

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Trends Mol Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Trends Mol Med. 2013 November ; 19(11): . doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2013.08.007.

TRAIL on Trial: Preclinical advances for cancer therapy

Daniel W. Stuckey1,2 and **Khalid Shah**1,2,3,4

¹Molecular Neurotherapy and Imaging Laboratory, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA, MA 02129

²Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA, MA 02129

³Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA, MA 02129

⁴Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA, MA 02138

Abstract

TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand, or TRAIL, is a promising anti-cancer agent as it can induce apoptosis in a wide range of cancers whilst generally sparing non-malignant cells. However, the translation of TRAIL into the clinic has been confounded by its short half-life, inadequate delivery methods and TRAIL-resistant cancer cell populations. In this review we discuss how TRAIL has been functionalized to diversify its traditional tumor-killing role and novel strategies to facilitate its effective deployment in preclinical cancer models. The successes and failures of the most recent clinical trials using TRAIL agonists are discussed and we provide a perspective for improving its clinical implementation.

Keywords

TRAIL; apoptosis; cancer; targeted therapy; stem cells

1. Cancer and TRAIL

Cancer is the leading cause of premature death in humans and despite improvements in detection methods, clinical intervention and increased public awareness of risk factors, the prevalence of cancer in economically developed countries continues to rise[1]. Essentially cancer is a disease resulting from unregulated cell growth. Genes involved in balancing cell proliferation and cell death are mutated such that tissue homeostasis goes awry culminating in cancerous cells that rapidly divide and escape inherent cell death induction[2]. Typically the current standard of care to treat solid cancers includes surgery to remove the bulk of the tumor and subsequent radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy to kill residual cancerous cells. The downside of using these conventional adjuvant therapies is their unspecific mode of action, often causing substantial death of healthy cells. Ideally, cancer therapies should specifically and robustly target cancerous cells whilst leaving normal healthy cells untouched. One strategy is to enhance cell death-related signaling pathways in cancers using pro-apoptotic proteins[3]. In the mid-90s, a new member of the Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) family was discovered and named TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)[4, 5] (Figure 1). TRAIL was shown to possess the ability to induce apoptosis in a wide range of human cancer cell lines without significant cytotoxicity towards normal cells[6–8].

Corresponding author: Shah, K. (kshah@mgh.harvard.edu).

Nearly twenty years on the focus is to understand how to optimize the therapeutic efficacy of TRAIL in pre-clinical models in an effort to translate this promising agent into the clinic[9].

2. TRAIL signaling and apoptosis

Apoptosis is an essential event in maintaining tissue homeostasis by eliminating harmful cells from the body[10]. There are two pathways through which apoptosis may occur. The first is the extrinsic pathway which acts independently of the transcription factor p53 and is mediated by death receptors belonging to the TNF receptor superfamily such as TRAIL-R1/ R2[11]. The intrinsic, or mitochondrial pathway is triggered in response to cellular stress and DNA damage and involves activation of p53 and the release of pro-apoptotic factors from the mitochondria[12] (Figure 2). TRAIL-induced apoptosis is mediated by the extrinsic pathway, but in certain circumstances, when a cell is additionally stressed, it can be enhanced by the intrinsic pathway resulting in expedition of apoptosis[13]. Crosstalk occurs at many points between the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic signaling pathways creating a complex and intricately balanced system[14]. One such node is caspase-8, required to cleave differential substrates in both pathways[15] (Figure 2). In order to maintain control of the apoptotic machinery both the extrinsic and intrinsic pathways are highly regulated at multiple levels by pro- and anti-apoptotic modulators. For example at the TRAIL deathinducing signaling complex (DISC), variants of a protease-deficient caspase homolog called cFLIP (cellular FLICE-inhibitory protein) inhibits the activation of caspase-8 and thus the propagation of apoptotic signaling. It is worth noting that TRAIL signaling does not always result in apoptosis of cancer cells. Studies have shown that TRAIL may induce pro-survival response via signaling factors that include NF-κB, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and Akt (Protein kinase B)[16]. These pro-apoptotic and pro-survival signals compete with each other to determine survival outcome.

3. TRAIL Therapy

3.1 Systemic delivery of TRAIL

To date, many recombinant versions of human TRAIL have been created to augment its tumor-killing potential (Table 1). Untagged soluble human TRAIL (also called dulanermin), has a short serum half-life of approximately 30 minutes in non-human primates, shown to have comparable receptor binding to humans [17]. Owing to its small size, systemically delivered TRAIL is rapidly cleared from the body via the kidneys[17, 18]. This necessitates the need for repeated administration or more complex delivery methods to maintain therapeutically efficacious levels in the circulation [3]. One approach has been to facilitate its oligomerization by addition of peptide 'tags' which non-covalently interact. Increasing the total size of the oligomer has a twofold effect, firstly by retarding *in vivo* clearance from the circulation and also by reducing the proportion of inactive aggregates. Examples of this strategy include addition of a FLAG tag (FLAG-TRAIL[19]), leucine or isoleucine zipper (LZ-TRAIL[8]; iz-TRAIL[20, 21]) or a tanascin-C (TNC) oligomerization domain (TNC-TRAIL[22]). These modifications increase the stability of TRAIL and often enhance its activity (Table 1). Another strategy to improve the pharmacokinetic profile of a recombinant protein is to covalently link it to a molecule with more favorable properties[23]. For example, adding human serum albumin (HAS), which has a superior plasma half-life compared to TRAIL, to its N-terminus significantly improves its circulating half-life *in vivo* whilst maintaining its anti-tumor activity[24]. A similar strategy has been to attach PEG at different molecular weights to make PEGylated TRAIL derivatives which demonstrate protracted anti-tumor activity compared to untagged TRAIL[25, 26]. Instead of chemically modifying TRAIL, Kim *et al*., used a nanocomplex system for its long-term delivery[27]. In an *in vivo* xenograft tumor model these TRAIL-loaded microspheres were shown to inhibit

tumor growth and displayed sustained TRAIL release over 10 days[27]. These approaches have helped to improve the therapeutic efficacy of systemically delivered TRAIL.

