Review Article

Surfactant Modified/Mediated Thin-Layer Chromatographic Systems for the Analysis of Amino Acids

Showkat A. Bhawani,^{1,2} Hassan M. Albishri,³ Ziya Ahmad Khan,¹ Mohamad N. Mohamad Ibrahim,² and A. Mohammad⁴

¹ Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science-North Jeddah, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

² School of Chemical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Pulau Pinang, Malaysia

³ Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

⁴ Analytical Research Laboratory, Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering and Technology,

Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Showkat A. Bhawani; sabhawani@gmail.com

Received 12 July 2013; Accepted 25 October 2013

Academic Editor: Sinem Göktürk

Copyright © 2013 Showkat A. Bhawani et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This review incorporates a large number of chromatographic systems modified by the surfactants. A large number of solvent systems and stationary phases are summarized in this paper. Three different kinds of surfactants (anionic, cationic, and nonionic) are used as modifiers for stationary phases as well as solvent systems. Surfactants are used at all the three different concentration levels (below, above, and at critical micelle concentration) where surfactants behave differently. Modifications of both stationary phases and solvent systems by surfactants produced a new generation of chromatographic systems. Microemulsion solvent systems are also incorporated in this paper. Microemulsion thin-layer chromatography is a new approach in the field of chromatography.

1. Introduction

It is a well-known fact that amino acids are building blocks of proteins. Amino acids are also essential ingredients of diets of all living beings. Amino acids are biologically important biochemical molecules commonly used in nutritional supplements such as glutamic acid as flavor enhancer [1] and aspartame as a low calorie artificial sweetener [2]. Because of the enormous applications of amino acids in many biological systems chromatographic study of these molecules is very important. This review article deals with the surfactant modified thin-layer chromatographic systems used for the analysis of amino acids.

Surfactants are the promising compounds for the modification of chromatographic data. The unusual results are obtained by using surfactants in chromatographic system as compared to other chemical compounds. Surfactants are the class of compounds which entirely modify the efficiencies of different phases involved in the chromatography. Surfactants expand the potentialities of TLC by resolving complex mixtures especially those containing neutral and charged compounds. Sumina et al. [3] discussed the modification of TLC by surfactants in three different ways such as (a) use of micellar solutions as mobile phase, (b) use of molecular solutions of ionic surfactants below critical micelle concentration, and (c) use of surfactants for the modification of surfaces by impregnating the surfaces with aq. methanolic or ethanolic solution of surfactants.

According to the literature surfactants provided important separations of amino acids. Some of the separations are very unique and scientifically important. On the other hand micellar thin-layer chromatography (MTLC) has got many advantages over conventional TLC. The most exciting features found in MTLC as compared to conventional thin-layer chromatography that leads to new generation of chromatographic systems are (a) double solvent front that is observed in chromatogram, (b) modifications of adsorbent surfaces, and (c) a change in elution order of compounds [3]. The use of aqueous micellar mobile phases is free from some disadvantages such as strong smell, volatility, flammability, aggressiveness, and toxicity [3] as compared to the organic solvents used in conventional TLC. Because the use of surfactants in MTLC leads to the generation of eco-friendly chromatographic systems, MTLC has tremendous applications in the field of separation science. Micellar phase has been widely used for the identification and separation of various pharmaceuticals, vitamins, amines, metal ions, and many other closely related compounds [4–13].

2. Chromatographic Systems

The following chromatographic systems (Tables 1 and 2) were used by many researchers for the study of mobility behavior and for the separation studies of amino acids. An extensive survey has been conducted to get the detailed information about the nature of stationary phases and solvent system used for the chromatography of amino acids. In all cases, authors have used a simple thin-layer chromatographic technique, because of the many advantages of this technique, and it is also possible to use a number of modified surfaces and solvent systems with flexibility.

2.1. Preparation of Impregnated Stationary Phases

- (1) (a) TLC plates are prepared by mixing silica gel "G" or alumina with double distilled water in 1:3 volume ratios with constant shaking for 5 min until homogeneous slurry is obtained. The resultant slurry is coated on the glass plates. The plates are first air-dried at room temperature and then activated by heating at 100°C for 1 h. The activated silica gel plates are then impregnated with desired concentrations of surfactants by developing plates in aqueous solution of impregnant, followed by drying of the plates at 100°C in an electrically controlled oven for 1 h [17, 18].
 - (b) Precoated plates are impregnated by directly developing in an aqueous solution of surfactants after development plates are activated in an oven at 100°C for 1 hr.
- (2) Impregnated TLC plates are also prepared by directly mixing silica gel "G" or alumina with aqueous solutions of surfactants in 1:3 volume ratios with constant shaking for 5 min until homogeneous slurry is obtained. The resultant slurry is coated on the glass plates. The plates are first air-dried at room temperature and then activated by heating at 100°C for 1 h.

2.2. Surfactant Modified Layers. A number of sorbent layers have been used for the identification, separation, and quantification of amino acids. The most commonly used material is the silica gel. The silica surface contains silanol (Si-OH) groups as active centers. These groups are active in chemical transformations and provide some unique properties on the surface.

The adsorption of monomers of micelles on surfaces takes place by two different ways, that is, hydrophobic adsorption

TABLE 1: Stationary phases.

Code	Stationary phase
S ₁	Silica gel G
S ₂	Alumina (neutral) G
S ₃	Silica gel impregnated with SDS (0.1 M)
S_4	Silica gel impregnated with SDS (0.01 M)
S ₅	Silica gel impregnated with SDS (0.008 M)
S ₆	Silica gel impregnated with SDS (0.001 M)
S ₇	Silica gel impregnated with SDS (0.0001 M)
S ₈	Silica gel impregnated with sodium cholate (below CMC)
S ₉	Silica gel impregnated with CPC (0.1 M)
S ₁₀	Silica gel impregnated with CPC (0.00003 M)
S ₁₁	Silica gel impregnated with CPC (0.0002 M)
S ₁₂	Silica gel impregnated with CPC (0.00005 M)
S ₁₃	Silica gel impregnated with CTAB (0.1 M)
S ₁₄	Silica gel impregnated with CTAB (0.0001 M)
S ₁₅	Silica gel impregnated with cetrimide (0.005 M)
S ₁₆	Silica gel impregnated with cetrimide (0.002 M)
S ₁₇	Silica gel impregnated with cetrimide (below CMC)
S ₁₈	Silica gel impregnated with TX-100 (0.01 M)
S ₁₉	Silica gel impregnated with TX-100 (0.0001 M)
S ₂₀	Silica gel impregnated with Brij-35 (below CMC)
S ₂₁	Alumina impregnated with CPC (0.0002)
S ₂₂	Alumina impregnated with CPC (0.00005)
S ₂₃	Alumina impregnated with cetrimide (0.0002)
S ₂₄	Alumina impregnated with cetrimide (0.002)

and silanophilic adsorption. The hydrophobic adsorption involves adsorption of alkyl tail of monomer to the alkyl group of stationary phase, while silanophilic adsorption involves adsorption of ionic head group onto the free silanol groups on silica gel [14].

It is necessary to provide an idea about the nature of adsorption of different kinds of surfactants on different

TABLE 2: Solvent systems.

