



Published in final edited form as:

Dev Psychopathol. 2013 November ; 25(4 0 2): . doi:10.1017/S0954579413000801.

Childhood exposure to violence and lifelong health: Clinical intervention science and stress biology research join forces

Terrie E. Moffitt and the Klaus-Grawe 2012 Think Tank*

Abstract

Many young people who are mistreated by an adult, victimized by bullies, criminally assaulted, or who witness domestic violence react to this violence exposure by developing behavioral, emotional, or learning problems. What is less well known is that adverse experiences like violence exposure can lead to hidden physical alterations inside a child's body, alterations which may have adverse effects on life-long health. We discuss why this is important for the field of developmental psychopathology and for society, and we recommend that stress-biology research and intervention science join forces to tackle the problem. We examine the evidence base in relation to stress-sensitive measures for the body (inflammatory reactions, telomere erosion, epigenetic methylation, and gene expression) and brain (mental disorders, neuroimaging, and neuropsychological testing). We also review promising interventions for families, couples, and children that have been designed to reduce the effects of childhood violence exposure. We invite intervention scientists and stress-biology researchers to collaborate in adding stress-biology measures to randomized clinical trials of interventions intended to reduce effects of violence exposure and other traumas on young people.

Everyone knows violence is bad for children and adolescents. It is harmful for them to be mistreated or abused by an adult, to be victimized by bullies, to witness serious domestic violence, or to be criminally assaulted. Many, though not all, young people who are exposed to violence react to this adverse experience by developing behavioral, emotional, or learning problems. What is less well known is that adverse experiences such as violence exposure can also lead to hidden physical alterations inside a child's body. This article discusses why this is important for the field of developmental psychopathology and for society, examines the evidence base, and recommends that stress-biology research and intervention science join forces to tackle the problem.

When a child experiences violence, adults tend to focus on the child's learning in school, and anxiety, depression, or aggressive reactions, because these are pressing psychological needs after the child is restored to safety. But recent research indicates there is also room for concern about less visible adverse effects on a child's physical health, for decades into his or her future (Krug et al. 2002; Lupien et al. 2009; Shonkoff 2012; Shonkoff et al. 2012). These hidden effects are under study in stress-biology research, a multidisciplinary research area which is gaining ground rapidly because of advances for measuring stress-sensitive biomarkers in young people. These bio-markers are interesting because in mid-life adults,

***Participants:** in alphabetical order, Louise Arseneault (King's College London), Don Baucom (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill), Avshalom Caspi (Duke University and King's college London), Edith Chen (Northwestern University), Andrea Danese (King's College London), Helen Fisher (King's College London), Kurt Halweg (Technische Universität Braunschweig), Nina Heinrichs (Universität Bielefeld), Barbara Heiniger Haldimann (Klaus-Grawe-Institut für Psychologische Therapie), Mariann Grawe-Gerber (Klaus-Grawe-Institut für Psychologische Therapie), Jonathan Mill (King's College London), Gregory Miller (Northwestern University), Terrie E. Moffitt (Duke University and King's College London), Carmine Pariante (King's College London), Matt Sanders (University of Queensland), Idan Shalev (Duke University), Susanne Walitza (University of Zurich), and student participants Ann-Katrin Job (Technische Universität Braunschweig), Misa Kuper-Yamanaka (Klaus-Grawe-Institut für Psychologische Therapie).

these same biological alterations are known to be associated with elevated risk for heart disease, metabolic diseases, immune diseases, stroke, and even dementia (Danese & McEwen, 2012; Miller, Chen & Parker, 2011; Taylor, Way & Seeman, 2011). Stress biology research asks what biological (and psychological) alterations to brain and body can account for the long-term connection between a stressful childhood and ill health that only emerges 40 to 70 years later? What is it that changes? Here we look at potential changes in oft-studied indicators of the health of the body (inflammatory reactions, telomere erosion, epigenetic methylation, and gene expression), and brain (mental disorders, neuroimaging, and neuropsychological testing).

Why is it important to know that stressful experiences during childhood might start a child on the long road to heart disease or dementia? This information is important for developmental scientists because it opens the door to early prevention, which is imperative today because of current demographic shifts. Life expectancy is growing longer and longer. Demographic trends suggest the possibility that the current generation of children will on average live nearly 100 years (Vaupel, 2010). Policy makers and citizens are concerned that these many extra years of life should be healthy, productive and enjoyable, not extra years of disease, disability, and dependence on others. Past medical research has shown that treating adult patients after they have contracted illnesses such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, or dementia generally does not fully restore their quality of life (Jansson, 2005; McGill & McMahon, 2003; Wellcome Trust, 2006). Therefore the hope of increasing children's lifelong productivity, health, and wellbeing calls for research to identify prevention targets that can be tackled successfully in early life, well before disease takes hold.

At the same time that life expectancy is growing longer for today's children, worldwide birth rates are dropping. Young people are becoming scarcer, even in developing nations. Simultaneously, baby boomers are becoming senior citizens. The ratio of young to old is shifting heavily toward old people. The math is compelling: Soon each young person who is able to work will be depended on to support the health-care and social security costs of more elderly people. This is called the "*support ratio*" and it has been changing alongside the young-to-old demographic balance, and is expected to continue changing worldwide (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Potential_support_ratio). This ratio means that children are an increasingly valuable economic commodity. Insuring the lifelong health and productivity of each child citizen is becoming more important for the world economy than ever before. We want today's children to have lifelong good health because we love them, but we also want them to be able to keep the economy going and support us in our own old age.

Great strides have been made toward designing treatments that work for children and adolescents who have learning, emotional and behavioral problems, as well as programs to prevent such problems among children at risk. However, today, only a small fraction of young people has access to these effective treatments and preventions (Mihalopoulos et al. 2011, National Research Council and Institute of Medicine, 2009). But if preserving and promoting the psychological health of children were understood to be essential for worldwide economic security, child mental-health intervention might well become a key weapon in the fight to improve quality of life over the entire life course. Public awareness of the connection between childhood stress and adult physical health and age-related disease has the potential to galvanize political will toward prevention and treatment (see for example, Tough, 2011). We have noticed that listeners who seem inured to news of maltreated children's emotional problems, in contrast, are surprised and fascinated when told that maltreated children have elevated blood proteins that convey risk for heart disease.

On the 25th anniversary of *Development and Psychopathology*, there is an opportunity for the field of developmental psychopathology to bring together two of its strongest scientific

themes: stress biology research and clinical intervention science. If the hypothesis is true that stressors such as violence that precipitate psychological problems for young people also undermine their lifelong physical health, this would imply that the burden of adult and late-life diseases could be reduced by successfully improving the psychological health of children. In this article, we first examine the evidence that violence exposure causes alterations in stress biomarkers. How good is it? This is not an exhaustive technical review, but rather an overview of evidence for causation. Second, we envisage ways that clinical intervention researchers could incorporate stress biomarkers into their clinical trials to discover if invidious effects of childhood stress can be prevented or even *reversed* by psychosocial treatments. We note a handful of studies that have reported pre-versus-post-treatment or treatment-versus-control group differences in stress-sensitive biological measures, and make recommendations for more such research. Readers can find briefing papers with more in-depth coverage of the sections of this article at <http://www.moffittcaspi.com/content/klaus-grawe-think-tank-2012>.

Childhood violence exposure

This article focuses on violence exposure for four reasons. First, violence exposure is one of the most common and severe sources of human stress. Second, the hypothesis is that stress in general affects health and studying violence exposure in particular provides a strong test of it. Third, violence exposure can be measured with fairly good reliability and validity, which is an advantage over many other adversities and stressors. Fourth, violence victimization is already a clear target of public-health and law-enforcement prevention efforts, as well as many clinical psychosocial intervention programs, providing opportunities for research into reversibility of putative effects of violence on stress biology. For this article, we defined violence exposure as personal exposure to physical acts of intentional harm in the first 18 years of life. We considered physical or sexual maltreatment by parents or other caregivers, physical or sexual assault by other adults, exposure to parents' or caregivers' domestic violence, bullying by peers or siblings, and violence within the context of an adolescent romantic relationship. These forms of victimization can be defined according to recent comprehensive reviews (Gilbert et al. 2009) and guidelines (Leeb et al. 2008). Other (non-violent) adversities and forms of trauma undoubtedly have health implications, but were omitted (Boyce, Sokolowski & Robinson, 2012).

About one quarter of children experience some form of violence exposure as juveniles (Koenen et al. 2010). A comprehensive review of population-based studies in developed countries concluded that 5–35% of children were physically abused and 5–30% of children were sexually abused, while 10–20% had witnessed domestic violence, across the duration of childhood (Gilbert et al. 2009). Subsequent national estimates agree. A nationally representative survey in the United States sought reports of exposure to violence from children under age 17 and their parents (Finkelhor, Turner, Ormrod, & Hamby, 2009; Turner, Finkelhor and Ormrod, 2010). American estimates for exposure across the duration of childhood were 20–29% for bullying, 2–9% for dating violence, 5–19% for physical maltreatment, 7–33% for physical assault, 3–11% for sexual maltreatment/assault, and 13–27% for witnessing adults' domestic violence. This survey was repeated in the United Kingdom, yielding very similar prevalence estimates (Radford et al. 2011). The WHO World Mental Health Survey provided estimates from 21 countries based on retrospective reports of adults looking back to their childhoods. Physical abuse was recalled by 5–11% of respondents while 1–2% reported contact sexual abuse and 4–8% reported exposure to family violence (Kessler et al. 2010). Varying rates are partially due to differences between boys and girls, young children and adolescents, and to varying definitions and ascertainment methods across studies.

Prevalence rates that count victims conceal the fact that children who are exposed to one incident of violent victimization are likely to be repeatedly exposed to the same type of violence, which is termed *re-victimization*, and to experience multiple different types of victimization, termed *poly-victimization* (Finkelhor, Ormrod, & Turner 2007; 2009). Furthermore, juvenile victimization increases risk of adult violence victimization (Widom, Czaja, & Dutton, 2008). Such long-term multiple-exposure patterns constitute cumulative stress experiences that exacerbate victims' subsequent mental health outcomes (Appleyard, Egeland, van Dulmen, & Sroufe 2005; Teicher et al. 2006). Individual variation in these patterns of violence exposure should thus also be considered in future research into effects on stress biology and health. Despite individual heterogeneity in the extent of violence exposure, and variation in the population prevalence estimates, all sources agree that childhood violence exposure is more prevalent than it ought to be, and sufficiently prevalent to be taken seriously as a contributing factor in population health and age-related disease burden.

How good is the evidence that childhood violence exposure influences stress-biology measures?

It may seem heartless to challenge the hypothesis that violence exposure is harmful to lifelong health, as there is a moral imperative to prevent violence against children. But there is also a scientific and societal imperative to evaluate threats to causal inference. Epidemiologists calculate population attributable fractions to estimate how much of the disease burden in the population could be reduced if certain causal factors were eradicated. For example, some estimates suggest that eradicating childhood adversities could reduce psychiatric disorders by nearly 30% (Kessler et al. 2010). Such estimates are intended to inform public policies and guide intervention efforts, but "...the population attributable fraction is of most use when the risk factor of interest is clearly causally related to the end point" (Rockhill et al., 1998). Is it possible to say that childhood violence exposure causes poor health?

Experimental research in non-human animals has documented that stress causes alterations in body and brain measures. In contrast, the requisite evidence for causation in human children is weaker. Most studies reviewed for this article made an attempt to support causal inference by statistically controlling for other risk factors correlated with violence exposure (such as low socio-economic status or family structure) that might have generated abnormal scores on health measures. However, children exposed to maltreatment and violence are statistically likely to experience a whole host of additional adversities that could diminish their health and it is difficult to control for all of them (Cicchetti & Toth, 2005). Worse yet, because children inherit both their genotypes and environments from their parents, environmental risks such as violence exposure are known to co-occur with genetic predispositions to health outcomes such as mental disorders and neuropsychological dysfunctions (Jaffee & Price, 2007). It is not known whether this gene-environment correlation extends to other health outcomes or stress biomarkers, but we should assume that it does.

