Analysis of centromeric DNA in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe

(repeated DNA sequence/chromosomal organization/chromosome walking)

LOUISE CLARKE, HANSPETER AMSTUTZ, BARBARA FISHEL, AND JOHN CARBON

Department of Biological Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA ⁹³¹⁰⁶

Contributed by John Carbon, July 1, 1986

ABSTRACT The Schizosaccharomyces pombe centromerelinked genes, LYS1 and CYH1 on chromosome I and TPS13 and RAN1 on chromosome II, have been isolated. The genetic order of these markers with respect to their centromeres was determined to establish relative directionality on the genetic and physical maps. Chromosome walking toward the centromeres reveals a group of repetitive sequences that occur only in the centromere regions of chromosomes ^I and II and at one other specific location in the S. pombe genome, presumably the centromere of chromosome III. The major class of large repeated sequence elements is 6.4 kilobases (kb) long (repeat K), portions of which occur at least twice on chromosome II and in several tandemly arranged intact copies at another centromeric location. Repeat K in turn contains groups of smaller repeats. Genetic recombination is strongly suppressed in the centromere II region, which contains at least 30 kb of repeated sequences. Centromeric DNA organization is much more complex in fission yeast than has been described in budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), possibly because of the larger more condensed nature of the S. pombe chromosomes.

The fission yeast, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, has a genetic complexity roughly equivalent to that of the budding yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Unlike the common yeast, however, which contains ¹⁷ small chromosomes, the DNA of S. pombe is organized into three larger chromosomes, which condense and are visible under light microscopy (1, 2). In S. cerevisiae there appears to be only a single microtubule attachment site per chromosome (3), an observation consistent with the lack of repeated DNA sequences and the overall small size [150-200 base pairs (bp)] of the centromere regions (4). The number of microtubule binding sites per chromosome in S. pombe is unknown. It is conceivable that the larger and condensed nature of S. pombe chromosomes demands a correspondingly more complex kinetochore structure, more representative of chromosomes of higher eukaryotes. A DNA transformation system (5) as well as highly developed formal genetics (6) make S. pombe a tractable system for the study of centromere structure and chromosome segregation. Here we describe a structural analysis that reveals a group of repetitive DNA sequences that occur in the centromere regions of the S. pombe chromosomes. The centromere region on S. pombe chromosome II encompasses at least 30 kilobases (kb) of DNA, contains distinctly heterochromatinlike sequences, and is thus similar to centromeres of higher eukaryotes. Studies on repeated DNA sequences in the S. pombe centromere regions have also recently been reported by Nakaseko et al. (7).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, Media, and Genetic Manipulations. Escherichia coli strains JA221 (hsdR⁻ HsdM⁺ trp ΔE 5 leuB6 recA) and JA226 (8) were recipients for DNA transformations. S. pombe strains Sp223 $(h^-$ leul.32 ura4 ade6.216) and Sp192 $(h^-$ ranl ura4 ade6.216) were gifts from David Beach (9). S. pombe strains cited below and in the legend to Table ¹ were constructed in our laboratory from strains kindly provided by Jurg Kohli. Yeast (10) and bacterial (11) media were prepared as described. Genetic manipulations of S. pombe were carried out as described (6, 10).

Enzymes, Recombinant DNA Technology, Gel Electrophoresis, and DNA Sequencing. Restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase, and DNA polymerase were from New England Biolabs and were used according to the vendor's instructions. Unless stated otherwise, procedures for E. coli DNA transformation, cloning techniques, plasmid isolation, blot hybridizations, and gel electrophoresis were carried out as described by Maniatis et al. (11). S. pombe DNA was prepared according to Beach and Klar (12). The dideoxy sequencing method (13) was used on an M13mpl9 clone (14) carrying the 1.0-kb Kpn I/HindIII fragment of pSpl4. Orthogonal field alternation gel electrophoresis (15) and field inversion gel electrophoresis (16) have been described.

