

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Neuropharmacology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Neuropharmacology. 2013 December; 0: . doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.07.036.

Active DNA Demethylation in Post-Mitotic Neurons: A Reason for Optimism

David P. Gavin, MD^{a,b}, Kayla A. Chase, PhD^a, and Rajiv P. Sharma, MD^{a,b}

^aThe Psychiatric Institute, Department of Psychiatry, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1601 W. Taylor St., Chicago, IL 60612

^bJesse Brown Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 820 South Damen Avenue (M/C 151), Chicago, IL 60612

Abstract

Over the last several years proteins involved in base excision repair (BER) have been implicated in active DNA demethylation. We review the literature supporting BER as a means of active DNA demethylation, and explain how the various components function and cooperate to remove the potentially most enduring means of epigenetic gene regulation. Recent evidence indicates that the same pathways implicated during periods of widespread DNA demethylation, such as the erasure of methyl marks in the paternal pronucleus soon after fertilization, are operational in post-mitotic neurons. Neuronal functional identities, defined here as the result of a combination of neuronal subtype, location, and synaptic connections are largely maintained through DNA methylation. Chronic mental illnesses, such as schizophrenia, may be the result of both altered neurotransmitter levels and neurons that have assumed dysfunctional neuronal identities. A limitation of most current psychopharmacological agents is their focus on the former, while not addressing the more profound latter pathophysiological process. Previously, it was believed that active DNA demethylation in post-mitotic neurons was rare if not impossible. If this were the case, then reversing the factors that maintain neuronal identity, would be highly unlikely. The emergence of an active DNA demethylation pathway in the brain is a reason for great optimism in psychiatry as it provides a means by which previously pathological neurons may be reprogrammed into a more favorable role. Agents targeting epigenetic processes have shown much promise in this regard, and may lead to substantial gains over traditional pharmacological approaches.

Keywords

CpG methylation; 5-methylcytosine; DNA methyltransferase; 5-hydroxymethylcytosine; TET; GADD45

1. Introduction

Approximately 70–80% of cytosines in CpG dinucleotides are methylated in human somatic cells. Generally, CpG methylation in gene promoter or enhancer regions is associated with

Correspondence: David P. Gavin, MD, Department of Psychiatry, University of Illinois at Chicago and Jesse Brown Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 820 South Damen Avenue (M/C 151), Chicago, IL 60612, Tel.: (312) 569-7422; Fax: (312) 569-8114. dgavin@psych.uic.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

repressed transcription (Razin et al., 1980), while gene body methylation is positively correlated with gene expression (Laurent et al., 2010; Lister et al., 2009; Rauch et al., 2009). This highly stable covalent modification to DNA in mammals produces potentially lifelong changes in gene expression making it integral to maintaining stable cellular identities When a CpG dinucleotide is not methylated on either DNA strand the de novo DNA methyltransferases, DNMT3a and DNMT3b, are primarily responsible for adding a methyl group. When one strand is already methylated the complementary strand is methylated via a maintenance DNMT, primarily DNMT1 (Sharma et al., 2010). While the factors that guide a DNMT to a specific CpG have not been fully elucidated, it is generally believed that DNMTs are recruited through their interactions with transcription factors, chromatin proteins (see section 3 below), as well as other proteins. In cultured cell lines, transcription factors shown to promote the targeting of DNMTs include DNMT1 and 3a by PML-RAR (Di Croce et al., 2002) and DNMT1 by Daxx (Muromoto et al., 2004) and E2F6 (Velasco et al., 2010).

DNA methylation gene silencing has been shown to be important not only for maintaining cellular subtypes, but also contributes to sustaining functional identities, including stabilizing neuronal interactions (Bird, 2002; Cortese et al., 2011; De Carvalho et al., 2010; Deaton et al., 2011; Iwamoto et al., 2011; Levenson et al., 2006). This is best exemplified by its contribution to long-term memory. DNA methylation silencing of the memory suppressor gene, protein phosphatase 1 (PP1), in combination with reduced methylation of the synaptic plasticity gene reelin have been shown to be associated with new memory formation (Miller et al., 2007). The same group has also shown that cortical DNA methylation changes at specific genes are associated with consolidating and maintaining memory, and that established memories can be weakened using DNMT inhibitors (Miller et al., 2010). Similarly, DNMT1 and DNMT3a double knockout mice have been shown to have deficits in learning and memory (Feng et al., 2010), while restoration of DNMT3a2 levels in aged mice leads to improved memory (Oliveira et al., 2012). Based on these studies it appears in general DNA methylation changes are important for memory formation. However, it has not yet been determined which methylation changes at specific genomic loci are functionally involved in memory formation and which are passive events perhaps not even affecting gene transcription. Further, while neurotransmitters largely constitute the message neurons communicate to each other the neurons to which they communicate may be largely hardwired using DNA methylation and histone modifications (Sharma et al., 2012b). With few exceptions all current psychopharmacological agents target neurotransmitter levels or their receptors and not these more profound factors. In mental disorders, such as schizophrenia, presumably there are abnormalities in both domains.

Without the existence of active DNA demethylation neurons once misprogrammed could never be reprogrammed as the DNA methyl mark can persist across a lifetime as well as be passed to subsequent generations (Anway et al., 2006; Heijmans et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 1999; Rakyan et al., 2003). The potential to pharmacologically alter this code would then be minimal due to the stability of the covalent bonds that link methyl groups to cytosines. The importance of recent insights into the DNA demethylation pathway cannot be understated as this raises the possibility that neurons containing a gene expression profile that contributes to mental illness encoded by DNA methylation can be reprogrammed using epigenetic tools.

2. DNA demethylation

Until recently the existence of active DNA demethylation remained somewhat controversial (Ooi et al., 2008). While it was known that DNA can become demethylated passively as cells divide, when a maintenance DNMT does not act prior to cell division, active removal of methyl groups from cytosine or removal of the 5-methylcytosine (5MC) molecule itself

was thought to be a rare occurrence (Ooi et al., 2008). However, there are several reasons, beyond recent direct evidence, that suggest the existence of a demethylation pathway. First, the abundance of DNMTs in nondividing cells, including neurons implies a DNA demethylation pathway (Sharma et al., 2008b). If not for active DNA demethylation in neurons high levels of DNMT1 and DNMT3a would be expected to lead to progressive accumulation of DNA methylation as animals age, which we now know is not the case (Numata et al., 2012). Secondly, genes silenced in nondividing cells through DNA methylation can be reactivated. For example, activity-dependent demethylation has been shown in neurons as has wide-spread non-mitotic dependent demethylation in early mammalian embryos (Chen et al., 2003; Martinowich et al., 2003; Reik et al., 2001). Finally, DNMT inhibitors or knockout of DNMTs in post-mitotic neurons have been shown to decrease DNA methylation (Feng et al., 2010; Levenson et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2007). In cultured mouse neurons 5-azacytidine was shown to reduce methylcytosine levels at the N-methyl D-aspartate receptor subtype 2B (NR2B) and a Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) promoter (Marutha Ravindran et al., 2005; Nelson et al., 2008). In the latter study the authors demonstrate that this DNMT inhibitor-induced demethylation was dependent on basal excitatory synaptic activity (Nelson et al., 2008). In other words, while it is not clear whether DNMT inhibitors can induce demethylation in a completely inactive cell, in the brain where there is constant spontaneous neuronal electrical activity DNMT inhibitors are capable of reducing DNA methylation without training. However, it should be stated that in the mouse brain neither the use of DNMT inhibitors nor in DNMT1 and DNMT3a double-knockouts is there an increase in reelin and PP1 expression (Feng et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2007). Considering the stability of the covalent bond linking a methyl group to a cytosine residue, simply inhibiting new 5MC formation would not be expected to significantly decrease its levels without the existence of a demethylation mechanism. Further, many DNMT inhibitors are cytosine analogs which act by incorporation into DNA where they trap DNMTs, thereby inhibiting their enzymatic activities (Liu et al., 2003; Schermelleh et al., 2005; Stresemann et al., 2008). It was recently shown that cytosine analogs can be incorporated into the genome of non-dividing cells (Yamagata et al., 2012). The fact that cytosine analogs are incorporated into DNA in nondividing cells at a high enough rate to substantially trap DNMTs implies a mechanism of demethylation that involves replacing entire cytosine bases, such as a base excision repair (BER) process rather than removing methyl groups from cytosines. In the brain, DNA demethylation has been shown to be induced through activity-dependent processes, such as electroconvulsive seizure (ECS) and learning paradigms (Guo et al., 2011; Lubin et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2009a). Hypermethylated GABAergic gene promoters can be reduced using histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors (Dong et al., 2010).

Several mechanisms of DNA demethylation have been proposed over the last several decades. These include a pathway in which RNA is a key component, one in which the methyl group is removed from 5MC forming an unmethylated cytosine and methanol, and a nucleotide excision repair (NER) based pathway (Barreto et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2000a; Zhu et al., 2000b). While controversies and lack of replication of earlier studies have slowed progress, there has been a consistent accumulation of studies implicating members of a BER pathway in DNA demethylation making this a promising pathway for further investigation (Jin et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2010).

3. DNA methylation and histone modifications

Restrictive epigenetic changes such as DNA methylation and histone modifications have been implicated in a variety of mental disorders. The process of chromatin condensation or 'heterochromatization' involves the accumulation of certain histone modifications, such as methylated lysines 9 (H3K9) and 27 (H3K27) of histone 3, and DNA CpG methylation at a

gene promoter leading to reduced gene expression. These histone modifications and the enzymes that catalyze their formation are known to interact both directly and indirectly with DNA methyltransferases (DNMT) and methyl-CpG binding proteins (MBD), whereby the factors catalyzing the formation of restrictive histone marks promote increased DNA methylation and vice versa forming a heterochromatization positive feedback loop. The histone protein H1d recruits DNMT1 and 3b, the H3K9 histone methyltransferase (HMT) SUV39H1 recruits DNMT3a and another H3K9 HMT, G9a, recruits DNMT3a and 3b (Epsztein-Litman et al., 2008; Fuks et al., 2003). Heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) has been shown to be necessary for DNMT recruitment to specific loci as well (Fuks et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2013). On the other hand, MBDs MeCP2 and MBD2 are capable of recruiting histone deacetylases (HDAC) to a heavily DNA methylated region (Jones et al., 1998; Nan et al., 1998). This leads to a reduction of the 'open' histone acetyl mark. In addition, DNMT1 can directly interact with G9a to form more restrictive chromatin (Esteve et al., 2006). DNMTs can also bind to the H3K27 methyltransferases (Vire et al., 2006). Other direct interactions between DNMTs and histone modifying enzymes are known to exist as well (Fuks et al., 2003; Li et al., 2006).

While the process of forming 'constitutive' heterochromatin or the less transcriptionally repressive 'facultative' heterochromatin have been described in the literature there has been very little focus on the reversal of this state (Sharma et al., 2012b). Considering many mental disorders are characterized by local or global epigenetic abnormalities a better understanding of the components responsible for the alleviation of restrictive chromatin may indicate novel targets for promoting endogenous mediators that convert heterochromatin to transcriptionally facilitative euchromatin.

