# Microorganisms Resistant to Free-Living Amoebae

Gilbert Greub† and Didier Raoult\*

Unité des Rickettsies, Faculté de Médecine, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France

INTRODUCTION	413
FREE-LIVING AMOEBAE AS A TOOL FOR ISOLATION OF AMOEBA-RESISTANT	
INTRACELLULAR MICROORGANISMS	415
Culture of Amoebae for Detecting ARB	415
Practical Use of Amoebae for ARB Culture	417
AMOEBA-RESISTANT MICROORGANISMS	419
Holosporaceae	419
Bradyrhizobiaceae	420
Legionellaceae	420
Legionella pneumophila	420
Legionella anisa and other Legionella spp	421
Legionella-like amoebal pathogens	421
Pseudomonaceae	422
Parachlamydiaceae	422
Mycobacteriaceae	423
Mimivirus	423
Enterovirus	424
Other Endosymbionts of Free-Living Amoebae	424
Rickettsia-like endosymbionts	424
Members of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides phylum	424
$\beta$ proteobacteria naturally infecting free-living amoebae	424
Other Microorganisms Shown In Vitro To Resist Destruction by Free-Living Amoebae	424
Burkholderiaceae	425
Coxiella burnetii	425
Francisella tularensis	425
Enterobacteriaceae	425
Vibrionaceae	426
Listeria monocytogenes	426
Helicobacter pylori	426
Mobiluncus curtisii	426
Cryptococcus neoformans	426
Microorganisms Recovered Using Amoebal Coculture	426
FREE-LIVING AMOEBAE AS A RESERVOIR OF AMOEBA-RESISTANT MICROORGANISMS	426
TRANSMISSION OF AMOEBA-RESISTANT MICROORGANISMS	427
FREE-LIVING AMOEBAE AS AN EVOLUTIONARY CRIB	427
Induction of Virulence Traits	427
Adaptation to Macrophages	428
CONCLUSION	429
REFERENCES	429

# INTRODUCTION

The interest of microbiologists and clinicians in free-living amoebae has grown during the last decades, as a result of the demonstration of their pathogenicity (12, 164, 166, 171, 233, 242) as well as their role as reservoirs for *Legionella pneumophila* and other amoeba-resistant microorganisms (37, 246, 247).

Free-living amoebae have at least two developmental stages: the trophozoite, a vegetative feeding form, and the cyst, a resting form (Fig. 1). Some amoebae, such as *Naegleria* spp., have an additional flagellate stage. Others, such as *Mayorella* and *Amoeba*, are non-cyst-forming species (191). The trophozoite, the metabolically active stage, feeds on bacteria and multiplies by binary fission. Cysts generally have two layers, the ectocyst and the endocyst. A third layer, the mesocyst, is present in some species. The structure may explain why cysts are resistant to biocides used for disinfecting bronchoscopes (101) and contact lenses (31, 124, 250) as well as to chlorination and sterilization of hospital water systems (206, 214). Adverse pH, osmotic pressure, and temperature conditions cause amoebae to encyst. Encystment also occurs when food requirements are not fulfilled. Protozoa excyst again when environmental conditions become favorable.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Unité des Rickettsies, CNRS UMR 6020, IFR 48, Faculté de Médecine, Université de la Méditerranée, 27 Boulevard Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille Cedex 05, France. Phone: (00) 33.491.32.43.75. Fax: (00) 33.491.83.03.90. E-mail: didier.raoult@medecine.univ-mrs.fr.

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Center for Research on Intracellular Bacteria, Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland.



FIG. 1. The two developmental stages of *Hartmanella vermiformis*. (A) The trophozoite, a vegetative feeding form; (B) the cyst, a resting form. Bar, 2  $\mu$ m. Magnifications,  $\times 5,325$  (A) and  $\times 6,675$  (B).

Free-living amoebae are present worldwide (reviewed in reference 204) and have been isolated from soil (9, 10, 21, 188), water (11, 104, 108, 111, 144, 145), air (138, 202-205), and the nasal mucosa of human volunteers (7, 179). Their abundance and diversity in the environment are strongly dependent on season, temperature, moisture, precipitation, pH, and nutrient availability (9, 21, 204). In soil, free-living amoebae are more abundant at plant-soil interfaces since plants allow the growth of a variety of plant parasites (bacteria and fungi) on which amoebae feed (204). In water, species exhibiting a flagellar stage are able to swim while the others must attach to particulate matter suspended in water in order to feed (204). Thus, free-living amoebae often live on biofilms and at water-soil, water-air, and water-plant interfaces, among others (204). Biofilms such as those found on contact lenses and dental unit water lines can support the growth of free-living amoebae (14, 62). Free-living amoebae such as Hartmanella, Naegleria, and Acanthamoeba have also been recovered from drinking water (111, 181), cooling towers (13), natural thermal water (200, 201), swimming pools (59), hydrotherapy baths (60, 215), and

hospital water networks (206). Several free-living amoebae were also recovered from marine water. Some are highly adapted to that saline environment; for example, *Platyamoebae pseudovannellida* may survive to a salinity grade of 150% (108). *Acanthamoeba* is the only pathogenic species isolated from marine water (11).

Free-living amoebae feed mainly on bacteria, fungi, and algae by phagocytosis, digestion occurs within phagolysosomes. Some microorganisms have evolved to become resistant to protists, since they are not internalized or are able to survive, grow, and exit free-living amoebae after internalization. These "amoeba-resistant microorganisms" (definition given in Table 1) include bacteria, viruses, and fungi. Among the amoebaresistant bacteria (ARB) (Table 2), some were recovered by amoebal coculture (Bosea spp.) while others were identified within free-living amoebae isolated by amoebal enrichment (Procabacter acanthamoeba). Some are obligate intracellular bacteria (Coxiella burnetii), while others are facultative intracellular bacteria (Listeria monocytogenes) or might even be bacteria without a known eucaryotic-cell association (Burkholderia cepacia and Pseudomonas aeruginosa). Some are established human pathogens (Chlamydophila pneumoniae), while others are emerging pathogens (Simkania negevensis) or nonpathogenic species (Bradyrhizobium japonicum). Among the ARB, some (the Legionella-like amoebal pathogens [LLAP]) were named according to their cytopathogenicity (28) and represent the paradigm of bacteria able to lyse amoebae, while others (such as Parachlamydia acanthamoeba) were considered endosymbionts, since a stable host-parasite ratio was maintained (7). The term "endosymbionts," defined by Büchner as "a regulated, harmonious cohabitation of two nonrelated partners, in which one of them lives in the body of the other" (38), refers to the intra-amoebal location of the bacteria [endo] and to its close relationship with the amoebae [symbiosis] (Table 1). In the present review, we generally use the term ARB instead of endosymbiont, since many bacteria able to resist destruction by free-living amoebae do not represent true endosymbionts and since even endosymbionts may be endosymbiotic or lytic while in a given amoeba, depending on environmental conditions (96).

The study of parasites and symbionts of free-living amoebae is relatively new. Indeed, although the term "symbiosis" was already used in the late 18th century, it was only in 1956 that Drozanski described the presence of an intracellular microorganism that lysed amoebae (Table 3). Then, in 1975, Mara Proca-Ciobanu demonstrated the presence of endosymbionts within *Acanthamoeba*. Serological evidence that environmental endosymbionts of free-living amoebae might be human pathogens increased the interest in studying the interactions between free-living amoebae and amoeba-resistant microorganisms, studies facilitated by the availability of new molecular tools. The comprehension of these interactions should help in better understanding why some microorganisms evolved to become symbionts while others evolved to become pathogens.

We intend to present first the importance of free-living amoebae as a tool for isolation of intracellular microorganisms. We then discuss the ARB identified to date (Table 2), along with other amoeba-resistant microorganisms (*Cryptococcus neoformans* and mimivirus). Finally, we describe the current knowledge of the roles played by free-living amoebae as

Expression	Definition
Expression	Demitton
Adaptation	Change in an organism resulting from selection pressure.
Amoeba-resistant microorganisms <sup>a</sup>	
Amoeba-resistant bacteria <sup>a</sup> (ARB)	Bacteria that have evolved to resist destruction by free-living amoebae.
Character	Phenotypic traits possessed by an organism.
Crib <sup>a</sup>	Literally, bed for a newborn baby. Here, it refers to free-living amoebae that act as a reser- voir of new ARB and as a potent evolutionary incubator for adaptation to life in human macrophages
Commensalism	Symbiosis in which one organism benefits from the association, with other being neither harmed nor benefited.
Endosymbiont	Symbiont that lives within another organism.
Endosymbiotic	Nonlytic behavior of an endosymbiont, although this might occur during only a short part of the life history.
Lytic	Ability to lyse the host cell, i.e., to rupture the host cell wall.
Mutualism	Symbiosis in which both organisms benefit from the association.
Parasite	An organism that benefits from the association with another organism while being harmful to the host.
Parasitism	Symbiosis in which one organism benefits from the association while the other is harmed.
Symbiont	An organism that lives in close contact with another living organism throughout a significant portion of its life history (167).
Symbiosis	
Symbiosis island	By analogy to "pathogenicity island," a cluster of genes that confer symbiotic traits and may be transferred horizontally.
Trojan horse	Literally, a strategy used to invade the town of Troy. Here, it refers to the protozoal "horse" that may bring a hidden amoeba-resistant microorganism within the human "Troy," protecting it from the first line human defenses.
Virulence	Degree of pathogenicity.
Virulence trait	Character that confers pathogenicity to an otherwise less pathogenic or nonpathogenic strain or oreanism

TABLE 1. Definitions

<sup>a</sup> New expression first defined in the present paper.

reservoirs, as Trojan horses (definition given in Table 1), and in transmission (209, 211), selection of virulence traits (43, 44, 230), and adaptation of the microbes to macrophages (35, 84) (Fig. 2).

# FREE-LIVING AMOEBAE AS A TOOL FOR ISOLATION OF AMOEBA-RESISTANT INTRACELLULAR MICROORGANISMS

Several amoeba-resistant microorganisms, such as LLAP, *Parachlamydiaceae*, and *Bradyrhizobiaceae*, are fastidious intracellular bacteria and may be emerging pathogens (98, 170). This naturally led us and others to develop and use serological (29, 95, 170) and molecular (52) approaches to detect microbial antigens and nucleic acid sequences. However, the specificity of serological techniques and PCR is impaired by antigenic cross-reactivities and PCR contamination, respectively (61).

Culture remains the ultimate goal of pathogen identification, since it makes a microorganism available for further study (122). Culturing the microorganism is useful to reliably classify it, to test its ability to infect human macrophages, to determine its pathogenicity in animal models, to test its antibiotic susceptibility, to use it as antigen for serological testing, and to produce polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies.

#### Culture of Amoebae for Detecting ARB

The first approach to growing ARB is to directly inoculate a cell culture system in which cells are replaced by axenically

grown free-living amoebae (see below). The second approach has two steps. After an initial enrichment of free-living amoebae present in the clinical samples, endosymbiont or intraamoebal bacteria, if any, are liberated by lysis from their hosts and axenically grow in coculture with another strain of freeliving amoebae. Amoebal lysis, which is one of the mechanisms used by the bacteria to exit the host before infecting another free-living amoeba, generally occurs spontaneously after a few hours (mimivirus) to a few days (*Legionella* spp.) of incubation. However, depending on different environmental factors, such as the incubation temperature, bacteria may remain trapped within the amoebae and the use of lytic solutions such as 2,3hydroxy-1,4,-dithiolbutane (156) or dithiothreitol (210) may be needed.

The two-step strategy allowed the identification and culture of several ARB, such as *Odyssella thessalonicensis* (27), *Para-chlamydia acanthamoebae* (7, 29), and several *Legionella*-like amoebal pathogens (LLAP) (82, 212). The procedures generally used for growing free-living amoebae were recently extensively reviewed in this journal (217) and are not presented here.

Amoebal coculture appeared useful for the recovery of *L. pneumophila* (210), *L. anisa* (156), and numerous  $\alpha$  proteobacteria (150, 155). In addition, as amoebae graze on bacteria, amoebal coculture may help in selectively growing new ARB of unknown pathogenicity (150). Amoebal coculture could also be used to clean the samples from other more rapidly growing species that generally overwhelm the agar plates. Thus, using that technique, Rowbotham was able to

# TABLE 2. ARB identified to date<sup>*a*</sup>

ARB	Host <sup>b</sup>	Life-style	Pathogenicity	Reference
$\alpha$ proteobacteria <sup>d</sup>				
Afipia felis	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	157
Afipia broomae	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	155
Bosea spp.	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	154
Bradyrhizobium iaponicum	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	155
Caedibacter acanthamoebae	Acanthamoeba <sup>c</sup>	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	114
<i>Ehrlichia</i> -like organism	Saccamoeba <sup>c</sup>	Obligate intracellular	Unknown	182
Mezorhizobium amorphae	Acanthamoeba	Extracellular	Unknown	155
Odyssella thelassonicensis	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	27
Paracaedihacter symbiosus	Acanthamoeba <sup>c</sup>	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	114
Paracaedibacter acanthamoebae	Acanthamoeba <sup>c</sup>	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	114
"Rasho bacterium"	Acanthamoeba	Fytracellular	Unknown	150
<i>Rickettsia</i> -like	Acanthamoeba	Obligate intracellular	Potential	80
$\beta$ proteobacteria <sup>d</sup>				
Burkholderia cepacia	Acanthamoeba	Extracellular	Established	148, 168
Burkholdereria pseudomallei	Acanthamoeba	Extracellular	Established	127
Procabacter acanthamoeba	Acanthamoeba <sup>c</sup>	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	115
Ralstonia nickettii	Acanthamoeba <sup>c</sup>	Extracellular	Potential	180
	1104111141110004	Extracontant	1 otonitur	100
γ proteobacteria <sup>a</sup> Coxiella burnetii	Acanthamoeba	Obligate intracellular	Established	158
Escherichia coli 0157	Acanthamoeba	Extracellular	Established	17
Erancisella tularensis	Acanthamocha	Extracential Excultative intracellular	Established	25
Lagionalla praumophila	Many spacios	Facultative intracellular	Established	200
Legionella anisa	Acanthamocha	Facultative intracellular	Detential	156
Legionella hitiga (formorly Saraobium hitigum)	Acanthamocha	Facultative intracellular	Potential	150
Legioneua iyuca (tormeriy sarcobiam iyucam)	Acanthamocha	Facultative intracellular	Potential	5
L. juilonii L. rowhothamii	Acanthamocha	Facultative intracellular	Potential	5
L. rowboinamu L. duozanalii	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Potential	5
L. drozansku L. drozavatij	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Potential	J 151
L. arancounti	Acaninamoeda	Facultative intracellular	Potential	212
Other LLAP	Acaninamoeda A = a + a + a + a + a + a + a + a + a + a	Facultative intracellular	Fotential	212
Vibrio cholerae	Acanthamoeba, Naegleria	Extracellular	Established	237
s proteobacteria				
Helicobacter pylori	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Established	248
Chlamvdiae <sup>d</sup>				
Chlamvdophila pneumoniae	Acanthamoeba	Obligate intracellular	Established	71
Neochlamvdia hartmanellae	Hartmanella <sup>c</sup>	Obligate intracellular	Potential	118
Parachlamydia acanthamoebae	Acanthamoeba <sup>c</sup>	Obligate intracellular	Potential	7
Simkania negevensis	Acanthamoeba	Obligate intracellular	Established	133
Flavobacteria				
Amoebophilus asiaticus	$A can tham oe ba^{c}$	Obligate intracellular	Unknown	116
Flavobacterium spp.	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Unknown	116, 187
Bacilli				
Listeria monocytogenes	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Established	163
Actinobacteria <sup>d</sup>				
Molibuncus curtisii	Acanthamoeba	Extracellular	Potential	241
Mycobacterium leprae	Acanthamoeba	Obligate intracellular	Established	129
Mycobacterium avium	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Established	45, 141, 224
Mycobacterium marinum	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Established	45, 141
Mycobacterium ulcerans	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Established	141
Mycobacterium simiae	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Potential	141
Mycobacterium phlei	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Potential	141
Mycobacterium fortuitum	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Potential	45, 141
Mycobacterium smegmatis	Acanthamoeba	Facultative intracellular	Potential	141

<sup>*a*</sup> Among the ARB, some are natural hosts of free-living amoebae (identified within free-living amoebae isolated by amoebal enrichment), some were recovered by amoebal coculture (i.e., using as the cell background free-living amoebae), and some were found to be resistant to a given amoebal "host" in vitro. Some are obligate intracellular bacteria, while others are facultative intracellular bacteria or extracellular bacteria. Some are established human pathogens, while other are emerging potential pathogens, or, until now, strictly environmental species. The list does not include *Enterobacteriaceae* that have been shown to resist destruction by free-living amoebae only under non-physiological conditions, such as chlorination (137).

