

Published in final edited form as:

Medchemcomm. 2013 ; 4(10): . doi:10.1039/C3MD00201B.

Novel sphingosine-containing analogues selectively inhibit sphingosine kinase (SK) isozymes, induce SK1 proteasomal degradation and reduce DNA synthesis in human pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells

Hoe-Sup Byun^a, Susan Pyne^b, Neil MacRitchie^b, Nigel J. Pyne^b, and Robert Bittman^a

Robert Bittman: robert.bittman@qc.cuny.edu

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Queens College, The City University of New York, Flushing, NY 11367-1597, USA. Tel: +1 718-997-3279

^bCell Biology Group, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Science, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0RE, UK

Abstract

Sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) is involved in hyper-proliferative diseases such as cancer and pulmonary arterial hypertension. We have synthesized inhibitors that are selective for the two isoforms of sphingosine kinase (SK1 and SK2) that catalyze the synthesis of S1P. A thiourea adduct of sphinganine (**F02**) is selective for SK2 whereas the 1-deoxysphinganine **55-21** and **77-7** are selective for SK1. (2*S*,3*R*)-1-Deoxysphinganine (**55-21**) induced the proteasomal degradation of SK1 in human pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells and inhibited DNA synthesis, while the more potent SK1 inhibitors **PF-543** and **VPC96091** failed to inhibit DNA synthesis. These findings indicate that moderate potency inhibitors such as **55-21** are likely to have utility in unraveling the functions of SK1 in inflammatory and hyperproliferative disorders.

Introduction

Sphingosine kinase (SK), which catalyzes the conversion of sphingosine (Sph) to sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P), exists as two isoforms, SK1 and SK2. The isoforms are encoded by distinct genes and differ in their biochemical properties, subcellular localization, and function.¹ There is accumulating evidence that SK1 is involved in hyperproliferative diseases; for instance, SK1 mRNA transcript and/or protein expression are increased in various human tumors.² Moreover, siRNA knockdown of SK1 reduces proliferation of glioblastoma cells³ and androgen-independent PC-3 prostate cancer cells.⁴ Sustained hypoxia increases SK1 expression in proliferating human pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells (PASMC), which might contribute to their increased survival⁵ and vascular remodeling in pulmonary arterial hypertension. There is also evidence that SK2 may play an important role in cancer. For example, siRNA knockdown of SK2 enhances doxorubicin-induced apoptosis of breast or colon cancer cells⁶ and reduces cancer cell proliferation and migration/invasion.⁷

As SK1 and SK2 are potential and promising targets for cancer chemoprevention, a number of SK inhibitors have been prepared in order to reduce cancer cell survival but only very few have been found to be isoform selective. For example, (2*R*,3*S*,4*E*)-*N*-methyl-5-(4'

pentylphenyl)-2-amino-4-pentene-1,3-diol (commonly referred to as SK1-I and BML-258) is a selective SK1 inhibitor that enhances the survival of mice in an orthotopic intracranial tumor model.⁸ An analogue of the oral multiple sclerosis drug FTY720 (Gilenya™), (2*R*)-2-amino-2-(methoxymethyl)-4-(4'-*n*-octylphenyl)butan-1-ol ((*R*)-FTY720-OMe, ROME), is a selective, enantioselective, competitive (with Sph) inhibitor of SK2.⁹ Treatment of MCF-7 breast cancer cells with ROME abrogates the enrichment of actin into lamellipodia in response to S1P.⁹ Another SK2-selective inhibitor is the nonlipid molecule 4-pyridinemethyl 3-(4'-chlorophenyl)-adamantane-1-carboxamide (ABC294640), which is also a competitive (with Sph) inhibitor of SK2 activity. ABC294640 reduces formation of intracellular S1P in cancer cells and prevents tumor progression in mice with mammary adenocarcinoma xenografts.¹⁰ The K_i values for inhibition of SK2 by (*R*)-FTY720-OMe and ABC294640 are very similar (16.5 μ M vs 10 μ M, respectively).^{9,10} Recently, 3-(2-aminoethyl)-5-[3-(4-butoxyphenyl)-propylidene]-thiazolidine-2,4-dione (K145) was identified as a selective inhibitor of SK2.¹¹ This compound reduced S1P levels, inhibited growth and suppressed ERK/AKT signaling in U937 cells and inhibited tumor growth *in vivo*.¹¹

