

Published in final edited form as:

Nitric Oxide. 2013 November 30; 0: . doi:10.1016/j.niox.2013.07.001.

Chemical Foundations of Hydrogen Sulfide Biology

Qian Li^{a,d,*} and Jack R. Lancaster Jr.^{a,b,c,d}

^aDepartment of Anesthesiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham

^bDepartment of Cell, Developmental, and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham

^cDepartment of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham

^dCenter for Free Radical Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Abstract

Following nitric oxide (nitrogen monoxide) and carbon monoxide, hydrogen sulfide (or its newer systematic name sulfane, H₂S) became the third small molecule that can be both toxic and beneficial depending on the concentration. In spite of its impressive therapeutic potential, the underlying mechanisms for its beneficial effects remain unclear. Any novel mechanism has to obey fundamental chemical principles. H₂S chemistry was studied long before its biological relevance was discovered, however, with a few exceptions, these past works have received relatively little attention in the path of exploring the mechanistic conundrum of H₂S biological functions. This review calls attention to the basic physical and chemical properties of H₂S, focuses on the chemistry between H₂S and its three potential biological targets: oxidants, metals and thiol derivatives, discusses the applications of these basics into H₂S biology and methodology, and introduces the standard terminology to this youthful field.

Keywords

hydrogen sulfide; sulfane; sulphydration; oxidants; metal; transsulfuration

1. Introduction

Hydrogen sulfide (or its newer systematic name sulfane [1], H₂S) had been conventionally considered as a toxic molecule until sixteen years ago when Abe and Kimura first suggested its physiological function in the nervous system [2]. In 2008, Yang et al. developed mice deficient in the H₂S generating enzyme cystathionine γ -lyase (CSE) and discovered the development of hypertension in these CSE knockouts [3]. Their study further confirmed the endogenous generation of H₂S and its physiological relevance. Since then, H₂S has been found to play a variety of roles in mammals ([4–8] and the accompanying review in this issue) and more intriguingly, is considered as the third “gasotransmitter”¹ after nitric oxide (nitrogen monoxide, *NO) and carbon monoxide [9–14]. In contrast to the tremendous number of reports on its potential therapeutic effects [13,15–17], the underlying mechanisms

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


*Corresponding Author. Address: Department of Anesthesiology and Center for Free Radical Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 901 19th St. S., Birmingham, AL 35294, +1 205-975-9636 FAX: +1 205-934-7437, qianli@uab.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

are poorly understood. H₂S biochemistry has been reviewed, suggesting mechanisms including reducing oxidative stress and protein post-translational modification [18–20]. However, the chemistry defining the interactions between H₂S and its direct targets has been largely overlooked. Here we provide an overview of H₂S chemistry that is biologically relevant but has been studied mostly from other aspects, and discuss applications in H₂S biochemistry and biology. Since there has recently been interest in the similarities and interactions between H₂S and •NO biology [21–27], we categorize H₂S chemistry based on the three potential targets that H₂S may share with •NO, oxidants, metals and thiol (RSH) derivatives. The goal is to reemphasize the importance of basic chemistry on the road of biological adventures.

2. Basic physical and chemical properties

Under ambient temperature and pressure, H₂S is a colorless gas with an odor of rotten eggs. It is flammable and poisonous in high concentrations. Acute exposure to 500 ppm can cause death [28]. In this regard, caution should be used for handling [29]. H₂S is soluble in water, its solubility has been reported to be about 80 mM at 37 °C [19], 100 mM in water at 25 °C [30], 122 mM in water at 20 °C [31] and up to ~ 117 mM (condition unspecified) [17]. The differences are apparently due to the experimental conditions including pressure, temperature and the composition of the solution. On the other hand, aqueous H₂S is volatile. In other words, H₂S always equilibrates between the gas phase and the aqueous phase (first equilibrium of eq. 1). Its properties of gas-aqueous distribution including Henry's Law coefficient have been studied [32]. H₂S is lipophilic [14,31] and can diffuse through membranes without facilitation of membrane channels (lipid bilayer permeability $P_M = 0.5 \pm 0.4$ cm/s) [33].



H₂S is a weak acid, it equilibrates with its anions HS⁻ and S²⁻ in aqueous solution (second and third equilibria of eq. 1). Its pKa values appear frequently in publications, particularly review articles, however, the original research reports are rarely cited. Here are mentioned a few good sources. A survey of publications prior to 1970 showed that the reported pKa₁ values varied from 6.97 to 7.06 at 25 °C, and pKa₂ from 12.35 to 15 [34]. Based on that survey the pKa₁ value of 7.02 was suggested [35]. Thereafter, a similar range of pKa₂ values (12.20~15.00 at 25 °C) has been reported [36], whereas higher values (17.1 ± 0.2 at room temperature [37], > 17.3 ± 0.1 at 25 °C [38], 19 at 25 °C [39] and 19 ± 2 [40]) have also been reported. Assuming a pKa₁ value of 7, it can be calculated that 28% of the total hydrogen sulfide in a pH 7.4 solution exists as H₂S, whereas 72% is in the form of HS⁻. The high pKa₂ value indicates that S²⁻ is negligible in the solution. The pKa value of a compound depends on conditions including temperature and the solution composition. Millero and Hershey reviewed both thermodynamics and kinetics studies on aqueous H₂S, and derived equations for the calculation of both pKa and the solubility of H₂S under certain pressure, temperature and composition of the solution [41,42]. Using precise pKa values under the exact experimental conditions is important for the calculation of H₂S concentration. It has been shown that at physiological pH the concentration of H₂S (or H₂S_(aq)) at 20 °C (pKa₁ 6.98) can be twice as much as that at 37 °C (pKa₁ 6.76) (Figure 3 in [29]).

¹A note of terminology, the definition of a “gas” is a substance possessing perfect molecular mobility and the property of indefinite expansion to fill the available space. This is true of each of these substances in the pure state under standard conditions but obviously does not accurately describe the physical properties of these substances (as well as O₂ and CO₂) in virtually all of their biological actions which are more appropriately described as dissolved nonelectrolytes.

Practically, the three equilibria in eq. 1 represent the real dynamics of the H₂S solution. One can easily predict that in an open system, according to Le Châtelier's Principle the equilibria will continuously shift to the left, in the direction of forming H₂S_(aq) which then escapes from solution. It has been reported that half of H₂S can be lost from solution in five minutes in cell culture wells, three minutes in a bubbled tissue bath and an even shorter time in the Langendorff heart apparatus [43]. This fact should be taken into consideration for the actual H₂S concentration in an experimental system containing headspace, which has been utilized in most of the studies on H₂S. This may also explain to some extent the remarkable variations in the reported H₂S concentrations in tissues and plasma [44–46]. Moreover, one should also be aware that based on eq. 1, the leftward equilibrium shift could cause not only a tremendous decrease in H₂S concentration, but also a considerable increase of the solution pH. Eq. 1 is also the basis of the application of H₂S gas or inorganic metallic sulfide such as sodium sulfide (Na₂S) and sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS) as H₂S sources in solution. Caution should be taken since an unbuffered stock solution from H₂S gas tends to be acidic, whereas that from metallic sulfide is basic (eq. 1). In the following discussion, unless specified we use H₂S to indicate all three species H₂S, HS⁻ and S²⁻.

The bond dissociation energy of H₂S is 90 kcal/mol [18], essentially the same as the S-H bond in thiols (92.0 ± 1.0 kcal/mol [47]). The element sulfur can exist in molecules with a broad range of formal oxidation states including -2 as in H₂S, 0 as in elemental sulfur (S₈), +2 as in sulfur monoxide (SO), +4 as in sulfite (SO₃²⁻) and +6 as in sulfate (SO₄²⁻). With the lowest oxidation state of -2, the sulfur in H₂S can only be oxidized. Therefore, H₂S is a reductant. The standard reduction potential under the biochemistry convention (pH = 7 and E°(H⁺/H₂) = -0.421 V) E°(S⁰/H₂S) is -0.23 V [48] (E°(S⁰/HS⁻) = -0.270 V in [49]), which is comparable to the reduction potential under the biochemistry convention of glutathione disulfide / glutathione E (GSSG/GSH) at 40 °C, -0.24 V [50], and E°(cystine/cysteine), -0.340 V [48].² H₂S reduces aromatic azide [52–55] and nitro groups [54] to amine, which is the basis of new fluorescent methods for H₂S detection [52–55].

Like thiolate (RS⁻), HS⁻ is also a nucleophile [56,57] (see 5.I). Its nucleophilic reactions with 5,5-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) [58], N-ethylmaleimide [58], parachloromercuribenzoate [58], 2,2-dipyridyl disulfide [59] and monobromobimane [45,60,61] have been utilized for H₂S detection. Also based on its nucleophilic property, classes of fluorescent probes for H₂S have been recently developed [62–65]. H₂S detoxifies the electrophile methylmercury (MeHg⁺) very likely through a direct reaction which produces a less toxic compound (MeHg)₂S [66]. Two intriguing reports have appeared involving nucleophilic attack of postulated signaling molecules by H₂S. First, through a nucleophilic displacement reaction, H₂S modifies a variety of electrophiles (represented by 8-nitroguanosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (8-nitro-cGMP)) involved in redox signaling, then consequently regulates these signaling pathways [67]. Second, Filipovic et al. reported a transnitrosation from nitrosothiol (RSNO) to H₂S forming the smallest nitrosothiol, thionitrous acid (HSNO/SNO) [68]. HSNO/SNO then potentially transfers nitroso group or donates •NO or nitroxyl (HNO/NO⁻) to initiate consequent signaling [68].

As will be seen below, the reductive and nucleophilic properties of H₂S are likely the most predominant aspects of H₂S biochemistry, both of which can contribute to its physiological actions. In the following, we categorize and discuss its reactions based on the postulated biological targets of H₂S, oxidants, metals and thiol derivatives.

²A value of +0.17 V for the reduction potential of S⁰/HS⁻ has been used to compare to -0.25 V for the reduction potential of GSH and cysteine [19,51], however, it is very likely that the former is relative to the H⁺/H₂ standard under the convention of physical chemistry (pH = 0 and E°(H⁺/H₂) = 0 V) whereas the latter is relative to E°(H⁺/H₂) of -0.421 V [48].

3. Terminology

One molecular mechanism that has been proposed for H₂S as a gasotransmitter is the posttranslational modification of protein cysteine residues forming persulfide (RSSH) [12,69,70]. This process has been called “sulfhydration”, although, as has been pointed out, this terminology does not follow the rule of chemical nomenclature [71]. Persulfide contains so called “sulfane sulfur”. In the path of exploring the mechanisms of the biological functions of H₂S, the involvement of “sulfane sulfur” has attracted more and more attention [51]. Here we briefly introduce these terms since they will appear frequently in this review.

Carrying six valence electrons, zero valence sulfur never exists by itself, it can attach to other sulfur(s) forming compounds historically called “sulfanes”. This sulfur-bonded sulfur called “sulfane sulfur” is labile, can be transferred between sulfur-containing structures [20,60,72–74]. According to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), sulfanes include polysulfides, hydropolysulfides and polysulfanes [1]. Polysulfides are compounds RS_nR, where S_n is a chain of sulfur atoms (n ≥ 2) and R = H [1]. When one R = H, they are called hydropolysulfides (RS_nH), whereas both R = H called polysulfanes (HS_nH) [1]. However, the use of the term “sulfane” is discouraged to avoid confusion, since “sulfane” is actually the newer systematic name for H₂S [1]. Here we adapt IUPAC names, for example, hydrodisulfides instead of persulfides or perthiols. For “sulfane sulfur”, our focus here is its property of being transferred between sulfur-containing structures as zero valence sulfur (see 6.3 for the mechanism), therefore, we adopt S⁰ that has previously been used by Toohey [51] to represent it.

There are a variety of S⁰-containing compounds [75]. For example, S₈ (forming a ring structure), thiosulfate (S₂O₃²⁻), polysulfanes, hydropolysulfides and certain polysulfides (RS_nR when n > 2) [75]. The sulfur in disulfides (RSSR) can also be activated by a double-bonded carbon adjacent to the sulfur-bonded carbon [75]. A typical example is the classic garlic compound diallyldisulfide (DADS) (see 6.3 and eq. 15). S⁰-containing compounds are widely distributed in nature. Polysulfides are present in a variety of natural products, in particular, they constitute major active components of garlic [76,77]. The sulfur chain also exists in proteins. Rhodanese hydrodisulfide has been crystallized and its crystal structure has been studied at different resolutions [78–82]. Hexasulfide has been found in a rhodanese-like enzyme in bacteria [83]. Recently, a hepta-sulfur bridge was characterized in recombinant human CuZn-superoxide dismutase (CuZn-SOD) [84]. S⁰ tends to be formed specifically at the “rhodanese homology domain” [85–88] in proteins [51,75]. It is involved in the regulation of the activity of numerous enzymes [89–105]. Combining its special labile property, it is believed to play important roles in biological systems [75,106–109]. It has recently been reported that polysulfide may be a H₂S-derived signaling molecule [110].

4. H₂S Reaction with oxidants

It has been shown that H₂S can be cytoprotective against oxidative stress [111–118]. H₂S inhibits the cytotoxicity induced by either peroxynitrite (ONOOH/ONOO⁻) [119] or hypochlorite (HOCl⁻/OCl⁻) [120] in SH-SY5Y cells, and the protective effect is comparable to that of GSH. H₂S can be converted to sulfite by activated neutrophils. The conversion depends on NADPH oxidase activity and is inhibited by ascorbic acid, indicating the involvement of oxidants [121]. Direct scavenging of oxidants as an antioxidant has been suggested as a mechanism for H₂S protection. As a reductant, H₂S reacts with oxidants. Although, its nucleophilic properties largely contribute to its reactivity as mentioned above. H₂S reactions with oxygen (O₂) [41,122–127], hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) [128–130] and HOCl⁻/OCl⁻ [128,129] have been extensively studied in environmental solutions. Here we

focus more on those studies performed in laboratory solutions, especially those under biological relevant conditions.