S. pombe Genomic Plasmid and Cosmid Libraries. Construction of libraries in E . coli strain JA226($recBC$) from Sau3A partial digests of S. pombe DNA (strain 972 h^- ; ref. 10) cloned into the E. coli-S. pombe shuttle vectors, PCV4 and pFL20 (17), has been described (8). The cosmid vector pHPC was constructed by inserting a 2.2-kb Sal I/Xho I restriction fragment carrying the S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene (18) and a 1.1-kb EcoRI fragment carrying the S. pombe ARS from plasmid pFL20 (17) into the vector pJB8 (19). The S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene complements the leul.32 mutation in S. pombe (5). The cosmid library was prepared from pHPC and Mbo ^I partial digestion products of S. pombe DNA according to Ish-Horowicz and Burke (19) and was propagated in E. coli strain JA221 (recA).

Transformations and Isolation of the S. pombe Genes LYS), CYHi, TPS13, and RAN). S. pombe DNA transformations (5) and site-directed integrations of plasmids (20) were performed as described. The LYSJ and TPSJ3 genes (6) were cloned by complementation of appropriate markers (lysl and tpsl3 ura4) in S. pombe with plasmids pSpLYS1 and pSpTPS13 (Fig. 1) from the PCV4 and pFL20 libraries, respectively. These plasmids were recovered in E. coli strain JA226 as described (21). Plasmids or cosmids complementing ranl (9) and cyhl (6) were subsequently identified among those isolated by overlap hybridization screening (22), using hybridization probes isolated from pSpLYS1 and pSpTPS13 DNAs.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: bp, base pair(s); kb, kilobase(s).

FIG. 1. Genetic and physical maps of the S. pombe centromere II region. Top line represents a genetic map of the centromere II region. The restriction maps show the location of all $EcoRI$ (1), HindIII (\times), BamHI (\triangle), and Sal I (\Box) sites, and selected Bgl II (\circ), BstEII ($\hat{\zeta}$), Kpn I (\downarrow), Hpa I (\triangle), and Sau3A (\circ) sites; the location of HindIII sites was not determined for pSp900. Plasmid pSpl2 was obtained as follows: The leftmost Sau3A/EcoRI fragment of pSpll was cloned into a pBR322-LEU2 vector. The resulting plasmid was cut at the unique Bgl II site and introduced by site-directed integration (20) into the homologous site in S. pombe strain Sp223 (leul.32). Genomic DNA from the transformant was then restricted with BstEII, generating a linear fragment carrying pBR322 and centromere-proximal DNA sequences, which was recovered as plasmid pSpl2 in E. coli strain JA226. Similarly, pSpl4 was recovered from Kpn I-restricted yeast DNA into which plasmid pSp13 (Fig. 2) had been integrated at a unique HindIII site. The locations of repeats B and K are indicated by arrows. \odot and \odot represent sites of LEU2 integration for mapping studies described in Table 1.

RESULTS

Chromosome Walking in the Centromere Regions of Chromosomes I and II. The tightly centromere-linked genes LYS1 and CYHJ on chromosome ^I and TPS13 and RANI on chromosome II were isolated from S. pombe genomic libraries, constructed in plasmid or cosmid shuttle vectors, by complementation of the markers in appropriate S. pombe strains (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 1). The LYSI, CYHJ, and TPS13 genes have also been isolated in other laboratories $(7, 23)$. The *lysl* and *cyhl* markers are very tightly linked genetically (Table 1; refs. 6 and 24), with a map distance of 0.5-1 cM between them. We have isolated both genes on the same 20-kb segment of DNA, and other investigators report that the loci are \approx 10 kb apart (7). Mapping data indicate that $lysl$ is the centromere-proximal locus and occurs \approx 4 cM from centromere I (Table 1). Thus, chromosome-walking was initiated toward the centromere based on these observations. Similarly, the order of tps13 and ranl on chromosome II was determined with reference to centromere II (Table ¹ and Fig. 1). The two loci are physically 11 kb apart and genetically \approx 1.4 cM apart. TPS13 is the centromere-proximal gene and is located \approx 1 cM from centromere II. The directionality of the physical and genetic maps on chromosome II was confirmed by site-directed integration (20) in separate strains of an S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene at either of the locations marked \odot and \odot in Fig. 1. Genetic mapping of each of the integrated LEU2 markers with respect to tps13 and the centromere established unequivocally that the centromere was located to the left of TPS13 on the physical map shown in Fig. ¹ and must be extremely close to integration site © (Table 1).

