

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Invest Dermatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Invest Dermatol. 2014 February ; 134(2): 562–565. doi:10.1038/jid.2013.311.

Downregulation of SAMHD1 expression correlates with promoter DNA methylation in Sézary syndrome patients

Suresh de Silva¹, Feifei Wang^{1,*}, Timothy S. Hake^{2,*}, Pierluigi Porcu^{2,3,4}, Henry K. Wong^{2,3,5,#}, and Li Wu^{1,2,#}

¹Center for Retrovirus Research, Department of Veterinary Biosciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

²Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

³Department of Internal Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

⁴Division of Hematology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

⁵Division of Dermatology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Keywords

Sézary Syndrome; *SAMHD1* expression; Promoter DNA methylation; Peripheral blood mononuclear cells

TO THE EDITOR

While only about 15% of non-Hodgkin lymphomas are T-cell lymphomas, the treatment of this subset of neoplasms remains a significant challenge in the field of hematological cancers owing to unclear mechanisms regulating malignant T-cell growth. Cutaneous T cell lymphoma (CTCL) identifies a group of extranodal T-cell lymphomas characterized by the infiltration of malignant CD4⁺ T-cells in the skin (Wong *et al.*, 2011). Sézary syndrome (SS) is an aggressive subtype of CTCL defined by diffuse pruritic rash, lymphadenopathy and malignant T-cells in the peripheral blood. The mechanisms underlying the proliferation of neoplastic CD4⁺ T-cells in SS are not fully understood, but abnormal epigenetic regulation of gene expression including silencing of tumor suppressor genes (TSG) likely plays an important role (van Doorn *et al.*, 2005). Epigenetic mechanisms are critical contributors in cancer initiation and progression through modulation of gene expression, and transcriptional repression of TSG via epigenetic mechanisms occurs in many cancers (Baylin and Jones, 2011).

Sterile alpha motif (SAM) and HD domain containing protein 1 (SAMHD1) is the first identified mammalian triphosphohydrolase that hydrolyzes deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), implicating a role in nucleic acid metabolism (Goldstone *et al.*, 2011). SAMHD1 acts as an HIV-1 restriction factor in myeloid-cells and quiescent CD4⁺ T-cells by diminishing the intracellular dNTP pool to a level that is insufficient for viral replication

^{*}These two authors contributed equally to this study.

Conflict of interest

[#]To whom correspondence should be addressed: Henry K. Wong, Division of Dermatology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, 2012 Kenny Road, Office 227, Columbus, OH 43221, USA. Tel.: 614-293-4464, Fax: 614-293-8090. henry.wong@osumc.edu. Li Wu, Center for Retrovirus Research, Department of Veterinary Biosciences, The Ohio State University, 1900 Coffey Road, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA, Tel.: 614-292-5408, Fax: 614-292-6473, wu.840@osu.edu.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

de Silva et al.

(Baldauf *et al.*, 2012; Lahouassa *et al.*, 2012). Non-dividing CD4⁺ T-cells, monocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells from healthy individuals express high levels of SAMHD1 protein and have significantly lower levels of intracellular dNTPs compared to activated CD4⁺ T-cells, while several leukemia/lymphoma CD4⁺ T-cell lines lack SAMHD1 protein expression and have increased dNTP levels necessary for cell division (Baldauf *et al.*, 2012; Hrecka *et al.*, 2011; Laguette *et al.*, 2011). We found that promoter methylation represses *SAMHD1* expression in human leukemia/lymphoma CD4⁺ T cell lines, while the *SAMHD1* promoter is unmethylated in primary CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes from healthy donors that express high levels of SAMHD1 protein (de Silva*et al.*, 2013). The role of SAMHD1 in cancer remains unknown.