Code	Composition
M_1	0.5% aqueous SDS
M ₂	0.5% aqueous TX-100
M ₃	0.5% aqueous SDS + 0.5% aqueous Tx-100 (1:1, v/v)
M_4	M_3 + 1-butanol (9:1, v/v)
M ₅	M_3 + 1-butanol + DMSO (1:9:2, v/v)
M ₆	M_3 + 1-butanol + DMSO (2:8:2, v/v)
M ₇	M_3 + 1-butanol + DMSO (3:7:2, v/v)
M ₈	M_3 + 1-butanol + DMSO (4:6:2, v/v)
M ₉	M_3 + 1-butanol + DMSO (2:7:2, v/v)
M ₁₀	M_3 + 1-butanol + DMSO (2:9:2, v/v)
M ₁₁	M ₃ + 1-butanol + DMSO (2:10:2, v/v)
M ₁₂	M_3 + methanol + DMSO (2:8:2, v/v)
M ₁₃	M_3 + ethanol + DMSO (2:8:2, v/v)
M ₁₄	M_3 + propanol + DMSO (2:8:2, v/v)
M ₁₅	M_3 + 1-butanol + dioxane (2 : 8 : 2, v/v)
M ₁₆	M_3 + 1-butanol + formamide (2:8:2, v/v)
M ₁₇	0.0001 M aqueous AOT
M ₁₈	0.001 M aqueous AOT
M ₁₉	0.01 M aqueous AOT
M ₂₀	M_{18} + 1-butanol (9.5 : 0.5, v/v)
M ₂₁	M_{18} + DMSO + 1-butanol (1:2:9, v/v)
M ₂₂	M_{18} + DMSO + 1-butanol (2:2:8, v/v)
M ₂₃	$M_{18} + DMSO + 1$ -butanol (3 : 2 : 7, v/v)
M ₂₄	M_{18} + DMSO + 1-butanol (4 : 2 : 6, v/v)
M ₂₅	M_{18} + DMSO + 1-butanol (3 : 2 : 9, v/v)
M ₂₆	$M_{18} + DMSO + 1$ -butanol (3 : 2 : 8, v/v)
M ₂₇	M_{18} + DMSO + 1-butanol (3:2:6, v/v)
M ₂₈	$M_{18} + DMSO + methanol (3:2:7, v/v)$
M ₂₉	$M_{18} + DMSO + ethanol (3:2:7, v/v)$
M ₃₀	$M_{18} + DMSO + 1$ -propanol (3:2:7, v/v)
M ₃₁	0.00001 M TX-100
M ₃₂	0.00081 MSDS
M ₃₃	0.00001 M TX-100 + acetone (1:5, v/v)
M ₃₄	0.00081 MSDS + acetone (1:5, v/v)
M ₃₅	0.001M TX-100 + 0.081 MSDS (1:1, v/v)
M ₃₆	0.00001 M TX-100 + 0.00081 MSDS (1: 1, v/v)
50	0.00001 M TX - 100 + 0.00081 MSDS + acetone
M ₃₇	(1:1:1, v/v)
M ₃₈	0.00001 M TX-100 + 0.00081 MSDS + acetone (1:1:2, v/v)
M ₃₉	0.00001 M TX-100 + 0.00081 MSDS + acetone (1:1:3, v/v)
M ₄₀	0.00001 M TX-100 + 0.00081 MSDS + acetone (1:1:5, v/v)
M ₄₁	0.00001 M TX-100 + 0.00081 MSDS + acetone (2:1:1, v/v)
M ₄₂	0.00001 M TX-100 + 0.00081 MSDS + acetone (3:1:1, v/v)

TABLE	2:	Continued.

Code	Composition
	0.00001 M TX-100 + 0.00081 MSDS +
M ₄₃	butan-2-one (1:1:5, v/v)
M_{44}	Aqueous solution of cetrimide (below CMC)
M_{45}	Aqueous solution of sodium cholate (below CMC)
M_{46}	Aqueous solution of Brij-35 (below CMC)
M_{47}	Boric acid + phosphoric acid (50:50, pH 2.3)
M_{48}	40% aqueous dextrose
M ₄₉	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol +
11149	n-octane (36.9 g : 10 g : 44 g : 9.1 g)
M ₅₀	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol +
	n-octane $(36.9 \text{ g}: 22.5 \text{ g}: 44 \text{ g}: 9.1 \text{ g})$
M ₅₁	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol +
51	n-octane (36.9 g : 38.6 g : 44 g : 9.1 g)
M ₅₂	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol +
02	n-octane (36.9 g: 60 g: 44 g: 9.1 g)
M ₅₃	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol + n octano (36.0 m + 0.0 m + 4.4 m + 0.1 m)
	n-octane $(36.9 g: 90 g: 44 g: 9.1 g)$
M ₅₄	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol + n-octane (36.9 g : 135 g : 44 g : 9.1 g)
M ₅₅	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol + n-octane (36.9 g: 210 g: 44 g: 9.1 g)
	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol +
M ₅₆	n-octane (36.9 g: 360 g: 44 g: 9.1 g)
	CTAB + water + n-butyl alcohol +
M ₅₇	n-octane (36.9 g : 810 g : 44 g : 9.1 g)
	CTAB + water + heptane + n-pentanol
M ₅₈	(8 g : 8 mL : 160 mL : 25 mL)
м	AOT + water + heptane + l
M ₅₉	(8 g : 8 mL : 160 mL : 25 mL)
M ₆₀	SDS + water + heptanes + n-pentanol
1,160	(8 g : 8 mL : 160 mL : 25 mL)

surfaces. It is a well-known fact that most of the natural surfaces are negatively charged. Therefore, if a chromatographer needs a hydrophobic surface, a cationic surfactant should be used. Cationic surfactant adsorbs on the surface by orienting positively charged hydrophilic groups towards the negatively charged surface and the hydrophobic group is oriented away from the surface, whereas hydrophilic surface is generated by using an anionic surfactant on the negatively charged surface. In case of positively charged surfaces an anionic surfactant should be used to generate hydrophobic surface, while a cationic surfactant should be used to produce a hydrophilic surface. This could be interpreted on the basis of formation of ad micelles and hemimicelles by surfactants on the appositively charged surfaces. These assemblies are formed on the surface as a result of the charged group of ionic surfactants attached to surfaces of opposite charge (columbic forces) and surfactant chain-chain interactions (noncolumbic).

But, if we take the case of nonionic surfactants, it is obvious that these materials could adsorb onto the surface either

by hydrophilic or hydrophilic group oriented towards the surface. The nature of these adsorptions could be predicted on the basis of the type of the surface used for the adsorption. As compared to cationic and anionic surfactants, zwitterionic surfactant possesses both positive and negative charges and hence does not change the charge on the surface significantly [19].

In order to achieve some unique separations of amino acids, various surfactants of different kinds such as cationic, anionic, and nonionic have been used as surface modifiers [15, 16, 20–23]. Surfactants are proved to be very potential materials for the modification of surfaces of various compositions [24–27]. Surfactants are also less toxic as compared to other chemicals used as impregnants. The data listed in Table 3 indicates the importance of surfactants in the field of chromatography. Numerous layer materials have been prepared by using different kinds (anionic, cationic, and nonionic) of surfactants. These modifications pave many ways for the generation of new hybrid surface materials.