The physical and genetic relationships of the genetic markers and their relationship to the centromere on S. pombe chromosome II are summarized in Fig. 1. Representative sets of overlapping DNA segments from the region, isolated from plasmid and cosmid genomic libraries by overlap hybridization (22) or by site-directed integration (20) followed by excision of plasmid and neighboring centromere-proximal sequences, are depicted along with partial restriction maps. With the exception of the centromere-proximal regions overlined by arrows in Figs. ¹ and 2, all the remaining DNA

Genetic cross no. 1: SBP12984-19A (h^+ cyhl furl lysl ranl) \times SBP12984-19B (h⁻ tps13); cross no. 2: SBP7185BF/301 (h⁺ ade6-210 cyhl leul lysl ura4/LEU2) \times SBP72385-60 (h⁻furl leul tpsl3); cross no. 3: SBP223/13 (h⁻ leul ura4 ade6-210/LEU2) \times SBP72385-44 (h⁻ leul lysl furl tps13). Strain SBP7185BF/301 was constructed by site-directed integration (20) of plasmid pSp301, which contains the 9-kb BamHI fragment of pSp900 (see Fig. 1) cloned into the pBR322-LEU2 plasmid pGT47. Strain SBP223/13 was constructed in a similar manner by site-directed integration of plasmid pSpl3 (see Fig. 2A). Numbers in parentheses represent number of tetrads. ND, not determined.

 $ELU2$ integrated at site \odot (Fig. 1).

 $^+$ *LEU2* integrated at site $\circled{0}$ (Fig. 1).
 † *LEU2* integrated at site $\circled{0}$ (Fig. 1).

appears to contain only unique sequences, as determined by Southern blotting analysis (data not shown; ref. 25).

One striking observation derived from the data shown ia Fig. ¹ and Table 1 is that the ratio of physical to genetic distance increases considerably proceeding in the direction of the centromere on chromosome II. The TPS13-RAN1 physical and genetic distances give a ratio of 8 kb/cM, identical to that of the overall genomic average (26). The distance from TPS13 to the region of repeated DNA sequences (discussed in detail below) is, however, around 32 kb, but genetically the TPS13-CEN2 distance is only \approx 1 cM. This expanded physical to genetic distance ratio observed in the centromere II region of S. pombe is consistent with restricted recombina-

FIG. 2. (A) Restriction map of a portion of the S. pombe centromere II region showing locations ofrepeats B and K (indicated by arrows). The location of $EcoRI(1)$, HindIII (\times), BamHI (\triangle), Bgl II (9), Kpn I (4), and BstEII ($\frac{3}{2}$) sites are indicated. (B) Plasmids or cosmids carrying repeats B and/or K (designated by arrows). Sizes are in kb. Restriction enzyme sites are as indicated in A; Sal $I(\Box)$ and selected Sau3A (\odot) sites are also shown.

tion phenomena observed in the centromere regions of higher eukaryotic chromosomes (27).

Two or More Classes of Large Repeated Sequence Elements Are Found in the Centromere II Region. Two restriction fragments, the 1.0-kb Kpn I/HindIII fragment and the 3.5-kb BstEII/BamHI fragment, both located near the centromereproximal end of the region from chromosome II presented in Fig. 1, hybridize to multiple restriction fragments in the S. pombe genome (data not shown; see Fig. 4). The two sequences (repeats B and K in Figs. ¹ and 2) do not cross-hybridize, however.