4.1 With O₂

H₂S reaction with O₂ (autoxidation) generates polysulfanes, sulfite, thiolsulfate and sulfate as the intermediates and products, although the mechanisms remain undefined due to their complexity [35,131,132]. The thermodynamics and the kinetics of the reaction have been briefly reviewed [133]. Chen et al. concluded that the reaction is too slow overall to be biologically relevant [35]. However, metals [123,126,127,134–139] (also see 5.2.2) and other biological substances such as phenols and aldehydes [134] can accelerate the reaction. Indeed, it has been known since 1958 that certain metalloprotein complexes (including ferritin) can catalyze H₂S oxidation [140]. Staško et al. studied the reaction of H₂S with two relatively stable radicals 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH•) and 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical cation (ABTS^{•+}) (in the absence of a metal chelator), and found that O₂ played a dominant role in these reactions [141]. They further investigated H₂S autoxidation using spin trapping and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and suggested that the one-electron transfer forming sulfhydryl radicals (HS[•]/S^{•-}) was one of the primary steps during the reaction [141]. Recently, Hughes et al. showed that the metal chelator diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) prevented the disappearance of H₂S under aerobic conditions reemphasizing the catalytic effect of transition metals on H₂S autoxidation [29]. Microbes enhance the reaction by three or more orders of magnitude [133] via enzyme systems such as sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase (SQR) [142,143]. In mammalian cells, H₂S autoxidation is catalyzed by mitochondrial enzymes (including SQR) generating the same intermediates and products as that in the test tube: S⁰ as in enzyme hydrodisulfide (also see 6.1); sulfite; thiolsulfate (also see 6.3) and sulfate [19,144,145]. This rapid enzymatic process has been suggested to be the mechanism of H₂S-regulated oxygen sensing [146–149].

Practically, H₂S autoxidation should be taken into consideration during the preparation of the H₂S stock solution. Deoxygenation and addition of a metal chelator are suggested to avoid contamination from H₂S autoxidation, particularly the bioactive product S⁰. Toohey believes that S⁰ actually presents inevitably in an H₂S solution, and even the crystal Na₂S·9H₂O exposed to air is coated with S⁰ [51]. On the other hand, anhydrous Na₂S from Alfa Aesar (Cat. No. 65122) is found to remain pure for several months in a vacuum desiccator [29,45]. Methylene blue also catalyzes H₂S autoxidation and the mechanism involves H₂O₂ as an intermediate (see 4.3) [150,151]. This might at least in part explain the unreliability of the methylene blue method for the measurement of H₂S concentration [29,45,152].

4.2 With superoxide (O₂^{•-})

The apparent second order rate constant for the reaction of H₂S and O₂^{•-} has been determined as different values (Table 1) [153,154]. The difference was explained to be the result of different methods (cytochrome c [154] vs. epinephrine [153]) used to measure the O₂^{•-} concentration [154]. The mechanism was not examined in either of these studies.


4.3 With H₂O₂

The reaction of H₂S with H₂O₂ was utilized more than a century ago to quantitate chemicals including H₂S and metallic sulfide [161]. It is an interesting reaction because the pH of the reaction mixture oscillates between acid and base as the reaction proceeds [162,163]. Although the reaction mechanism is still not clear [151,156,161,164–167], the reported rate constants are similar (Table 1) [151,154,156]. Among these studies, Hoffmann's work [156] deserves to be mentioned because the reaction solution was buffered, metal chelator was


added (to avoid the catalytic effect of ferric iron), and the mechanism was examined [167]. This study proposed the rate law of the reaction –

$$d[H_2S]/dt = k_1[H_2S][H_2O_2] + k_2K_{a1}[H_2S][H_2O_2]/[H^+],$$

where $k_1 = 0.008 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_2 = 0.483 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and K_{a1} is the first dissociation constant of H_2S [156]. Polysulfanes were also found as intermediates, which can be formed following the nucleophilic attack of HS^- on H_2O_2 (eqs. 2 and 3 when $X = OH$) [156]. Demonstration of the direct reaction of H_2S with either H_2O_2 or $O_2^{\bullet-}$ has been attempted in a buffered solution [168] and in myocardial mitochondria [169]. There are some caveats in their studies. First, as a general problem for all of these chemiluminescent probes, luminol is not a specific indicator for H_2O_2 , and lucigenin is not a specific indicator for $O_2^{\bullet-}$ [170]. Another misunderstanding that is also very common is to use the xanthine oxidase / (hypo)xanthine system as a positive control for $O_2^{\bullet-}$ generation, which actually produces much more H_2O_2 than $O_2^{\bullet-}$ under most conditions [171,172]. In addition, the control experiments for the effects of H_2S alone on these assays are very important due to the complexity of the reactions, and are not mentioned in the reports [168,169]. Similar problems apply to another report claiming that H_2S directly scavenges H_2O_2 as measured by ferrous oxidation – xylenol orange (FOX) assay [173].



(2)





4.4 With HOCl/⁻OCl

It has been shown that H_2S scavenges HOCl/⁻OCl and its common derivative taurine chloramine as measured by 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) oxidation [173]. The same problem as mentioned in 4.3 is that the control of the H_2S effect on the assay is not reported, although the authors did mention that higher concentrations of H_2S can reduce the product of TMB oxidation [173]. Nagy and Winterbourn found that the overall reaction of H_2S with HOCl/⁻OCl is extremely fast with an apparent second order rate constant of $2 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH 7.4 (Table 1). HOCl is more reactive than ⁻OCl, which is consistent with the possible mechanism that nucleophilic displacement by H_2S is the rate limiting step (eq. 2 when $X = Cl$) [157]. In spite of the fact that the direct scavenging of HOCl/⁻OCl by H_2S is almost diffusion limited, it is still less relevant to the protective effect of H_2S *in vivo* because of its low concentration compared to other antioxidants [157]. However, S^0 is produced during the reaction (eq. 3 when $X = Cl$), which has the potential to mediate signaling pathway(s) for the protection [157].

4.5 With ONOOH/ONOO⁻

Carballal et al. performed a broad study on H_2S reactions with oxidants including H_2O_2 , HOCl/⁻OCl, and particularly ONOOH/ONOO⁻ and its downstream intermediates ([•]OH, [•]NO₂ and CO₃^{•-}) [155]. The rate constants included in their study are summarized in Table 1. Similar to the proposed mechanisms for the reaction of H_2S with H_2O_2 and

HOCl/OC1, they suggest that the reaction of H₂S with ONOOH/ONOO⁻ involves an initial nucleophilic attack on ONOOH/ONOO⁻ by H₂S (eq. 4) and then downstream steps involving S⁰ formation (eq. 3 when X = OH). Although H₂S has comparable reactivity as the classic antioxidants cysteine and GSH, the direct scavenging of oxidants is unlikely to contribute to its antioxidant activity due to its relatively lower concentration *in vivo* [155]. This is in agreement with Nagy and Winterbourn's conclusion as discussed in 4.4 [157]. Theoretical studies suggest that the concerted two-electron oxidation of H₂S by peroxyntrous acid (ONOOH) is energetically feasible based on the calculated activation energy of 17.8 kcal/mol [174]. Filipovic et al. reported a slightly higher rate constant for H₂S reaction with ONOOH/ONOO⁻ ((3.3 ± 0.4) × 10³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 23 °C and (8 ± 2) × 10³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 37 °C, Table 1), but declared a different mechanism from the multi-step mechanism that is well accepted for thiols and proposed by Carballal et al. for H₂S [158]. Interestingly, they proposed an associative mechanism that is consistent with the theoretical prediction (eq. 5) and identified sulfinyl nitrite (HS(O)NO) as the major product, which can consequently generate •NO (eq.6) [158].



4.6 With •NO

There has been more and more attention paid to the “cross talk” between H₂S and •NO [21–27,175]. The direct reaction between the two has been investigated primarily by two groups, Moore's and Bian's.

Moore's group suggested nitrosothiol formation from the reaction [176–179]. However, as has been pointed out by King [180], the direct reaction between H₂S and •NO requires oxidation, same as the putative reaction of thiol with •NO forming S-nitrosothiol [181]. Experimentally, Moore et al. provide evidence for nitrosothiol formation from the specific reaction of mercury chloride (HgCl₂) with nitrosothiol and the consequent measurement of nitrite and •NO formation [178], however, detailed interpretations are not provided. Here we present a few major concerns. First, sodium nitroprusside (SNP) was used as an •NO donor, which actually can directly react with H₂S [182] through a mechanism that is still in debate [183,184]. This problem has also been brought to light by King [180]. In addition, 3-morpholinodimethylsulfonium (SIN-1) was also used as an •NO donor, which actually produces •NO and O₂^{-•} simultaneously [185] and consequently ONOOH/ONOO⁻ [186] and other species [187]. Second, the nitrite formation from donors SIN-1, 3-bromo-3,4,4-trimethyl-3,4-dihydrodiazete 1,2-dioxide (DD1) and (Z)-1-[2-(2-aminoethyl)-N-(2-

ammonioethyl]amino]diazen-1-ium-1,2-diolate (DETA NONOate) were not inhibited by H₂S. In the case of SIN-1 and DD1, the addition of HgCl₂ reversed the nitrite formation to a level even higher than the control (donor alone). In spite of the fact that HgCl₂ reacts with nitrosothiol producing nitrosonium (NO⁺) instead of •NO [188,189], a tremendous increase of •NO generation upon HgCl₂ addition was detected by the •NO electrode, which is not consistent with their nitrite measurement. Third, *N*-[4-[1-(3-aminopropyl)-2-hydroxy-2-nitrosohydrazino]butyl]-1,3-propanediamine (SPER NONOate) by itself will not generate an EPR signal (Fig. 3A in their publication), a spin trap must have been used, but it was not stated in the report. Lastly, the direct reaction between HgCl₂ (an electrophile) and H₂S (a nucleophile) should be considered. As mentioned above, H₂S reacts with parachloromercuribenzoate [58], and potentially with MeHg⁺ [66].

Bian's group suggested the nitroxyl (HNO/NO⁻) formation from the reaction of H₂S and •NO, which is simply based on the similar result obtained using Angeli's salt, an HNO donor [190]. However, in their later report, HNO/NO⁻ was not mentioned specifically and "a new biological mediator" was suggested instead [191].

4.7 With lipid hydroperoxide (LOOH)

Jeney et al. found that H₂S delayed product accumulation from lipid peroxidation induced by hemin [192]. By showing that one type of the peroxidation products, LOOH, decreases in the oxidized lipids after H₂S treatment, which correlates with the decrease in cytotoxicity of these oxidized lipids, it was hypothesized that the direct reaction of H₂S and LOOH could be a potential mechanism for H₂S cytoprotection. Muellner et al. also found that H₂S could diminish LOOH formed from Cu²⁺-initiated lipid peroxidation [193]. Relative to their data obtained by FOX assay (also used by Jeney et al.), their high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) measurement of both (9*S*)-hydroperoxy-(10*E*,12*Z*)-octadecadienoic acid (a LOOH) and its reduced product (9*S*)-hydroxy-(10*E*,12*Z*)-octadecadienoic acid provided more convincing evidence for the direct reaction between H₂S and LOOH. Studies on the kinetics and the mechanisms of H₂S reactions with reactive lipids are needed.

4.8 With other oxidants

It has also been reported that H₂S can scavenge the triplet state of riboflavin and radicals of tyrosine and tryptophan generated by photolysis, and can therefore protect the lysozyme from damage [194]. H₂S also has the potential to react with nitrated fatty acid, an electrophile, which is another •NO-derived signaling molecule [195]. However, studies on the chemical reactions and mechanisms are needed.

5. H₂S Reaction with metals

5.1 With inorganic iron: chemical concepts

The chemical interactions of sulfur species and metals fall into two basic categories, oxidation/reduction and ligation. In oxidation/reduction, complete electron transfer occurs between the sulfur species and the metal, while ligation (binding of the sulfur species to the metal) involves the formation of what is referred to in inorganic chemistry as a coordinate complex. Both of these interactions are predicted by the chemical properties of sulfur-containing molecules as nucleophiles.

The common definition of acids and bases is that an acid is a proton donor and a base is a proton acceptor. In 1923 Gilbert N. Lewis (University of California Berkeley) proposed a more general (and thus more useful) definition, that an acid is an electron pair acceptor and a base is an electron pair donor [196]. In 1929 Christopher K. Ingold (University of Leeds)

introduced the terms nucleophile and electrophile to denote species that act by either donating (nucleophile) or accepting (electrophile) their electrons [197]. A further nuance is the current notion that a nucleophile is a species that is “electron rich” and thus exhibits affinity for species that are “electron poor” (electrophile).

Transition metal ions are positively charged (many times with multiple charges) and thus are electrophiles. In pure aqueous solution metal ions such as iron ($\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$) do not exist “free” but attract and organize water molecules around them in specific geometries. Water is a relatively weak nucleophile so it is displaced by others that are stronger. Sulfur-containing molecules, including H_2S , are strong nucleophiles and will bind to iron in aqueous solution. Thus, when H_2S is added it will displace the water bound to the iron. If H_2S is the only nucleophile, the resulting binding to the iron results in an insoluble precipitate. Undoubtedly the insolubility of metal sulfides is their most industrially important general chemical property, which has been exploited for many uses, including methods of analysis of metals and metal mixtures [198]. The structures that are formed when nucleophilic ligands (the term for the nucleophiles that bind in specific geometric positions around the central metal ion) bind noncovalently to a metal ion are called complexes.

5.2 With biological iron

5.2.1 With heme iron

Cytochrome c oxidase: By far the most studied hemoprotein for H_2S interaction is mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase (CcO) [199]. The inhibition of this enzyme is generally believed to be the basis of the toxicity of H_2S exposure, which is second only to cyanide for work-related gaseous fatalities [200]. However, rather than toxicity it has been shown that administration of H_2S to mice results in a suspended animation-like state which appears attributable to the inhibition of respiration via cytochrome oxidase [201], as described in the accompanying review in this issue.

Interaction between CcO and H_2S was first described by Keilin in 1929 [202] and has been studied by several investigators (although virtually all studies have been done under nonphysiological conditions of high H_2S concentrations and sometimes long incubation times). H_2S interacts with CcO through the O_2 -binding copper (Cu_B) / heme (a_3) iron binuclear site in the oxidized state ($\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$) [199]. H_2S both binds to and reduces CcO [203], which may be key to its salutatory, as opposed to toxic, activities even though comparable respiratory inhibition with other “pure” inhibitors causes death [199,201,204].

Small molecule sensor hemoproteins: Studies over the past couple of decades have revealed that nature has evolved an array of hemoprotein sensors that are specific for small diatomic nonelectrolytes (O_2 , NO , CO) [205–208]. The phenomena that are responsible for the remarkable specificity of each of these sensors for their cognate ligands are multiple and illustrate the critical importance of the protein structure, both surrounding the heme group and also pathways in the protein that provide access of the ligand to the heme pocket. These phenomena include heme pocket polarity, distal ligand(s), cavities around the heme, and strength of proximal histidine-iron bonding. The “fine tuning” of hemoproteins to induce ligand-specific interactions is elegantly illustrated with H_2S as ligand by studies with a mollusk/bacterial symbiosis [209–212]. In this relationship, cytoplasmic hemoglobins (reaching concentrations of 1.5 mM) in the gills of the clam host deliver O_2 and H_2S to the colonizing chemoautotrophic bacteria that utilize the H_2S metabolically to provide nutrients for the host.

