Published in final edited form as:
J Am Chem Soc. 2013 November 13; 135(45): . doi:10.1021/ja410533y.

Ruthenium Catalyzed Hydrohydroxyalkylation of Acrylates with Diols and α-Hydroxycarbonyl Compounds to Form Spiro- and α-Methylene-γ-Butyrolactones

Emma L. McInturff, **Jeffrey Mowat**, **Andrew R. Waldeck**, and **Michael J. Krische***
University of Texas at Austin, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Austin, TX 78712, USA

Abstract

Under the conditions of ruthenium(0) catalyzed hydrohydroxyalkylation, vicinal diols **1a–1l** and methyl acrylate **2a** are converted to the corresponding lactones **3a–3l** in good to excellent yield. The reaction of methyl acrylate **2a** with hydrobenzoin **1f**, benzoin *didehydro*-**1f**, and benzil *tetradehydro*-**1f** form the same lactone **3f** product, demonstrating that this process may be deployed in a redox level-independent manner. A variety of substituted acrylic esters **2a–2h** participate in spirolactone formation, as illustrated in the conversion of *N*-benzyl-3-hydroxyoxindole **1o** to cycloadducts **4a–4h**. Hydrohydroxyalkylation of hydroxyl-substituted methacrylate **2i** with diols **1b**, **1f**, **1j** and **1l** forms α-exo-methylene-γ-butyrolactones **5b**, **5f**, **5j** and **5l** in moderate to good yield. A catalytic cycle involving 1,2-dicarbonyl-acrylate oxidative coupling to form oxaruthenacyclic intermediates is postulated. A catalytically competent mononuclear ruthenium(II) complex was characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. The influence of electronic effects on regioselectivity in reactions of nonsymmetric diols were probed using *para*-substituted 1-phenyl-1,2-propanediols **1g**, **1m** and **1n** and density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

Introduction

Our laboratory has developed ruthenium and iridium “hydrohydroxyalkylations” wherein hydrogen transfer from primary alcohols to π-unsaturated reactants generates organometal-aldehyde pairs that combine to form products of carbonyl addition.[1,2,3] Such C-C bond forming transfer hydrogenations may be viewed as alternatives to classical carbonyl additions, for which discrete alcohol-to-aldehyde oxidation and use of premetallated *C*-nucleophiles are often required. To expand the scope of this emerging family of C-C bond formations, the development of corresponding *secondary* alcohol mediated hydrohydroxyalkylations was undertaken. However, our initial efforts to promote hydrohydroxyalkylations with secondary alcohols using previously developed ruthenium[2] and iridium[3] catalysts resulted in conventional transfer hydrogenation to form ketone products. Products of C-C coupling were not observed.

It was postulated that secondary alcohols that form vicinal dicarbonyl compounds upon dehydrogenation, for example, α-hydroxy esters or vicinal cycloalkane diols, should engage more readily in carbonyl addition or oxidative coupling pathways *en route* to products of C-

Corresponding Author. mkrische@mail.utexas.edu.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for all new compounds (^{1}H NMR, ^{13}C NMR, IR, HRMS), including images of NMR spectra. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data for spirolactone **4b** and the ruthenium complex Ru(CO)(dppp)($C_{10}H_{15}CO_2$)$_2$. This material is available free of charge *via* the internet at http://pubs.acs.org

C coupling. However, this enhanced reactivity also renders vicinal dicarbonyl compounds more susceptible to reduction. Hence, if dehydrogenation is reversible, the short lifetime of the transient vicinal dicarbonyl might impede C-C coupling pathways. In view of this issue, we were inspired by recent reports of $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ catalyzed aminations of 1,2-diols[4a,b] and α-hydroxy amides,[4c] which occur *via* reductive amination of transient vicinal dicarbonyl species. This result, along with Chatani's observation of oxidative coupling pathways in Pauson-Khand reactions of 1,2-diones,[5] suggested the feasibility of hydrohydroxyalkylations by way of oxidative coupling-secondary alcohol transfer hydrogenation pathways.