4. Base excision repair (BER)

In plants, active DNA demethylation is a well-characterized process in which the accepted mechanism involves BER (Gehring et al., 2009). Over the last four years there is increasing support that a similar BER pathway is involved in active DNA demethylation in animals as well. Recent studies indicate that in the adult mouse brain and in embryonic stem cells 5MC is first oxidized to form 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5HMC) catalyzed by ten-eleven translocation (TET) enzymes (Guo et al., 2011; Ito et al., 2010; Koh et al., 2011). These TET enzymes may then further oxidize 5HMC to sequentially form 5-formylcytosine (5FC) and 5-carboxylcytosine (5CaC) (Ito et al., 2011). Alternatively to the formation of 5FC and 5CaC, 5MC or 5HMC can be deaminated by cytidine deaminases such as, activationinduced cytidine deaminase (AICDA) or apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like protein (APOBEC), forming either a thymidine (T) or a 5hydroxymethyluracil (5HMU) base (Guo et al., 2011). The resultant mismatches, whether 5CaC:G, T:G or 5HMU:G are removed through a process involving thymidine or uracil glycosylases (Guo et al., 2011; He et al., 2011; Matsubara et al., 2004). However, it has also been suggested that 5FC and 5CaC may be converted directly back to unmethylated cytosine via the expulsion of formic acid or decarboxylation, respectively (Fig. 1) (Ito et al., 2011).

4.1. TET

TET participates in DNA demethylation by catalyzing the formation of 5HMC (Fig. 2) (Ito et al., 2010). Evidence for the role of TET in DNA demethylation has emerged from the developmental and neuroscience literature. Despite the fact that the TET family of enzymes can all convert 5MC to 5HMC the three isoforms share just 20% protein sequence identity. The differences in terms of function have yet to be fully elucidated. However, there is evidence that TET3 is more important in early embryonic development, as TET3 knockout mice are not viable (Gu et al., 2011). This is likely due to the fact that TET3 is the only TET significantly expressed in the zygote (Wossidlo et al., 2010). TET1 and TET2 knockout

mice are viable and fertile (Dawlaty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2011; Moran-Crusio et al., 2011), although TET1-knockout mice have reduced body mass and smaller litter size (Dawlaty et al., 2011). There is also evidence that TET proteins may differ in the sequences they target. In chimeric B-cell-embryonic germ cells TET1 was necessary for reprogramming mature somatic cell imprinted control regions and TET2 was more broadly necessary for reactivating 'stemness' genes, such as OCT4 (Piccolo et al., 2013).

In the cortex it has been demonstrated that TET3 is the most highly expressed followed by TET2, although TET1 is also detectable (Hahn et al., 2013). In the hippocampus, mice with reduced levels of TET1 are incapable of demethylating BDNF and Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) following ECS (Guo et al., 2011), indicating the necessity of TET in activity-dependent DNA demethylation.

5HMC was first reported in 1952 in bacteriophages (Wyatt et al., 1952). Its importance in neuroscience is indicated by the fact that in the brain 5HMC accounts for 10-40% of modified cytosines (Szulwach et al., 2011). Generally, 5HMC is enriched in exons, including in the brain (Jin et al., 2011). This differs from 5MC which is present at high levels globally, except at gene promoters and CpG islands (Lister et al., 2009). Experiments in vitro and using embryonic stem cells indicate that promoter 5HMC is associated with reduced expression and bivalent histone domains (Pastor et al., 2011; Robertson et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011), while when located in the gene body there appears to be an association with increased expression (Pastor et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011). In the brain, 5HMC enrichment at promoters was not associated with increased transcription, but was when located in the gene body (Jin et al., 2011; Mellen et al., 2012). In various neuronal subtypes however, there may be differences in regards to the degree to which 5HMC is associated with increased expression. One factor to account for these differences among neurons has been proposed to be the amount of MeCP2 binding (Mellen et al., 2012). Prior in vitro data and experiments using embryonic stem cells indicated that 5HMC is incapable of binding certain MBD proteins, including MeCP2, leading to decondensed chromatin and increased gene expression (Ficz et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2010; Valinluck et al., 2004). However, Mellen et al. recently demonstrated that MeCP2 is the main 5HMC binding protein in neurons and when bound leads to increased transcription (Mellen et al., 2012). Further indications of this protranscriptional role is the fact that 5HMC is an intermediate step in the removal of 5MC (Guo et al., 2011).

4.2. Cytidine Deaminases

The cytidine deaminase family of related proteins that include AICDA (also known as AID) and APOBECs, were originally identified as RNA editors (Conticello, 2008). Cytidine deaminases are responsible for removing amine groups from both 5MC and 5HMC resulting in the formation of thymidine or 5HMU, respectively (Fig. 2) (Guo et al., 2011; Morgan et al., 2004). In the adult mouse brain, APOBEC1 has an essential role in demethylating BDNF IXa and FGF1b following ECS (Guo et al., 2011). In the human parietal cortex, only APOBEC3A, APOBEC3C and APOBEC4 are detectable at significant levels (Dong et al., 2012). Whether these three enzymes are primarily responsible for deamination of 5HMC in the human cortex remains to be clarified.

In 2008 two studies were published indicating cyclical methylation/demethylation in part mediated by DNMTs, DNMT3a and DNMT3b (Kangaspeska et al., 2008; Metivier et al., 2008). Metivier et al. suggest that the role of DNMTs in DNA demethylation is as cytidine deaminases when donor methyl concentration is low. They further hypothesize that these enzymes promote demethylation when in association with TDG, but promote methylation under other conditions. However, the authors could not exclude that DNMT3a/3b recruit other deaminases and do not themselves participate directly in the demethylation process.

4.3. Thymidine glycosylases

The DNA glycosylases implicated in the BER pathway include MBD4, thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) and single-strand selective monofunctional uracil-DNA glycosylase 1 (SMUG1) (Cortellino et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2011; Rai et al., 2008). Thymidine or uracil glycosylases are thought to participate in base excision repair DNA demethylation by removing thymidine or 5HMU from the T:G or 5HMU:G mismatch that results from deamination of 5MC or 5HMC, respectively (Fig. 2). TDG, SMUG1, and MBD4 are all capable of removing 5HMU in a 5HMU:G mismatch (Cortellino et al., 2011; Hashimoto et al., 2012). However, it should be noted that SMUG1 knockouts are incapable of 5HMU removal in the brain (Kemmerich et al., 2012). In cultured cells lacking TDG, genes involved in embryogenesis and development are the most significantly misregulated (Cortazar et al., 2011). These findings may suggest that while TDG is more important developmentally, SMUG1 serves a more prominent role in demethylation in the adult brain.

4.4. GADD45s

The Growth Arrest and DNA Damage 45 (GADD45) family of proteins, GADD45a, b, and g, are a group of small acidic non-enzymatic proteins of ~18 kDa in size, with a 67% protein sequence homology. GADD45a and GADD45b have both been repeatedly implicated in DNA demethylation (Barreto et al., 2007; Cortellino et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2009b; Rai et al., 2008; Schmitz et al., 2009), while to our knowledge there have been no studies indicating whether GADD45g shares this ability. GADD45 proteins may be the lynchpins of the demethylation process in that they bind to and focus the enzymatic activities of cytidine deaminases and thymidine glycosylases to specific gene promoters. In co-immunoprecipitation experiments a direct physical interaction has been demonstrated between AICDA, TDG and GADD45a in cultured cells (Cortellino et al., 2011), while in zebrafish embryos a similar tripartite protein complex consisting of AICDA or APOBEC, MBD4 and GADD45a has been documented (Rai et al., 2008). Of note, GADD45 proteins are known to bind to nuclear hormone receptors (Yi et al., 2000) and preferentially to hyperacetylated nucleosomes (Carrier et al., 1999), suggesting that GADD45 proteins may also be involved in targeting promoters for demethylation.

In neuronal cultures GADD45b and GADD45g, but not GADD45a expression are increased after depolarization with either NMDA or KCl (Ma et al., 2009b; Sultan et al., 2012). In vivo, GADD45b is induced in the brain by seizures, whether kainite-induced or via ECS (Hevroni et al., 1998; Lemberger et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2009b; Nedivi et al., 1993), and after long-term potentiation (Hevroni et al., 1998). In behavioral models GADD45b and GADD45g, but again not GADD45a, are increased in the amygdala after fear conditioning and in the hippocampus by both context exposure learning and fear conditioning (Keeley et al., 2006; Leach et al., 2012; Sultan et al., 2012).

Recently, two papers have been published in regards to the role of GADD45b in the hippocampus. Leach et al. published an article demonstrating that GADD45b knockout mice have deficits in hippocampus-dependent memory based on contextual fear conditioning experiments 24 hours, but not 1 hour after initial training (Leach et al., 2012). These findings are in accord with data indicating that GADD45b is a positive regulator of neurotrophic factors such as BDNF, FGF, and neurogenesis, in the hippocampus as a result of its role in active demethylation (Ma et al., 2009b). In contrast, Sultan et al. found that GADD45b deficient knockouts perform better on measures of hippocampus-dependent contextual fear conditioning after 24 hours that persisted at 28 days compared to wild-type littermates (Sultan et al., 2012). Further, their data suggest that this is not the result of compensation by other GADD45b proteins, as GADD45a and GADD45g are similarly expressed in knockout mice and increase comparably in neuronal cultures treated with KCl

from wild-type and GADD45b knockouts. Their conclusion is that GADD45b may be a negative regulator of memory perhaps by demethylating memory suppressor gene promoter regions, thereby increasing the expression of genes such as PP1 and calcineurin (Sultan et al., 2012). The reasons for the opposing observations regarding GADD45b and hippocampus-dependent learning are not clear. Sultan et al. suggest several possibilities, such as background strain differences, differences in housing or training facility environments, or differences in training paradigms (Sultan et al., 2012).

4.5. Downstream BER Proteins

After the pyrimidine base (whether 5HMU or T) is excised by a thymidine glycosylase, Apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) Endonuclease-1 (APE1, also known as APEX1), one of the major mammalian AP endonucleases cleaves the DNA backbone immediately 5' of the now abasic site (Madhusudan et al., 2005). The APE1 catalyzed DNA strand-break is a strong inducer of Poly ADP Ribose Polymerase-1 (PARP1), stimulating its enzymatic activity several hundred fold resulting in PARP1 dimerization at the break site (Jorgensen et al., 2009; Langelier et al., 2012; Mendoza-Alvarez et al., 1993). PARP1 plays several critical roles in DNA demethylation, including: 1) Inducing the expression of TET1; 2) Inhibiting DNMT1 expression; 3) Inhibiting DNMT1 enzymatic activity by preferentially binding PARP1 rather than DNA; 4) Catalyzing the addition of Poly(ADP-Ribose) polymers (PARs) groups to histone proteins (referred to as PARylation) thereby lessening their affinity for DNA; and 5) Recruiting the scaffold protein X-ray repair cross-complementing protein-1 (XRCC1) (Beneke, 2012; Ciccarone et al., 2012; Reale et al., 2005; Zardo et al., 2002). At the apyrimidinic site PARylation facilitates XRCC1 forming a complex with DNA ligase 3α , DNA polymerase β , and other proteins (Beneke, 2012). This complex replaces the missing nucleotide with an unmethylated cytosine.