Hemoglobin/Myoglobin and other hemoproteins: It has been known for many years that H_2S forms a tight complex to methemoglobin [213], and induced methemoglobinemia exerts

protection against H₂S toxicity *in vivo* [214]. By far the best known interaction of H₂S with hemoglobin or myoglobin is in the presence of O₂ or H₂O₂ to generate the species sulfhemoglobin or sulfmyoglobin, which is a covalent heme modification generating an intensely green color that is diagnostic of H₂S poisoning [215]. The mechanism of this reaction has been proposed to involve the formation of a ternary complex of H₂S, ferryl (or peroxy) heme, and a distal histidine [216]. The relevance of this toxicological phenomenon (or the comparable derivatives of other hemoproteins such as catalase [217] and lactoperoxidase [218]) to the biological signaling aspects of H₂S is unclear.

5.2.2 With nonheme iron

Iron-sulfur clusters: In 1960, Beinert and Sands reported the appearance of a unique low-temperature EPR signal upon reduction of preparations of mitochondrial succinic and NADH dehydrogenase [219]. It is now known that this and related signals are due to the ubiquitous presence of iron-sulfur centers, protein-bound complexes of iron and sulfur [220]. The most abundant structures (distributed throughout all three biological kingdoms) possess the iron / sulfur stoichiometry Fe₂S₂ or Fe₄S₄ with each iron of approximately tetrahedral coordination with two sulfur and two protein-contributed (usually cysteine thiol) ligands. For much of the time since their discovery, it has been generally accepted that the function of these clusters is a carrier of electrons and in fact these centers are the most abundant electron carrier in the mitochondrion, outnumbering all other electron carriers (hemes, flavins). It is now known that these unique protein components serve a remarkable variety of biological functions in addition to electron transfer, principally as sensors for oxidative stress and also for cellular iron homeostasis [221].

As noted in 5.1, iron forms mostly insoluble precipitates with H₂S forming a vast array of both regular and irregular structures. In the cell, however, an extensive machinery has evolved for the formation and incorporation of specific iron-sulfur centers into proteins. It has been shown that the sulfur in iron-sulfur centers originates from cysteine thiol and is transferred as S⁰ bound to the sulfurtransferase component in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic systems [222].

Chelatable or labile iron pool: The formation of insoluble precipitate with added H₂S has been used as early as 1850 to visualize tissue iron [223]. This suggests that in cells H₂S could function biologically to mask the chelatable or labile iron pool and prevent formation of highly reactive oxygen species and thus contribute to its salutatory function in a variety of pathologies involving disturbances in O₂, a possibility for which there is indeed evidence [224]. However, as mentioned in 4.1, metal catalyzes H₂S autoxidation that causes reactive oxygen species formation and consequent oxidative damage to cellular components (including DNA) [139].

5.3 With other cellular transition metals

The only reported reaction of H₂S with a copper-containing protein (with the exception of CcO, see 5.2.1) is CuZn-SOD, where the reaction involves copper-catalyzed reduction of O₂^{•-} to H₂O₂ and oxidation of H₂S to S⁰ [154]. This process may be functionally important in terms of modulation of cellular signaling from reactive oxygen species.

6. H₂S Reaction with thiol derivatives (or thiol reaction with oxidized H₂S)

Although still being questioned [225–227], S-nitrosation of protein thiols has been proposed to be a cGMP-independent mechanism for *NO signaling [228,229]. Analogously, it has been suggested that H₂S can mediate signaling through so called “sulfhydration” of protein cysteine residues forming hydrodisulfides [12,69,70,230–233]. The same mechanism has


been postulated for H₂S neurotoxicity [234]. However, it is important to realize that H₂S does not directly react with thiol. As discussed above, H₂S is a reductant, it will not react with another reductant such as thiol. Also, both HS⁻ and RS⁻ are nucleophiles, therefore will not react with each other.

Similar to •NO reaction with thiol forming S-nitrosothiol (eq. 7) [181], H₂S reaction with thiol forming hydrodisulfide needs oxidation (eq. 8). *N*-acetylcysteine (NAC) in combination with metronidazole is effective in ethylmalonic encephalopathy [235]. The proposed mechanism for the effectiveness of NAC is the increase of GSH production that in turn detoxifies H₂S to GSH hydrodisulfide (GSSH), which is catalyzed by SQR [235]. As pointed out by the authors, the electron of the apparent reaction of GSH and H₂S forming GSSH (an oxidation) is transferred to coenzyme Q and therefore coupled to the mitochondrial respiratory chain [235]. Some S⁰-containing compounds including hydrodisulfides have been chemically synthesized [236–238], we here focus on the direct reactions between H₂S and thiol derivatives, or thiol and oxidized H₂S, that can possibly form hydrodisulfide under biological conditions. For each type of reaction, we summarize the initial studies on the test tube chemistry, then those biologically relevant studies, and eventually list their speculated occurrences in almost every aspect of H₂S biology and methodology.



6.1 H₂S reduces oxidized thiol, disulfide

The mechanism is most likely to be a nucleophilic displacement as shown in eq. 9, which is analogous to the disulfide-thiol exchange reaction.



(9)

Under alkaline conditions, cystine reacts with Na₂S forming hydrodisulfide that is characterized by its maximal absorption at 335 nm [239]. The reaction is very reversible, the rate constants for the reaction and the reverse reaction were determined as $3.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $5.5 \pm 0.6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ respectively at 25 °C, pH 10.0 and ionic strength 0.17 M [240]. A mixture of lipoate (the oxidized disulfide form of dihydrolipoate) and Na₂S in 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) also produces hydrodisulfide as measured by an absorption peak at 335 – 340 nm [241]. This reaction was also suggested by Schneider et al. when they studied the hydrodisulfide formation from disulfides alone (see 6.2) [242]. Cavallini et al. studied the interaction of proteins with H₂S in 0.01 M NaOH [243]. Disulfide-containing proteins including insulin, bovine serum albumin (BSA), ribonuclease, chymotrypsinogen A and ovalbumin all react with H₂S to form hydrodisulfide. The apparent second order rate constants at 25°C were reported as $2.2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ for cystamine, $0.35 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ for cystine (lower than that from [240]), $2.3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ for denatured BSA and $4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ for denatured insulin respectively. The reactions also occur at lower pH between 8 and 9. However, proteins that do not contain cysteine or intramolecular disulfide such as gelatin


and casein do not react. The accessibility of the protein disulfide bonds to H₂S was found to be important for hydrodisulfide formation.

More recently, cysteine was detected from the reduction of cystine by NaHS in culture medium, the hydrodisulfide formation was not studied [115]. In spite of the importance of GSH/GSSG in maintaining the redox balance in biological systems, reaction 9 has not been tested for GSSG until recently. Francoleon et al. studied the reaction of GSSG and H₂S under physiologically relevant conditions, and observed GSSH formation by different methods [95]. Reaction 9 may also occur physiologically in disulfide-containing proteins [19] and may be catalyzed by enzymes. The molecular mechanism of the stimulation of ATP-sensitive potassium ion (K_{ATP}) channels by H₂S has been suggested to involve the interaction of H₂S with the disulfide possibly formed between the two vicinal cysteines in the extracellular loop of the channels [244]. Within the three sequential enzymes that catalyze H₂S autoxidation in mitochondria, SQR catalyzes the first step, H₂S oxidation to S⁰. This enzymatic reaction is initiated by the reaction of H₂S with SQR disulfide forming SQR hydrodisulfide [144].

As mentioned above, reaction 9 is reversible. The reverse reaction is the key step in the proposed mechanism for the endogenous generation of H₂S from garlic [245]. It may also be involved in the endogenous generation of H₂S via 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase (3MST) and cysteine transaminase [246]. Thioredoxin or dihydrolipoate is required for 3MST to produce H₂S, therefore, a mechanism of dithiol reaction with 3MST hydrodisulfide (through transsulfuration from 3-mercaptopyruvate (3MP) to 3MST cysteine thiol as described in 6.3) producing an inner disulfide and H₂S has been proposed [246]. The reverse reaction has also been applied to measure S⁰ using dithiothreitol (DTT) as the reductant [73,234,247–252].

6.2 Disulfide alone in the absence of H₂S

Disulfide itself can be converted to hydrodisulfide in the absence of H₂S. Incubation of insulin in 0.5 M NaOH showed a maximal spectral change at 370 nm indicating hydrodisulfide formation [242]. Among postulated mechanisms [253–256], Tarbell and Harnish first suggested the mechanism that OH⁻ abstracts a proton from the carbon of the sulfur atom followed by β -elimination and hydrodisulfide formation (eq. 10) [257]. Schneider et al. studied the structural effect of the disulfides (by using cystine and its derivatives, GSSG and insulin therefore changing the substitutes X and Y in eq. 10) on hydrodisulfide formation, and their results supported the elimination mechanism [242].



(10)


Reaction 10 does occur under physiological pH with the assistance of pyridoxal or pyridoxal phosphate, and has been applied to generate hydrodisulfide under physiologically relevant conditions [105,258]. A similar elimination mechanism (through Schiff base formation with pyridoxal or pyridoxal phosphate) has been suggested for cystine (CysSSCys) desulfuration by cystathionase at physiological pH (eq. 11) [258–261].




The product cysteine hydrodisulfide (CysSSH) then transfers S^0 to other thiols (also see 6.3). This is thought to be the mechanism of the activity alteration of some enzymes by cystathionase/cystine [96,262–266]. It is worth mentioning that in order for cysteine instead of cystine to inhibit tyrosine aminotransferase in the presence of cystathionase, a cysteine oxidase is required [267]. This once again emphasizes the fact that for a thiol to form hydrodisulfide, an oxidation is needed. Certain garlic derived disulfides can also be the substrate of cystathionase producing hydrodisulfides [268], and the same mechanism is considered to be responsible for the therapeutic effects of garlic [269]. Further, more enzymes are found possessing the same activity as cystathionase [270,271]. Toohey suggests that the two enzymes involved in H_2S biosynthesis, cystathionine- γ -synthase (CBS) and CSE, should have the same activity and therefore argues that it is S^0 not H_2S that is formed from the enzymatic reaction [51].

6.3 Thiol reacts with the oxidized H_2S , S^0

Since S^0 does not exist by itself, the reaction is actually between thiol and S^0 -containing compounds. A very important as well as interesting reaction of S^0 is its transfer between sulfur structures through the formation of thiosulfoxide tautomer (eq. 12) [51,272]. With an empty orbital, the sulfoxide sulfur in the tautomer can interact with a nucleophile (Nu) and consequently S^0 is transferred (eq. 12). Therefore, the reaction is called transsulfuration. When the nucleophile is cyanide (^-CN), thiocyanate (^-SCN) is produced, which can simultaneously bind ferric iron forming a complex $(\text{Fe}(\text{SCN})_6)^{3-}$ with characteristic maximal absorbance at 460 nm [74]. This is the chemical basis of the method called cyanolysis that is used for S^0 detection [74]. When the nucleophile is a thiol, hydrodisulfide can be formed via the S^0 transfer. The formed hydrodisulfide can further react with S^0 forming hydropolysulfides (eq. 13), which can react with each other generating polysulfides and H_2S (eq. 14, including the reverse reaction of eq. 9). As described for the reverse reaction of eq. 9 in 6.1, reaction 14 indicates an additional mechanism for H_2S generation.



(12)




S^0 was detected from the filtrate of a pH 9.1 mixture of S_8 and thiol (mercaptoethanol, mercaptopyruvate and cysteine) or H_2S , indicating the transsulfuration from S_8 to thiol or H_2S [273]. Cavallini et al. suggested that the reaction of cysteine and S_8 in alkaline solution could produce hydrodisulfide [259]. The downstream product cysteine trisulfide (CysSSSCys) has been synthesized from the reaction, but not hydrodisulfide probably due to its instability [274]. In the study of H_2S reaction with cystine in basic solution (discussed in 6.1), the transsulfuration between cysteine and disulfane (HS_2^-) was suggested [239]. The rate constants for the reaction and the reverse reaction were determined as $122 \pm 20 \text{ M}^{-1}$

min^{-1} and $6.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ respectively at 25 °C, pH 10.0 and ionic strength 0.17 M [240]. In the case of GSH, a nucleophilic attack of GSH on the S_8 ring has also been suggested [275–277]. The resulting GSSH then reacts further to form GSH polysulfide (GS_nG , $n > 2$) and to produce H_2S [275–277]. From the anaerobic reaction of GSH and S_8 at pH 7.5, Rohwerder et al. detected the formation of GSSG and its higher homologous products up to GS_5G [275]. Again, the hydrodisulfide might be too reactive to be detected [275].

Francoleon et al. studied S^0 transfer from GSSH to papain cysteine thiol and found consequent inhibition of protein activity [95]. Actually transsulfuration from low molecular weight S^0 -containing compounds to protein thiols is believed to contribute to the activity changes in a variety of enzymes [89,92,96,99–101,105]. Transsulfuration can be accelerated by sulfurtransferases. Rhodanese assists S^0 transfer from thiosulfate to other enzymes and consequently modulates their activities [89,90,103,104]. In addition, it is proposed to be the enzyme that catalyzes the final step of H_2S autoxidation in mitochondria, which is the S^0 transfer from SQR hydrodisulfide to sulfite forming thiosulfate [19,144]. 3MST catalyzes S^0 transfer from 3MP to an acceptor [278,279] via 3MST hydrodisulfide formation [273,280] (although 3MP does not have the typical structure of S^0 -containing compounds, its sulfur is labile [281] due to the adjacent carbonyl group $\text{C}=\text{O}$). Adrenal ferredoxin may be an acceptor to serve its function on iron-sulfur chromophore formation [102]. Thioredoxin can also be an acceptor, and the resulting thioredoxin hydrodisulfide could undergo further S^0 transfer to perform its biological roles [282]. As described in 6.1, thioredoxin hydrodisulfide may also react with its adjacent thiol forming inner disulfide and releasing H_2S (reverse reaction of eq. 9) [19,246].

Another mechanism for hydrodisulfide formation from thiol reaction with S^0 -containing compound has been proposed as the initial reactions of H_2S production from garlic compounds in the presence of GSH. It is simply a nucleophilic displacement initiated by the nucleophilic attack of GSH on the position of the S-S unit of a garlic compound (eq. 15) [76,77,245,283]. The position can be the carbon of an allyl group (as in DADS), and can also be a sulfur (as in trisulfides) (eq. 15). The hydrodisulfides that are formed then further react with GSH liberating H_2S (reverse reaction of eq. 9).