A series of amino acids were tested on different impregnated layers. Chromatographers have used three different kinds of surfactants for the study of mobility pattern and separation of various mixtures of amino acids. Both silica and alumina surfaces were modified by different kinds of surfactants. Mohammad and Zehra [17], used different boratephosphate buffer solvent systems for the chromatography of amino acids. Authors have selected only one (boratephosphate at pH = 2.3) out of the three buffer systems on different silica impregnated layers. In this study, mutual separation of L-histidine and DL-tryptophan was achieved. This method was successfully applied in pharmaceutical formulations for the detection of amino acids.

In another study, Mohammad and Haq [18] have used an aqueous solution of dextrose (40%, w/v) on both silica and alumina impregnated layers. This method was more emphasized for the generation of green chromatographic method for the study of amino acids. In this method, authors have separated lysine from the arginine because of their various physiological activities in living systems. Mohammad and Haq [28] have also introduced surfactants in both the stationary phase and solvent system in one chromatographic system. In this study three different kinds of surfactants were used for the chromatography of amino acids. Authors have successfully separated phenylalanine from lysine.

The main theme behind this review is to provide modern chromatographers a list of new generation surfaces for the chromatography of amino acids. A lot of information could be generated from Table 3. These newly developed stationary phases could pave the way for the various pharmaceutical industries and scientists dealing with the research on amino acids for their easy detection, separation, and quantification.

2.3. Surfactant Mediated Solvent Systems. This section deals with the different kinds of solvent systems generated from the surfactants. Table 4 summarized the chromatographic data of amino acids in various surfactant mediated solvent systems. Surfactants are used at different concentration levels in an aqueous or in an organic solution. Some solutions are very effective at a certain concentration level at which surfactant molecules align in one layer called critical micelle concentration at which sharp reduction in conductivity of the solution or sharp increase in mass per unit charge of the material in solution takes place. Some solutions are very powerful above critical micelle concentration where surfactants form aggregates. Micellar solutions are very powerful systems in which solutes are solubilized in a nonhomogeneous environment because micelles provide a polar surface and a nonpolar interior [14]. In this nonhomogenous environment solutes can interact either electrostatically or hydrophobically or by both ways [14].

Armstrong and Nome [29] devised a theoretical description of three-phase model of micellar chromatography. The description stated that partitioning of solute with micelle and stationary phase takes place in three possible ways: (a) partitioning of solute between bulk solvent and stationary phase, (b) partitioning of solute between bulk solvent and micelle, and (c) partitioning of solute between micelle and stationary phase. Later Armstrong and Stine [30, 31] classified solutes into three categories, that is, binding, nonbinding, or antibinding based on their retention behavior resulting from the electrostatic interaction between solute and micelle.

Mohammad and Hena [32] have separated L-met, L-Cysteine and L-cystine using 0.001 M aqueous AOT + DMSO + 1-butanol (3:2:7, v/v/v) on silica surface. Quantitative analysis of L-cys was also carried out by TLC-spectrophotometry method.

One of the most interesting ideas was to use mixed micelles in the separation of amino acids for the separation of amino acids. Usually nonionic and ionic surfactants are mixed together to produce mixed micelles. It is reported that mixed surfactant solution behaves differently than individual surfactants because of the increased ion-dipole interaction between ionic and nonionic surfactants [33]. The mixing of two different kinds of surfactants produces synergistic effect on physicochemical properties as compared to their individual behavior. This has been discussed that the synergistic effect is due to the enhanced compactness of polyoxyethylene (POE) shell as compared to micelles of individual surfactants. This is due to the attractive interaction between oxonium ions (oxygen atoms) in POE chain and the anionic head group of anionic surfactants [34]. Mohammad and Laeeq [35] have used a series of solvent systems [M₃₁-M₄₃] in various mixtures. A mixed combination of Tx-100 + SDS + acetone (1:1:1, v/v/v) has been proved to be useful for the selective separation of L-lysine from other essential amino acids. This method was also utilized for the identification of L-lysine in pharmaceutical formulations. In another study, Mohammad and Gupta [36] utilized combination of Tx-100 + SDS + 1-butanol + DMSO for the separation of three-component mixture of surfactants, namely, L-lysine, L-histidine, and Ltryptophan. They have also extended their study to check the nature of adsorbent suitable for the separation of three amino acids. The reported results suggested that the silica was most favorable for this separation as compared to alumina, cellulose, and kieselguhr on mixed surfactant solvent system.