Diastereomers of diverse saturated sphingoid bases such as sphinganine,¹² safingol (L-*threo*-sphinganine, the first putative SK1 inhibitor to enter a phase I trial),¹³ fumonisin B1,¹⁴ spisulosine ((2*S*,3*R*)-1-deoxysphinganine, ES-285),¹⁵ enigmols (1-deoxy-3,5-dihydroxysphinganine),¹⁶ and phytosphingosine (PHS)¹⁷ were found to disrupt the normal biosynthesis of various signaling sphingolipids and to possess pro-apoptotic properties via multiple mechanisms in numerous cell types. In previous work, we showed that *N*-phenethylisothiocyanate derivatives of sphingosine and sphinganine have a higher cytotoxic activity to HL-60 leukemic cells than sphinganine and safingol.¹⁸ These findings suggest that adducts of synthetic saturated sphingoid bases may be putative inhibitors of either or both SK isoforms. In this communication, we report the synthesis of a series of saturated D-*erythro* long-chain bases and an assessment of their ability to inhibit the two isoforms of SK. Our data have identified new isoform-selective SK inhibitors, of which **55-21** is also able to induce proteasomal degradation of SK1 and reduce DNA synthesis in human pulmonary smooth muscle cells (PASMC).

Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the structures of the 1-deoxysphingoid bases **55-21**, **55-22**, **77-7**, and **77-13**; the thiourea-sphinganine bases **F01** and **F02**; the 4-sphingenine (sphingosine) adducts **67-320** and **67-330**; the thiourea adduct of 2-*epi*-pachastrissamine¹⁹ **67-341**; and the thiourea-PHS derivatives **67-301**, **67-306**, **67-310**, and the urea-PHS derivative **67-311**.

Scheme 1 outlines the preparation of 1-deoxysphinganine analogues **55-21** and **55-22** via cyclic sulfate intermediates of (2*S*,3*R*)-2-azidosphinganine. Azidoester **1** was prepared by asymmetric dihydroxylation of ethyl octadecenoate using AD-mix- β ((DHQD)₂PHAL), followed by conversion to a cyclic sulfate intermediate and regioselective azidation with sodium azide in aqueous acetone as described previously.²⁰ Reduction of ester **1** with NaBH₄ gave 2-azido-1,3-diol **2**, which was converted to the 2-azido-1,3-cyclic sulfate intermediate **3** by reaction with SOCl₂ in the presence of pyridine, followed by oxidation of resulting cyclic sulfite with catalytic RuO₄. Without further purification, **3** was subjected to reduction with sodium borohydride in DMF in the presence of sodium iodide, which removed the primary hydroxyl group and reduced the azide, affording (2*S*,3*R*)-2-amino-3-octadecanol (**55-21**) in 79% yield. The reaction of **55-21** with formaldehyde in the presence of NaBH₃CN in MeOH furnished the *N,N*-dimethylamino derivative **55-22** in 82% yield. *N*-Methylation of **55-22** with methyl tosylate in THF gave the *N,N,N*-trimethylammonium tosylate salt, **77-13**.

As shown in Scheme 2, the synthesis of oxyspisulosine analog **77-7**, which contains an oxygen atom in the aliphatic chain, started with *rac*-1-*O*-tetradecylglycerol (**4**).²¹ Oxidative cleavage of vicinal diol **4** with NaIO₄ afforded aldehyde **5**. HWE reaction of **5** with (EtO)₂P(O)CH₂CO₂Et in aqueous 2-propanol in the presence of K₂CO₃ afforded (*E*)- α,β -unsaturated ester **6** with good *E* selectivity. Asymmetric dihydroxylation of ester **6** with AD-mix- β proceeded smoothly, providing chiral 2,3-diol ester **7** in 89% yield. Conversion of diol **7** to cyclic sulfate intermediate **8**, followed by regioselective azidation gave azidoester **9**, and reduction of the ester functionality in **9** with NaBH₄ gave 2-azido-1,3-diol **10**. We next attempted to remove the primary hydroxyl group from 1,3-diol **10** by the cyclic sulfate methodology shown in Scheme 1. However, when the cyclic sulfate of **10** was reduced with NaBH₄ we found that the oxygen atom in the aliphatic chain affected the regioselectivity of the reduction, resulting in a mixture of primary and secondary alcohols that was difficult to purify. Therefore, we devised a novel route involving a dibutylstannane intermediate (**11**) to synthesize **77-7** (Scheme 2). Reaction of **10** with dibutyltin oxide followed by tosylation of **11** gave intermediate **12**, which was converted to **77-6b** in two steps and 66% overall yield from **10**. In contrast to the reduction of **3**, the azido group was not completely reduced even in DMF at elevated temperature. Therefore, catalytic hydrogenolysis was necessary to complete the reduction of tosylate **12**.