Although many soluble forms of TRAIL are well tolerated and therapeutically efficacious against TRAIL-sensitive tumors*,* cells expressing high levels of decoy receptors (TRAIL-R3/R4) might be protected from TRAIL-induced apoptosis. To overcome this problem, several groups have shown that tumor cell apoptosis can be enhanced through the use of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that target specific TRAIL receptors[28, 29]. *In vivo*, therapeutic antibodies have a longer half-life than recombinant soluble TRAIL, and as the antibodies specifically target death-inducing TRAIL receptors any problems concerning decoy receptors are circumvented. An arsenal of humanized and fully human agonistic mAbs have been developed against human death receptors and tested in cancer lines to assess their efficacy. One such example is TRA-8, a humanized mouse mAb specific for TRAIL-R2 that has been shown to induce apoptosis in many cancers [30]. Furthermore, treatment of primary human hepatocytes with TRA-8 did not initiate an apoptotic response indicating the tumor-specific action of this mAb[31]. Although encouraging preclinical results have been obtained using mAbs targeted towards death receptors, the recruitment of immune cells is a potential concern. In addition, as with systemic TRAIL delivery, mAbs do not cross the blood brain barrier which confounds their use in intracranial tumors. Nevertheless, a number of monoclonal antibodies that have proven pre-clinically successful have entered clinical trials.

3.2 Viral delivery

Viral vectors are essential to develop novel and efficient gene and cell-based therapies. TRAIL has been delivered via a variety of vector types that vary in their mode of action, and therefore their suitability for different applications. Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) are able to stably integrate into dividing and non-dividing cells and display low immunogenicity. Secretable TRAIL has been incorporated into AAVs and used to treat a variety of carcinomas[32, 33]. The disadvantage of AAVs is their limited cloning capacity, preventing the use of large therapeutic genes. Similarly, adenoviruses (AVs) are able to infect dividing and non-dividing cells. In addition, large fragments of DNA (up to 7.5 kb) can be integrated into the genome making them more amenable to express recombinant DNA. Several groups have expressed TRAIL in AV vectors and used them to treat malignancies including non-small lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma and GBMs[34–36]. The drawbacks of using AVs are they are relatively immunogenic and display instability of transgene expression which can limit their therapeutic potential.

Lentiviruses (LVs) are a subclass of the retrovirus family and are a highly efficient gene delivery vector owing to their ability to infect dividing and non-dividing cells and deliver a large amount of viral RNA into the host DNA. The copy number of target cells is also relatively predictable and LVs have been effectively used to deliver TRAIL in conjunction with other therapeutic and diagnostic proteins. Examples include the co-infection of secretable TRAIL (sTRAIL) and Bcl-2 shRNA to treat lymphoma[37] and sTRAIL in conjunction with fluorescent and bioluminescent proteins to infect stem cells that track and treat GBM[38]. As with AVs, LVs can also cause an immune response and care must be taken when introducing foreign DNA into the LV genome that unwanted viral side effects are avoided. In the field of cancer biology, oncolytic viruses appear to be the most promising viral vectors to date. Oncolytic viruses are genetically modified viruses that, upon infection, preferentially replicate and lyse cancer cells whilst sparing normal cells[39]. Of the oncolytic viruses being developed, oncolytic herpes simplex virus (oHSV)-1 is being extensively used to treat many types of cancer[40]. We have shown that oHSV bearing sTRAIL (oHSV-TRAIL) can be used to treat a number of TRAIL-resistant GBM lines[41].

oHSV-TRAIL can modulate specific signaling pathways, 'priming' TRAIL-resistant GBM lines to apoptose by activation of caspase 8, 9 and 3, leading to an inhibition of tumor growth and prolonged survival of tumor-bearing mice. This study demonstrated that oHSV and TRAIL can function in concert to overcome TRAIL resistance[41].

To summarize, viral delivery has proven to be an effective means to express soluble TRAIL in a pre-clinical context. Now the challenge is to ensure absolute safety of the viral system to avoid complications in its clinical translation. The first step is to minimize the immune response that is elicited; this can not only impede delivery to target cells but also cause severe reactions to the patient. Secondly it is essential that the integration of DNA into the genome of the cell is targeted, not random, to avoid disruption of necessary cellular processes which might themselves form cancer. If both of these concerns are addressed then viral delivery could be a highly effective strategy to succeed in the clinic.

3.3 Stem cell delivery of TRAIL

Many types of stem cell have been shown to exhibit inherent tropism towards tumors, malignant lesions and other sites of pathology $[42]$. In addition, adult stem cells in their unmodified state have been demonstrated to have anti-tumor effects owing to factors that are released and physical interactions that are established between the stem cell and the tumor cell[43]. These attributes make stem cells an ideal candidate to treat cancer, acting as effective vehicles for delivering therapies to isolated tumors. The degree of stem cell migration towards a tumor depends on the nature of the stem cell (heterogeneity of population, culture conditions, expression of migratory factors) and the tumor microenvironment. Evidence suggests that migration of stem cells can be facilitated by locally irradiating the tumor [44, 45]. This strategy could be combined with radiotherapy regimes implemented in the clinic to enhance the effectiveness of tumor-specific stem cell targeting.