5

Amino acids	R_f values with chromatographic systems
	$R_{f} = 0.93 (S_{8}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.92 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.88 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.97 (S_{8}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.89 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.95 (S_{8}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.90 (S_{17}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.88 (S_{20}, M_{46}),$
	$R_{f}^{f} = 0.67 (S_{3}, M_{47}), R_{f}^{f} = 0.68 (S_{4}, M_{47}), R_{f}^{f} = 0.62 (S_{5}, M_{47}),$
T TT: /· 1·	$R_{f} = 0.60 (S_{6}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.67 (S_{7}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.64 (S_{9}, M_{47}),$
L-Histidine	$R_{f} = 0.72 (S_{10}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.65 (S_{13}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.71 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.63 (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.66 (S_{19}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{11}, M_{48}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.95 (S_{15}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.95 (S_{16}, M_{48}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.55 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.56 (S_{22}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.63 (S_{23}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.66 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_{f} = 0.68 (S_{3}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.82 (S_{4}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.82 (S_{5}, M_{47}),$
DI Dhamdalanina	$R_{f} = 0.82 (S_{6}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.76 (S_{7}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.64 (S_{9}, M_{47}),$
DL-Phenylalanine	$\vec{R}_{f} = 0.75 (\vec{S}_{10}, \vec{M}_{47}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.74 (\vec{S}_{13}, \vec{M}_{47}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.80 (\vec{S}_{14}, \vec{M}_{47}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.84 (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.85 (S_{19}, M_{47})$
	$R_{f} = 0.77 (S_{8}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.80 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.74 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{8}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.85 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.78 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
I. Dhonylalanina	$R_{f} = 0.82 (S_{8}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.82 (S_{17}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.75 (S_{20}, M_{46}),$
L-Phenylalanine	$\vec{R}_{f} = 0.76 (S_{11}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.77 (S_{12}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.72 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
	$\vec{R_f} = 0.78 \text{ (S}_{16}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.53 \text{ (S}_{21}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.69 \text{ (S}_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.56 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.61 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_f = 0.80$ (T) (S ₃ , M ₄₇), $R_f = 0.90$ (S ₄ , M ₄₇), $R_f = 0.90$ (S ₅ , M ₄₇),
DL-Tryptophan	$R_f = 0.90 (S_6, M_{47}), R_f = 0.89 (S_7, M_{47}), R_f = 0.75 (S_9, M_{47}),$
	$R_f = 0.87 (S_{10}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.71 (S_{13}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.88 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$R_f = 0.89 (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.89 (S_{19}, M_{47})$
	$R_f = 0.86 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.87 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.88 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
L-Tryptophan	$R_f = 0.90 (S_{16}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.54 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.65 (S_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.38 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.57 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_f = 0.78$ (T) (S ₃ , M ₄₇), $R_f = 0.86$ (S ₄ , M ₄₇), $R_f = 0.86$ (S ₅ , M ₄₇),
DL-Methionine	$R_f = 0.86 (S_6, M_{47}), R_f = 0.91 (S_7, M_{47}), R_f = 0.83 (S_9, M_{47}),$
	$R_f = 0.79 (S_{10}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.78 (S_{13}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.81 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$R_f = 0.84 (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.85 (S_{19}, M_{47})$
	$R_f = 0.92 (S_8, M_{44}), R_f = 0.91 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_f = 0.96 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_f = 0.96 (S_8, M_{45}), R_f = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_f = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{8}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.92 (S_{17}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{46}),$
	$R_f = 0.60 (S_3, M_{47}), R_f = 0.77 (S_4, M_{47}), R_f = 0.56 (S_5, M_{47}),$
L-Lysine	$R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.55 (S_{6}, M_{47}), R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.67 (S_{7}, M_{47}), R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.63 (S_{9}, M_{47}),$
-	$R_f = 0.71 (S_{10}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.58 (S_{13}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.69 (S_{14}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.69 (S_$
	$\dot{R_f} = 0.59 (S_{18}, M_{47}), \dot{R_f} = 0.60 (S_{19}, M_{47}), \dot{R_f} = 0.95 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.89 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.70 (S_{15}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.84 (S_{16}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.64 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.63 (S_{22}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.65 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.65 (S_{23}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.66 (S_{24}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.05 (S_{22}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.05 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_{48}$
	$\frac{R_{f}}{R_{f}} = 0.90 (S_{3}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.92 (S_{4}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.86 (S_{5}, M_{47}),$
	$R_f = 0.86 (S_6, M_{47}), R_f = 0.97 (S_7, M_{47}), R_f = 0.81 (S_9, M_{47}),$
DL-Threonine	$R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{10}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.86 (S_{13}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.90 (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.88 (S_{19}, M_{47})$
	$R_{f} = 0.89 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.93 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.88 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
L-Threonine	$R_{f} = 0.91 (S_{16}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.30 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.35 (S_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.34 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.38 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_{f} = 0.84 (S_{8}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.82 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.79 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.82 (S_{8}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.80 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.74 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
	$R_f = 0.83 (S_8, M_{46}), R_f = 0.84 (S_{17}, M_{46}), R_f = 0.81 (S_{20}, M_{46}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.80 (S_{3}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.77 (S_{4}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.84 (S_{5}, M_{47}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.83 (S_{6}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.85 (S_{7}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.80 (S_{9}, M_{47}),$
L-Leucine	$R_{f} = 0.87 (S_{10}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.75 (S_{13}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.85 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$\vec{R_f} = 0.79 (S_{18}, M_{47}), \vec{R_f} = 0.85 (S_{19}, M_{47}), \vec{R_f} = 0.71 (S_{11}, M_{48}),$
	$\vec{R_f} = 0.75 (S_{12}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.70 (S_{15}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.76 (S_{16}, M_{48}),$
	$\vec{R_f} = 0.69 (S_{21}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.64 (S_{22}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.63 (S_{23}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.72 (\mathrm{S}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{48})$

TABLE 3: R_f value of amino acids on different surfactant modified surfaces: data is extracted from references [6–8].

	TABLE 3: Continued.
Amino acids	R_f values with chromatographic systems
	$R_f = 0.84$ (T) (S ₃ , M ₄₇), $R_f = 0.84$ (S ₄ , M ₄₇), $R_f = 0.81$ (S ₅ , M ₄₇),
D-Leucine	$R_{f}^{'} = 0.81 (S_{6}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.83 (S_{7}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.81 (S_{9}, M_{47}),$
	$\dot{R}_{f} = 0.84 (S_{10}, M_{47}), \dot{R}_{f} = 0.76 (S_{13}, M_{47}), \dot{R}_{f} = 0.83 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$\frac{R_{f} = 0.79 (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.83 (S_{19}, M_{47})}{R_{f} = 0.79 (T) (S_{3}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.79 (S_{4}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.78 (S_{5}, M_{47}),$
	$R_f = 0.79$ (1) (3, M_{47}), $R_f = 0.79$ (3, M_{47}), $R_f = 0.79$ (5, M_{47}), $R_f = 0.78$ (S ₆ , M_{47}), $R_f = 0.87$ (S ₇ , M_{47}), $R_f = 0.79$ (S ₉ , M_{47}),
DL-Isoleucine	$R_f = 0.79 (S_{10}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.73 (S_{13}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.80 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.68T (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_{f} = 0.80 (S_{19}, M_{47})$
T T 1 ·	$R_f = 0.67 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.70 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.72 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
L-Isoleucine	$\vec{R}_{f} = 0.69 (S_{16}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.74 (S_{21}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.76 (S_{22}, M_{48}), $ $\vec{R}_{f} = 0.76 (S_{23}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.77 (S_{24}, M_{48}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.77 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.71 (S_{24}, M_{48}),$ $R_{f} = 0.77 (S_{8}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.71 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.77 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_f = 0.80 (S_8, M_{44}), R_f = 0.71 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_f = 0.81 (S_{20}, M_{44}), R_f = 0.80 (S_8, M_{45}), R_f = 0.75 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_f = 0.81 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
T. Maulau du a	$R_f = 0.77$ (S ₈ , M ₄₆), $R_f = 0.77$ (S ₁₇ , M ₄₆), $R_f = 0.79$ (S ₂₀ , M ₄₆),
L-Norleucine	$R_{f} = 0.73 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.75 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.73 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
	$\vec{R}_{f} = 0.73 (S_{16}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.71 (S_{21}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.76 (S_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.74 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.76 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_f = 0.76 (S_3, M_{47}), R_f = 0.90 (S_4, M_{47}), R_f = 0.75 (S_5, M_{47}),$ $R_f = 0.74 (S_5, M_{47}), R_f = 0.85 (S_5, M_{47}),$
DL-Valine	$\vec{R}_{f} = 0.74 (S_{6}, M_{47}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.85 (S_{7}, M_{47}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.89 (S_{9}, M_{47}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.85 (S_{10}, M_{47}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.78 (S_{13}, M_{47}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.84 (S_{14}, M_{47}),$
	$R_f = 0.83 (S_{18}, M_{47}), R_f = 0.83 (S_{19}, M_{47})$
	$R_f = 0.82 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.80 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.85 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
L-Valine	$R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.80 (S_{16}, M_{48}), R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.75 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.66 (S_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.62 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.79 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_f = 0.84 (S_8, M_{44}), R_f = 0.77 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_f = 0.80 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.75 (S_{8}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.76 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.81 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
L-Proline	$\begin{aligned} R_f &= 0.76 \; (\mathrm{S}_8, \mathrm{M}_{46}), R_f = 0.74 \; (\mathrm{S}_{17}, \mathrm{M}_{46}), R_f = 0.77 \; (\mathrm{S}_{20}, \mathrm{M}_{46}), \\ R_f &= 0.72 \; (\mathrm{S}_{11}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), R_f = 0.77 \; (\mathrm{S}_{12}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), R_f = 0.73 \; (\mathrm{S}_{15}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), \end{aligned}$
	$R_f = 0.72 (O_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.77 (O_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.70 (O_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.75 (S_{16}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.75 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.70 (S_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.69 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.66 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_f = 0.95 (S_8, M_{44}), R_f = 0.96 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_f = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.95 (S_{8}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
Glutamic acid	$R_{f} = 0.92 (S_{8}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.95 (S_{17}, M_{46}), R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{20}, M_{46}),$
	$\begin{split} R_f &= 0.93 \; (\mathrm{S}_{11}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), R_f = 0.97 \; (\mathrm{S}_{12}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), R_f = 0.88 \; (\mathrm{S}_{15}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), \\ R_f &= 0.94 \; (\mathrm{S}_{16}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), R_f = 0.25 \; (\mathrm{S}_{21}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), R_f = 0.24 \; (\mathrm{S}_{22}, \mathrm{M}_{48}), \end{split}$
	$R_f = 0.34 (S_{16}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.25 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.24 (S_{22}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.30 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.17 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_{f} = 0.91 (S_{8}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.93 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.97 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_{f}^{j} = 0.95 (S_{8}^{0}, M_{45}^{44}), R_{f}^{j} = 0.92 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{f}^{j} = 0.95 (S_{20}^{0}, M_{45}^{14}),$
L-Serine	$\vec{R_f} = 0.96 (S_8, M_{46}), \vec{R_f} = 0.96 (S_{17}, M_{46}), \vec{R_f} = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{46}),$
2 outline	$R_f = 0.94 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.97 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.91 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{16}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.40 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.38 (S_{22}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.41 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.49 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$R_{f} = 0.90 (S_{8}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{44}),$
	$R_f = 0.96 (S_8, M_{44}), R_f = 0.96 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_f = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{44}), R_f = 0.96 (S_8, M_{45}), R_f = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_f = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{45}),$
I Alanina	$R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.96 (S_{8}^{\prime}, M_{46}^{\prime}), R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.96 (S_{17}^{\prime}, M_{46}^{\prime}), R_{f}^{\prime} = 0.90 (S_{20}^{\prime}, M_{46}^{\prime}),$
L-Alanine	$\vec{R_f} = 0.97 (S_{11}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.94 (S_{12}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.95 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
	$R_{f} = 0.91 (S_{16}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.61 (S_{21}, M_{48}), R_{f} = 0.65 (S_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$\frac{R_f = 0.62 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.56 (S_{24}, M_{48})}{P_{10} = 0.04 (S_{10}, M_{10}), P_{10} = 0.06 (S_{10}, M_{10})}$
	$R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{8}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.96 (S_{20}, M_{44}), R_{f} = 0.87 (S_{8}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{f} = 0.93 (S_{20}, M_{45}), R_{45}), R_{5} = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{5} = 0.93 (S_{20}, M_{45}), R_{5} = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_{5} = 0.93 (S_{20}, M_{45}), R_{5} = 0.94 (S_{17}, $
	$R_f = 0.97 (S_8, M_{45}), R_f = 0.94 (S_{17}, M_{45}), R_f = 0.95 (S_{20}, M_{45}), R_f = 0.97 (S_8, M_{46}), R_f = 0.95 (S_{17}, M_{46}), R_f = 0.94 (S_{20}, M_{46}),$
L-Tyrosine	$R_f = 0.94 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.92 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.93 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
	$\vec{R_f} = 0.94 (S_{16}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.60 (S_{21}, M_{48}), \vec{R_f} = 0.69 (S_{22}, M_{48}),$
	$R_f = 0.49 (S_{23}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.57 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
T Aurini	$R_f = 0.95 (S_{11}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.89 (S_{12}, M_{48}), R_f = 0.95 (S_{15}, M_{48}),$
L-Arginine	$\vec{R}_{f} = 0.92 (S_{16}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = N.D (S_{21}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = N.D (S_{22}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.64 (S_{23}, M_{48}), \vec{R}_{f} = 0.56 (S_{24}, M_{48})$
	$r_f = 0.04 (0_{23}, 1v_{148}), r_f = 0.50 (0_{24}, 1v_{148})$