(15)



6.4 H_2S reacts with S-nitrosothiol

It has been reported that H_2S very rapidly reacts with S-nitrosocysteine (CysNO), S-nitrosopenicillamine or S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) generating a relatively stable UV spectrum with a peak absorption at 410 nm [284]. Compared with previous reports [285,286], this spectrum was assigned to a hydrodisulfide ONSSH/ONSS⁻ [284]. One speculated mechanism involves an initial nucleophilic attack of H_2S on RSNO forming HSNO/⁻SNO (eq. 16) [287–291]. It was also suggested that HSNO/⁻SNO consequently reacted with another H_2S forming ONSSH/ONSS⁻ [284], although an oxidant is needed for the reaction (eq. 17). Alternatively, HS_2^- originating from an unknown mechanism (RSSR was suggested to be a candidate) could react with RSNO via nucleophilic displacement (eq. 18) [284]. Others found that the reaction of H_2S with RSNO released $\cdot\text{NO}$ [292–294]. By measuring $\cdot\text{NO}$ formation, this reaction was applied to quantitate the amount of RSNO [293]. As has been mentioned, the detailed study by Filipovic et al. supported HSNO/⁻SNO formation during the reaction, which could consequently donate $\cdot\text{NO}$, nitroso group or

HNO/NO⁻ [68]. Although their data do not support the direct formation of hydrodisulfide and HNO/NO⁻ for low molecular weight RSNO, it may hold true for protein RSNO (eq. 19) [68]. A good mechanistic rationale and comparison to the reaction between RSH and RSNO can also be found in King's review [180].



(16)



(18)



6.5 Other proposed mechanisms

According to eq. 8, hydrodisulfide may be formed from reactions of other oxidized thiols and H₂S, or other oxidized H₂S and thiols. It has been deduced that hydrodisulfide mediates the reaction of H₂S with oxidized thiols, thiosulfate ester (RS-SO₂-OH) [295,296] and cystinedisulfoxide [297]. Another ideal candidate for the oxidized thiol, sulfenic acid, has also been suggested to react with H₂S forming protein hydrodisulfide [19,20,157]. Other oxidized sulfur species that have been proposed to react with thiol forming hydrodisulfide include the simplest sulfenic acid HSOH [20], and HS(O)NH₂ that can be generated from the reaction of H₂S with HNO/NO⁻ [68].

7. Conclusions

There has been an explosion of publications claiming the beneficial effects of H₂S, and in the enthusiasm, it appears that an extensive chemical literature on H₂S has often been neglected. As discussed above, a few factors that need to be considered during H₂S manipulation include the purity of its donor, its volatility, its reaction with O₂ and the possible pH change in the solution. In spite of these complications, the way H₂S stock solutions are prepared is rarely mentioned in research reports. A relatively cautious method and a good description can be found in [245,298,299]. Although not a focus here, it has been pointed out by many researchers that a major problem in H₂S research is a lack of reliable methods to precisely and specifically measure H₂S ([45,152,300] and the accompanying review in this issue). Physiological concentrations of H₂S that are different in orders of magnitude have been reported [44–46]. Without doubt, H₂S chemistry is the basis for the development of new detection methods.

Some speculations in the literature regarding the actions of H₂S are not based on sound chemical principles. H₂S has been described as an antioxidant to explain its ability to protect against oxidative stress. However, the chemistry shows that the direct scavenging of oxidants by H₂S is unlikely due to the lower concentration of H₂S compared to other antioxidants *in vivo*. Another mechanism is the protein post-translational modification by H₂S generating S⁰ that is involved in almost every aspect of H₂S chemistry, and that has the high potential of transducing signals owing to its unique property of transsulfuration. So is it H₂S or S⁰ that is the signaling molecule implicated in diverse biological processes [51]? In addition, the metabolism of sulfur-containing molecules including cysteine, GSH, H₂S, S⁰-containing molecules and many others are highly related [60,115,301–303], an overall estimation of the sulfur flow upon H₂S addition would be informative for determining the actual mechanism. Better and more efficient tools for the detection of these sulfur-containing species are highly needed. Fluorescent probes for the detection of S⁰ have been recently developed [304], their applications in biological systems need to be examined.

In all, without these concerns being addressed, the title of H₂S as a gasotransmitter or signaling molecule should not be awarded. As has been pointed out, critical opinions and “brakes” are urgently needed in H₂S research to reveal the authentic biological mechanisms of this interesting molecule [152,305–308].

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Ming Xian for helpful discussion of the chemistry described in this review. This work is supported by an internal grant from the Department of Anesthesiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham (to QL) and NIH grant CA-131653 (to JRL).

References

1. International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). Compendium of Chemical Terminology Gold Book, Version 2.3.2. 2012.
2. Abe K, Kimura H. The possible role of hydrogen sulfide as an endogenous neuromodulator. *J Neurosci*. 1996; 16:1066–1071. [PubMed: 8558235]
3. Yang G, Wu L, Jiang B, Yang W, Qi J, Cao K, Meng Q, Mustafa AK, Mu W, Zhang S, Snyder SH, Wang R. H₂S as a physiologic vasorelaxant: hypertension in mice with deletion of cystathionine gamma-lyase. *Science*. 2008; 322:587–590. [PubMed: 18948540]
4. Kimura H. Hydrogen sulfide: its production and functions. *Exp Physiol*. 2011; 96:833–835. [PubMed: 21527544]
5. King AL, Lefer DJ. Cytoprotective actions of hydrogen sulfide in ischaemia-reperfusion injury. *Exp Physiol*. 2011; 96:840–846. [PubMed: 21666033]
6. Nicholson CK, Calvert JW. Hydrogen sulfide and ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Pharmacol Res*. 2010; 62:289–297. [PubMed: 20542117]
7. Wang R. Physiological implications of hydrogen sulfide: a whiff exploration that blossomed. *Physiol Rev*. 2012; 92:791–896. [PubMed: 22535897]
8. Whiteman M, Winyard PG. Hydrogen sulfide and inflammation: the good, the bad, the ugly and the promising. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. 2011; 4:13–32. [PubMed: 22115346]
9. Kimura H, Shibuya N, Kimura Y. Hydrogen sulfide is a signaling molecule and a cytoprotectant. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2012; 17:45–57. [PubMed: 22229673]
10. Li L, Rose P, Moore PK. Hydrogen sulfide and cell signaling. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2011; 51:169–187. [PubMed: 21210746]
11. Mustafa AK, Gadalla MM, Snyder SH. Signaling by gasotransmitters. *Sci Signal*. 2009; 2:re2. [PubMed: 19401594]
12. Mustafa AK, Gadalla MM, Sen N, Kim S, Mu W, Gazi SK, Barrow RK, Yang G, Wang R, Snyder SH. H₂S signals through protein S-sulfhydration. *Sci Signal*. 2009; 2:ra72. [PubMed: 19903941]

13. Vandiver M, Snyder SH. Hydrogen sulfide: a gasotransmitter of clinical relevance. *J Mol Med (Berl)*. 2012; 90:255–263. [PubMed: 22314625]
14. Wagner F, Asfar P, Calzia E, Radermacher P, Szabo C. Bench-to-bedside review: Hydrogen sulfide-the third gaseous transmitter: applications for critical care. *Crit Care*. 2009; 13:213. [PubMed: 19519960]
15. Gu X, Zhu YZ. Therapeutic applications of organosulfur compounds as novel hydrogen sulfide donors and/or mediators. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. 2011; 4:123–133. [PubMed: 22115353]
16. Martelli A, Testai L, Marino A, Breschi MC, Da SF, Calderone V. Hydrogen sulphide: biopharmacological roles in the cardiovascular system and pharmaceutical perspectives. *Curr Med Chem*. 2012; 19:3325–3336. [PubMed: 22680638]
17. Kashfi K, Olson KR. Biology and therapeutic potential of hydrogen sulfide and hydrogen sulfide-releasing chimeras. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2013; 85:689–703. [PubMed: 23103569]
18. Fukuto JM, Carrington SJ, Tantillo DJ, Harrison JG, Ignarro LJ, Freeman BA, Chen A, Wink DA. Small molecule signaling agents: the integrated chemistry and biochemistry of nitrogen oxides, oxides of carbon, dioxygen, hydrogen sulfide, and their derived species. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2012; 25:769–793. [PubMed: 22263838]
19. Kabil O, Banerjee R. Redox biochemistry of hydrogen sulfide. *J Biol Chem*. 2010; 285:21903–21907. [PubMed: 20448039]
20. Predmore BL, Lefer DJ, Gojon G. Hydrogen sulfide in biochemistry and medicine. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2012; 17:119–140. [PubMed: 22432697]
21. Bir SC, Kolluru GK, McCarthy P, Shen X, Pardue S, Pattillo CB, Kevil CG. Hydrogen sulfide stimulates ischemic vascular remodeling through nitric oxide synthase and nitrite reduction activity regulating hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and vascular endothelial growth factor-dependent angiogenesis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2012; 1:e004093. [PubMed: 23316304]
22. Coletta C, Papapetropoulos A, Erdelyi K, Olah G, Modis K, Panopoulos P, Asimakopoulou A, Gero D, Sharina I, Martin E, Szabo C. Hydrogen sulfide and nitric oxide are mutually dependent in the regulation of angiogenesis and endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012; 109:9161–9166. [PubMed: 22570497]
23. Fago A, Jensen FB, Tota B, Feelisch M, Olson KR, Helbo S, Lefevre S, Mancardi D, Palumbo A, Sandvik GK, Skovgaard N. Integrating nitric oxide, nitrite and hydrogen sulfide signaling in the physiological adaptations to hypoxia: A comparative approach. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol*. 2012; 162:1–6. [PubMed: 22314020]
24. Hosoki R, Matsuki N, Kimura H. The possible role of hydrogen sulfide as an endogenous smooth muscle relaxant in synergy with nitric oxide. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1997; 237:527–531. [PubMed: 9299397]
25. Predmore BL, Kondo K, Bhushan S, Zlatopolsky MA, King AL, Aragon JP, Grinsfelder DB, Condit ME, Lefer DJ. The polysulfide diallyl trisulfide protects the ischemic myocardium by preservation of endogenous hydrogen sulfide and increasing nitric oxide bioavailability. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2012; 302:H2410–H2418. [PubMed: 22467307]
26. Tomaskova Z, Bertova A, Ondrias K. On the involvement of H₂S in nitroso signaling and other mechanisms of H₂S action. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol*. 2011; 12:1394–1405. [PubMed: 21235453]
27. Wang R. Shared signaling pathways among gasotransmitters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012; 109:8801–8802. [PubMed: 22615409]
28. Beauchamp RO Jr, Bus JS, Popp JA, Boreiko CJ, Andjelkovich DA. A critical review of the literature on hydrogen sulfide toxicity. *Crit Rev Toxicol*. 1984; 13:25–97. [PubMed: 6378532]
29. Hughes MN, Centelles MN, Moore KP. Making and working with hydrogen sulfide: The chemistry and generation of hydrogen sulfide in vitro and its measurement in vivo: a review. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2009; 47:1346–1353. [PubMed: 19770036]
30. Calhoun DB, Englander SW, Wright WW, Vanderkooi JM. Quenching of room temperature protein phosphorescence by added small molecules. *Biochemistry*. 1988; 27:8466–8474. [PubMed: 3242596]
31. Li L, Moore PK. Putative biological roles of hydrogen sulfide in health and disease: a breath of not so fresh air? *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 2008; 29:84–90. [PubMed: 18180046]

32. De Bruyn WJ, Swartz E, Hu JH, Shorter JA, Davidovits P, Worsnop DR, Zahniser MS, Kolb CE. Henry's Law solubilities and Setchenow coefficients for biogenic reduced sulfur species obtained from gas-liquid uptake measurements. *J Geophys Res.* 1995; 100:7245–7251.
33. Mathai JC, Missner A, Kugler P, Saparov SM, Zeidel ML, Lee JK, Pohl P. No facilitator required for membrane transport of hydrogen sulfide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:16633–16638. [PubMed: 19805349]
34. Chen, KY. PhD Thesis/Dissertation: Oxidation of aqueous sulfide by O₂. Harvard University, Division of Engineering and Applied Physics; 1970.
35. Chen KY, Morris JC. Kinetics of oxidation of aqueous sulfide by O₂. *Environ Sci Technol.* 1972; 6:529–537.
36. Sillen, LG.; Martell, AE. Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes, Special Publ. 17. Chemical Society; London, England: 1964.
37. Giggenbach W. Optical spectra of highly alkaline sulfide solutions and the second dissociation constant for hydrogen sulfide. *Inorg Chem.* 1971; 10:1333–1338.
38. Peramunage D, Forouzan F, Licht S. Activity and spectroscopic analysis of concentrated solutions of potassium sulfide. *Anal Chem.* 1994; 66:378–383.
39. Lide, DR. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC Press; Boca Raton: 2005.
40. Housecroft, CE.; Constable, EC. Chemistry: An Introduction to Organic, Inorganic, and Physical Chemistry. 2. Prentice Hall; New Jersey: 2001.
41. Millero FJ. The thermodynamics and kinetics of the hydrogen sulfide system in natural waters. *Mar Chem.* 1986; 18:121–147.
42. Millero, FJ.; Hershey, JP. Thermodynamics and kinetics of hydrogen sulfide in natural waters. In: Saltzman, ES.; Cooper, WJ., editors. Biogenic Sulfur in the Environment. American Chemical Society; Washington, D.C: 1989. p. 282-313.
43. DeLeon ER, Stoy GF, Olson KR. Passive loss of hydrogen sulfide in biological experiments. *Anal Biochem.* 2012; 421:203–207. [PubMed: 22056407]
44. Furne J, Saeed A, Levitt MD. Whole tissue hydrogen sulfide concentrations are orders of magnitude lower than presently accepted values. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2008; 295:R1479–R1485. [PubMed: 18799635]
45. Shen X, Pattillo CB, Pardue S, Bir SC, Wang R, Kevil CG. Measurement of plasma hydrogen sulfide in vivo and in vitro. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2011; 50:1021–1031. [PubMed: 21276849]
46. Whitfield NL, Kreimier EL, Verdial FC, Skovgaard N, Olson KR. Reappraisal of H₂S/sulfide concentration in vertebrate blood and its potential significance in ischemic preconditioning and vascular signaling. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2008; 294:R1930–R1937. [PubMed: 18417642]
47. Benson SW. Thermochemistry and kinetics of sulfur-containing molecules and radicals. *Chem Rev.* 1978; 78:23–35.
48. Voet, D.; Voet, JG. Introduction to metabolism. Harris, D.; Fitzgerald, P., editors. Biochemistry, John Wiley & Sons, Inc; Hoboken, NJ: 2004. p. 573
49. Kelly DP. Biochemistry of the chemolithotrophic oxidation of inorganic sulphur. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1982; 298:499–528. [PubMed: 6127738]
50. Rost J, Rapoport S. Reduction-potential of glutathione. *Nature.* 1964; 201:185. [PubMed: 14118271]
51. Toohey JJ. Sulfur signaling: is the agent sulfide or sulfane? *Anal Biochem.* 2011; 413:1–7. [PubMed: 21303647]
52. Chen S, Chen ZJ, Ren W, Ai HW. Reaction-based genetically encoded fluorescent hydrogen sulfide sensors. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:9589–9592. [PubMed: 22642566]
53. Lippert AR, New EJ, Chang CJ. Reaction-based fluorescent probes for selective imaging of hydrogen sulfide in living cells. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:10078–10080. [PubMed: 21671682]
54. Montoya LA, Pluth MD. Selective turn-on fluorescent probes for imaging hydrogen sulfide in living cells. *Chem Commun (Camb).* 2012; 48:4767–4769. [PubMed: 22473176]

