinical situations where these parameters varied significantly (5). However, some authors have reported low linezolid concentrations in patients with sepsis (6, 7), cystic fibrosis (8), severe burn injuries (9, 10), or morbid obesity (11). Recently, we have studied the risk factors associated with low trough linezolid concentrations (minimum concentration of drug $[C_{\min}]$ of <2 mg/liter, the MIC₅₀ of Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus spp.). Patients with a C_{\min} of <2 mg/liter more frequently had an estimated GF (eGF) of >80 ml/min (78.3%) than those patients with a C_{\min} of $\geq 2 \text{ mg/liter} (32.7\%)$ (this difference was statistically significant [P = 0.0001]), and eGF was an independent predictor of low linezolid trough serum concentrations (12). The patient described by Arena et al. (1) did not receive concomitant drugs and had no comorbidity (liver cirrhosis) that could potentially modify the kinetics of linezolid, but interestingly, this patient had an eGF of 121 ml/min. Indeed, high glomerular filtration is a common feature in critically ill patients (13–15). On the other hand, recent studies have shown a higher linezolid concentration (16) and a higher risk of hematological adverse events (17-19) in patients with renal failure, suggesting that renal function impacts significantly on linezolid clearance. Therefore, it is necessary to consider monitoring serum concentrations in patients with sepsis or renal failure to improve efficacy and to avoid toxicity. In addition, to optimize linezolid exposure, a continuous infusion of 1,200 mg daily has demonstrated more stable serum linezolid concentrations and better pharmacodynamic parameters than intermittent administration (7).

REFERENCES

- Arena F, Giani T, Galano A, Pasculli M, Peccianti V, Cassetta MI, Novelli A, Rossolini GM. 2013. Breakthrough bacteremia by linezolidsusceptible *Enterococcus faecalis* under linezolid treatment in a severe polytrauma patient. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:6411–6412.
- Hoyo I, Martinez-Pastor J, Garcia-Ramiro S, Climent C, Brunet M, Cuesta M, Mensa J, Soriano A. 2012. Decreased serum linezolid concentrations in two patients receiving linezolid and rifampicin due to bone infections. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 44:548–550.
- Craig WA. 2003. Basic pharmacodynamics of antibacterials with clinical applications to the use of beta-lactams, glycopeptides, and linezolid. Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am. 17:479–501.
- Rayner CR, Forrest A, Meagher AK, Birmingham MC, Schentag JJ. 2003. Clinical pharmacodynamics of linezolid in seriously ill patients treated in a compassionate use programme. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 42: 1411–1423.
- Pea F, Furlanut M, Cojutti P, Cristini F, Zamparini E, Franceschi L, Viale P. 2010. Therapeutic drug monitoring of linezolid: a retrospective monocentric analysis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 54:4605–4610.
- 6. Buerger C, Plock N, Dehghanyar P, Joukhadar C, Kloft C. 2006. Pharmacokinetics of unbound linezolid in plasma and tissue interstitium of critically ill patients after multiple dosing using microdialysis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50:2455–2463.
- Adembri C, Fallani S, Cassetta MI, Arrigucci S, Ottaviano A, Pecile P, Mazzei T, De Gaudio R, Novelli A. 2008. Linezolid pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic profile in critically ill septic patients: intermittent versus continuous infusion. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 31:122–129.
- Bosso JA, Flume PA, Gray SL. 2004. Linezolid pharmacokinetics in adult patients with cystic fibrosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48:281–284.
- Lovering AM, Le Floch R, Hovsepian L, Stephanazzi J, Bret P, Birraux G, Vinsonneau C. 2009. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of linezolid in patients with major thermal injuries. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 63:553– 559.
- Hallam MJ, Allen JM, James SE, Donaldson PM, Davies JG, Hanlon GW, Dheansa BS. 2010. Potential subtherapeutic linezolid and meropenem antibiotic concentrations in a patient with severe burns and sepsis. J. Burn Care Res. 31:207–209.
- Muzevich KM, Lee KB. 2013. Subtherapeutic linezolid concentrations in a patient with morbid obesity and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia: case report and review of the literature. Ann. Pharmacother. 47:e25. doi:10.1345/aph.1R707.
- Morata L, Cuesta M, Rojas JF, Rodriguez S, Brunet M, Casals G, Cobos N, Hernandez C, Martinez JA, Mensa J, Soriano A. 2013. Risk factors for a low linezolid trough plasma concentration in acute infections. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:1913–1917.
- Pea F, Viale P, Furlanut M. 2005. Antimicrobial therapy in critically ill patients: a review of pathophysiological conditions responsible for altered disposition and pharmacokinetic variability. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 44: 1009–1034.

Address correspondence to Alex Soriano, asoriano@clinic.ub.es. This is a response to a letter by Arena et al. (10.1128/AAC.01112-13). Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.01587-13

- Pea F, Di Qual E, Cusenza A, Brollo L, Baldassarre M, Furlanut M. 2003. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of intravenous levofloxacin in patients with early-onset ventilator-associated pneumonia. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 42:589–598.
- 15. Lipman J, Wallis SC, Boots RJ. 2003. Cefepime versus cefpirome: the importance of creatinine clearance. Anesth. Analg. 97:1149–1154.
- Tsuji Y, Hiraki Y, Matsumoto K, Mizoguchi A, Kobayashi T, Sadoh S, Morita K, Kamimura H, Karube Y. 2011. Thrombocytopenia and anemia caused by a persistent high linezolid concentration in patients with renal dysfunction. J. Infect. Chemother. 17:70–75.
- 17. Wu VC, Wang YT, Wang CY, Tsai IJ, Wu KD, Hwang JJ, Hsueh PR.

2006. High frequency of linezolid-associated thrombocytopenia and anemia among patients with end-stage renal disease. Clin. Infect. Dis. **42**:66–72.

- Lin YH, Wu VC, Tsai IJ, Ho YL, Hwang JJ, Tsau YK, Wu CY, Wu KD, Hsueh PR. 2006. High frequency of linezolid-associated thrombocytopenia among patients with renal insufficiency. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 28:345–351.
- Matsumoto K, Takeshita A, Ikawa K, Shigemi A, Yaji K, Shimodozono Y, Morikawa N, Takeda Y, Yamada K. 2010. Higher linezolid exposure and higher frequency of thrombocytopenia in patients with renal dysfunction. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 36:179–181.