PARP1 is abundantly present in neurons and glia (Strosznajder et al., 2010), and is required for long-term neuronal plasticity in Aplysia and mice (Cohen-Armon et al., 2004; Goldberg et al., 2009; Hernandez et al., 2009). The kinetics of the PARylation process are consistent with its role in activity-dependent processes in the brain. It was shown that ethanol can increase nuclear PAR group levels in cultured neurons within 15 minutes, its protein expression increases within 4 hours, and PARylation peaks at 6-8 hours (Cherian et al., 2008). Therefore, it is possible that PARP1 has a role in these rapid processes. It should be stated that of the four DNA demethylation mechanisms PARP1 participates in some would likely be rapid and some would be long-term ways of facilitating demethylation. For example, inducing TET1 or reducing DNMT1 expression would likely be more delayed effects while PARylating proteins is rapid. Despite the evidence that PARP1 has a role in DNA demethylation it has been reported that PARP1 inhibition does not prevent the KClinduced increase in BDNF IV in cultured neurons (Chang et al., 2010). However, this is just one gene and may not be reflective of the overall role of PARP1 across the genome. Further studies aimed at testing whether PARP1 inhibition can prevent DNA demethylation in activity-dependent processes in neurons are necessary to support its role in this process.

5. Schizophrenia and DNA Demethylation

In a genomewide experiment abnormal DNA methylation networks have been demonstrated in psychotic patients (Mill et al., 2009). Also, a wide variety of DNA methylation alterations at individual gene promoters has been reported, including GAD1, reelin, BDNF, Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT), and SOX10 (Abdolmaleky et al., 2006; Guidotti et al., 2011; Iwamoto et al., 2005). We recently reported that the reduction of BDNF expression in the parietal cortex of schizophrenia patients was associated with an increase of 5HMC and 5MC at a BDNF promoter (Gavin et al., 2012). BDNF is a neurotrophic factor involved in synaptic plasticity inducible by activity dependent processes and is highly epigenetically

regulated (Roth et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2010). The reduction in the expression of this neuroplasticity gene in schizophrenia has been previously reported by several labs (Thompson Ray et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2010). Furthermore, the schizophrenia candidate gene involved in synaptic plasticity, reelin, may be downregulated in schizophrenia perhaps via increased promoter DNA methylation (Abdolmaleky et al., 2005; Fatemi et al., 2005; Grayson et al., 2005). However, inconsistent results from postmortem studies (Dempster et al., 2006; Lintas et al., 2010; Mill et al., 2008; Tochigi et al., 2008) suggest that the DNA methylation status of a specific promoter is the result of a dynamic equilibrium resulting from the summation of DNMT activity and DNA demethylation factors (Dong et al., 2010; Szyf, 2010).

Many of the initial studies of epigenetic changes in psychosis and schizophrenia indicated an increase in either restrictive chromatin marks, such as DNA methylation or histone modifications, or increases in the enzymes that catalyze the reactions that lead to their formation. Increases in DNMTs have been reported in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) as well as patient peripheral blood cells (Guidotti et al., 2011; Veldic et al., 2005; Veldic et al., 2007; Zhubi et al., 2009). Similarly, increases in restrictive histone modifications have been reported in peripheral blood cells as well as increases in certain enzymes that catalyze their formation, such as HDACs in the brain (Benes et al., 2007; Gavin et al., 2008; Gavin et al., 2009a; Gavin et al., 2009b; Gavin et al., 2009c; Sharma et al., 2008a). Now that some of the components of the DNA demethylation pathway have begun to be discovered it is somewhat surprising that many of these components are elevated in psychosis.

GADD45b, GADD45g, MBD4, TET1, and APE1 have all been reported to be elevated in psychotic illnesses compared to nonpsychiatric controls. Elevated GADD45g was the first of these genes reported to be increased in the PFC of patients with schizophrenia (Arion et al., 2007). Subsequently, Benes et al. reported a significant increase in the expression of MBD4 in GABA cells in hippocampal regions CA3/2 and CA1 both in patients with schizophrenia and with bipolar disorder, and APE1 in CA1 of patients with bipolar disorder (Benes et al., 2009). More recently, two articles from our group specifically aimed at examining the DNA demethylation pathway in psychosis have indicated increased TET1 and GADD45b in parietal cortices, and GADD45b in the PFC (Dong et al., 2012; Gavin et al., 2012). In a reanalysis of publicly accessible datasets, the National Brain Databank: Brain Tissue Gene Expression Repository of Harvard Brain Tissue Resource Center (Harvard) and the Scripps Research Institute dataset (GEO accession GSE21138) (Scripps), we find a fairly consistent increase in this pathway as well. For example, comparing nonpsychiatric controls to patients with schizophrenia reveals an increase in GADD45b and GADD45g in both the Harvard and Scripps datasets in the PFC in schizophrenia, an increase in AICDA, APOBEC3A, APOBEC3F, and TET3 in the Harvard dataset in the PFC, and an increase in APOBEC3B, APOBEC3G, and MBD4 in the PFC in the Scripps dataset (Fig. 3). It should be stated that increases in demethylating genes in schizophrenia are not entirely consistent. For example, Benes et al. found a decrease in PARP1 expression in the hippocampus of bipolar patients, Dong et al. reported decreased APOBEC3A and 3C in the parietal cortex, and we did not find a difference in MBD4 expression in the parietal cortex of psychotic subjects from the Stanley Neuropathology Consortium (Benes et al., 2006; Dong et al., 2012; Gavin et al., 2012). Regardless, the tendency for increases in these factors across several cohorts and laboratories indicates a potential pathway abnormality that warrants further investigation.

Several possibilities exist to explain the increase in BER gene expression. First, it is possible that select areas of the genome are hypomethylated in schizophrenia perhaps without an accompanying increase in gene expression. Indications of this come from the study of the GAD1 gene, a reduction of which is perhaps the most highly replicated finding in schizophrenia molecular biological research (Akbarian et al., 2006; Guidotti et al., 2000;

Huang et al., 2007; Straub et al., 2007; Thompson Ray et al., 2011). Huang et al. documented that areas of the GAD1 promoter isolated based on the presence of a restrictive histone modification have decreased methylated DNA associated with decreased GAD1 expression (Huang et al., 2007). Second, the increase in the BER pathway may be in reaction to increases in DNA methylating enzymes that have been reported in psychosis, such as DNMTs. Third, this could be accounted for by an impediment in the DNA demethylation process. A functional failure is perhaps evidenced by fewer single strand DNA breaks, a hallmark of the BER process, in anterior cingulate cortices of patients with schizophrenia (Benes et al., 2003). It is possible that restrictive histone modifications are responsible for impeding access of GADD45b or other members of the BER pathway to DNA. This is supported by our observation that GADD45b is significantly less bound to the BDNF IX promoter and associated with increased promoter methylation in psychotic subjects compared to controls despite increased GADD45b expression (Gavin et al., 2012). Another possibility is based on the observation that many of the components of the BER pathway are reactive to cellular stress outside of their role in DNA demethylation. Given the overall poorer health, and potential impacts of medications, neurons of psychotic subjects may be under increased genotoxic stress, which could increase expression of members of this pathway as well. Finally, Dong et al. reported that APOBEC3A and 3C are reduced in psychosis (Dong et al., 2012). If the block in this pathway lies in a cytidine deaminases deficiency, then this may induce the expression of other BER members through a feedback loop.

6. Utilizing DNA demethylation to Reprogram Neurons

Aberrant connections maintained by restrictive histone modifications and DNA methylation have been hypothesized to contribute to the maintenance of psychopathology (Guidotti et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2012b). One means of reversing this state could be accomplished by inhibiting the participants of the transcription silencing process of heterochromatization (Sharma et al., 2012b). However, merely inhibiting the spread of restrictive chromatin cannot alone reactivate previously silenced genes. This is evidenced by the observation that inhibiting the enzyme that catalyzes the formation of the restrictive histone modification dimethylated lysine 9 of histone 3 (H3K9me2) using BIX-01294 increases not decreases DNA methylation in cultured fetal artery cells (Yang et al., 2012). This indicates that while the spreading and seeding of heterochromatin can be attenuated by preventing a particular restrictive chromatin mark it may not necessarily lead to the induction of an open chromatin state. Therefore, it becomes necessary to also understand the pathways responsible for reversing heterochromatin or 'euchromatization.' This opening of chromatin likely involves the cooperation of proteins responsible for DNA demethylation in conjunction with other proteins capable of reversing repressive histone marks.

Although it remains unclear what the initial steps are for reversing restrictive chromatin the progression from heterochromatin to euchromatin can be inferred from several findings. Likely, one of the initial steps is the removal of methyl groups from histone lysine residues such as H3K9. This can be deduced from the fact that H3K9 methylation and acetylation are mutually exclusive. In vitro experiments indicate that H3K9 demethylases can completely remove methyl groups from synthetic histone peptides within 30 minutes (Horton et al., 2010). In neuronal culture studies depolarization was found to cause a significant reduction of H3K9me2 at 90 minutes. At this same time point there was a significant increase in the amount of H3K9 acetylation (Chen et al., 2003). Once this H3K9 methylation/acetylation switch is 'flipped' transcription factors are first able to bind (Thomassin et al., 2001). Transcription itself promotes DNA demethylation. This may be the result of transcription factors and nuclear hormone receptors recruiting GADD45 and TDG proteins to gene promoter regions (Cortellino et al., 2011; Yi et al., 2000). Histone acetylation also promotes

DNA demethylation through several different mechanisms (Thomassin et al., 2001). First, in cell lines expressions of all three GADD45 genes are induced by increases in histone acetylation (Campanero et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2002; Della Ragione et al., 2001; Hirose et al., 2003), and GADD45 proteins have been shown to bind to acetylated histones more avidly than nonacetylated histones (Carrier et al., 1999). TDG has been shown to directly interact with histone acetyltransferases (Tini et al., 2002), and PARP1 is activated by histone acetylation (Hassa et al., 2005; Masson et al., 1997; Messner et al., 2009; Ryu et al., 2010). Once activated PARP1 can PARylate histone protein amino acid residues such as H2AK13, H2BK30, H3K27, H3K37 and H4K16 (Messner et al., 2010). The combination of the charge neutralizing effects of histone acetylation with histone PARylation which does not merely neutralize the positive charge of the amino-acid side chain, but in fact reverses it into a negative charge weaken histone-DNA interactions thereby facilitating the DNA demethylation process (Ramanathan et al., 1989). Following DNA demethylation additional transcription factors are then able to bind. The demethylated site provides a memory of the original stimulus, allowing for subsequent induction of gene expression to progress stronger and more rapidly (Kress et al., 2001). The removal of 5MC also allows for H3K4 methyltransferase binding. The prerequisite of demethylated DNA is based on the observation that H3K4 methyltransferases, such as MLL proteins selectively bind unmethylated CpGs (Allen et al., 2006; Ayton et al., 2004; Birke et al., 2002). In addition to allowing for transcription, H3K4 methylation may immunize local DNA from being rapidly remethylated as DNMTs (specifically DNMT3L and DNMT3a) are repelled by H3K4 methylation (Ciccone et al., 2009; Nan et al., 1998; Ooi et al., 2007; Thomson et al., 2010). H3K4 methylation is facilitated by PARP1 which through PARylation inhibits the recruitment of one of its demethylases, KDM5B (Krishnakumar et al., 2010).

Clearly, several steps in euchromatization are not fully understood. For example, how TET can bind 5MC and convert it to 5HMC in association with bivalent histone marks which include methylated H3K4, when the H3K4 methyltransferase is only able to bind locations where there is no 5MC is still under active investigation (Matarese et al., 2011; Pastor et al., 2011). Moreover, the role of H3K27 demethylation in this process has not been fully elucidated (McGarvey et al., 2006). Further studies to clarify the sequence of events are still required (Fig. 4).