55. Peng H, Cheng Y, Dai C, King AL, Predmore BL, Lefer DJ, Wang B. A fluorescent probe for fast and quantitative detection of hydrogen sulfide in blood. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2011; 50:9672–9675. [PubMed: 21882324]
56. Dittmer, DC. Hydrogen Sulfide, *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis.* Wiley; New York: 2010.
57. Pearson RG, Sobel H, Songstad J. Nucleophilic reactivity constants toward methyl iodide and trans-dichlorodi(pyridine)platinum(II). *J Am Chem Soc.* 1968; 90:319–326.
58. Nashef AS, Osuga DT, Feeney RE. Determination of hydrogen sulfide with 5,5 -dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid), N-ethylmaleimide, and parachloromercuribenzoate. *Anal Biochem.* 1977; 79:394–405. [PubMed: 869184]
59. Svenson A. A rapid and sensitive spectrophotometric method for determination of hydrogen sulfide with 2,2 -dipyridyl disulfide. *Anal Biochem.* 1980; 107:51–55. [PubMed: 7435959]
60. Shen X, Peter EA, Bir S, Wang R, Kevil CG. Analytical measurement of discrete hydrogen sulfide pools in biological specimens. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2012; 52:2276–2283. [PubMed: 22561703]
61. Wintner EA, Deckwerth TL, Langston W, Bengtsson A, Leviten D, Hill P, Insko MA, Dumpit R, VandenEkar E, Toombs CF, Szabo C. A monobromobimane-based assay to measure the pharmacokinetic profile of reactive sulphide species in blood. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2010; 160:941–957. [PubMed: 20590590]
62. Liu C, Pan J, Li S, Zhao Y, Wu LY, Berkman CE, Whorton AR, Xian M. Capture and visualization of hydrogen sulfide by a fluorescent probe. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2011; 50:10327–10329. [PubMed: 21898737]
63. Liu C, Peng B, Li S, Park CM, Whorton AR, Xian M. Reaction based fluorescent probes for hydrogen sulfide. *Org Lett.* 2012; 14:2184–2187. [PubMed: 22486842]
64. Qian Y, Karpus J, Kabil O, Zhang SY, Zhu HL, Banerjee R, Zhao J, He C. Selective fluorescent probes for live-cell monitoring of sulphide. *Nat Commun.* 2011; 2:495. [PubMed: 21988911]
65. Yang XF, Wang L, Xu H, Zhao M. A fluorescein-based fluorogenic and chromogenic chemodosimeter for the sensitive detection of sulfide anion in aqueous solution. *Anal Chim Acta.* 2009; 631:91–95. [PubMed: 19046684]
66. Yoshida E, Toyama T, Shinkai Y, Sawa T, Akaike T, Kumagai Y. Detoxification of methylmercury by hydrogen sulfide-producing enzyme in Mammalian cells. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2011; 24:1633–1635. [PubMed: 21951228]
67. Nishida M, Sawa T, Kitajima N, Ono K, Inoue H, Ihara H, Motohashi H, Yamamoto M, Suematsu M, Kurose H, van der Vliet A, Freeman BA, Shibata T, Uchida K, Kumagai Y, Akaike T. Hydrogen sulfide anion regulates redox signaling via electrophile sulfhydration. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2012; 8:714–724. [PubMed: 22772154]
68. Filipovic MR, Miljkovic JL, Nauser T, Royzen M, Klos K, Shubina T, Koppenol WH, Lippard SJ, Ivanovic-Burmazovic I. Chemical characterization of the smallest S-nitrosothiol, HSNO; cellular cross-talk of H₂S and S-nitrosothiols. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:12016–12027. [PubMed: 22741609]
69. Paul BD, Snyder SH. H₂S signalling through protein sulfhydration and beyond. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2012; 13:499–507. [PubMed: 22781905]
70. Sen N, Snyder SH. Protein modifications involved in neurotransmitter and gasotransmitter signaling. *Trends Neurosci.* 2010; 33:493–502. [PubMed: 20843563]
71. Toohey JJ. The conversion of H₂S to sulfane sulfur. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2012; 13:803. [PubMed: 23151660]
72. Cahn, RS.; Dermer, OC. *Introduction to Chemical Nomenclature.* 5. Butterworth; Woburn, MA: 1979.
73. Ubuka T. Assay methods and biological roles of labile sulfur in animal tissues. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci.* 2002; 781:227–249.
74. Wood JL. Sulfane sulfur. *Methods Enzymol.* 1987; 143:25–29. [PubMed: 3657542]
75. Toohey JJ. Sulphane sulphur in biological systems: a possible regulatory role. *Biochem J.* 1989; 264:625–632. [PubMed: 2695062]
76. Jacob C, Anwar A, Burkholz T. Perspective on recent developments on sulfur-containing agents and hydrogen sulfide signaling. *Planta Med.* 2008; 74:1580–1592. [PubMed: 18937169]

77. Munchberg U, Anwar A, Mecklenburg S, Jacob C. Polysulfides as biologically active ingredients of garlic. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2007; 5:1505–1518. [PubMed: 17571177]
78. Gliubich F, Gazerro M, Zanotti G, Delbono S, Bombieri G, Berni R. Active site structural features for chemically modified forms of rhodanese. *J Biol Chem*. 1996; 271:21054–21061. [PubMed: 8702871]
79. Gliubich F, Berni R, Colapietro M, Barba L, Zanotti G. Structure of sulfur-substituted rhodanese at 1.36 Å resolution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 1998; 54:481–486. [PubMed: 9761843]
80. Hol WG, Lijk LJ, Kalk KH. The high resolution three-dimensional structure of bovine liver rhodanese. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*. 1983; 3:370–376. [PubMed: 6357922]
81. Ploegman JH, Drent G, Kalk KH, Hol WG. Structure of bovine liver rhodanese. I. Structure determination at 2.5 Å resolution and a comparison of the conformation and sequence of its two domains. *J Mol Biol*. 1978; 123:557–594. [PubMed: 691057]
82. Ploegman JH, Drent G, Kalk KH, Hol WG. The structure of bovine liver rhodanese. II. The active site in the sulfur-substituted and the sulfur-free enzyme. *J Mol Biol*. 1979; 127:149–162. [PubMed: 430559]
83. Lin YJ, Dancea F, Lohr F, Klimmek O, Pfeiffer-Marek S, Nilges M, Wienk H, Kroger A, Ruterjans H. Solution structure of the 30 kDa polysulfide-sulfur transferase homodimer from *Wolinella succinogenes*. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:1418–1424. [PubMed: 14769017]
84. You Z, Cao X, Taylor AB, Hart PJ, Levine RL. Characterization of a covalent polysulfane bridge in copper-zinc superoxide dismutase. *Biochemistry*. 2010; 49:1191–1198. [PubMed: 20052996]
85. Bordo D, Bork P. The rhodanese/Cdc25 phosphatase superfamily. Sequence-structure-function relations. *EMBO Rep*. 2002; 3:741–746. [PubMed: 12151332]
86. Cipollone R, Ascenzi P, Visca P. Common themes and variations in the rhodanese superfamily. *IUBMB Life*. 2007; 59:51–59. [PubMed: 17454295]
87. Hofmann K, Bucher P, Kajava AV. A model of Cdc25 phosphatase catalytic domain and Cdk-interaction surface based on the presence of a rhodanese homology domain. *J Mol Biol*. 1998; 282:195–208. [PubMed: 9733650]
88. Theodosiou A, Ashworth A. MAP kinase phosphatases. *Genome Biol*. 2002; 3:REVIEWS3009. [PubMed: 12184814]
89. Agro AF, Mavelli I, Cannella C, Federici G. Activation of porcine heart mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase by zero valence sulfur and rhodanese. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1976; 68:553–560. [PubMed: 1252245]
90. Bonomi F, Pagani S, Cerletti P, Cannella C. Rhodanese-Mediated sulfur transfer to succinate dehydrogenase. *Eur J Biochem*. 1977; 72:17–24. [PubMed: 318999]
91. Branzoli U, Massey V. Evidence for an active site persulfide residue in rabbit liver aldehyde oxidase. *J Biol Chem*. 1974; 249:4346–4349. [PubMed: 4276457]
92. Conner J, Russell PJ. Elemental sulfur: a novel inhibitor of adenylate kinase. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1983; 113:348–352. [PubMed: 6305356]
93. de Beus MD, Chung J, Colon W. Modification of cysteine 111 in Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase results in altered spectroscopic and biophysical properties. *Protein Sci*. 2004; 13:1347–1355. [PubMed: 15096637]
94. Edmondson D, Massey V, Palmer G, Beacham LM III, Elion GB. The resolution of active and inactive xanthine oxidase by affinity chromatography. *J Biol Chem*. 1972; 247:1597–1604. [PubMed: 4335003]
95. Franconleon NE, Carrington SJ, Fukuto JM. The reaction of H₂S with oxidized thiols: Generation of persulfides and implications to H₂S biology. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2011; 516:146–153. [PubMed: 22001739]
96. Kato A, Ogura M, Suda M. Control mechanism in the rat liver enzyme system converting L-methionine to L-cystine. 3. Noncompetitive inhibition of cystathionine synthetase-serine dehydratase by elemental sulfur and competitive inhibition of cystathionase-homoserine dehydratase by L-cysteine and L-cystine. *J Biochem*. 1966; 59:40–48. [PubMed: 5939831]
97. Kim EJ, Feng J, Bramlett MR, Lindahl PA. Evidence for a proton transfer network and a required persulfide-bond-forming cysteine residue in Ni-containing carbon monoxide dehydrogenases. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:5728–5734. [PubMed: 15134447]

98. Massey V, Edmondson D. On the mechanism of inactivation of xanthine oxidase by cyanide. *J Biol Chem.* 1970; 245:6595–6598. [PubMed: 5536559]
99. Massey V, Williams CH Jr, Palmer G. The presence of S degrees-containing impurities in commercial samples of oxidized glutathione and their catalytic effect on the reduction of cytochrome c. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1971; 42:730–738. [PubMed: 5543955]
100. Pestana A, Sols A. Reversible inactivation by elemental sulfur and mercurials of rat liver serine dehydratase and certain sulfhydryl enzymes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1970; 39:522–529. [PubMed: 5421952]
101. Sandy JD, Davies RC, Neuberger A. Control of 5-aminolaevulinate synthetase activity in *Rhodospseudomonas spheroides* a role for trisulphides. *Biochem J.* 1975; 150:245–257. [PubMed: 1080999]
102. Taniguchi T, Kimura T. Role of 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase in the formation of the iron-sulfur chromophore of adrenal ferredoxin. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1974; 364:284–295. [PubMed: 4370594]
103. Tomati U, Matarese R, Federici G. Ferredoxin activation by rhodanese. *Phytochemistry.* 1974; 13:1703–1706.
104. Tomati U, Giovannozzi-Sermanni G, Duprè S, Cannella C. NADH: Nitrate reductase activity restoration by rhodanese. *Phytochemistry.* 1976; 15:597–598.
105. Valentine WN, Toohey JI, Paglia DE, Nakatani M, Brockway RA. Modification of erythrocyte enzyme activities by persulfides and methanethiol: possible regulatory role. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1987; 84:1394–1398. [PubMed: 3469673]
106. Beinert H. A tribute to sulfur. *Eur J Biochem.* 2000; 267:5657–5664. [PubMed: 10971575]
107. Kessler D. Enzymatic activation of sulfur for incorporation into biomolecules in prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2006; 30:825–840. [PubMed: 17064282]
108. Mueller EG. Trafficking in persulfides: delivering sulfur in biosynthetic pathways. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2006; 2:185–194. [PubMed: 16547481]
109. Toohey JI. Persulfide sulfur is a growth factor for cells defective in sulfur metabolism. *Biochem Cell Biol.* 1986; 64:758–765. [PubMed: 3768166]
110. Kimura Y, Mikami Y, Osumi K, Tsugane M, Oka JI, Kimura H. Polysulfides are possible H₂S-derived signaling molecules in rat brain. *FASEB J.* 2013; 27:2451–2457. [PubMed: 23413359]
111. Fu Z, Liu X, Geng B, Fang L, Tang C. Hydrogen sulfide protects rat lung from ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Life Sci.* 2008; 82:1196–1202. [PubMed: 18482739]
112. Jha S, Calvert JW, Duranski MR, Ramachandran A, Lefer DJ. Hydrogen sulfide attenuates hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury: role of antioxidant and antiapoptotic signaling. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2008; 295:H801–H806. [PubMed: 18567706]
113. Kimura Y, Kimura H. Hydrogen sulfide protects neurons from oxidative stress. *FASEB J.* 2004; 18:1165–1167. [PubMed: 15155563]
114. Kimura Y, Dargusch R, Schubert D, Kimura H. Hydrogen sulfide protects HT22 neuronal cells from oxidative stress. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2006; 8:661–670. [PubMed: 16677109]
115. Kimura Y, Goto Y, Kimura H. Hydrogen sulfide increases glutathione production and suppresses oxidative stress in mitochondria. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2010; 12:1–13. [PubMed: 19852698]
116. Lu M, Hu LF, Hu G, Bian JS. Hydrogen sulfide protects astrocytes against H₂O₂-induced neural injury via enhancing glutamate uptake. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2008; 45:1705–1713. [PubMed: 18848879]
117. Yan SK, Chang T, Wang H, Wu L, Wang R, Meng QH. Effects of hydrogen sulfide on homocysteine-induced oxidative stress in vascular smooth muscle cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2006; 351:485–491. [PubMed: 17069760]
118. Yonezawa D, Sekiguchi F, Miyamoto M, Taniguchi E, Honjo M, Masuko T, Nishikawa H, Kawabata A. A protective role of hydrogen sulfide against oxidative stress in rat gastric mucosal epithelium. *Toxicology.* 2007; 241:11–18. [PubMed: 17825973]
119. Whiteman M, Armstrong JS, Chu SH, Jia-Ling S, Wong BS, Cheung NS, Halliwell B, Moore PK. The novel neuromodulator hydrogen sulfide: an endogenous peroxynitrite ‘scavenger’? *J Neurochem.* 2004; 90:765–768. [PubMed: 15255956]