Ruthenium(0) catalysts derived from $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ and phosphine ligands were found to promote the C-C coupling of α-hydroxy esters and amides to isoprene and myrcene to furnish products of prenylation and geranylation, respectively (Figure 1, top).[6a,b] More recently, a mechanistically related ruthenium(0) catalyzed [4+2] cycloaddition of vicinal diols *via* successive hydrohydroxyalkylation of dienes was developed (Figure 1, middle).[6c] Here, we report that ruthenium(0) catalyzed hydrohydroxyalkylation of acrylates with vicinal diols or their more highly oxidized congeners delivers spiro- and α-methylene-γ-butyrolactones, structural motifs that are ubiquitous in Nature (Figure 1, bottom).[7]

Research Design and Methods

It was reasoned that ruthenium(0) catalyzed hydrohydroxyalkylation of acrylates with vicinal diols would provide transient oxaruthenacycles that would spontaneously cyclize to form lactone products (Figure 1, bottom). This method would complement alternate approaches to spirocyclic γ-butyrolactones,[7a] which include cationic rearrangements of epoxides[8] and bromonium ions,[9] Stetter type reactions,[10] oxidative dearomatization,[11] C-H hydroxylation of carboxylic acids,[12] reductive cyclizations of α,β-unsaturated esters onto ketones,[13] Pauson-Khand type reactions of olefins with vicinal diones,[5] and the 2-(alkoxycarbonyl)allylation of carbonyl compounds.[14]

To probe the feasibility of the proposed transformation, racemic *trans*-1,2-cyclohexane diol **1b** was exposed to methyl acrylate **2a** (300 mol%) in the presence of $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ (2 mol%) and various nitrogen or phosphorus containing ligands. It was found that the ruthenium catalyst modified by DPPP (6 mol%) was uniquely effective, providing the desired spirolactone **3b** in 76% yield (Table 1, entry 4). Although increased loadings of methyl acrylate **2a** were found to improve the isolated yield of spirolactone **3b** (Table 1, entries 6 and 7), enhancing the intrinsic reaction efficiency so as to minimize the loading of methyl acrylate **2a** was preferred. As further variation of the reaction parameters, including temperature (Table 1, entries 8 and 9), did not avail further improvement, carboxylic acid additives, which are known to co-catalyze hydrogenolysis of oxa- and azametallacycles, were evaluated.[15] Using 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid (10 mol%) as a cocatalyst, the isolated yield of spirolactone **3b** was increased from 76% to 96% (Table 1, entries 4 and 11).

Optimal conditions identified for formation of spirolactone **3b** were applied to the C-C coupling of cyclic and acyclic diols **1a–1l** and methyl acrylate **2a**. The corresponding lactones **3a–3l** were generated in good to excellent yield (Table 2). Both *cis*- and *trans*-diols react with equal efficiency. As illustrated in the conversion of diols **1a–1d** to **3a–3d**, five-, six-, seven- and eight-membered ring cycloalkanes participate in spirolactone formation. Acyclic vicinal diols **1e–1h** form lactone products **3e–3h**. Whereas nonsymmetric diols **1g** and **1h** are converted to lactones **3g** and **3h** with incomplete control of regioselectivity, the reactions of cyclic diols **1i**, **1j**, and **1l** are completely regioselective, providing spirolactones **3i**, **3j**, and **3l** as single constitutional isomers.

As illustrated in the conversion of hydrobenzoin **1f**, benzoin *didehydro*-**1f**, and benzil *tetradehydro*-**1f** to lactone **3f**, catalytic C-C coupling may be accomplished in oxidative, redox-neutral, and reductive modes, respectively (Table 3). For the latter reaction involving benzil *tetradehydro*-**1f**, isopropanol (300 mol%) is employed as terminal reductant. Additionally, it was found that other vicinally deoxygenated compounds participate in lactone formation. For example, exposure of α-hydroxy esters **1m** and **1n** to methyl acrylate **2a** under standard reaction conditions provided the corresponding spirolactones **3m** and **3n** in 97% and 58% yields, respectively (eq. 1).