Several study designs that could assist with causal inference are off limits to researchers who study children. For example, the hypothesized causal effect of violence exposure on health could be confirmed by experimental designs exposing children to violence (which are unethical) or by longitudinal designs taking biomarker measurements before and after violence exposure to use each child as his own control (which are impractical, though not impossible). This causal hypothesis could also be tested by comparing the stress biomarker status of siblings who are discordant for the experience of violence exposure. Twins are particularly good for this contrast, though in most twin pairs studied as young children,

when one twin is maltreated, so is the other. As a result of these research limitations, we may never be able to rule out the possibility that biomarker abnormalities observed in violence-exposed children were caused by some other kind of adversity. Nevertheless, if we accept that the key hypothesis is that childhood stress in general affects health, then studying violence exposure in particular provides a reasonable test of this hypothesis, whether or not effects can be narrowed to violence per se. The main caution here is that this field of inquiry is far from being able to state how much of the disease burden in the population could be reduced if all violence-exposed children were successfully treated. Treatment studies will be useful to tackle this question, more about which appears later in this article.

The next sections of this article briefly review the state of the evidence that childhood violence exposure affects indicators of the health of the body (inflammatory reactions, telomere erosion, epigenetic methylation, and gene expression), and of the brain (mental disorders, neuropsychological testing, and neuroimaging). This set is not exhaustive, for example studies of cortisol (Gunnar & Quevedo, 2007) and electroencephalography (Cicchetti & Curtis, 2005; Pollak, 2005) in maltreated children are important, but not reviewed here.

Childhood violence exposure and inflammatory outcomes

Inflammation is part of the innate immune response, a defense mechanism that enables recognition of microorganisms' antigens, and triggers rapid response against them. Inflammation is triggered by 'inducers', which can be both exogenous and endogenous (Medzhitov, 2008). Exogenous inducers include microbial factors and factors such as allergens, irritants, foreign bodies, and toxic compounds. Endogenous inducers include biological signals produced by stressed, damaged, or otherwise malfunctioning tissues. In response to these signals, the innate immune system develops a response involving blood vessels, white blood cells, and the so-called acute-phase proteins: the inflammatory response (Medzhitov, 2008). A successful inflammatory response achieves the elimination of the signals that originated the response, the resolution of the response, and the repair of damaged tissues.

If the inducers become chronic and the resolution phase cannot occur, the otherwise protective, acute inflammatory response can become a detrimental, chronic inflammatory state. Because the inflammatory response is non-specific, it does not discriminate between inflammation inducers (e.g. invading microorganisms) and surrounding tissues. Collateral damage during chronic inflammatory states may therefore lead to significant tissue damage leading to age-related disease, such as cardiovascular disease, type-2 diabetes, and dementia (Hotamisligil, 2006; Nguyen, Julien & Rivest, 2002; Ross, 1999). In humans, a meta-analysis of longitudinal-epidemiological studies suggests that even mild elevation in plasma inflammation biomarkers, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen, and white blood cell count, predicts elevated risk for cardiovascular disease (Danesh et al. 1998). Elevated inflammation levels have also been linked to risk for psychopathology (Soczynska, Zang, Kennedy, & McIntyre, 2012). Although inflammation has been associated with several psychiatric disorders, a causal relation is most established with regard to depression, where evidence comes from meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, and experimental animal models (Dantzer et al. 2008; Howren, Lamkinn, & Suls, 2009).

Many experimental findings from animal models document the effects of early life stress on long-term functioning of the immune system, and particularly inflammation. Observational studies of humans also show that juvenile violence victimization is linked to abnormal immune system functioning with elevated inflammation levels (Danese et al. 2007; Danese et al. 2011; Kiecolt-Glaser et al. 2011; Shirtcliff, Coe, & Pollak, 2009; Slopen et al. 2010; Surtees et al. 2003; Widom et al. 2012). For example, in the Dunedin Study children who

had been exposed to maternal rejection, harsh parenting, disruptive caregiver changes, physical abuse or sexual abuse were almost twice as likely as non-maltreated children to show elevated levels of multiple clinically-relevant inflammation biomarkers, such as CRP, fibrinogen, and white blood cell count, in adulthood (Danese et al. 2007). These findings were independent of the influence of other co-occurring early-life adversities, stress in adulthood, adult health and health behavior, and current active infections. Among 12-year old participants of the UK Environmental Risk (E-Risk) Longitudinal Twin study, physically maltreated children experiencing current depression already exhibited elevated inflammation levels in childhood (Danese et al. 2011). This finding too could not be explained by potential confounders, such as family socio-economic circumstances, obesity, or current infections. Another study reported that both post-institutionalized children living in adoptive homes and children with substantiated cases of physical abuse still residing with their families showed elevated secretory IgA for Herpes Simplex Virus compared to controls, indicating impairment in the acquired immune response that normally contains the reactivation of this latent virus (Shirtcliff et al. 2009).

This effect of juvenile violence victimization on inflammation has thus been replicated in independent studies, appears to be independent of correlated risk factors, and is present when victimization is ascertained prospectively during childhood. Furthermore, the inflammatory alterations of juvenile violence victims have been observed to onset in childhood and also to be present in adult life. Some studies suggest that the connections between childhood stress and inflammation measures are strongest among individuals with depression (Danese et al. 2001; Danese et al. 2008). Because juvenile violence victimization could influence long-term disease outcomes through the mechanism of elevation in inflammation levels, reversing the effect of victimization on inflammation could potentially reduce health burden.

Childhood violence exposure and telomere erosion

Telomeres are the repetitive TTAGGG sequences which cap and protect the ends of chromosomes. They play a major role in regulating cellular replication, and shorten progressively with each cell division in replicating human tissues. Upon reaching a critically short length, cells enter a state of replicative arrest called senescence. In recent years, telomeres have emerged as a promising new candidate in epidemiological research. Shorter telomere length and increased erosion rate are both associated with higher risk of morbidity and mortality (Cawthon et al. 2003; Ehrlenbach et al. 2009b). Studies in animal models have provided support for the predictive utility of telomere length early in life on the animal's subsequent lifespan (Heidinger et al. 2012). The advent of high-throughput and cost-effective laboratory techniques that measure telomere length, in buccal cells or peripheral blood cells, opened the gate to new studies linking shorter telomere length with a broad range of risk factors that predict disease morbidity, including smoking, obesity (Buxton et al. 2011; Nordfjall et al. 2008; Valdes et al. 2005), schizophrenia (Yu et al. 2008), mood disorders (Simon et al. 2006), and psychosocial stress (Epel et al. 2004; Kiecolt-Glaser et al. 2011).

In the past two years, studies have provided initial support for an association between childhood stress and telomere length. Adult participants who reported physical abuse, emotional neglect, or other adverse life events in childhood had significantly shorter telomere length regardless of key potential confounding factors such as age, sex, smoking or body mass index (BMI) (Kananen et al. 2010; Kiecolt-Glaser et al. 2011; O'Donovan et al. 2011a; Tyrka et al. 2010). However, one study failed to replicate the association between telomere length and retrospective assessment of physical and sexual abuse in childhood in a large cohort of adult twins (Glass et al. 2010). In the first study of children, institutional care was significantly associated with shorter telomere length in middle childhood (Drury et al.

2011). In addition, the E-Risk Study reported that children who experienced 2 or more kinds of violence exposure showed significantly more telomere erosion between age-5 baseline and age-10 follow-up measurements (Shalev et al. 2012). This finding provided the first evidence that stress-related accelerated telomere erosion between two repeated measures (as opposed to telomere length at one time point) can be observed already at a young age while children are experiencing stress, and well before they develop poor health as adults.

Telomere length measurement is now offered as a diagnostic tool to monitor health and predict disease risk (Wolinsky, 2011). However, recent findings from studies with repeated telomere measurements indicate caution because the temporal process of telomere erosion is more complex than initially assumed. For example, telomere length is highly variable across different age groups, there is significant intra-individual variability, telomere erosion is inversely correlated with baseline telomere length, and also, in some individuals, telomeres lengthen over time (Aviv et al. 2009; Epel et al. 2009). In addition, there are controversies regarding the best ways to measure telomere length. Lastly, another methodological question concerns the measurement of telomere length in different tissues (Shalev, 2012). Because of ethical difficulties obtaining blood from children in the community, most studies in children have used buccal cells, instead of the peripheral blood cells more commonly used in studies of adults. This difference must raise the question of whether findings will generalize across age and tissue type. Extreme caution should be taken as more research is needed to clarify the mechanisms that govern telomere length dynamics.

Childhood violence exposure and epigenetic outcomes

Sitting above the DNA sequence is a second layer of information (the ‘epigenome’) that regulates several genomic functions, including when and where genes are actively expressed. Epigenetics refers to the reversible regulation of various genomic functions, occurring independently of the DNA sequence, mediated principally through biochemical changes in DNA methylation and chromatin structure. Epigenetic processes are essential for normal cellular development and regulation of gene function (Feinberg, 2010). DNA methylation is perhaps the best understood among several kinds of epigenetic modifications. Unlike the DNA sequence, which is stable and robust, epigenetic processes are often tissue-specific, developmentally-regulated and highly dynamic. A growing body of evidence shows that the epigenome changes over the life-course and is correlated with age, an important observation given that the prevalence of many chronic diseases increases with advancing age (Bjornsson et al. 2008; Bocklandt et al. 2011; Christinasen et al. 2009; Flanagan et al. 2006; Fraga et al. 2005). There is also mounting evidence that epigenetic processes are influenced by factors in the environment. DNA methylation, for example, has been shown to vary as a function of nutritional, chemical, physical, and psychosocial factors. Epigenetic mechanisms therefore represent a potential link between genes and the environment, and this hypothesis is supported by observations that monozygotic twins can differ in DNA methylation (Fraga et al. 2005; Wong et al. 2010). Recently it has been proposed that epigenetic mechanisms play a pivotal role in human health and disease, with increasing evidence for their involvement across the broad spectrum of chronic complex illnesses, including physical, physiological, and mental disorders (Feinberg, 2010; Petronis, 2010). Changes in DNA methylation following early life stress have been associated with long-term changes in gene expression and behavior and there is initial evidence that they contribute to both psychiatric disorders and physiological disturbances later in life (Champagne & Curley, 2009; Gluckman, Hanson, & Beedle, 2007; Rutten & Mill, 2009).

Research using rodent models provides direct evidence for the role of early life stress on the epigenome; a number of detailed reviews have been recently published on this subject (Champagne & Curley, 2005; Champagne & Curley, 2009). Perhaps the best known example is a report that variation in maternal care in rats alters DNA methylation and

histone acetylation near the glucocorticoid receptor gene (*Nr3c1*) in the hippocampus of the offspring, directly affecting transcription and subsequent stress responses in adulthood (Weaver et al. 2004). A cross-fostering design was used to infer a causal relationship between maternal care and epigenetic differences, and it was also discovered that the changes in DNA methylation could be reversed using epigenetic drug treatments. Despite stimulating research into the epigenetic consequences of early-life adversity, these seminal findings await convincing accumulation of positive replication results.

Research on epigenomic changes in humans occurring in response to early-life adversity is considerably more limited, and the results are often difficult to interpret given the biological, technical and methodological issues inherent in how the studies have been implemented (Beach et al. 2010; Heijmans & Mill, 2012, McGowan et al. 2009; Tyrka et al. 2012). The few human studies are all characterized by relatively small changes in DNA methylation, and the biological significance of these changes is yet to be established. Interestingly, there are reports of differential DNA methylation in genes related to immune function and inflammation in PTSD patients (Smith et al. 2011; Uddin et al. 2010).