120. Whiteman M, Cheung NS, Zhu YZ, Chu SH, Siau JL, Wong BS, Armstrong JS, Moore PK. Hydrogen sulphide: a novel inhibitor of hypochlorous acid-mediated oxidative damage in the brain? *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2005; 326:794–798. [PubMed: 15607739]
121. Mitsuhashi H, Yamashita S, Ikeuchi H, Kuroiwa T, Kaneko Y, Hiromura K, Ueki K, Nojima Y. Oxidative stress-dependent conversion of hydrogen sulfide to sulfite by activated neutrophils. *Shock*. 2005; 24:529–534. [PubMed: 16317383]
122. Cline JD, Richards FA. Oxygenation of hydrogen sulfide in seawater at constant salinity, temperature, and pH. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1969; 3:838–843.
123. Kotronarou A, Hoffmann MR. Catalytic autoxidation of hydrogen sulfide in wastewater. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1991; 25:1153–1160.
124. Millero FJ, Hubinger S, Fernandez M, Garnett S. Oxidation of H₂S in seawater as a function of temperature, pH, and ionic strength. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1987; 21:439–443. [PubMed: 22296130]
125. Snavelly ES Jr, Blount FE. Rates of reaction of dissolved oxygen with scavengers in sweet and sour brines. *Corrosion*. 1969; 25:397–404.
126. Tapley D, Buettner GR, Shick JM. Free radicals and chemiluminescence as products of the spontaneous oxidation of sulfide in seawater, and their biological implications. *Biol Bull*. 1999; 196:52–56.
127. Zhang JZ, Millero FJ. The products from the oxidation of H₂S in seawater. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta*. 1993; 57:1705–1718.
128. Cadena F, Peters RW. Evaluation of chemical oxidizers for hydrogen sulfide control. *Journal WPCF*. 1988; 60:1259–1263.
129. Devai I, Delaune RD. Effectiveness of selected chemicals for controlling emission of malodorous sulfur gases in sewage sludge. *Environ Technol*. 2002; 23:319–329. [PubMed: 11999994]
130. Millero FJ, LeFerriere A, Fernandez M, Hubinger S, Hershey JP. Oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with hydrogen peroxide in natural waters. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1989; 23:209–213.
131. Kuhn AT, Chana MS, Kelsall GH. A review of the air oxidation of aqueous sulphide solutions. *J Chem Tech Biotechnol*. 1983; 33:406–414.
132. O'Brien DJ, Birkner FB. Kinetics of oxygenation of reduced sulfur species in aqueous solution. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1977; 11:1114–1120.
133. Luther GW III, Findlay AJ, Macdonald DJ, Owings SM, Hanson TE, Beinart RA, Girguis PR. Thermodynamics and kinetics of sulfide oxidation by oxygen: a look at inorganically controlled reactions and biologically mediated processes in the environment. *Front Microbiol*. 2011; 2:62. [PubMed: 21833317]
134. Chen KY, Morris JC. Oxidation of sulfide by O₂: catalysis and inhibition. *J Sanit Eng Div*. 1972; 98:215–227.
135. Hoffmann MR, Lim BC. Kinetics and mechanism of the oxidation of sulfide by oxygen: catalysis by homogeneous metal-phthalocyanine complexes. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1979; 13:1406–1414.
136. Hong AP, Boyce SD, Hoffmann MR. Catalytic autoxidation of chemical contaminants by hybrid complexes of cobalt(II) phthalocyanine. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1989; 23:533–540.
137. Ma S, Noble A, Butcher D, Trouwborst RE, Luther GW III. Removal of H₂S via an iron catalytic cycle and iron sulfide precipitation in the water column of dead end tributaries. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci*. 2006; 70:461–472.
138. Vazquez FG, Zhang J, Millero FJ. Effect of metals on the rate of the oxidation of H₂S in seawater. *Geophys Res Lett*. 1989; 16:1363–1366.
139. Hoffman M, Rajapakse A, Shen X, Gates KS. Generation of DNA-damaging reactive oxygen species via the autoxidation of hydrogen sulfide under physiologically relevant conditions: chemistry relevant to both the genotoxic and cell signaling properties of H₂S. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2012; 25:1609–1615. [PubMed: 22621314]
140. Baxter CF, Van RR. The oxidation of sulfide to thiosulfate by metalloprotein complexes and by ferritin. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1958; 28:573–578. [PubMed: 13560409]
141. Stasko A, Brezova V, Zalibera M, Biskupic S, Ondrias K. Electron transfer: a primary step in the reactions of sodium hydrosulphide, an H₂S/HS⁻ donor. *Free Radic Res*. 2009; 43:581–593. [PubMed: 19513906]

142. Reinartz M, Tschape J, Bruser T, Truper HG, Dahl C. Sulfide oxidation in the phototrophic sulfur bacterium *Chromatium Vinosum*. *Arch Microbiol*. 1998; 170:59–68. [PubMed: 9639604]
143. Theissen U, Hoffmeister M, Grieshaber M, Martin W. Single eubacterial origin of eukaryotic sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase, a mitochondrial enzyme conserved from the early evolution of eukaryotes during anoxic and sulfidic times. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2003; 20:1564–1574. [PubMed: 12832624]
144. Hildebrandt TM, Grieshaber MK. Three enzymatic activities catalyze the oxidation of sulfide to thiosulfate in mammalian and invertebrate mitochondria. *FEBS J*. 2008; 275:3352–3361. [PubMed: 18494801]
145. Muller FH, Bandejas TM, Urich T, Teixeira M, Gomes CM, Kletzin A. Coupling of the pathway of sulphur oxidation to dioxygen reduction: characterization of a novel membrane-bound thiosulphate:quinone oxidoreductase. *Mol Microbiol*. 2004; 53:1147–1160. [PubMed: 15306018]
146. Olson KR, Perry SF. H₂S and O₂ sensing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010; 107:E141. [PubMed: 20807752]
147. Olson KR, Whitfield NL. Hydrogen sulfide and oxygen sensing in the cardiovascular system. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2010; 12:1219–1234. [PubMed: 19803742]
148. Olson KR. Hydrogen sulfide as an oxygen sensor. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2012;1–10.
149. Olson KR. A theoretical examination of hydrogen sulfide metabolism and its potential in autocrine/paracrine oxygen sensing. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol*. 2013; 186:173–179. [PubMed: 23376151]
150. Resch P, Field RJ, Schneider FW. The methylene blue-HS⁻-O₂ oscillator: mechanistic proposal and periodic perturbation. *J Phys Chem*. 1989; 93:2783–2791.
151. Resch P, Field RJ, Schneider FW, Burger M. Reduction of methylene blue by sulfide ion in the presence and absence of oxygen: simulation of the methylene blue-O₂-HS⁻ CSTR oscillations. *J Phys Chem*. 1989; 93:8181–8186.
152. Olson KR. A practical look at the chemistry and biology of hydrogen sulfide. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2012; 17:32–44. [PubMed: 22074253]
153. Asada K, Kanematsu S. Reactivity of thiols with superoxide radicals. *Agri Biol Chem*. 1976; 40:1891–1892.
154. Searcy DG, Whitehead JP, Maroney MJ. Interaction of Cu,Zn superoxide dismutase with hydrogen sulfide. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 1995; 318:251–263. [PubMed: 7733652]
155. Carballal S, Trujillo M, Cuevasanta E, Bartesaghi S, Moller MN, Folkes LK, Garcia-Bereguai MA, Gutierrez-Merino C, Wardman P, Denicola A, Radi R, Alvarez B. Reactivity of hydrogen sulfide with peroxynitrite and other oxidants of biological interest. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2011; 50:196–205. [PubMed: 21034811]
156. Hoffmann MR. Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation of hydrogen sulfide by hydrogen peroxide in acidic solution. *Environ Sci Technol*. 1977; 11:61–66.
157. Nagy P, Winterbourn CC. Rapid reaction of hydrogen sulfide with the neutrophil oxidant hypochlorous acid to generate polysulfides. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2010; 23:1541–1543. [PubMed: 20845929]
158. Filipovic MR, Miljkovic J, Allgauer A, Chaurio R, Shubina T, Herrmann M, Ivanovic-Burmazovic I. Biochemical insight into physiological effects of H₂S: reaction with peroxynitrite and formation of a new nitric oxide donor, sulfinyl nitrite. *Biochem J*. 2012; 441:609–621. [PubMed: 21950347]
159. Karmann W, Meissner G, Henglein A. Pulsradiolyse des schwefelwasserstoffs in waessriger loesung. *Z Naturforsch Teil B*. 1967; 22:273–282.
160. Das TN, Huie RE, Neta P, Padmaja S. Reduction potential of the sulfhydryl radical: pulse radiolysis and laser flash photolysis studies of the formation and reactions of ·SH and HSSH⁻ in aqueous solutions. *J Phys Chem A*. 1999; 103:5221–5226.
161. Classen A, Bauer O. Use of hydrogen peroxide in analytical chemistry. *J Chem Soc Abstr*. 1883; 44:934.
162. Orban M, Epstein IR. A new halogen-free chemical oscillator: the reaction between sulfide ion and hydrogen peroxide in a CSTR. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1985; 107:2302–2305.

163. Rabai G, Orban M, Epstein IR. A model for the pH-regulated oscillatory reaction between hydrogen peroxide and sulfide ion. *J Phys Chem*. 1992; 96:5414–5419.
164. Fehér F, Heuer E. Über die elektrochemische darstellung von polyschwefelwasserstoffen beiträge zur chemie des schwefels, VI. Mitteilung. *Angew Chem*. 1947; 59:237–238.
165. Lunenok-Burakina VA, Geransenkova AN. *Russ J Inorg Chem*. 1964; 9:149.
166. Satterfield CN, Reid RC, Briggs DR. Rate of oxidation of hydrogen sulfide by hydrogen peroxide. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1954; 76:3922–3923.
167. Wassermann A. Kinetik und hemmbarkeit der eisenkatalysierten hydroperoxyd-schwefelwasserstoff-reaktion. *Justus Liebigs Annalen der Chemie*. 1933; 503:249–268.
168. Geng B, Chang L, Pan C, Qi Y, Zhao J, Pang Y, Du J, Tang C. Endogenous hydrogen sulfide regulation of myocardial injury induced by isoproterenol. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2004; 318:756–763. [PubMed: 15144903]
169. Chang L, Geng B, Yu F, Zhao J, Jiang H, Du J, Tang C. Hydrogen sulfide inhibits myocardial injury induced by homocysteine in rats. *Amino Acids*. 2008; 34:573–585. [PubMed: 18071843]
170. Wardman P. Fluorescent and luminescent probes for measurement of oxidative and nitrosative species in cells and tissues: progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2007; 43:995–1022. [PubMed: 17761297]
171. Kelley EE, Khoo NK, Hundley NJ, Malik UZ, Freeman BA, Tarpey MM. Hydrogen peroxide is the major oxidant product of xanthine oxidase. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2010; 48:493–498. [PubMed: 19941951]
172. Rubbo H, Radi R, Prodanov E. Substrate inhibition of xanthine oxidase and its influence on superoxide radical production. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1991; 1074:386–391. [PubMed: 1653611]
173. Laggner H, Muellner MK, Schreier S, Sturm B, Hermann M, Exner M, Gmeiner BM, Kapiotis S. Hydrogen sulphide: a novel physiological inhibitor of LDL atherogenic modification by HOCl. *Free Radic Res*. 2007; 41:741–747. [PubMed: 17577734]
174. Houk KN, Condroski KR, Pryor WA. Radical and concerted mechanisms in oxidations of amines, sulfides, and alkenes by peroxyxynitrite, peroxyxynitrous Acid, and the peroxyxynitrite-CO₂ adduct: density functional theory transition structures and energetics. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1996; 118:13002–13006.
175. Sojitra B, Bulani Y, Putcha UK, Kanwal A, Gupta P, Kuncha M, Banerjee SK. Nitric oxide synthase inhibition abrogates hydrogen sulfide-induced cardioprotection in mice. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2012; 360:61–69. [PubMed: 21879311]
176. Ali MY, Ping CY, Mok YY, Ling L, Whiteman M, Bhatia M, Moore PK. Regulation of vascular nitric oxide in vitro and in vivo; a new role for endogenous hydrogen sulphide? *Br J Pharmacol*. 2006; 149:625–634. [PubMed: 17016507]
177. Webb GD, Lim LH, Oh VM, Yeo SB, Cheong YP, Ali MY, El Oakley R, Lee CN, Wong PS, Caleb MG, Salto-Tellez M, Bhatia M, Chan ES, Taylor EA, Moore PK. Contractile and vasorelaxant effects of hydrogen sulfide and its biosynthesis in the human internal mammary artery. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2008; 324:876–882. [PubMed: 18029544]
178. Whiteman M, Li L, Kostetski I, Chu SH, Siau JL, Bhatia M, Moore PK. Evidence for the formation of a novel nitrosothiol from the gaseous mediators nitric oxide and hydrogen sulphide. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2006; 343:303–310. [PubMed: 16540095]
179. Whiteman M, Moore PK. Hydrogen sulfide and the vasculature: a novel vasculoprotective entity and regulator of nitric oxide bioavailability? *J Cell Mol Med*. 2009; 13:488–507. [PubMed: 19374684]
180. King SB. Potential biological chemistry of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) with the nitrogen oxides. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2013; 55:1–7. [PubMed: 23165065]
181. Li Q, Lancaster JR Jr. A conspectus of cellular mechanisms of nitrosothiol formation from nitric oxide. *For Immunopathol Dis Therap*. 2012; 3:183–191.
182. Butler AR, Calsy-Harrison AM, Glidewell C, Sorensen PE. The pentacyanonitrosylferrate ion-V. The course of the reactions of nitroprusside with a range of thiols. *Polyhedron*. 1988; 7:1197–1202.