The *N*-arylthiourea and -arylurea derivatives were prepared by the addition of the amino group of Sph, sphinganine, or *D-ribo*-PHS to the electrophilic carbon of an aryl isothiocyanate or aryl cyanate in CHCl₃/CH₃OH (1:1) (ESI[†]). **67-341** was prepared from 2-*epi*-pachastrissamine (**15**)¹⁹ and pentafluorophenyl isothiocyanate. Cyclic amine **15** was obtained by regioselective tosylation of trifluoroacetamido-*D-ribo*-PHS **14** followed by hydrolysis of the *N*-protecting group with NaOH in MeOH (Scheme 3). Thiourea derivatives **F-01** and **F-02** were prepared by the reaction of sphinganine with an aryl isothiocyanate (ESI).

We assessed the effects of fluorine and trifluoromethyl substitution in the benzene ring of the putative inhibitors (Fig. 2). The *N*-(4-fluorophenyl)thiourea-PHS derivative **67-301** is a weak and nonselective SK inhibitor, but effectiveness for SK1 versus SK2 is improved by insertion of five fluorine atoms into the benzene ring to afford **67-306**, albeit the inhibition remains moderate. Thiourea **67-310** and urea **67-311**, which are both *p*-trifluoromethylphenyl PHS derivatives, are moderately effective SK2 inhibitors (64.5 \pm 4.9% and 53.9 \pm 0.9% inhibition at 50 μ M, respectively). Notably, the *N*-(4-fluorophenyl)thiourea-Sph derivative **67-320** is a more effective SK2 inhibitor (79.2 \pm 1.9% inhibition at 50 μ M) whereas its *p*-trifluoromethylphenyl analogue **67-330** is a weak inhibitor of both SK isoforms. The cyclic *N*-(pentafluorophenyl)thioureido derivative **67-341** is a more effective SK1 inhibitor (64.7 \pm 5.3% inhibition at 50 μ M). Sphinganine thiourea derivative **F-02** is more effective for SK2 (80 \pm 2% inhibition at 50 μ M), but its analogue **F-01** is less effective. 1-Deoxysphinganine analog **55-21** and its *N,N*-dimethyl derivative **55-22** are more effective SK1 inhibitors. Insertion of an oxygen atom into the aliphatic chain afforded **77-7**, which is also an effective SK1 inhibitor; however, the *N,N,N*-trimethylammonium salt **77-13** is a nonselective SK inhibitor.

To further establish the selectivity for SK1 or SK2 of the most effective compounds identified above, we determined the relative IC₅₀ values for **F-02**, **55-21**, and **77-7**. As shown in Fig. 3A, **F-02** inhibited SK2 activity with an IC₅₀ of 21.8 \pm 4.2 μ M and SK1 activity with an IC₅₀ of 69 \pm 5.5 μ M. Fig. 3B shows that **55-21** inhibited SK1 activity with

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Detailed synthetic procedures and NMR spectra, and SK assay information. See DOI:

an IC_{50} of $7.1 \pm 0.75 \mu\text{M}$ and SK2 activity with an IC_{50} of $766 \pm 133 \mu\text{M}$. **77-7** inhibited SK1 activity with an IC_{50} of $27.8 \pm 3.2 \mu\text{M}$ and SK2 activity with an IC_{50} of $300 \pm 62.3 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 4).