Although there is mounting interest in epigenetic epidemiology, the field is still in its infancy. Specific research into the epigenetic consequences of childhood violence victimization in humans is lacking, although research using rodent models suggests potential links between early-life adversity and epigenomic plasticity. To date, virtually all research into the epigenetic consequences of early-life adversity has focused on DNA methylation changes near *a priori* candidate genes thought to be involved in mental disorders, stress-response pathways, or neural plasticity. It is therefore unclear how applicable the results are for understanding physical health. However, recent advances in genomic technology make it feasible to undertake unbiased whole genome-scale studies of DNA methylation in response to early-life stressors such as violence victimization. As research starts to identify epigenetic changes relating to early-life adversity and physical health, it will be important to question cause and effect; disease-associated differentially methylated regions may arise prior to illness and contribute to the disease or could be a secondary effect of the disease process, or of the medications used in treatment (Heijmans et al. 2009). It may be difficult (perhaps impossible?) to obtain causal evidence linking childhood trauma to altered DNA methylation and subsequent disease, given the ethical issues involved in implementing causal study designs. Large longitudinal epidemiological cohorts are an ideal resource for epigenetic research, but in such studies whole blood or buccal cells are usually the only biological materials archived which is problematic because DNA methylation differences could be confounded by differences in the cellular composition of samples from different tissue sources. Another key question concerns the extent to which easily accessible tissues (such as blood) can be used to ask questions about inaccessible tissues such as the brain and other internal organs (Davies et al. 2012). Finally, because there is a considerable interest in epigenetic research in both the scientific and popular press, it is important that epigenetics should avoid hype and unrealistic promises and keep in mind that optimal research methods are still very much under construction.

Childhood violence exposure and gene expression outcomes

Peripheral blood gene expression is an interesting recent development in stress biomarker research, with opportunities for both hypothesis-driven research and for hypothesis-free discovery (Sunde, 2010). Blood cell mRNA expression can be measured either through the candidate-gene approach, that is, in one gene at the time, through the whole genome transcriptomic approach using array systems, or through the simultaneous analysis of 30 to 100 related genes selected to represent a biological system (Sunde, 2010). Two main biological systems involved in the stress response, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical

(HPA) axis and the inflammatory system, have been the focus of a small number of studies in humans that have examined peripheral blood gene expression following exposure to severe stressors. These studies have tended to examine adult patients with PTSD or other stress-related forms of psychopathology who had experienced recent traumatic stressors such as the events of 9/11, military combat, or assault (Mondelli et al. 2011, Sarapas et al. 2011, Segman et al. 2005, Yehuda et al. 2009). Other studies have identified gene expression differences associated with more chronic adverse experiences, such as maternal separation in rhesus monkeys (Cole et al. 2012), or poverty, longterm caregiving, and loneliness in humans (Chen et al. 2011, Miller et al. 2008, Miller, Rohleder, et al. 2009, O'Donovan et al. 2011). The emerging literature indicates a role for gene expression in mediating the effects of stress exposure on the immune system and the sympathetic nervous system. However, studies of children linking gene expression to violence exposure are few (LaBonte et al. 2012). This research area is yet to be developed.

Childhood violence exposure and mental health outcomes

Although mental health is not a direct stress-physiology measure, we include it here because it is one indicator of the health status of the brain (Lupien et al. 2009), and evidence is mounting that mental disorders involve physiological changes, such as elevated inflammation (Soczynska, Zang, Kennedy, & McIntyre, 2012). Moreover, some research suggests that violence exposure has its greatest effects on future health among the subset of violence-exposed individuals who develop mental disorders following violence exposure (Danese et al. 2008; Danese et al. 2011; Heim et al. 2000; Vythingam et al. 2002). The disability caused by psychiatric disorders is growing, particularly in developed Western nations, where the burden of communicable diseases is now reduced and psychiatric conditions account for over 40% of all years lived with disability (YLDs; World Health Report, WHO, 2001). Associations between childhood victimization and adult mental disorders have been reported in relation to exposure to domestic violence, physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect (Kessler et al. 2010). Research has also challenged the view that bullying victimization is harmless, by showing that it increases the risk of psychiatric problems (Arseneault et al. 2010; Fisher, Moffitt, et al. 2012; Fisher, Schreier, et al. 2012; Winsper et al. 2012).

Taken together, the evidence shows that childhood violence victimization is associated with risk for (a) many different kinds of psychiatric disorders, (b) comorbidity, (c) unfavorable course of illness, and (c) poor treatment response. It is difficult to identify a disorder to which childhood victimization is not linked. It is significantly associated with mood disorders, anxiety disorders, behavior disorders, and substance-use disorders, and with an individual having more than one of these disorders simultaneously (Green et al. 2010; Kessler et al. 2010; Scott et al. 2012). A recent discovery is that childhood violence victimization is also linked to schizophrenia, psychosis, and psychotic-like symptoms (Arseneault et al. 2011; Toth et al. 2011; Verese et al. 2012). In relation to course of illness, a meta-analysis revealed that childhood maltreatment predicts an unfavorable course of depression, as defined by both recurrence and persistence (Nanni et al. 2012). Less evidence is available about the course of other disorders, but in analyses undertaken for this article in the Dunedin study, childhood maltreatment significantly predicted a more recurrent course of major depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, alcohol-dependence disorders, and drug-dependence disorders. In relation to treatment response, a meta-analysis of clinical trials treating depression showed that childhood maltreatment was associated with elevated risk of non-response or relapse during treatment (Nanni et al. 2012).

How good is the evidence and how strong is the connection? As usual, it depends on study methodology. Today, childhood violence exposure is a widely accepted cause of psychiatric disorder. But this is a recent historical development. Thirty years ago, childhood

maltreatment was not included in most etiological theories of mental disorder, in part because many forms of maltreatment were considered to be too rare to account for population prevalence rates of psychiatric conditions. For this reason longitudinal-epidemiological studies launched as late as the 1970s did not systematically inquire about violence exposure. As a result, much of our information linking childhood violence victimization to adult psychiatric disorder relies on unwell adults' retrospective reports of their victimization years in the past, a method known to introduce bias (Susser & Widom, 2012). The dangers of retrospective data are known: normal forgetting, revisionist recall, bias by respondents' knowledge of subsequent disease outcome, bias from respondents' cognitive dysfunction or altered mood, and telescoping of recalled events. Despite this reason for caution, persuasive studies with prospective measures of violence exposure, and studies of siblings discordant for violence, have tended to confirm that childhood violence exposure leads to poor mental health in adolescence and adulthood (Arseneault et al. 2010; Gilbert et al. 2009; Kendler et al. 2000; Nelson et al. 2002). However, in general, retrospective victimization is more strongly associated with disorder than prospective victimization; a difference that is evident in within-study comparisons as well as in between-study comparisons (Gilbert et al. 2009; Susser & Widom, 2012; but see Scott, McLaughlin, Smith & Ellis, 2012). As such, it is wise to be cautious when estimating how much of the psychiatric disease burden in the population could be reduced if all violence-exposed children were successfully treated. Finally, although violence victimization is a potent risk factor for developing psychiatric disorders, there are marked individual differences in response and many children who are victimized remain healthy (Cicchetti, 2013; Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2009).

Childhood violence exposure and neuropsychological health outcomes

Neuro-psychological tests are sensitive indicators of integrity of the brain and its mental functions. An aggregate of an individual's neuropsychological abilities (the IQ) is a proven reliable predictor of life-long health, all-cause morbidity, late-life frailty, and early mortality (Deary et al. 2004; Gottfredson, 2004). Moreover, the IQ is the best predictor of life success outcomes which enhance mid-life health: education, occupational attainment, and job performance (Schmidt & Hunter, 2004). A bird's-eye view of theory linking childhood stress to brain health includes a sequential chain in which early-life chronic stress disrupts the homeostasis of stress-biology systems (including inflammation and HPA-axis hormones), which in turn disrupts normal development of brain structures, which in turn produces observable deficits in stress-exposed individuals' tested learning, memory, and attention capacities (Danese & McEwen, 2012; McEwen, 2012; Sapolsky et al. 1996). All of these theoretical steps in the causal chain have been well worked out in animal stress research, particularly in rodent models (Millan et al 2012; Shors, 2006; Teicher, Tomoda & Andersen, 2006).

A handful of studies have compared maltreated children versus comparison children and detected deficits in the omnibus IQ, as well as tests of memory, executive functions, attention, and concentration (reviewed in Wilson, Hansen & Li, 2011). The hallmark longitudinal follow-up of 413 officially substantiated child maltreatment victims showed that as adults they scored on average ten IQ points below matched controls (Perez & Widom, 1994). Chronicity of exposure is important; a study of 1,777 children registered with Child Protective Services reported that children victimized chronically across multiple years had lower IQs than children who were situationally maltreated (Jaffee & Maikovitch-Fong, 2011). In the E-Risk Longitudinal Study of a nationally representative UK birth cohort of 2232 children, physical maltreatment, frequent bullying victimisation, and domestic violence exposure were each independently associated with a general neuropsychological deficit of 4 to 8 IQ points (Jaffee et al. 2007; Koenen et al. 2003). In

analyses undertaken for this article in the Dunedin longitudinal study, maltreated children scored on average 5 IQ points lower than cohort peers as adolescents, but when the same children were re-tested 25 years later the deficit had worsened, to 8 points, and neuropsychological testing revealed that formerly maltreated children had deficits in working memory, visual-spatial perceptual reasoning, and verbal comprehension as late as age 38. Disrupted homeostasis of stress hormone and inflammatory systems is thought to alter the brain development necessary for healthy neuropsychological functions, suggesting the hypothesis that treatments able to reestablish homeostasis in these systems may enhance mental abilities.

Childhood violence exposure and neuroimaging outcomes

Neuroimaging measures allow inferences about the structural and/or functional integrity of the brain. Structural measures such as the size of specific brain regions and volume of grey and white matter are assessed with structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI). In contrast, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) relies on changes in the blood oxygenation level as a consequence of neuronal activation and thus measures neuronal activity indirectly and with a delay of several seconds but with a high spatial resolution. Participants in fMRI studies are typically administered tasks to measure brain activation. Positron emission tomography (PET) measures changes in the blood flow to reveal active brain regions. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) ascertains integrity of white matter tracts connecting brain regions. A considerable number of neuroimaging studies using these technologies have investigated associations with child maltreatment. Because of challenges in undertaking neuroimaging of young children, most studies have examined adult patients with trauma-related psychiatric conditions (e.g. Andersen, Tomada et al. 2008; Weniger, Lange et al. 2008), or adults who retrospectively reported maltreatment (e.g. Cohen, Grieve et al. 2006; Dannlowski, Stuhrmann et al. 2012). Because of the difficulty of testing children with functional activation paradigms, most studies of children have reported only structural MRI measures. Two recent, excellent and comprehensive reviews have summarized and critiqued the neuroimaging literature on child violence exposure (Hart and Rubia 2012; McCrory, De Brito et al. 2010), concluding that structural and functional findings have consistently appeared in the circuitry of the frontal and limbic regions of the brain, which include structures such as the hippocampus, amygdala, striatum, prefrontal cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, and anterior cingulate gyrus.

Certain notable patterns have emerged from the many imaging studies reported. The hippocampus has been a structure of special focus because animal models have shown that stress-induced high levels of glucocorticoids over prolonged periods of time can impede hippocampal development and impair memory. A meta-analysis of structural imaging studies concluded that hippocampal volume is smaller in adults reporting a childhood maltreatment history, but not in maltreated children, prompting the hypothesis that childhood stress may cause abnormal hippocampal development that only becomes detectable in the mature brain (Woon & Hedges, 2008). A cautionary note has been raised by the finding that hippocampi were small in non-trauma-exposed monozygotic twins of PTSD patients, suggesting the possibility that inherited small hippocampal volume antedates trauma-exposure and poses risk for PTSD (Gilbertson et al. 2002). The amygdala has also been a frequent subject of study, because it is involved in fear conditioning and negative emotionality, and research suggests stress can enlarge it (Davidson & McEwen, 2012). Although there are a few functional findings, a meta-analysis did not yield consistent structural differences in amygdala volume (Woon & Hedges, 2008). Another brain structure that has received much attention in studies of maltreatment is the prefrontal cortex, of interest because it matures late and may thus be especially vulnerable to early stress, and because it is involved in emotion regulation. Structural abnormalities in this region have

been reported for maltreated juveniles (Andersen, Tomada et al. 2008; De Bellis, Keshavan et al. 2002; Edmiston, Wang et al. 2011; Hanson, Chung et al. 2010), as have a few functional findings. This literature also contains scattered findings involving the cerebellum (De Bellis & Kuchibhatla 2006), white matter tracts (e.g. De Bellis, Keshavan et al. 2002), and other brain areas in relation to child maltreatment.