Attempts to continue the chromosome walk by overlap hybridization screening were frustrated by the extreme structural instability in E. coli of clones containing sequences immediately adjacent to the K repeat. Previous workers have experienced difficulty in cloning relatively large segments of DNA comprised of highly repetitive sequences, even in recombination-deficient E. coli hosts (28). Therefore, an alternative strategy was used to isolate DNA sequences beyond the Kpn I/HindIII fragment in the centromereproximal direction. The plasmid pSpl4, whose insert is delineated in Figs. ¹ and 2, was obtained by site-directed integration at a unique HindIII site of another plasmid, pSpl3 (Fig. 2 and Table 1, legend). Plasmid pSpl3 carries the S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene [complements the leul.132 mutation in S. pombe (5)], the 3.5-kb BstEII/BamHI restriction fragment containing repeat B (see Figs. ¹ and 2), and also contains single Kpn I and Sal I sites within the vector sequences. The resulting integrated form of pSpl3 was present in only one location in the S. pombe genome as determined by Southern blot analysis (data not shown). Furthermore, the introduced LEU2 gene mapped in a standard genetic cross tightly linked to TPS13 and CEN2; in a total of 23 tetrads examined, LEU2 showed absolute linkage to CEN2 (Table 1). Total genomic DNA from strain SBP223/13 (Table 1) was then restricted to completion with Kpn I or Sal I in order to generate linear DNA fragments carrying both pBR322 and neighboring centromere-proximal sequences; the restricted DNA was diluted, ligated, and used to transform E. coli strain JA226 (recBC) to ampicillin resistance. All transformants obtained with Kpn I-restricted DNA contained plasmid pSp14 (Fig. 1). No transformants were obtained with Sal I-restricted DNA, probably because the resulting plasmid would exceed 90 kb and contain many repeated sequences (see below). However, when total genomic DNA from S. pombe strain SBP223/13 was partially digested with Kpn I, diluted, ligated, and used to transform E. coli, well over one-half of the 300 clones examined contained plasmids with multiple Kpn ^I sites and inserts that extended toward TPS13 in the centromere-distal direction, some as much as 15-20 kb. Most of the remainder were identical to pSpl4.

A total of ¹⁰ E. coli transformants from the experiment described above with partially restricted DNA grew very poorly on ampicillin medium and contained one or the other of the plasmids whose inserts are shown in Fig. 2 and labeled pSp2-OB and pSp4-OB. Structural analysis of pSp2-OB and pSp4-OB indicates that they did not arise by a simple excision event from the genomic DNA into which pSpl3 was integrated. They do not contain DNA sequences between and including the BstEII site and the neighboring centromeredistal HindIII site (Fig. 2), which would be expected if they did arise in a manner similar to pSpl4. The most plausible explanation for their origin is that they arose normally as partial Kpn ^I digestion products, linear fragments containing pBR322 sequences as well as centromere-proximal S. pombe sequences extending through several Kpn I sites, but upon subsequent ligation and transformation into E . coli, a portion of these sequences was lost by recombination between two or more B repeats that occur in the centromere region of chromosome II. Both pSp2-OB and pSp4-OB do contain

sequences at their ends (rightmost in Fig. 2), and only at this location, that hybridize strongly to the B repeat region within the 3.5-kb BstEII/BamHI fragment. The two inserts are identical, at least with respect to arrangement of restriction sites, except that pSp2-OB contains an additional 2.0-kb Kpn I fragment in the centromere-proximal direction. Further structural analysis of the DNA inserts in plasmids pSp2-OB and pSp4-OB indicates that they hybridize near their leftmost ends (Fig. 2) to the repeat K sequences contained on pSpl4. Thus, both repeats B and K are present at least twice in the centromere II region of S. pombe.

Upon probing S. pombe genomic plasmid and cosmid libraries with repeat K sequences, ^a number of additional DNA segments containing the K repeat were isolated and characterized (Fig. 2B). Plasmid pSp25-OB contains \approx 14 kb of DNA homologous to pSp2-OB, including portions of repeats B and K, as well as an additional 7 kb of unique sequence. The cosmids cSpK22, cSpK23, and cSpK31 are of particular interest because they define the complete K repeat unit and demonstrate that this repeated sequence exists in the genome in a tandem array of at least three units. The recombinant cosmids were originally packaged in vitro, which presumably selects for molecules of 40-50 kb. However, cosmids cSpK22, cSpK23, and cSpK31, as recovered from E. coli (recA), are only \approx 25 kb long, which may indicate that they originally contained repetitive DNA sequences that do not replicate well in E. coli. The size of the consensus K repeat is 6.4 kb; slightly less than a complete unit is present on pSp2-OB and pSp25-OB. Copies of repeat K can vary internally; the Kpn ^I fragment that normally measures 2.0 kb is 2.1 kb in one copy of the repeat on cSpK31 (Fig. 2B). This Kpn ^I fragment also shows size variation in genomic Southern blots.