Next, the possibility that the compounds that bear a hydroxyl group may also serve as SK substrates was examined. At $50 \mu\text{M}$, **F01**, **77-13**, **67-341**, and **67-302** are weak substrates of SK1 (ESI), but probably overlap the Sph binding site in SK1, thereby inhibiting catalytic phosphorylation of Sph. At $50 \mu\text{M}$, **F02** and **F01** were very weak substrates of SK2 but **67-302** (*cis*-Sph) was efficiently phosphorylated by SK2. None of the other compounds were SK1 or SK2 substrate.

We have previously shown that inhibition of SK activity in cells with the SK inhibitors SKi, *N,N*-dimethyl-Sph, or FTY720 induces proteasomal degradation and removal of SK1 from PASMCM and cancer cell lines.²³ Removal of SK1 in response to SKi reduces intracellular S1P and increases C22:0-ceramide levels, thereby promoting apoptosis.²³ Fig. 3C shows that treatment of PASMCM with the SK1-selective inhibitor **55-21** ($10 \mu\text{M}$, 24 h) reduced the expression of SK1; this was reversed by pre-treatment of the cells with the proteasomal inhibitor MG132. In contrast, treatment of PASMCM with the SK2-selective inhibitor **F-02** was without effect on SK1 expression, suggesting that changes in ceramide-sphingosine-S1P rheostat regulated by SK2 is not accessible to the proteasome and therefore does not regulate SK1 turnover.

Recent studies have identified new nonlipid SK1 and SK2 inhibitors with nanomolar potency, including **PF-543** and **VPC96091** (see ESI for structures).^{24, 25} We tested the effect of PF-543 on SK1 and SK2 activity. Fig. 5 shows that **PF-543** inhibited SK1 activity with an IC_{50} value of $28 \pm 6.15 \text{ nM}$. This is a 10-fold lower potency than previously reported for PF-543.²⁴ In contrast, PF-543 inhibited SK2 activity by $33.3 \pm 3.0\%$ at $5 \mu\text{M}$ and $72.2 \pm 3.4\%$ at $50 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 3$), confirming that this compound is highly selective for SK1 as previously reported.²⁴ Interestingly, we found that treatment of PASMCM with 100 nM PF-543 induced a decrease in cellular SK1 expression, which was reversed by the proteasomal inhibitor MG132 (Fig. 5). These findings indicate that inhibitor-induced proteasomal degradation of SK1 correlates with a concentration-dependent inhibition of SK1 activity.

VPC96091 was used at the previously reported K_i concentration for SK1 and SK2.²⁵ VPC96091 at 130 nM inhibited SK1 activity by $41.3 \pm 3.0\%$ ($n = 3$), while $1.5 \mu\text{M}$ VPC96091 inhibited SK2 activity by $73.4 \pm 1.5\%$ ($n = 3$). At $50 \mu\text{M}$, VPC96091 abolished SK1 and SK2 activity (data not shown). Therefore, both PF-543 and VPC96091 are more effective inhibitors of SK1 than the new inhibitors presented herein. However, **PF-543** and **VPC96091** are ineffective at reducing DNA synthesis in PASMCM, while **55-21** significantly inhibited DNA synthesis (Fig. 6).

Conclusions

In summary, we have identified new SK inhibitors with isoform selectivity. Moreover, inhibition of SK1 with selective SK1 inhibitors, e.g., **55-21** and **RB-005**,²⁶ is linked with ubiquitin-proteasomal degradation of SK1 that might confer enhanced efficacy of these compounds in terms of abrogating SK1 function in cells. In addition, we demonstrate here that **55-21** is effective at reducing DNA synthesis in PASMCM, while more potent SK1 inhibitors, such as **PF-543** and **VPC96091**, are not effective. There is substantial evidence to indicate that SK1 has an essential role on regulating cell growth and survival.² For instance, siRNA knockdown of SK1 has been shown to induce ceramide-stimulated apoptosis of MCF-7 breast cancer cells.²⁷ Therefore, while **PF-543** and **VPC96091** are more effective