In the last decade, many studies have attempted to complement the inherent pathotropic properties of stem cells by modifying them to express antitumor agents which can then be locally delivered to the tumor [46]. We and others have engineered a variety of adult stem cell types to express soluble TRAIL which are then transplanted into mice bearing tumors (Table 2). The TRAIL protein is modified to make it secretable, enabling the stem cell to elicit a specific and sustained TRAIL response in the vicinity of the tumor. Stem cell-based delivery of TRAIL has been particularly well studied in mouse models of GBM. Primary or established GBM cell lines are orthotopically implanted into the brain and allowed to establish before engraftment of therapeutic stem cells that subsequently track the tumor, inhibit its growth and prolong survival[47–51]. Viral infection is mainly used to introduce TRAIL into stem cells, although a small number of studies have used non-viral methods to alleviate any safety concerns[48, 52]. Stem cell delivery of TRAIL can be combined with other compounds to potentiate its effect. For example we used PI-103 (PI3-kinase/mTOR inhibitor) in combination with murine neural stem cells (NSC)-TRAIL to augment its *in vivo* response towards established GBM[53]. The combination of murine neural precursor cells with anti-miR-21 oligonucleotides also resulted in synergism with TRAIL *in vivo*, leading to the eradication of highly proliferative GBM[54]. TRAIL-expressing stem cells have been successfully applied to other cancer models. Loebinger and colleagues engineered human MSCs to conditionally express TRAIL using the Tet promoter[55]. In co-culture experiments, the activation of TRAIL in MSCs was sufficient to selectively kill lung, breast, squamous and cervical cancer cells. Furthermore, when applied to a pulmonary metastasis model, tumor growth was significantly reduced and lung metastases were targeted and completely cleared[55]. Renal cell carcinoma, malignant fibrous histiocytoma and lung metastases have also been successfully treated using stem cell expression of TRAIL

demonstrating that this approach has much potential in treating a variety of bulk tumors and micrometastatic lesions[52, 56].

4. Tackling TRAIL resistance using combination therapy

Tumor cells have a mixed response to TRAIL-mediated killing. In an *in vitro* study looking at the efficacy of untagged TRAIL on multiple cancer cell lines the majority displayed some degree of cytostatic or cytotoxic effects, although 20% were refractory to its action[7]. Furthermore, cancer cells can acquire TRAIL resistance during the evolution of the tumor[57]. Both intrinsic and acquired resistance to TRAIL poses a huge problem in establishing clinically efficacious TRAIL therapies. Evidence suggests that resistance can occur through defects at every level of the TRAIL-signaling pathway from ligand binding to cleavage of the effector caspases[13, 58]. Considering the proportion of cancer cells with some degree of intrinsic or acquired resistance towards TRAIL, one might predict the success of using TRAIL as a therapeutic agent modest at best. However, many groups have shown that radiation and/or various classes of drugs can synergize with TRAIL when used in combination[28]. The signaling mechanisms responsible for this synergy are still being defined, and differ depending on the drugs' specific mode of action[58]. From an apoptotic standpoint, because TRAIL initiates cell death in a p53-independent manner[13] (Figure 2), as opposed to chemotherapy or radiation which are often p53-dependant, a combination of the two treatments augment the total apoptotic signal produced by the cell[28].

Many studies have combined chemotherapeutic drugs and TRAIL as a means to treat tumors. For example the use of gemcitabine, oxaliplatin and irinotecan in combination with TRAIL, treated gastroenterological tumors more effectively than any of these agents alone[21]. Another genotoxic drug, cisplatin, in combination with TRAIL-encoding retrovirus resulted in higher anticancer activity in ovarian carcinoma cells *in vitro* and in xenografts[59]. Inhibiting the proteasome using bortezomib synergizes with TRAIL to sensitize tumor cell lines and primary tumor cells[60], a phenomenon also seen by inhibiting histone deacetylases (HDAC)[61–63]. The molecular mechanism of TRAIL-sensitization is starting to become apparent and we have shown that upon HDAC inhibition TRAIL death receptors are upregulated on the cell surface[61]. Using a TRAIL-R1/2 reporter system the upregulation of death receptors in response to HDAC inhibition was followed *in vitro* and *in vivo* in real time and correlated with increased TRAIL sensitivity[61]. Furthermore, treatment of GBM cells with the HDAC inhibitor MS275 prime these cells for TRAILinduced apoptosis by increasing the binding of c-myc to the cFLIP promoter thereby reducing its activity[62]. These findings demonstrate that combinatorial therapy can elicit enhanced TRAIL-mediated apoptosis in a variety of tumor cell lines and in some cases reverse resistance to TRAIL. Though it might risk sensitizing normal cells, combinatorial therapy represents a promising strategy for treating cancers that are resistant to TRAIL.

5. Promising Preclinical studies

The use of TRAIL to treat malignancies in a preclinical setting has entered an exciting phase with groups around the world finding innovative ways to modify and deploy TRAIL to maximize its tumor-killing potential (Figure 3). Outlined below are a number of recent studies which show significant clinical promise.

5.1 Encapsulating TRAIL-expressing stem cells

TRAIL displays a short half-life making it difficult to maintain therapeutically efficacious levels in the vicinity of the tumor[17, 18]. One strategy to overcome this challenge is to encapsulate TRAIL-expressing stem cells in a synthetic scaffold, allowing them to be retained in a localized manner. Two recent papers have utilized this approach in glioma,

bone and lung metastasis mouse models[64, 65]. In the first example we encapsulated stem cells expressing TRAIL in a synthetic extracellular matrix (sECM) which were then introduced into the resection cavity after GBM surgical debulking[64]. The mouse model of tumor resection attempts to recapitulate the clinical situation in humans, whilst the encapsulated cells are prevented from being washed out of the cavity by cerebrospinal fluid, enabling them to act on residual GBM cells found in the resection margins[64]. This approach delayed regrowth of malignant and invasive brain tumors and significantly increased survival of mice[64]. In the second study, Reagan *et al*., seeded biocompatible silk scaffolds with TRAIL-expressing hMSCs which were implanted subcutaneously into mice bearing bone or lung metastases[65]. TRAIL expression was regulated using an inducible promoter and when expressed, mice showed a decrease in tumor burden compared to no TRAIL controls[65]. These two papers demonstrate the effectiveness of encapsulating therapeutic stem cells and insight into how this technology could be translated into the clinic.