Nucleotide sequence analysis has been carried out on that portion of repeat K that extends ⁶⁰⁰ bp in the centromeredistal direction from the Kpn ^I site in pSpl4 (Fig. 3). The sequenced region hybridizes only to sequences surrounding the internal Kpn I site in the full-length K repeat (Fig. 2B). The first 215 bases extending from the Kpn I site contain two classes of short repeated elements (Fig. 3). The 12-bp sequence TGTCAGTACTAG occurs five times (with minor variations) in a tandem array beginning at the Kpn I site. This repeat transitions into a second 6- to 7-bp repetitive sequence element, with the consensus sequence PyACCAPy, that is present 25 times within the first 215 bp from the Kpn ^I site and occupies 70% of the sequence in this region. Other minor

ⁱ -4 I-i---4 GGTACCATTAGTACCAGTACTAGTGTCATCTAGTGTCAGTACTAGTGTCAGTATAGGCATCAACATCATC ^t , , , 140 ACCAGTATCGGCGCCACGATCAGCACAGCACCACTACCACTACCACTTCCACTTACCACAAGCACTACCA ¹⁴¹ , , , , , , ,-, --! 2,i.Q CCACCACCACTTCCACTTACCACTTCCATTACTACCACGACTACCACTAACCATCACCACTTTATCT <u>211 i</u> 280 CCATTCTTGTCATTATTGGCTTGTTGTACGTTGTT<mark>TAAATGTTTCA</mark>ACGTATGTACATTTGTGACTACGA 350 TTTCTTGTTAGCGATATAAACGAAGAGGATAAAGACTTTGGAGTAAATAATTTTGGCCGTGCACTAGCAT 420 AATGTATTTATGCGTCTAGGTATCCTGCGTCTCGGTATCCTTAGCGTTGCAAGTGGAAAGTGGCTTCACA 490 CTATAAATGGTTGACACAGCTAATACATTAGACATAAACTTTCTCAGCATTCACGAACAGTAAAAATACT 560 ATTGGAAATGATTCAGGATATATAGTATTAGTATTTGGCATTCGTTTCGCTTATGAATTGTGATTGAA 630 CCGATATCGTATCATCATTAAAGTTCTGTGCACTCTACATCATTCAACATGTGAGATCTCATCATTCACA 653 AATATTTCCATCGCATCGTTATT

FIG. 3. Nucleotide sequence of a portion of repeat K from pSp14. The sequence begins at the single \overline{Kpn} I site in pSp14 (Fig. 1) and proceeds in the centromere-distal direction (toward TPS13). Various repeated sequences are indicated.

repeats present in two or three copies each are indicated in Fig. 3.

Thus, the centromere II region contains several types of repeated DNA elements, the largest of which (the 6.4-kb K repeat) occurs in part at least twice in this region and three or more times in tandem either in this or in other centromere regions (see below). Another repeat (repeat B), whose size has not been determined, is also present at least twice in the CEN2 region. Contained within repeat K are two classes of small repeated sequences of 6 and 12 bp, which bear a striking resemblance to satellite DNAs found in higher eukaryotes.

Repeats B and K Are Present Only at Three Specific Genomic Locations, at Least Two of Which Are Centromeric. The repeated sequences discussed above have all been found at the centromere region of the chromosome II but are also located elsewhere in the S. pombe genome. If these classes of repeats are restricted only to the centromere regions of the three S. pombe chromosomes, this may imply a role for them in centromere function.

Restriction fragments containing portions of repeats K or B hybridize to what appear to be single large Sal I or BstEII fragments in standard 1% agarose gel electrophoretic separations. However, orthogonal field alternation gel electrophoresis (ref. 15; Fig. 4A) or field inversion gel electrophoresis (ref. 16; Fig. 4B) reveals that these repeats actually hybridize to three very large DNA fragments in each case. Averaged over a number of orthogonal field alternation gel electrophoresis and field inversion gel electrophoresis experiments, the sizes of the Sal ^I fragments are 120, 90, and 50 kb, and the BstEII fragments are 110, 70, and 30 kb. The 90-kb