(eq. 1)

Having explored the scope of the diol and hydroxyester partners **1a–1n**, substituted α,β-unsaturated esters **2a–2h** were investigated. Attempted reactions of esters **2b–2h** with diols **1a–1l** under standard conditions did not provide products of C-C coupling. In contrast, the reactions of *N*-benzyl-3-hydroxyoxindole **1o** with esters **2a–2h** proceed in good to excellent yield to furnish spirooxindole products **4a–4h** (Table 4). As illustrated, β-substituted acrylic esters **2b**, **2c**, **2f**, **2g** and **2h** provide the corresponding spirolactones **4b**, **4c**, **4f**, **4g** and **4h**, respectively, in good to excellent isolated yields as single diastereomers. Relative stereochemistry was assigned by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of **4b**. The relative stereochemistry of cycloadducts **4c**, **4f**, **4g** and **4h** is assigned in analogy to **4b**. As will be discussed in greater detail, for cycloadditions of acrylic esters **2a–2h** with *N*-benzyl-3-hydroxyoxindole **1o**, catalytic amounts of potassium *tert*-butoxide are required to enforce complete levels of diastereoselectivity. Finally, it is notable that even β,β-substituted acrylic ester **2e** participates in spirolactone formation, albeit in moderate yield.

The fact that diols **1a–1l** did not react with substituted α,β-unsaturated esters **2b–2h** may be due to reversible oxaruthenacycle formation. If so, one can envision decorating the enoate reactant such that the transient metallacyclic intermediate is captured and driven to product. As the oxaruthenacycle intermediate may be viewed as a ruthenium enolate (Figure 1, bottom), it was reasoned that the hydroxyl-substituted methacrylate **2i** might engage in E1cB elimination to furnish α-methylene-γ-butyrolactones. In the event, upon exposure of diols **1b**, **1f**, **1j** and **1l** to acrylic ester **2i** under standard conditions the α-exo-methylene γ-butyrolactones **5b, 5f, 5j** and **5l**, respectively, were formed in moderate yield.[14] The modest yields in the formation of **5b**, **5f**, **5j** and **5l** are, in part, attributed to reduction of the exocyclic double bond (Table 5).

Mechanism and Discussion

A plausible general catalytic mechanism for the ruthenium catalyzed C-C coupling of cyclohexanediol **1b** and methyl acrylate **2a** to form spirolactone **3b** is as follows (Scheme 1). Based on literature precedent, intervention of a discrete, mononuclear ruthenium(0) complex is anticipated.[16] Consistent with this expectation, upon heating a solution of $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$, DPPP, and 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid, the mononuclear ruthenium (II) species, $Ru(CO)(dppp)(C_{10}H_{15}CO_2)_2$ is formed, as established by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 2). It should be noted that $Ru(CO)(dppp)(C_{10}H_{15}CO_2)_2$ is a competent precatalyst for catalytic C-C coupling (eq. 2). Oxidative coupling of

tetradehydro-**1b** and methyl acrylate **2a** forms oxaruthenacycle **I**,[5,6] which is anticipated to reside as



(eq. 2)

the *O*-bound haptomer.[17] The requisite dione *tetradehydro*-**1b** likely arises through $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ catalyzed oxidation of cyclohexanediol **1b** employing methyl acrylate **2a** as the hydrogen acceptor.[18–20] Protonation of oxaruthenacycle **I**[15] by cyclohexanediol **1b** or *didehydro*-**1b** may be slow compared to protonation of oxaruthenacycle **I** by 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid to form ruthenium carboxylate **II**, which lactonizes to form the spirocycle **3b**. The resulting ruthenium(II) complex **III** may engage in substitution with cyclohexanediol **1b** or α-hydroxy ketone *dihydro*-**1b**. Upon β-hydride elimination, *dihydro*-**1b** or *tetradehydro*-**1b** would be generated, respectively, along with a ruthenium hydride, which upon O-H reductive elimination would regenerate ruthenium(0).

Whereas couplings of methyl acrylate **2a** with diols **1a–1l** require an acidic cocatalyst (Table 2), cycloadditions of acrylic esters **2a–2h** with *N*-benzyl-3-hydroxyoxindole **1o** require catalytic amounts of potassium *tert*-butoxide to enforce complete levels of diastereoselectivity. Based on the postulated mechanism (Scheme 1), one possible interpretation is as follows. If oxidative coupling is reversible *via retro*-Michael addition, complete kinetic stereoselectivity will be eroded if transfer hydrogenolysis of the metallacycle is not fast. Deprotonation of *N*-benzyl-3-hydroxyoxindole **1o** may accelerate transfer hydrogenolysis with respect to *retro*-Michael addition through alkoxide exchange as indicated (Scheme 2).