To explore the potential role of SAMHD1 in CTCL, we measured *SAMHD1* mRNA levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 14 healthy donors and nine CTCL patients using a real-time quantitative-PCR (qPCR) assay (de Silva *et al.*, 2013). These patients included 8 with SS and one with advanced stage mycosis fungoides (MF) (Table 1), which are two related subtypes of CTCL originating from CD4⁺ skin-homing T-cells (Wong *et al.*, 2011). Interestingly, qPCR results revealed that PBMCs from the 8 SS patients and an advanced stage MF patient expressed on average 3-fold lower *SAMHD1* mRNA levels (*P*=0.0013, two-sample *t*-test) compared to those from 14 healthy donors (Figure 1a and Table 1). These results indicate that *SAMHD1* expression is significantly downregulated in PBMCs from SS patients relative to healthy individuals.

Next we examined whether the downregulation of SAMHD1 mRNA levels would translate to reduced SAMHD1 protein levels in PBMCs of CTCL patients. To this end we measured expression levels of surface CD4 and intracellular SAMHD1 proteins in PBMCs from three CTCL patients with high circulating neoplastic T-cells (patients #3, #4, and #5 in Figure 1b and Table 1) and four healthy donors using immunostaining and flow cytometry (Figure 1b) (Descours et al., 2012). The limited sample size was due to available PBMCs only from three CTCL patients. Total SAMHD1-positive cells in PBMCs from CTCL patients $(37\pm9\%)$ were significantly lower (P=0.0024) than those from healthy donors $(71\pm6\%)$ (Figure 1c). Furthermore, the percentage of SAMHD1-expressing cells in CD4-negative PBMCs from CTCL patients $(3\pm 2\%)$ was significantly lower than that from healthy donors (19±8%) (P=0.023). Analysis of SAMHD1 and CD4 double-positive cells in PBMCs from CTCL patients $(34\pm9\%)$ and healthy donors $(52\pm8\%)$ showed a statistical difference (P=0.042), suggesting significant downregulation of SAMHD1 protein expression in the neoplastic CD4⁺ cell subset from CTCL patients. Given that PBMCs from CTCL patients comprise mainly of CD4⁺ cells, we also compared the percentage of SAMHD1-expressing cells in the CD4⁺ gated population between healthy donor samples ($81\pm3\%$) and CTCL patient samples (46±6%) and found a significant reduction (P=0.0024) in SAMHD1expressing cells (supplemental Figure S1).

We hypothesized that the *SAMHD1* promoter in PBMCs from the CTCL patients is methylated and thereby inhibits *SAMHD1* expression. To compare the methylation status of the *SAMHD1* promoter in PBMCs from the CTCL patients selected and healthy donors, genomic DNA of PBMCs was treated with the methylation-sensitive HpaII endonuclease, or left untreated, and then subjected to PCR amplification using *SAMHD1* promoter-specific primers as described (de Silva *et al.*, 2013). The *SAMHD1* promoter contains five HpaII sites, and methylation of these sites prevents digestion by HpaII. The intact undigested sequence can serve as a template for PCR amplification to yield a 1.2-kb product. As an input control of genomic DNA, a 0.25-kb region within the *GAPDH* gene lacking HpaII sites was PCR amplified (Figure S2). The *SAMHD1* promoter in PBMCs from eight of nine CTCL patients tested was methylated (Figure S2a, 1.2-kb bands). Strikingly, PBMCs from eight healthy donors showed that the *SAMHD1* promoter was unmethylated (Figure S2b).

J Invest Dermatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 01.

The purity of the genomic DNA for complete enzyme digestion as well as the intact nature of the HpaII sites in the SAMHD1 promoter sequence in healthy donor and CTCL patient genomic DNA was confirmed by restriction digestion with MspI (an isoschizomer of HpaII), which cleaves the HpaII site irrespective of its methylation status (Figure S3).