As a caution, the neuroimaging literature is far from addressing the question of causality, as it lacks equivalent measures in experimental animal models, lacks differential imaging findings among siblings discordant for childhood violence exposure, and also lacks prospective studies showing within-individual change in imaging measures from before to after violence exposure. In particular, sample sizes are generally far too small to attempt including statistical controls for the host of correlated factors known to be associated with childhood violence exposure and its consequent psychiatric conditions.

Interventions for childhood violence exposure

Presuming that childhood violence exposure is common, and that it can alter victims' stress biology, to eventually increase adult disease morbidity and mortality, what might be done about this? Prominent questions concern prevention and reversibility. Can physical harm to body and brain be reduced by family programs that prevent childhood violence exposure? Can harm be reversed by individual psychosocial treatments that reverse the psychological sequelae of maltreatment? In this section we briefly note interventions that have been scientifically tested and declared promising for preventing or reversing outcomes of psychological harm. Our suggestion is that stress-biology measures ought to be added to trials of interventions like these, to test whether they might also prevent or reverse physical harm to body and brain.

Interventions for children who have experienced violence-related trauma

Several reviews have evaluated psychological interventions for post-traumatic reactions in children, including but not limited to violence-induced trauma (Cohen et al. 2010;; MacMillan, et al. 2009; Perrin et al. 2000; Robjant & Fazel, 2010; Rodenburg et al. 2009; Rolfsnes & Idsoe, 2011; Stallard, 2006). These post-trauma reactions are not constrained to PTSD symptoms, but comprise a host of emotional and behavioral sequelae (Carrion & Kletter, 2012). The evidence base has been criticized for the usual methodological shortcomings known to bedevil treatment research (Mikton & Butchart, 2009). Nevertheless, meta-analyses and systematic reviews of randomized clinical trials identify cognitive behavior therapy approaches as effective, specifically Trauma-Focused CBT (TF-CBT; Kowalik, Weller, Venter, & Drachman, 2011; Rolfsnes & Idsoe, 2011; Stallard, 2006, Wethington et al. 2008). TF-CBT combines parent and child skills-based components within a framework of a trauma model. These components include psycho-education (information about trauma and trauma reactions), parenting skills (i.e. behavior management skills), relaxation skills (to manage physiological reactions to trauma), affective modulation skills (to manage affective responses to trauma), cognitive coping skills (to explore and discuss connections between thoughts, feelings, and behaviors), trauma narrative and processing (to correct cognitive distortions related to trauma, to reorganize memories), in vivo mastery of trauma reminders (to overcome generalized fear related to trauma), and safety planning for the future. These components are taught in parallel parent and child sessions as well as in conjoint child-parent sessions. The number of sessions varies between 12 and 16. TF-CBT appears to reduce PTSD-related symptoms and general problem behaviors. Recent studies on TF-CBT not yet included in meta-analyses or reviews support the previous conclusions but also add new insights: A trauma narrative is not necessarily needed (Deblinger et al. 2011; Salloum & Overstreet, 2012), and TF-CBT also works with children of different ages from preschool to high school (Nixon, Sterk, & Pearce, 2012; Scheeringa et al. 2011). Other

treatments for violence-exposed children are under initial evaluation, for example, Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) (Rodenburg et al. 2009) and Narrative Exposure Therapy for Children and Adolescents (KID-NET) (Robjant & Fazel, 2010).

Interventions for families to reduce children's violence exposure

In addition to interventions that directly treat child victims, there are a number of other psychosocial interventions available to enhance children's psychosocial adjustment in the context of violence exposure, by working with parents, or with parents and children together. These include intervention programs intended to reduce violence exposure and prevent child maltreatment by providing education and supervision to parents of very young children. Nurse-Family Partnership and Early Start are examples of two home-visiting programs with this aim that have been evaluated as effective (MacMillan et al. 2009). Also among the leaders are interventions to reduce risk of child maltreatment by improving parenting, such as Project Support (Jouriles et al. 2010) and Pathways Triple P (Prinz et al. 2009; Wiggins, Sofronoff, & Sanders, 2009), and treatments aiming to strengthen infant-parent attachment in families at risk for maltreatment (Cicchetti, Rogosch, & Toth, 2006). For example, Project Support is a family intervention to provide instrumental and emotional support to mothers and teach them child-management skills. It was originally developed to reduce conduct problems in children from families using domestic violence shelters. As another example, Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT; Chaffin, et al. 2004; Chaffin et al. 2011;) works with both the violence-exposed child and the (non-offending) parent, aiming to improve parental skills and motivation and enhance parent-child interactions. This is accomplished by direct coaching of parents and direct practice of skills in dyadic parent-child sessions. Preliminary evidence points to the significance of an additional module (six motivational sessions) when working with families in child welfare for child maltreatment. The Triple P system was evaluated using a cluster design to randomise eighteen counties in South Carolina (USA) to either Triple P's social learning-based program or to a care-as-usual control condition. Following intervention, the Triple P counties observed lower rates of found cases of child maltreatment, hospitalizations and injuries due to maltreatment, and out of home placements due to maltreatment (Prinz et al. 2009). This was the first time a public health parenting intervention has shown positive population level effects on child maltreatment in a randomized design with county as the unit of random assignment. Both experimental and clinical research have demonstrated that structured parenting programs are among the most efficacious interventions available to promote the mental health and well-being of children, particularly in families who are at risk of maltreatment (Mihalopoulos et al. 2011, National Research Council and Institute of Medicine, 2009).

Interventions for couples to reduce children's violence exposure

Children are psychologically harmed by exposure to intimate partner violence between adults in their lives, as well as by conflict between their parents (Buehler et al. 1997; Cummings and Davies, 2010). Moreover, research has further shown that intimate partner violence among adults coincides with physical maltreatment of children in the home at rates far beyond chance (Osofsky, 2003; Øverlien, 2010; Taylor, Guterman, Lee, and Rathouz, 2009). Given these two findings, interventions effective at strengthening couple relationships and reducing couple conflict may also have the unexpected benefit of reducing childhood violence exposure and psychological harm. Treating parents makes sense, because the younger a child is, the more likely that victimization is perpetrated by parents (Finkelhor, 1997).

Over the last 30 years, approximately 100 clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy and effectiveness of cognitive behavioral couple interventions to reduce conflict and prevent

relationship distress and divorce (Hahlweg et al. 2010). Although couple programs seem to be effective in reducing conflict, evidence is lacking that they are effective after a couple has become physically violent, and thus most programs aim at prevention early in relationships. For example, skills-based couples' programs such as Premarital Relationship Enhancement Program (PREP; Markman et al. 1988; Markman, Renick, Floyd, Stanley & Clements, 1993), Premarital Preparation - A Couples' Learning Program (EPL; Hahlweg et al. 1998; Thurmaier et al. 1999) or Freiburger Stress Präventions Programm (FSPP; Bodenmann, 2004) have demonstrated effectiveness. Moreover, PREP and EPL couples have shown significant improved outcomes after 5-year follow-up. Given divorce rates of about 50% across countries, and knowledge that couple conflict and separation/divorce are associated with increased risk for children's violence victimization, early interventions to enhance couples' relationship satisfaction may be an important strategy to reduce child harm (Hahlweg et al., 2010).

Bringing stress biology research and clinical intervention science together

As implied by the title, this article invites intervention scientists and stress-biology researchers to collaborate in adding stress-biology measures to randomized clinical trials of interventions intended to reduce effects of violence exposure on children. Doing so would fill two scientific gaps.

The first gap concerns a potential benefit for treatment trials; objective measures of important treatment outcome. Most psychosocial intervention trials collect only a narrow set of outcome data, limiting themselves to targets such as children's PTSD symptoms, aggression, emotional problems, school achievement, or related psychosocial measures. Such assessments entail methodological challenges such as the need for objective raters who are blind to treatment. Also, behavior ratings can be insensitive as change indicators, and agreement between adult reporters is often weak. In contrast, before- and after-treatment measures such as fMRI, inflammation, cortisol reactivity, or neuropsychological tests of attentional vigilance are inherently objective, and might prove sensitive to treatment effects. In any case, it is fundamentally important to find out if psychosocial interventions actually can shift stress-biology indicators.

The second scientific gap concerns a potential benefit for stress-biology research, new answers to the thorny question of causation. Our review above revealed that although there is much excitement about stress biomarker research, evidence of simple association in human children is very sparse, and therefore testing for stress-biomarker abnormalities in samples recruited for violence-exposure treatment trials would add badly needed basic descriptive data. We further noted that ethical and logistical challenges have made it difficult to test whether psychosocial stressors truly cause alterations in body and brain measures in human children, and therefore the evidence base for causation remains mostly observational. By themselves, intervention studies that generate pre-versus-post or treatment-versus-control differences in children's inflammation, telomere erosion, or executive function by treating violence-exposure would not uncontroversially prove that violence exposure damages health (just as reducing fever with aspirin does not prove that aspirin deficiency causes fever). However, intervention trials with random assignment could add now-missing experimental evidence, to augment causal inference. Some challenges, and the considerable benefits, of integrating biological measurements into intervention studies have been discussed previously (Cicchetti & Gunnar, 2008). A very small number of violence-related treatment trials have already incorporated stress-biology measures, and we introduce examples of them below. The next section also makes recommendations for research designs.

Treatment trials incorporating stress-biology measures

At least two reports of successful small-scale clinical trials of interventions for maltreated preschool children have reported that, on average, treatment normalized levels of the stress hormone cortisol (Cicchetti et al. 2011; Dozier et al. 2008; Fisher et al. 2000). In addition, one neuroimaging study compared psycho-educational and cognitive behavioral group treatment versus usual treatment for maltreated children with PTSD. Treatment induced changes in the activation of the anterior cingulate gyrus and insula that were detected by fMRI during a Stroop interference task. The changes were interpreted as reflecting increased selective attention and lower emotional arousal after treatment (Thomaes, Dorrepaal et al. 2012). There is also evidence from neuroimaging studies in adults that treatment of PTSD induces changes in brain function on fMRI (e.g. Felmingham et al. 2007). Finally, a 3-month meditation intervention has been reported to be associated with higher telomerase activity, which could lengthen telomeres (Jacobs et al. 2011).

In addition to this small set of treatment studies, there are other intriguing indications that interventions of varying types might be able to reverse the effects of violence exposure on stress biology (Davidson & McEwen, 2012). With respect to telomere erosion, evidence from a genetically modified mouse model showed that pharmacological activation of telomerase reversed indicators of mouse aging (Jaskelioff et al. 2011). In human studies, healthy diet and high social support attenuated the relationship between short telomere length and presence of heart disease (Diaz & Samani, 2010), and reduction of psychological distress was associated with increased telomerase activity (Daubenmier et al. 2011). With respect to epigenetic changes, epigenetic disruption is potentially reversible, and thus is a realistic target for pharmacological and/or behavioural interventions. Numerous agents have been discovered to alter DNA methylation and histone modifications, and several are being tested in ongoing clinical trials. So-called ‘epigenetic drugs’ are being developed for a range of disorders, most notably cancer (Kaiser, 2010), and many currently-used psychiatric medications have effects on the epigenome (Boks et al. 2012). One potential obstacle is that drugs which target the epigenome globally can have unexpected (and potentially pathogenic) effects on the transcription of genes which are not the desired target. With respect to chronic inflammation levels, several effective treatments could reduce them. In an initial study of rats subjected to maternal-separation stress, the expected working-memory deficit was prevented by prophylactic administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medication (Brenhouse & Andersen, 2011). Several classes of medications have anti-inflammatory effects, including the oft-used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Exogenous glucocorticoid hormones are also potent steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and some antidepressant medications also have anti-inflammatory effects (Miller, Maletic, & Raison, 2009). Anti-inflammatory effects have been claimed for dietary omega-3 poly-unsaturated fatty acids, physical exercise, acupuncture, and meditation (Handschin & Speigleman, 2008; Kiecolt-Glaser et al. 2010; Oke & Tracey, 2009; Zhang & Spite, 2012). Finally, one study suggested that engagement in cognitively-based compassion training is associated with progressive reduction in salivary inflammation biomarkers among adolescents in foster care (Pace et al. 2012). These potential reversibility effects remain speculative until replicated, and long-term follow-up will be required to ascertain whether these changes are maintained and translate into improved health outcomes (Miller & Cohen, 2001).