<sup>b</sup> Natural host, or grown by amoebal coculture.

<sup>c</sup> Natural host.

<sup>d</sup> Class, according to reference 86.

TABLE 3. History of the research on the endosymbionts of free-living amoebae and other amoeba-resistant microorganisms<sup>a</sup>

Yr	Hypothesis or discovery	Microorganisms(s)	Author(s)
1856	Presence of slender thread in Paramecium caudatum	Paramecium caudatum	Müller et al.
1879	Concept of symbiosis	Lichen	De Bary
1954	Lysis of a free-living amoeba due to bacterial infection	Free-living amoeba and bacteria	Drozanski et al.
1975	Presence of an endosymbiont within Acanthamoeba	Acanthamoeba and bacteria	Proca-Ciobanu et al.
1978	Role of free-living amoeba as a reservoir of pathogenic facultative intracellular bacteria	Acanthamoeba and mycobacteria	Krishnan-Prasad et al.
1979	Cryptococcus neoformans may survive within Acanthamoeba	Acanthamoeba and C. neoformans	Bunting
1980	Role of free-living amoebae in transmission (expelled vesicles)	Acanthamoeba and Legionella	Rowbotham
1981	Increased viability of enteroviruses adsorbed on <i>Acanthamoeba</i> : carrier role	Acanthamoeba and enteroviruses	Danes et al.
1986	Role of free-living amoebae in the selection of virulence traits (motility)	Acanthamoba and Legionella	Rowbotham
1988	Protection of internalized bacteria from chlorination	Enterobacteriaceae and Tetrahymena	King et al.
1992	Role of free-living amoeba in the susceptibility of the internalized bacteria to biocides	Acanthamoba and Legionella	Barker et al.
1995	Role of free-living amoeba on the antibiotic susceptibility of the internalized bacteria	Acanthamoba and Legionella	Barker et al.
1996	Role of free-living amoeba in the adaptation of the internalized bacteria to life within human macrophages	Acanthamoba and Legionella	Bozue et al.
1997	Environmental endosymbionts of free-living amoebae as emerging human pathogens	Parachlamydia acanthamoebae	Birtles et al.
1998	Horizontal transfer of clusters of genes contributing to symbiotic life: "symbiosis island"	Mesorhizobium loti	Sullivan
1998	Mitochondria originated by endosymbiosis: relationship between genomes of <i>Rickettsia prowazekii</i> and mitochondria	Rickettsia prowazekii	Andersson et al.
2000	First genome of a symbiont (of aphids)	Buchnera aphidicola	Tamas et al.
2003	Discovery of mimivirus, a giant virus naturally infecting free-living amoebae	Acanthamoba and mimivirus	La Scola et al.

<sup>a</sup> During the last 5 years, the increased availability of molecular tools and data has led to a better understanding of the relationships between free-living amoebae and amoeba-resistant microorganisms.

grow *L. pneumophila* from human feces (213). Moreover, the amoebal coculture is a cell culture system that may be performed in the absence of antibiotics and is suitable for the recovery of new bacterial species of unknown antibiotic susceptibility. The main limitation of this technique is the decreased viability of amoebae such as *Acanthamoeba* and their encystment at high incubation temperature, which does not allow the recovery of bacteria requiring a temperature of  $\geq$ 37°C. Hence, only 45% of *A. polyphaga* organisms are viable trophozoites after 4 days at 37°C, compared to 65 to 85% at 25 to 32°C (96).

#### Practical Use of Amoebae for ARB Culture

A species of free-living amoeba, such as *A. polyphaga*, is grown at 25 to 30°C (this species tends to encyst at 37°C) in cell culture flasks with peptone–yeast extract–glucose broth (PYG) (99, 210). When their concentration reaches about  $10^5$ to  $10^6$  amoebae per ml (4 to 6 days), the amoebae are harvested and washed twice in Page's modified Neff's amoeba saline (PAS) to remove most nutrients (99, 210). After the last centrifugation, the amoebae are resuspended in PAS at a concentration of about  $5 \times 10^5$  amoebae per ml. One milliliter of this amoebal suspension is placed in each well of a 12-well microplate a few hours before the inoculation of the investigated sample. The relative numbers of amoebae and of the ARB potentially present are important, since if there are too few amoebae, they may be destroyed before a significant increase in the number of ARB is obtained (210), whereas if there are too many amoebae, they may encyst before the infection spreads to a large number of amoebae (210).

Depending on the nature of the sample and of the bacteria sought, the inoculation may be processed differently. Thus, to apply both the amoebal coculture and the two-step approach to a sample, it is advisable to first centrifuge it at low speed (about  $180 \times g$  for 10 min) and to use the supernatant for amoebal coculture and the pellet for amoebal enrichment. However, when using only one approach, it is better to inoculate all of the specimen to increase the sensitivity of the amoebal coculture. To disrupt the amoebal cells present in order to release the intracellular microbes, the samples may be treated with a lytic solution, such as 2,3-hydroxy-1,4,-dithiolbutane or dithiothreitol (156, 210), or with repeated sequential exposure to liquid nitrogen and boiling water, the "hot-ice" procedure. However, the advantages of these lytic procedures in terms of sensitivity have not been evaluated yet. Acid decontamination (210) and addition of both colistin (500 U/ml) and vancomycin (10  $\mu$ g/ml) (150) may help to selectively grow Legionella spp. After inoculation, centrifugation of the microplates  $(2,900 \times g)$  may accelerate the contact between the inoculum and the amoebal background and thus increase the sensitivity of the procedure.

The inoculated microplates are incubated at 30 to 35°C in a humidified atmosphere. The humidified atmosphere should prevent amoebal encystment. Too high a temperature will cause early amoebal encystment, whereas too low a temperature will be associated with less bacterial growth. Depending on the encystment rate, subcultures on fresh amoebae should





FIG. 3. Gimenez-stained ARB. *B. vestrisii* is shown within *A. poly-phaga* in a laboratory infection. Gimenez staining. Magnification, ×750.

be performed after about 4 to 7 days. Moreover, amoebal cocultures should be examined every day or at least every 2 days for the appearance of amoebal lysis that will suggest the presence of an amoeba-resistant microorganism and will necessitate subculture on fresh amoebae. At the time of subculture, each well should be screened for the presence of intraamoebal bacteria. This screening is best achieved by gently shaking the microplates to suspend amoebae. Then, about 200  $\mu$ l of the suspension is cytocentrifuged (147) and slides are stained with Gram, Gimenez (87), or Ziehl-Neelsen strain, depending on the suspected bacteria. We prefer Gimenez staining because most fastidious ARB are Gimenez positive and because fuchsin-stained bacteria are easily seen within the malachite green-stained amoebae (Fig. 3). The slide may also be prepared for immunofluorescence testing with the patients' serum as the primary antibody. The latter approach is valuable for detecting any microorganism against which a given patient has developed antibodies.

When bacteria are seen, the sample is subcultured onto several agar media and onto amoebal microplates with and without antibiotics. The agar media should at least include buffered charcoal yeast extract (BCYE) agar (*Legionella* spp. and *Francisella tularensis*) and sheep blood agar (*Mycobacterium* spp., *Flavobacteriaceae*, and  $\alpha$  proteobacteria) and should be incubated for prolonged periods. Subcultures on amoebal microplates are performed by inoculation of about 100 to 150  $\mu$ l of the coculture on 1 ml of a fresh amoebal suspension. Preservation of the remaining sample at  $-80^{\circ}$ C may be useful because some material is still available for additional subculture attempts. Subcultures on other cell lines may also be possible after filtration to eliminate the amoebae.

# AMOEBA-RESISTANT MICROORGANISMS

#### Holosporaceae

Although endosymbionts related to the Rickettsiales had been observed since 1985 (79, 106), their taxonomic placement was only assigned later using 16S rRNA gene cloning, sequencing, and confirmation of the presence of the bacteria in situ by using fluorescent in situ hybridization (114). Thus, the cloning and sequencing of 16S rRNA of three endosymbionts of Acanthamoeba showed their relationship to symbionts of the ciliate Paramecium caudatum (Caedibacter caryophilus, Holospora obtusa, and H. elegans) and of the shrimp Penaeus vannamei (necrotizing hepatopancreatitis bacterium) (114). These symbionts were named Candidatus Caedibacter acanthamoebae, Candidatus Paracaedibacter acanthamoebae, and Candidatus Paracaedibacter symbiosus since they had 93.3, 87.5, and 86.5% 16S rRNA gene sequence homology to their closest relative, C. caryophilus, and only 85.8, 84.5, and 84% homology to H. obtusa (114). C. acanthamoebae, the endosymbiont of Acanthamoeba sp. strain HN-3, was shown to be present in both trophozoites and cysts (106). In trophozoites, it was present directly in the cytoplasm, where it divides by transversal binary fission (106). Attempts to culture the endosymbiont on different agar-based axenic media failed (106), suggesting that it is an obligate intracellular bacterium. Moreover, attempts to culture this bacterium in yolk sacs of embryonated eggs and to remove it from Acanthamoeba sp. strain HN-3 failed, strongly supporting its endosymbiontic nature (106).

Another member of the Holosporaceae, Candidatus Odyssella thessalonicensis, has been identified from an amoeba collected from the drip tray of the air conditioning system of a hospital in Greece (27). A recent phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA genes of all these symbionts of Acanthamoeba, of the ciliate Paramecium caudatum (C. caryophilus, H. obtusa, and H. elegans) and of the shrimp Penaeus vannamei (NHP bacterium) showed that Candidatus O. thessalonicensis was phylogenetically close to Candidatus P. acanthamoebae (22). Interestingly, the branching of host and endosymbiont phylogenetic trees was congruent (22, 114, 117). This suggested that the ancestor of the C. caryophilus-related endosymbionts lived within an amoebal progenitor and coevolved with their hosts during the diversification of the different Acanthamoeba sublineages (22). If this is true, C. caryophilus will have only recently transferred to the ciliate Paramecium caudatum. The R-body represents a traditional taxonomic key criterion of the genus Caedibacter, confering killer traits (195, 216). The restricted presence of genetic mobile elements encoding the R-

FIG. 2. The role of free-living amoebae as a reservoir of intracellular bacteria, as a Trojan horse, in the transmission of its bacterial host, in the selection of virulence traits, and in the adaptation of the bacteria to macrophages. The bacteria are shown in red, the amoebae are shown in grey, and their mitochondria are shown in green. (Top) Life cycle of amoeba-resistant bacteria within amoebae present in the environment or in the nasal mucosa. (Bottom) Amoeba-resistant microorganisms in the lower respiratory tract. During the cycle of intra-amoebal replication, bacteria select virulence traits. Moreover, amoebal vacuoles represent an important reservoir of bacteria, which may reach alveolar macrophages within amoebae, within expelled vesicles, or free. The strategy of resistance to macrophage microbicidal effectors varies from species to species and might have been acquired following exposure to environmental predators such as free-living amoebae.



FIG. 4. *L. pneumophila* within *H. vermiformis* in a laboratory infection. Magnification,  $\times 16,500$ . Bar, 0.5  $\mu$ m.

body in *C. caryophilus* and their absence in the endosymbionts of *Acanthamoeba* suggest that R-body-encoding genetic elements were acquired by *C. caryophilus* since it began to live within the ciliate *P. caudatum* (22).

*Candidatus O. thessalonicensis* was successfully grown on *A. polyphaga*, suggesting that amoebae may be an useful tool for culture of amoebal symbionts and for the recovery of new species (see below). The host range of *O. thessalonicensis* is narrow, being restricted to *Acanthamoeba* spp. Interestingly, incubation at 37 and 30°C resulted in amoebal lysis after 4 and 7 days, respectively, whereas at 22°C *O. thessalonicensis* appeared to form a stable host-endosymbiont equilibrium during a 3-week coincubation period (27). This might correspond to a modulation of the virulence at higher temperatures (see below). It is another example of endosymbionts that behave as lytic or symbiotic bacteria depending on environmental conditions (96).

The human pathogenicity of symbionts related to *C. caryophilus* remains to be determined.

#### Bradyrhizobiaceae

Afipia felis was the first species of the genus Afipia shown to resist destruction by free-living amoebae. It was first described as the agent of cat scratch disease (36), subsequently shown to be caused by Bartonella henselae and not by Afipia (130). Isolation of A. felis from human lymph nodes might be due to sample contamination with water. Indeed, A. felis is an environmental facultative intracellular bacterium that lives in water and may use the free-living amoebae as a reservoir (157). More importantly, it is protected from chlorination while located within an encysted amoeba (157).

*A. broomae, A. massiliensis*, and *A. birgiae* were also shown to resist destruction by free-living amoebae (150, 153, 155). *A. massiliensis* and *A. birgiae* were recovered from water by amoebal coculture (153). By analogy to what has been learned from experiments with *Legionella*, it has been proposed that *Afipia* spp. may be agents of nosocomial pneumonia (153), especially

since they are common in hospital water networks (150). A pathogenic role for *Afipia* is further suggested by its uptake within murine macrophages in a nonendocytic compartment (162) and by its isolation from a patient with osteomyelitis (36). Although exposure of intensive care unit patients to *Afipia* spp. has been documented serologically (155), the role of *Afipia* spp. as etiological agents of nosocomial pneumonia remains to be demonstrated. Indeed, *A. clevelandensis* may cross-react with *Brucella* spp. and *Yersinia enterolitica* (64), demonstrating the low intergenus specificity of immunoflurescence testing for that clade.

The genus *Bosea* was described by Das et al (57) based on a single isolate, *Bosea thiooxydans*, recovered from agricultural field soil during a study of chemolithotrophic bacteria (56). Three additional species, *B. eneae*, *B. vestrisii*, and *B. massiliensis* (154), were recovered by amoebal coculture from hospital water supplies (150), demonstrating that some representatives of that genus are ARB commonly present in water. More importantly, they were associated with severe nosocomial pneumonia in ventilated intensive care unit patients (152).

#### Legionellaceae

Legionella pneumophila. Inhalation of aerosols or microaspiration of contaminated water has been recognized as a source of legionellosis (227). After the initial description of an outbreak of severe respiratory disease occurring in Pennsylvania, which showed that person-to-person transmission was unlikely (77), subsequent reports of Legionella infections were repeatedly associated with contaminated water in both communityacquired (165, 229) and nosocomial (30, 90, 140, 142, 176) settings. The ecology of L. pneumophila was extensively studied and confirmed empirical observations of its predilection for growth in hot water tanks and its localization in sediment (228). Rowbotham described the ability of L. pneumophila to multiply intracellularly within protozoa (209) and suggested that free-living amoebae could be a reservoir for Legionella species (211). Since amoebae are common inhabitants of natural aquatic environments and water systems (143, 206) and since they have been found to be resistant to extreme temperature, pH, and osmolarity while encysted (reviewed in reference 204), this reservoir is important. It may explain the emergence of the disease after the increased exposure of humans to aerosolized water due to the use of new devices such as air conditioning systems, cooling towers, spas, showerheads, and grocery mist machines (107).