The inversion in regioselectivity observed in the reaction of diol **1g** *versus* diols **1i** and **1j** merits discussion. As observed across numerous carbonyl additions, 1,2-indanedione reacts at the carbonyl moiety distal to the aromatic ring,[21] whereas 1-phenyl-2,3-propanedione reacts predominantly at the carbonyl moiety proximal to the aromatic ring.[22] Such trends in regioselectivity are evident in metal catalyzed transformations, for example, hydrogenations of 1,2-indanedione and 1-phenyl-1,2-propanedione.[23] Naturally, regioselectivities observed in the aforementioned carbonyl additions and the present ruthenium catalyzed C-C couplings are governed by the interaction of frontier molecular orbitals. Thus, notwith-standing steric effects, C-C coupling will occur predominantly at the dione carbonyl bearing the largest LUMO coefficient. Indeed, as posited by Hoffmann, the conversion of polarized bis(olefin) complexes to metallacyclopentanes should occur such that C-C bond formation occurs at the atom bearing the largest LUMO coefficient.[24]

To challenge this hypothesis, a series of *para*-substituted 1-phenyl-1,2-propanediols **1g**, **1m** and **1n** were prepared and subjected to standard conditions for spirolactone formation (eq. 3). For the *para*-methoxy-substituted diol **3m**, the electrophilicity of the resulting dione at the carbonyl moiety proximal to the arene is attenuated and the proportion of regioisomer derived from C-C coupling to this position decreases. Conversely, for the 1,2-dione derived from the *para*-carbomethoxy-substituted diol **3n**, the electrophilicity of the carbonyl moiety proximal to the arene is now augmented and the proportion of regioisomer derived from C-C coupling to this position increases. To more quantitatively correlate regioselectivity with the

magnitude of the respective dione LUMO coefficients, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were used to evaluate the dione LUMO coefficients. Although these data correspond to the diones in the ground state, and not the ruthenium bound diones that would be evident in the transition state, the observed trends are in alignment with the experimental results. That is, while the LUMO coefficients are always larger at the carbonyl moiety proximal to the arene, the proportion of regioisomers derived from coupling to the carbonyl moiety distal to the arene increases as the difference between the LUMO coefficients become smaller. Notably, indane diol **1i**, engages in completely regioselective coupling, and the corresponding dione **5i** is predicted to have the smallest difference between the LUMO coefficients (Table 6).



(eq. 3)

To further challenge the veracity of the proposed oxidative coupling mechanism, several control experiments were performed. To evaluate the possibility of an mechanistic pathway involving conventional Michael addition, benzoin *didehydro*-**1f** was converted to the methyl ether *O*-Me-*didehydro*-**1f** and subjected to standard conditions for ruthenium(0) catalyzed lactone formation, however, no reaction was observed and the starting materials were recovered unchanged (eq. 4). Additionally, hydroxyketone *didehydro*-**1j** was subjected to methyl acrylate **2a** in the presence of various Lewis acids ($RuCl_3$, $B(OMe)_3$, $InCl_3$, ZnI_2, $MgCl_2$). Here, only small quantities of the spirolactone were obtained along with recovered starting materials (eq. 5).



(eq. 4)



(eq. 5)

Conclusions

In summary, we report a convergent synthesis of γ-butyrolactones, including spiro- and α-methylene-γ-butyrolactones, through the ruthenium(0) catalyzed C-C coupling of vicinal diols and acrylic esters. As demonstrated in the reactions of methyl acrylate **2a** with hydrobenzoin **1f**, benzoin *didehydro*-**1f**, and benzil *tetradehydro*-**1f**, such transformations can be conducted in a redox level-independent manner. As shown in the conversion of α-hydroxy esters **1m** and **1n** to lactones **3m** and **3n**, respectively, the reaction is applicable to other vicinally dioxygenated systems. Additionally, diverse α,β-unsaturated esters **2a–2h** participate in spirolactone formation to form cycloadducts **4a–4h**. A catalytically competent ruthenium(II) complex $Ru(CO)(dppp)(C_{10}H_{15}CO_2)_2$ was characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction, and the influence of electronic effects on regioselectivity in reactions of nonsymmetric diols were probed experimentally and computationally. Future studies will focus on the development of related atom efficient C-C couplings that result in formal alcohol C-H functionalization.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedure for Hydrohydroxyalkylation of Methyl Acrylate with Diol 1b