5.2 Two birds, one stone: targeting multiple signaling pathways

An emerging branch of cancer therapies is the use of smaller antibody fragments such as single-chain variable fragments (scFv) and nanobodies (consisting of just the V_HH domain) that bind to epitopes overexpressed on tumor cells to perturb specific signaling pathways[66]. These molecules are significantly smaller in size than mAbs allowing increased tissue dispersal and improved stability compared to their full length counterparts [67, 68]. These proteins can be additionally fused to TRAIL resulting in a bifunctional fusion protein that can activate the TRAIL signaling pathway and modulate an additional signaling pathway in parallel. This strategy has been applied to make an arsenal of scFvsTRAIL fusion proteins that bind to various targets[23]. For example, a scFvCD19-sTRAIL bispecific antibody fragment was able to selectively induce apoptosis in leukemic Blymphoid cells[69]. Interestingly, strong induction of apoptosis was also observed in CD19 negative B-lymphoid cells via potent bystander effect, exemplifying the potential of this approach at tackling a heterogeneous tumor population through paracrine receptor activation[69]. In another recent study we fused sTRAIL to an EGFR-specific nanobody to make a pro-apoptotic immunoconjugate (ENb2-TRAIL) which concurrently targets cell proliferation and death pathways[70] (Figure 4). Stem cells were engineered to express ENb2-TRAIL, which were then applied to tumor models of malignant and primary invasive GBMs[70]. EGFR signaling was efficiently inhibited in tumor cells which were then killed by TRAIL-mediated apoptosis. *In vivo*, when mice bearing intracranial GBM were treated with stem cell-delivered ENb2-TRAIL, tumor burden was significantly decreased and mice survival was prolonged[70]. Taken together, bifunctional antibody fragments possess many attributes that makes this a novel and potentially effective means to treat malignancies.

5.3 Tracking TRAIL

TRAIL has been modified in various ways to directly visualize and/or detect the protein *in vitro* and in pre-clinical tumor models (Table 1). A common method is to create fusion proteins of TRAIL whereby DNA encoding fluorescent proteins such as GFP are placed inframe with TRAIL cDNA in a suitable expression vector allowing one to visualize the expression of TRAIL-expressing cells [20]. This approach was extended by combining fluorescent proteins with bioluminescent proteins, such as firefly luciferase, to allow noninvasive visualization using bioluminescent imaging (BLI). One example is SRL_0L_2TR , a fusion protein we engineered to contain luciferase linked to the N-terminus of secretable TRAIL[38]. This protein allows the direct visualization and monitoring of extracellular TRAIL levels by bioluminescent imaging[38]. Other groups have modified TRAIL by directly conjugating moieties onto the protein. Recently Duiker *et al.,* radioiodinated recombinant human TRAIL enabling them to measure the biodistribution and clearance of

TRAIL *in vivo*[18]. In addition the monoclonal antibody mapatumumab, which binds to TRAIL-R1, was conjugated to 111 I enabling one to predict clinical efficacy depending on the tumor type and its TRAIL-R1 status[18]. In another approach, human recombinant TRAIL was conjugated to a polymeric ultrasound contrast agent (UCA)[71]. Ligation of TRAIL to the UCA facilitates its targeting to extravascular sites and once there, the microcapsule can be fragmented into nanoparticles by focused ultrasound allowing dispersal throughout the tumor[71]. TRAIL was also conjugated to magnetic ferric oxide nanoparticles and shown to have superior anti-tumor activity towards glioma cells and glioma stem cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* as compared to unconjugated TRAIL[72]. These examples demonstrate that TRAIL can be additionally functionalized to offer additional desirable diagnostic characteristics on top of its inherent pro-apoptotic mode of action.

6. TRAIL in clinical trials

A number of different compounds targeting TRAIL receptors have proven sufficiently efficacious in preclinical studies to warrant progression to clinical trials[28, 58]. Phase I trials of single agents have largely been undertaken on patients with advanced solid tumors and include soluble rhTRAIL (dulanermin)[73], the TRAIL-R1 mAb agonist mapatumumab[74] and TRAIL-R2 mAb agonists tigatuzumab, lexatumumab and Apomab[75–77]. Whilst these compounds were largely well tolerated their anti-cancer response was poor with the vast majority of patients showing no remission. To date, the most promising monotherapy has been mapatumumab which entered a phase II clinical trial in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma with almost one-third of patients responding and one showing complete recovery[78].