Densitometry analysis of the PCR products in Figure S2 was used to quantify the relative level of methylation of the *SAMHD1* promoter in PBMCs from CTCL patients and healthy donors. Our analysis revealed 51-fold higher average levels of methylation of the *SAMHD1* promoter in PBMCs from 9 CTCL patients (*P*=0.0052) relative to 8 healthy donors (Figure 1d and Table 1). These results suggest a positive correlation between downregulation of *SAMHD1* expression and methylation of the *SAMHD1* promoter in PBMCs from CTCL patients. However, we observed a lack of correlation between reduced SAMHD1 expression and promoter methylation in patient #3, which suggests that besides promoter methylation, other transcriptional and epigenetic regulatory mechanisms such as microRNA and histone modifications, may also contribute to the regulation of SAMHD1 expression in CTCL patients.

SAMHD1 somatic mutations have been identified in patients with lung adenocarcinoma, medulloblastoma, glioblastoma, breast, pancreatic and colorectal cancers, albeit at a very low frequency (Imielinski *et al.*, 2012; Jones *et al.*, 2008; Parsons *et al.*, 2008; Parsons *et al.*, 2008; Parsons *et al.*, 2001; Sjoblom *et al.*, 2006). Transcriptional repression of TSG through DNA methylation and histone modifications is a common mechanism of gene silencing in numerous types of cancer. Inhibition of epigenetic suppression *in vitro* using specific inhibitors to block DNA methyltransferase and/or histone deacetylase can reactivate expression of TSG silenced in cancer. Downregulation of dNTP catabolic enzymes such as SAMHD1 may lead to imbalances in the intracellular dNTP pool, which can induce mutations and genomic instability as key features of CTCL.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Olivier Schwartz (Institut Pasteur) for the kind gift of SAMHD1 antibody (clone I19-18) and Heather Hoy for her excellent technical assistance. This work was supported in part by grants AI098524 and AI102822 to LW, and CA164911 to HW and PP from the National Institutes of Health. LW is supported in part by the Public Health Preparedness for Infectious Diseases Program of The Ohio State University.

References

- Baldauf HM, Pan X, Erikson E, Schmidt S, Daddacha W, Burggraf M, et al. SAMHD1 restricts HIV-1 infection in resting CD4(+) T cells. Nat Med. 2012; 18:1682–9. [PubMed: 22972397]
- Baylin SB, Jones PA. A decade of exploring the cancer epigenome biological and translational implications. Nat Rev Cancer. 2011; 11:726–34. [PubMed: 21941284]
- de Silva S, Hoy H, Hake TS, Wong HK, Porcu P, Wu L. Promoter methylation regulates SAMHD1 gene expression in human CD4+ T cells. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:9284–92. [PubMed: 23426363]
- Descours B, Cribier A, Chable-Bessia C, Ayinde D, Rice G, Crow Y, et al. SAMHD1 restricts HIV-1 reverse transcription in quiescent CD4+ T-cells. Retrovirology. 2012; 9:87. [PubMed: 23092122]
- Goldstone DC, Ennis-Adeniran V, Hedden JJ, Groom HC, Rice GI, Christodoulou E, et al. HIV-1 restriction factor SAMHD1 is a deoxynucleoside triphosphate triphosphohydrolase. Nature. 2011; 480:379–82. [PubMed: 22056990]

