European J Org Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

European J Org Chem. 2013 July 1; 2013(20): . doi:10.1002/ejoc.201300643.

Towards the Total Synthesis of Marineosin A: Construction of the Macrocyclic Pyrrole and an Advanced, Functionalized Spiroaminal Model

Dr. Leslie N. Aldrich^[b], Cynthia B. Berry^[b], Brittney S. Bates^[b], Dr. Leah C. Konkol^[b], Miranda So^[b], and Craig W. Lindsley^[a]

Craig W. Lindsley: craig.lindsley@vanderbilt.edu

[a]Departments of Pharmacology & Chemistry, Vanderbilt Center for Neuroscience Drug Discovery, 12415D MRBIV, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Fax: (+) 615-345-6532

[b]Department of Chemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232-6600 (USA)

Abstract

Herein, we describe the enantioselective construction of the 12-membered macrocyclic pyrrole core **4** of marineosin A in 5.1% overall yield from (*S*)-propylene oxide. The route features a key Stetter reaction to install a 1,4-diketone, which is then subjected to Paal-Knorr pyrrole synthesis and ring closing metathesis (RCM) to afford macrocycle **4.** A divergence point in the synthetic scheme also enabled access to a highly functionalized spiroaminal model system **8** via an acid-mediated hydroxyketoamide cyclization strategy.

Keywords

marineosin A; pyrrole; alkaloid; metathesis; Stetter

Introduction

Marineosin A (1)

Marineosin A (1) is a novel macrocyclic spirolactam spiroiminal alkaloid isolated in 2008 from a marine-derived *Streptomyces*-related actinomycete,^[1] and is structurally related to the prodigiosin family.^[2] Marineosin A displayed potent inhibition against human colon

carcinoma cell growth, with an IC_{50} of 0.5 μM in HCT-116 cells. ^[1] In the isolation paper, Fenical proposed a biosynthesis of 1 employing an inverse-electron demand hetero Diels-Alder reaction; however, we were unable to demonstrate this proposal synthetically. ^[1,3] Shortly thereafter, Snider proposed an alternative, prodigiosin-inspired biosynthesis requiring only a two-electron oxidation, which he then validated in a model system of the spiroiminal moeity of 1. ^[4] Thus, despite significant interest from the synthetic community, limited synthetic efforts have been reported en route to a total synthesis of 1.

In light of our longstanding interest in the synthesis of alkaloids,^[5] and **1** in particular,^[2,6] we recently reported the synthesis of an unfunctionalized spiroaminal model system of **1** that enabled late stage introduction of the C1–C4 pyrrole moiety.^[6] This was a significant development, as synthetic efforts with early stage pyrrole incorporation uniformly led to compound instability and poor results that forced routes to be abandoned. Herein, we describe the enantioselective construction of the macrocyclic pyrrole core **4**, and a highly functionalized spiroaminal **8** en route to the total synthesis of **1**.

Results and Discussion

Our retrosynthetic analysis for marineosin A (1) is shown in Scheme 1, and relies on late stage introduction of the C1–C4 pyrrole. Spiroaminal 2 can be formed by acid-mediated cyclization of advanced intermediate 3. A Paal-Knorr pyrrole synthesis and ring closing metathesis (RCM) allow for the formation of macrocycle 4 from 1,4-diketone 5. The Stetter reaction will be employed as a key carbon-carbon bond forming reaction en route to 5 from 6. Poly-functionalized 6 is a critical intermediate derived ultimately from Evans' auxiliary phosphonate 7, vinyl magnesium bromide and (*S*)-propylene oxide, which sets the stereochemistry. Intermediate 6 also serves as a divergence point to validate the acid-mediated cyclization strategy for 2, and provides access to model system 8.

The synthesis of **2** started from commercial (*S*)-propylene oxide **9** (Scheme 2). Opening of the epoxide with a copper-catalyzed Grignard addition, and *in situ* silylation of the resulting secondary alcohol provided olefin **10** in 81% yield for the two steps. Ozonolysis afforded aldehyde **11** in 84% yield. The required Evans' auxiliary phosphonate **7** was prepared in two steps from (*R*)-oxazolidinone **12** in 73% yield for the two steps. Aldehyde **11** then underwent a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination with Evans' auxiliary phosphonate **7** to provide acyloxazolidinone **14** in 75% yield. A copper-catalyzed conjugate addition with allyl magnesium bromide delivered **15** in 81% yield and >20:1 dr.