183. Filipovic MR, Ivanovic-Burmazovic I. The kinetics and character of the intermediates formed in the reaction between sodium nitroprusside and hydrogen sulfide need further clarification. *Chemistry*. 2012; 18:13538–13540. [PubMed: 22996412]
184. Quiroga SL, Almaraz AE, Amorebieta VT, Perissinotti LL, Olabe JA. Addition and redox reactivity of hydrogen sulfides ($\text{H}_2\text{S}/\text{HS}^-$) with nitroprusside: new chemistry of nitrososulfide ligands. *Chemistry*. 2011; 17:4145–4156. [PubMed: 21404343]
185. Feelisch M, Ostrowski J, Noack E. On the mechanism of NO release from sydnonimines. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 1989; 14(Suppl 11):S13–S22. [PubMed: 2484692]
186. Huie RE, Padmaja S. The reaction of NO with superoxide. *Free Radic Res Commun*. 1993; 18:195–199. [PubMed: 8396550]
187. Feelisch, M.; Stamler, JS. Donors of nitrogen oxides. In: Feelisch, M.; Stamler, JS., editors. *Methods in Nitric Oxide Research*. John Wiley & Sons; Chichester: 1996. p. 93-95.
188. Saville B. A scheme for the colorimetric determination of microgram amounts of thiols. *Analyst*. 1958; 83:670–672.
189. Stamler, JS.; Feelisch, M. Preparation and detection of S-nitrosothiols. In: Feelisch, M.; Stamler, JS., editors. *Methods in Nitric Oxide Research*. John Wiley & Sons; Chichester: 1996. p. 527
190. Yong QC, Hu LF, Wang S, Huang D, Bian JS. Hydrogen sulfide interacts with nitric oxide in the heart: possible involvement of nitroxyl. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2010; 88:482–491. [PubMed: 20660605]
191. Yong QC, Cheong JL, Hua F, Deng LW, Khoo YM, Lee HS, Perry A, Wood M, Whiteman M, Bian JS. Regulation of heart function by endogenous gaseous mediators-crosstalk between nitric oxide and hydrogen sulfide. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2011; 14:2081–2091. [PubMed: 21194352]
192. Jeney V, Komodi E, Nagy E, Zarjou A, Vercellotti GM, Eaton JW, Balla G, Balla J. Suppression of hemin-mediated oxidation of low-density lipoprotein and subsequent endothelial reactions by hydrogen sulfide (H_2S). *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2009; 46:616–623. [PubMed: 19100829]
193. Muellner MK, Schreier SM, Laggner H, Hermann M, Esterbauer H, Exner M, Gmeiner BM, Kapiotis S. Hydrogen sulfide destroys lipid hydroperoxides in oxidized LDL. *Biochem J*. 2009; 420:277–281. [PubMed: 19265508]
194. Wang M, Li K, Zhu R, Cheng L, Wu Q, Wang SL. The protective function of hydrogen sulfide for lysozyme against riboflavin-sensitized photo-oxidation. *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2011; 103:186–191. [PubMed: 21458292]
195. Schopfer FJ, Cipollina C, Freeman BA. Formation and signaling actions of electrophilic lipids. *Chem Rev*. 2011; 111:5997–6021. [PubMed: 21928855]
196. Jensen, WB. *The Lewis Acid-Base Concepts: An Overview*. Wiley; New York: 1980.
197. Bunnett JF. Nucleophilic reactivity. *Ann Rev Phys Chem*. 1963; 14:271–290.
198. Rickard D, Luther GW III. Chemistry of iron sulfides. *Chem Rev*. 2007; 107:514–562. [PubMed: 17261073]
199. Cooper CE, Brown GC. The inhibition of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase by the gases carbon monoxide, nitric oxide, hydrogen cyanide and hydrogen sulfide: chemical mechanism and physiological significance. *J Bioenerg Biomembr*. 2008; 40:533–539. [PubMed: 18839291]
200. Olson KR. Mitochondrial adaptations to utilize hydrogen sulfide for energy and signaling. *J Comp Physiol B*. 2012; 182:881–897. [PubMed: 22430869]
201. Blackstone E, Morrison M, Roth MB. H_2S induces a suspended animation-like state in mice. *Science*. 2005; 308:518. [PubMed: 15845845]
202. Keilin D. Cytochrome and respiratory enzymes. *Proc R Soc B*. 1929; 104:206–252.
203. Nicholls P, Kim JK. Sulphide as an inhibitor and electron donor for the cytochrome c oxidase system. *Can J Biochem*. 1982; 60:613–623. [PubMed: 6288202]
204. Collman JP, Ghosh S, Dey A, Decreau RA. Using a functional enzyme model to understand the chemistry behind hydrogen sulfide induced hibernation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106:22090–22095. [PubMed: 20007376]
205. Boon EM, Marletta MA. Ligand discrimination in soluble guanylate cyclase and the H-NOX family of heme sensor proteins. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2005; 9:441–446. [PubMed: 16125437]

206. Farhana A, Saini V, Kumar A, Lancaster JR Jr, Steyn AJ. Environmental heme-based sensor proteins: implications for understanding bacterial pathogenesis. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2012; 17:1232–1245. [PubMed: 22494151]
207. Gilles-Gonzalez MA, Gonzalez G. Heme-based sensors: defining characteristics, recent developments, and regulatory hypotheses. *J Inorg Biochem*. 2005; 99:1–22. [PubMed: 15598487]
208. Tsai AL, Martin E, Berka V, Olson JS. How do heme-protein sensors exclude oxygen? Lessons learned from cytochrome *c*'₂, *Nostoc punctiforme* heme nitric oxide/oxygen-binding domain, and soluble guanylyl cyclase. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2012; 17:1246–1263. [PubMed: 22356101]
209. Kraus DW, Wittenberg JB, Lu JF, Peisach J. Hemoglobins of the *Lucina pectinata*/bacteria symbiosis. II. An electron paramagnetic resonance and optical spectral study of the ferric proteins. *J Biol Chem*. 1990; 265:16054–16059. [PubMed: 2168877]
210. Kraus DW, Wittenberg JB. Hemoglobins of the *Lucina pectinata*/bacteria symbiosis. I. Molecular properties, kinetics and equilibria of reactions with ligands. *J Biol Chem*. 1990; 265:16043–16053. [PubMed: 2398044]
211. Pietri R, Lewis A, Leon RG, Casabona G, Kiger L, Yeh SR, Fernandez-Alberti S, Marden MC, Cadilla CL, Lopez-Garriga J. Factors controlling the reactivity of hydrogen sulfide with hemeproteins. *Biochemistry*. 2009; 48:4881–4894. [PubMed: 19368335]
212. Pietri R, Roman-Morales E, Lopez-Garriga J. Hydrogen sulfide and hemeproteins: knowledge and mysteries. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2011; 15:393–404. [PubMed: 21050142]
213. Scheler W, Kabisch R. On the antagonistic alteration of acute H₂S poisoning in mice with methemoglobin-forming agents. *Acta Biol Med Ger*. 1963; 11:194–199. [PubMed: 14059231]
214. Smith RP, Gosselin RE. The influence of methemoglobinemia on the lethality of some toxic anions: II. sulfide. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 1964; 6:584–592. [PubMed: 14216999]
215. Park CM, Nagel RL. Sulfhemoglobinemia. Clinical and molecular aspects. *N Engl J Med*. 1984; 310:1579–1584. [PubMed: 6727926]
216. Roman-Morales E, Pietri R, Ramos-Santana B, Vinogradov SN, Lewis-Ballester A, Lopez-Garriga J. Structural determinants for the formation of sulfhemeprotein complexes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2010; 400:489–492. [PubMed: 20732304]
217. Nicholls P. The formation and properties of sulphmyoglobin and sulphcatalase. *Biochem J*. 1961; 81:374–383. [PubMed: 14479446]
218. Nakamura S, Nakamura M, Yamazaki I, Morrison M. Reactions of ferryl lactoperoxidase (compound II) with sulfide and sulfhydryl compounds. *J Biol Chem*. 1984; 259:7080–7085. [PubMed: 6725282]
219. Beinert H, Sands RH. Studies on succinic and DPNH dehydrogenase preparations by paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1960; 3:41–46.
220. Xu XM, Moller SG. Iron-sulfur clusters: biogenesis, molecular mechanisms, and their functional significance. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2011; 15:271–307. [PubMed: 20812788]
221. Crack JC, Green J, Thomson AJ, Le Brun NE. Iron-sulfur cluster sensor-regulators. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2012; 16:35–44. [PubMed: 22387135]
222. Qi W, Cowan JA. Structural, Mechanistic and Coordination Chemistry of Relevance to the Biosynthesis of Iron-Sulfur and Related Iron Cofactors. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2011; 255:688–699. [PubMed: 21499539]
223. Meguro R, Asano Y, Odagiri S, Li C, Iwatsuki H, Shoumura K. Nonheme-iron histochemistry for light and electron microscopy: a historical, theoretical and technical review. *Arch Histol Cytol*. 2007; 70:1–19. [PubMed: 17558140]
224. Shatalin K, Shatalina E, Mironov A, Nudler E. H₂S: a universal defense against antibiotics in bacteria. *Science*. 2011; 334:986–990. [PubMed: 22096201]
225. Broniowska KA, Hogg N. The chemical biology of S-nitrosothiols. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2012; 17:969–980. [PubMed: 22468855]
226. Isbell TS, Sun CW, Wu LC, Teng X, Vitturi DA, Branch BG, Kevil CG, Peng N, Wyss JM, Ambalavanan N, Schwiebert L, Ren J, Pawlik KM, Renfrow MB, Patel RP, Townes TM. SNO-hemoglobin is not essential for red blood cell-dependent hypoxic vasodilation. *Nat Med*. 2008; 14:773–777. [PubMed: 18516054]

227. Lancaster JR Jr. Protein cysteine thiol nitrosation: maker or marker of reactive nitrogen species-induced nonerythroid cellular signaling? *Nitric Oxide*. 2008; 19:68–72. [PubMed: 18503780]
228. Anand P, Stamler JS. Enzymatic mechanisms regulating protein S-nitrosylation: implications in health and disease. *J Mol Med (Berl)*. 2012; 90:233–244. [PubMed: 22361849]
229. Foster MW, Hess DT, Stamler JS. Protein S-nitrosylation in health and disease: a current perspective. *Trends Mol Med*. 2009; 15:391–404. [PubMed: 19726230]
230. Finkel T. From sulfenylation to sulfhydration: what a thiolate needs to tolerate. *Sci Signal*. 2012; 5:e10.
231. Krishnan N, Fu C, Pappin DJ, Tonks NK. H₂S-Induced sulfhydration of the phosphatase PTP1B and its role in the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. *Sci Signal*. 2011; 4:ra86. [PubMed: 22169477]
232. Sen N, Paul BD, Gadalla MM, Mustafa AK, Sen T, Xu R, Kim S, Snyder SH. Hydrogen sulfide-linked sulfhydration of NF-kappaB mediates its antiapoptotic actions. *Mol Cell*. 2012; 45:13–24. [PubMed: 22244329]
233. Yang G, Zhao K, Ju Y, Mani S, Cao Q, Puukila S, Khaper N, Wu L, Wang R. Hydrogen sulfide protects against cellular senescence via S-sulfhydration of Keap1 and activation of Nrf2. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2013; 18:1906–1919. [PubMed: 23176571]
234. Warenycia MW, Goodwin LR, Francom DM, Dieken FP, Kombian SB, Reiffenstein RJ. Dithiothreitol liberates non-acid labile sulfide from brain tissue of H₂S-poisoned animals. *Arch Toxicol*. 1990; 64:650–655. [PubMed: 2090033]
235. Viscomi C, Burlina AB, Dweikat I, Savoirdo M, Lamperti C, Hildebrandt T, Tiranti V, Zeviani M. Combined treatment with oral metronidazole and N-acetylcysteine is effective in ethylmalonic encephalopathy. *Nat Med*. 2010; 16:869–871. [PubMed: 20657580]
236. Chatterji T, Keerthi K, Gates KS. Generation of reactive oxygen species by a persulfide (BnSSH). *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2005; 15:3921–3924. [PubMed: 16005218]
237. Feher, F. *Handbook of Preparative Inorganic Chemistry*. Ferdinand Enke Verlag; Stuttgart: 1975.
238. Roy, AB.; Trudinger, PA. *The Biochemistry of Inorganic Compounds of Sulphur*. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge: 1970.
239. Rao GS, Gorin G. Reaction of cystine with sodium sulfide in sodium hydroxide solution. *J Org Chem*. 1959; 24:749–753.
240. Liu DK, Chang SG. Kinetic study of the reaction between cystine and sulfide in alkaline solutions. *Can J Chem*. 1987; 65:770–774.
241. Villarejo M, Westley J. Mechanism of rhodanese catalysis of thiosulfate-lipoate oxidation-reduction. *J Biol Chem*. 1963; 238:4016–4020. [PubMed: 14086740]
242. Schneider JF, Westley J. Metabolic interrelations of sulfur in proteins, thiosulfate, and cystine. *J Biol Chem*. 1969; 244:5735–5744. [PubMed: 5348607]
243. Cavallini D, Federici G, Barboni E. Interaction of proteins with sulfide. *Eur J Biochem*. 1970; 14:169–174. [PubMed: 5447431]
244. Jiang B, Tang G, Cao K, Wu L, Wang R. Molecular mechanism for H₂S-induced activation of K_{ATP} channels. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2010; 12:1167–1178. [PubMed: 19769462]
245. Benavides GA, Squadrito GL, Mills RW, Patel HD, Isbell TS, Patel RP, Darley-Usmar VM, Doeller JE, Kraus DW. Hydrogen sulfide mediates the vasoactivity of garlic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104:17977–17982. [PubMed: 17951430]
246. Mikami Y, Shibuya N, Kimura Y, Nagahara N, Ogasawara Y, Kimura H. Thioredoxin and dihydrolipoic acid are required for 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase to produce hydrogen sulfide. *Biochem J*. 2011; 439:479–485. [PubMed: 21732914]
247. Ishigami M, Hiraki K, Umemura K, Ogasawara Y, Ishii K, Kimura H. A source of hydrogen sulfide and a mechanism of its release in the brain. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2009; 11:205–214. [PubMed: 18754702]
248. Ogasawara Y, Ishii K, Togawa T, Tanabe S. Determination of bound sulfur in serum by gas dialysis/high-performance liquid chromatography. *Anal Biochem*. 1993; 215:73–81. [PubMed: 8297017]