FIG. 4. The K repeat occurs only on three large Sal I or BstEII restriction fragments in the S. pombe genome. S. pombe genomic DNA was digested with BstEII (lanes a and d) or Sal I (lanes b and c) and subjected to orthogonal field alternation gel electrophoresis analysis (15). The gel was dried and hybridized (29) to 0.2 μ g of ³²P-labeled 1.0-kb HindIII/Kpn I fragment ($\approx 10^8$ cpm/ μ g), which carries the arrowhead-distal portion of repeat K (Fig. 1) (lanes ^a and b), or to 0.2 μ g of the labeled 0.7-kb HindIII fragment (10⁸ cpm/ μ g), which carries the arrowhead-proximal portion of repeat K (Fig. 3) (lanes c and d). (B) Sequences from the centromere II region occur at three specific locations in the S. pombe genome. Sal I-restricted S. pombe genomic DNA was subjected to field inversion gel electrophoresis analysis (16). All lanes are from a single gel, which was dried, cut into individual lanes, and hybridized (29) to the following 32P-labeled probes, as illustrated from right to left at the top of Fig. 2A: lane a, the 0.8-kb HindIII/BstEII fragment; lane b, the 2.4-kb BstEII/HindIII fragment; lane c, the 1.0-kb HindIII/Kpn ^I fragment; lane d, the 2.4-kb HindIll fragment; lane e, the 2.8-kb HindIII fragment. Approximately 0.2 μ g of each probe ($\approx 10^8$ $\text{cpm}/\mu\text{g}$) was used for each hybridization.

Sal I fragment hybridizes to a unique 4-kb Sal I/HindIII probe from the chromosome II walk (Fig. 1); thus, this fragment is from the centromere region of chromosome II. Restriction fragments containing repeat K also hybridize to DNA sequences that are located \approx 20 kb from the LYSI gene on chromosome I. We have been unable to determine the exact physical distance between LYSI and repeat K on chromosome I, because our plasmids or cosmids all appear to contain deletions at or near the junction of repeat K with unique flanking sequences. Other investigators, however, have also identified ^a portion of repeat K (termed dgI) near LYSI and report a distance between them of ≈ 20 kb (7). Thus, one of the other two large Sal I fragments must contain the centromere region of chromosome I. It is likely that the third fragment includes the centromere region of chromosome III. However, this has not yet been established.

Selected hybridization probes derived from DNA sequences in the centromere region of chromosome II were used in orthogonal field alternation gel electrophoresis and field inversion gel electrophoresis experiments (Fig. 4) to determine how much of this region is also present at the other two locations, as defined by the large Sal ^I fragments. Individual labeled restriction fragment probes that include essentially all the DNA from the repeat B region shown at the right of Fig. 2A through the repeat K region at the left hybridized to the same three large Sal ^I fragments. These probes include (from right to left in Fig. 2A) the 3.5-kb BamHI/BstEII, the 2.6-kb BstEII/HindIII, the 1.0-kb $HindIII/Kpn$ I, the 0.6-kb $BamHI$, the 2.8-kb $HindIII$, the 2.4-kb HindIII, and the 0.7-kb HindIII fragments. Examples of field inversion gel electrophoresis hybridizations with the probes are shown in Fig. 4B. Probably not all sequences within a given fragment are hybridizing at each location, however. For example, the 3.5-kb BamHI/BstEII fragment, which includes repeat B, hybridizes more strongly to the 90-kb Sal ^I fragment (from chromosome II) than it does to the other two Sal ^I fragments (data not shown), and the 0.8-kb BstEII/HindIII portion of the 3.5-kb fragment appears to hybridize only to the 90-kb and the 50-kb Sal ^I genomic fragments and extremely weakly, if at all, to the largest Sal I fragment (see lane a, Fig. 4B). Thus, the size of the region on chromosome II that contains sequences in common with the other two genomic locations is >23 kb but <90 kb. A unique 0.9-kb EcoRI/Mbo II fragment from the leftmost end of cosmid cSpK22 (Fig. 2B) hybridizes only to the 120-kb Sal ^I genomic fragment, associating at least three tandem copies of repeat K with this region of the genome.

DISCUSSION

In the genomes of higher eukaryotes, many classes of repeated DNA sequences have been identified, and chromosomes often contain heterochromatin in their centromeric and telomeric regions. The genome of the budding yeast S. cerevisiae contains a class of large (6 kb) repeats, the Ty elements, which are normally found in ≈ 30 copies dispersed throughout the chromosomes (30), but no repeated DNA sequences are found within the functional centromeric DNA, and actively transcribed genes occur within a few hundred base pairs of the centromeres (31).