As long ago as 1980, Rowbotham suggested the role of amoebae, not only as a reservoir but also in the propagation and distribution of *Legionella* spp. in water systems and in the transmission of these bacteria to humans (209). He proposed that humans are infected not by inhaling free legionellae but by inhaling a vesicle or an amoeba filled with *Legionella* organisms (Fig. 4) (209). These vesicles filled with *Legionella* (8, 26) might contain as many as  $10^4$  bacteria (211). Since then, the relationship between *L. pneumophila* and free-living amoebae has been extensively studied, and the studies have confirmed that free-living amoebae are necessary for *Legionella* multiplication in water biofilms, although the bacteria may survive in a latent state in biofilms without amoebae (186).

Tife male at a	Free-living amoebae		Macrophages	
Life cycle stage	Mechanism	Reference	Mechanism	Reference
Entry	Coiling phagocytosis	35	Coiling phagocytosis	120
Traffic	No phagosome-lysosome fusion	35	No phagosome-lysosome fusion	120
Phagosome	Association with rough endoplasmic reticulum	3	Association with rough endoplasmic reticulum	231
Replication	Intraphagosomal	209	Intraphagosomal	121
Exit	Host cell lysis	209	Host cell lysis	121

TABLE 4. Similarity of the mechanisms involved in the entry, traffic, replication, and exit of *L. pneumophila* with respect to both amoebae and macrophages<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The similarity suggests that the amoebae might have been the "evolutionary crib" that led to the adaptation of L. pneumophila to life within human macrophages.

Although mature cysts are rarely infected with Legionella (103), with encystment probably being the main mechanism by which amoebae escape infection by Legionella spp. (211), it has been shown that encysted amoebae may protect *L. pneumophila* from the effect of chlorine (135). Not only do the amoebae protect the bacteria while encysted but also intra-amoebal growth confers resistance to chemical inactivation with 5-chloro-*N*-methylisothiazolone (16) and modifies the cellular fatty acid content of *L. pneumophila*, its production of lipopolysaccharide, and its surface protein expression (18). Moreover, vesicles filled with living Legionella organisms may be produced by exposure of Acanthamoeba spp. to biocides (26) and might also somehow protect the Legionella from the action of the biocides. All this may explain why elimination of Legionella from water systems is so difficult (110).

No case of person-to-person transmission of L. pneumophila has been reported, suggesting that genetic variants of Legionella that survived the strong selective pressure exerted by the alveolar macrophages are not maintained (230). Conversely, the virulence traits selected by intra-amoebal life will persist in the "species" genome. These virulence traits include motility (211), resistance to cold (16), invasive phenotype (44), resistance to antibiotics such as erythromycin (19), and resistance to biocides (16). Increased motility is associated with increased spread of the microorganism while extracellular. However, this virulence trait was not expected to be the result of intracellular growth within amoebae since neither motility nor flagella are required for intracellular growth (177, 196). This apparent paradox may be explained by a model of differential phenotypic expression of L. pneumophila depending on growth conditions (39). Thus, when growth conditions are optimal in host cells, L. pneumophila expresses functions to replicate maximally, and when amino acids become limiting, L. pneumophila produces factors to lyse the exhausted host cell, to survive osmotic stress, to spread in the environment, and to evade lysosomal degradation in the new intracellular niche (39).

These virulence traits expressed during the postexponential phase and selected over millions of years of replication within its protozoan host might explain the adaptation of *L. pneumophila* to life in human macrophages. Indeed, the life cycle of *L. pneumophila* within macrophages is very similar to that within amoebae (Table 4), and at the molecular level, some identical strategies are used to adhere to, enter, escape from, replicate in, and exit from both (see below).

Legionella anisa and other Legionella spp. Several other Legionella spp. have been reported to be agents of pneumonia, including L. anisa, L. micdadei, L. bozemanii, L. feeleii, L. *jordanis*, and *L. maceachernii* (73, 88, 160, 184, 232, 236, 238). Epidemiological investigations suggested that, as with *L. pneumophila*, water might be at the source of the outbreaks (32, 74).

The interactions between water, free-living amoebae, and Legionella spp. were better understood after the investigation of an outbreak of Pontiac fever. A total of 34 persons attending conferences at a hotel in California presented with Pontiac fever, a disease characterized by acute fever and upper respiratory tract illness (74). They were presumably infected by L. anisa since five of eight subjects exhibited a fourfold rise in antibody titers and since L. anisa and Hartmanella vermiformis were isolated from the decorative fountain in the hotel lobby (74, 75). In contrast to the wide amoebal host range of L. pneumophila (211), that strain of L. anisa grew only within H. vermiformis. It could not be grown within another protist, Tetrahymena pyriformis, or within human mononuclear cells (75). The narrow host range of L. anisa may explain its role as an agent of Pontiac fever (75), a milder disease than legionellosis, and may also explain why it was recognized mainly in immunocompromised patients (156, 235). We also showed the role of L. anisa as an agent of ventilator-acquired pneumonia, causing a cryptic epidemic due to contamination of intensive care unit tap water (152).

Legionella-like amoebal pathogens. In 1956, Drozanski described an obligate intracellular parasite of free-living amoebae that causes lysis of the amoeba cells (66). Though initially named Sarcobium lyticum (67), this species was reclassified within the genus Legionella as L. lytica (112). In the meantime, Rowbotham reported the isolation of a Legionella-like bacterium, which he named Legionella-like amoebal pathogen 1 (LLAP-1), since, like L. pneumophila, it was able to induce amoebal lysis (211). Although LLAP-1 did not fluoresce with L. pneumophila, L. micdadei, and L. feeleii SG1 antisera and could not be grown on BCYE agar, it exhibited a fatty acid profile suggesting its placement within the genus Legionella (211). Phenotypic and genotypic characterization later allowed its classification as Legionella drozanskii (5). In 1991, another LLAP (LLAP-3) was identified within an amoeba enriched from the sputum of a patient with pneumonia (82). LLAP-3 was later shown to be a member of the species L. lytica (28).

Then, additional LLAP were recovered from environmental sources (28, 181). LLAP-9, LLAP-7FL (fluorescent), and LLAP-7NF (nonfluorescent) were also shown to be members of the species *L. lytica* (5), while LLAP-6, LLAP-10, and LLAP-12 represented three new species of *Legionella: L. row-bothamii, L. fallonii,* and *L. drancourtii,* respectively (5, 151).



FIG. 5. A. polyphaga filled with P. acanthamoebae in a laboratory infection. Crescent bodies may be seen (arrow). Magnification,  $\times 2,625$ . Bar, 1  $\mu$ m.

Since the recovery of *L. lytica* (LLAP-3) from a patient with pneumonia who seroconverted against this strain and whose infection improved with macrolide therapy (82), there has been growing evidence that these emerging species of *Legionella* account for some of the pneumonias of unknown etiology (4, 174, 212; C. E. Benson, W. Drozanski, T. J. Rowbotham, I. Bialkowska, D. Losos, J. C. Butler, H. B. Lipman, J. F. Plouffe, and B. S. Fields, Abstr. 95th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 1995, abstr. C-200, p. 35, 1995). Serological evidence of 10 *L. lytica* infections was obtained from screening more than 5,000 patients (212). More importantly, 4.3% of 255 patients hospitalized for a community-acquired pneumonia were seropositive for *L. drancourtii* (LLAP-4), compared to 0.4% of 511 healthy controls (p = 0.045).

The dichotomy between LLAP and other *Legionella* spp. may appear artificial, being based only on the fact that LLAP grow poorly or not at all on BCYE agar (28). However, it has helped to demonstrate that LLAP are emerging agents of pneumonia (4, 170, 212; Benson et al., Abstr. 95th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 1995) and that BCYE is not suitable for in vitro cultivation and consequently for the diagnosis of LLAPrelated pneumonia. Amoebal coculture is an important tool for diagnosing these emerging infections.

#### Pseudomonaceae

In vitro, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella aerogenes* appeared to be a better nutrient source for amoebae than did *Pseudomonas* sp. (244), explaining why these species are generally preferred to *Pseudomonas* spp. for axenic cultures of *Acanthamoeba* and *Naegleria* spp. (193, 217). More importantly *P. aeruginosa* was shown to inhibit the growth of *Acanthamoeba castellanii*, especially in PYG and/or in the presence of an high bacterium-to-amoeba ratio (243). The presence of toxic pigments possibly explains these observations (243, 244). Qureshi et al. hypothethized that these pigments or amoebicidal enzymes produced by *P. aeruginosa* might explain the exclusive recovery of either *Pseudomonas* or *Acanthamoeba* from patients with keratitis (198). These apparent exclusive occurrences may also be due

to amoebae grazing on *Pseudomonas* in contact lens disinfection solution initially contaminated with both amoebae and *Pseudomonas*, when *Pseudomonas* organisms were present in numbers too small to be inhibitory (243). Sometimes, encystment of the amoebae may occur or a fragile balance between *Acanthamoeba* and *Pseudomonas* may take place as suggested by the recovery of both species in contact lens system (63).

In nature, free-living amoebae probably also feed on *Pseudo-monas* spp. that are widely distributed in water and that are present at low concentration. Their encounter may be facilitated by the better adherence of *Pseudomonas* (than of *E. coli.*) to *Acanthamoeba* (33). However, some *Pseudomonas* spp. evolved to become resistant to amoebae, as demonstrated by the isolation of *Acanthamoeba* naturally infected with *P. aeruginosa* (178). Hence, free-living amoebae might also play a role as a reservoir for some amoeba-resistant strains of *Pseudomonas*, similar to what has been shown for *Legionella* spp. This is important, given the role of *P. aeruginosa* as an agent of pneumonia (85). Whether there is a correlation between resistance to *Acanthamoeba* and pathogenicity remains to be determined. Models of virulence are discussed below.

### Parachlamydiaceae

The *Parachlamydiaceae* are small-coccoid bacteria that naturally infect free-living amoebae (Fig. 5). They have a *Chlamydia*-like cycle of replication, with elementary and reticulate bodies and a third developmental stage, the crescent body (arrow in Fig. 5), found only within that clade (99). *Parachlamydiaceae* form a sister taxon to the *Chlamydiaceae*, with 80 to 90% homology of rRNA genes (72). This family is composed of two genera, whose type strains are *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* (7) and *Neochlamydia hartmanellae* (118), respectively.

Humans are exposed to *Parachlamydiaceae*, as demonstrated by the amplification of *Parachlamydia* DNA from nose and/or throat swabs (52, 190) and by the recovery of two strains of *Parachlamydia* from an amoeba isolated from nasal mucosa (7, 179).

More importantly, there is a growing body of evidence that Parachlamydia is an emerging pathogen of clinical relevance (98). The first hint was the identification of Parachlamydia sp. strain Hall coccus within an amoeba isolated from the source of an outbreak of humidifier fever in the United States (29) and a related serological study (29). In another serological study, fourfold-increased titers of antibodies against Parachlamydia in 2 of 500 patients with pneumonia was observed (Benson et al., Abstr. 95th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 1995). More recently, 8 (2.2%) of 371 patients with community-acquired pneumonia were seropositive (titer, >1/50) for Parachlamydia, compared to 0 of 511 healthy subjects (170). The amplification of DNA of Parachlamydiaceae from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and sputum (50, 52) and from mononuclear cells of a patient with bronchitis (190) provided additional hints of a potential pathogenicity. Our own work provided evidence that Parachlamydia may be an agent of communityacquired pneumonia, at least in human immuno deficiency virus-infected patients with low CD4 counts (94), and may also be an agent of aspiration pneumonia, at least in severely headinjured trauma patients (95). Moreover, Parachlamydia enters and multiplies within human macrophages (97), another point in favor of its pathogenic role. In conclusion, human exposure to *Parachlamydia* could be a cause of upper respiratory tract infection, bronchitis, aspiration pneumonia, and communityacquired pneumonia.

The possible role of *Parachlamydia* in the pathogenesis of Kawasaki disease (170), a vasculitis associated with respiratory infections (23, 58), and in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (95, 190) merits further study.

Simkania negevensis is an agent of pneumonia in adults and of bronchiolitis in children (134, 159); it is phylogenetically related to the *Chlamydiaceae* and to the *Parachlamydiaceae* (72). Its ability to multiply within *A. polyphaga* and to survive within cysts has been documented (133), suggesting that freeliving amoebae might also act as a reservoir and a selective environment ground for this clade. An extensive review has been recently published (78).

*Chlamydophila pneumoniae* may survive within *Acanthamoeba castelanii* but, in contrast to *Parachlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae*, does not grow within this species of amoeba (71). The role of free-living amoebae as a reservoir for this established agent of lung infections remains to be tested. Whether additional species of *Chlamydiaceae* may resist destruction by free-living amoebae also remains to be established.

#### Mycobacteriaceae

Mycobacterium leprae was the first Mycobacterium sp. shown to survive in free-living amoebae; however, neither bacterial multiplication nor amoebal lysis occurred (129). Subsequently, in vitro experiments also showed that M. avium, M. marinum, M. ulcerans, M. simiae, and M. habane could enter free-living amoebae and that M. smegmatis, M. fortuitum, and M. phlei could be seen in large numbers in the amoebae, eventually inducing lysis (141). The interactions between M. avium and Acanthamoeba were subsequently studied and demonstrated that M. avium grown within amoebae were more virulent than those grown in broth medium. First, growth of M. avium in amoebae resulted in enhanced entry into amoebae, an intestinal epithelial cell line (HT-29), and macrophages (45). Second, growth of M. avium in amoebae enhanced its ability to colonize the intestine in a mouse model of infection and to replicate in the liver and the spleen (45). M. avium was also shown to survive within cyst walls of Acanthamoeba (224). Moreover, M. avium living within amoebae were more resistant to the antimicrobials usually prescribed as prophylaxis for M. avium disease in AIDS patients, including drugs such as rifabutin, clarithromycin, and azithromycin, than were M. avium residing within macrophages (183).

### Mimivirus

In 1992, during a investigation of an outbreak of pneumonia, Rowbotham observed a gram-positive microorganism within a free-living amoeba recovered from the water of a cooling water in Bradford (England) by culture on nonnutrient agar (149). This nonfilterable organism was suspected to be a new bacterial endosymbiont. However, 16S rRNA gene amplification failed, despite repeated attempts. The microorganism was in fact a giant double-stranded DNA virus (Fig. 6). It was named mimivirus (for "microbe-mimicking virus") (149). This virus,



FIG. 6. Mimivirus, a giant virus naturally infecting free-living amoebae. (A) Mimivirus and *A. polyphaga*, as seen by scanning electron microscopy. Bar, 1  $\mu$ m. (B) Mimivirus. Negative coloration, as seen by transmission electron microscopy. Bar, 200 nm. (C) Mimivirus within *A. polyphaga* in a laboratory infection, as seen by transmission electron microscopy. Bar, 2  $\mu$ m. Magnifications, ×3,375 (A), ×33,750 (B), and 3,000 (C). Reprinted with permission from B. La Scola (A and C) and N. Aldrovandi (B).

the largest virus described to date, consist of particles of 400 nm surrounded by an icosahedral capsid (Fig. 6B) (149). It is related to the *Iridoviridae*, *Phycodnaviridae*, and *Poxviridae* (149). These viruses are all nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses that probably derived from a common ancestor (128). The possible role of mimivirus as a human pathogen is not established yet.

# Enterovirus

Enteroviruses are common causes of nonspecific febrile illnesses in children; they cause outbreaks of infection during the summer (54). Meningoencephalitis and aseptic meningitis are frequent (208). Gastrointestinal involvement may also be seen. Transmission from person to person proceeds through the fecal-oral route. These naked picornaviruses are widespread in marine water and may also be acquired by eating contaminated mollusks. Although labile, they may persist in free-flowing estuarine or marine waters for several months and in some cases during the winter months (161). Although their life span in water may be prolonged by the influence of estuarine sediments (147), it has been hypothesized that free-living amoeba may host these viruses. However, the role of amoebae as vectors for enteroviruses has not been confirmed (20, 55), and viruses were found only on amoeba surfaces (55). The adsorbed viruses persisted longer than free viruses, suggesting that amoebae might still play a role as carriers in the survival of enteroviruses (55) and hence explain the prolonged life span of enteroviruses in presence of sediments.