Combination therapies that pass through phase Ib trials, in which dosage range and side effects are assessed, enter phase II where patient cohorts are increased in number. Genentech, Amgen, GlaxoSmithKline and The National Cancer Institute have all sponsored trials that have reached phase II. When looking for details regarding specific trials on [http://](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) www.clinicaltrials.gov it is apparent that several have been terminated or withdrawn (NCT01017822, NCT01017822, NCT00819169 and NCT00400764). Another portion have been completed but results have not been updated on the site making it difficult to assess their success (NCT00630552, NCT00583830, NCT00626704, NCT00534027, NCT00583830, NCT00508625 and NCT00480831). The remaining active trials comprise conatumumab (formally AMG 655), a fully human mAb against TRAIL-R2 in combination with additional chemotherapeutic agents. Trial NCT00625651 uses conatumumab in combination with FOLFOX6 (folinic acid, 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin) and the angiogenesis inhibitor bevacizumab (Avastin) to treat patients with colorectal cancer (CRC), whilst trial NCT01327612 uses the same combination therapy to treat patients with CRC, lymphoma and non-small cell lung cancer. The rationale is that genotoxic FOLFOX6 will increase p53-dependant apoptosis and sensitize TRAIL-resistant cancer cells, whilst bevacizumab will inhibit the formation of nascent blood vessels in the tumor. Conatumumab is also being used in combination with FOLFOX6 or FOLFIRI (folinic acid, 5-fluorouracil and irinotecan) to treat CRC in trials NCT00625651 and NCT00813605 respectively. These trials are ongoing and the relative success of these combination therapies on patients remains to be seen.

7. Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives

A dichotomy seems to exist in TRAIL research. Preclinical studies on understanding TRAIL-induced apoptosis and its anti-tumor mode of action are going from strength to strength and a panoply of TRAIL derivatives have been developed to improve its pharmacokinetic, therapeutic and diagnostic properties (Table 1). However the translation of

encouraging preclinical studies into the clinic seems to have stalled, with the majority of clinical trials faltering at phase I. It is important to consider that none of the trials preselect patients on the basis of degree of TRAIL sensitivity. Indeed, for a tumor to flourish it is likely to have developed ways to bypass endogenous TRAIL-killing mechanisms, rendering the tumor TRAIL-resistant[57]. To improve the effectiveness of TRAIL therapy efforts should be spent in understanding how the TRAIL pathway is disrupted in individual cancers and which combination therapies can be deployed most effectively. To tackle this challenge the cancer field would benefit from detailed characterization of the genetic and epigenetic makeup of patient-derived tumors to identify defects in cell proliferation and death pathways[79]. Computational modeling could prove invaluable to help collate and interpret huge datasets, finding novel targets that might enhance apoptosis in TRAIL-resistant populations[80]. To complement this approach, preclinical studies should focus on using primary patient-derived cell lines as opposed to established cancer cell lines. Testing combination therapies on improved preclinical models which more faithfully reflect the clinical situation will help identify relevant therapies and possibly improve their success rate. Perhaps in the future, a biopsied tumor from a patient could be molecularly screened, assigned an individual TRAIL-sensitivity signature and matched to similar tumors in a cancer bank. Using this information should enable a more tailored, theranostic approach, permitting better informed therapeutic intervention.

Acknowledgments

We apologize to all colleagues whose work could not be cited owing to space limitations. This work was supported by RO1CA138922, R01CA173077 and James McDonald Foundation.

Glossary

References

- 1. Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2011; 61:69–90. [PubMed: 21296855]
- 2. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011; 144:646–674. [PubMed: 21376230]
- 3. Ashkenazi A, et al. Ligand-based targeting of apoptosis in cancer: the potential of recombinant human apoptosis ligand 2/Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (rhApo2L/ TRAIL). Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2008; 26:3621–3630. [PubMed: 18640940]

- 4. Wiley SR, et al. Identification and characterization of a new member of the TNF family that induces apoptosis. Immunity. 1995; 3:673–682. [PubMed: 8777713]
- 5. Pitti RM, et al. Induction of apoptosis by Apo-2 ligand, a new member of the tumor necrosis factor cytokine family. The Journal of biological chemistry. 1996; 271:12687–12690. [PubMed: 8663110]
- 6. Allen JE, El-Deiry WS. Regulation of the human TRAIL gene. Cancer biology & therapy. 2012; 13:1143–1151. [PubMed: 22892844]
- 7. Ashkenazi A, et al. Safety and antitumor activity of recombinant soluble Apo2 ligand. The Journal of clinical investigation. 1999; 104:155–162. [PubMed: 10411544]
- 8. Walczak H, et al. Tumoricidal activity of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand in vivo. Nature medicine. 1999; 5:157–163.
- 9. Johnstone RW, et al. The TRAIL apoptotic pathway in cancer onset, progression and therapy. Nature reviews. Cancer. 2008; 8:782–798.
- 10. Fuchs Y, Steller H. Programmed cell death in animal development and disease. Cell. 2011; 147:742–758. [PubMed: 22078876]
- 11. Thorburn A. Death receptor-induced cell killing. Cellular signalling. 2004; 16:139–144. [PubMed: 14636884]
- 12. Galluzzi L, et al. Mitochondria: master regulators of danger signalling. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology. 2012; 13:780–788.
- 13. Johnstone RW, et al. Apoptosis: a link between cancer genetics and chemotherapy. Cell. 2002; 108:153–164. [PubMed: 11832206]
- 14. Spencer SL, Sorger PK. Measuring and modeling apoptosis in single cells. Cell. 2011; 144:926– 939. [PubMed: 21414484]
- 15. Kantari C, Walczak H. Caspase-8 and bid: caught in the act between death receptors and mitochondria. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 2011; 1813:558–563. [PubMed: 21295084]
- 16. Falschlehner C, et al. TRAIL signalling: decisions between life and death. The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology. 2007; 39:1462–1475. [PubMed: 17403612]
- 17. Kelley SK, et al. Preclinical studies to predict the disposition of Apo2L/tumor necrosis factorrelated apoptosis-inducing ligand in humans: characterization of in vivo efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and safety. The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics. 2001; 299:31–38. [PubMed: 11561060]
- 18. Duiker EW, et al. Development of a radioiodinated apoptosis-inducing ligand, rhTRAIL, and a radiolabelled agonist TRAIL receptor antibody for clinical imaging studies. British journal of pharmacology. 2012; 165:2203–2212. [PubMed: 22014269]
- 19. Schneider P. Production of recombinant TRAIL and TRAIL receptor: Fc chimeric proteins. Methods in enzymology. 2000; 322:325–345. [PubMed: 10914028]
- 20. Shah K, et al. Inducible release of TRAIL fusion proteins from a proapoptotic form for tumor therapy. Cancer research. 2004; 64:3236–3242. [PubMed: 15126365]
- 21. Ganten TM, et al. Preclinical differentiation between apparently safe and potentially hepatotoxic applications of TRAIL either alone or in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2006; 12:2640–2646. [PubMed: 16638878]
- 22. Berg D, et al. Enforced covalent trimerization increases the activity of the TNF ligand family members TRAIL and CD95L. Cell death and differentiation. 2007; 14:2021–2034. [PubMed: 17703232]
- 23. Wajant H, et al. Engineering death receptor ligands for cancer therapy. Cancer letters. 2011
- 24. Muller N, et al. Superior serum half life of albumin tagged TNF ligands. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2010; 396:793–799. [PubMed: 20447380]
- 25. Kim TH, et al. PEG-transferrin conjugated TRAIL (TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand) for therapeutic tumor targeting. Journal of controlled release: official journal of the Controlled Release Society. 2012; 162:422–428. [PubMed: 22824780]
- 26. Kim TH, et al. PEGylated TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) analogues: pharmacokinetics and antitumor effects. Bioconjugate chemistry. 2011; 22:1631–1637. [PubMed: 21751817]