Considering the bidirectional relationship between 'open' histone modifications and DNA demethylation the question becomes how this connection can be exploited to relieve the restrictive chromatin state that may be present in some psychiatric disorders. Normal reprogramming that takes place in vivo during the formation of primordial germ cells (PGCs) or in the early post-fertilization embryo is heavily reliant upon DNA demethylation (Hajkova et al., 2008; Kafri et al., 1992). Somatic cells can be reprogrammed into induced pluripotent stem cells through the overexpression of 'stemness' genes OCT4, SOX2, KLF4 and c-MYC (Takahashi et al., 2006). The overexpression of these four genes is known to lead to the DNA demethylation of other downstream stemness genes, such as NANOG and ESRRB (Doege et al., 2012; Hajkova et al., 2008; Kafri et al., 1992). In nondividing heterokaryons consisting of fused mouse embryonic stem cells with human fibroblasts rapid DNA demethylation occur at the OCT4 and NANOG promoters, accompanied by their transcriptional induction.

Although differentiated cell reprogramming can be accomplished using heterokaryons or transfected 'stemness' genes, these methods are clearly not practical in a clinical setting. Moreover, if one's intention is not induction of a toti- or pluripotent embryonic state, but a rearrangement of neuronal function to reverse a pathological state, then more subtle epigenetic manipulations may be required. Ideally, this intervention would be targeted to the diseased neuronal population that is the locus from which the pathology emanates. However,

considering for most psychiatric disorders there is no known specific cell type or region in the brain primarily responsible for the condition this is not possible. Therefore, this reprogramming could be considered a partial brain-wide 'reboot' whereby many of the entrenched epigenetic marks are erased with the potential to form new, non-pathogenic marks.

It has been shown that small molecule pharmacology that targets histone modifications and DNA methylation is capable of reactivating 'stemness' genes (Sharma et al., 2012a; Shi et al., 2008a; Shi et al., 2008b). Moreover, DNA methylation inhibitors, such as 5-azacytidine, have been shown to be the most powerful agents in reprogramming differentiated cells such as mouse fibroblasts and B lymphocytes (Mikkelsen et al., 2008). Another option is to target histone modifications that indirectly affect DNA methylation. For example, HDAC inhibitors, such as valproic acid, are able to induce DNA demethylation in plasmids, endogenous DNA, and in nondividing cells such as neurons (Detich et al., 2002; Detich et al., 2003; Dong et al., 2010). It remains to be established whether methylated H3K4 increasing drugs, such as lysine-specific demethylase-1 (LSD1) inhibitor tranylcypromine can promote DNA demethylation, although one could presume this is the case based on the inability of DNMTs to bind methylated H3K4 (Table 1) (Allen et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2006).

6. Conclusion

DNA methylation is the most stable of all epigenetic modifications, leading to the belief that it was irreversible. Recent evidence indicates that the BER pathway is the most likely method of active DNA demethylation. Mental disorders, including schizophrenia, have been shown to be characterized by a variety of epigenetic abnormalities. Some have reported these to be present at specific gene loci, while others have reported more generalized abnormalities. The chronic nature of most psychiatric disorders implies that the abnormality is maintained through a highly stable means of gene regulation, such as DNA methylation. As such the discovery over the last several years regarding the mechanisms involved in the removal of this enduring mark provides a promising therapeutic target for reversing otherwise lifelong illnesses. We suggest that by pharmacologically manipulating DNA methylation it may become possible to reprogram neurons that have formed aberrant connections thereby allowing them to form new beneficial synapses.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by a NARSAD Young Investigator Award donation from The Family of Joseph M. Evans and the Department of Veterans Affairs (Merit Review Grant; Career Development Award (CDA-2)) (DPG) and National Institutes of health (NIH) R01 MH094358 grant (RPS).

Abbreviations

5-carboxylcytosine
5-formylcytosine
5-hydroxymethylcytosine
5-hydroxymethyluracil
5-methylcytosine
activation-induced cytidine deaminase
Apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) endonuclease
apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like

BDNF	brain-derived neurotrophic factor
BER	base excision repair
COMT	Catechol-O-methyltransferase
CpG	cytosine-phosphate-guanine
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
ECS	electroconvulsive seizure
FGF	fibroblast growth factor
G	guanine
GADD45	Growth Arrest and DNA Damage
H3K9me2	dimethylated lysine 9 of histone 3
H3K27	lysine 27 of histone 3
HDAC	histone deacetylase
LSD1	lysine-specific demethylase-1
KCl	potassium chloride
MBD	methyl-CpG binding domain
MeCP2	methyl CpG binding protein 2
NER	nucleotide excision repair
NMDA	N-Methyl-D-aspartic acid
NR2B	N-methyl D-aspartate receptor subtype 2B
PARP1	poly ADP ribose polymerase-1
PFC	prefrontal cortex
PGC	primordial germ cells
PP1	protein phosphatase 1
Т	thymidine
TDG	thymine-DNA glycosylase
TET	ten-eleven translocation
SMUG1	single-strand selective monofunctional uracil-DNA glycosylase 1
XRCC1	X-ray Repair Cross-Complementing protein-1

References

Abdolmaleky HM, Cheng KH, Faraone SV, et al. Hypomethylation of MB-OMT promoter is a major risk factor for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Human Molecular Genetics. 2006; 15:3132–3145. [PubMed: 16984965]

Abdolmaleky HM, Cheng KH, Russo A, et al. Hypermethylation of the reelin (RELN) promoter in the brain of schizophrenic patients: A preliminary report. American Journal of Medical Genetics.Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics : The Official Publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics. 2005; 134B:60–66.

Akbarian S, Huang HS. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of altered GAD1/GAD67 expression in schizophrenia and related disorders. Brain Research Reviews. 2006; 52:293–304. [PubMed: 16759710]

- Allen MD, Grummitt CG, Hilcenko C, Min SY, Tonkin LM, Johnson CM, Freund SM, Bycroft M, Warren AJ. Solution structure of the nonmethyl-CpG-binding CXXC domain of the leukaemiaassociated MLL histone methyltransferase. The EMBO Journal. 2006; 25:4503–4512. [PubMed: 16990798]
- Anway MD, Leathers C, Skinner MK. Endocrine disruptor vinclozolin induced epigenetic transgenerational adult-onset disease. Endocrinology. 2006; 147:5515–5523. [PubMed: 16973726]
- Arion D, Unger T, Lewis DA, Levitt P, Mirnics K. Molecular evidence for increased expression of genes related to immune and chaperone function in the prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. Biological Psychiatry. 2007; 62:711–721. [PubMed: 17568569]
- Ayton PM, Chen EH, Cleary ML. Binding to nonmethylated CpG DNA is essential for target recognition, transactivation, and myeloid transformation by an MLL oncoprotein. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2004; 24:10470–10478. [PubMed: 15542854]
- Barreto G, Schafer A, Marhold J, et al. Gadd45a promotes epigenetic gene activation by repairmediated DNA demethylation. Nature. 2007; 445:671–675. [PubMed: 17268471]
- Beneke S. Regulation of chromatin structure by poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation. Frontiers in Genetics. 2012; 3:169. [PubMed: 22969794]
- Benes FM, Lim B, Matzilevich D, Walsh JP, Subburaju S, Minns M. Regulation of the GABA cell phenotype in hippocampus of schizophrenics and bipolars. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2007; 104:10164–10169. [PubMed: 17553960]
- Benes FM, Lim B, Subburaju S. Site-specific regulation of cell cycle and DNA repair in post-mitotic GABA cells in schizophrenic versus bipolars. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009; 106:11731–11736. [PubMed: 19564623]
- Benes FM, Matzilevich D, Burke RE, Walsh J. The expression of proapoptosis genes is increased in bipolar disorder, but not in schizophrenia. Molecular Psychiatry. 2006; 11:241–251. [PubMed: 16288314]
- Benes FM, Walsh J, Bhattacharyya S, Sheth A, Berretta S. DNA fragmentation decreased in schizophrenia but not bipolar disorder. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2003; 60:359–364. [PubMed: 12695312]
- Bhattacharya SK, Ramchandani S, Cervoni N, Szyf M. A mammalian protein with specific demethylase activity for mCpG DNA. Nature. 1999; 397:579–583. [PubMed: 10050851]
- Bird A. DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. Genes & Development. 2002; 16:6–21. [PubMed: 11782440]
- Birke M, Schreiner S, Garcia-Cuellar MP, Mahr K, Titgemeyer F, Slany RK. The MT domain of the proto-oncoprotein MLL binds to CpG-containing DNA and discriminates against methylation. Nucleic Acids Research. 2002; 30:958–965. [PubMed: 11842107]
- Campanero MR, Herrero A, Calvo V. The histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A induces GADD45 gamma expression via oct and NF-Y binding sites. Oncogene. 2008; 27:1263–1272. [PubMed: 17724474]
- Carrier F, Georgel PT, Pourquier P, et al. Gadd45, a p53-responsive stress protein, modifies DNA accessibility on damaged chromatin. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 1999; 19:1673–1685. [PubMed: 10022855]
- Chang J, Zhang B, Heath H, Galjart N, Wang X, Milbrandt J. Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD)-regulated DNA methylation alters CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF)/cohesin binding and transcription at the BDNF locus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2010; 107:21836–21841. [PubMed: 21106760]
- Chen WG, Chang Q, Lin Y, Meissner A, West AE, Griffith EC, Jaenisch R, Greenberg ME. Derepression of BDNF transcription involves calcium-dependent phosphorylation of MeCP2. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2003; 302:885–889.
- Chen Z, Clark S, Birkeland M, Sung CM, Lago A, Liu R, Kirkpatrick R, Johanson K, Winkler JD, Hu E. Induction and superinduction of growth arrest and DNA damage gene 45 (GADD45) alpha and beta messenger RNAs by histone deacetylase inhibitors trichostatin A (TSA) and butyrate in SW620 human colon carcinoma cells. Cancer Letters. 2002; 188:127–140. [PubMed: 12406558]