249. Ogasawara Y, Isoda S, Tanabe S. Tissue and subcellular distribution of bound and acid-labile sulfur, and the enzymic capacity for sulfide production in the rat. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 1994; 17:1535–1542. [PubMed: 7735193]
250. Shibuya N, Tanaka M, Yoshida M, Ogasawara Y, Togawa T, Ishii K, Kimura H. 3-Mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase produces hydrogen sulfide and bound sulfane sulfur in the brain. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2009; 11:703–714. [PubMed: 18855522]
251. Tanabe S. Development of assay methods for endogenous inorganic sulfur compounds and sulfurtransferases and evaluation of the physiological functions of bound sulfur. *Yakugaku Zasshi.* 2008; 128:881–900. [PubMed: 18520135]
252. Togawa T, Ogawa M, Nawata M, Ogasawara Y, Kawanabe K, Tanabe S. High performance liquid chromatographic determination of bound sulfide and sulfite and thiosulfate at their low levels in human serum by pre-column fluorescence derivatization with monobromobimane. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo).* 1992; 40:3000–3004. [PubMed: 1477915]
253. Bohak Z. N-epsilon-(DL-2-amino-2-carboxyethyl)-L-lysine, a new amino acid formed on alkaline treatment of proteins. *J Biol Chem.* 1964; 239:2878–2887. [PubMed: 14216439]
254. Danehy, JP. *The Chemistry of Organic Sulfur Compounds.* Pergamon Press; New York: 1966.
255. Gawron O, Odstrchel G. Kinetic studies on the alkaline decomposition of cystine derivatives and peptides. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1967; 89:3263–3267. [PubMed: 6042762]
256. Swan JM. Mechanism of alkaline degradation of cystine residues in proteins. *Nature.* 1957; 179:965. [PubMed: 13430750]
257. Tarbell DS, Harnish DP. Cleavage of the carbon-sulfur bond in divalent sulfur compounds. *Chem Rev.* 1951; 49:1–90.
258. Cavallini D, De MC, Mondovi B. Cleavage of cystine by a pyridoxal model. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 1960; 87:281–288. [PubMed: 13808563]
259. Cavallini D, De Marco C, Mondovi B, Mori BG. The cleavage of cystine by cystathionase and the transulfuration of hypotaurine. *Enzymologia.* 1960; 22:161–173. [PubMed: 13691729]
260. Cavallini D, Mondovi B, De MC, Scioscia-Santoro A. The mechanism of desulphhydration of cysteine. *Enzymologia.* 1962; 24:253–266. [PubMed: 13877466]
261. Flavin M. Microbial transsulfuration: the mechanism of an enzymatic disulfide elimination reaction. *J Biol Chem.* 1962; 237:768–777. [PubMed: 13893449]
262. Hargrove JL, Wichman RD. A cystine-dependent inactivator of tyrosine aminotransferase copurifies with gamma-cystathionase (cystine desulfurase). *J Biol Chem.* 1987; 262:7351–7357. [PubMed: 2884219]
263. Inoue I, Oyama H, Tuboi S. On the nature of the activating enzyme of the inactive form of delta-aminolevulinic synthetase in *Rhodospseudomonas spheroides*. *J Biochem.* 1979; 86:477–482. [PubMed: 314447]
264. Ogasawara Y, Suzuki T, Ishii K, Tanabe S. Modification of liver cytosol enzyme activities promoted in vitro by reduced sulfur species generated from cystine with gamma-cystathionase. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1997; 1334:33–43. [PubMed: 9042363]
265. Yamanishi T, Tuboi S. The mechanism of the L-cystine cleavage reaction catalyzed by rat liver gamma-cystathionase. *J Biochem.* 1981; 89:1913–1921. [PubMed: 7287665]
266. Yamanishi T, Kubota I, Tuboi S. Mechanism of the activation of delta-aminolevulinic synthetase in *Rhodospseudomonas spheroides* by rat liver mitochondrial fraction. *J Biochem.* 1983; 94:181–188. [PubMed: 6604727]
267. Hargrove JL. Persulfide generated from L-cysteine inactivates tyrosine aminotransferase. Requirement for a protein with cysteine oxidase activity and gamma-cystathionase. *J Biol Chem.* 1988; 263:17262–17269. [PubMed: 2903161]
268. Pinto JT, Krasnikov BF, Cooper AJ. Redox-sensitive proteins are potential targets of garlic-derived mercaptocysteine derivatives. *J Nutr.* 2006; 136:835S–841S. [PubMed: 16484576]
269. Cooper AJ, Pinto JT. Aminotransferase, L-amino acid oxidase and beta-lyase reactions involving L-cysteine S-conjugates found in allium extracts. Relevance to biological activity? *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2005; 69:209–220. [PubMed: 15627473]
270. Buckberry LD, Patel R, Hollingworth L, Teesdale-Spittle PH. Cysteine conjugate beta-lyase activity of amino acid decarboxylases. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 1998; 26:S269. [PubMed: 9765988]

271. Cooper AJ, Pinto JT. Cysteine S-conjugate beta-lyases. *Amino Acids*. 2006; 30:1–15. [PubMed: 16463021]
272. Kutney GW, Turnbull K. Compounds containing the S=S bond. *Chem Rev*. 1982; 82:333–357.
273. Hylin JW, Wood JL. Enzymatic formation of polysulfides from mercaptopyruvate. *J Biol Chem*. 1959; 234:2141–2144. [PubMed: 13673028]
274. Fletcher JC, Robson A. The occurrence of bis-(2-amino-2-carboxyethyl) trisulphide in hydrolysates of wool and other proteins. *Biochem J*. 1963; 87:553–559. [PubMed: 16749017]
275. Rohwerder T, Sand W. The sulfane sulfur of persulfides is the actual substrate of the sulfur-oxidizing enzymes from *Acidithiobacillus* and *Acidiphilium* spp. *Microbiology*. 2003; 149:1699–1710. [PubMed: 12855721]
276. Suzuki I. Incorporation of atmospheric oxygen-18 into thiosulfate by the sulfur-oxidizing enzyme of *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1965; 110:97–101. [PubMed: 5865170]
277. Suzuki I. Oxidation of elemental sulfur by an enzyme system of *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1965; 104:359–371. [PubMed: 5855047]
278. Nagahara N, Sawada N. The mercaptopyruvate pathway in cysteine catabolism: a physiologic role and related disease of the multifunctional 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase. *Curr Med Chem*. 2006; 13:1219–1230. [PubMed: 16719781]
279. Sorbo B. Enzymic transfer of sulfur from mercaptopyruvate to sulfate or sulfinate. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1957; 24:324–329. [PubMed: 13436433]
280. Jarabak R, Westley J. Steady-state kinetics of 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase from bovine kidney. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 1978; 185:458–465. [PubMed: 564663]
281. Meister A, Fraser PE, Tice SV. Enzymatic desulfuration of beta-mercaptopyruvate to pyruvate. *J Biol Chem*. 1954; 206:561–575. [PubMed: 13143015]
282. Westrop GD, Georg I, Coombs GH. The mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase of *Trichomonas vaginalis* links cysteine catabolism to the production of thioredoxin persulfide. *J Biol Chem*. 2009; 284:33485–33494. [PubMed: 19762467]
283. Stuedel R. The chemistry of organic polysulfanes R-S_n-R (n > 2). *Chem Rev*. 2002; 102:3905–3945. [PubMed: 12428982]
284. Munro AP, Williams DLH. Reactivity of sulfur nucleophiles towards S-nitrosothiols. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans*. 2000; 2:1794–1797.
285. Seel F, Kuhn R, Simon G, Wagner M. *Z Naturforsch Teil B*. 1607; 40:1985.
286. Seel F, Wagner M. Über die umsetzung von sulfiden mit stickstoffmonoxid in wäßrigen lösungen. *Z Anorg Allg Chem*. 1988; 558:189–192.
287. Tchir PO, Spratley RD. The infrared spectrum and force field of matrix isolated cis-thionylimide (HNSO). *Can J Chem*. 1975; 53:2311–2317.
288. Tchir PO, Spratley RD. The photolysis of matrix isolated cis-thionylimide. 1. The identification and infrared spectra of cis-HOSN, HSNO, and SNO. *Can J Chem*. 1975; 53:2318–2330.
289. Tchir PO, Spratley RD. The photolysis of matrix isolated cis-thionylimide. 2. The identification and infrared spectra of trans-HNSO and NSO. *Can J Chem*. 1975; 53:2331–2336.
290. Timerghazin QK, English AM, Peshherbe GH. On the multireference character of S-nitrosothiols: A theoretical study of HSNO. *Chem Phys Lett*. 8 A.D; 454:24–29.
291. Timerghazin QK, Peshherbe GH, English AM. Structure and stability of HSNO, the simplest S-nitrosothiol. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2008; 10:1532–1539. [PubMed: 18327309]
292. Ondrias K, Stasko A, Cacanyiova S, Sulova Z, Krizanova O, Kristek F, Malekova L, Knezl V, Breier A. H₂S and HS⁻ donor NaHS releases nitric oxide from nitrosothiols, metal nitrosyl complex, brain homogenate and murine L1210 leukaemia cells. *Pflugers Arch*. 2008; 457:271–279. [PubMed: 18458940]
293. Teng X, Scott IT, Crawford JH, Bosworth CA, Giles GI, Koenitzer JR, Lancaster JR, Doeller JE, Kraus W, Patel P. Novel method for measuring S-nitrosothiols using hydrogen sulfide. *Methods Enzymol*. 2008; 441:161–172. [PubMed: 18554534]
294. Tomaskova Z, Cacanyiova S, Benco A, Kristek F, Dugovicova L, Hrbac J, Ondrias K. Lipids modulate H₂S/HS⁻ induced NO release from S-nitroglutathione. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2009; 390:1241–1244. [PubMed: 19879245]

295. Gutmann A. Über die einwirkung von alkalisulfid auf natrium-äthylthiosulfat. *Ber Dtsch Chem Ges.* 1915; 48:1162–1166.
296. Sörbo B. On the metabolism of thiosulfate esters. *Acta Chem Scand.* 1958; 12:1990–1996.
297. Sörbo B. On the reaction between cystinedisulfoxide and hydrogen sulfide. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1956; 22:570–571. [PubMed: 13382889]
298. Doeller JE, Isbell TS, Benavides G, Koenitzer J, Patel H, Patel RP, Lancaster JR Jr, Darley-Usmar VM, Kraus DW. Polarographic measurement of hydrogen sulfide production and consumption by mammalian tissues. *Anal Biochem.* 2005; 341:40–51. [PubMed: 15866526]
299. Kraus DW, Doeller JE. Sulfide consumption by mussel gill mitochondria is not strictly tied to oxygen reduction: measurements using a novel polarographic sulfide sensor. *J Exp Biol.* 2004; 207:3667–3679. [PubMed: 15371475]
300. Whiteman M, Le TS, Chopra M, Fox B, Whatmore J. Emerging role of hydrogen sulfide in health and disease: critical appraisal of biomarkers and pharmacological tools. *Clin Sci (Lond).* 2011; 121:459–488. [PubMed: 21843150]
301. Jurkowska H, Wrobel M. N-acetyl-L-cysteine as a source of sulfane sulfur in astrocytoma and astrocyte cultures: correlations with cell proliferation. *Amino Acids.* 2008; 34:231–237. [PubMed: 17450321]
302. Kimura H. Metabolic turnover of hydrogen sulfide. *Front Physiol.* 2012; 3:101. [PubMed: 22529818]
303. Shibuya N, Koike S, Tanaka M, Ishigami-Yuasa M, Kimura Y, Ogasawara Y, Fukui K, Nagahara N, Kimura H. A novel pathway for the production of hydrogen sulfide from D-cysteine in mammalian cells. *Nat Commun.* 2013; 4:1366. [PubMed: 23340406]
304. Chen W, Liu C, Peng B, Zhao Y, Pacheco A, Xian M. New fluorescent probes for sulfane sulfurs and the application in bioimaging. *Chem Sci.* 2013; 4:2892–2896. [PubMed: 23750317]
305. Linden DR, Levitt MD, Farrugia G, Szurszewski JH. Endogenous production of H₂S in the gastrointestinal tract: still in search of a physiologic function. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2010; 12:1135–1146. [PubMed: 19769466]
306. Olson KR. Is hydrogen sulfide a circulating “gasotransmitter” in vertebrate blood? *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2009; 1787:856–863. [PubMed: 19361483]
307. Olson KR. The therapeutic potential of hydrogen sulfide: separating hype from hope. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2011; 301:R297–R312. [PubMed: 21543637]
308. Olson KR. Hydrogen sulfide: both feet on the gas and none on the brake? *Front Physiol.* 2013; 4:2. [PubMed: 23355823]

Highlights

- includes a comprehensive survey of literatures on the basic physical and chemical properties of H₂S and H₂S chemistry,
- focuses on the chemical foundation of H₂S biology and methodology,
- introduces standard terminology to the H₂S field,
- calls attention to chemical misconceptions in the studies of H₂S.

Table 1Apparent second order rate constants of H₂S reactions with different oxidants.

| Oxidants | k (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) | Conditions | References |
|-------------------------------|--|--|------------|
| O ₂ ^{•-} | 1.5 × 10 ⁶ | pH 7.8 | [153] |
| | (6.5 ± 0.9) × 10 ⁴ | pH 7.8 and 25 °C | [154] |
| H ₂ O ₂ | 0.73 ± 0.03 | pH 7.4 and 37 °C | [155] |
| | 1.22 | pH 7.4 and 25 °C ^a | [156] |
| | ~ 1 | pH 7.8 | [154] |
| HOCl/OCl | 2 × 10 ⁹ | pH 7.4, 25 °C and ionic strength 1.0 M | [157] |
| | (8 ± 3) × 10 ⁷ | pH 7.4 and 37 °C | [155] |
| ONOOH/ONOO ⁻ | (4.8 ± 1.4) × 10 ³ | pH 7.4 and 37 °C | [155] |
| | (8 ± 2) × 10 ³ | pH 7.4 and 37 °C | [158] |
| | (3.3 ± 0.4) × 10 ³ | pH 7.4 and 23 °C | [158] |
| •OH | 1.5 × 10 ¹⁰ | pH 6 | [159] |
| | 9.0 × 10 ⁹ | pH 10.5 | [159] |
| •NO ₂ | (3.0 ± 0.3) × 10 ⁶ | pH 6 and 25 °C | [155] |
| | (1.2 ± 0.1) × 10 ⁷ | pH 7.5 and 25 °C | [155] |
| CO ₃ ^{•-} | (2.0 ± 0.3) × 10 ⁸ | pH 7.0 and 20 ± 2 °C | [160] |

^aCalculated based on pK_{a1} 7.0 and Hoffmann's rate law as discussed in the text.