- 27. Kim H, et al. A sulfate polysaccharide/TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) complex for the long-term delivery of TRAIL in poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) microspheres. The Journal of pharmacy and pharmacology. 2013; 65:11–21. [PubMed: 23215683]
- 28. Hellwig CT, Rehm M. TRAIL signaling and synergy mechanisms used in TRAIL-based combination therapies. Molecular cancer therapeutics. 2012; 11:3–13. [PubMed: 22234808]
- 29. Takeda K, et al. Combination antibody-based cancer immunotherapy. Cancer science. 2007; 98:1297–1302. [PubMed: 17559424]
- 30. Amm HM, et al. Combined modality therapy with TRAIL or agonistic death receptor antibodies. Cancer biology & therapy. 2011; 11:431–449. [PubMed: 21263219]
- 31. Ichikawa K, et al. Tumoricidal activity of a novel anti-human DR5 monoclonal antibody without hepatocyte cytotoxicity. Nature medicine. 2001; 7:954–960.
- 32. Zheng L, et al. Adeno-associated virus-mediated doxycycline-regulatable TRAIL expression suppresses growth of human breast carcinoma in nude mice. BMC cancer. 2012; 12:153. [PubMed: 22530952]
- 33. Jiang M, et al. Synergistic antitumor effect of AAV-mediated TRAIL expression combined with cisplatin on head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. BMC cancer. 2011; 11:54. [PubMed: 21291526]
- 34. Zhang H, et al. Adenovirus-mediated TRAIL expression and downregulation of Bcl-2 expression suppresses non-small cell lung cancer growth in vitro and in vivo. International journal of molecular medicine. 2012; 30:358–364. [PubMed: 22614533]
- 35. Norian LA, et al. Eradication of metastatic renal cell carcinoma after adenovirus-encoded TNFrelated apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)/CpG immunotherapy. PloS one. 2012; 7:e31085. [PubMed: 22312440]
- 36. Kim CY, et al. Preclinical studies for pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of Ad-stTRAIL, an adenovirus delivering secretable trimeric TRAIL for gene therapy. Experimental & molecular medicine. 2011; 43:580–586. [PubMed: 21822049]
- 37. Zhang X, et al. The effect of lentivirus-mediated expression of tumor necrosis factor related apoptosis-inducing ligand and shRNA against Bcl-2 on the growth of lymphoma cells. Leukemia & lymphoma. 2012; 53:710–717. [PubMed: 21988644]
- 38. Hingtgen SD, et al. A novel molecule integrating therapeutic and diagnostic activities reveals multiple aspects of stem cell-based therapy. Stem Cells. 2010; 28:832–841. [PubMed: 20127797]
- 39. Liu TC, et al. Clinical trial results with oncolytic virotherapy: a century of promise, a decade of progress. Nature clinical practice. Oncology. 2007; 4:101–117. [PubMed: 17259931]
- 40. Varghese S, Rabkin SD. Oncolytic herpes simplex virus vectors for cancer virotherapy. Cancer gene therapy. 2002; 9:967–978. [PubMed: 12522436]
- 41. Tamura K, et al. Multimechanistic tumor targeted oncolytic virus overcomes resistance in brain tumors. Molecular therapy: the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy. 2013; 21:68–77. [PubMed: 22929661]
- 42. Corsten MF, Shah K. Therapeutic stem-cells for cancer treatment: hopes and hurdles in tactical warfare. The lancet oncology. 2008; 9:376–384. [PubMed: 18374291]
- 43. Schichor C, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells and glioma cells form a structural as well as a functional syncytium in vitro. Experimental neurology. 2012; 234:208–219. [PubMed: 22230665]
- 44. Zielske SP, et al. Radiation increases invasion of gene-modified mesenchymal stem cells into tumors. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2009; 75:843–853.
- 45. Kim SM, et al. Irradiation enhances the tumor tropism and therapeutic potential of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand-secreting human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells in glioma therapy. Stem Cells. 2010; 28:2217–2228. [PubMed: 20945331]
- 46. Shah K. Mesenchymal stem cells engineered for cancer therapy. Advanced drug delivery reviews. 2012; 64:739–748. [PubMed: 21740940]
- 47. Sasportas LS, et al. Assessment of therapeutic efficacy and fate of engineered human mesenchymal stem cells for cancer therapy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009; 106:4822–4827. [PubMed: 19264968]