To a resealable pressure tube (13 × 100 mm) equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added *trans*-1,2-cyclohexanediol **1b** (35 mg, 0.30 mmol), $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ (3.8 mg, 0.006 mmol, 2 mol %), 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane (7.4 mg, 0.018 mmol, 6 mol%), and 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid (5.4 mg, 0.03 mmol, 10 mol%). The tube was sealed with a rubber septum and purged with argon. Methyl acrylate **2a** (81 μL, 0.90 mmol, 300 mol%) and *m*-xylenes (0.22 mL) were added. The rubber septum was replaced with a screw cap. The mixture was heated at 140 °C (oil bath temperature) for 20 h, at which point the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (SiO_2; hexanes:ethyl acetate = 1:1) to furnish the title compound (48.4 mg, 0.29 mmol, 96%) as a clear yellow oil.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038) and the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM069445) are acknowledged for partial support of this research. Professor Eric Anslyn and Brette Chapin are acknowledged for kindly conducting density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

REFERENCES

1. For selected reviews on the direct redox-triggered C-C coupling of alcohols, see: Bower JF, Krische MJ. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011; 43:107. [PubMed: 21822399] Hassan A, Krische MJ. Org. Proc. Res. Devel. 2011; 15:1236. Moran J, Krische MJ. Pure Appl. Chem. 2012; 84:1729. [PubMed: 23430602]
2. For selected examples of ruthenium(II) catalyzed C-C couplings of primary alcohols, see: Shibahara F, Bower JF, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:6338. [PubMed: 18444617] Patman RL, Williams VM, Bower JF, Krische MJ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:5220. Shibahara F, Bower JF, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:14120. [PubMed: 18841895] Zbieg JR, McInturff EL, Krische MJ. Org. Lett. 2010; 12:2514. [PubMed: 20459077] Zbieg JR, McInturff EL, Leung JC, Krische MJ. J. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:1141. Zbieg JR, Yamaguchi E, McInturff EL, Krische MJ.

Science. 2012; 336:324. [PubMed: 22442385] McInturff EL, Yamaguchi E, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:20628. [PubMed: 23234459]