inhibitors of SK1 compared with **55-21**, this enhanced binding affinity might result in a lack of specificity toward other enzymes that can bind sphingosine-based compounds, such as ceramide synthases. This might effectively negate the effect of inhibiting SK1 activity on cell growth and survival by preventing formation of ceramide from sphingosine that has accumulated as a result of inhibiting SK1 activity. Indeed, **PF-543** fails to increase endogenous ceramide levels in head and neck 1483 carcinoma cells, where it lacks cytotoxicity.²⁴ The novel compounds identified here, e.g. **55-21**, have moderate potency, which might represent a more favorable profile in terms of selectively abrogating SK1 function without exhibiting ‘off-target’ effects on sphingosine/ceramide metabolizing enzymes. In this regard, **55-21** recapitulates siRNA knockdown and genetic studies in terms of reducing cell growth; thus **55-21** is expected to have utility in unraveling the functions of SK1 in inflammatory and hyperproliferative disorders. With the recent elucidation of the atomic structure of SK1,²⁸ it may be possible to define the binding modalities of these inhibitors in the future and to optimize the structures of novel inhibitors to achieve higher potency and selectivity.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.






Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a British Heart Foundation grant (29476) to NJP/SP and by NIH Grant HL-083187 to RB. We thank Dr. Dong Jae Baek for preparing VPC96091.

Notes and references

1. For recent reviews on inhibition of SK activity and alteration of S1P signaling, see: Pyne S, Bittman R, Pyne NJ. *Cancer Res.* 2011; 71:6576. [PubMed: 21940750] Pitson SM, Powell JA, Bonder CS. *Anti-Cancer Agents Med Chem.* 2011; 11:799. Orr Gandy KA, Obeid LM. *Biochim Biophys Acta – Mol Cell Biol Lipids.* 2013; 1831:157.
2. Pyne S, Pyne NJ. *Trends Mol Med.* 2011; 17:463. [PubMed: 21514226]
3. Van Brocklyn JR, Jackson CA, Pearl DK, Kotur MS, Snyder PJ, Prior TW. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2005; 64:695. [PubMed: 16106218]
4. Akao Y, Banno Y, Nakagawa Y, Hasegawa N, Kim TJ, Murate T, Igarashi Y, Nozawa Y. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2006; 342:1284. [PubMed: 16516161]
5. Ahmad M, Long JS, Pyne NJ, Pyne S. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat.* 2006; 79:278. [PubMed: 16647641]
6. Sankala HM, Hait NC, Paugh SW, Shida D, Lépine S, Elmore LW, Dent P, Milstien S, Spiegel S. *Cancer Res.* 2007; 67:10466. [PubMed: 17974990]
7. Gao P, Smith CD. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2011; 9:1509. [PubMed: 21896638]
8. Paugh SW, Paugh BS, Rahmani M, Kapitonov D, Almenara JA, Kordula T, Milstien S, Adams JK, Zipkin RE, Grant S, Spiegel S. *Blood.* 2008; 112:1382. [PubMed: 18511810]
9. Lim KG, Chaode S, Bittman R, Pyne NJ, Pyne S. *Cell Signal.* 2011; 23:1590. [PubMed: 21620961]
10. French KJ, Zhuang Y, Maines LW, Gao P, Wang W, Beljanski V, Upson JJ, Green CL, Keller SN, Smith CD. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2010; 333:129. [PubMed: 20061445]
11. Liu K, Guo TL, Hait NC, Allegood J, Parikh HI, Xu W, Kellogg GE, Grant S, Spiegel S, Zhang S. *PLoS One.* 2013; 8:e56471. [PubMed: 23437140]
12. Jarvis WD, Fornari FA Jr, Traylor RS, Martin HA, Kramer LB, Erukulla RK, Bittman R, Grant S. *J Biol Chem.* 1996; 271:8275. [PubMed: 8626522]
13. Dickson MA, Carvajal RD, Merrill AH Jr, Gonen M, Cane LM, Schwartz GK. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011; 17:2484. [PubMed: 21257722]
14. Soriano JM, Gonzales L, Catala AI. *Prog Lipid Res.* 2005; 44:345. [PubMed: 16266752]