Recommendations for research designs

Unforeseen methodological challenges must be solved along the way in the course of any new research endeavor. Nevertheless, we offer some starting recommendations for researchers who wish to add stress-biology measures to randomized clinical trials of interventions intended to reduce effects of violence exposure on children.

First there are logistic considerations. Allow plenty of time and face-to-face contact for intervention scientists and stress biologists to build an effective collaborative team. These two professions conduct science under markedly different sets of assumptions and can easily misunderstand each other. Anticipate that the usual challenges in relation to ethical review, participant recruitment, retention, and informed consent may be magnified by the addition of biomarker data collection. We have found that families and children enjoy biomarker data collection, even blood phlebotomy, and they generally like the notion that they are engaged in “real science!” (but it can be difficult to persuade cautious ethical review panels of this in advance). Plan to provide extra confidentiality protection for biomarker data. Engage the therapeutic staff by insuring they understand the project fully and have a chance to give real input to the design. Learn about the logistics of biomarker data-collection. For example, for “wet” measures, there are three separate stages: initial tissue collection, tissue storage, and later assay. Tissue collection is often enticingly inexpensive whereas storage space and assays often entail larger, hidden costs. Consider the pros and cons of giving families feedback on biomarker status; they may expect a lab report as is typical after a doctor visit, but research measures are different from clinical tests in fundamental ways. Establish in advance what, if any, level of biomarker elevation would be sufficiently alarming to warrant a medical referral. For example, neuro-imaging has the potential to detect so-called “incidental findings” of neurological disease.

Second, there are design recommendations. Select a treatment protocol that is a good bet, one that already has strong evidence of behavior-change effectiveness, such as trauma-focused CBT. Do not allow the addition of biomarker measurement to alter or disrupt the treatment protocol; for example, do not have the CBT therapists draw blood samples or give neuropsychological tests. Select a stress biomarker outcome measure that is likewise a good bet, one that has strong *a priori* evidence that it is altered by psychosocial stress, and one that is capable of changing on a time scale that fits the intervention. Common sense recommends starting with bio-markers that are inexpensive, non-invasive, repeatable, and low-tech. Learn about the distribution of the selected bio-marker across age and sex, and recruit a sample that can mitigate or accommodate any such heterogeneity. Recruit a sample of patients to be randomly assigned to treatment versus control who have well-characterized and documented violence-exposure histories. One element that requires thought (and more informative data) is whether to enroll in intervention research only the subset of violence-exposed young people who exhibit consequent mental health difficulties, as opposed to any violence-exposed young people. Administer psychosocial measurements and biomarker measurements at the same time. Take a baseline biomarker measure before randomization, to avoid contaminating baseline with the patients’ knowledge of their group assignment. Repeat biomarker measures frequently, at least at baseline, start, midpoint, and discharge, to allow for ascertainment of trajectories of change across three or more measurement points. Use a care-as-usual control condition, instead of waitlist controls who are eventually given the treatment, as care-as-usual controls enable a long-term follow-up comparison. Discuss the potential reputational costs of null findings to both intervention scientists and stress biologists, and agree in advance how any null findings will be reported.

The need for guiding theory

Many fundamental questions of a theoretical nature need to be answered to explain how, and how much, childhood victimization contributes to health problems across the lifespan. Although a thorough treatment of theory is beyond the scope of this article, we point to two key areas in need of theory development (Miller, Chen, & Cole, 2009). One key theoretical question has to do with the nature of violence exposure itself. Are all forms of victimization (bullying, maltreatment, domestic violence) expected to have the same consequences for health? If so, theory must specify a psychobiological common denominator these exposures

share, perhaps a generic cognitive appraisal of threat or enhanced perceptual sensitivity to threat-related cues. If not, then perhaps what is especially damaging for children's biological systems is the profound violation of trust that follows parental maltreatment, the social rejection inherent in chronic bullying, or persistent fears about safety that accompany exposure to adult domestic violence. However, it would be necessary to explain what mechanisms allow different exposures to produce similar (or different) physiological effects. This issue becomes even more important when asking whether or not mechanisms following violence exposure differ from those arising from other adversities, such as poverty, or parental loss.

Another key theoretical question has to do with mind-body mechanisms. How does violence get under the skin, at the level of tissues and organs, to push forward the pathogenesis of disease? Thanks to many years of research, it is known, in some detail, how threats that emanate in the social world activate the neural circuitry regulating the autonomic nervous system and the HPA axis, and how the outflow of these two systems affects cardiac, vascular, immune, and metabolic systems. A much thornier issue arises when we consider the temporal features of the connection between childhood victimization and adult health. Most mechanistic knowledge of stress pertains to its immediate biological consequences. There is also some understanding, from work on PTSD, of how stress systems look in situations where the precipitating stimulus has dissipated but a subjective sense of threat lingers. However, the period of incubation between child maltreatment and coronary heart disease is something like 40–70 years. To be successful, research and theory will need to bridge that temporal gap in a manner that is psychologically and biologically plausible. Does childhood violence exposure set young people on pathways in which each adversity begets further adversities, and the cumulative effects of stress exposures sustain risk for later health problems, as proposed in life-course theory (Pollitt, Rose, & Kaufman, 2005)? Is early-life stress programmed into physiology during a sensitive period of child development, in a permanent, irreversible manner, as argued in the “fetal origins hypothesis” (Barker, 1992)? These and other “big” questions need theoretical and empirical attention if we are to argue that the population's burden of disease could be reduced if all violence-exposed children were successfully treated.

Acknowledgments

This article is a product of the third Klaus-Grawe Think Tank meeting, which took place from June 23 to 27, 2012, in Zuoz, Switzerland, hosted by the Klaus-Grawe-Foundation. The Foundation's purpose is to promote excellent, interdisciplinary and innovative research in clinical psychology and psychotherapy and their connected disciplines in order to improve prevention and treatment of psychological problems and disorders and to disseminate empirically supported programs to the public through a variety of delivery systems to individuals, couples, families, businesses, and institutions. This article briefly summarizes a set of briefing papers written for the meeting; which can be found at <http://www.moffittcaspi.com/content/klaus-grawe-think-tank-2012>. Support for writing this article was also provided by the US National Institute of Aging (AG032282) and the UK Medical Research Council (G1002190 and MR/K00381X).

References

- Andersen S, Tomada LA. Preliminary evidence for sensitive periods in the effect of childhood sexual abuse on regional brain development. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry & Clinical Neuroscience*. 2008; 20:292–301.
- Appleyard K, Egeland B, van Dulmen MHM, Sroufe LA. When more is not better: The role of cumulative risk in child behavior outcomes. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. 2005; 46:235–245. [PubMed: 15755300]
- Arseneault L, Bowes L, Shakoor S. Bullying victimization in youths and mental health problems: ‘Much ado about nothing’? *Psychological Medicine*. 2010; 40:717–729. [PubMed: 19785920]

- Arseneault L, Cannon M, Fisher HL, Polanczyk G, Moffitt TE, Caspi A. Childhood trauma and children's emerging psychotic symptoms: a genetically sensitive longitudinal cohort study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2011; 168:65–72. [PubMed: 20952460]
- Aviv A, Chen W, Gardner JP, Kimura M, Brimacombe M, Cao X, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS. Leukocyte telomere dynamics: longitudinal findings among young adults in the Bogalusa Heart Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2009; 169:323–329. [PubMed: 19056834]
- Barker, DJ. *Fetal and Infant Origins of Adult Disease*. London: British Medical Journal; 1992.
- Beach SR, Brody GH, Todorov AA, Gunter TD, Philibert RA. Methylation at SLC6A4 is linked to family history of child abuse: an examination of the Iowa Adoptee sample. *American Journal of Medical Genetics B Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 2010; 153B:710–713.
- Bjornsson HT, Sigurdsson MI, Fallin MD, Irizarry RA, Aspelund T, Cui H, Yu W, Rongione MA, Ekstrom TJ, Harris TB, et al. Intra-individual change over time in DNA methylation with familial clustering. *JAMA*. 2008; 299:2877–2883. [PubMed: 18577732]
- Bocklandt S, Lin W, Sehl ME, Sanchez FJ, Sinsheimer JS, Horvath S, Vilain E. Epigenetic predictors of age. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e14821. [PubMed: 21731603]
- Bodenmann, G. *Behavior therapy with couples*. Bern: Huber; 2004. *Verhaltenstherapie mit Paaren*.
- Boks MP, de Jong NM, Kas MJ, Vinkers CH, Fernandes C, Kahn RS, Mill J, Ophoff RA. Current status and future prospects for epigenetic psychopharmacology. *Epigenetics*. 2012; 7:20–28. [PubMed: 22207355]
- Boyce WT, Sokolowski MT, Robinson GE. Toward a new biology of social adversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 109 (Supplement 2):17143–17148. published ahead of print October 8, 2012. 10.1073/pnas.1121264109
- Brenhouse HC, Andersen SL. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory treatment prevents delayed effects of early life stress in rats. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2011; 70:434–440. [PubMed: 21679927]
- Buehler C, Anthony C, Krishnakumar A, Stone G, Gerard J, Pemberton S. Interparental conflict and youth problem behaviors: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Child & Family Studies*. 1997; 6:233–247.
- Buxton JL, Walters RG, Visvikis-Siest S, Meyre D, Froguel P, Blakemore AI. Childhood obesity is associated with shorter leukocyte telomere length. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology Metabolism*. 2011; 96:1500–1505.
- Carrion VG, Kletter H. *Treatment of Traumatic Stress Disorder in Children and Adolescents: Assessment and Treatment Strategies*. *Psychiatric Times*. 2012; 29 E-pub.
- Cawthon RM, Smith KR, O'Brien E, Sivatchenko A, Kerber RA. Association between telomere length in blood and mortality in people aged 60 years or older. *The Lancet*. 2003; 361:393–395.
- Chaffin M, Funderburk B, Bard D, Valle LA, Gurwitch R. A combined motivation and parent-child interaction therapy package reduces child welfare recidivism in a randomized dismantling field trial. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*. 2011; 79:84–95. [PubMed: 21171738]
- Chaffin M, Silovsky JF, Funderburk B, Valle LA, Brestan EV, Balachova T. Parent-child interaction therapy with physically abusive parents: Efficacy for reducing future abuse reports. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*. 2004; 72:500–510. [PubMed: 15279533]
- Champagne FA, Curley JP. How social experiences influence the brain. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2005; 15:704–709. [PubMed: 16260130]
- Champagne FA, Curley JP. Epigenetic mechanisms mediating the long-term effects of maternal care on development. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Review*. 2009; 33:593–600.
- Chen E, Miller GE, Kobor MS, Cole SW. Maternal warmth buffers the effects of low early-life socioeconomic status on pro-inflammatory signaling in adulthood. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2011; 16:729–737. [PubMed: 20479762]
- Christensen BC, Houseman EA, Marsit CJ, Zheng S, Wrensch MR, Wiemels JL, Nelson HH, Karagas MR, Padbury JF, Bueno R. Aging and environmental exposures alter tissue-specific DNA methylation dependent upon CpG island context. *PLoS Genetics*. 2009; 5:e1000602. [PubMed: 19680444]
- Cicchetti D. Annual Research Review: Resilient functioning in maltreated children – past, present, and future perspectives. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. 2013; 54(11):1111–1120. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02608.x_2012