#### **Other Endosymbionts of Free-Living Amoebae**

In addition to *Parachlamydiaceae* and *Holosporaceae*, other partially characterized bacteria were naturally present within free-living amoebae and were considered endosymbionts. These endosymbionts are briefly described in this section. They include *Rickettsia-like* organisms, members of the *Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides* phylum, and two species of  $\beta$  proteobacteria shown to naturally infect free-living amoebae. In addition, an *Ehrlichia*-like organism was discovered within the cytoplasm of one strain of *Saccamoeba* (182). This bacteria was, however, not characterized using molecular tools and could not be grown. Since *Ehrlichia* spp. have common epitopes (68, 199), the observed mild serological reactivity of this strain to *E. canis* antibodies (182) may be due to crossreactivity. Consequently, although this organism is probably a member of the *Rickettsiales*, its true identity is unknown.

**Rickettsia-like endosymbionts.** The presence of two endosymbionts of Acanthamoeba spp. phylogenetically related to members of the order Rickettsiales was demonstrated in 1999 by Fritsche et al. (80). These two Rickettsia-like strains were closely related, with 99.6% 16S rRNA sequence homology. They were more closely related to Rickettsia sibirica and R. typhi, with sequence similarities of 85.4% (80). Phylogenetic analysis confirmed that they share a common ancestor with Rickettsia spp. However, important sequence divergence was demonstrated by deep branching in the phylograms (80). Given the fact that Rickettsiales symbionts apparently have a narrow host range and that Rickettsia spp. may be considered commensal endosymbionts of ticks, this deep branching may correspond to the time of divergence of the protozoan and arthropods or to the time of their acquisition by ancestral ticks. The human pathogenicity of this *Rickettsia*-like lineage remains to be defined, as do its host range, prevalence, distribution, and interactions with free-living amoebae.

Members of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides phylum. The first member of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides phylum identified within a free-living amoeba had a fatty acid profile indicating its affiliation with Cytophaga (187). Additional work showed its closer relationship to Flavobacterium succinicans (99% 16S rRNA gene sequence homology) (116). This Flavobacterium sp. and another strain related to Flavobacterium johnsoniae (98% 16S rRNA sequence homology), also identified within an Acanthamoeba organism, were able to grow on sheep blood agar, demonstrating a facultative intracellular lifestyle (116). Whether Flavobacterium spp. use the free-living amoebae as a reservoir and whether these bacteria play a role as human pathogens remains to be defined.

Another member of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides phylum was identified within an Acanthamoeba organism isolated from lake sediment in Malaysia (116). In contrast to the Flavobacteriaceae, this strain, named Amoebophilus asiaticus, did not grow on agar-based media and was thus considered an obligate intracellular endosymbiont of Acanthamoeba (116). Of note, the host range of that symbiont was restricted to the host Acanthamoeba and attempts to infect other Acanthamoeba spp., Naegleria spp., Hartmanella vermiformis, Vahlkampfia ovis, Balamuthia mandrillaris, Willaertia magna, and Dictyostelium discoideum failed (116). This narrow host range and the fact that A. asiaticus is closely related to another endosymbiont of Acanthamoeba apparently isolated in Hungary (116) and, to a lesser degree, to *Ixodes* and *Encarsia* symbionts suggest that their common ancestor acquired or developed adaptative features which allow its descendants to successfully infect different eucaryotic lineages (116). The human pathogenicity of A. asiaticus also remains to be defined.

**β** proteobacteria naturally infecting free-living amoebae. *Ralstonia pickettii* (180) and *Procabacter acanthamoeba* (115) are the only two species of β proteobacteria shown to naturally infect free-living amoebae. *R. pickettii* may act as an opportunistic pathogen (249). However, it is mainly incriminated as a contaminant of solutions used either for patient care (39, 146, 169) or for laboratory diagnosis (34), sometimes associated with pseudobacteremia (34).

*Procabacter acanthamoeba*, named in honor of Proca-Ciobanu, was identified within *Acanthamoeba* spp. recovered by amoebal enrichment of environmental samples and corneal scrapings (115). Its pathogenic role is largely unknown, but given its obligate intracellular lifestyle, infection may remain undiagnosed if axenic cultures alone are used.

# Other Microorganisms Shown In Vitro To Resist Destruction by Free-Living Amoebae

Many microorganims have been reported to resist destruction by free-living amoebae in vitro. They include *Burkholdereria cepacia* (168), *B. pseudomallei* (148), *Coxiella burnetii* (158), *Francisella tularensis* (2, 25), *Vibrio cholerae* (237), *Listeria monocytogenes* (163), *Helicobacter pylori* (248), *Mobiluncus curtisii* (241), and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (223). Although *E. coli* 



is a common food source for the amoebae, a strain of *E. coli* has been reported to resist destruction by free-living amoebae, multiplying in coculture with *A. polyphaga* (224). Below, we present the available data on the in vitro interactions of these microorganims with free-living amoebae and briefly discuss their potential implications in term of ecology and public health.

**Burkholderiaceae.** B. cepacia is associated with severe lung infections, especially in cystic fibrosis patients (76, 234) and, to a lesser extent, in intensive care unit patients. The role of free-living amoebae as a reservoir of B. cepacia has not been demonstrated. However, their role in the transmission of B. cepacia is possible, since expelled vesicles filled with B. cepacia bacteria have been reported (168).

*B. pseudomallei* causes melioidosis, an infection that may present as a fatal acute septicemia (239) or as subacute or chronic relapsing form (41). The fact that *B. pseudomallei* is resistant to amoebae (127) may explain its association with water (126) and its ability to survive within macrophages (132).

*Coxiella burnetii C. burnetii*, an obligate intracellular bacterium, is the agent of Q fever. Phylogenetically related to *Legionella* spp. (245), it was also reported to resist destruction by free-living amoebae (158). Human infection occurs mainly by inhalation of infected aerosols in areas of livestock breeding, as demonstrated by the increased prevalence of Q fever in populations living downwind from breeding areas (240). The knowledge that *C. burnetii* is able to survive within free-living amoebae may shed some light on the epidemiology of that fastidious organism, suggesting that the bacteria expelled into the environment might persist for months within amoebae and might use them for transmission. It may also explain the resistance of *C. burnetii* to biocides (219) and its adaptation to life within phagolysosomes at acidic pH (172, 175).

*Francisella tularensis.* Tularemia is a zoonotic disease caused by *F. tularensis.* It may be acquired by exposure to various mammals such as ground squirrels, rabbits, hares, voles, muskrats, and water rats or to ticks, flies, and mosquitos (70). There is evidence that *F. tularensis* can persist in water courses. Beavers and other water mammals, including lemming carcasses, might play the role of reservoir for the bacteria in water. However, the fact that free-living amoebae filled with *F. tularensis* can be observed, including subsequent lysis of the amoebae (2, 25), suggests that the protists might be an important water reservoir.

*Enterobacteriaceae.* Enterobacteriaceae and several nonfermentative gram-negative bacteria (such as Stenotrophomonas maltophilia) are among the preferred nutrient sources of free-living amoebae. Thus, E. coli, E. aerogenes, and S. maltophilia appear to be better nutrient sources for amoebae than are Staphylococcus epidermidis, Serratia marcescens, and Pseudomonas spp. (243, 244). This explains why Enterobacter spp., Klebsiella spp., and E. coli are preferred for amoebal enrichment procedures (193, 217). Interestingly, viable E. coli organisms provide a higher yield of trophozoites than do nonviable

FIG. 7. Phagocytosis of *L. pneumophila* by *H. vermiformis* in a laboratory infection. (A) Adherence; (B) uptake; (C) internalized bacteria. mi, mitochondria. Magnification,  $\times$ 22,000. Bar, 0.5 µm.

ones (131). Conversely, E. coli is able to multiply in the presence of Acanthamoeba (224). However, unlike Legionella, which multiplies within the trophozoites, growth of E. coli is also possible when the bacteria are separated from Acanthamoeba by a semipermeable membrane (224). Although at the population or species level Enterobacteriaceae and Acanthamoeba spp. may be seen as mutualists, at the individual level the amoebae are better considered to be predators of the Enterobacteriaceae. The fact that the predator does not completely eliminate its prey is a generally accepted concept that may occur as a result of a variety of mechanisms (6). These mechanisms include interactions among predators (interference with their grazing activity), genetic feedback (mutants arise that are resistant to the predator or parasite), physical refuge (the presence of small pores of soil), switching to another prey, density dependence of attack by the predator, and increased replication of the prey that compensates for killing (6). Whether Enterobacteriaceae should be added to the growing list of ARB remains to be determined. Indeed, the amoebabacterium ratio and the viability and fitness of the amoebae should also be taken into account to validly determine the resistance of these species to free-living amoebae. Probably, some strains or some clones have a resistant phenotype, which may prove to be transient or persistent. Thus, the Vero cytotoxin-producing E. coli strains clearly represent ARB, since their population increased significantly in coculture (17).

*Vibrionaceae. Vibrio cholerae* is a member of the *Vibrionaceae* that is reported to survive and multiply within *A. polyphaga* and *N. gruberi* (237). Its survival within cysts of *N. gruberi* suggests that free-living amoebae may protect the bacteria while encysted.

Listeria monocytogenes. Since Listeria has been isolated from soil samples, sewage, and wastewater and since it resists destruction in human macrophages, Ly and Müller supposed that Listeria might be resistant to free-living amoebae (163). They confirmed their hypothesis by showing that L. monocytogenes multiplies within Acanthamoeba (163). However, after 1 month, most amoebal cells were encysted, preventing further Listeria survival (163).

Helicobacter pylori. H. pylori may be present in water (113, 125, 173, 192), suggesting that free-living amoebae could play the role of reservoir for these fastidious microorganisms. This hypothesis is sustained by the demonstration that H. pylori is able to grow when cocultured with A. castellanii (248). More important, the viability of H. pylori could be maintained for up to 8 weeks in coculture with A. castellanii in the absence of microaerobic conditions (248). Additional studies are needed to determine the role played in vivo by free-living amoebae in the transmission of H. pylori.

**Mobiluncus curtisii.** Only one species of anaerobe (*Mobiluncus curtisii*) has been reported to resist destruction by free-living amoebae (241). This obligate nonsporeforming anaerobic bacterium, causing vaginosis (218) and, more rarely, abcesseses (69) and bacteremia (91, 109), persisted for up to 4 to 6 weeks under aerobic conditions as a result of its internalization in *Acanthamoeaba* (241). Like *H. pylori*, *M. curtisii* may multiply aerobically when cocultured with free-living amoebae, while it requires otherwise strict atmospheric conditions for in vitro culture. This suggests that some strictly anaerobic bacteria are able to find refuge within amoebae. Further work is

needed to determine the role of free-living amoebae as reservoirs of anaerobes. In particular, it may be interesting in the future to determine whether the vagina is colonized with free-living amoebae and whether such colonization may be associated with gynecological infections. The pathogenicity of M. *curtisii*, which is a commensal inhabitant of the vaginal flora and an established agent of vaginoses (218), might be due to a disequilibrium in the ratio of bacteria to amoebae.

*Cryptococcus neoformans. Cryptococcus neoformans* is a soil fungus that causes life-threatening meningitis in immunocompromised patients. It is one of the best examples of common adaptations to both amoebae and human macrophages (see below), suggesting that certain aspects of cryptococcal human pathogenesis are derived from mechanisms used by fungi to survive within environmental amoebae (223). Indeed, nonvirulent, nonencapsulated strains do not survive in amoebae whereas virulent strains do.

#### **Microorganisms Recovered Using Amoebal Coculture**

Several other bacterial species were isolated by amoebal coculture, including *Rhodobacter massiliensis*, *Azorhizobium* spp., *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, and *Mezorhizobium amorphae* (27, 100, 150, 155), showing that several clades have evolved to resist destruction by amoebae. These species are apparently nonpathogenic for humans.

# FREE-LIVING AMOEBAE AS A RESERVOIR OF AMOEBA-RESISTANT MICROORGANISMS

The presence of amoebal vacuoles filled with thousands of *Legionella* organisms suggested that free-living amoebae may act as a reservoir for the internalized bacteria (211). A role of reservoir for other ARB such as *Mycobacterium* spp., *L. monocytogenes*, and *F. tularensis* was also proposed (25, 129, 141, 163), potentially explaining their presence in water (*Francisella*, nontuberculous mycobacteria) and the discrepancies between their fastidious nature and their widespread presence in the environment (*Mycobacterium* spp.). Indeed, free-living amoebae are widespread inhabitants of water, soil, and air (204).

By definition, a microorganism able to resist free-living amoebae is able to enter, multiply within, and exit its amoebal host (Fig. 2); thus, free-living amoebae may be considered to be reservoirs of any amoeba-resistant microorganism (246). The implications of such a reservoir in terms of ecology, epidemiology, and public health remain to be better defined.

Since amoebae graze on bacteria, the entry of the bacteria by phagocytosis (Fig. 7) may be relatively easy, potentially being a passive process from the point of view of the ARB. This hypothesis is sustained by the fact that, in contrast to entry into macrophages, the entry of *Legionella* into amoebae is not inhibited by cytochalasin D (136). The intra-amoebal environment then favors the multiplication of a large variety of microorganisms (see above). Finally, exit from the amoebae may occur as expelled vesicles or by amoebal lysis (99, 211). Amoebal lysis is associated with the liberation of large numbers of bacteria. The mechanism of lysis has only been partially elucidated for *L. pneumophila*. Osmotic lysis of macrophages infected with *L. pneumophila* was shown to be mediated by the insertion of a pore into the plasma membrane (139). Gao and Abu Kwaik showed that this pore-forming activity of L. pneumophila was involved in the lysis of A. polyphaga (83). Thus, the wild-type bacterial strain was shown to cause the lysis of all the A. polyphaga cells within 48 h after infection, and all the intracellular bacteria are released into the culture medium (83). In contrast, all cells infected by the mutants remain intact, and the intracellular bacteria are "trapped" within A. polyphaga after the termination of intracellular replication (83). The *icmT* gene was shown to be essential for this pore formation-mediated lysis (185). For other ARB, the mechanism of lysis is unknown. Interestingly, the lysis was shown to be dependent on environmental conditions such as temperature. Indeed, we recently showed that Parachlamydia acanthamoebae is lytic for A. polyphaga at 32 to 37°C and endosymbiotic at 25 to 30°C (96). This suggests that A. polyphaga may serve as a reservoir for ARB at lower temperatures (for instance, when colonizing the nasal mucosa) and is liberated by lysis at higher temperatures (for instance when reaching the human lower respiratory tract) (Fig. 2). The role of free-living amoebae in resuscitating viable but nonculturable microorganisms should be further defined. Indeed, starvation conditions such as those present in low-nutrient-containing water or other stress such as exposure to antibiotics may induce some gram-negative bacteria to enter a viable but nonculturable state (40, 48, 49, 65). Up to now, a role for free-living amoeba in resuscitating viable but nonculturable bacteria has been demonstrated only for L. pneumophila (225).

Amoebae generally encyst to resist harsh or extreme conditions. They may also encyst to escape infection by the ARB (211); conversely, encystment of infected amoebae may be impaired (99). These observations explain why internalized bacteria are seen mainly within amoebae in the process of being encysted (8, 99). Furthermore, they might also explain the location of the bacteria within cysts walls (133, 224).

Cysts are highly resistant to extreme conditions of temperature, pH, and osmolarity (204). The survival of ARB within cysts has been especially well documented for *Mycobacterium avium* (224) and *Simkania negevensis* (133). After 79 days at  $4^{\circ}$ C, the infectivity of *S. negevensis* was still greater than 50% of the initial infectivity for *Acanthamoeba*, while in the absence of amoebal cysts and trophozoites, the bacteria did not survive for 12 days at  $4^{\circ}$ C (133). Hence, for the internalized microorganism, the cyst may represent more than a protection: it may play a role in the persistence of the microorganism in the environment.

Moreover, *Acanthamoeba* spp. cysts are highly resistant to biocides used for contact lens disinfection (31, 207, 250). Consequently, when encysted, free-living amoeba could protect the internalized bacteria (137). This could explain the observed increased resistance to chlorine of *A. felis* (157) and *L. pneumophila* (135) within *A. polyphaga*.