15. Massard C, Salazar R, Armand JP, Majem M, Deutsch E, García M, Oaknin A, Fernández-García EM, Soto A, Soria JC. *Invest New Drugs*. 2012; 30:2318. [PubMed: 22215532]
16. Symolon H, Bushnev A, Peng Q, Ramaraju H, Mays SG, Allegood JC, Pruett ST, Sullards MC, Dillehay DL, Liotta DC, Merrill AH Jr. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2011; 10:648. [PubMed: 21398423]
17. Stockmann-Juvala H, Savolainen K. *Human Exp Toxicol*. 2008; 27:799.
18. Johnson CR, Chun J, Bittman R, Jarvis WD. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2004; 309:452. [PubMed: 14724218]
19. Stereoisomers of 4-amino-2-tetradecyltetrahydrofuran-3-ol (pachastrissamines) are inhibitors of SK and protein kinase C; see: Yoshimitsu Y, Oishi S, Miyagaki J, Inuki S, Ohno H, Fujii N. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2011; 19:5402. [PubMed: 21868240]
20. He L, Byun HS, Bittman R. *J Org Chem*. 2000; 65:7618. [PubMed: 11076624]
21. Hong CI, Nechaev A, Kirisits AJ, Vig R, Hui SW, West CR. *J Med Chem*. 1995; 38:1629. [PubMed: 7752187]
22. Lim KG, Tonelli F, Li Z, Lu X, Bittman R, Pyne S, Pyne NJ. *J Biol Chem*. 2011; 286:18633. [PubMed: 21464128]
23. Loveridge C, Tonelli F, Leclercq T, Lim KG, Long S, Berdyshev E, Tate RJ, Natarajan V, Pitson SM, Pyne NJ, Pyne S. *J Biol Chem*. 2010; 285:38841. [PubMed: 20926375]
24. Schnute ME, McReynolds MD, Kasten T, Yates M, Jerome G, Rains JW, Hall T, Chrencik J, Kraus M, Cronin CN, Saabye M, Highkin MK, Broadus R, Ogawa S, Cukyne K, Zawadzke LE, Peterkin V, Iyanar K, Scholten JA, Wendling J, Fujiwara H, Nemirovskiy O, Wittwer AJ, Nagiec MM. *Biochem J*. 2012; 444:79. [PubMed: 22397330]
25. Kennedy AJ, Mathews TP, Kharel Y, Field SD, Moyer ML, East JE, Houck JD, Lynch KR, Macdonald TL. *J Med Chem*. 2011; 54:3524. [PubMed: 21495716]
26. Baek DJ, MacRitchie N, Pyne NJ, Pyne S, Bittman R. *Chem Comm*. 2013; 49:2136. [PubMed: 23388656]
27. Taha TA, Kitatani K, El-Alwani M, Bielawski J, Hannun YA, Obeid LM. *FASEB J*. 2006; 20:482. [PubMed: 16507765]
28. Wang Z, Min X, Xiao SH, Johnstone S, Romanow W, Meininger D, Xu H, Liu J, Dai J, An S, Thibault S, Walker N. *Structure*. 2013; 21:798. [PubMed: 23602659]

(2S,3R)-1-Deoxysphinganine derivatives**(2S,3R)-Sphinganine derivatives****(2S,3R,4S)-Phytosphingosine derivatives****(2S,3R)-Sphingosine derivatives****(2S,3S,4R)-Pachastrissamine derivative****Fig. 1.**

Structures of sphingoid bases evaluated as SK inhibitors.




Fig. 2. Effect of inhibitors on SK1 or SK2 activity (n = 3 for each compound, mean inhibition \pm S.D.). Compounds were used at 50 μ M. The Sph concentrations were 3 and 10 μ M, corresponding to the K_m values of SK1 and SK2, respectively.^{9,22} BML-258 at 50 μ M inhibited SK1 activity by $74.5 \pm 3.3\%$. The control is set at 100% and denotes the activity against Sph alone.




Fig. 3. Concentration-dependent inhibition of (A) SK2 activity (upper graph) and SK1 activity (lower graph) by **F-02**; (B) SK1 activity (upper graph) and SK2 activity (lower graph) by **55-21**. Results are expressed as mean inhibition \pm S.D. of control (n = 3-6); the control is set to 100% and represents the activity with Sph in the absence of inhibitor. The Sph concentrations were 3 and 10 μ M, corresponding to the K_m of SK1 and SK2, respectively; (C) Effect of **55-21** on SK1 expression. PASM cells were treated with or without MG132 (10 μ M, 30 min) before addition of **55-21** (10 μ M, 24 h) or **F-02** (10 μ M, 24 h). Cell lysates were western blotted with anti-SK1 and anti-actin antibodies. Results are representative of three experiments.




