



Published in final edited form as:

J Asthma. 2013 March ; 50(2): . doi:10.3109/02770903.2012.751997.

Safety and Feasibility of Bronchial Thermoplasty in Asthma Patients with Very Severe Fixed Airflow Obstruction: A Case Series

Diana C. Doeing, M.D.¹, Amit K. Mahajan, M.D.¹, Steven R. White, M.D.¹, Edward T. Naureckas, M.D.¹, Jerry A. Krishnan, M.D., Ph.D.², and Douglas K. Hogarth, M.D.^{1,*}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA.

²Department of Medicine, University of Illinois Hospital & Health Sciences System, Chicago, IL, USA.

Abstract

Objective—Bronchial thermoplasty (BT) can provide relief for patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma despite maximal medical therapy. However, it is unclear whether BT is safe in patients with very severe airflow obstruction.

Methods—We performed BT in eight patients with severe asthma as defined by Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3) guidelines who were poorly controlled despite step 5 therapy. Data were available on each subject for 1 year prior to and 15–72 weeks following BT.

Results—The mean (\pm SEM) pre-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) prior to BT was $51.8 \pm 8.6\%$ of predicted, and the mean (\pm SEM) number of hospitalizations for asthma in the year prior to BT was 2.9 ± 1.2 . No subject had an unexpected severe adverse event due to BT. Among the eight patients with follow-up of at least 15 weeks, there was no significant decline in FEV₁ ($p = .4$).

Conclusion—We suggest that BT may be safe for asthma patients with severe airflow obstruction and higher hospitalization rates than previously reported.

Keywords

airway smooth muscle; persistent airflow obstruction; poorly controlled asthma; radiofrequency ablation; refractory asthma

Introduction

Bronchial thermoplasty (BT), approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2010 (1), is a new therapeutic intervention for patients with uncontrolled, severe asthma refractory to standard medical treatment. In BT, all visible and reachable airways are treated with radiofrequency energy provided by the Alair catheter (Asthmatx, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) introduced via a flexible bronchoscope in order to reduce airway smooth muscle

Copyright © 2013 Informa Healthcare USA, Inc.

*Corresponding author: Douglas K. Hogarth, M.D., University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Ave, MC 6076, Chicago, IL 60637, United State; Fax: +7737022860; dhogarth@uchicago.edu.

Declaration of Interest

Dr. Hogarth has given three industry-funded lectures on Bronchial Thermoplasty. The remaining authors report no conflicts of interest.

mass and decrease bronchial reactivity. The benefits of this therapy include reduced asthma symptoms, fewer exacerbations, and improved quality of life (2–8). Three randomized clinical trials in a total of 260 patients have demonstrated the safety and benefits of BT in adults with moderate to severe asthma (3–5,8,9). However, the larger part of these two studies included adults with only mild to moderate airflow obstruction as measured by forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁). We report eight patients with severe asthma symptoms and severe airflow obstruction who have successfully and safely undergone BT at our institution.

Patients and Methods

In this single-center case series, we identified eight patients with severe asthma from the University of Chicago who had previously underwent BT. All patients met criteria for a diagnosis of severe asthma as defined by the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program's Expert Panel Report 3 (NAEPP EPR-3) guidelines (10) and had poorly controlled asthma, despite maintenance medical therapy including high dose inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) (1000 mcg/day fluticasone or equivalent), and long-acting β_2 -agonist (LABA) (100 mcg salmeterol or equivalent). Patients were consented prior to BT and completed the treatment in a series of three bronchoscopic procedures using the Alair Bronchial Thermoplasty System from October 2010 to February 2012. Patients received prednisone (50 mg daily) for 3 days, prior to the day of procedure, at the day of procedure, and 1 day after each BT procedure as per protocol. Adverse events in the BT treatment period (from the first procedure to 6 weeks following the last BT procedure) and the post-treatment period (follow-up beyond that period) were collected. Data were available on each subject for at least one year prior to the initial BT treatment, and follow-up was available from 15 to 72 weeks following the final BT procedure. All patients were provided written consent for use of their information (Institutional Review Board approval 15,543B). Data were collected through chart abstraction. Stata[®] 11.0 software was used for a paired *t*-test analysis comparing pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ before BT and 15 weeks after completion of BT (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

The clinical characteristics of the eight patients at the time of the first BT procedure are presented in Table 1. All patients had severe asthma as defined by the NAEPP EPR-3 guidelines (10) and were poorly controlled on Step 5 or 6 asthma therapy prior to BT. Of the six patients with computed tomography scans of the chest available prior to undergoing BT, five patients had evidence of airway thickening.