- Cherian PP, Schenker S, Henderson GI. Ethanol-mediated DNA damage and PARP-1 apoptotic responses in cultured fetal cortical neurons. Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research. 2008; 32:1884–1892.
- Ciccarone F, Klinger FG, Catizone A, Calabrese R, Zampieri M, Bacalini MG, De Felici M, Caiafa P. Poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation acts in the DNA demethylation of mouse primordial germ cells also with DNA damage-independent roles. PloS One. 2012; 7:e46927. [PubMed: 23071665]
- Ciccone DN, Su H, Hevi S, Gay F, Lei H, Bajko J, Xu G, Li E, Chen T. KDM1B is a histone H3K4 demethylase required to establish maternal genomic imprints. Nature. 2009; 461:415–418. [PubMed: 19727073]
- Cohen-Armon M, Visochek L, Katzoff A, Levitan D, Susswein AJ, Klein R, Valbrun M, Schwartz JH. Long-term memory requires polyADP-ribosylation. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2004; 304:1820– 1822.
- Conticello SG. The AID/APOBEC family of nucleic acid mutators. Genome Biology. 2008; 9:229. [PubMed: 18598372]
- Cortazar D, Kunz C, Selfridge J, et al. Embryonic lethal phenotype reveals a function of TDG in maintaining epigenetic stability. Nature. 2011; 470:419–423. [PubMed: 21278727]
- Cortellino S, Xu J, Sannai M, et al. Thymine DNA glycosylase is essential for active DNA demethylation by linked deamination-base excision repair. Cell. 2011; 146:67–79. [PubMed: 21722948]
- Cortese R, Lewin J, Backdahl L, Krispin M, Wasserkort R, Eckhardt F, Beck S. Genome-wide screen for differential DNA methylation associated with neural cell differentiation in mouse. PloS One. 2011; 6:e26002. [PubMed: 22028803]
- Dawlaty MM, Ganz K, Powell BE, et al. Tet1 is dispensable for maintaining pluripotency and its loss is compatible with embryonic and postnatal development. Cell Stem Cell. 2011; 9:166–175. [PubMed: 21816367]
- De Carvalho DD, You JS, Jones PA. DNA methylation and cellular reprogramming. Trends in Cell Biology. 2010; 20:609–617. [PubMed: 20810283]
- Deaton AM, Webb S, Kerr AR, Illingworth RS, Guy J, Andrews R, Bird A. Cell type-specific DNA methylation at intragenic CpG islands in the immune system. Genome Research. 2011; 21:1074– 1086. [PubMed: 21628449]
- Della Ragione F, Criniti V, Della Pietra V, Borriello A, Oliva A, Indaco S, Yamamoto T, Zappia V. Genes modulated by histone acetylation as new effectors of butyrate activity. FEBS Letters. 2001; 499:199–204. [PubMed: 11423116]
- Dempster EL, Mill J, Craig IW, Collier DA. The quantification of COMT mRNA in post mortem cerebellum tissue: Diagnosis, genotype, methylation and expression. BMC Medical Genetics. 2006; 7:10. [PubMed: 16483362]
- Detich N, Bovenzi V, Szyf M. Valproate induces replication-independent active DNA demethylation. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2003; 278:27586–27592. [PubMed: 12748177]
- Detich N, Theberge J, Szyf M. Promoter-specific activation and demethylation by MBD2/ demethylase. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2002; 277:35791–35794. [PubMed: 12177048]
- Di Croce L, Raker VA, Corsaro M, et al. Methyltransferase recruitment and DNA hypermethylation of target promoters by an oncogenic transcription factor. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2002; 295:1079–1082.
- Doege CA, Inoue K, Yamashita T, et al. Early-stage epigenetic modification during somatic cell reprogramming by Parp1 and Tet2. Nature. 2012; 488:652–655. [PubMed: 22902501]
- Dong E, Chen Y, Gavin DP, Grayson DR, Guidotti A. Valproate induces DNA demethylation in nuclear extracts from adult mouse brain. Epigenetics : Official Journal of the DNA Methylation Society. 2010; 5:730–735. [PubMed: 20716949]
- Dong E, Gavin DP, Chen Y, Davis J. Upregulation of TET1 and downregulation of APOBEC3A and APOBEC3C in the parietal cortex of psychotic patients. Translational Psychiatry. 2012; 2:e159. [PubMed: 22948384]
- Epsztejn-Litman S, Feldman N, Abu-Remaileh M, et al. De novo DNA methylation promoted by G9a prevents reprogramming of embryonically silenced genes. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology. 2008; 15:1176–1183.

- Esteve PO, Chin HG, Smallwood A, Feehery GR, Gangisetty O, Karpf AR, Carey MF, Pradhan S. Direct interaction between DNMT1 and G9a coordinates DNA and histone methylation during replication. Genes & Development. 2006; 20:3089–3103. [PubMed: 17085482]
- Fatemi SH, Stary JM, Earle JA, Araghi-Niknam M, Eagan E. GABAergic dysfunction in schizophrenia and mood disorders as reflected by decreased levels of glutamic acid decarboxylase 65 and 67 kDa and reelin proteins in cerebellum. Schizophrenia Research. 2005; 72:109–122. [PubMed: 15560956]
- Feng J, Zhou Y, Campbell SL, Le T, Li E, Sweatt JD, Silva AJ, Fan G. Dnmt1 and Dnmt3a maintain DNA methylation and regulate synaptic function in adult forebrain neurons. Nature Neuroscience. 2010; 13:423–430.
- Ficz G, Branco MR, Seisenberger S, Santos F, Krueger F, Hore TA, Marques CJ, Andrews S, Reik W. Dynamic regulation of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in mouse ES cells and during differentiation. Nature. 2011; 473:398–402. [PubMed: 21460836]
- Fuks F, Hurd PJ, Deplus R, Kouzarides T. The DNA methyltransferases associate with HP1 and the SUV39H1 histone methyltransferase. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003; 31:2305–2312. [PubMed: 12711675]
- Gavin DP, Kartan S, Chase K, Grayson DR, Sharma RP. Reduced baseline acetylated histone 3 levels, and a blunted response to HDAC inhibition in lymphocyte cultures from schizophrenia subjects. Schizophrenia Research. 2008; 103:330–332. [PubMed: 18539439]
- Gavin DP, Kartan S, Chase K, Jayaraman S, Sharma RP. Histone deacetylase inhibitors and candidate gene expression: An in vivo and in vitro approach to studying chromatin remodeling in a clinical population. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 2009a; 43:870–876. [PubMed: 19187942]
- Gavin DP, Rosen C, Chase K, Grayson DR, Tun N, Sharma RP. Dimethylated lysine 9 of histone 3 is elevated in schizophrenia and exhibits a divergent response to histone deacetylase inhibitors in lymphocyte cultures. Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience : JPN. 2009b; 34:232–237. [PubMed: 19448855]
- Gavin DP, Sharma RP. Chromatin from peripheral blood mononuclear cells as biomarkers for epigenetic abnormalities in schizophrenia. Cardiovascular Psychiatry and Neurology. 2009c; 2009:409562. [PubMed: 20029620]
- Gavin DP, Sharma RP, Chase KA, Matrisciano F, Dong E, Guidotti A. Growth arrest and DNAdamage-inducible, beta (GADD45b)-mediated DNA demethylation in major psychosis. Neuropsychopharmacology : Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2012; 37:531–542. [PubMed: 22048458]
- Gehring M, Bubb KL, Henikoff S. Extensive demethylation of repetitive elements during seed development underlies gene imprinting. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2009; 324:1447–1451.
- Goldberg S, Visochek L, Giladi E, Gozes I, Cohen-Armon M. PolyADP-ribosylation is required for long-term memory formation in mammals. Journal of Neurochemistry. 2009; 111:72–79. [PubMed: 19645746]
- Grayson DR, Jia X, Chen Y, Sharma RP, Mitchell CP, Guidotti A, Costa E. Reelin promoter hypermethylation in schizophrenia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102:9341–9346. [PubMed: 15961543]
- Gu TP, Guo F, Yang H, et al. The role of Tet3 DNA dioxygenase in epigenetic reprogramming by oocytes. Nature. 2011; 477:606–610. [PubMed: 21892189]
- Guidotti A, Auta J, Chen Y, et al. Epigenetic GABAergic targets in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Neuropharmacology. 2011; 60:1007–1016. [PubMed: 21074545]
- Guidotti A, Auta J, Davis JM, et al. Decrease in reelin and glutamic acid decarboxylase67 (GAD67) expression in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: A postmortem brain study. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2000; 57:1061–1069. [PubMed: 11074872]
- Guo JU, Su Y, Zhong C, Ming GL, Song H. Hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine by TET1 promotes active DNA demethylation in the adult brain. Cell. 2011; 145:423–434. [PubMed: 21496894]
- Hahn MA, Qiu R, Wu X, et al. Dynamics of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine and chromatin marks in mammalian neurogenesis. Cell Reports. 2013; 3:291–300. [PubMed: 23403289]

- Hajkova P, Ancelin K, Waldmann T, Lacoste N, Lange UC, Cesari F, Lee C, Almouzni G, Schneider R, Surani MA. Chromatin dynamics during epigenetic reprogramming in the mouse germ line. Nature. 2008; 452:877–881. [PubMed: 18354397]
- Hashimoto H, Liu Y, Upadhyay AK, Chang Y, Howerton SB, Vertino PM, Zhang X, Cheng X. Recognition and potential mechanisms for replication and erasure of cytosine hydroxymethylation. Nucleic Acids Research. 2012; 40:4841–4849. [PubMed: 22362737]
- Hassa PO, Haenni SS, Buerki C, Meier NI, Lane WS, Owen H, Gersbach M, Imhof R, Hottiger MO. Acetylation of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 by p300/CREB-binding protein regulates coactivation of NF-kappaB-dependent transcription. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2005; 280:40450–40464. [PubMed: 16204234]
- He YF, Li BZ, Li Z, et al. Tet-mediated formation of 5-carboxylcytosine and its excision by TDG in mammalian DNA. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2011; 333:1303–1307.
- Heijmans BT, Tobi EW, Stein AD, Putter H, Blauw GJ, Susser ES, Slagboom PE, Lumey LH. Persistent epigenetic differences associated with prenatal exposure to famine in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008; 105:17046–17049. [PubMed: 18955703]
- Hernandez AI, Wolk J, Hu JY, Liu J, Kurosu T, Schwartz JH, Schacher S. Poly-(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 is necessary for long-term facilitation in aplysia. The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2009; 29:9553–9562. [PubMed: 19641118]
- Hevroni D, Rattner A, Bundman M, et al. Hippocampal plasticity involves extensive gene induction and multiple cellular mechanisms. Journal of Molecular Neuroscience : MN. 1998; 10:75–98. [PubMed: 9699150]
- Hirose T, Sowa Y, Takahashi S, Saito S, Yasuda C, Shindo N, Furuichi K, Sakai T. p53-independent induction of Gadd45 by histone deacetylase inhibitor: Coordinate regulation by transcription factors oct-1 and NF-Y. Oncogene. 2003; 22:7762–7773. [PubMed: 14586402]
- Horton JR, Upadhyay AK, Qi HH, Zhang X, Shi Y, Cheng X. Enzymatic and structural insights for substrate specificity of a family of jumonji histone lysine demethylases. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology. 2010; 17:38–43.
- Huang HS, Akbarian S. GAD1 mRNA expression and DNA methylation in prefrontal cortex of subjects with schizophrenia. PloS One. 2007; 2:e809. [PubMed: 17726539]
- Ito S, D'Alessio AC, Taranova OV, Hong K, Sowers LC, Zhang Y. Role of tet proteins in 5mC to 5hmC conversion, ES-cell self-renewal and inner cell mass specification. Nature. 2010; 466:1129– 1133. [PubMed: 20639862]
- Ito S, Shen L, Dai Q, Wu SC, Collins LB, Swenberg JA, He C, Zhang Y. Tet proteins can convert 5methylcytosine to 5-formylcytosine and 5-carboxylcytosine. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2011; 333:1300–1303.
- Iwamoto K, Bundo M, Ueda J, Oldham MC, Ukai W, Hashimoto E, Saito T, Geschwind DH, Kato T. Neurons show distinctive DNA methylation profile and higher interindividual variations compared with non-neurons. Genome Research. 2011; 21:688–696. [PubMed: 21467265]
- Iwamoto K, Bundo M, Yamada K, Takao H, Iwayama-Shigeno Y, Yoshikawa T, Kato T. DNA methylation status of SOX10 correlates with its downregulation and oligodendrocyte dysfunction in schizophrenia. The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2005; 25:5376–5381. [PubMed: 15930386]
- Jin SG, Guo C, Pfeifer GP. GADD45A does not promote DNA demethylation. PLoS Genetics. 2008; 4:e1000013. [PubMed: 18369439]
- Jin SG, Kadam S, Pfeifer GP. Examination of the specificity of DNA methylation profiling techniques towards 5-methylcytosine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine. Nucleic Acids Research. 2010; 38:e125. [PubMed: 20371518]
- Jin SG, Wu X, Li AX, Pfeifer GP. Genomic mapping of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in the human brain. Nucleic Acids Research. 2011; 39:5015–5024. [PubMed: 21378125]
- Jones PL, Veenstra GJ, Wade PA, Vermaak D, Kass SU, Landsberger N, Strouboulis J, Wolffe AP. Methylated DNA and MeCP2 recruit histone deacetylase to repress transcription. Nature Genetics. 1998; 19:187–191. [PubMed: 9620779]