Mobile phase

 M_3

 M_4

 M_5

 M_6

 M_7

 M_8

M₁₇ M₁₈

M₁₉

 M_{21}

M₂₂ M₂₃

 M_{24}

 M_3 M_4

 $M_5 \ M_6$

 M_7

 M_8

M₁₇

 M_{18}

M₁₉

M₂₁

M₂₂ M₂₃

M₂₄

 M_3

 M_4

 M_5

 M_6

 M_7

M₈ M₃

 M_4

 M_5

M₆ M₇

M₈ M₃

 M_4

 M_5

 M_6

 M_7

 M_8

M₁₇ M₁₈

M₁₉

 M_{21}

M₂₂

M₂₃

 M_{24}

Amino acids

L-Lysine

L-Arginine

L-Ornithine

L-Histidine

L-Tryptophan

TABLE 4: R_f value of amino acids in different surfactants mediated solvent systems: data is extracted from references [12, 14].

Stationary phase

 S_1

 S_1

 S_1

 S_1

 S_1

 R_f value

0.52

0.40

0.05

0.07

0.09

0.12

0.83

0.80 0.75

0.04

0.06

0.12 0.20

0.55

0.41 0.02

0.03

0.06

0.10

0.79

0.77

0.74

0.06 0.09

0.19

0.21

0.60

0.45

0.05

0.09

0.12 0.15

0.68

0.50

0.30

0.40

0.45 0.48

0.72

0.62

0.58

0.64

0.50

0.66

0.94

0.91

0.90

0.45

0.50

0.66

0.75

Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value
	Mobile phase M ₁₇	otationary phase	0.88
	M ₁₇ M ₁₈		0.54
	M ₁₈ M ₁₉		0.80
L-Isoleucine	M ₂₁	S ₁	0.55
	M ₂₂	1	0.58
	M ₂₃		0.63
	M ₂₄		0.72
	M ₁₇		0.82
	M ₁₈		0.78
	M ₁₉		0.75
L-Hydroxyproline	M ₂₁	S_1	0.21
	M ₂₂		0.23
	M ₂₃		0.32
	M ₂₄		0.41
	M ₁₇		0.85
	M ₁₈		0.80
	M ₁₉		0.78
L-Proline	M ₂₁	S ₁	0.33
	M ₂₂		0.33
	M ₂₃		0.34
	M ₂₄		0.37
	M ₁₇		0.97
	M ₁₇ M ₁₈		0.93
	M ₁₈ M ₁₉		0.88
L-Cysteine	M ₂₁	S ₁	0.09
7		1	
	M ₂₂		0.17
	M ₂₃		0.23
	M ₂₄		0.45
	М ₁₇ М		$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\end{array}$
	M ₁₈ M ₁₉		0.00
L-Cystine		S ₁	
2 0/00000	M ₂₁	σŢ	0.00
	M ₂₂		0.00
	M ₂₃		0.00
	M ₂₄		0.00
	M ₁₇		0.90
	M ₁₈		0.88
	M ₁₉	0	0.83
L-Methionine	M ₂₁	S ₁	0.42
	M ₂₂		0.45
	M ₂₃		0.53
	M ₂₄		0.58
	M ₁₇		0.86
	M ₁₈		0.83
-	M ₁₉		0.78
L-Valine	M ₂₁	S ₁	0.36
	<i>2</i> 1		
	Maa		0.40
	M ₂₂ M ₂₃		0.40 0.49

Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value
	M ₁₇		0.91
	M ₁₈		0.89
	M ₁₉		0.84
L-Glycine	M ₂₁	S ₁	0.14
	M ₂₂		0.19
	M ₂₃		0.30
	M ₂₄		0.38
	M ₁₇		0.97
	M ₁₈		0.94
	M ₁₉		0.90
L-Serine	M ₂₁	S ₁	0.15
	M ₂₂		0.18
	M ₂₃		0.31
	M ₂₄		0.38

TABLE 4: Continued.