Safety and Outcomes

Six of the eight patients in this series received conscious sedation with midazolam, fentanyl, and/or diphenhydramine. Two patients received general endotracheal anesthesia; these patients were previously identified to have a history of difficulty tolerating conscious sedation prior to their assessment for BT due to severe obstructive sleep apnea and anxiety, respectively.

No subject had an unexpected severe adverse event due to bronchoscopy or BT (Table 2). There were no deaths, and no patients required intubation or noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) following BT. Of the adverse events suffered, four patients required overnight observation following their initial BT procedure due to wheezing and/or increased frequency of rescue bronchodilator use. Two patients required overnight observation after their second BT procedure: one had left lower lobe atelectasis and one required increased frequency of bronchodilators. Three patients required overnight observation after the final BT procedure: two required admission for frequent bronchodilator use and one had a lower

respiratory tract infection. Finally, one patient developed mild hemoptysis and lower respiratory tract infection 6 days and 23 days following his third BT procedure, respectively. A bronchoscopic inspection following the episode of hemoptysis did not reveal a source of bleeding, and the patient did not require further intervention.

There was no change in percent predicted prebronchodilator FEV₁ noted at least 15 weeks after BT (pre-BT FEV₁ 51.8 ± 8.6% vs. post-BT FEV₁ 52.1 ± 9.2%, *p* = .4). In addition, no patient had an increase in his or her hospitalization rate following the post-treatment period after completion of BT (mean of 2.88 ± 1.20 hospitalizations for asthma in the year prior to BT compared to 0.50 ± 0.33 hospitalizations during the median follow-up of 31 weeks following BT).

Discussion

The majority of morbidity and health care cost related to asthma is attributable to patients with severe or poorly controlled asthma (11–13). These patients suffer from increased hospitalizations and reduced quality of life despite the use of maximum medical therapy recommended for asthma by many (14,15). BT is a promising treatment for severe asthma patients who are refractory to medical therapy. However, to date this procedure has been studied almost exclusively on patients with mild to moderate airflow obstruction. Therefore, it is difficult to discern its role in severe asthma patients from the existing literature. Our case series presents data on patients with severe asthma symptoms and significant airflow obstruction who have safely undergone BT in an experienced medical center with complete clinical support. Current literature does not report routine BT in patients with airflow obstruction more severe than what has been described in the largest studies, Asthma Intervention Research trials 1 and 2, which excluded asthma patients with a prebronchodilator FEV₁ of less than 60% of predicted (4–6). In the Research in Severe Asthma (RISA) trial, patients with more severe airflow obstruction were included (mean FEV₁ of 62.9% of predicted in the BT group). However, the RISA trial excluded patients with an FEV₁ less than 50% of predicted (3). In our case series, five of our eight patients had a prebronchodilator FEV₁ less than 50% of predicted with a mean FEV₁ of 37.4%. Our case series is the first to demonstrate that BT can be performed in patients with an FEV₁ below 50%. Further, patients were excluded from the AIR trials if they required oral corticosteroids (OCS) in excess of 10 mg/day (4,5). While the smaller RISA trial did include subjects on OCS doses of up to 30 mg/day, the mean OCS dose among the 8 of 15 subjects undergoing BT was only 14.4 mg/day (3). In contrast, in our series four patients required an average of 27.5 mg/d. Finally, patients in our series had an average of 2.9 asthma-related hospitalizations in the year prior to BT, whereas the BT group in the RISA trial had only 0.67 hospitalizations per patient (10 events for 15 subjects undergoing BT) (3).