- Jorgensen TJ, Chen K, Chasovskikh S, Roy R, Dritschilo A, Uren A. Binding kinetics and activity of human poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 on oligodeoxyribonucleotide substrates. Journal of Molecular Recognition : JMR. 2009; 22:446–452. [PubMed: 19585541]
- Kafri T, Ariel M, Brandeis M, Shemer R, Urven L, McCarrey J, Cedar H, Razin A. Developmental pattern of gene-specific DNA methylation in the mouse embryo and germ line. Genes & Development. 1992; 6:705–714. [PubMed: 1577268]
- Kangaspeska S, Stride B, Metivier R, Polycarpou-Schwarz M, Ibberson D, Carmouche RP, Benes V, Gannon F, Reid G. Transient cyclical methylation of promoter DNA. Nature. 2008; 452:112–115. [PubMed: 18322535]
- Keeley MB, Wood MA, Isiegas C, Stein J, Hellman K, Hannenhalli S, Abel T. Differential transcriptional response to nonassociative and associative components of classical fear conditioning in the amygdala and hippocampus. Learning & Memory (Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.). 2006; 13:135–142.
- Kemmerich K, Dingler FA, Rada C, Neuberger MS. Germline ablation of SMUG1 DNA glycosylase causes loss of 5-hydroxymethyluracil- and UNG-backup uracil-excision activities and increases cancer predisposition of ung-/-Msh2-/- mice. Nucleic Acids Research. 2012; 40:6016–6025. [PubMed: 22447450]
- Koh KP, Yabuuchi A, Rao S, et al. Tet1 and Tet2 regulate 5-hydroxymethylcytosine production and cell lineage specification in mouse embryonic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2011; 8:200–213. [PubMed: 21295276]
- Kress C, Thomassin H, Grange T. Local DNA demethylation in vertebrates: How could it be performed and targeted? FEBS Letters. 2001; 494:135–140. [PubMed: 11311228]
- Krishnakumar R, Kraus WL. PARP-1 regulates chromatin structure and transcription through a KDM5B-dependent pathway. Molecular Cell. 2010; 39:736–749. [PubMed: 20832725]
- Langelier MF, Planck JL, Roy S, Pascal JM. Structural basis for DNA damage-dependent poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation by human PARP-1. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2012; 336:728–732.
- Laurent L, Wong E, Li G, et al. Dynamic changes in the human methylome during differentiation. Genome Research. 2010; 20:320–331. [PubMed: 20133333]
- Leach PT, Poplawski SG, Kenney JW, Hoffman B, Liebermann DA, Abel T, Gould TJ. Gadd45b knockout mice exhibit selective deficits in hippocampus-dependent long-term memory. Learning & Memory (Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.). 2012; 19:319–324.
- Lee MG, Wynder C, Schmidt DM, McCafferty DG, Shiekhattar R. Histone H3 lysine 4 demethylation is a target of nonselective antidepressive medications. Chemistry & Biology. 2006; 13:563–567. [PubMed: 16793513]
- Lemberger T, Parkitna JR, Chai M, Schutz G, Engblom D. CREB has a context-dependent role in activity-regulated transcription and maintains neuronal cholesterol homeostasis. The FASEB Journal : Official Publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology. 2008; 22:2872–2879.
- Levenson JM, Roth TL, Lubin FD, Miller CA, Huang IC, Desai P, Malone LM, Sweatt JD. Evidence that DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferase regulates synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2006; 281:15763–15773. [PubMed: 16606618]
- Li H, Rauch T, Chen ZX, Szabo PE, Riggs AD, Pfeifer GP. The histone methyltransferase SETDB1 and the DNA methyltransferase DNMT3A interact directly and localize to promoters silenced in cancer cells. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2006; 281:19489–19500. [PubMed: 16682412]
- Li Z, Cai X, Cai CL, Wang J, Zhang W, Petersen BE, Yang FC, Xu M. Deletion of Tet2 in mice leads to dysregulated hematopoietic stem cells and subsequent development of myeloid malignancies. Blood. 2011; 118:4509–4518. [PubMed: 21803851]
- Lintas C, Persico AM. Neocortical RELN promoter methylation increases significantly after puberty. Neuroreport. 2010; 21:114–118. [PubMed: 19952965]
- Lister R, Pelizzola M, Dowen RH, et al. Human DNA methylomes at base resolution show widespread epigenomic differences. Nature. 2009; 462:315–322. [PubMed: 19829295]
- Liu K, Wang YF, Cantemir C, Muller MT. Endogenous assays of DNA methyltransferases: Evidence for differential activities of DNMT1, DNMT2, and DNMT3 in mammalian cells in vivo. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2003; 23:2709–2719. [PubMed: 12665573]

- Lubin FD, Roth TL, Sweatt JD. Epigenetic regulation of BDNF gene transcription in the consolidation of fear memory. The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2008; 28:10576–10586. [PubMed: 18923034]
- Ma DK, Guo JU, Ming GL, Song H. DNA excision repair proteins and Gadd45 as molecular players for active DNA demethylation. Cell Cycle (Georgetown, Tex.). 2009a; 8:1526–1531.
- Ma DK, Jang MH, Guo JU, Kitabatake Y, Chang ML, Pow-Anpongkul N, Flavell RA, Lu B, Ming GL, Song H. Neuronal activity-induced Gadd45b promotes epigenetic DNA demethylation and adult neurogenesis. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2009b; 323:1074–1077.
- Madhusudan S, Smart F, Shrimpton P, et al. Isolation of a small molecule inhibitor of DNA base excision repair. Nucleic Acids Research. 2005; 33:4711–4724. [PubMed: 16113242]
- Martinowich K, Hattori D, Wu H, Fouse S, He F, Hu Y, Fan G, Sun YE. DNA methylation-related chromatin remodeling in activity-dependent BDNF gene regulation. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2003; 302:890–893.
- Marutha Ravindran CR, Ticku MK. Role of CpG islands in the upregulation of NMDA receptor NR2B gene expression following chronic ethanol treatment of cultured cortical neurons of mice. Neurochemistry International. 2005; 46:313–327. [PubMed: 15707696]
- Masson M, Menissier-de Murcia J, Mattei MG, de Murcia G, Niedergang CP. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase interacts with a novel human ubiquitin conjugating enzyme: HUbc9. Gene. 1997; 190:287–296. [PubMed: 9197546]
- Matarese F, Carrillo-de Santa Pau E, Stunnenberg HG. 5-hydroxymethylcytosine: A new kid on the epigenetic block? Molecular Systems Biology. 2011; 7:562. [PubMed: 22186736]
- Matsubara M, Tanaka T, Terato H, Ohmae E, Izumi S, Katayanagi K, Ide H. Mutational analysis of the damage-recognition and catalytic mechanism of human SMUG1 DNA glycosylase. Nucleic Acids Research. 2004; 32:5291–5302. [PubMed: 15466595]
- McGarvey KM, Fahrner JA, Greene E, Martens J, Jenuwein T, Baylin SB. Silenced tumor suppressor genes reactivated by DNA demethylation do not return to a fully euchromatic chromatin state. Cancer Research. 2006; 66:3541–3549. [PubMed: 16585178]
- Mellen M, Ayata P, Dewell S, Kriaucionis S, Heintz N. MeCP2 binds to 5hmC enriched within active genes and accessible chromatin in the nervous system. Cell. 2012; 151:1417–1430. [PubMed: 23260135]
- Mendoza-Alvarez H, Alvarez-Gonzalez R. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase is a catalytic dimer and the automodification reaction is intermolecular. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1993; 268:22575–22580. [PubMed: 8226768]
- Messner S, Altmeyer M, Zhao H, Pozivil A, Roschitzki B, Gehrig P, Rutishauser D, Huang D, Caflisch A, Hottiger MO. PARP1 ADP-ribosylates lysine residues of the core histone tails. Nucleic Acids Research. 2010; 38:6350–6362. [PubMed: 20525793]
- Messner S, Schuermann D, Altmeyer M, Kassner I, Schmidt D, Schar P, Muller S, Hottiger MO. Sumoylation of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 inhibits its acetylation and restrains transcriptional coactivator function. FASEB Journal : Official Publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology. 2009; 23:3978–3989. [PubMed: 19622798]
- Metivier R, Gallais R, Tiffoche C, et al. Cyclical DNA methylation of a transcriptionally active promoter. Nature. 2008; 452:45–50. [PubMed: 18322525]
- Mikkelsen TS, Hanna J, Zhang X, Ku M, Wernig M, Schorderet P, Bernstein BE, Jaenisch R, Lander ES, Meissner A. Dissecting direct reprogramming through integrative genomic analysis. Nature. 2008; 454:49–55. [PubMed: 18509334]
- Mill J, Petronis A. Profiling DNA methylation from small amounts of genomic DNA starting material: Efficient sodium bisulfite conversion and subsequent whole-genome amplification. Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.). 2009; 507:371–381.
- Mill J, Tang T, Kaminsky Z, et al. Epigenomic profiling reveals DNA-methylation changes associated with major psychosis. American Journal of Human Genetics. 2008; 82:696–711. [PubMed: 18319075]
- Miller CA, Gavin CF, White JA, Parrish RR, Honasoge A, Yancey CR, Rivera IM, Rubio MD, Rumbaugh G, Sweatt JD. Cortical DNA methylation maintains remote memory. Nature Neuroscience. 2010; 13:664–666.