3. For selected examples of iridium catalyzed C-C couplings of primary alcohols, see: Bower JF, Skucas E, Patman RL, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:15134. [PubMed: 18020342] Bower JF, Patman RL, Krische MJ. Org. Lett. 2008; 10:1033. [PubMed: 18254642] Han SB, Kim IS, Han H, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:6916. [PubMed: 19453190] Zbieg JR, Fukuzumi T, Krische MJ. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010; 352:2416. [PubMed: 21165157] Moran J, Preetz A, Mesch RA, Krische MJ. Nature Chem. 2011; 3:287. [PubMed: 21430686] Geary LM, Woo SK, Leung JC, Krische MJ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012; 51:2972.
4. For $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ catalyzed secondary alcohol amination *via* alcohol mediated hydrogen transfer, see: Bahn S, Tillack A, Imm S, Mevius K, Michalik D, Hollmann D, Neubert L, Beller M. ChemSusChem. 2009; 2:551. [PubMed: 19396884] Pingen D, Müller C, Vogt D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; 49:8130. Zhang M, Imm S, Bahn S, Neumann H, Beller M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:11197.
5. (a) Chatani N, Tobisu M, Asaumi T, Fukumoto Y, Murai SJ. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999; 121:7160.(b) Tobisu M, Chatani N, Asaumi T, Amako K, Ie Y, Fukumoto Y, Murai S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000; 122:12663.
6. For ruthenium(0) catalyzed C-C couplings of secondary alcohols, see: Leung JC, Geary LM, Chen T-Y, Zbieg JR, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:15700. [PubMed: 22985393] Chen T-Y, Krische MJ. Org. Lett. 2013; 15:2994. Geary LM, Glasspoole BW, Kim MM, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013; 135:3796. [PubMed: 23448269]
7. For reviews of γ-butyrolactone and α-methylene-γ-butyrolactones, respectively, see: Bartoli A, Rodier F, Commeiras L, Parrain J-L, Chouraqui G. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2011; 28:763. [PubMed: 21290066] Kitson RRA, Millemaggi A, Taylor RJK. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009; 48:9426.
8. For selected examples of spirolactone formation *via* epoxide ring opening, see: Yokoyama T, Izui N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan. 1965; 38:1501. Faraj H, Claire M, Rondot A, Aumelas A, Auzou G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1990; 1:3045. Eipert M, Maichle-Mossmer C, Maier ME. Tetrahedron. 2003; 59:7949. Fujioka H, Matsuda S, Horai M, Fujii E, Morishita M, Nishiguchi N, Hata K, Kita Y. Chem. Eur. J. 2007; 13:5238. [PubMed: 17385198]
9. For selected examples of spirolactone formation *via* bromonium ion intermediates, see: Mandal AK, Jawalkar DG. Tetrahedron. Lett. 1986; 27:99. Mandal AK, Jawalkar DG. J. Org. Chem. 1989; 54:2364.
10. For selected examples of spirolactone formation *via N*-heterocyclic carbene catalyzed Stetter type reactions, see: Burstein C, Glorius F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004; 43:6205. Ye W, Cai G, Zhuang Z, Jia X, Zhai H. Org. Lett. 2005; 7:3769. [PubMed: 16092871]
11. For selected examples of spirolactone formation *via* oxidative dearomatization, see: Tamura Y, Yakura T, Haruta J, Kita Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987; 52:3927. Cox C, Danishefsky SJ. Org. Lett. 2000; 2:3493. [PubMed: 11082017] Uyanik M, Yasui Takeshi, Ishihara K. Tetrahedron. 2010; 66:5841. Dohi T, Takenaga N, Nakae T, Toyoda Y, Yamasaki M, Shiro M, Fujioka H, Maruyama A, Kita Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013; 135:4558. [PubMed: 23445490]
12. For selected examples of spirolactone formation *via* C-H hydroxylation, see: Uyanik M, Suzuki D, Yasui T, Ishihara K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:5331. Bigi MA, Reed SA, White MC. Nat. Chem. 2011; 3:216. [PubMed: 21336327]
13. For a recent review on spirolactone formation *via* reductive cyclization of α,β-unsaturated esters onto ketones, see: Streuff J. Synthesis. 2013:281.
14. For synthesis of α-methylene-γ-butyrolactones *via* carbonyl 2-(alkoxycarbonyl)allylation, see: Montgomery TP, Hassan A, Park BY, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:11100. [PubMed: 22734694] and references cited therein.
15. Ngai M-Y, Barchuk A, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:280. [PubMed: 17212400] and references cited therein.
16. $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ reacts with dppe in benzene solvent to provide $Ru(CO)_3$(dppe): Sanchez-Delgado RA, Bradley JS, Wilkinson GJ. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1976:399.
17. For an *O*-bound nickel enolate derived via intramolecular enalalkyne oxidative coupling, see: Amarasinghe KKD, Chowdhury SK, Heeg MJ, Montgomery J. Organometallics. 2001; 20:370.

18. For $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ catalyzed oxidation of alcohols employing olefins and alkynes as hydrogen acceptors, see: Blum Y, Reshef D, Shvo Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981; 22:1541. Shvo Y, Blum Y, Reshef D, Menzin M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982; 226:C21. Meijer RH, Ligthart GBWL, Meuldijk J, Vekemans JAJM, Hulshof LA, Mills AM, Kooijman H, Spek AL. Tetrahedron. 2004; 60:1065.