- Cicchetti D, Curtis WJ. An event-related potential study of the processing of affective facial expressions in young children who experienced maltreatment during the first year of life. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2005; 17:641–77. [PubMed: 16262986]
- Cicchetti D, Gunnar MR. Integrating biological measures into the design and evaluation of preventive interventions. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2008; 20:737–743. [PubMed: 18606029]
- Cicchetti, D.; Rogosch, FA. Adaptive coping under conditions of extreme stress: Multilevel influences on the determinants of resilience in maltreated children. In: Skinner, EAZMJ., editor. *Coping & the Development of Regulation*. Vol. 124. 2009. p. 47-59.
- Cicchetti D, Rogosch FA, Toth SL. Fostering secure attachment in infants in maltreating families through preventive interventions. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2006; 18:623–649. [PubMed: 17152394]
- Cicchetti D, Rogosch FA, Toth SL, Sturge-Apple M. Normalizing the development of cortisol regulation in maltreated infants through preventive intervention. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2011; 23:789–800. [PubMed: 21756432]
- Cicchetti, D.; Toth, SL. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*. Vol. 1. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews; 2005. Child maltreatment; p. 409-438.
- Cohen JA, Berliner L, Mannarino A. Trauma focused CBT for children with co-occurring trauma and behavior problems. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 2010; 34:215–224. [PubMed: 20304489]
- Cohen R, Grieve AS. Early life stress and morphometry of the adult anterior cingulate cortex and caudate nuclei. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006; 59:975–82. [PubMed: 16616722]
- Cole, SW.; Conti, G.; Arevalo, JM.; Ruggiero, AM.; Heckman, JJ.; Suomi, SJ. Transcriptional modulation of the developing immune system by early life adversity: insights from maternal separation in rhesus macaques. 2012. in review
- Cummings, EM.; Davies, PT. *Marital conflict and children. An emotional security perspective*. New York: Guilford; 2010.
- Danese A, Caspi A, Williams B, Ambler A, Sugden K, Mika J, et al. Biological embedding of stress through inflammation processes in childhood. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2011; 16:244–246. [PubMed: 20157309]
- Danese A, McEwen BS. Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. *Physiology & Behavior*. 2012; 106:29–39. [PubMed: 21888923]
- Danese A, Moffitt TE, Pariante CM, Ambler A, Poulton R, Caspi A. Elevated inflammation levels in depressed adults with a history of childhood maltreatment. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2008; 65:409–415. [PubMed: 18391129]
- Danese A, Pariante CM, Caspi A, Taylor A, Poulton R. Childhood maltreatment predicts adult inflammation in a life-course study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2007; 104:1319–1324.
- Danesh J, Collins R, Appleby P, Peto R. Association of fibrinogen, C-reactive protein, albumin, or leukocyte count with coronary heart disease: meta-analyses of prospective studies. *JAMA*. 1998; 279:1477–1482. [PubMed: 9600484]
- Dannlowski U, Stuhrmann A. Limbic scars: long-term consequences of childhood maltreatment revealed by functional and structural magnetic resonance imaging. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2012; 71:286–293. [PubMed: 22112927]
- Dantzer R, O'Connor JC, Freund GG, Johnson RW, Kelley KW. From inflammation to sickness and depression: when the immune system subjugates the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2008; 9:46–56.
- Daubenmier J, Lin J, Blackburn E, Hecht FM, Kristeller J, Maninger N, Kuwata M, Bacchetti P, Havel PJ, Epel E. Changes in stress, eating, and metabolic factors are related to changes in telomerase activity in a randomized mindfulness intervention pilot study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2012; 37:917–928. [PubMed: 22169588]
- Davidson RJ, McEwen BS. Social influences on neuroplasticity: Stress and interventions to promote well-being. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2012; 15:689–695.
- Davies MN, Volta M, Pidsley R, Lunnon K, Dixit A, Lovestone S, Coarfa C, Harris RA, Milosavljevic A, Troakes C, Al-Sarraj S, Dobson R, Schalkwyk LC, Mill J. Functional annotation of the human

- brain methylome identifies tissue-specific epigenetic variation across brain and blood. *Genome Biology*. 2012; 13:R43.10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r43 [PubMed: 22703893]
- Deary IJ, Whiteman MC, Starr JM, Whalley LJ, Fox HC. The impact of childhood intelligence on later life: Following up the Scottish mental surveys of 1932 and 1947. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*. 2004; 86:130–147. [PubMed: 14717632]
- De Bellis MD, Keshavan MS. Brain structures in pediatric maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder: a sociodemographically matched study. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2002; 52:1066–1078. [PubMed: 12460690]
- De Bellis MD, Kuchibhatla M. Cerebellar volumes in pediatric maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006; 60:697–703. [PubMed: 16934769]
- Deblinger E, Mannarino AP, Cohen JA, Runyon MK, Steer RA. Trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for children: impact of the trauma narrative and treatment length. *Depression & Anxiety*. 2011; 28:67–75. [PubMed: 20830695]
- Diaz VA, Samani NJ. Effect of healthy lifestyle behaviors on the association between leukocyte telomere length and coronary artery calcium (vol 106, pg 659, 2010). *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2010; 106:659–663. [PubMed: 20723641]
- Dozier M, Peloso E, Lewis E, Laurenceau JP, Levine S. Effects of an attachment-based intervention on the cortisol production of infants and toddlers in foster care. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2008; 20:845–859. [PubMed: 18606034]
- Drury SS, Theall K, Gleason MM, Smyke AT, De Vivo I, Wong JY, Fox NA, Zeanah CH, Nelson CA. Telomere length and early severe social deprivation: linking early adversity and cellular aging. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2011; 17:719–727. [PubMed: 21577215]
- Edmiston EE, Wang F, et al. Corticostriatal-limbic gray matter morphology in adolescents with self-reported exposure to childhood maltreatment. *Archives of Pediatric & Adolescent Medicine*. 2011; 165:1069–1077.
- Ehrlenbach S, Willeit P, Kiechl S, Willeit J, Reindl M, Schanda K, Kronenberg F, Brandstatter A. Influences on the reduction of relative telomere length over 10 years in the population-based Bruneck Study: introduction of a well-controlled high-throughput assay. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2009; 38:1725–1734. [PubMed: 19666704]
- Epel ES, Blackburn EH, Lin J, Dhabhar FS, Adler NE, Morrow JD, Cawthon RM. Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*. 2004; 101:17312–17315.
- Epel ES, Merkin SS, Cawthon R, Blackburn EH, Adler NE, Pletcher MJ, Seeman TE. The rate of leukocyte telomere shortening predicts mortality from cardiovascular disease in elderly men. *Aging*. 2009; 1:81–88. [PubMed: 20195384]
- Feinberg AP. Epigenomics reveals a functional genome anatomy and a new approach to common disease. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2010; 28:1049–1052.
- Felmington K, Kemp A, Williams L, Das P, Hughes G, Peduto A. Changes in anterior cingulate and amygdala after cognitive behavior therapy of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Psychological Science*. 2007; 18:127–129. [PubMed: 17425531]
- Finkelhor, D. Zur internationalen Epidemiologie von sexuellem Mißbrauch an Kindern. In: Amann, G.; Wipplinger, R., editors. *Sexueller Missbrauch. Überblick zu Forschung, Beratung und Therapie. Ein Handbuch*. Tübingen: dgvt-Verlag; 1997. p. 72-85.[Sexual abuse. Review on research, counseling and therapy. A compendium]
- Finkelhor D, Ormrod RK, Turner HA. Re-victimization patterns in a national longitudinal sample of children and youth. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 2007; 31:479–502. [PubMed: 17537508]
- Finkelhor D, Ormrod RK, Turner HA. Lifetime assessment of poly-victimization in a national sample of children and youth. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 2009; 33:403–411. [PubMed: 19589596]
- Finkelhor D, Turner H, Ormrod R, Hamby SL. Violence, abuse, and crime exposure in a national sample of children and youth. *Pediatrics*. 2009; 124:1411–1423. [PubMed: 19805459]
- Fisher HL, Moffitt TE, Houts R, Belsky DW, Arseneault L, Caspi A. Bullying victimisation and risk of self harm in early adolescence: longitudinal cohort study. *British Medical Journal*. 2012; 344:e2683. [PubMed: 22539176]

- Fisher HL, Schreier A, Zammit S, Maughan B, Munafò MR, Lewis G, Wolke D. Pathways between childhood victimization and psychosis-like symptoms in the ALSPAC birth cohort. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2012 Epub. 10.1093/schbul/sbs088
- Fisher PA, Gunnar MR, Chamberlain P, Reid JB. Preventive intervention for maltreated preschool children: Impact on children's behavior, neuroendocrine activity, and foster parent functioning. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2000; 39:1356–1364. [PubMed: 11068890]
- Flanagan JM, Pependikyte V, Pozdniakovaite N, Sobolev M, Assadzadeh A, Schumacher A, Zangeneh M, Lau L, Virtanen C, Wang SC. Intra- and interindividual epigenetic variation in human germ cells. *American Journal of Human Genetics*. 2006; 79:67–84. [PubMed: 16773567]
- Fraga MF, Ballestar E, Paz MF, Ropero S, Setien F, Ballestar ML, Heine-Suner D, Cigudosa JC, Urioste M, Benitez J, et al. Epigenetic differences arise during the lifetime of monozygotic twins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*. 2005; 102:10604–10609.
- Gilbert R, Kemp A, Thoburn J, Sidebotham P, Radford L, Glaser D, et al. Recognising and responding to child maltreatment. *The Lancet*. 2009; 373:167–180.
- Gilbert R, Widom CS, Browne K, Fergusson D, Webb E, Janson S. Burden and consequences of child maltreatment in high-income countries. *The Lancet*. 2009; 373:68–81.
- Gilbertson MW, Paulus LA, Williston SK, Gurvits TV, Lasko NB, Pitman RK, et al. Neurocognitive function in monozygotic twins discordant for combat exposure: Relationship to posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2006; 115:484–495. [PubMed: 16866589]
- Glass D, Parts L, Knowles D, Aviv A, Spector TD. No correlation between childhood maltreatment and telomere length. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2010; 68:e21–e22. [PubMed: 20678755]
- Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Beedle AS. Early life events and their consequences for later disease: A life history and evolutionary perspective. *American Journal of Human Biology*. 2007; 19:1–19. [PubMed: 17160980]
- Gottfredson LS. Intelligence: Is It the Epidemiologists' Elusive "Fundamental Cause" of Social Class Inequalities in Health? *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*. 2004; 86:174–199. [PubMed: 14717635]
- Green JG, McLaughlin KA, Berglund PA, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM. Childhood adversities and adult psychiatric disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication I associations with first onset of DSM-IV disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:113–123. [PubMed: 20124111]
- Gunnar M, Quevedo K. The neurobiology of stress and development. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2007; 58:145–73.
- Hahlweg, K.; Baucom, DH.; Grawe-Gerber, M.; Snyder, DK. Strengthening couples and families: Dissemination of interventions for the treatment and prevention of couple distress. In: Hahlweg, K.; Grawe-Gerber, M.; Baucom, DH., editors. *Enhancing couples: The shape of couple therapy to come*. Göttingen, Germany: Hogrefe; 2010. p. 3-30.
- Hahlweg K, Markman HJ, Thurmaier F, Engl J, Eckert V. Prevention of marital distress: Results of a German prospective-longitudinal study. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 1998; 12:543–556.
- Handschin C, Spiegelman BM. The role of exercise and PGC1alpha in inflammation and chronic disease. *Nature*. 2008; 454:463–469. [PubMed: 18650917]
- Hanson JL, Chung MK, Avants BB, Shirtcliff EA, Gee JC, Davidson RJ. Early stress is associated with alterations in the orbitofrontal cortex: A tensor-based morphometry investigation of brain structure and behavioral risk. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2010; 30:7466–7472. [PubMed: 20519521]
- Hart H, Rubia K. Neuroimaging of child abuse: a critical review. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 2012; 6:1–24. [PubMed: 22279433]
- Heidinger BJ, Blount JD, Boner W, Griffiths K, Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. Telomere length in early life predicts lifespan. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*. 2012; 109:1743–1748.
- Heim C, Newport DJ, Heit S, Graham YP, Wilcox M, Bonsall R, Miller AH, Nemeroff CB. Pituitary-adrenal and autonomic responses to stress in women after sexual and physical abuse in childhood. *JAMA*. 2000; 284:592–597. [PubMed: 10918705]