- Miller CA, Sweatt JD. Covalent modification of DNA regulates memory formation. Neuron. 2007; 53:857–869. [PubMed: 17359920]
- Moran-Crusio K, Reavie L, Shih A, et al. Tet2 loss leads to increased hematopoietic stem cell selfrenewal and myeloid transformation. Cancer Cell. 2011; 20:11–24. [PubMed: 21723200]
- Morgan HD, Dean W, Coker HA, Reik W, Petersen-Mahrt SK. Activation-induced cytidine deaminase deaminates 5-methylcytosine in DNA and is expressed in pluripotent tissues: Implications for epigenetic reprogramming. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004; 279:52353–52360. [PubMed: 15448152]
- Morgan HD, Sutherland HG, Martin DI, Whitelaw E. Epigenetic inheritance at the agouti locus in the mouse. Nature Genetics. 1999; 23:314–318. [PubMed: 10545949]
- Muromoto R, Sugiyama K, Takachi A, Imoto S, Sato N, Yamamoto T, Oritani K, Shimoda K, Matsuda T. Physical and functional interactions between daxx and DNA methyltransferase 1associated protein, DMAP1. Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md.: 1950). 2004; 172:2985– 2993.
- Nan X, Ng HH, Johnson CA, Laherty CD, Turner BM, Eisenman RN, Bird A. Transcriptional repression by the methyl-CpG-binding protein MeCP2 involves a histone deacetylase complex. Nature. 1998; 393:386–389. [PubMed: 9620804]
- Narayan S, Tang B, Head SR, Gilmartin TJ, Sutcliffe JG, Dean B, Thomas EA. Molecular profiles of schizophrenia in the CNS at different stages of illness. Brain Research. 2008; 1239:235–248. [PubMed: 18778695]
- Nedivi E, Hevroni D, Naot D, Israeli D, Citri Y. Numerous candidate plasticity-related genes revealed by differential cDNA cloning. Nature. 1993; 363:718–722. [PubMed: 8515813]
- Nelson ED, Kavalali ET, Monteggia LM. Activity-dependent suppression of miniature neurotransmission through the regulation of DNA methylation. The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2008; 28:395–406. [PubMed: 18184782]
- Numata S, Ye T, Hyde TM, Guitart-Navarro X, Tao R, Wininger M, Colantuoni C, Weinberger DR, Kleinman JE, Lipska BK. DNA methylation signatures in development and aging of the human prefrontal cortex. American Journal of Human Genetics. 2012; 90:260–272. [PubMed: 22305529]
- Oliveira AM, Hemstedt TJ, Bading H. Rescue of aging-associated decline in Dnmt3a2 expression restores cognitive abilities. Nature Neuroscience. 2012; 15:1111–1113.
- Ooi SK, Bestor TH. The colorful history of active DNA demethylation. Cell. 2008; 133:1145–1148. [PubMed: 18585349]
- Ooi SK, Qiu C, Bernstein E, et al. DNMT3L connects unmethylated lysine 4 of histone H3 to de novo methylation of DNA. Nature. 2007; 448:714–717. [PubMed: 17687327]
- Pastor WA, Pape UJ, Huang Y, et al. Genome-wide mapping of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in embryonic stem cells. Nature. 2011; 473:394–397. [PubMed: 21552279]
- Piccolo FM, Bagci H, Brown KE, et al. Different roles for Tet1 and Tet2 proteins in reprogrammingmediated erasure of imprints induced by EGC fusion. Molecular Cell. 2013
- Rai K, Huggins IJ, James SR, Karpf AR, Jones DA, Cairns BR. DNA demethylation in zebrafish involves the coupling of a deaminase, a glycosylase, and gadd45. Cell. 2008; 135:1201–1212. [PubMed: 19109892]
- Rakyan VK, Chong S, Champ ME, Cuthbert PC, Morgan HD, Luu KV, Whitelaw E. Transgenerational inheritance of epigenetic states at the murine axin(fu) allele occurs after maternal and paternal transmission. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2003; 100:2538–2543. [PubMed: 12601169]
- Ramanathan B, Smerdon MJ. Enhanced DNA repair synthesis in hyperacetylated nucleosomes. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1989; 264:11026–11034. [PubMed: 2738057]
- Rauch TA, Wu X, Zhong X, Riggs AD, Pfeifer GP. A human B cell methylome at 100-base pair resolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009; 106:671–678. [PubMed: 19139413]
- Razin A, Riggs AD. DNA methylation and gene function. Science (New York, N.Y.). 1980; 210:604– 610.

- Reale A, Matteis GD, Galleazzi G, Zampieri M, Caiafa P. Modulation of DNMT1 activity by ADPribose polymers. Oncogene. 2005; 24:13–19. [PubMed: 15637587]
- Reik W, Dean W, Walter J. Epigenetic reprogramming in mammalian development. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2001; 293:1089–1093.
- Robertson J, Robertson AB, Klungland A. The presence of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine at the gene promoter and not in the gene body negatively regulates gene expression. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 2011; 411:40–43. [PubMed: 21703242]
- Roth TL, Lubin FD, Funk AJ, Sweatt JD. Lasting epigenetic influence of early-life adversity on the BDNF gene. Biological Psychiatry. 2009; 65:760–769. [PubMed: 19150054]
- Ryu H, Al-Ani G, Deckert K, Kirkpatrick D, Gygi SP, Dasso M, Azuma Y. PIASy mediates SUMO-2/3 conjugation of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1) on mitotic chromosomes. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2010; 285:14415–14423. [PubMed: 20228053]
- Schermelleh L, Spada F, Easwaran HP, Zolghadr K, Margot JB, Cardoso MC, Leonhardt H. Trapped in action: Direct visualization of DNA methyltransferase activity in living cells. Nature Methods. 2005; 2:751–756. [PubMed: 16179921]
- Schmitz KM, Schmitt N, Hoffmann-Rohrer U, Schafer A, Grummt I, Mayer C. TAF12 recruits Gadd45a and the nucleotide excision repair complex to the promoter of rRNA genes leading to active DNA demethylation. Molecular Cell. 2009; 33:344–353. [PubMed: 19217408]
- Sharma RP, Chase KA. Increasing neuronal 'stemness': Chromatin relaxation and the expression of reprogramming genes in post-mitotic neurons. Medical Hypotheses. 2012a; 78:553–554. [PubMed: 22326495]
- Sharma RP, Gavin DP, Chase KA. Heterochromatin as an incubator for pathology and treatment nonresponse: Implication for neuropsychiatric illness. The Pharmacogenomics Journal. 2012b
- Sharma RP, Gavin DP, Grayson DR. CpG methylation in neurons: Message, memory, or mask? Neuropsychopharmacology : Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010; 35:2009–2020. [PubMed: 20631690]
- Sharma RP, Grayson DR, Gavin DP. Histone deactylase 1 expression is increased in the prefrontal cortex of schizophrenia subjects: Analysis of the national brain databank microarray collection. Schizophrenia Research. 2008a; 98:111–117. [PubMed: 17961987]
- Sharma RP, Tun N, Grayson DR. Depolarization induces downregulation of DNMT1 and DNMT3a in primary cortical cultures. Epigenetics : Official Journal of the DNA Methylation Society. 2008b; 3:74–80. [PubMed: 18536530]
- Shi Y, Desponts C, Do JT, Hahm HS, Scholer HR, Ding S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic fibroblasts by Oct4 and Klf4 with small-molecule compounds. Cell Stem Cell. 2008a; 3:568–574. [PubMed: 18983970]
- Shi Y, Do JT, Desponts C, Hahm HS, Scholer HR, Ding S. A combined chemical and genetic approach for the generation of induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2008b; 2:525–528. [PubMed: 18522845]
- Straub RE, Lipska BK, Egan MF, Goldberg TE, Callicott JH, Mayhew MB, Vakkalanka RK, Kolachana BS, Kleinman JE, Weinberger DR. Allelic variation in GAD1 (GAD67) is associated with schizophrenia and influences cortical function and gene expression. Molecular Psychiatry. 2007; 12:854–869. [PubMed: 17767149]
- Stresemann C, Lyko F. Modes of action of the DNA methyltransferase inhibitors azacytidine and decitabine. International Journal of Cancer. Journal International Du Cancer. 2008; 123:8–13. [PubMed: 18425818]
- Strosznajder RP, Czubowicz K, Jesko H, Strosznajder JB. Poly(ADP-ribose) metabolism in brain and its role in ischemia pathology. Molecular Neurobiology. 2010; 41:187–196. [PubMed: 20411356]
- Sultan FA, Wang J, Tront J, Liebermann DA, Sweatt JD. Genetic deletion of gadd45b, a regulator of active DNA demethylation, enhances long-term memory and synaptic plasticity. J Neurosci. 2012; 32:17059–17066. [PubMed: 23197699]
- Szulwach KE, Li X, Li Y, et al. 5-hmC-mediated epigenetic dynamics during postnatal neurodevelopment and aging. Nature Neuroscience. 2011; 14:1607–1616.

- Szyf M. A dynamic methylome; implications of non-CG methylation/demethylation. Cell Cycle (Georgetown, Tex.). 2010; 9:3846–3847.
- Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell. 2006; 126:663–676. [PubMed: 16904174]
- Thomassin H, Flavin M, Espinas ML, Grange T. Glucocorticoid-induced DNA demethylation and gene memory during development. The EMBO Journal. 2001; 20:1974–1983. [PubMed: 11296230]
- Thompson Ray M, Weickert CS, Wyatt E, Webster MJ. Decreased BDNF, trkB-TK+ and GAD67 mRNA expression in the hippocampus of individuals with schizophrenia and mood disorders. Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience : JPN. 2011; 36:195–203. [PubMed: 21223646]
- Thomson JP, Skene PJ, Selfridge J, et al. CpG islands influence chromatin structure via the CpGbinding protein Cfp1. Nature. 2010; 464:1082–1086. [PubMed: 20393567]
- Tian F, Marini AM, Lipsky RH. Effects of histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A on epigenetic changes and transcriptional activation of bdnf promoter 1 by rat hippocampal neurons. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2010; 1199:186–193. [PubMed: 20633124]
- Tini M, Benecke A, Um SJ, Torchia J, Evans RM, Chambon P. Association of CBP/p300 acetylase and thymine DNA glycosylase links DNA repair and transcription. Molecular Cell. 2002; 9:265– 277. [PubMed: 11864601]
- Tochigi M, Iwamoto K, Bundo M, Komori A, Sasaki T, Kato N, Kato T. Methylation status of the reelin promoter region in the brain of schizophrenic patients. Biological Psychiatry. 2008; 63:530–533. [PubMed: 17870056]
- Valinluck V, Tsai HH, Rogstad DK, Burdzy A, Bird A, Sowers LC. Oxidative damage to methyl-CpG sequences inhibits the binding of the methyl-CpG binding domain (MBD) of methyl-CpG binding protein 2 (MeCP2). Nucleic Acids Research. 2004; 32:4100–4108. [PubMed: 15302911]
- Velasco G, Hube F, Rollin J, Neuillet D, Philippe C, Bouzinba-Segard H, Galvani A, Viegas-Pequignot E, Francastel C. Dnmt3b recruitment through E2F6 transcriptional repressor mediates germ-line gene silencing in murine somatic tissues. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2010; 107:9281–9286. [PubMed: 20439742]
- Veldic M, Guidotti A, Maloku E, Davis JM, Costa E. In psychosis, cortical interneurons overexpress DNA-methyltransferase 1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102:2152–2157. [PubMed: 15684088]
- Veldic M, Kadriu B, Maloku E, Agis-Balboa RC, Guidotti A, Davis JM, Costa E. Epigenetic mechanisms expressed in basal ganglia GABAergic neurons differentiate schizophrenia from bipolar disorder. Schizophrenia Research. 2007; 91:51–61. [PubMed: 17270400]
- Vire E, Brenner C, Deplus R, et al. The polycomb group protein EZH2 directly controls DNA methylation. Nature. 2006; 439:871–874. [PubMed: 16357870]
- Wossidlo M, Arand J, Sebastiano V, Lepikhov K, Boiani M, Reinhardt R, Scholer H, Walter J. Dynamic link of DNA demethylation, DNA strand breaks and repair in mouse zygotes. The EMBO Journal. 2010; 29:1877–1888. [PubMed: 20442707]
- Wu SC, Zhang Y. Active DNA demethylation: Many roads lead to rome. Nature Reviews.Molecular Cell Biology. 2010; 11:607–620.
- Wyatt GR, Cohen SS. A new pyrimidine base from bacteriophage nucleic acids. Nature. 1952; 170:1072–1073. [PubMed: 13013321]
- Xu Y, Wu F, Tan L, et al. Genome-wide regulation of 5hmC, 5mC, and gene expression by Tet1 hydroxylase in mouse embryonic stem cells. Molecular Cell. 2011; 42:451–464. [PubMed: 21514197]
- Yamagata Y, Szabo P, Szuts D, Bacquet C, Aranyi T, Paldi A. Rapid turnover of DNA methylation in human cells. Epigenetics : Official Journal of the DNA Methylation Society. 2012; 7:141–145. [PubMed: 22395463]
- Yang Q, Lu Z, Singh D, Raj JU. BIX-01294 treatment blocks cell proliferation, migration and contractility in ovine foetal pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells. Cell Proliferation. 2012; 45:335–344. [PubMed: 22691107]
- Yang SM, Kim BJ, Norwood Toro L, Skoultchi AI. H1 linker histone promotes epigenetic silencing by regulating both DNA methylation and histone H3 methylation. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110:1708–1713. [PubMed: 23302691]