19. For mechanistically related $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of ketones mediated by isopropanol, see: Johnson TC, Totty WG, Wills M. Org. Lett. 2012; 14:5230. [PubMed: 23025546]

20. For mechanistically related $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ catalyzed secondary alcohol amination *via* alcohol mediated hydrogen transfer, see: Baehn S, Tillack A, Imm S, Mevius K, Michalik D, Hollmann D, Neubert L, Beller M. ChemSusChem. 2009; 2:551. [PubMed: 19396884] Pingen D, Müller C, Vogt D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; 49:8130. Zhang M, Imm S, Bahn S, Neumann H, Beller M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:11197.

21. 1,2-Indanedione reacts with nucleophiles exclusively at the carbonyl moiety distal to the aromatic ring. For selected examples, see: Fatiadi AJ. Synthesis. 1978:165. Petrovskaia O, Taylor BM, Hauze DB, Carroll PJ, Joullie MM. J. Org. Chem. 2001; 66:7666. [PubMed: 11701019] and references therein. Vanden Eynden MJ, Kunchithapatham K, Stambuli JP. J. Org. Chem. 2010; 75:8542. [PubMed: 21090691]

22. 1-Phenyl-2,3-propanedione reacts with nucleophiles predominantly at the carbonyl moiety proximal to the aromatic ring suggesting the LUMO coefficient is largest at this position. For selected examples, see: Inaba, S-i; Rieke, RD. Synthesis. 1984; 844 Nishigaichi Y, Orimi T, Takuwa A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009; 694:3837. Dhondi PK, Carberry P, Choi LB, Chisholm JD. J. Org. Chem. 2007; 72:9590. [PubMed: 17999525] Ramachandran PV, Rudd MT, Burghardt TE, Reddy MVR. J. Org. Chem. 2003; 68:9310. [PubMed: 14629151] Gewald R, Kira M, Sakurai H. Synthesis. 1996; 111 Kang S-K, Baik T-G, Jiao Z-H. Synth. Comm. 2002; 32:75.

23. (a) Busygin I, Rosenholm M, Toukoniitty E, Murzin DY, Leino R. Catal. Lett. 2007; 117:91.(b) Langvik O, Maki-Arvela P, Aho A, Saloranta T, Murzin DY, Leino R. Catal. Lett. 2013; 143:142. (c) Nieminen V, Taskinen A, Hotokka M, Murzin DY. J. Catal. 2007; 245:228.

24. Stockis A, Hoffmann R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980; 102:2952.



Figure 1.
Ruthenium(0) catalyzed hydrohydroxyalkylations.



Figure 2.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data of $Ru(CO)(dppp)(C_{10}H_{15}CO_2)_2$.a

[a]Displacement ellipsoids are scaled to the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. $C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$ refers to 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid.



Scheme 1.
A plausible general mechanism for ruthenium(0) catalyzed spirolactone formation as illustrated in the coupling of diol **1b** and methyl acrylate **2a**.



Scheme 2.
Diastereoselection in the formation of spirolactones **4b**, **4c**, **4f**, **4g** and **4h** and potential effect of *tert*-butoxide.

Table 1

Selected optimization experiments in the ruthenium catalyzed C-C coupling of diol **1b** and methyl acrylate **2a**.[a]



Entry	Ligand	Additive	2a (mol%)	Time (h)	T (°C)	Yield (%)
1	BIPY	–	300	20	140	trace
2	Phen	–	300	20	140	trace
3	PCy_3	–	300	20	140	trace
4	DPPP	–	300	20	140	76
5	DPPP	–	200	20	140	56
6	DPPP	–	400	20	140	88
7	DPPP	–	500	20	140	79
8	DPPP	–	300	20	130	33
9	DPPP	–	300	20	150	69
10	DPPP	Benzoic Acid	300	20	140	93
⇨11	**DPPP**	**$C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$**	**300**	**20**	**140**	**96**
12	DPPP	$C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$	300	4	140	73
13	DPPP	$C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$	300	8	140	83
14	DPPP	$C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$	300	20	120	62
15	DPPP	$C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$	300	20	140	55[b]

[a] Cited yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. $C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$ refers to 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid.

[b] 0.5 mol% $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

Table 2

Ruthenium(0) catalyzed hydrohydroxyalkylation of methyl acrylate **2a** with diols **1a–1l** to form lactones **3a–3l**.[a].