In this study, we have encountered several types of repeated DNA sequences in the centromere regions of S. pombe. Repeat K, whose unit length is 6.4 kb, occurs in part at least twice in the CEN2 region and at least three times in tandem either in this region or at another centromeric location. Repeat B is less well-defined, but is present at least twice in the CEN2 region, and probes that encompass repeat B hybridize with various intensities to all three large Sal ^I fragments that define two, and, possibly, all three centromere regions. Furthermore, probes from the entire 23-kb region

between repeats B and K on chromosome II also hybridize only to these three large genomic Sal ^I fragments, indicating that relatively long segments are repeated within the centromere regions of the three chromosomes. Repeat K in turn contains several classes of short internal repeats. Both on plasmids and on cosmids isolated in recA or recBC E. coli hosts, repeat K appears to be adjacent to ^a region, possibly of additional repeated sequences, that replicates poorly in E. coli and deletes with high frequency.

Nakaseko et al. (7) have recently identified two classes of large repeated sequences in the S. pombe centromere II region. Their repeat ynl appears, in part, to be analogous to repeat B. Their repeated sequence, dg, is analogous by nucleotide sequence analysis to a portion of repeat K, although dg is reported to be 3.8 kb long, whereas the consensus K repeat measures 6.4 kb. Difficulties in maintaining all of these repeated sequences intact during replication in E. coli may explain discrepancies in our data with that of Nakaseko et al. (7) , who have also analyzed nucleotide sequences within the repeat K unit. For example, our 653-bp sequence from repeat K (Fig. 3) is nearly identical to ^a portion of their 3.9-kb dgIla repeat, which occurs in a similar chromosomal location, except dglla contains an additional two copies of the 12-bp TGTCAGTACTAG (consensus) repeat, and, after nucleotide 613 (Fig. 3), the sequences diverge for 25-30 bp.

In S. cerevisiae, a single microtubule attaches to each centromere, directly to the 20-nm chromatin fiber, and there are no visible differentiated kinetochore structures (3). The outer layer of the highly differentiated mammalian kinetochores, however, is comprised of an arrangement of chromatin stacked in hairpin loops, and bundles of microtubules are seen directly attached to this chromatin (32). Presumably, the arrangement of centromeric DNA sequences in organisms with highly differentiated kinetochores would reflect both the multiplicity of spindle binding sites and the presence of repeated chromatin loops. Therefore, it would not be surprising to find DNA sequences that are repeated at regular intervals in the centromere regions. In S. pombe, repeats B and/or K may participate in forming ^a specialized chromatin structure that differentiates the kinetochore from the chromosomal arms. Furthermore, recombinational suppression, which is well-documented for centromere regions of Drosophila (33, 34), might be important for organisms with complex kinetochore structures, where an optimal number of repeated DNA sequences per kinetochore must be maintained (27). In S. pombe, the suppression of recombination in the centromere II region might be necessary to maintain a complex arrangement of essential repeated sequences. Alternatively, the repeats may have merely accumulated in the recombination-deficient centromere regions and serve no useful function (27).

We have previously described ^a DNA segment from plasmid pSpl1 (Fig. 1) that contains a sequence highly homologous to the S. cerevisiae CEN element III consensus sequence (4) and that controls the copy number of ARS plasmids to ¹ or 2 per cell in S. pombe (35). However, deletion of this sequence from the S. pombe genome does not destabilize chromosome II mitotically; thus, it is unlikely that this region is important in centromere function. Neither repeat B nor K hybridizes to S. cerevisiae genomic DNA in standard Southern blots or orthogonal field alternation gel electrophoresis analysis.

In S. cerevisiae, the presence of a functional centromere (CEN) sequence on an ARS plasmid stabilizes the plasmid mitotically and enables it to segregate properly through both meiotic divisions (36). We have not detected such behavior, either in S. pombe or in S. cerevisiae host cells, with circular plasmids containing any of the segments of centromeric DNA isolated in this study. However, we have not as yet obtained an entire centromere region, as defined by the region of repeated DNA sequences, on ^a single cloned DNA segment.

We thank David Beach and Jürg Kohli for providing S. pombe strains. We also gratefully acknowledge the excellent technical assistance of Virginia Kuga. This research was supported by postdoctoral fellowships from the National Institutes of Health (B.F.) and the Swiss National Foundation (H.A.), and by a research grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM-33783).