# TRANSMISSION OF AMOEBA-RESISTANT MICROORGANISMS

Aerosolized water is probably one of the predominant vehicles for transmission of ARB. Thus, it is only when aerosolized water was produced by new devices such as air-conditioning system, showers, clinical respiration devices, and whirlpool baths that Legionella became a recognized human pathogen during large outbreaks. However, protozoa have probably hosted Legionella spp. for millions of years. The role of freeliving amoebae in the transmission of Legionella and other bacteria to humans is not restricted to that of a ubiquitous reservoir. Indeed, free-living amoebae may increase transmission by acting as a vehicle carrying huge numbers of microorganisms. This carrier role is particularly obvious for enteroviruses (see above), which, although not entering the amoebal cells, may persist by adsorption onto free-living amoebae and spread by these vehicles (55). However, free-living amoebae may be more than simple vehicles; in addition, they may be "Trojan horses" for their host (15). Thus, the protozoal "horse" may bring a hidden amoeba-resistant microorganism within the human "Troy," protecting it from the first line of human defenses (Table 1). Cirillo et al. definitively confirmed this hypothesis by demonstrating an increased colonization of the intestines of mice when viable amoebae were inoculated with M. avium (45), suggesting that the ability of M. avium to cross the intestinal epithelium was increased in presence of amoebae. The "Trojan horse" is also thought to protect the internalized bacteria from the first line of cell defenses in the respiratory tract (15).

Free-living amoebae may also increase the transmission of ARB by producing vesicles filled with bacteria (8, 26, 99, 168, 211). These vesicles, first described for *L. pneumophila* (8, 26, 211), may increase the transmission potential of *Legionella* spp. and may lead to underestimation of the risk by colony plate count methods (26). Vesicles have also been reported to contain *Burkholderia cepacia* (168) and *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* (99).

#### FREE-LIVING AMOEBAE AS AN EVOLUTIONARY CRIB

#### **Induction of Virulence Traits**

The fact that free-living amoebae graze on bacteria has (i) ecological implications, explaining their diversity, number, and importance in biofilms, and (ii) diagnostic implications, allowing relatively easy recovery by culture on nonnutrient agar seeded with Enterobacter aerogenes or E. coli. Moreover, the fact that free-living amoebae graze on bacteria has had major evolutionary consequences, allowing some species to adapt to life in protozoa. These genetic adaptative changes include evolution by duplication of nonessential genes (123) and by the lateral acquisition of genes (189), that led to the expression of symbiotic or pathogenic phenotypes according to their impact on the host cell. Thus, amoebae represent a potent evolutionary crib and an important genetic reservoir for its internalized microbes (89). These reciprocal adaptive genetic changes took place over millions of years, leading the predator to refine its microbicidal machinery and leading the prey to develop a strategy enabling survival in amoebae. These strategies include increased size (that prevented engulfment) (92), increased multiplication rate (that prevented extinction of the species), colonization of new ecological niches, and, for the ARB, resistance to the microbicidal effectors of the amoebae. Due to the similarities of amoebal and macrophage microbicidal machinery, some strategies developed by ARB for resisting amoebae also helped them to survive in human macrophages when

later, by chance, they encountered a human host (see above [Fig. 2]). Thus, selective pressures placed by amoebae on amoeba-resistant microorganisms such as *C. neoformans* appear to be critical in the maintenance of virulence (223). Hence, virulent capsular strains were phagocytozed by and replicated in *A. castellanii*, leading to amoebal death, while the nonvirulent acapsular strains were killed (223). The fact that free-living amoebae may promote the expression of virulence traits was also well demonstrated for *L. pneumophila* (44, 211) and *M. avium* (45) (see above).

The effect of amoebae on the virulence determinants of the amoeba-resistant microorganisms is not restricted to a selection of traits and a potent site for evolutionary change. In fact, the observed increased resistance to rifabutin, clarithromycin, and azithromycin of *M. avium* living within *Acanthamoeba* over that of strains residing within macrophages (183) may also be due to decreased uptake of antibiotics into amoebae, to an inactivation of the compound within amoebae, or to a change in the bacterial phenotype. The same may be true for the increased resistance observed in *L. pneumophila* grown within free-living amoebae to antibiotics such as erythromycin (19) and to biocides (16).

Models for testing virulence were developed by using Dictyostelium discoideum as a host (53, 105, 197, 221, 222). The model developed by Solomon et al. helps in studying the host factors that influence virulence of Legionella. Thus, mutants were examined for their effect on growth of L. pneumophila. The D. discoideum myo A/B double myosin I mutant, which shows a defect in amoebal mobility, and the coronin mutant, which shows defects in pinocytosis, phagocytosis, and amoebal mobility, were both more permissive than wild-type strains for intracellular growth of L. pneumophila (222). The validity of this model is supported by the fact that bacteria grew in D. discoideum within membrane-bound vesicles associated with rough endoplasmic reticulum (222), similar to what happens in macrophages (231), and that L. pneumophila dot/icm mutants unable to grow in macrophages and amoebae also did not grow in D. discoideum (222).

The virulence of P. aeruginosa was also tested by using amoebae. First, a plate assay was developed, in which D. discoideum was killed by virulent P. aeruginosa (197). If a mutation caused a particular strain of P. aeruginosa to be avirulent toward D. discoideum, then the amoeba fed on the avirulent strain and formed lysis plaques that were readily apparent after a few days. The avirulent mutants were impaired in two conserved virulence pathways: quorum-sensing-mediated virulence and type III secretion of cytotoxins (197). The second assay is similar, but Klebsiella was used as a marker of phagocytosis (53). When D. discoideum was added to the Klebsiella lawn, it fed on the bacteria, creating lysis plaques. The addition of a virulent P. aeruginosa strain inhibited the growth of D. discoideum, preventing the occurrence of plaques (53). P. aeruginosa mutants were tested for their ability to inhibit the growth of D. discoideum, showing that factors controlled by the rhl quorum-sensing system play a central role (53).

### **Adaptation to Macrophages**

The selection of virulence traits may explain the observed adaptation to macrophages of most microbes able to survive and grow intracellularly in free-living amoebae, including such species as *Legionella* spp., *L. monocytogenes*, *C. pneumoniae*, *M. avium*, and *C. burnetii*. The adaptation of *Legionella* has been especially well studied, at both the cellular and molecular levels.

At the cellular level, there are striking similarities between the way in which Legionella spp. adapted to both macrophages and amoebae. Indeed, coiling phagocytosis has been reported for Legionella entry into both macrophages (119) and amoebae (35). Similarly, the absence of phagosome-lysosome fusion is characteristic of the survival of Legionella in both macrophages (119) and amoebae (35). This absence of phagosome-lysosome fusion and the association of the phagosome with the rough endoplasmic reticulum (also observed in both macrophages [231] and amoebae [3]) may account for the ability of Legionella to multiply within phagosomes of macrophages (121) and amoebae (209). The fact that Legionella induces the lysis of both macrophages (121) and amoebae (209) is another example of the similar mechanisms of eukaryotic parasitism developed by Legionella spp. More important, Legionella growth in monocytes was enhanced by an intra-amoebal growth environment (43).

At the molecular level, the first evidence that similar genes are necessary for the infectivity of both macrophages and amoebae was afforded by Cianciotto and Fields, who showed that the macrophage infectivity potentiator (MIP) is involved in bacterial resistance to intracellular killing and/or multiplication within amoebae (42). Gao et al. extended these results by using transposon mutagenesis to produce mutants that were defective for intracellular growth and killing of both macrophages and protozoa (84). This supported the concept that intraamoebal adaptation to life within macrophages took place at the molecular level. Additional studies identified genes used for multiplication within both macrophages and amoebae, including the prp locus (226) and the icm locus (icmM and tphA) (220). Moreover, the rtxA gene, which has been implicated in pore formation in both human and murine monocytes, in intracellular replication in human monocytes, and in virulence in a mouse model (46), was shown to be implicated in both adherence to and entry into A. castellanii (47). In addition, the icmT gene was shown to be essential for pore formation-mediated egress of L. pneumophila from both macrophages and A. polyphaga (220). However, not all genes necessary for intraamoebal growth are necessarily required for intra-macrophage growth. Thus, partial intracellular growth was observed within macrophages whereas no growth was observed within A. castellanii for mutants defective for icmS, icmG, and icmF (220). Similarly, only two of six mutant strains defective for invasion and replication within A. castellanii had intracellular replication defects within macrophages (194).

The hypothesis that the strategies for survival after ingestion by macrophages and amoebae are similar was shown to be true for other microorganisms. Thus, the capsule of *C. neoformans* appears to be important for survival in both amoebae and macrophages (see above) (223). More importantly, the growth of *M. avium* in amoebae that results in enhanced entry into both amoebae and macrophages (45) supports the hypothesis that adaptation to life within human macrophages may be possible following exposure to environmental predators such as free-living amoebae. The actual variety of survival strategies used by intracellular bacteria for resisting macrophages (Fig. 2) may reflect the long coevolution process of bacteria and amoebae. Based on phylogenetic analysis of the ADP/ATP translocase-encoding gene, we showed that this process began more than 1 billion years ago (102). During this period, some bacterial and amoebal species coevolved (117), leading to the high-level species specificity observed for true endosymbionts (117). The extreme adaptation of endosymbionts to the common ancestor of amoebae, mammals, plants, and arthropods led to one of the more important events: evolution as entrapped organelles (51, 93).

# CONCLUSION

Although free-living amoebae represent important predators of bacteria and fungi, some microorganisms have evolved to resist destruction by free-living amoebae. These amoebaresistant microorganisms may use the intra-amoebal environment for replication, profit from the protection conferred by the amoebae while encysted, and spread in water and the environment via their amoebal host. The amoeba-resistant microorganism may affect the pathogenicity of the free-living amoeba itself (81), but, more importantly, they may develop and maintain virulence traits, including resistance to antibiotics, and may adapt to life within human macrophages. Thus, the amoeba/amoeba-resistant microorgansism couple synergically produce pathogens to which humans are frequently exposed (since amoebae are widespread in water), that are highly resistant to decontamination, and that are able to resist destruction by human macrophages.

L. pneumophila was the first amoeba-resistant microorganism for which the important roles of free-living amoebae as reservoirs, vectors, and an "evolutionary crib" have been reported. Since then, there has been evidence that these powerful interactions with amoebae also exist for other environmental pathogens. In the future, the study of free-living amoebae and their intracellular microbes will contribute to the growing field of study of emerging pathogens and will shed some light on the virulence mechanisms of environmental microorganisms.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Reference deleted.
- Abd, H., T. Johansson, I. Golovliov, G. Sandstrom, and M. Forsman. 2003. Survival and Growth of *Francisella tularensis* in *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:600–606.
- Abu, K. Y. 1996. The phagosome containing *Legionella pneumophila* within the protozoan *Hartmannella vermiformis* is surrounded by the rough endoplasmic reticulum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:2022–2028.
- Adeleke, A., J. Pruckler, R. Benson, T. Rowbotham, M. Halablab, and B. Fields. 1996. *Legionella*-like amebal pathogens—phylogenetic status and possible role in respiratory disease. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2:225–230.
- Adeleke, A. A., B. S. Fields, R. F. Benson, M. I. Daneshvar, J. M. Pruckler, R. M. Ratcliff, T. G. Harrison, R. S. Weyant, R. J. Birtles, D. Raoult, and M. A. Halablab. 2001. Legionella drozanskii sp. nov., Legionella rowbothamii sp.nov. and Legionella fallonii sp.nov.: three unusual new Legionella species. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51:1151–1160.
- Alexander, M. 1981. Why microbial predators and parasites do not eliminate their prey and hosts. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 35:113–133.
- Amann, R., N. Springer, W. Schönhuber, W. Ludwig, E. N. Schmid, K. D. Müller, and R. Michel. 1997. Obligate intracellular bacterial parasites of *Acanthamoebae* related to *Chlamydia spp.* Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63: 115–121.
- Anand, C. M., A. R. Skinner, A. Malic, and J. B. Kurtz. 1983. Interaction of L. pneumophilia and a free living amoeba (Acanthamoeba palestinensis). J. Hyg. 91:167–178.
- 9. Anderson, O. R. 2000. Abundance of terrestrial gymnamoebae at a north-

eastern U.S. site: a four-year study, including the El Nino winter of 1997–1998. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 47:148–155.

- Anderson, O. R. 2002. Laboratory and field-based studies of abundances, small-scale patchiness, and diversity of gymnamoebae in soils of varying porosity and organic content: evidence of microbiocoenoses. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 49:17–23.
- Arias Fernandez, M. C., E. Paniagua Crespo, M. Marti Mallen, M. P. Penas Ares, and M. L. Casro Casa. 1989. Marine amoeba from waters of northwest Spain, with comments on a potentially pathogenic euryhaline species. J. Protozool. 36:239–241.
- Armstrong, M. 2000. The pathogenesis of human Acanthamoeba infection. Infect. Dis. Rev. 2:65–73.
- Barbaree, J. M., B. S. Fields, J. C. Feeley, G. W. Gorman, and W. T. Martin. 1986. Isolation of protozoa from water associated with a Legionellosis outbreak and demonstration of intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 51:422–424.
- Barbeau, J., and T. Buhler. 2001. Biofilms augment the number of freeliving amoebae in dental unit waterlines. Res. Microbiol. 152:753–760.
- Barker, J., and M. R. W. Brown. 1994. Trojan horses of the microbial world: protozoa and the survival of bacterial pathogens in the environment. Microbiology 140:1253–1259.
- Barker, J., M. R. W. Brown, P. J. Collier, I. Farrell, and P. Gilbert. 1992. Relationship between *Legionella pneumophila* and *Acanthamoeba polyphaga:* physiological status and susceptibility to chemical inactivation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:2420–2425.
- Barker, J., T. J. Humphrey, and M. W. Brown. 1999. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157 in a soil protozoan: implications for disease. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 173:291–295.
- Barker, J., P. A. Lambert, and M. R. Brown. 1993. Influence of intraamoebic and other growth conditions on the surface properties of *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 61:3503–3510.
- Barker, J., H. Scaife, and M. R. Brown. 1995. Intraphagocytic growth induces an antibiotic-resistant phenotype of *Legionella pneumophila*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:2684–2688.
- Baron, A. L., L. S. Steinbach, P. E. Leboit, C. M. Mills, J. H. Gee, and T. G. Berger. 1990. Osteolytic lesions and bacillary angiomatosis in HIV infection: radiologic differentiation from AIDS related Kaposi sarcoma. Radiology 177:77–81.
- Bass, P., and P. J. Bischoff. 2001. Seasonal variability in abundance and diversity of soil gymnamoebae a short transect in southeastern USA. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 48:475–479.
- Beier, C. L., M. Horn, R. Michel, M. Schweikert, H. D. Gortz, and M. Wagner. 2002. The genus *Caedibacter* comprises endosymbionts of *Paramecium* spp. related to the *Rickettsiales* (Alphaproteobacteria) and to *Francisella tularensis* (Gammaproteobacteria). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68: 6043–6050.
- Bell, D. M., E. W. Brink, J. L. Nitzkin, C. B. Hall, H. Wulff, I. D. Berkowitz, P. M. Feorino, R. C. Holman, C. L. Huntley, R. H. 3. Meade, L. J. Anderson, S. H. Cheeseman, N. J. Fiumara, R. F. Gilfillan, D. E. Keim, and J. F. Modlin. 1981. Kawasaki syndrome: description of two outbreaks in the United States. N. Engl. J. Med. 304:1568–1575.
- 24. Reference deleted
- Berdal, B. P., R. Mehl, N. K. Meidell, A.-M. Lorentzen-Styr, and O. Scheel. 1996. Field investigations of tularemia in Norway. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 13:191–195.
- Berk, S. G., R. S. Ting, G. W. Turner, and R. J. Ashburn. 1998. Production of respirable vesicles containing live *Legionella pneumophila* cells by two *Acanthamoeba* spp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 64:279–286.
- Birtles, R. J., T. J. Rowbotham, R. Michel, D. G. Pitcher, B. La Scola, S. Alexiou-Daniel, and D. Raoult. 2000. Candidatus Odyssella thessalonicensis gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligate intracellular parasite of Acanthamoeba species. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 50:63–71.
- Birtles, R. J., T. J. Rowbotham, D. Raoult, and T. G. Harrison. 1996. Phylogenetic diversity of intra-amoebal legionellae as revealed by 16S rRNA gene sequence comparison. Microbiology 142:3525–3530.
- Birtles, R. J., T. J. Rowbotham, C. Storey, T. J. Marrie, and D. Raoult. 1997. *Chlamydia*-like obligate parasite of free-living amoebae. Lancet 349: 925–926.
- Blatt, S. P., M. D. Parkinson, E. Pace, P. Hoffman, D. Dolan, P. Lauderdale, R. A. Zajac, and G. P. Melcher. 1993. Nosocomial legionnaires' disease: aspiration as a primary mode of disease acquisition. Am. J. Med. 95:16–22.
- Borazjani, R. N., L. L. May, J. A. Noble, S. V. Avery, and D. G. Ahearn. 2000. Flow cytometry for determination of the efficacy of contact lens disinfecting solutions against *Acanthamoeba sp.* Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:1057–1061.
- Bornstein, N., C. Vieilly, D. Marmet, M. Surgot, and J. Fleurette. 1985. Isolation of *Legionella anisa* from a hospital hot water system. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. 4:327–330.
- 33. Bottone, E. J., A. A. Perez, R. E. Gordon, and M. N. Qureshi. 1994. Differential binding capacity and internalisation of bacterial substrates as factors in growth rate of *Acanthamoeba* spp. J. Med. Microbiol. 40:148–154.
- 34. Boutros, N., N. Gonullu, A. Casetta, M. Guibert, D. Ingrand, and L. Leb-

run. 2002. Ralstonia pickettii traced in blood culture bottles. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40:2666–2667.