- 48. Choi SA, et al. Therapeutic efficacy and safety of TRAIL-producing human adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells against experimental brainstem glioma. Neuro-oncology. 2011; 13:61–69. [PubMed: 21062796]
- 49. Liu S, et al. Gene and doxorubicin co-delivery system for targeting therapy of glioma. Biomaterials. 2012; 33:4907–4916. [PubMed: 22484049]
- 50. Kim SW, et al. Complete regression of metastatic renal cell carcinoma by multiple injections of engineered mesenchymal stem cells expressing dodecameric TRAIL and HSV-TK. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2013; 19:415–427. [PubMed: 23204131]
- 51. Martinez-Quintanilla J, et al. In vivo Imaging of the Therapeutic Efficacy and Fate of Bimodal Engineered Stem Cells in Malignant Brain Tumors. Stem Cells. 2013
- 52. Hu YL, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells as a novel carrier for targeted delivery of gene in cancer therapy based on nonviral transfection. Molecular pharmaceutics. 2012; 9:2698–2709. [PubMed: 22862421]
- 53. Bagci-Onder T, et al. A dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor, PI-103, cooperates with stem cell-delivered TRAIL in experimental glioma models. Cancer research. 2011; 71:154–163. [PubMed: 21084267]
- 54. Corsten MF, et al. MicroRNA-21 knockdown disrupts glioma growth in vivo and displays synergistic cytotoxicity with neural precursor cell delivered S-TRAIL in human gliomas. Cancer research. 2007; 67:8994–9000. [PubMed: 17908999]
- 55. Loebinger MR, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell delivery of TRAIL can eliminate metastatic cancer. Cancer research. 2009; 69:4134–4142. [PubMed: 19435900]
- 56. Lee HJ, et al. A Therapeutic Strategy for Metastatic Malignant Fibrous Histiocytoma Through Mesenchymal Stromal Cell-Mediated TRAIL Production. Annals of surgery. 2012
- 57. Maksimovic-Ivanic D, et al. Resistance to TRAIL and how to surmount it. Immunologic research. 2012; 52:157–168. [PubMed: 22407575]
- 58. Dimberg LY, et al. On the TRAIL to successful cancer therapy? Predicting and counteracting resistance against TRAIL-based therapeutics. Oncogene. 2012
- 59. Li F, et al. In vitro and in vivo growth inhibition of drug-resistant ovarian carcinoma cells using a combination of cisplatin and a TRAIL-encoding retrovirus. Oncology letters. 2012; 4:1254–1258. [PubMed: 23205123]
- 60. Sayers TJ, Murphy WJ. Combining proteasome inhibition with TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (Apo2L/TRAIL) for cancer therapy. Cancer immunology, immunotherapy: CII. 2006; 55:76–84.
- 61. Bagci-Onder T, et al. Real-time imaging of the dynamics of death receptors and therapeutics that overcome TRAIL resistance in tumors. Oncogene. 2012
- 62. Bangert A, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors sensitize glioblastoma cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis by c-myc-mediated downregulation of cFLIP. Oncogene. 2012; 31:4677–4688. [PubMed: 22266862]
- 63. Nebbioso A, et al. Tumor-selective action of HDAC inhibitors involves TRAIL induction in acute myeloid leukemia cells. Nature medicine. 2005; 11:77–84.
- 64. Kauer TM, et al. Encapsulated therapeutic stem cells implanted in the tumor resection cavity induce cell death in gliomas. Nature neuroscience. 2012; 15:197–204.
- 65. Reagan MR, et al. Stem Cell Implants for Cancer Therapy: TRAIL-Expressing Mesenchymal Stem Cells Target Cancer Cells In Situ. Journal of breast cancer. 2012; 15:273–282. [PubMed: 23091539]
- 66. Rahbarizadeh F, et al. Nanobody; an old concept and new vehicle for immunotargeting. Immunological investigations. 2011; 40:299–338. [PubMed: 21244216]
- 67. Holliger P, Hudson PJ. Engineered antibody fragments and the rise of single domains. Nature biotechnology. 2005; 23:1126–1136.
- 68. Muyldermans S. Single domain camel antibodies: current status. Journal of biotechnology. 2001; 74:277–302. [PubMed: 11526908]
- 69. Stieglmaier J, et al. Selective induction of apoptosis in leukemic B-lymphoid cells by a CD19 specific TRAIL fusion protein. Cancer immunology, immunotherapy: CII. 2008; 57:233–246.