3. Microemulsion Thin-Layer Chromatography of Amino Acids

Microemulsions are thermodynamically stable, single optically isotropic solutions containing oil, water, surfactant, and a cosurfactant (medium chain alcohol, amine or similar polar organic molecule) [37]. Microemulsions have many distinct features as compared to other solvent systems used in the field of chromatography. These modern age solvent systems produce enormous application of chromatography in industries. The chromatographic data of amino acids using various microemulsion solvent systems is presented in Table 5. Some of the interesting features of microemulsions, such as unique solubilization and ability to incorporate solutes within the dispersed droplets and behave as extraction media, provide many applications in liquid chromatography [38]. The mechanism of microemulsion thin-layer chromatography devised by Tian and Xie [39] stated that solute is distributed between the immobile phase, continuous phase of oil or water, interior phase, and interphase.

Tian and Xie [39] have extensively studied the mobility behaviour of amino acids in the microemulsion containing CTAB, water, n-butyl alcohol, and n-octane of varying hydrous content. On the basis of their findings, it was reported that amino acids were distributed in the interior phase or continuous phase of microemulsion. The other probability reported was that amino acids could be interposed between barrier layers made of CTAB and n-butyl alcohol. The variation in R_f value could be due to the influence of several factors such as adsorption, distribution, static electricity, hydrophobic force, steric barriers, extraction, and back extraction. Tian and Xie [39] have successfully separated four components of amino acids (glutamic acid, alanine, isoleucine, and tryptophan) on silica surface with a microemulsion (CTAB:water:n-butyl alcohol:nheptane). "Mohammad et al." [40] have produced a selective separation of Tryptophan from a group of other amino acids by using microemulsion (SDS:water:n-pentanol:nheptane) on silica layer. "Mohammad et al." have utilised

TABLE 5: R_f	value of	amino	acids in	microemu	lsion	containing
solvent syster	ns: data is	s extract	ed from	references	15, 16].

Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value
	M ₄₉		0.01
	M_{50}		0.23
	M ₅₁		0.28
	M ₅₂		0.30
L-Glycine	M ₅₃	c	0.32
L-Glyclife	M_{54}	S_1	0.40
	M_{55}		0.54
	M_{56}		0.70
	M ₅₇		0.85 (ST)
	M_{58}		0.05
	M ₅₉		0.05
	M_{60}		0.09
	M ₄₉		0.15
	M_{50}		0.25
	M ₅₁		0.30
L-Alanine	M ₅₂	S ₁	0.32
L-Alamine	M ₅₃	\mathcal{G}_1	0.36
	M_{54}		0.42
	M ₅₅		0.58
	M_{56}		0.62
	M ₅₇		0.88 (I, S
	M ₄₉		0.18
	M_{50}		0.32
	M ₅₁		0.35
	M ₅₂		0.36
T TT 1.	M ₅₃	S	0.40
L-Valine	M_{54}	S_1	0.45
	M_{55}		0.60 (T)
	M_{56}		0.65 (T)
	M ₅₇		0.90 (ST
	M_{58}		0.05
	M ₅₉		0.05
	M_{60}		0.10
	M ₄₉		0.20
	M_{50}		0.35
	M ₅₁		0.38
L-Leucine	M ₅₂	S ₁	0.40
	M ₅₃		0.42
	M_{54}		0.47
	M_{55}		0.61
	M_{56}		0.66 (T)
	M ₅₇		0.92 (ST)

TABLE 5: Continued.			TABLE 5: Continued.				
Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value	Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value
	M ₄₉		0.22		M ₄₉		0.20
	M_{50}		0.36		M_{50}		0.30
	M ₅₁		0.40		M ₅₁		0.34
	M ₅₂		0.44		M ₅₂		0.38
	M ₅₃		0.56		M ₅₃		0.45
L-Isoleucine	M ₅₄	S ₁	0.50	L-Arginine	M ₅₄	S ₁	0.55
	M ₅₅		0.62 (T)		M ₅₅		0.65
	M_{56}		0.65 (T)		M ₅₆		0.75 (T)
	M ₅₇		0.92 (IS)		M ₅₇		0.85 (ST)
	M ₅₈		0.16		M ₅₈		0.08
	M ₅₈ M ₅₉		0.20		M ₅₉		0.15
	M ₅₉ M ₆₀		0.20		M ₆₀		0.26
	M ₆₀ M ₄₉		0.08		M ₄₉		0.01
			0.08		M ₅₀		0.11
	M ₅₀		0.12		M ₅₁		0.20
	M ₅₁			· · · · · ·	M ₅₂	0	0.28
	M ₅₂		0.28	L-Histidine	M ₅₃	S ₁	0.35
L-Serine	M ₅₃	c	0.35		M ₅₄		0.45
	M ₅₄	S ₁	0.40		M ₅₅		0.60
	M ₅₅		0.50		M ₅₆		0.75 (T)
	M ₅₆		0.60		M ₅₇		0.83 (ST)
	M ₅₇		0.78 (T)		M ₄₉		0.02
	M ₅₈		0.06		M ₅₀		0.05
	M ₅₉		0.10		M ₅₁		0.10
	M ₆₀		0.16	- · · · ·	M ₅₂		0.18
	M ₄₉		0.10	L-Aspartic acid	M ₅₃	S ₁	0.28
	M_{50}		0.15		M ₅₄		0.35
	M ₅₁		0.25		M ₅₅		0.40
L-Threonine	M ₅₂	S ₁	0.30		M ₅₆		0.50
L-Infeomine	M ₅₃		0.32		M ₅₇		0.60 (T)
	M ₅₄		0.38		M ₄₉		0.04
	M ₅₅		0.46		M ₅₀		0.10
					M ₅₁		0.15
	M ₅₆		0.50		M ₅₂	0	0.25
	M ₅₇		0.61 (T)	L-Glutamic acid	M ₅₃	S_1	0.35
	M ₄₉		0.10		M_{54}		0.38
	M_{50}		0.23		M ₅₅		0.45
	M ₅₁		0.28		M ₅₆		0.58 (T)
	M ₅₂		0.34		M ₅₇		0.70 (IS)
	M ₅₃		0.38		M ₄₉		0.08
L-Lysine	M ₅₄	S ₁	0.40		M ₅₀		0.16
					M ₅₁		0.20
	M ₅₅		0.47	T A .	M ₅₂	C	0.25
	M_{56}		0.52	L-Asparagine	M ₅₃	S_1	0.30
	M ₅₇		0.71 (T)		M_{54}		0.45
	M_{58}		0.07		M ₅₅		0.50
	M ₅₉		0.12		M ₅₆		0.55 (T)
	M ₆₀		0.19		M ₅₇		0.65 (T)