Fig. 4. Concentration-dependent inhibition of SK1 activity (left graph) and SK2 activity (right graph) by **77-7**. Results are expressed as mean inhibition \pm S.D. of control ($n = 3$); the control is set to 100% and represents the activity with Sph in the absence of inhibitor. The Sph concentrations were 3 and 10 μ M, corresponding to the K_m values of SK1 and SK2, respectively.




Fig. 5.

(A) Concentration-dependent inhibition of SK1 activity with **PF-543**. Results are expressed as mean inhibition \pm S.D. of control ($n = 3-6$); the control is set to 100% and represents the activity with Sph in the absence of inhibitor. The Sph concentration was 3 μ M, corresponding to the K_m of SK1. Effect of **PF-543** on SK1 expression. **(B)** PASM cells were treated with or without MG132 (10 μ M, 30 min) before **PF-543** (100 nM, 24 h). Cell lysates were western blotted with anti-SK1 and anti-actin antibodies. Results are representative of three experiments.







Fig. 6. Assessment of the effects of **PF-543** (10 nM and 100 nM, 24 h), **VPC96091** (300 nM, 24 h), and **55-21** (100 nM and 1 μ M, 24 h) on [3 H]-thymidine incorporation into DNA in PASM cells. Results are expressed as mean inhibition \pm S.D. of control (n = 3); the control is set to 100%. *** p < 0.05 versus control.

**Scheme 1.**

Synthesis of 1-deoxysphingoid derivatives **55-21**, **55-22**, and **77-13** via cyclic sulfate chemistry. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaBH₄, THF, MeOH, -78 °C – rt; (b) SOCl₂, py, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 2 h, then rt, 2 h; (c) cat. RuCl₃·3H₂O, NaIO₄, MeCN/H₂O (5:1), rt, 2 h; (d) NaBH₄ (2 equiv), NaI (1 equiv), DMF, 0 °C – rt, 48 h, then aq. HCl (79%); (e) CH₂O (10 equiv), NaBH₃CN (11 equiv), MeOH, 0 °C – rt, 48 h (82%); (f) *p*-TsOMe, THF, rt, overnight (100%).

**Scheme 2.**

Synthesis of 1-deoxysphingoid derivative **77-7** via dibutylstannane-mediated monotosylation of 1,3-diol **10**. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaIO_4 , THF/ H_2O , 0°C – rt, 2 h; (b) $(\text{EtO})_2\text{P(O)CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et}$, K_2CO_3 , 2-PrOH/ H_2O (1:1), 0°C rt, overnight; (c) AD-mix β , MeSO_2NH_2 , *t*-BuOH/ H_2O (1:1), rt; (d) SOCl_2 , py, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C ; (e) cat. $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, NaIO_4 , MeCN/ H_2O (5:2); (f) NaN_3 (3 equiv), $\text{Me}_2\text{CO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2:1), then Et_2O , aq. H_2SO_4 ; (g) NaBH_4 , THF/ MeOH (100:1), 0°C – rt; (h) Bu_2SnO , toluene, reflux; (i) *p*-TsCl, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C – rt, overnight; (j) NaBH_4 , THF, 0°C – rt; (k) $\text{Pd(OH)}_2/\text{C}$, MeOH, rt; (l) CH_2O , NaBH_3CN (12.5 equiv), MeOH, 0°C – rt, 48 h (80%).

**Scheme 3.**

Synthesis of **67-341**, a thiourea derivative of 2-*epi*-pachastrissamine. Reaction conditions:

(a) $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Et}$, MeOH, rt, overnight (95%); (b) *p*-TsCl (1.1 equiv), py/ CH_2Cl_2 (1:1), 0 °C – rt (80%); (c) NaOH, MeOH, reflux, 3 h (100%); (d) $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{NCS}$.