- Heijmans BT, Mill J. Commentary: The seven plagues of epigenetic epidemiology. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2012; 41:74–78. [PubMed: 22269254]
- Heijmans BT, Tobi EW, Lumey LH, Slagboom PE. The epigenome: archive of the prenatal environment. *Epigenetics*. 2009; 4:526–531. [PubMed: 19923908]
- Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. *Nature*. 2006; 444:860–867. [PubMed: 17167474]
- Howren MB, Lamkin DM, Suls J. Associations of depression with C-reactive protein, IL-1, and IL-6: a meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2009; 71:171–186. [PubMed: 19188531]
- Jacobs TL, Epel ES, Lin J, Blackburn EH, Wolkowitz OM, Bridwell DA, Zanesco AP, Aichele SR, Sahdra BK, MacLean KA, King BG, Shaver PR, Rosenberg EL, Ferrer E, Wallace BA, Saron CD. Intensive meditation training, immune cell telomerase activity, and psychological mediators. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2011; 36:664–681. [PubMed: 21035949]
- Jaffee SR, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Polo-Tomás M, Taylor A. Individual, family, and neighborhood factors distinguish resilient from non-resilient maltreated children: A cumulative stressors model. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 2007; 31:231–253. [PubMed: 17395260]
- Jaffee SR, Maikovich-Fong AK. Effects of chronic maltreatment and maltreatment timing on children's behavior and cognitive abilities. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. 2011; 52:184–194. [PubMed: 20735512]
- Jaffee SR, Price TS. Gene-environment correlations: a review of the evidence and implications for prevention of mental illness. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2007; 12:432–442. [PubMed: 17453060]
- Jansson ET. Alzheimer's disease is substantially preventable in the United States - review of risk factors, therapy, and the prospects for an expert software system. *Medical Hypotheses*. 2005; 64:960–967. [PubMed: 15780492]
- Jaskieloff M, Muller FL, Paik JH, Thomas E, Jiang S, Adams AC, Sahin E, Kost-Alimova M, Protopopov A, Cadinanos J, Horner JW, Maratos-Flier E, Depinho RA. Telomerase reactivation reverses tissue degeneration in aged telomerase-deficient mice. *Nature*. 2011; 469:102–106. [PubMed: 21113150]
- Jouriles EN, McDonald R, Rosenfield D, Norwood WD, Spiller L, Stephens N. Improving parenting in families referred for child maltreatment: A randomized controlled trial examining effects of project support. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2010; 24:328–338. [PubMed: 20545406]
- Kaiser J. Epigenetic drugs take on cancer. *Science*. 2010; 330:576–578. [PubMed: 21030620]
- Kananen L, Surakka I, Pirkola S, Suvisaari J, Lonnqvist J, Peltonen L, Ripatti S, Hovatta I. Childhood adversities are associated with shorter telomere length at adult age both in individuals with an anxiety disorder and controls. *Plos One*. 2010; 5:e10826. [PubMed: 20520834]
- Kendler KS, Bulik CM, Silberg J, Hettema JM, Myers J, Prescott CA. Childhood sexual abuse and adult psychiatric and substance use disorders in women -An epidemiological and Cotwin control analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2000; 57:953–959. [PubMed: 11015813]
- Kessler RC, McLaughlin KA, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alhamzawi AO, Alonso J, Angermeyer M, Benjet C, Bromet E, Chatterji S, de Girolamo G, Demyttenaere K, Fayyad J, Florescu S, Gal G, Gureje O, Haro JM, Hu CY, Karam EG, Kawakami N, Lee S, Lépine JP, Ormel J, Posada-Villa J, Sagar R, Tsang A, Ustün TB, Vassilev S, Viana MC, Williams DR. Childhood adversities and adult psychopathology in the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2010; 197:378–385. [PubMed: 21037215]
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Christian L, Preston H, Houts CR, Malarkey WB, Emery CF, et al. Stress, inflammation, and yoga practice. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2010; 72:113–121. [PubMed: 20064902]
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Gouin JP, Weng NP, Malarkey WB, Beversdorf DQ, Glaser R. Childhood adversity heightens the impact of later-life caregiving stress on telomere length and inflammation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2011; 73:16–22. [PubMed: 21148804]
- Koenen KC, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Taylor A, Purcell S. Domestic violence is associated with environmental suppression of IQ in young children. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2003; 15:297–315. [PubMed: 12931829]

- Koenen, K.C.; Roberts, A.; Stone, D.; Dunn, E. The epidemiology of early childhood trauma. In: Lanius, R.; Vermetten, E., editors. *The hidden epidemic: The impact of early life trauma on health and disease*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 13-24.
- Kowalik J, Weller J, Venter J, Drachman D. Cognitive behavioral therapy for the treatment of pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder: a review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Behavior Therapy & Experimental Psychiatry*. 2011; 42:405–413. [PubMed: 21458405]
- Krug, EG. *World report on violence and health*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2002/9241545615_eng.pdf
- Labonté B, Suderman M, Maussion G, Navaro L, Yerko V, Mahar I, Bureau A, Mechawar N, Szyf M, Meaney MJ, Turecki G. Genome-wide epigenetic regulation by early-life trauma. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2012; 69:722–731. [PubMed: 22752237]
- Leeb, RT.; Paulozzi, L.; Melanson, C.; Simon, T.; Arias, I. *Child maltreatment surveillance. Uniform definitions for public health and recommended data elements*. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2008.
- Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. [10.1038/nrn2639]. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2009; 10:434–445.
- MacMillan H, Wathen CN, Barolw J, Fergusson DM, Leventhal JM, Taussig HN. Interventions to prevent child maltreatment and associated impairment. *The Lancet*. 2009; 373:250–266.
- Markman HJ, Floyd F, Stanley S, Stoorasli R. Prevention of marital distress: A longitudinal investigation. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*. 1988; 56:210–217. [PubMed: 3372828]
- Markman HJ, Renick MJ, Floyd F, Stanley S, Clements M. Preventing marital distress through communication and conflict management training: A 4- and 5-year follow-up. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*. 1993; 61:70–77. [PubMed: 8450110]
- McCrorry E, De Brito SA, Viding E. Research Review: The neurobiology and genetics of maltreatment and adversity. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. 2010; 51:1079–1095. [PubMed: 20546078]
- McEwen BS. Brain on stress: How the social environment gets under the skin. *PNAS*. 2012; 109(Supplement 2):17180–17185. published on line ahead of print October 8, 2012. 10.1073/pnas.1121254109 [PubMed: 23045648]
- McGill HC, McMahon CA. Starting earlier to prevent heart disease. *JAMA*. 2003; 290:2320–2321. [PubMed: 14600192]
- McGowan PO, Sasaki A, D’Alessio AC, Dymov S, Labonte B, Szyf M, Turecki G, Meaney MJ. Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2009; 12:342–348.
- Medzhitov R. Origin and physiological roles of inflammation. *Nature*. 2008; 24:428–435. [PubMed: 18650913]
- Mihalopoulos C, Vos T, Pirkis J, Carter R. The economic analysis of prevention in mental health programs. *Annual Review Clinical Psychology*. 2011; 7:169–201.
- Mikton C, Butchart A. Child maltreatment prevention: a systematic review of reviews. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2009; 87:353–361. [PubMed: 19551253]
- Millan MJ, Agid Y, Brüne M, Bullmore ET, Carter CS, Clayton NS. Cognitive dysfunction in psychiatric disorders: characteristics, causes and the quest for improved therapy. [10.1038/nrd3628]. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*. 2012; 11:141–168.
- Miller AH, Maletic V, Raison CL. Inflammation and its discontents: the role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2009; 65:732–741. [PubMed: 19150053]
- Miller GE, Chen E, Cole SW. Health psychology: developing biologically plausible models linking the social world and physical health. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2009; 60:501–524.
- Miller GE, Chen E, Parker KJ. Psychological stress in childhood and susceptibility to the chronic diseases of aging: moving toward a model of behavioral and biological mechanisms. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2011; 137:959–997. [PubMed: 21787044]

- Miller GE, Chen E, Sze J, Marin T, Arevalo JM, Doll R, Ma R, Cole SW. A functional genomic fingerprint of chronic stress in humans: blunted glucocorticoid and increased NF-kappaB signaling. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2008; 64:266–272. [PubMed: 18440494]
- Miller GE, Cohen S. Psychological interventions and the immune system: a meta-analytic review and critique. *Health Psychology*. 2001; 20:47–63. [PubMed: 11199066]
- Miller GE, Rohleder N, Cole SW. Chronic interpersonal stress predicts activation of pro- and anti-inflammatory signaling pathways 6 months later. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2009; 71:57–62. [PubMed: 19073750]
- Mondelli V, Cattaneo A, Belvederi Murri M, Di Forti M, Handley R, Hepgul N, Miorelli A, Navari S, Papadopoulos AS, Aitchison KJ, Morgan C, Murray RM, Dazzan P, Pariante CM. Stress and inflammation reduce brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression in first-episode psychosis: a pathway to smaller hippocampal volume. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2011; 72:1677–1684. [PubMed: 21672499]
- Nanni V, Uher R, Danese A. Childhood maltreatment predicts unfavorable course of illness and treatment outcome in depression: A meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2012; 169:141–151. [PubMed: 22420036]
- O'Connell, ME.; Boat, T.; Warner, KE., editors. National Research Council and Institute of Medicine. Preventing mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders among young people: Progress and possibilities. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2009. p. 157-90.
- Nelson EC, Heath AC, Madden PAF, Cooper ML, Dinwiddie SH, Bucholz KK, et al. Association between self-reported childhood sexual abuse and adverse psychosocial outcomes - Results from a twin study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2002; 59:139–145. [PubMed: 11825135]
- Nguyen MD, Julien JP, Rivest S. Innate immunity: the missing link in neuroprotection and neurodegeneration? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2002; 3:216–227.
- Nixon RD, Sterk J, Pearce A. A randomized trial of cognitive behaviour therapy and cognitive therapy for children with posttraumatic stress disorder following single-incident trauma. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 2012; 40:327–337. [PubMed: 21892594]
- Nordfjall K, Eliasson M, Stegmayr B, Melander O, Nilsson P, Roos G. Telomere length is associated with obesity parameters but with a gender difference. *Obesity*. 2008; 16:2682–2689. [PubMed: 18820651]
- O'Donovan A, Epel E, Lin J, Wolkowitz O, Cohen B, Maguen S, Metzler T, Lenoci M, Blackburn E, Neylan TC. Childhood trauma associated with short leukocyte telomere length in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2011a; 70:465–471. [PubMed: 21489410]
- O'Donovan A, Sun B, Cole S, Rempel H, Lenoci M, Pulliam L, Neylan T. Transcriptional control of monocyte gene expression in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Disease Markers*. 2011b; 30:123–132. [PubMed: 21508516]
- Oke SL, Tracey KJ. The inflammatory reflex and the role of complementary and alternative medical therapies. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2009; 1172:172–180. [PubMed: 19743552]
- Osofsky JD. Prevalence of children's exposure to domestic violence and child maltreatment: Implications for prevention and intervention. *Clinical Child & Family Psychology Review*. 2003; 6:161–170. [PubMed: 14620577]
- Øverlien C. Children exposed to domestic violence: conclusions from the literature and challenges ahead. *Journal of Social Work*. 2010; 10:80–97.
- Pace TW, Negi LT, Dodson-Lavelle B, Ozawa-de Silva B, Reddy SD, Cole SP, Danese A, Craighead LW, Raison CL. Engagement with cognitively-based compassion training is associated with reduced salivary C-reactive protein from before to after training in foster care program adolescents. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2012 Epub.
- Perez C, Widom C. Childhood victimization and long-term intellectual and academic outcomes. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 1994; 18:617–633. [PubMed: 7953902]
- Perrin S, Smith P, Yule W. Practitioner Review: The assessment and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. 2000; 41:277–289. [PubMed: 10784075]

