Importance of Normal Values of CSF Parameters in Term Versus Preterm Neonates

Arijit Majumdar, Angshuman Jana, Anirban Jana, Soumali Biswas, Swagata Bhatacharyya, Santanu Bannerjee Department of Pathology and Bio Chemistry, Dr. Bidhan Chandra Roy Post Graduate Institute of Pediatric Sciences, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT

Background: Evaluation of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) white blood cell (WBC) count and glucose and protein concentrations is used to assess the probability of the presence of central nervous system (CNS) infection. Although normal values are well established for CSF cell counts and protein and glucose contents in children and adults, this is not the case for neonates. **Aims:** The purpose of this study was to evaluate the composition of noninfected CSF obtained by nontraumatic lumbar puncture in neonates (age<28 days), specifically distinguishing CSF profiles of those term babies compared with those premature infants. **Materials and Methods:** The CSF samples obtained by lumbar puncture from 120 neonates were examined by routine procedures. **Results:** By comparing CSF parameters between term gestation neonate group with premature neonate one, nontraumatic puncture, there was no statistically significant difference (P<0.05) in the mean WBC (P=0.6). The mean protein concentration was significantly greater in those premature neonates (P<0.04). The mean glucose concentration was also analogous in both groups (P=0.5). **Conclusion:** The CSF profile, like any other laboratory determination, should be evaluated within the clinical context of the individual case.

Key words:

Cerebrospinal fluid parameters, preterm neonates, term neonates

INTRODUCTION

The cumulative incidence of meningitis is highest in the 1st month of life and is higher in preterm neonates than term neonates.^[1] It is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality in neonatal age group. Evaluation of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) white blood cell (WBC) count, and glucose and protein concentrations is used to assess the probability of the presence of central nervous system (CNS) infection. Although normal values are well-established for CSF cell counts and protein and glucose contents in children and adults, this is not the case for neonates.^[2,3] There is very scanty information regarding the range of CSF values in high-risk term and preterm neonates with noninfected CSF obtained by nontraumatic puncture, with no red blood cells (RBC/mm³ = 0). When faced with the need to make therapeutic decisions on the interpretation of CSF parameters, the clinicians often use the normal "cutoff" values for CSF parameters in preterm neonates are ≤25 WBC/mm³, \geq 24 mg glucose/dL, and \leq 170 mg protein/dL.^[4]

AIMS AND OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the composition of noninfected CSF obtained by nontraumatic lumbar puncture (RBC = o/mm^3) in neonates (age < 28 days), specifically distinguishing CSF profiles of those term babies compared with those premature infants, and to compare CSF values between nontraumatic and traumatic puncture up to 500 RBC/mm³ in term neonates with the preterm ones.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ours is a retrospective study done by reviewing the CSF chart of the newborn babies who underwent lumbar puncture between January 2012 and September 2012.

The CSF samples obtained by lumbar puncture from 120 neonates were examined by routine procedures. The CSF WBC count was performed in improved Neubauer hemocytometer, the CSF protein and glucose were measured by enzymatic method in semiautomated biochemical analyzer.

Inclusion criteria

(1) Age up to 28 days, 2(a) nontraumatic puncture (RBC = $0/\text{mm}^3$), or ii 2(b) traumatic puncture up to 500 RBC/mm³, and (3) accessible clinical data.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Arijit Majumdar, Vill. Duilya, Charaktala P.O. Andul, Howrah - 711 302, West Bengal, India. E-mail: arijitmajumdar23@gmail.com

| Access this article online | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Quick Response Code: | 146 B M. | |
| | Website: www.jcnonweb.com | |
| | DOI: 10.4103/2249-4847.123089 | |

Informed consent was taken from each and every patient party before including the patients in our study.

Exclusion criteria

(1) Nontraumatic hemorrhagic CSF, (2) neonates having clinical evidence of infection (clinical information was obtained retrospectively from chart review).

RESULTS

Among the 120 CSF samples obtained by lumbar puncture, 25 (term 10, preterm 15) were excluded according to excluding criteria. Therefore the cytological parameters, protein and glucose values were studied in 50 term babies and 45 preterm babies. Ten CSF samples from the term and five samples from the preterm neonates were found to be traumatic (RBC < 500/mm³).

Standard statistical methods (Student *t*-test) were used in evaluation and P < 0.05 was considered as statistical significant difference.

By comparing CSF parameters between term gestation neonate group with premature neonate one, nontraumatic puncture, there was no statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) in the mean WBC (P = 0.6). The mean protein concentration was significantly greater in those premature neonates (P < 0.04). The mean glucose concentration was also analogous in both groups (P = 0.5) [Table 1].

By comparing CSF parameters in traumatic puncture with nontraumatic puncture performed on full-term gestation neonates, the mean glucose concentration was significantly lesser in the traumatic puncture group (P < 0.02) [Table 2]. Regarding premature neonates, the mean protein concentration was significantly greater in the traumatic puncture group (P < 0.05). The glucose concentration was also lesser in these children but the difference was not significant [Table 3].

DISCUSSION

The proper interpretation of CSF results depends on knowledge of normal values in the group of patients being evaluated. Several authors have pointed out that there is an acceptable variability in the CSF profile, especially CSF WBC count in neonates. Furthermore, early detection of neonatal meningitis is a continuing concern in the neonatal intensive care unit.