- Yi YW, Kim D, Jung N, Hong SS, Lee HS, Bae I. Gadd45 family proteins are coactivators of nuclear hormone receptors. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 2000; 272:193– 198. [PubMed: 10872826]
- Zardo G, Reale A, Passananti C, Pradhan S, Buontempo S, De Matteis G, Adams RL, Caiafa P. Inhibition of poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation induces DNA hypermethylation: A possible molecular mechanism. FASEB Journal : Official Publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology. 2002; 16:1319–1321. [PubMed: 12154007]
- Zhang XY, Xiu MH, Chen da C, Yang FD, Wu GY, Lu L, Kosten TA, Kosten TR. Nicotine dependence and serum BDNF levels in male patients with schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology. 2010; 212:301–307. [PubMed: 20661552]
- Zhu B, Zheng Y, Angliker H, Schwarz S, Thiry S, Siegmann M, Jost JP. 5-methylcytosine DNA glycosylase activity is also present in the human MBD4 (G/T mismatch glycosylase) and in a related avian sequence. Nucleic Acids Research. 2000a; 28:4157–4165. [PubMed: 11058112]
- Zhu B, Zheng Y, Hess D, Angliker H, Schwarz S, Siegmann M, Thiry S, Jost JP. 5-methylcytosine-DNA glycosylase activity is present in a cloned G/T mismatch DNA glycosylase associated with the chicken embryo DNA demethylation complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2000b; 97:5135–5139. [PubMed: 10779566]
- Zhubi A, Veldic M, Puri NV, et al. An upregulation of DNA-methyltransferase 1 and 3a expressed in telencephalic GABAergic neurons of schizophrenia patients is also detected in peripheral blood lymphocytes. Schizophrenia Research. 2009; 111:115–122. [PubMed: 19386473]



Fig 1. Molecular Changes Leading to DNA Demethylation

1) In an oxidation reaction catalyzed by ten-eleven translocation (TET) enzymes 5methylcytosine (5MC) is converted into 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5HMC). **2a**) Through a process involving deamination followed by base excision repair 5HMC is removed and replaced by an unmethylated cytosine. **2b**) Alternatively, 5HMC may be converted into 5formylcytosine (5FC) in another oxidation reaction catalyzed by TET. **3a**) 5FC can be converted into 5-carboxylcytosine (5CaC) in another TET-catalyzed oxidation reaction. **3b**) 5FC hypothetically could be converted directly into unmethylated cytosine involving the expulsion of formic acid. **4a**) 5CaC can be removed via base excision repair. **4b**) 5CaC

hypothetically could be converted directly into unmethylated cytosine in a reaction catalyzed by an as yet unidentified decarboxylase.



Fig 2. Base Excision Repair DNA Demethylation Pathway

 Following the TET catalyzed hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine to 5hydroxymethylcytosine (5HMC), 5HMC is deaminated by a Growth Arrest and DNA Damage (GADD45) protein recruited cytidine deaminase, such as AICDA or an APOBEC forming 5-hydroxymethyluracil (5HMU).
 GADD45 proteins also recruit a thymidine or uracil glycosylase, such as TDG, MBD4, or SMUG1, which then removes the 5HMU base.
 APE1 recognizes the now apyrimidinic site and creates a strand break 5' to the missing base.
 PARP1 is strongly induced by the resultant strand break. PARP1 dimerizes at the break and also adds Poly(ADP-Ribose) (PAR) groups to proteins such as scaffold protein XRCC1. The addition of PAR groups facilitates protein-protein interactions between

XRCC1 and DNA ligase and polymerase, which repair the break and replace the missing base.



Fig 3. Increased expression of demethylating genes in schizophrenia vs. nonpsychiatric controls in two cohorts

We re-analyzed microarray data from the National Brain Databank established at Harvard Brain Tissue Resource Center (Harvard) obtained from the website (http:// national_databank.mclean.harvard.edu/brainbank/Main) and Scripps Research Institute (Scripps) for genes involved in DNA demethylation. The Harvard study utilized the Affymetrix HG-U133A gene chip. Experimental result files had been generated using Affymetrix's MAS software. These include prefrontal cortical (PFC) samples from 25 normal control subjects and 19 with schizophrenia. Demographic details have been previously published (Sharma et al., 2008a). The result files were then merged using

Affymetrix Expression Console Software with the annotation file and the annotated log2 results exported as a text file for third-party downstream analysis. The Scripps study utilized the Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 array. We reanalyzed this post mortem expression data obtained from the Gene expression Omnibus (GEO accession GSE21138) based on diagnosis. The database includes PFC samples from 59 total subjects, 29 normal controls and 30 patients with schizophrenia. Demographic details have been previously published (Narayan et al., 2008). Expression was converted from log2 expression to linear expression as fold average of normal controls. Independent t-tests were used for group comparisons. All p-values are two-tailed. A. AICDA, APOBEC3A, and APOBEC3F are significantly increased in the Harvard study (*P < 0.05). **B.** APOBEC3B and APOBEC3G are increased in the Scripps dataset (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01). C & D. GADD45B and GADD45G are increased in both datasets. GADD45B has previously been shown to be elevated in the Stanley Medical research cohort as well (https://www.stanleygenomics.org/:(Gavin et al., 2012), while GADD45G has also been previously reported to be elevated in schizophrenia (Arion et al., 2007) (*P < 0.05). **E.** TET3 is increased in the Harvard dataset (*P < 0.05). **F.** MBD4 is significantly increased in the Scripps cohort. An increase in MBD4 in schizophrenia has previously been reported by Benes et al. (2006). Despite the consistent increase in DNA demethylating factors we do find a decrease in SMUG1 (*P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001). Mean+SEM.



Fig 4. Euchromatization

1. The first step in the progression from restrictive heterochromatin to transcriptionally permissive euchromatin begins with the removal of histone marks such as, dimethylated lysine 9 of histone 3 (H3K9me2), and H3K9 acetylation. Restrictive histone modifications bar the DNA demethylation complex from accessing the underlying cytosine. **2.** H3K9 demethylation and acetylation allow for transcription factor binding as well as the binding of the mediators of DNA demethylation. Histone acetylation and the DNA demethylation process promote the addition of Poly(ADP-Ribose) polymers (PAR) to histone lysine residues such as H3K27. **3.** Once DNA is demethylated a H3K4 methyltransferase can bind. Methylated H3K4 prevents DNMT binding thereby maintaining the unmethylated DNA state.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Agent Name	Mechanism	Tested Clinically (Y=Yes; N=No)	FDA-Approved (Y=Yes; N=No)	Crosses Blood-Brain Barrier (Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unknown)	References
		Decrease H3K	9me2		
3IX-01294	Inhibits G9a/GLP	N	Z	n	Vedadi, et al. 2011
JNC0638	Inhibits G9a/GLP	Z	Z	U	Vedadi, et al. 2011
JNC0224	Inhibits G9a/GLP	Z	Z	U	Vedadi, et al. 2011
		Increase Histone 3	Acetylation		
SAHA (Vorinostat)	Inhibits HDACs 1–10	Y	Y	Y	Butler et al. 2002
VPA (Depakote)	Inhibits HDACs 1–10	Y	Υ	Y	Gottlicher et al. 2001
rK228 (Romidepsin)	Inhibits HDAC1, 2, 3, and 8	Y	Y	N	Furumai et al. 2002
LBH589 (Panobinostat)	Inhibits HDACs 1–11	Y	Z	U	Prince et al. 2009; Scuto et al. 2008
TF2357 (Givinostat)	Inhibits HDACs 1–10	Y	Ν	Υ	Leoni et al. 2005
XD101 (Belinostat)	Inhibits HDACs 1–10	Y	Ν	N	Glaser 2007; Warren et al. 2008
MGCD0103 (Mocetinostat)	Inhibits HDAC1, 2, 3, and 8	Y	Z	U	Buglio et al. 2010
PCI-34051	Inhibits HDAC8	Y	Z	U	Balasubramanian et al. 2008
dS-275 (Entinostat)	Inhibits HDAC1, 2, 3, and 8	Υ	z	$p\lambda/N$	Bracker et al. 2009
B939 (Pracinostat)	Inhibits HDACs 1–11	Y	Ν	n	Novotny-Diermayr et al. 2010
SC-201 (Resminostat)	Inhibits HDACs 1–10	Y	Z	U	New et al. 2012
		Decrease DNA Me	thylation		
5-azacytidine	Cytosine analog	А	Υ	Ν	Szyf 2001
5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (Decitabine)	Cytosine analog	А	Υ	Ν	Szyf 2001
Procainamde (Pronestyl)	Reduces affinity DNMT for DNA	Υ	Υ	Υ	Herken & Rietbrock 1969; Lee et al., 2005
 -)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) 	Inhibits DNMT1	Y	Z	Y	Lee et al. 2005
3G108	Inhibits DNMT1	Ν	Ν	n	Suzuki et al. 2010
Zebularine	Cytosine analog	N	N	Ν	Szyf 2001
		Increase PARy	lation		
DEA	PARG Inhibitor	N	N	N	

Agent Name M	echanism	Tested Clinically (Y=Yes; N=No)	FDA-Approved (Y=Yes; N=No)	Crosses Blood-Brain Barrier (Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unknown)	References
ADP-HPD P ₁	ARG Inhibitor	Ν	N	U	Koh et al. 2003
Salicylanilide 6a	ARG Inhibitor	N	N	U	Steffen et al. 2011
RBPIs P	ARG Inhibitor	N	N	U	Dunstan et al. 2012
		Increase H3K4	lme2		
Tranylcypromine (Parnate)	3D1 Inhibitor	Υ	Υ	Υ	Lee et al. 2006
Pargyline L	3D1 Inhibitor	Υ	N	Υ	Lee et al. 2006
S2101 T.	3D1 Inhibitor	N	N	U	Mimasu et al. 2010
2-PFPA	3D1 Inhibitor	N	N	U	Mimasu et al. 2010

Abbreviations: DEA, 6,9-diamino-2-ethoxyacridine lactate monohydrate; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; GLP, G9a-like protein; H3K4me2, dimethylated lysine 4 of histone 3; H3K9me2, dimethylated lysine 9 of histone 3; HDAC, histone deacetylase; LSD1, lysine specific demethylase; PARG, Poly (ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase; RBPI, rhodanine-based PARG inhibitors; SAHA, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; VPA, valproic acid

 a Several manuscripts indicate MS-275 crosses the blood brain barrier while others indicate it does not.