- Petronis A. Epigenetics as a unifying principle in the aetiology of complex traits and diseases. *Nature*. 2010; 465:721–727. [PubMed: 20535201]
- Pollak SD. Early adversity and mechanisms of plasticity: Integrating affective neuroscience with developmental approaches to psychopathology. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2005; 17:735–752. [PubMed: 16262990]
- Pollitt RA, Rose KM, Kaufman JS. Evaluating the evidence for models of life course socioeconomic factors and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2005; 5:7. [PubMed: 15661071]
- Prinz RJ, Sanders MR, Shapiro CJ, Whitaker DJ, Lutzker JR. Population-based prevention of child maltreatment: the U.S. Triple p system population trial. *Prevention Science*. 2009; 10:1–12. [PubMed: 19160053]
- Radford, L.; Corral, S.; Bradley, C.; Fisher, H.; Bassett, C.; Howat, N.; Collisahw, S. *Child abuse & neglect in the UK today*. London: NSPCC; 2011.
- Robjant K, Fazel M. The emerging evidence for narrative exposure therapy: A review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2010; 30:1030–1039. [PubMed: 20832922]
- Rockhill B, Newman B, Weinberg C. Use and misuse of population attributable fractions. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1998; 88:15–19. [PubMed: 9584027]
- Rodenburg R, Benjamin A, de Roos C, Meijer AM, Stams GJ. Efficacy of EMDR in children: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2009; 29:599–606. [PubMed: 19616353]
- Rolfesnes ES, Idsoe T. School-based intervention programs for PTSD symptoms: A review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. 2011; 24:155–165. [PubMed: 21425191]
- Ross R. Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1999; 340:115–126. [PubMed: 9887164]
- Rutten BP, Mill J. Epigenetic mediation of environmental influences in major psychotic disorders. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2009; 35:1045–1056. [PubMed: 19783603]
- Salloum A, Overstreet S. Grief and trauma intervention for children after disaster: Exploring coping skills versus trauma narration. *Behaviour Research & Therapy*. 2012; 50:169–179. [PubMed: 22317753]
- Sapolsky R. Stress, glucocorticoids, and damage to the nervous system: The current state of confusion. *Stress*. 1996; 1:1–19. [PubMed: 9807058]
- Sarapas C, Cai G, Bierer LM, Golier JA, Galea S, Ising M, Rein T, Schmeidler J, Muller-Myhsok B, Uhr M, Holsboer F, Buxbaum JD, Yehuda R. Genetic markers for PTSD risk and resilience among survivors of the World Trade Center attacks. *Disease Markers*. 2011; 30:101–110. [PubMed: 21508514]
- Scheeringa MS, Zeanah CH, Drell MJ, Larrieu J. Two approaches to the diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder in infancy and early childhood. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1995; 34:191–200. [PubMed: 7896652]
- Schmidt FL, Hunter J. General mental ability in the world of work: occupational attainment and job performance. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*. 2004; 86:162–173. [PubMed: 14717634]
- Scott KM, McLaughlin KA, Smith DAR, Ellis P. Childhood maltreatment and adult DSM-IV mental disorders: Comparison of prospective and retrospective findings. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2012; 200:469–475. [PubMed: 22661679]
- Segman RH, Shefi N, Goltser-Dubner T, Friedman N, Kaminski N, Shalev AY. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression profiles identify emergent post-traumatic stress disorder among trauma survivors. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2005; 10:500–513. [PubMed: 15685253]
- Shalev I, Moffitt TE, Sugden K, Williams B, Houts RM, Danese A, Mill J, Arseneault L, Caspi A. Exposure to violence during childhood is associated with telomere erosion from 5 to 10 years of age: A longitudinal study. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2012 E-pub. 10.1038/mp.2012.32
- Shirtcliff EA, Coe CL, Pollak SD. Early childhood stress is associated with elevated antibody levels to herpes simplex virus type 1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2009; 106:2963–2967.

- Shonkoff JP. Leveraging the biology of adversity to address the roots of disparities in health and development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 109(Supplement 2): 17302–17307. published ahead of print October 8, 2012. 10.1073/pnas.1121259109
- Shonkoff JP, Garner AS. the Committee on Psychosocial, Developmental, and Behavioral Pediatrics. The lifelong effects of childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*. 2012; 129:2011–2663.
- Shors TJ. Stressful experience and learning across the lifespan. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2006; 57:55–85.
- Simon NM, Smoller JW, McNamara KL, Maser RS, Zalta AK, Pollack MH, Nierenberg AA, Fava M, Wong KK. Telomere shortening and mood disorders: preliminary support for a chronic stress model of accelerated aging. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006; 60:432–435. [PubMed: 16581033]
- Slopen N, Lewis TT, Gruenewald TL, Mujahid MS, Ryff CD, Albert MA. Early life adversity and inflammation in African Americans and whites in midlife in the United States survey. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2010; 72:694–701. [PubMed: 20595419]
- Smith AK, Conneely KN, Kilaru V, Mercer KB, Weiss TE, Bradley B, Tang Y, Gillespie CF, Cubells JF, Ressler KJ. Differential immune system DNA methylation and cytokine regulation in post-traumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 2011; 156B:700–708.
- Soczynska JK, Zhang L, Kennedy SH, McIntyre RS. Are psychiatric disorders inflammatory-based conditions? *Psychiatric Times*. 2012; 29 E-pub.
- Stallard P. Psychological interventions for posttraumatic reactions in children and young people: A review of randomised controlled trials. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2006; 26:895–911. [PubMed: 16481081]
- Sunde RA. mRNA transcripts as molecular biomarkers in medicine and nutrition. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*. 2010; 21:665–670. [PubMed: 20303730]
- Surtees P, Wainwright N, Day N, Brayne C, Luben R, Khaw KT. Adverse experience in childhood as a developmental risk factor for altered immune status in adulthood. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2003; 10:251–268. [PubMed: 14525720]
- Susser E, Widom CS. Still searching for the lost truths about the bitter sorrows of childhood. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2012; 38:672–675. [PubMed: 22837349]
- Taylor CA, Guterman NB, Lee SH, Rathouz PJ. Intimate partner violence, maternal stress, nativity, and risk for maternal maltreatment of young children. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2009; 99:175–183. [PubMed: 19008518]
- Taylor SE, Way BM, Seeman TE. Early adversity and adult health outcomes. *Development & Psychopathology*. 2011; 23:939–954. [PubMed: 21756443]
- Teicher MH, Tomoda A, Andersen SL. Neurobiological consequences of early stress and childhood maltreatment: Are results from human and animal studies comparable? *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2006; 1071:313–323. [PubMed: 16891580]
- Thomaes K, Dorrepaal E. Treatment effects on insular and anterior cingulate cortex activation during classic and emotional Stroop interference in child abuse-related complex post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Medicine*. 2012; 22:1–13. [PubMed: 22436595]
- Thurmaier F, Engl J, Hahlweg K. Eheglück auf Dauer? Methodik, Inhalte und Effektivität eines präventiven Paarkommunikationstrainings. Ergebnisse nach 5 Jahren (Marital satisfaction forever? Method, content, and effectiveness of a prevention intervention. 5-year results). *Zeitschrift für Klinische Psychologie*. 1999; 28:64–62.
- Toth SL, Pickreign Stronach E, Rogosch FA, Caplan R, Cicchetti D. Illogical thinking and thought disorder in maltreated children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2011; 50:659–668. [PubMed: 21703493]
- Tough, P. The Poverty Clinic: Can a stressful childhood make you sick?. *The New Yorker*. 2011. http://www.newyorker.com/reporting/2011/03/21/110321fa_fact_tough
- Turner HA, Finkelhor D, Ormrod R. Poly-victimization in a national sample of children and youth. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2010; 38:323–330. [PubMed: 20171535]
- Tyrka AR, Price LH, Kao HT, Porton B, Marsella SA, Carpenter LL. Childhood maltreatment and telomere shortening: preliminary support for an effect of early stress on cellular aging. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:531–534. [PubMed: 19828140]

- Tyrka AR, Price LH, Marsit C, Walters OC, Carpenter LL. Childhood adversity and epigenetic modulation of the leukocyte glucocorticoid receptor: preliminary findings in healthy adults. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e30148. [PubMed: 22295073]
- Uddin M, Aiello AE, Wildman DE, Koenen KC, Pawelec G, de Los Santos R, Goldmann E, Galea S. Epigenetic and immune function profiles associated with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*. 2010; 107:9470–9475.
- Valdes AM, Andrew T, Gardner JP, Kimura M, Oelsner E, Cherkas LF, Aviv A, Spector TD. Obesity, cigarette smoking, and telomere length in women. *The Lancet*. 2005; 366:662–664.
- Varese F, Smeets F, Drukker M, Lieverse R, Lataster T, Viechtbauer W, Read J, van Os J, Bentall RP. Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2012; 38:661–671. [PubMed: 22461484]
- Vaupel JW. Biodemography of human aging. *Nature*. 2010; 464:536–542. [PubMed: 20336136]
- Vythilingam M, Heim C, Newport J, Miller AH, Anderson E, Bronen R, Brummer M, Staib L, Vermetten E, Charney DS, Nemeroff CB, Bremner JD. Childhood trauma associated with smaller hippocampal volume in women with major depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2002; 159:2072–2080. [PubMed: 12450959]
- Weaver IC, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, D'Alessio AC, Sharma S, Seckl JR, Dymov S, Szyf M, Meaney MJ. Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2004; 7:847–854.
- Wellcome Trust. Ageing: Can we stop the clock?. London: Wellcome Trust; 2006.
- Weniger G, Lange C. Amygdala and hippocampal volumes and cognition in adult survivors of childhood abuse with dissociative disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2008; 118:281–90. [PubMed: 18759808]
- Widom CS, Czaja SJ, Bentley T, Johnson MS. A prospective investigation of physical health outcomes in abused and neglected children: new findings from a 30-year follow-up. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2012; 102:1135–44. [PubMed: 22515854]
- Widom CS, Czaja SJ, Dutton MA. Childhood victimization and lifetime revictimization. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 2008; 32:785–796. [PubMed: 18760474]
- Wiggins TL, Sofronoff K, Sanders MR. Pathways triple p-positive parenting program: Effects on parent-child relationships and child behavior problems. *Family Process*. 2009; 48:517–530. [PubMed: 19930436]
- Wilson KR, Hansen DJ, Li M. The traumatic stress response in child maltreatment and resultant neuropsychological effects. *Aggression & Violent Behavior*. 2011; 16:87–97.
- Winsper C, Lereya T, Zanarini M, Wolke D. Involvement in bullying and suicide-related behavior at 11 years: A prospective birth cohort study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2012; 51:271–282. [PubMed: 22365463]
- Wolinsky H. Testing time for telomeres. Telomere length can tell us something about disease susceptibility and ageing, but are commercial tests ready for prime time? *EMBO Rep*. 2011; 12:897–900. [PubMed: 21881613]
- Wong CC, Caspi A, Williams B, Craig IW, Houts R, Ambler A, Moffitt TE, Mill J. A longitudinal study of epigenetic variation in twins. *Epigenetics*. 2010; 5:516–526. [PubMed: 20505345]
- Woon FL, Hedges DW. Hippocampal and amygdala volumes in children and adults with childhood maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. *Hippocampus*. 2008; 18:729–736. [PubMed: 18446827]
- WHO. The world health report 2001 - Mental Health: New Understanding, New Hope. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2001.
- Yehuda R, Cai G, Golier JA, Sarapas C, Galea S, Ising M, Rein T, Schmeidler J, Muller-Myhsok B, Holsboer F, Buxbaum JD. Gene expression patterns associated with posttraumatic stress disorder following exposure to the World Trade Center attacks. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2009; 66:708–711. [PubMed: 19393990]
- Yu WY, Chang HW, Lin CH, Cho CL. Short telomeres in patients with chronic schizophrenia who show a poor response to treatment. *Journal of Psychiatry Neuroscience*. 2008; 33:244–247. [PubMed: 18592039]

Zhang MJ, Spite M. Resolvins: Anti-inflammatory and proresolving mediators derived from omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Annual Review of Nutrition*. 2012; 32:203–227.