TABLE 5: Continued.			TABLE 5: Continued.				
Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value	Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value
	M ₄₉		0.10		M ₄₉		0.26
	M_{50}		0.21		M ₅₀		0.35
	M_{51}		0.25		M ₅₁		0.55
L-Glutamine	M ₅₂	S ₁	0.30	L-Tyrosine	M ₅₂	S ₁	0.65
	M ₅₃	-	0.35	2	M ₅₃	•	0.75
	M ₅₄		0.50		M_{54}		0.80
	M ₅₅		0.52		M ₅₅		0.82 (T)
	M_{56}		0.60 (T)		M ₅₆		0.85 (T)
	M ₅₇		0.70 (T)		M ₅₇		0.90 (T)
	M ₄₉		0.12		M ₄₉		0.40
	M ₅₀		0.16		M ₅₀		0.55
	M_{51}		0.22		M ₅₁		0.66
	M ₅₂		0.25		M ₅₂		0.72
	M ₅₃		0.32	L-Tryptophan	M ₅₃	S ₁	0.75
L-Cysteine	M ₅₄	S ₁	0.36	71 1	M_{54}	•	0.83
	M ₅₅		0.45		M ₅₅		0.86 (T)
	M ₅₆				M ₅₆		0.90 (T)
			0.55		M ₅₇		0.92 (T)
	M ₅₇		0.65 (T)		M ₅₈		0.10 0.14
	M ₅₈		0.03		M ₅₉ M ₆₀		0.14
	M ₅₉		0.10		M ₄₉		0.12
	M ₆₀		0.20		M ₅₀		0.18
	M ₄₉		0.18		M ₅₁		0.24
	M ₅₀		0.34		M ₅₂	S ₁	0.27
	M ₅₁		0.36		M ₅₃		0.32
	M ₅₂	S ₁	0.40	L-Proline	M ₅₄		0.38
L-Methionine	M ₅₃		0.45		M_{55}		0.55
	M_{54}		0.55		M ₅₆		0.78 (T)
	M ₅₅		0.60 (T)		M ₅₇		0.82 (ST)
	M_{56}		0.70 (T)		M ₅₈		0.04
	M ₅₇		0.85 (T)		M ₅₉		0.08
	M ₅₈		0.06		M ₆₀		0.10
	M ₅₉		0.12		M ₄₉ M ₅₀		0.10 0.15
	M ₆₀		0.17		M_{50} M_{51}		0.15
	M ₄₉		0.38		M ₅₂		0.30
	M ₅₀		0.44		M ₅₃		
	M ₅₁		0.50	L-Hydroxyproline		S ₁	0.36
DL-β-	M ₅₂	S ₁	0.55		M ₅₄		0.40
Phenylalanine	M ₅₃	31	0.60		M ₅₅		0.57
	M ₅₄		0.68		M ₅₆		0.86 (T)
	M ₅₅		0.08 0.70 (T)		M ₅₇		0.90 (ST
	M ₅₅		0.75 (T)		M ₅₈		0.08 0.11
	M ₅₆		0.85 (T)		M ₅₉ M ₆₀		0.11

TABLE 5: Continued

Amino acids	Mobile phase	Stationary phase	R_f value
L-Ornithine hydrochloride	M ₄₉	S ₁	0.12
	M_{50}		0.13
	M ₅₁		0.18
	M ₅₂		0.25
	M ₅₃		0.32
	M_{54}		0.34
	M ₅₅		0.42
	M_{56}		0.46 (T)
	M ₅₇		0.60 (ST)
L-Cysteine hydrochloride	M_{49}	S ₁	0.10
	M_{50}		0.12
	M ₅₁		0.25
	M ₅₂		0.28
	M ₅₃		0.34
	M_{54}		0.38
	M ₅₅		0.48
	M_{56}		0.59 (T)
	M ₅₇		0.70 (ST)

TABLE 5: Continued.

three different kinds of microemulsions for the selective separation of tryptophan but out of three only the microemulsion (SDS:water:n-butyl alcohol:n-heptane) was suitable for this separation.

4. Interaction of Studies of Amino Acids with Surfactants

Thin-layer chromatography is also a useful analytical tool for the study of various interactions or binding of various compounds. Very few articles are published on the interaction studies of aminoacids with surfactants by TLC. These interactions are biologically very important and are useful in various pharmaceutical and agrochemical formulations. These interactions have proved to enhance the efficiency of the active ingredients of various formulations. Forgacs [41] studied the interaction of amino acids with nonionic surfactants (nonylphenyl hexaethoxylates) by charge transfer reversed phase thin-layer chromatography. It was observed in most of the cases that the surfactant has a negligible effect on the hydrophobicity of amino acids. The binding of Cys, Glu, Gly, Hyp, Phe, and Tyr with the surfactant was observed and the strength of interaction was fairly low. This can be concluded from the study that the hydrophobicity of amino acid side chains significantly influenced the strength of interaction. Cserhati [42] also studied the interaction of amino acids with nonionic surfactant (ethoxylated stearic acid) by charge transfer reversed phase thin-layer chromatography. From the study it was observed that only Asn (asparagine), Cys (cysteine), Glu (glutamic acid), Leu (leucine), Lys (lysine), Met (methionine), Nle (norleucine), Phe (phenylalanine), Trp (tryptophan), and Tyr (tyrosine) bind with the surfactant. The stepwise regression analysis was used for the study of parameters of strength. It was observed from the study that electronic parameters of amino acids have the highest impact on the strength of interaction. In another study, Cserhati and Forgacs [43] studied the interaction of amino acids with cationic surfactant (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) by charge transfer RPTLC. The relative strength of interaction was also calculated in the study. The binding of Arg, Glu, Gly, Leu, Lys, Met, Nle, Phe, Trp, Tyr, and Val with the surfactant was observed. The strength of interaction was directly influenced by the hydrophobicity of the amino acid side chains.

References

- S. Garathi, "Glutamic acid, twenty years latter," *Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 130, pp. 901S–909S, 2000.
- [2] L. D. Stegink, "The aspartame story: a model for the clinical testing of a food additive," *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 204–215, 1987.
- [3] E. G. Sumina, S. N. Shtykov, and N. V. Tyurina, "Surfactants in thin-layer chromatography," *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 720–730, 2003.
- [4] A. Mohammad, R. Guptai, and S. A. Bhawani, "Micelles activated planar chromatographic separation of hydrophilic vitamins," *Tenside, Surfactants, Detergents*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 267– 270, 2009.
- [5] A. Mohammad, S. Sharma, and S. A. Bhawani, "Identification and quantification of lisinopril from pure, formulated and urine samples by micellar thin layer chromatography," *International Journal of PharmTech Research*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 264–272, 2009.
- [6] A. Mohammad, S. Sharma, and S. A. Bhawani, "Chromatographic separation studies of cephalosporins on CTAB modified silica layers with different buffer solvent systems," *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 591–595, 2009.
- [7] A. Mohammad, S. Sharma, and S. A. Bhawani, "Identification of ketoprofen in drug formulation and spiked urine samples by micellar thin layer chromatography and its quantitative estimation by high performance liquid chromatography," *International Journal of PharmTech Research*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 89–96, 2010.
- [8] S. A. Bhawani, S. Hena, M. N. Mohamad Ibrahim, O. Sulaiman, R. Hashim, and K. L. San, "Identification and separation of lead (II), nickel (II), and cobalt (II) on silica gel 60 F254 highperformance thin-layer chromatographic plates with mixed aqueous sodium dodecyl sulfate-oxalic acid solvent system," *Journal of Planar Chromatography*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 355–357, 2012.
- [9] D. W. Armstrong, "Pseudophase liquid chromatography: applications of TLC," *Journal of Liquid Chromatography*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 895–900, 1980.
- [10] D. W. Armstrong and K. H. Bui, "Use of aqueous micellar mobile phases in reverse phase TLC," *Journal of Liquid Chromatography*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 1043–1050, 1982.
- [11] A. Mohammad and V. Agrawal, "Applicability of surfactantmodified mobile phases in thin-layer chromatography of transition metal cations: identification and separation of zinc(II), cadmium(II), and mercury(II) in their mixtures," *Journal of Planar Chromatography*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 210–216, 2000.
- [12] A. Mohammad and V. Agrawal, "Use of cationic micellar mobile phases in normal-phase TLC for enhanced selectivity in the separation of transition metal ions: simultaneous separation of