No unexpected adverse events occurred. Similar to other BT trials (3,5), adverse events in the treatment period following BT in our series included wheezing, increased bronchodilator use, atelectasis, lower respiratory tract infection, and hemoptysis. Given that the patients in our series had been identified with a low baseline FEV₁, we elected a conservative approach in recommending overnight observation following BT when necessary. Patients in our series had more overnight observations compared to those included in the BT group of the RISA trial (11 events for 8 patients and 24 procedures vs. 7 events for 15 patients and 45 procedures) (3). However, no patient in the case series had an unexpected severe adverse event such as death or the requirement of ventilator support. Further, seven of the eight patients in our series did not have worsened airflow obstruction or an increase in hospitalization rates following BT.

We did not have sufficient data to show whether all the patients had significant improvements in quality of life or asthma control following BT in this study. Careful observation is required in larger series to determine whether BT in patients with severe airflow obstruction will lead to improvements in clinical course, improvement in asthma-free days, and reduction in hospitalizations similar to that seen in patients with milder degrees of airflow obstruction.

Conclusion

We present a case series of asthma patients with severe airflow obstruction and high hospitalization rates who have successfully completed BT without unexpected severe adverse events. With the proper expertise, BT may be a safe option for patients with severe, refractory asthma who have exhausted currently available medical therapy.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by NIH grant T32 HL07605.

References

1. Cox G, Miller JD, McWilliams A, Fitzgerald JM, Lam S. Bronchial thermoplasty for asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2006 May 1; 173(9):965–969. [PubMed: 16456145]
2. Cox G, Miller JD, McWilliams A, Fitzgerald JM, Lam S. Bronchial thermoplasty for asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2006; 173(9):965–969. Epub 2006/02/04. PubMed PMID: 16456145. [PubMed: 16456145]
3. Pavord ID, Cox G, Thomson NC, Rubin AS, Corris PA, Niven RM, Chung KF, Laviolette M. RISA Trial Study Group. Safety and efficacy of bronchial thermoplasty in symptomatic, severe asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2007; 176(12):1185–1191. Epub 2007/09/29. PubMed PMID: 17901415. [PubMed: 17901415]
4. Cox G, Thomson NC, Rubin AS, Niven RM, Corris PA, Siersted HC, Olivenstein R, Pavord ID, McCormack D, Chaudhuri R, Miller JD, Laviolette M. AIR Trial Study Group. Asthma control during the year after bronchial thermoplasty. *N Engl J Med.* 2007; 356(13):1327–1337. Epub 2007/03/30. PubMed PMID: 17392302. [PubMed: 17392302]
5. Castro M, Rubin AS, Laviolette M, Fiterman J, De Andrade Lima M, Shah PL, Fiss E, Olivenstein R, Thomson NC, Niven RM, Pavord ID, Simoff M, Duhamel DR, McEvoy C, Barbers R, Ten Hacken NH, Wechsler ME, Holmes M, Phillips MJ, Erzurum S, Lunn W, Israel E, Jarjour N, Kraft M, Shargill NS, Quiring J, Berry SM, Cox G. AIR2 Trial Study Group. Effectiveness and safety of bronchial thermoplasty in the treatment of severe asthma: a multi-center, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2010; 181(2):116–124. Epub 2009/10/10. PubMed PMID: 19815809; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3269231. [PubMed: 19815809]
6. Wahidi MM, Kraft M. Bronchial thermoplasty for severe asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2012; 185(7):709–714. Epub 2011/11/15. PubMed PMID: 22077066. [PubMed: 22077066]
7. Mahajan AK, Hogarth DK. Bronchial thermoplasty: A promising therapy, still in its infancy. *Cleve Clin J Med.* 2011; 78(7):475–476. Epub 2011/07/05. PubMed PMID: 21724931. [PubMed: 21724931]
8. Thomson NC, Rubin AS, Niven RM, Corris PA, Siersted HC, Olivenstein R, Pavord ID, McCormack D, Laviolette M, Shargill NS, Cox G. AIR Trial Study Group. Long-term (5 year) safety of bronchial thermoplasty: Asthma Intervention Research (AIR) trial. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2011; 11:8. Epub 2011/02/15. PubMed PMID: 21314924; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3045390. [PubMed: 21314924]
9. Castro M, Rubin A, Laviolette M, Hanania NA, Armstrong B, Cox G. Persistence of effectiveness of bronchial thermoplasty in patients with severe asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2011; 107(1):65–70. Epub 2011/06/28. PubMed PMID: 21704887.

10. Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3). Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of Asthma-Summary Report 2007. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2007; 120(5 Suppl):S94–S138. Epub 2007/12/06. PubMed PMID: 17983880. [PubMed: 17983880]
11. Haselkorn T, Fish JE, Zeiger RS, Szefer SJ, Miller DP, Chipps BE, Simons FE, Weiss ST, Wenzel SE, Borish L, Bleecker ER. TENOR Study Group. Consistently very poorly controlled asthma, as defined by the impairment domain of the Expert Panel Report 3 guidelines, increases risk for future severe asthma exacerbations in The Epidemiology and Natural History of Asthma: Outcomes and Treatment Regimens (TENOR) study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2009; 124(5):895–902. e1–e4. Epub 2009/10/09. PubMed PMID: 19811812. [PubMed: 19811812]
12. Sims EJ, Price D, Haughney J, Ryan D, Thomas M. Current control and future risk in asthma management. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* 2011; 3(4):217–225. Epub 2011/10/04. PubMed PMID: 21966601; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3178819. [PubMed: 21966601]
13. Jarjour NN, Erzurum SC, Bleecker ER, Calhoun WJ, Castro M, Comhair SA, Chung KF, Curran-Everett D, Dweik RA, Fain SB, Fitzpatrick AM, Gaston BM, Israel E, Hastie A, Hoffman EA, Holguin F, Levy BD, Meyers DA, Moore WC, Peters SP, Sorkness RL, Teague WG, Wenzel SE, Busse WW. NHLBI Severe Asthma Research Program (SARP). Severe asthma: lessons learned from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Severe Asthma Research Program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2012; 185(4):356–362. Epub 2011/11/19. PubMed PMID: 22095547; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3297096. [PubMed: 22095547]
14. Moore WC, Peters SP. Severe asthma: An overview. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2006; 117(3):487–494. quiz 95. Epub 2006/03/09. PubMed PMID: 16522445. [PubMed: 16522445]
15. Strek ME. Difficult asthma. *Proc Am Thorac Soc.* 2006; 3(1):116–123. Epub 2006/02/24. PubMed PMID: 16493159. [PubMed: 16493159]

Table 1

Patient characteristics prior to bronchial thermoplasty.

Characteristic	Mean \pm SEM
Age (years)	47 \pm 4.3
Female, <i>n</i>	4
Race, <i>n</i>	
White	5
African American	1
Other	2
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.0 \pm 2.3
Pre-BD FEV ₁ (% predicted)	51.8 \pm 8.6
Pre-BD FEV ₁ <50%, <i>n</i>	5
ICS dose ^a (mcg/day)	1000
Other medications, <i>n</i>	
LABA (100 mcg ^b)	8
OCS	4
Leukotriene modifiers	6
Omalizumab	2
Methylxanthines	2
Anticholinergics	3
OCS dose (mg/day)	27.5 \pm 4.8
SABA use (puffs/day)	6 \pm 0.8
Avg. night wakings (nights/week)	4.5 \pm 1.0
Hospitalizations last year (total no.)	23 \pm 1.2
eNO (ppb)	55 \pm 17.4
IgE (IU/mL)	155 \pm 8.7
Comorbid conditions, <i>n</i>	
GERD	5
Allergic rhinitis	5
OSA	
HTN	1
Diabetes	2
PAF	2
MAI	1

Notes:

^aFluticasone or equivalent.^bSalmeterol or equivalent.

Abbreviations: BD bronchodilator; LABA Long-acting bronchodilator; SABA Shortacting bronchodilator; eNO Exhaled nitric oxide; IgE Immunoglobulin E; GERD Gastroesophageal reflux disease; OSA obstructive sleep apnea; HTN Hypertension; PAF Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; MAI *Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare*.

Table 2

Complications from bronchial thermoplasty.

Overnight observations, <i>n</i>	
Total no. of events	11
Total no. of patients with an event	5
Cause, <i>n</i>	
Increased BD use	5
Wheezing	2
Lower respiratory tract infection	2
Atelectasis	1
Hemoptysis	1
Intubations, <i>n</i>	0
NIPPV, <i>n</i>	0
Deaths, <i>n</i>	0