Several studies showed that the average CSF WBC count is 6-7 cell/mm³ in neonates, but these studies evaluated healthy neonates;^[5] this is very close to the results we

Table 1: Cerebrospinal fluid parameters in term vspreterm neonates

| | Term neonate (n=40) | Preterm neonate (n=40) |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| WBC count/mm ³ | | |
| Mean | 5.5 | 5.1 |
| SD | 3.6 | 5.2 |
| Protein (mg/dl) | | |
| Mean | 68.2 | 72.6 |
| SD | 15.1 | 15.8 |
| Glucose (mg/dl) | | |
| Mean | 55.0 | 50.3 |
| SD | 25.6 | 27.6 |

SD - Standard deviation; WBC - White blood cell

Table 2: Cerebrospinal fluid parameters in termneonates; nontraumatic vs traumatic

| | RBC nil | RBC<500/mm ³ |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | KDC III | |
| WBC count/mm ³ | | |
| Mean | 5.5 | 6.8 |
| SD | 3.6 | 4.2 |
| Protein (mg/dl) | | |
| Mean | 68.2 | 70.5 |
| SD | 15.1 | 22.8 |
| Glucose (mg/dl) | | |
| Mean | 55.0 | 37.6 |
| SD | 25.6 | 22.2 |

RBC - Red blood cell; SD - Standard deviation; WBC - White blood cell

Table 3: Cerebrospinal fluid parameters in pretermneonates; nontraumatic vs traumatic

| | RBC nil | RBC<500/mm ³ |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| WBC count/mm ³ | | |
| Mean | 5.1 | 7.2 |
| SD | 5.2 | 6.5 |
| Protein (mg/dl) | | |
| Mean | 72.6 | 102.5 |
| SD | 15.8 | 30.6 |
| Glucose (mg/dl) | | |
| Mean | 50.3 | 45.2 |
| SD | 27.6 | 22.4 |

RBC - Red blood cell; SD - Standard deviation; WBC - White blood cell

found (5.5/mm³ and 5.1/mm³). However, greater number of cells may be normal (28 WBC/mm³). Luz,^[6] studying a full-term gestation and normal neonate group, found that the CSF WBC count can be up to 12 WBC/mm³ and the protein concentration can be up to 120 mg/dl; although this author studied CSF samples with up to 600 RBC/mm³, these values are close to ours [Tables 1 and 2].

The mean protein concentration was significantly greater in the premature group and this is probably due to the more immature blood-brain barrier in these children when compared with term babies (P < 0.04).^[7] This parameter in both groups was slightly lesser than those previously reported in the literature.^[8] The mean glucose concentration was just the same in both groups.

When we compared traumatic puncture with nontraumatic puncture, we found that the mean glucose concentration was significantly lesser when the puncture was traumatic (P < 0.02) in term neonates; in premature neonates the mean glucose concentration was also lesser in the traumatic puncture group, but the difference was not significant (P < 0.20); it is possible that some of the patients included in the group of traumatic puncture were in fact patients with CNS hemorrhage what could explain the lower glucose concentrations^[2]; the mean protein concentration was greater in children who underwent traumatic puncture in the premature neonate group (P < 0.05). These data show how traumatic puncture, even with RBC up to 500/mm³, interferes on CSF analysis and changes its parameters.

CONCLUSION

The CSF profile, like any other laboratory determination, should be evaluated within the clinical context of the individual case. The mean CSF protein concentration is significantly greater in those premature neonates compared with those full-term gestation neonates. Traumatic puncture, even up to 500 RBC/mm³, interferes on CSF parameters. But there are certain limitations in our study like small number of samples(n=95), short duration, single

centre study applied on healthy individuals. A prospective study using mortality and neurodevelopmental follow up will better define the utility of CSF parameters in the premature neonate.

REFERENCES

- 1. Overall JC Jr. Neonatal bacterial meningitis. Analysis of predisposing factors and outcome compared with matched control subjects. J Pediatr 1970;76:499-511.
- Fishman RA. CSF findings in diseases of the nervous system. In: Fishman RA, editor. Cerebrospinal Fluid in Diseases of the Nervous System. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1992. p. 253-5.
- 3. Sarff LD, Platt LH, McCracken GH Jr. Cerebrospinal fluid evaluation in neonates: Comparison of high-risk infants with and without meningitis. J Pediatr 1976;88:473-7.
- Robertson J, Shilkofski N. The Harriet Lane Handbook. 17th ed. Philadelphia: Mosby; 2005. p. 557.
- Wyers HJ, Bakker JC. The cerebrospinal fluid of a at term borne neonate. Maandschr Kindergenceeskd 1954;22:253-63.
- Luz BR. Contribuiçãopara o estudo da xantocromia do líquidocefalorraqueano de recém-nascidosnormais. Tese. Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo. São Paulo, 1972.
- de Albuquerque Diniz EM, Spina-França Netto A, Livramento JA, Machado LR, Ayres Castilho E, Bahía Corradini H, *et al*. Cerebrospinal fluid of premature newborn infants during the neonatal period. II. Protein study. Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex 1982;39:473-6.
- Vaz FA, Livramento JA, Spina-França A. Cerebrospinal fluid in the healthy preterm newborn infant. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 1977;35:183-8.

How to cite this article: Majumdar A, Jana A, Jana A, Biswas S, Bhatacharyya S, Bannerjee S. Importance of normal values of CSF parameters in term versus preterm neonates. J Clin Neonatol 2013;2:166-8.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Announcement

iPhone App



A free application to browse and search the journal's content is now available for iPhone/iPad. The application provides "Table of Contents" of the latest issues, which are stored on the device for future offline browsing. Internet connection is required to access the back issues and search facility. The application is Compatible with iPhone, iPod touch, and iPad and Requires iOS 3.1 or later. The application can be downloaded from http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/medknow-journals/ id458064375?ls=1&mt=8. For suggestions and comments do write back to us.