mixtures of zinc, nickel, mercury, and cadmium or manganese cations," *Acta Chromatography*, no. 12, pp. 177–188, 2002.

- [13] R. Jain and S. Gupta, "Rapid thin layer chromatographic separation of some aromatic amines using surfactants," *Journal of Indian Chemical Society*, vol. 71, pp. 709–710, 1994.
- [14] T. J. Ward and K. D. Ward, "Solubilization in micellar separations," in *Solubilization in Surfactant Aggregates*, S. D. Christian and J. F. Scamehorn, Eds., CRC Press, 1995.
- [15] L. Lepri, P. G. Desideri, and H. Heimler, "Soap thin-layer chromatography of primary aromatic amines," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 155, no. 1, pp. 119–127, 1978.
- [16] J. Sherma, B. P. Sleckman, and D. W. Armstrong, "Chromatography of amino acids on reversed-phase thin-layer chromatographic plates," *Journal of Liquid Chromatography*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 95–108, 1983.
- [17] A. Mohammad and A. Zehra, "Surfactants modified silica phase for sorption studies of essential amino acids by thin layer chromatography," *Colloids and Surfaces A*, vol. 301, no. 1–3, pp. 404–411, 2007.
- [18] A. Mohammad and N. Haq, "TLC separation of amino acids with a green mobile phase," *Journal of Planar Chromatography*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 260–264, 2010.
- [19] M. J. Rosen, Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena, John Wiley and Sons, 3rd edition, 2004.
- [20] L. Lepri, P. G. Desideri, and D. Heimler, "Soap thin-layer chromatography of some primary aliphatic amines," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 153, no. 1, pp. 77–82, 1978.
- [21] G. Szepesi, Z. Végh, Z. Gyulay, and M. Gazdag, "Optimization of reversed-phase ion-pair chromatography by over-pressurized thin-layer chromatography. I. Over-pressurized thin-layer chromatography," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 290, pp. 127–134, 1984.
- [22] K. Kovacs-Hadady and J. Szilagyi, "Separation of minoxidil and its intermediates by overpressured layer chromatography using a stationary phase bonded with tricaprylmethylammonium chloride," *Journal of Chromatography*, vol. 553, no. 1-2, pp. 459– 466, 1991.
- [23] I. D. Wilson, "Ion-pair reversed-phase thin-layer chromatography of organic acids. Re-investigation of the effects of solvent pH on RF values," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 354, pp. 99–106, 1986.
- [24] A. N. Ejhieh and N. Masoudipour, "Application of a new potentiometric method for determination of phosphate based on a surfactant-modified zeolite carbon-paste electrode (SMZ-CPE)," *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 658, no. 1, pp. 68–74, 2010.
- [25] A. Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh and A. Esmaeilian, "Application of surfactant modified zeolite carbon paste electrode (SMZ-CPE) towards potentiometric determination of sulfate," *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, vol. 147, no. 1, pp. 302–309, 2012.
- [26] A. Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh and Z. Nematollahi, "Surfactant modified zeolite carbon paste electrode (SMZ-CPE) as a nitrate selective electrode," *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 56, no. 24, pp. 8334– 8341, 2011.
- [27] A. Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh and E. Mirzaeyan, "Oxalate membraneselective electrode based on surfactant-modified zeolite," *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 56, no. 22, pp. 7749–7757, 2011.
- [28] A. Mohammad and N. Haq, "Synergistic effect of cationicnonionic surfactants on simultaneous separation of phenylalanine and tyrosine," *Tenside, Surfactants, Detergents*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 248–253, 2010.

- [29] D. W. Armstrong and F. Nome, "Partitioning behavior of solutes eluted with micellar mobile phases in liquid chromatography," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 1662–1666, 1981.
- [30] D. W. Armstrong and G. Y. Stine, "Evaluation of partition coefficients to micelles and cyclodextrins via planar chromatography," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 105, no. 10, pp. 2962–2964, 1983.
- [31] D. W. Armstrong and G. Y. Stine, "Selectivity in pseudophase liquid chromatography," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 55, no. 14, pp. 2317–2320, 1983.
- [32] A. Mohammad and S. Hena, "Use of sodium bis(2-ethyl hexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) anionic surfactant mobile phase system in thin-layer chromatography of amino acids: simultaneous separation of thioamino acids," *Chromatography*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 111–118, 2004.
- [33] M. J. Rosen, Surfactant and Interfacial Phenomena, Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1989.
- [34] N. Nishikido, "Mixed micelles of polyoxyethylene-type nonionic and anionic surfactants in aqueous solutions," *Journal of Colloid And Interface Science*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 242–251, 1977.
- [35] A. Mohammad and S. Laeeq, "Mixed surfactants enable separation of lysine from other essential amino acids in TLC on silica gel," *Journal of Planar Chromatography*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 423– 427, 2007.
- [36] A. Mohammad and R. Gupta, "Mobility behaviour of amino acids on silica static phase: micelles activated separations," *Colloids and Surfaces B*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 166–171, 2008.
- [37] A. M. Bellocq, J. Biais, P. Bothorel et al., "Microemulsions," Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, vol. 20, no. 3-4, pp. 167–272, 1984.
- [38] A. Berthod, O. Nicolas, and M. Porthault, "Water in oil microemulsions as mobile phase in liquid chromatography," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 62, no. 14, pp. 1402–1407, 1990.
- [39] D. Tian and H. Q. Xie, "Influence of microemulsion conditions on the thin layer chromatographic behavior of amino acids," *Journal of Liquid Chromatography and Related Technologies*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 763–771, 2008.
- [40] A. Mohammad, S. Hena, and S. A. Bhawani, "TLC separation of L-tryptophan using microemulsion mobile phase and its spectrophotometric determination," *Indian Journal of Chemistry A*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 1663–1666, 2006.
- [41] E. Forgacs, "Interaction of amino acids with the nonionic surfactant nonylphenyl hexaethoxylate," *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 1993.
- [42] T. Cserhati, "Charge-transfer chromatographic study on the interaction of amino acids with ethoxylated stearic acid surfactants," *Biomedical Chromatography*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 45–48, 1994.
- [43] T. Cserhati and E. Forgacs, "Binding of amino acids to the cationic surfactant cetyltrimethylammoniumbromide," *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 555–562, 1995.