- Hrecka K, Hao C, Gierszewska M, Swanson SK, Kesik-Brodacka M, Srivastava S, et al. Vpx relieves inhibition of HIV-1 infection of macrophages mediated by the SAMHD1 protein. Nature. 2011; 474:658–61. [PubMed: 21720370]
- Imielinski M, Berger AH, Hammerman PS, Hernandez B, Pugh TJ, Hodis E, et al. Mapping the hallmarks of lung adenocarcinoma with massively parallel sequencing. Cell. 2012; 150:1107–20. [PubMed: 22980975]
- Jones S, Zhang X, Parsons DW, Lin JC, Leary RJ, Angenendt P, et al. Core signaling pathways in human pancreatic cancers revealed by global genomic analyses. Science. 2008; 321:1801–6. [PubMed: 18772397]
- Laguette N, Sobhian B, Casartelli N, Ringeard M, Chable-Bessia C, Segeral E, et al. SAMHD1 is the dendritic- and myeloid-cell-specific HIV-1 restriction factor counteracted by Vpx. Nature. 2011; 474:654–7. [PubMed: 21613998]
- Lahouassa H, Daddacha W, Hofmann H, Ayinde D, Logue EC, Dragin L, et al. SAMHD1 restricts the replication of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by depleting the intracellular pool of deoxynucleoside triphosphates. Nat Immunol. 2012; 13:223–8. [PubMed: 22327569]
- Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, Lin JC, Leary RJ, Angenendt P, et al. An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme. Science. 2008; 321:1807–12. [PubMed: 18772396]
- Parsons DW, Li M, Zhang X, Jones S, Leary RJ, Lin JC, et al. The genetic landscape of the childhood cancer medulloblastoma. Science. 2011; 331:435–9. [PubMed: 21163964]
- Sjoblom T, Jones S, Wood LD, Parsons DW, Lin J, Barber TD, et al. The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers. Science. 2006; 314:268–74. [PubMed: 16959974]
- van Doorn R, Zoutman WH, Dijkman R, de Menezes RX, Commandeur S, Mulder AA, et al. Epigenetic profiling of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: promoter hypermethylation of multiple tumor suppressor genes including BCL7a, PTPRG, and p73. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23:3886–96. [PubMed: 15897551]
- Wong HK, Mishra A, Hake T, Porcu P. Evolving insights in the pathogenesis and therapy of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome). Br J Haematol. 2011; 155:150–66. [PubMed: 21883142]

de Silva et al.



Figure 1. Comparison of SAMHD1 expression levels and the SAMHD1 promoter methylation in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from CTCL patients and healthy donors (a) SAMHD1 mRNA levels in PBMCs from 14 healthy donors and 9 CTCL patients (refer to Table 1) were measured by qPCR and normalized to GAPDH levels. The average levels of SAMHD1 mRNA levels are shown. The error bars represent standard error of the mean. (b) Intracellular SAMHD1 and surface CD4 proteins in PBMCs from CTCL patients and healthy donors were detected by immunostaining and flow cytometry. Donor and patient numbers are indicated above the plots. The percentages of cells positive for CD4 only (upper left quadrant), SAMHD1 only (lower right quadrant), or double positive for CD4 and SAMHD1 (upper right quadrant) are indicated. (c) Flow cytometry-based quantification of SAMHD1-expressing (+) cells in PBMCs from healthy donors and CTCL patients. (d) Quantification of relative levels of SAMHD1 promoter methylation in PBMCs from 9 CTCL patients and 8 healthy donors. ImageJ program was used to quantify the intensity of the SAMHD1 promoter-specific PCR bands in Figure S2 and the relative level of methylation was calculated by setting the intensity of the HpaII-untreated sample as 100 and calculating the percentage intensity of the PCR band in the HpaII-treated samples relative to its matching untreated control. The error bars represent standard error of the mean.