1a-1l (100 mol%) **2a** (300 mol%) **3a-3l**

1a, R^1, R^2 = $(CH_2)_3$	**1b**, R^1, R^2 = $(CH_2)_4$	**1c**, R^1, R^2 = $(CH_2)_5$	**1d**, R^1, R^2 = $(CH_2)_6$
1e, R^1 = R^2 = Me	**1f**, R^1 = R^2 = Ph	**1g**, R^1 = Ph, R^2 = Me	**1h**, R^1 = *t*Bu, R^2 = Me
1i, indanyl	**1j**, tetrahydronaphthyl	**1k**, acenapthyl	**1l**, dimethylchromanyl



3a, 85% Yield[b,e] **3b**, 96% Yield[c] **3c**, 64% Yield[c] **3d**, 79% Yield[b]



3e, 93% Yield[d] **3f**, 90% Yield[d] **3g**, 71% Yield[c] 4:1 rr **3h**, 75% Yield[c] 1.3:1 rr



3i, 79% Yield[c] > 20:1 rr **3j**, 88% Yield[d] > 20:1 rr **3k**, 59% Yield[d] **3l**, 74% Yield[b] > 20:1 rr

[a]Cited yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

[b]The *cis*-1,2-diol was employed.

[c] The *trans*-1,2-diol was employed.

[d] A mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-1,2-diols was employed.

[e] **2a** (400 mol%).

Table 3

Redox level-independent formation of lactone **3f**[a].



[a]Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. $C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$ refers to 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid. See Supporting Information for further details.

Table 4

Ruthenium(0) catalyzed hydrohydroxyalkylation of acrylic esters **2a–2h** with *N*-benzyl-3-hydroxyoxindole **1o** to form spirolactones **4a–4h**.[a].



1o (100 mol%) **2a-2h** (300 mol%) **4a-4h**

2a, R^1, R^2, R^3 = H	**2b**, $R^1 = R^3$ = H, R^2 = Me	**2c**, $R^1 = R^3$ = H, R^2 = Ph	**2d**, $R^2 = R^3$ = H, R^1 = Me
2e, $R^2 = R^3$ = Me, R^2 = H	**2f**, $R^1 = R^3$ = H, R^2 = *c*-hex	**2g**, $R^1 = R^3$ = H, R^2 = piperonyl	**2h**, $R^1 = R^3$ = H, R^2 = thienyl



4a, 99% Yield[b] **4b**, 92% Yield >20:1 dr (X-ray) **4c**, 82% Yield >20:1 dr **4d**, 99% Yield 2:1 dr



4e, 52% Yield **4f**, 77% Yield >20:1 dr **4g**, 90% Yield >20:1 dr **4h**, 87% Yield >20:1 dr

[a]Cited yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

Table 5

Hydrohydroxyalkylation of hydroxyl-substituted methacrylate **2i** with diols **1b**, **1f**, **1j** and **1l** to form α-exomethylene-γ-butyrolactones **5b**, **5f**, **5j** and **5l**.[a]



1b, 1f, 1j, 1l (100 mol%)

2i (300 mol%)

5b, 5f, 5j, 5l



5b, 59% Yield[c]

5f, 68% Yield[d]

5j, 71% Yield[d]
> 20:1 rr

5l, 51% Yield[b]
> 20:1 rr

[a] Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. $C_{10}H_{15}CO_2H$ refers to 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid. See Supporting Information for further details.

[b] The *cis*-1,2-diol was employed.

[c] The *trans*-1,2-diol was employed.

[d] A mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-1,2-diols was employed.

Table 6

Magnitude of dione LUMO coefficients determined by DFT calculations correlate to regioselectivity.[a]



Diones 5i,m,g,n	LUMO Coefficient A	LUMO Coefficient B	Δ (A – B)	Experimental Isomeric Ratio (A:B)
5i, indane dione	−0.12189	−0.10896	0.013	1:>20
5m, R = OMe	−0.13750	−0.11802	0.019	1.3:1
5g, R = H	−0.13943	−0.11213	0.027	4:1
5n, R = CO_2Me	−0.13353	−0.09587	0.038	10:1

[a] Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out with QChem 4.0 using the B3LYP hybrid functional and 6-311G(d,p) basis set.