- Bozue, J. A., and W. Johnson. 1996. Interaction of *Legionella pneumophila* with *Acanthamoeba castellanii*: uptake by coiling phagocytosis and inhibition of phagosome-lysosome fusion. Infect. Immun. 64:668–673.
- 36. Brenner, D. J., D. G. Hollis, C. W. Moss, C. K. English, G. S. Hall, J. Vincent, J. Radosevic, K. A. Birkness, W. F. Bibb, F. D. Quinn, B. Swaminathan, R. E. Weaver, M. W. Reeves, S. P. O'Connor, P. S. Hayes, F. C. Tenover, A. G. Steigerwalt, B. A. Perkins, M. I. Daneshvar, B. C. Hill, J. A. Washington, S. B. Hunter, T. L. Hadfield, G. W. Ajello, A. F. Kaufmann, D. J. Wear, and J. D. Wenger. 1991. Proposal of *Afipia gennov.*, with *Afipia felis* sp. nov. (formerly the cat scratch disease bacillus), *Afipia clevelandensis* sp. nov., and three unnamed genospecies. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29:2450–2460.
- Brown, M. R., and J. Barker. 1999. Unexplored reservoirs of pathogenic bacteria: protozoa and biofilms. Trends Microbiol. 7:46–50.
- Büchner, P. 1953. Endosymbiose der Tiere mit Pflanzlichen Mikroorganismen. Gebundene Ausgabe, Basel, Switzerland.
- Byrne, B., and M. S. Swanson. 1998. Expression of *Legionella pneumophila* virulence traits in response to growth conditions. Infect. Immun. 66:3029– 3034.
- 39a.Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1998. Nosocomial Ralstonia pickettii colonization associated with intrinsically contaminated saline solution—Los Angeles, California, 1998. JAMA 279:1859.
- Chaiyanan, S., A. Huq, T. Maugel, and R. R. Colwell. 2001. Viability of the nonculturable Vibrio cholerae O1 and O139. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 24:331– 341.
- Chodimella, U., W. L. Hoppes, S. Whalen, A. J. Ognibene, and G. W. Rutecki. 1997. Septicemia and suppuration in a Vietnam veteran. Hosp. Pract. 32:219–221.
- Cianciotto, N. P., and B. S. Fields. 1992. Legionella pneumophila mip gene potentiates intracellular infection of protozoa and human macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:5188–5191.
- 43. Cirillo, J. D., S. L. Cirillo, L. Yan, L. E. Bermudez, S. Falkow, and L. S. Tompkins. 1999. Intracellular growth in *Acanthamoeba castellanii* affects monocyte entry mechanisms and enhances virulence of *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 67:4427–4434.
- Cirillo, J. D., S. Falkow, and L. S. Tompkins. 1994. Growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in *Acanthamoeba castellanii* enhances invasion. Infect. Immun. 62:3254–3261.
- Cirillo, J. D., S. Falkow, L. S. Tompkins, and L. E. Bermudez. 1997. Interaction of *Mycobacterium avium* with environmental amoebae enhances virulence. Infect. Immun. 65:3759–3767.
- Cirillo, S. L., L. E. Bermudez, S. H. El-Etr, G. E. Duhamel, and J. D. Cirillo. 2001. *Legionella pneumophila* entry gene rtxA is involved in virulence. Infect. Immun. 69:508–517.
- Cirillo, S. L., L. Yan, M. Littman, M. M. Samrakandi, and J. D. Cirillo. 2002. Role of the *Legionella pneumophila rtxA* gene in amoebae. Microbiology 148:1667–1677.
- Colwell, R. R. 1996. Global climate and infectious disease: the cholera paradigm. Science 274:2025–2031.
- Colwell, R. R. 2000. Viable but nonculturable bacteria: a survival strategy. J. Infect. Chemother. 6:121–125.
- Corsaro, D., D. Venditti, A. Le Faou, P. Guglielmetti, and M. Valassina. 2001. A new chlamydia-like 16s rDNA sequence from a clinical sample. Microbiology 147:515–516.
- Corsaro, D., D. Venditti, M. Padula, and M. Valassina. 1999. Intracellular life. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 25:39–79.
- Corsaro, D., D. Venditti, and M. Valassina. 2002. New parachlamydial 16S rDNA phylotypes detected in human clinical samples. Res. Microbiol. 153: 563–567.
- Cosson, P., L. Zulianello, O. Join-Lambert, F. Faurisson, L. Gebbie, M. Benghezal, C. Van Delden, L. K. Curty, and T. Kohler. 2002. Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence analyzed in a Dictyostelium discoideum host system. J. Bacteriol. 184:3027–3033.
- Dagan, R. 1996. Nonpolio enteroviruses and the febrile young infant: epidemiologic, clinical and diagnostic aspects. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 15:67–71.
- Danes, L., and L. Cerva. 1981. Survival of polioviruses and echoviruses in Acanthamoeba castellanii cultivated in vitro. J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol. 25:169–174.
- Das, S. K., and A. K. Mishra. 1996. Transposon mutagenesis affecting thiosulfate oxidation in *Bosea thiooxidans*, a new chemolithoheterotrophic bacterium. J. Bacteriol. 178:3628–3633.
- 57. Das, S. K., A. K. Mishra, B. J. Tindall, F. A. Rainey, and E. Stackebrandt. 1996. Oxidation of thiosulfate by a new bacterium, *Bosea thiooxidans* (strain BI-42) gen. nov., sp. nov.: analysis of phylogeny based on chemotaxonomy and 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 46:981–987.
- Dean, A. G., M. E. Melish, R. Hicks, and N. E. Palumbo. 1982. An epidemic of Kawasaki syndrome in Hawaii. J. Pediatr. 100:552–557.
- De Jonckheere, J. F. 1979. Pathogenic free-living amoebae in swimming pools: survey in Belgium. Ann. Microbiol. (Paris) 130B:205–212.
- 60. De Jonckheere, J. F. 1982. Hospital hydrotherapy pools treated with ultra

violet light: bad bacteriological quality and presence of thermophilic Naegleria. J. Hyg. 88:205-214.

- Doern, G. V. 2000. Detection of selected fastidious bacteria. Clin. Infect. Dis. 30:166–173.
- Donlan, R. M., and J. W. Costerton. 2002. Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microrganisms. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:167–193.
- Donzis, P. B., B. J. Mondino, B. A. Weissman, and D. A. Bruckner. 1989. Microbial analysis of contact lens care systems contaminated with *Acan-thamoeba*. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 108:53–56.
- Drancourt, M., P. Brouqui, and D. Raoult. 1997. Afipia clevelandensis antibodies and cross-reactivity with *Brucella* spp. and *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. 4:748–752.
- Dreses-Werringloer, U., I. Padubrin, B. Jurgens-Saathoff, A. P. Hudson, H. Zeidler, and L. Kohler. 2000. Persistence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* is induced by ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin in vitro. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44:3288–3297.
- Drozanski, W. 1956. Fatal bacterial infection in soil amoebae. Acta Microbiol. Pol. 5:315–317.
- Drozanski, W. J. 1991. Sacrobium lyticum gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligate intracellular bacterial parasite of small free-living amoebae. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 41:82–87.
- Dumler, J. S., K. M. Asanovich, J. S. Bakken, P. Richter, R. Kimsey, and J. E. Madigan. 1995. Serologic cross-reactions among *Ehrlichia aqui, Ehrlichia phagocytophila*, and human granulocytic ehrlichia. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:1098–1103.
- Edmiston, C. E., C. J. Krepel, and A. P. Walker. 1989. Recovery of *Mobiluncus curtisii* subspecies *holmesii* from mixed non-puerperal breast abscess. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 8:315–316.
- Ellis, J., P. C. Oyston, M. Green, and R. W. Titball. 2002. Tularemia. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:631–646.
- Essig, A., M. Heinemann, U. Simnacher, and R. Marre. 1997. Infection of Acanthamoeba castellanii by Chlamydia pneumoniae. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:1396–1399.
- 72. Everett, K. D., R. M. Bush, and A. A. Andersen. 1999. Emended description of the order *Chlamydiales*, proposal of *Parachlamydiaceae* fam. nov. and *Simkaniaceae* fam. nov., each containing one monotypic genus, revised taxonomy of the family *Chlamydiaceae*, including a new genus and five new species, and standards for the identification of organisms. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **49**:415–440.
- Fallon, R. J., and B. H. Stack. 1990. Legionnaires' disease due to Legionella anisa. J. Infect. 20:227–229.
- 74. Fernstersheib, M. D., M. Miller, C. Diggins, S. Liska, L. Detwiler, S. B. Werner, D. Lindqui, W. L. Thacker, and R. F. Benson. 1990. Outbreak of Pontiac fever due to *Legionella anisa*. Lancet 336:35–37.
- Fields, B. S., J. M. Barbaree, G. N. Sanden, and W. E. Morrill. 1990. Virulence of *Legionella anisa* strain associated with Pontiac fever: an evaluation using protozoan, cell culture, and guinea pig models. Infect. Immun. 58:3139–3142.
- 76. Frangolias, D. D., E. Mahenthiralingam, S. Rae, J. M. Raboud, A. G. Davidson, R. Wittmann, and P. G. Wilcox. 1999. *Burkholderia cepacia* in cystic fibrosis. Variable disease course. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 160: 1572–1577.
- 77. Fraser, D. W., T. R. Tsai, W. Orenstein, W. E. Parkin, H. J. Beecham, R. G. Sharrar, J. Harris, G. F. Mallison, S. M. Martin, J. E. McDade, C. C. Shepard, and P. S. Brachman. 1977. Legionnaires' disease. Description of an epidemic of pneumonia. New Engl. J. Med. 297:1189–1197.
- Friedman, M. G., B. Dvoskin, and S. Kahane. 2003. Infections with the chlamydia-like microorganism *Simkania negevensis*, a possible emerging pathogen. Microbes Infect. 5:1013–1021.
- Fritsche, T. R., R. K. Gautom, S. Seyedirashti, D. L. Bergeron, and T. D. Lindquist. 1993. Occurrence of bacterial endosymbionts in *Acanthamoeba* spp. isolated from corneal and environmental specimens and contact lenses. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31:1122–1126.
- Fritsche, T. R., M. Horn, S. Seyedirashti, R. K. Gautom, K. H. Schleifer, and M. Wagner. 1999. In situ detection of novel bacterial endosymbionts of *Acanthamoeba* spp. phylogenetically related to members of the order *Rickettsiales*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:206–212.
- Fritsche, T. R., D. Sobek, and R. K. Gautom. 1998. Enhancement of in vitro cytopathogenicity by *Acanthamoeba* spp. following acquisition of bacterial endosymbionts. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 166:231–236.
- Fry, N. K., T. J. Rowbotham, N. A. Saunders, and T. M. Embley. 1991. Direct amplification and sequencing of the 16S ribosomal DNA of an intracellular *Legionella* species recovered by amebal enrichment from the sputum of a patient with pneumonia. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 83:165–168.
- Gao, L. Y., and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2000. The mechanism of killing and exiting the protozoan host *Acanthamoeba polyphaga* by *Legionella pneumophila*. Environ. Microbiol. 2:79–90.
- Gao, L. Y., O. S. Harb, and Y. Abu Kwaik. 1997. Utilization of similar mechanisms by *Legionella pneumophila* to parasitize two evolutionarily distant host cells, mammalian macrophages and protozoa. Infect. Immun. 65:4738–4746.