_	
Ĭ	
<u> </u>	

	Ų.
1	Ċ
	ð
•	Ĕ
1	5
	õ
	-
	Ē
-	
1	+
	q
	Ξ
	ç
د	È
	J.
ς	_
4	Ś
*	2
٢	Υ
2	Ś.
F	-
•	Ξ
	_
	≍
	2
٦,	Ē
	3
1	5
	2
1	Ļ
	đ.
	ć
	ç
	<u>ب</u>
	۵
,	Ē
	C
	P
	≍
	Ļ
	7
	-
	C
	Ē
	2
4	1
⊢	-
¢	_
٢	Υ
	F
•	-
1	
Ē	-
2	
CLL.	
CLLL K	
CLIP KY	AMHU
	AMHI
CLLY KYC.	NAMHI
CLIFE FOU	DT NAMHIU
	OT NAM HI
	S OT NAMHIU
	ULANANAI
	VELS OF VAMMIN
	VELS OF VAMMIN
	IPVPIS OF VAMPIU
	PANHID AMHID
	PELEVELS OF VAMINI
	IVE EVELS OF VAMINI
	TIVE EVELS OF NAMIN
	ATIVE LEVELS OF NAMIN
	PIATIVE LEVELS OF NAMINI
	Telative levels of NAMHIJ
	Trelative levels of NAMHIJ
	IN TELATIVE LEVELS OF NAMIHIU
	and relative levels of NAMHIJ
	and relative levels of NAMIHI
	s and relative levels of NAMHU
	TS AND FEIATIVE LEVELS OF NAMINU
	ULANA and relative levels of VANAL
	Tents and relative levels of NAMHI
	thents and relative levels of NAMHI
	batients and relative levels of NAMHI
	natients and relative levels of NAMHI
	natients and relative levels of NAMHI
	I patients and relative levels of ΔMHD
	(1) natients and relative levels of NAMHI)
	I. I. natients and relative levels of NAMHIJ
	I I natients and relative levels of NAMHI
	(1) hattents and relative levels of NAMHI)
	T (1 (1 nationts and relative levels of NAMHI)
	of (11, hattents and relative levels of NAMHI)
	of (1) hattents and relative levels of ΔMHD
	on of (11, natients and relative levels of NAMHI)
	ion of (1) hattents and relative levels of VAMHD
	viton of ((natients and relative levels of NAMHI)
	ation of (1) hattents and relative levels of $\lambda AMHD$
	mation of (1) hattents and relative levels of $\lambda AMHD$
	rmation of (11, natients and relative levels of NAMHI)
	ormation of (11, natients and relative levels of NAMHI)
	tormation of (11, natients and relative levels of NAMHI)
	ntormation of (1) hattents and relative levels of NAMHI)
	information of (1) hattents and relative levels of NAMHI)
	intormation of (1) patients and relative levels of $AMHD$
	a information of (1 (1 vatients and relative levels of NAMHI)
	ical information of (1) hattents and relative levels of $NAMHD$
	nical information of (1) hattents and relative levels of NAMHD
	inical information of (1 (1, patients and relative levels of $NAMHD$
	linical information of (11, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,

Patient	CTCL subtype ^a	Disease stage	$CD4^+$ cells (%) b	Absolute CD4 count	CD4: CD8 ratio	Sézary cell count (%)	Relative <i>SAMHD1</i> mRNA levels ^c	Relative <i>SAMHD1</i> promoter methylation levels ^d
#1	SS	IVA	98	aV/N	N/A	29	0.079	30.74
#2	SS	IVA	93	N/A	N/A	45	0.995	39.31
#3	SS	IVA2	80	N/A	N/A	31	0.217	0.000
#4	SS	IVA	65	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.387	19.43
#5	SS	IVA2	78	N/A	N/A	61	0.469	135.79
9#	MF	IIB	30	163	1.8	N/A	0.048	92.27
L#	SS	IVA	85	1785	28	N/A	0.483	19.34
8#	SS	IVA2	88	3346	82	N/A	0.229	43.29
6#	SS	IVA	82	1466	13	N/A	0.441	81.32
^a SS: Séza	ry syndrome, MF: myc	cosis fungoides. N	lo patient in this group) was treated with methot	rexate. These patient	s have been on bexar	otene and interferon at some tirr	ne during their treatments.

 b CD4⁺ cells (%) indicate percentage of CD4-positive cells in patient PBMCs by flow cytometry analysis.

^cRelative levels of SAMHD1 mRNA in PBMCs from 9 CTCL patients (mean±SD is 0.372±0.285) compared to the average level of 14 healthy donors (1.113±0.553).

 $d_{\rm Relative}$ levels of *SAMHD1* promoter methylation in PBMCs from 9 CTCL patients (mean \pm SD is 51.27 \pm 43.32) compared to the average level of 8 healthy donors (1.000 \pm 1.703).

[€]N/A, not available.