- 1. Robinow, E. F. (1977) Genetics 87, 491-497.
2. Umesono. K., Toda, T., Havashi, S. & Yanas
- 2. Umesono, K., Toda, T., Hayashi, S. & Yanagida, M. (1983) J. Mol. Biol. 168, 271-284.
- 3. Peterson, J. B. & Ris, H. (1976) J. Cell Sci. 22, 219-242.
4. Clarke, J. & Carbon, J. (1985) Annu, Rev. Genet, 19, 29
- 4. Clarke, L. & Carbon, J. (1985) Annu. Rev. Genet. 19, 29–56.
5. Beach, D. & Nurse, P. (1981) Nature (London) 290, 140–142.
- 5. Beach, D. & Nurse, P. (1981) Nature (London) 290, 140-142.
6. Kohli, J., Hottinger, H., Munz, P., Strauss, A. & Thuriaux, P.
- 6. Kohli, J., Hottinger, H., Munz, P., Strauss, A. & Thuriaux, P. (1977) Genetics 87, 471-489.
- 7. Nakaseko, Y., Adachi, Y., Funahashi, S., Niwa, 0. & Yanagida, M. (1986) EMBO J. 5, 1011-1021.
- 8. Elliott, S., Chang, C.-W., Schweingruber, M. E., Schaller, J., Rickli, E. E. & Carbon, J. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 2936-2941.
- 9. Beach, D., Rodgers, L. & Gould, J. (1985) Curr. Genet. 10, 297-311.
- 10. Gutz, H., Heslot, H., Leupold, U. & Loprieno, N. (1974) in Handbook of Genetics, ed. King, R. C. (Plenum, NY), Vol. 1, pp. 395-446.
- 11. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. & Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 12. Beach, D. H. & Klar, A. J. S. (1984) EMBO J. 3, 603-610.
13. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. R. (1977) Proc. Nai
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 5463-5467.
- 14. Messing, J., Crea, R. & Seeburg, P. H. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 309-321.
- 15. Carle, G. F. & Olsen, M. V. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 3756-3760.
- 16. Carle, G. F., Frank, M. & Olson, M. V. (1986) Science 232, 65-68.
-
- 17. Losson, R. & Lacroute, F. (1983) Cell 32, 371-377.
18. Ratzkin, B. & Carbon, J. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Ratzkin, B. & Carbon, J. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 487-491.
- 19. Ish-Horowicz, D. & Burke, J. F. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 2989-2998.
- 20. Orr-Weaver, T. L., Szostak, J. W. & Rothstein, R. J. (1981) Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 6354-6358.
- 21. Devenish, R. J. & Newlon, C. S. (1982) Gene 18, 227-288.
22. Chinault, A. C. & Carbon, J. (1979) Gene 5, 111-126.
-
- 22. Chinault, A. C. & Carbon, J. (1979) Gene 5, 111-126.
23. Beach, D., Piper, M. & Nurse, P. (1982) Mol. Gen. Gen. Beach, D., Piper, M. & Nurse, P. (1982) Mol. Gen. Genet. 187, 326-329.
- 24. Nakaseko, Y., Niwa, 0. & Yanagida, M. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 157, 334-336.
- 25. Southern, E. M. (1975) J. Mol. Biol. 98, 503-517.
- 26. Gygax, A. & Thuriaux, P. (1984) Curr. Genet. 81, 85-92.
27. Charlesworth, B., Langley, C. H. & Stephan, W. (1994)
- 27. Charlesworth, B., Langley, C. H. & Stephan, W. (1986) Genetics 112, 947–962.
- 28. Lohe, A. R. & Brutlag, D. L. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 696-700.
- 29. Tsao, S. G. S., Brunk, C. F. & Pearlman, R. E. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 131, 355-372.
- 30. Cameron, J. R., Loh, E. Y. & Davis, R. W. (1979) Cell 16, 739-751.
- 31. Yeh, E., Carbon, J. & Bloom, K. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 158-167.
- 32. Ris, H. & Witt, P. L. (1981) Chromosoma 82, 153-170.
- Lindsey, D. L. & Sandler, L. (1977) Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B 277, 295-312.
- 34. Yamamoto, M. & Miklos, G. L. G. (1978) Chromosoma 66, 71-98.
- 35. Clarke, L., Fishel, B. R., Amstutz, H. & Carbon, J. (1985) J. Cell. Biochem. Suppl. 9C, 132.
- 36. Clarke, L. & Carbon, J. (1980) Nature (London) 287, 504-509.