- Garau, J., and L. Gomez. 2003. Pseudomonas aeruginosa pneumonia. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 16:135–143.
- Garrity, G. M., and J. G. Holt. 2001. Taxonomic outline of the Archaea and Bacteria. p. 155–166. *In* D. R. Boone and R. W. Castenholz. (ed.), Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. Springer Verlag, New York, N.Y.
- Gimenez, D. F. 1964. Staining rickettsiae in yolk-sac cultures. Stain Technol. 39:135–140.
- Gobbo, P. N., M. Strampfer, P. Schoch, and B. A. Cunha. 1986. Legionella micdadei pneumonia in normal hosts. Lancet ii:969.
- Goebel, W., and R. Gross. 2001. Intracellular survival strategies of mutualistic and parasitic prokaryotes. Trends Microbiol. 9:267–273.
- Goetz, A. M., J. E. Stout, S. L. Jacobs, M. A. Fisher, R. E. Ponzer, S. Drenning, and V. L. Yu. 1998. Nosocomial legionnaires' disease discovered in community hospitals following cultures of the water system: seek and ye shall find. Am. J. Infect. Control 26:8–11.
- Gomez-Garces, J. L., D. Balas, M. T. Merino, and A. J. Ignacio. 1994. Mobiluncus curtisii bacteremia following septic abortion. Clin. Infect. Dis. 19:1166–1167.
- Gonzalez, J. M., E. B. Sherr, and B. F. Sherr. 1990. Size-selective grazing on bacteria by natural assemblages of estuarine flagellates and ciliates. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56:583–589.
- Gray, M. W., G. Burger, and B. F. Lang. 1999. Mitochondrial evolution. Science 283:1476–1481.
- Greub, G., P. Berger, L. Papazian, and D. Raoult. 2003. Parachlamydiaceae as rare agents of pneumonia. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 9:755–756.
- Greub, G., I. Boyadjiev, B. La Scola, D. Raoult, and C. Martin. 2003. Serological hint suggesting that *Parachlamydiaceae* are agents of pneumonia in polytraumatized intensive-care patients. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 990: 311–319.
- Greub, G., B. La Scola, and D. Raoult. 2003. Parachlamydia acanthamoeba is endosymbiotic or lytic for Acanthamoeba polyphaga depending on the incubation temperature. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 990:628–634.
- Greub, G., J. L. Mege, and D. Raoult. 2003. Parachlamydia acanthamoeba enters and multiplies within human macrophages and induces their apoptosis. Infect. Immun. 71:5979–5985.
- Greub, G., and D. Raoult. 2002. Parachlamydiaceae: potential emerging pathogens. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 8:625–630.
- Greub, G., and D. Raoult. 2002. Crescent bodies of *Parachlamydia acan-thamoeba* and its life cycle within *Acanthamoeba polyphaga*: an electron micrograph study. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:3076–3084.
- Greub, G., and D. Raoult. 2003. *Rhodobacter massiliensis* sp. nov., a new amoebae-resistant species isolated from the nose of a patient. Res. Microbiol. 154:631–635.
- Greub, G., and D. Raoult. 2003. Biocides currently used for bronchoscope decontamination are poorly effective against free-living amoebae. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 24:784–786.
- 102. Greub, G., and D. Raoult. 2003. History of the ADP/ATP translocaseencoding gene: a parasitism gene transferred from a *Chlamydiles* ancestor to plants 1 billion years ago. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:5530–5535.
- Greub, G., and D. Raoult. 2003. Morphology of Legionella pneumophila according to their location within Hartmanella vermiformis. Res. Microbiol. 154:619–621.
- 104. Grimm, D., W. F. Ludwig, B. C. Brandt, R. Michel, K. H. Schleifer, J. Hacker, and M. Steinert. 2001. Development of 18S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes for specific detection of *Hartmannella* and *Naegleria* in *Legionella*-positive environmental samples. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 24:76–82.
- Hagele, S., R. Kohler, H. Merkert, M. Schleicher, J. Hacker, and M. Steinert. 2000. Dictyostelium discoideum: a new host model system for intracellular pathogens of the genus Legionella. Cell Microbiol. 2:165–171.
- Hall, J., and H. Voelz. 1985. Bacterial endosymbiont of *Acanthamoeba* sp. J. Parasitol. 71:89–95.
- Harb, O. S., and J. A. Kwaik. 2000. Interaction of *Legionella pneumophila* with protozoa provides lessons. ASM News 66:609–616.
- Hauer, G., A. Rogerson, and O. R. Anderson. 2001. Platyamoeba pseudovannellida n.sp., a naked amoeba with wide salt tolerance isolated from the Salton sea, California. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 48:663–669.
- 109. Hill, D. A., R. A. Seaton, F. M. Cameron, A. McLellan, R. Brown, and A. J. France. 1998. Severe sepsis caused by *Mobiluncus curtisii* subsp. *curtisii* in a previously healthy female: case report and review. J. Infect. 37:194–196.
- Hoebe, C. J., and J. L. Kool. 2000. Control of *Legionella* in drinking-water systems. Lancet 355:2093–2094.
- Hoffmann, R., and R. Michel. 2001. Distribution of free-living amoebae (FLA) during preparation and supply of drinking water. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 203:215–219.
- 112. Hookey, J. V., N. A. Saunders, N. K. Fry, R. J. Birtles, and T. G. Harrison. 1996. Phylogeny of *Legionellaceae* based on small-subunit ribosomal DNA sequences and proposal of *Legionella lytica* comb. nov. for *Legionella*-like amoebal pathogens. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 46:526–531.
- Horiuchi, T., T. Ohkusa, M. Watanabe, D. Kobayashi, H. Miwa, and Y. Eishi. 1902. *Helicobacter pylori* DNA in drinking water in Japan. Microbiol. Immunol. 45:515–519.
- 114. Horn, M., T. R. Fritsche, R. K. Gautom, K.-H. Schleifer, and M. Wagner.

1999. Novel bacteria endosymbionts of *Acanthamoeba* spp. related to the *Paramecium caudatum* symbiont *Caedibacter caryophilus*. Environ. Microbiol. **1**:357–367.

- 115. Horn, M., T. R. Fritsche, T. Linner, R. K. Gautom, M. D. Harzenetter, and M. Wagner. 2002. Obligate bacterial endosymbionts of *Acanthamoeba* spp. related to the beta-Proteobacteria: proposal of "*Candidatus Procabacter* acanthamoebae' gen. nov., sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 52:599–605.
- 116. Horn, M., M. D. Harzenetter, T. Linner, E. N. Schmid, K. D. Muller, R. Michel, and M. Wagner. 2001. Members of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides phylum as intracellular bacteria of acanthamoebae: proposal of 'Candidatus Amoebophilus asiaticus'. Environ. Microbiol. 3:440–449.
- 117. Horn, M., and M. Wagner. 2001. Dasein im Verborgenen: die Bakterien der Acanthamöben. Biol. Unserer Zeit **31**:160–168.
- 118. Horn, M., M. Wagner, K. D. Müller, E. N. Schnid, T. R. Fritsche, K. H. Schleifer, and R. Michel. 2000. Neochlamydia hartmannellae gen. nov. sp. nov. (*Parachlamydiaceae*), an endoparasite of the amoeba *Hartmannella vermiformis*. Microbiology 146:1231–1239.
- Horwitz, M. A. 1983. Formation of a novel phagosome by the legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneumophila*) in human monocytes. J. Exp. Med. 158:1319–1331.
- Horwitz, M. A. 1983. The Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneu-mophila*) inhibits phagosome-lysosome fusion in human monocytes. J. Exp. Med. 158:2108–2126.
- Horwitz, M. A., and S. C. Silverstein. 1980. Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneumophila*) multiples intracellularly in human monocytes. J. Clin. Investig. 66:441–450.
- Houpikian, P., and D. Raoult. 2002. Traditional and molecular techniques for the study of emerging bacterial diseases: one laboratory's perspective. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 8:122–131.
- Hughes, A. L. 2002. Adaptive evolution after gene duplication. Trends Genet. 18:433–434.
- Hughes, R., and S. Kilvington. 2001. Comparison of hydrogen peroxide contact lens disinfection systems and solutions against *Acanthamoeba polyphaga*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45:2038–2043.
- Huiten, K., H. Enroth, T. Nystrom, and L. Engstrand. 1998. Presence of Helicobacter species DNA in Swedish water. J. Appl. Microbiol. 85:282–286.
- 126. Inglis, T. J., S. C. Garrow, C. Adams, M. Henderson, M. Mayo, and B. J. Currie. 1999. Acute melioidosis outbreak in Western Australia. Epidemiol. Infect. 123:437–443.
- 127. Inglis, T. J. J., P. Rigby, A. T. Robertson, N. S. Dutton, M. Henderson, and B. J. Chang. 2000. Interaction between *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *Acanthamoeba* species results in coiling phagocytosis, endamebic bacterial survival, and escape. Infect. Immun. 68:1681–1686.
- 128. Iyer, L. M., L. Aravind, and E. V. Koonin. 2001. Common origin of four diverse families of large eukaryotic DNA viruses. J. Virol. 75:11720–11734.
- 129. Jadin, J. B. 1975. Amibes limax: vecteurs possibles de Mycobactéries et de M. leprae. Acta Leprol. 59:57–67.
- Jerris, R. C., and R. L. Regnery. 1996. Will the real agent of cat-scratch disease please stand up? Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 50:707–725.
- John, T., D. Desai, and D. Sahm. 1989. Adherence of Acanthamoeba castellanii cysts and trophozoites to unworn soft contact lenses. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 108:658–664.
- 132. Jones, A. L., T. J. Beveridge, and D. E. Woods. 1996. Intracellular survival of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Infect. Immun. 64:782–790.
- 133. Kahane, S., B. Dvoskin, M. Mathias, and M. G. Friedman. 2001. Infection of Acanthamoeba polyphaga with Simkania negevensis and S. negevensis survival within amoebal cysts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:4789–4795.
- 134. Kahane, S., D. Greenberg, M. G. Friedman, H. Haikin, and R. Dagan. 1998. High prevalence of "simkania Z," a novel *Chlamydia*-like bacterium, in infants with acute bronchiolitis. J. Infect. Dis. 177:1425–1429.
- 135. Kilvington, S., and J. Price. 1990. Survival of *Legionella pneumophila* within cysts of *Acanthamoeba polyphaga* following chlorine exposure. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 68:519–525.
- 136. King, C. H., B. S. Fields, E. B. J. Shotts, and E. H. White. 1991. Effects of cytochalasin D and methylamine on intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in amoebae and human monocyte-like cells. Infect. Immun. 59: 758–763.
- 137. King, C. H., E. B. Shotts, R. E. Wooley, and K. G. Porter. 1988. Survival of coliforms and bacterial pathogens within protozoa during chlorination. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54:3023–3033.
- Kingston, D., and D. C. Warhurst. 1969. Isolation of amoebae from the air. J. Med. Microbiol. 2:27–36.
- Kirby, J. E., J. P. Vogel, H. L. Andrews, and R. R. Isberg. 1998. Evidence for pore-forming ability by *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 27:323– 336.
- 140. Knirsch, C. A., K. Jakob, D. Schoonmaker, J. A. Kiehlbauch, S. J. Wong, P. Della-Latta, S. Whittier, M. Layton, and B. Scully. 2000. An outbreak of *Legionella micdadei* pneumonia in transplant patients: evaluation, molecular epidemiology, and control. Am. J. Med. 108:290–295.
- 141. Krishna-Prasad, B. N., and S. K. Gupta. 1978. Preliminary report on engulfment and retention of mycobacteria by trophozoites of axenically grown Acanthamoeba castellanii Douglas. Curr. Sci. 47:245–247.

- 142. Kugler, J. W., J. O. Armitage, C. M. Helms, L. W. Klassen, N. E. Goeken, G. B. Ahmann, R. D. Gingrich, W. Johnson, and M. J. Gilchrist. 1983. Nosocomial legionnaires' disease. Occurrence in recipients of bone marrow transplants. Am. J. Med. 74:281–288.
- 143. Kurtz, J. B., C. L. Bartlett, U. A. Newton, R. A. White, and N. L. Jones. 1982. Legionella pneumophila in cooling water systems. J. Hyg. 88:369–381.
- 144. Kyle, D. E., and G. P. Noblet. 1986. Seasonal distribution of thermotolerant free-living amoebae. I. Willard's Pond. J. Protozool. 33:422–434.
- 145. Kyle, D. E., and G. P. Noblet. 1987. Seasonal distribution of thermotolerant free-living amoebae. II. Lake Issaqueena. J. Protozool. 34:10–15.
- 146. Labarca, J. A., W. E. Trick, C. L. Peterson, L. A. Carson, S. C. Holt, M. J. Arduino, M. Meylan, L. Mascola, and W. R. Jarvis. 1999. A multistate nosocomial outbreak of *Ralstonia pickettii* colonization associated with an intrinsically contaminated respiratory care solution. Clin. Infect. Dis. 29: 1281–1286.
- LaBelle, R. L., and C. P. Gerba. 1980. Influence of estuarine sediment on virus survival under field conditions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 39:749–755.
- 148. Landers, P., K. G. Kerr, T. J. Rowbotham, J. L. Tipper, P. M. Keig, E. Ingham, and M. Denton. 2000. Survival and growth of *Burkholderia cepacia* within the free-living amoeba *Acanthamoeba polyphaga*. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 19:121–123.
- 149. La Scola, B., S. Audic, C. Robert, L. Jungang, L. de, X, M. Drancourt, R. Birtles, J. M. Claverie, and D. Raoult. 2003. A giant virus in amoebae. Science 299:2033.
- 150. La Scola, B., L. Barrassi, and D. Raoult. 2000. Isolation of new fastidious a Proteobacteria and *Afipia felis* from hospital water supplies by direct plating and amoebal co-culture procedures. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 34:129– 137.
- 151. La Scola, B., R. Birtles, G. Greub, T. G. Harrison, R. M. Ratcliff, and D. Raoult. Proposal of *Legionella drancourtii* sp. nov., a strict intracellular amoebal pathogen. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., in press.
- 152. La Scola, B., I. Boyadjiev, G. Greub, A. Khemis, C. Martin, and D. Raoult. 2003. Amoeba-resisting bacteria and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 9:815–821.
- 153. La Scola, B., M. N. Mallet, P. A. Grimont, and D. Raoult. 2002. Description of *Afipia birgiae* sp. nov. and *Afipia massiliensis* sp. nov. and recognition of *Afipia felis* genospecies A. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 52:1773–1782.
- 154. La Scola, B., M. N. Mallet, P. A. D. Grimont, and D. Raoult. 2003. Bosea eneae sp. nov., Bosea massiliensis sp. nov. and Bosea vestrisii sp. nov. isolated from hospital water supplies, and emendation of the genus Bosea (Das et al. 1996). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53:15–20.
- 155. La Scola, B., L. Mezi, J. P. Auffray, Y. Berland, and D. Raoult. 2002. Patients in the intensive care unit are exposed to amoeba-associated pathogens. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 23:462–465.
- La Scola, B., L. Mezi, P. J. Weiller, and D. Raoult. 2001. Isolation of Legionella anisa using an amoebal coculture procedure. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39:365–366.
- 157. La Scola, B., and D. Raoult. 1999. *Afipia felis* in hospital water supply in association with free-living amoebae. Lancet **353**:1330.
- La Scola, B., and D. Raoult. 2001. Survival of *Coxiella burnetii* within freeliving amoeba *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 7:75–79.
- Lieberman, D., S. Kahane, and M. G. Friedman. 1997. Pneumonia with serological evidence of acute infection with the *Chlamydia*-like microorganism "Z." Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care. Med. 156:578–582.
- 160. Lo, P. F., S. Riffard, C. Neyret, M. Celard, F. Vandenesch, and J. Etienne. 1998. First isolation in Europe of *Legionella feeleii* from two cases of pneumonia. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 17:64–66.
- Lo, S., J. Gilbert, and F. Hetrick. 1976. Stability of human enteroviruses in estuarine and marine waters. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 32:245–249.
- 162. Lührmann, A., K. Streker, A. Schüttfort, and A. Haas. 2001. Afipia felis induces uptake by macrophages directly into a nonendocytic compartment. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98:7271–7276.
- 163. Ly, T. M. C., and H. E. Müller. 1990. Ingested *Listeria monocytogenes* survive and multiply in protozoa. J. Med. Microbiol. 33:51–54.
- 164. Ma, P., G. S. Visvevara, A. J. Martinez, F. H. Theodore, P. M. Daggett, and T. K. Sawyer. 1990. Naegleria and Acanthomoeba infections: review. Rev. Infect. Dis. 12:490–513.
- 165. Mahoney, F. J., C. W. Hoge, T. A. Farley, J. M. Barbaree, R. F. Breiman, R. F. Benson, and L. M. McFarland. 1992. Communitywide outbreak of Legionnaires' disease associated with a grocery store mist machine. J. Infect. Dis. 165:736–739.
- Marciano-Cabral, F., R. Puffenbarger, and G. A. Cabral. 2000. The increasing importance of *Acanthamoeba* infections. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 47:29– 36.
- Margulis, L., and M. J. Chapman. 1998. Endosymbioses: cyclical and permanent in evolution. Trends Microbiol. 6:342–345.
- 168. Marolda, C. L., B. Hauroder, M. A. John, R. Michel, and M. A. Valvano. 1999. Intracellular survival and saprophytic growth of isolates from the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex in free-living amoebae. Microbiology 145: 1509–1517.
- 169. Maroye, P., H. P. Doermann, A. M. Rogues, J. P. Gachie, and F. Megraud.

2000. Investigation of an outbreak of *Ralstonia pickettii* in a paediatric hospital by RAPD. J. Hosp. Infect. **44**:267–272.