- 70. van de Water JA, et al. Therapeutic stem cells expressing variants of EGFR-specific nanobodies have antitumor effects. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012; 109:16642–16647. [PubMed: 23012408]
- 71. Wheatley MA, et al. Cellular signal transduction can be induced by TRAIL conjugated to microcapsules. Journal of biomedical materials research. Part A. 2012; 100:2602–2611. [PubMed: 22539118]
- 72. Perlstein B, et al. TRAIL conjugated to nanoparticles exhibits increased anti-tumor activities in glioma cells and glioma stem cells in vitro and in vivo. Neuro-oncology. 2013; 15:29–40. [PubMed: 23144078]
- 73. Herbst RS, et al. Phase I dose-escalation study of recombinant human Apo2L/TRAIL, a dual proapoptotic receptor agonist, in patients with advanced cancer. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2010; 28:2839–2846. [PubMed: 20458040]
- 74. Hotte SJ, et al. A phase 1 study of mapatumumab (fully human monoclonal antibody to TRAIL-R1) in patients with advanced solid malignancies. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2008; 14:3450–3455. [PubMed: 18519776]
- 75. Forero-Torres A, et al. Phase I trial of weekly tigatuzumab, an agonistic humanized monoclonal antibody targeting death receptor 5 (DR5). Cancer biotherapy & radiopharmaceuticals. 2010; 25:13–19. [PubMed: 20187792]
- 76. Wakelee HA, et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of lexatumumab (HGS-ETR2) given every 2 weeks in patients with advanced solid tumors. Annals of oncology: official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology/ESMO. 2010; 21:376–381. [PubMed: 19633048]
- 77. Camidge DR. Apomab: an agonist monoclonal antibody directed against Death Receptor 5/ TRAIL-Receptor 2 for use in the treatment of solid tumors. Expert opinion on biological therapy. 2008; 8:1167–1176. [PubMed: 18613768]
- 78. Younes A, et al. A Phase 1b/2 trial of mapatumumab in patients with relapsed/refractory non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. British journal of cancer. 2010; 103:1783–1787. [PubMed: 21081929]
- 79. Garraway LA, Lander ES. Lessons from the cancer genome. Cell. 2013; 153:17–37. [PubMed: 23540688]
- 80. Piras V, et al. Enhancing apoptosis in TRAIL-resistant cancer cells using fundamental response rules. Scientific reports. 2011; 1:144. [PubMed: 22355661]

Figure 1. Organization of human TRAIL

Figure 2. Apoptosis overview

Apoptosis occurs through two pathways. The extrinsic apoptosis pathway occurs independently of p53 and requires the binding of pro-apoptotic ligands such as TRAIL. TRAIL can bind to four membrane-bound receptors (TRAIL-R1-4) and one soluble receptor (OPG). TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 contain a cytoplasmic death domain (DD) through which TRAIL can transmit an apoptotic signal. TRAIL-R3 and TRAIL-R4 also bind TRAIL, but because of the absence of a DD they are unable to initiate signaling. For this reason they are called decoy receptors. Binding of TRAIL to TRAIL-R1/2 leads to recruitment of the adaptor FADD and initiator procaspase-8 and 10 to rapidly form the DISC. Procaspase-8 and 10 are cleaved to form caspase-8 and 10 which activate effector caspase-3, 6 and 7 committing the cell to apoptose. At the DISC, variants of a protease-deficient caspase homolog called cFLIP inhibit the activation of caspase-8 and thus the propagation of apoptotic signaling. The intrinsic apoptosis pathway is initiated in response to cell stress such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy. This results in DNA damage causing the p53 oncogene to activate the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins BAK and BAX. Pro-apoptotic proteins cytochrome c and Smac/DIABLO are released from mitochondria. Cytochrome c forms a protein complex, the apoptosome, with APAF-1 and activates caspase-9 which in turn activates effector caspase-3, 6 and 7 resulting in apoptosis. Smac/DIABLO inhibits apoptosis-inhibiting proteins such as XIAP, thus amplifying apoptotic signaling. APAF-1, Apoptotic protease activating factor-1; Bcl-2, B cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia/ lymphoma 2; BAK, Bcl-2 homologous antagonist/killer; BAX, Bcl-2-associated protein; FADD, Fas-associated death domain; cFLIP, cellular FLICE- inhibitory protein; DISC, Death-inducing signaling complex; SMAC, second mitochondria-derived activator of caspase; XIAP, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein.

Figure 3. Applications of TRAIL

TRAIL has been modified in a variety of ways to enhance its efficacy and diversify its applications

Stuckey and Shah Page 17

Figure 4. Mouse neural stem cells engineered to secrete nanobody-TRAIL prolong the life of mice bearing GBM tumors

(A) Schematic representation of lentiviral transfer vectors comprising eGFP, anti-EGFR nanobodies (LV-ENb2) and cytotoxic TRAIL (LV-ENb2-TRAIL). **(B)** ELISA showing EGFR competition by ENb2-TRAIL. **(C)** Western blot analysis showing inhibition of EGFR and downstream signaling via the AKT and MAPK pathways on serum-starved Her14 cells incubated with ENb2-TRAIL. **(D)** Photomicrographs of H&E stained sections and tumor volumes from the brains of GBM-bearing mice treated with NSC-GFP, NSC-ENb2, and NSC-ENb2-TRAIL. **(E)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves of mice bearing established tumors and implanted with NSC expressing GFP, ENb2 or ENb2-TRAIL intratumorally (n=5 per group). **(F)** Representative fluorescent images and plot showing the number of GBM8 mCherry invading cells 7 days after NSC-GFP, NSC-ENb2, and NSC-ENb2-TRAIL treatment. Data represented as mean \pm SEM, $*$ denotes P< 0.05, Student's t test. White lines indicate the wall of the lateral ventricle. eGFP, Enhanced green fluorescent protein. Adapted with permission from[70].

Table 1

TRAIL modifications that enable detection and/or improve therapeutic performance.

a PEG, Polyethylene glycol.

b PLGA, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid).

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

Stem cell delivery of TRAIL.

a

Trends Mol Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 November 01.

^aAbbreviations: UCB-MSCs, Umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells; BM-MSCs, Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; A-MSCs, Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells;
NSCs, Neural stem cells; NPCs, Neural pr *a*Abbreviations: UCB-MSCs, Umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells; BM-MSCs, Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; A-MSCs, Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells; NSCs, Neural stem cells; NPCs, Neural progenitor cells; sECM, synthetic extracellular matrix; EGFR, Epidermal growth factor receptor.