- 170. Marrie, T. J., D. Raoult, B. La Scola, R. J. Birtles, and E. de Carolis. 2001. Legionella-like and other amoebal pathogens as agents of community-acquired pneumonia. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7:1026–1029.
- 171. Marshall, M. M., D. Naumovitz, Y. Ortega, and C. R. Sterling. 1997. Waterbone protozoan pathogens. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 10:67–85.
- Maurin, M., A. M. Benoliel, P. Bongrand, and D. Raoult. 1992. Phagolysosomes of *Coxiella burnetii*-infected cell lines maintain an acidic pH during persistent infection. Infect. Immun. 60:5013–5016.
- 173. Mazari-Hiriart, M., Y. Lopez-Vidal, and J. J. Calva. 2001. *Helicobacter pylori* in water systems for human use in Mexico City. Water Sci. Technol. 43:93–98.
- 174. McNally, C., B. Hackman, B. S. Fields, and J. F. Plouffe. 2000. Potential importance of *Legionella* species as etiologies in community acquired pneumonia (CAP). Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 38:79–82.
- 175. Mege, J. L., M. Maurin, C. Capo, and D. Raoult. 1997. Coxiella burnetii: the "query" fever bacterium a model of immune subversion by a strictly intracellular microorganism. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 19:209–217.
- Mermel, L. A., S. L. Josephson, C. H. Giorgio, J. Dempsey, and S. Parenteau. 1995. Association of Legionnaires' disease with construction: contamination of potable water? Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 16:76–81.
- 177. Merriam, J. J., R. Mathur, R. Maxfield-Boumil, and R. R. Isberg. 1997. Analysis of the *Legionella pneumophila* fiil gene: intracellular growth of a defined mutant defective for flagellum biosynthesis. Infect. Immun. 65: 2497–2501.
- 178. Michel, R., H. Burghardt, and H. Bergmann. 1995. Acanthamoeba, naturally intracellularly infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, after their isolation from a microbiologically contaminated drinking water system in a hospital. Zentralbl, Hyg. Umweltmed. **196:**532–544. (In German.)
- 179. Michel, R., B. Hauröder-Philippczyk, K. D. Muller, and I. Weishaar. 1994. Acanthamoeba from human nasal mucosa infected with an obligate intracellular parasite. Eur. J. Protistol. 30:104–110.
- Michel, R., and B. Hauröder. 1997. Isolation of an Acanthamoeba strain with intracellular Burkholderia pickettii infection. Zentbl. Bakteriol. 285: 541–557.
- 181. Michel, R., K. D. Muller, R. Amann, and E. N. Schmid. 1998. Legionellalike slender rods multiplying within a strain of Acanthamoeba sp. isolated from drinking water. Parasitol. Res. 84:84–88.
- Michel, R., K. D. Muller, and E. N. Schmid. 1995. *Ehrlichia*-like organisms (KSL1) observed as obligate intracellular parasites of *Saccamoeba* species. Endocytobiosis Cell Res. 11:69–80.
- 183. Miltner, E. C., and L. E. Bermudez. 2000. Mycobacterium avium grown in Acanthamoeba castellanii is protected from the effects of antimicrobials. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44:1990–1994.
- Misra, D. P., L. F. Harris, and W. J. Shasteen. 1987. Legionella feeleii pneumonia. South Med. J. 80:1063–1064.
- 185. Molmeret, M., O. A. Alli, S. Zink, A. Flieger, N. P. Cianciotto, and Y. A. Kwaik. 2002. icmT is essential for pore formation-mediated egress of *Legionella pneumophila* from mammalian and protozoan cells. Infect. Immun. 70:69–78.
- 186. Murga, R., T. S. Forster, E. Brown, J. M. Pruckler, B. S. Fields, and R. M. Donlan. 2001. Role of biofilms in the survival of *Legionella pneumophila* in a model potable-water system. Microbiology 147:3121–3126.
- 187. Müller, K. D., E. N. Schmid, and R. Michel. 1999. Intracellular bacteria of Acanthamoebae resembling *Legionella* spp. turned out to be *Cytophaga* sp. Zentbl. Bakteriol. 289:389–397.
- 188. Nacapunchai, D., H. Kino, C. Ruangsitticha, P. Sriwichai, P. Ishih, and M. Terada. 2001. A brief survey of free-living amebae in Thailand and Hamamatsu district, Japon. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health 32:179–182.
- Ochman, H., J. G. Lawrence, and E. A. Groisman. 2000. Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial innovation. Nature 405:299–304.
- Ossewaarde, J. M., and A. Meijer. 1999. Molecular evidence for the existence of additional members of the order *Chlamydiales*. Microbiology 145: 411–417
- Page, F. C. 1976. An illustrated key to freshwater and soil amoebae. Titus Wilson & Son, Ltd., Ambleside, England.
- 192. Park, S. R., W. G. Mackay, and D. C. Reid. 2001. *Helicobacter* sp. recovered from drinking water biofilm sampled from a water distribution system. Water Res. 35:1624–1626.
- Penland, R. and K. R. Wilhemus. 1997. Comparison of axenic and monoxenic media for isolation of *Acanthamoeba*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35:915–922.
- Polesky, A. H., J. T. Ross, S. Falkow, and L. S. Tompkins. 2001. Identification of *Legionella pneumophila* genes important for infection of amoebas by signature-tagged mutagenesis. Infect. Immun. 69:977–987.
- Pond, F. R., I. Gibson, J. Lalucat, and R. L. Quackenbush. 1989. R-bodyproducing bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 53:25–67.
- 196. Pruckler, J. M., R. F. Benson, M. Moyenuddin, W. T. Martin, and B. S. Fields. 1995. Association of flagellum expression and intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 63:4928–4932.
- 197. Pukatzki, S., R. H. Kessin, and J. J. Mekalanos. 2002. The human pathogen

Pseudomonas aeruginosa utilizes conserved virulence pathways to infect the social amoeba Dictyostelium discoideum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 3159–3164.

- 198. Qureshi, M. N., A. A. Perez, R. M. Madayag, and E. J. Bottone. 1993. Inhibition of *Acanthamoeba* species by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: rationale for their selective exclusion in corneal ulcers and contact lens care systems. J. Clin. Microbiol. **31**:1908–1910.
- 199. Rikihisa, Y. 1991. Cross reacting antigens between *Neorickettsia helmin-thoeca* and *Ehrlichia* species, shown by immunofluorescence and Western immunoblotting. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29:2024–2029.
- 200. Rivera, F., L. Cerva, J. Martinez, G. Keleti, F. Lares, E. Ramirez, P. Bonilla, S. R. Graner, A. K. Saha, and R. H. Glew. 1990. *Naegleria lovaniensis tarasca* new subspecies, and the purepecha strain, a morphological variant of *N. l. lovaniensis*, isolated from natural thermal waters in Mexico. J. Protozool. 37:301–310.
- Rivera, F., F. Lares, E. Gallegos, E. Ramirez, P. Bonilla, A. Calderon, J. J. Martinez, S. Rodriguez, and J. Alcocer. 1989. Pathogenic amoebae in natural thermal waters of three resorts of Hidalgo, Mexico. Environ. Res. 50:289–295.
- 202. Rivera, F., F. Lares, E. Ramirez, P. Bonilla, S. Rodriguez, A. Labastida, R. Ortiz, and D. Hernandez. 1991. Pathogenic *Acanthamoeba* isolated during an atmospheric survey in Mexico city. Rev. Infect. Dis. 13:S388–S389
- 203. Rivera, F., G. Roy-Ocotla, I. Rosas, E. Ramirez, P. Bonilla, and F. Lares. 1987. Amoeba isolated from the atmosphere of Mexico city and environs. Environ. Res. 42:149–154.
- Rodriguez-Zaragoza, S. 1994. Ecology of free-living amoebae. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 20:225–241.
- Rodriguez-Zaragoza, S., F. Rivera, P. Bonilla, E. Ramirez, E. Gallegos, A. Calderon, R. Ortiz, and D. Hernandez. 1993. Amoebological study of the atmosphere of San Luis Potosi, SLP, Mexico. J. Exp. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol. 3:229–241.
- 206. Rohr, U., S. Weber, R. Michel, F. Selenka, and M. Wilhelm. 1998. Comparison of free-living amoebae in hot water systems of hospitals with isolates from moist sanitary areas by identififying genera and determining temperature tolerance. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:1822–1824.
- Rohr, U., S. Weber, F. Selenka, and M. Wilhelm. 2000. Impact of silver and copper on the survival of amoebae and ciliated protozoa in vitro. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 203:87–89.
- Rotbart, H. A. 1995. Enteroviral infections of the central nervous system. Clin. Infect. Dis. 20:971–981.
- Rowbotham, T. J. 1980. Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of *Legio-nella pneumophila* for freshwater and soil amoebae. J. Clin. Pathol. 33: 1179–1183.
- Rowbotham, T. J. 1983. Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* from clinical specimens via amoebae, and the interaction of those and other isolates with amoebae. J. Clin. Pathol. 36:978–986.
- Rowbotham, T. J. 1986. Current views on the relationships between amoebae, legionellae and man. Isr. J. Med. Sci. 22:678–689.
- 212. Rowbotham, T. J. 1993. Legionella-like amoebal pathogens, p. 137–140. In J. M. Barabree, R. F. Breiman, and A. P. Dufour. (ed.), Legionella: current status and emerging perspectives. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Rowbotham, T. J. 1998. Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 1 from human feces with use of amebic cocultures. Clin. Infect. Dis. 26:502– 503.
- 214. Sanden, G. N., W. E. Morrill, B. S. Fields, R. F. Breiman, and J. M. Barbaree. 1992. Incubation of water samples containing amoebae improves detection of legionellae by the culture method. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:2001–2004.
- 215. Scaglia, M., M. Strosselli, V. Grazioli, S. Gatti, A. M. Bernuzzi, and J. De Jonckheere. 1983. Isolation and identification of pathogenic *Naegleria australiensis* (Amoebida, Vahlkampfidae) from a spa in northern Italy. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **46**:1282–1285.
- 216. Schmidt, H. J., H. D. Gortz, F. R. Pond, and R. L. Quackenbush. 1988. Characterization of *Caedibacter* endonucleobionts from the macronucleus of *Paramecium caudatum* and the identification of a mutant with blocked R-body synthesis. Exp. Cell Res. **174**:49–57.
- Schuster, F. L. 2002. Cultivation of pathogenic and opportunistic free-living amebas. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:342–354.
- Schwebke, J. R., and L. F. Lawing. 2001. Prevalence of *Mobiluncus* spp. among women with and without bacterial vaginosis as detected by polymerase chain reaction. Sex. Transm. Dis. 28:195–199.
- Scott, G. H., and J. C. Williams. 1990. Susceptibility of *Coxiella burnetii* to chemical disinfectants. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 590:291–296.
- Segal, G., and H. A. Shuman. 1999. Legionella pneumophila utilizes the same genes to multiply within Acanthamoeba castellanii and human macrophages. Infect. Immun. 67:2117–2124.
- 221. Solomon, J. M., and R. R. Isberg. 2000. Growth of Legionella pneumophila in Dictyostelium discoideum: a novel system for genetic analysis of hostpathogen interactions. Trends Microbiol. 8:478–480.
- 222. Solomon, J. M., A. Rupper, J. A. Cardelli, and R. R. Isberg. 2000. Intracellular growth of Legionella pneumophila in Dictyostelium discoideum, a

system for genetic analysis of hot-pathogen interactions. Infect. Immun. 68:2939-2947.

- 223. Steenbergen, J. N., H. A. Shuman, and A. Casadevall. 2001. Cryptococcus neoformans interactions with amoebae suggest an explanation for its virulence and intracellular pathogenic strategy in macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98:15245–15250.
- 224. Steinert, M., K. Birkness, E. White, B. Fields, and F. Quinn. 1998. Mycobacterium avium bacilli grow saprozoically in coculture with Acanthamoeba polyphaga and survive within cyst walls. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:2256– 2261.
- 225. Steinert, M., L. Emody, R. Amann, and J. Hacker. 1997. Resuscitation of viable but nonculturable *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia JR32 by *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:2047–2053.
- 226. Stone, B. J., A. Brier, and Y. A. Kwaik. 1999. The *Legionella pneumophila prp* locus, required during infection of macrophages and amoebae. Microb. Pathog. 27:369–376.
- 227. Stout, J. E., and V. L. Yu. 1997. Legionellosis. N. Engl. J. Med. 337:682-687.
- Stout, J. E., V. L. Yu, and M. G. Best. 1985. Ecology of Legionella pneumophila within water distribution systems. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 49: 221–228.
- 229. Stout, J. E., V. L. Yu, P. Muraca, J. Joly, N. Troup, and L. S. Tompkins. 1992. Potable water as a cause of sporadic cases of community-acquired Legionnaires' diseases. N. Engl. J. Med. 326:151–155.
- Swanson, M. S., and B. K. Hammer. 2000. Legionella pneumophila pathogenesis: a fateful journey from amoebae to macrophages. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 54:567–613.
- Swanson, M. S., and R. R. Isberg. 1995. Association of Legionella pneumophila with the macrophage endoplasmic reticulum. Infect. Immun. 63:3609– 3620.
- 232. Swinburn, C. R., F. K. Gould, P. A. Corris, T. L. Hooper, N. J. Odom, R. Freeman, and C. G. McGregor. 1988. Opportunistic pneumonia caused by *Legionella bozemanii*. Lancet i:472.
- Szénasi, Z., E. K. Yagita, and E. Nagy. 1998. Isolation, identification and increasing importance of "free-living" ameobae causing human disease. J. Med. Microbiol. 47:5–16.
- Tablan, O. C., W. J. Martone, and W. R. Jarvis. 1987. The epidemiology of *Pseudomonas cepacia* in patients with cystic fibrosis. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 3:336–342.
- 235. Thacker, W. L., R. F. Benson, L. Hawes, W. R. Mayberry, and D. J. Brenner. 1990. Characterization of a *Legionella anisa* strain isolated from a patient with pneumonia. J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:122–123.
- 236. Thacker, W. L., H. W. Wilkinson, R. F. Benson, S. C. Edberg, and D. J. Brenner. 1988. *Legionella jordanis* isolated from a patient with fatal pneumonia. J. Clin. Microbiol. 26:1400–1401.
- 237. Thom, S., D. Warhurst, and B. S. Drasar. 1992. Association of Vibrio cholerae with fresh water amoebae. J. Med. Microbiol. 36:303–306.
- Thomas, E., N. K. Gupta, N. G. van der Westhuizen, E. Chan, and K. Bernard. 1992. Fatal *Legionella maceachernii* pneumonia in Canada. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:1578–1579.
- 239. Tiangpitayakorn, C., S. Songsivilai, N. Piyasangthong, and T. Dharakul. 1997. Speed of detection of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in blood cultures and its correlation with the clinical outcome. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 57:96–99.
- Tissot-Dupont, H., S. Torres, M. Nezri, and D. Raoult. 1999. Hyperendemic focus of Q fever related to sheep and wind. Am. J. Epidemiol. 150:67–74.
- 241. Tomov, A. T., E. D. Tsvetkova, I. A. Tomova, L. I. Michailova, and V. K. Kassovski. 1999. Persistence and multiplication of obligate anaerobe bacteria in ameobae under aerobic conditions. Anaerobe 5:19–23.
- 242. Visvervara, G. S. 1995. Pathogenic and opportunistic free-living amebae, p. 1196–1203. *In* P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F. C. Tenover, and R. H. Yolken. (ed.), Manual of clinical microbiology, 6th ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 243. Wang, X., and D. G. Ahearn. 1997. Effect of bacteria on survival and growth of Acanthamoeba castellanii. Curr. Microbiol. 34:212–215.
- 244. Weekers, P. H., P. E. Bodelier, J. P. Wijen, and G. D. Vogels. 1993. Effects of grazing by the free-living soil amoebae *Acanthamoeba castellanii, Acanthamoeba polyphaga*, and *Hartmanella vermiformis* on various bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:2317–2319.
- Weisburg, W. G., C. R. Woese, M. E. Dobson, and E. Weiss. 1985. A common origin of rickettsiae and certain plant pathogens. Science 230:556– 558.
- 246. Winiecka-Krusnell, J., and E. Linder. 1999. Free-living amoebae protecting Legionella in water: the tip of an iceberg? Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 31:383–385.
- Winiecka-Krusnell, J. and E. Linder. 2001. Bacterial infections of freeliving amoebae. Res. Microbiol. 152:613–619.
- Winiecka-Krusnell, J., K. Wreiber, A. von Euler, L. Engstrand, and E. Linder. 2002. Free-living amoebae promote growth and survival of *Helicobacter pylori*. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 34:253–256.
- 249. Woo, P. C., S. S. Wong, and K. Y. Yuen. 2002. Ralstonia pickettii bacteraemia in a cord blood transplant recipient. New Microbiol. 25:97–102.
- Zanetti, S., P. L. Fiori, A. Pinna, S. Usai, F. Carta, and G. Fadda. 1995. Susceptibility of *Acanthamoeba castellanii* to contact lens disinfecting solutions. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:1596–1598.