With intermediate **15** in hand, we then prepared the necessary aldehyde **18** to access key intermediate **6** (Scheme 3). Commercial *cis*-butene-1,4-diol **16** was mono-PMB protected to provide alcohol **17**, and subsequently oxidized with MnO₂ to afford the aldehyde **18** in 25% yield for the two steps. Intermediate **15** was treated with TiCl₄, followed by introduction of aldehyde **18** to facilitate an Aldol reaction under Crimmins' conditions delivering the Evans' *syn* product **19** in 65% yield and 10:1 dr.^[7,8] Hydrolysis of the auxiliary with LiBH₄ in MeOH liberated the primary alcohol in low yield (44%, a survey of multiple reactions conditions failed to improve the yield), which was immediately protected as the TIPS silyl ether **20** in 82% yield. A hydroxyl-directed VO(acac)₂-mediated epoxidation produced oxirane **21** as a single stereoisomer in 95% yield.^[9] Protection of the secondary hydroxyl as a benzyl ether and subsequent DDQ-mediated removal of the PMB group led to primary alcohol **22** in 62% yield for the two steps. Directed opening of the epoxide with Red-Al afforded the desired 1,3-diol **23** in 79% yield and >20:1 ratio over the 1,2-diol congener.^[10] Finally, protection of the primary hydroxyl as a pivalate and conversion of the secondary alcohol to a methyl ether afforded the key intermediate **6** in 75% yield over the two steps.

With 6 in hand, we were poised to evaluate our synthetic approach to access both the macrocyclic pyrrole core 4 as well as the functionalized spiroaminal model system 8.

Our next goal was to prepare 4 from 6. Towards this end, we deprotected the TIPS ether with BF₃·Et₂O, the only conditions identified for chemoselective removal, to provide primary alcohol 24 in 94% yield (Scheme 4). The primary hydroxyl was oxidized using Parikh-Doering conditions to give aldehyde 25 in 75% yield. [11] Then a two-step sequence involving the addition of vinyl Grignard followed by a Dess Martin Periodinane oxidation generated the α,β-unsaturated ketone 26 in 68% yield over two steps. Application of a Stetter reaction employing 6-heptenal afforded the RCM substrate 27 in 80% yield.^[12] We surveyed a number of RCM catalysts and conditions to form the 13-membered macrocycle, and found that Grubbs II provided primarily cross metathesis; however, 30 mol% Grubbs I under dilute conditions in refluxing DCM provided the desired RCM product 28 in 84% yield. Similarly, a diverse array of Paal-Knorr conditions were explored to facilitate pyrrole construction from 1,4-diketone 28, but all classical conditions provided low yields or decomposition. [13,14] Ultimately, we developed microwave-assisted conditions (NH₄OAc, MeOH, 120 °C, 20 min) that delivered the desired macrocyclic pyrrole 4 in 78% yield. Thus, our retrosynthetic strategy granted access to the advanced macrocyclic pyrrole moiety of marineosin A (1) in 5.1% overall yield from (S)-propylene oxide.

In order to complete the total synthesis of marineosin A, we wanted to ensure that our strategy to form the spiroaminal lactam 2 from 3 through an acid-mediated cyclization strategy was viable. With intermediate 6 in hand, we thought it prudent to explore this chemistry on a properly functionalized, yet minimized model system, before committing advanced material. Reductive removal of the pivalate 6 with DIBAL-H proceeded smoothly to give primary alcohol 29 in 93% yield, which was then oxidized under Parikh-Doering conditions to provide aldehyde 30 in 93% yield (Scheme 5).[11] Pinnick oxidation rapidly afforded the corresponding carboxylic acid which was coupled to ammonium chloride under standard coupling conditions to deliver the primary amide 31 in 78% yield for the two steps. [15,16] Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ether and concomitant hydrogenation of the olefin provided a secondary alcohol that was immediately oxidized under Ley conditions to the ketone 32 in 84% yield over the two steps. After surveying a number of conditions, we found that 0.01 M HCl in MeOH affected the acid-mediated cyclization strategy providing 8 in 82% yield as a single diastereomer. Interestingly, the TIPS ether was hydrolysed under these conditions and intercepted by MeOH to afford the methyl ether, which was confirmed by 1D and 2D NMR studies. Efforts are under way to more thoroughly study this transformation. Extensive analysis of HMBC, HSQC, COSY and NOESY NMR experiments confirmed the absolute structure of 8 as the marineosin A isomer based on the stereochemistry relative to the axial methyl group within the pyran ring, which was set by the (S)-methyl oxirane at the outset of the synthesis. From 6, overall yield to 8 was 44.7%. Thus, advanced intermediate 6 provided access to both the macrocyclic pyran core 4 of marineosin A (1), as well as validated our acid-mediated cyclization strategy of a hydroxyketoamide into the spiroaminal lactam model 8.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an enantioselective synthetic route from a chiral pool starting material, (*S*)-propylene oxide, to access the 12-membered macrocyclic pyrrole core **4** of marineosin A (**1**), as well as a highly functionalized spiroaminal lactam **8** derived from the acid-mediated cyclization of a hydroxyketoamide. These efforts validate our retrosynthetic approach for the total synthesis of marineosin A, and efforts are underway to complete the total synthesis employing these tactics and strategies with final step pyrrole

incorporation. Further refinements, applications to the related alkaloids, and biological investigations are in progress and will be reported in due course.

Experimental Section

Please see the Supporting Information Section for full experimental details

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Vanderbilt Department of Pharmacology, VUMC and the NIH for funding our research, and particular the MLPCN (U54MH084659) for the Vanderbilt Specialized Chemistry Center. The financial support from the Warren Foundation in the form of the William K. Warren, Jr. Endowed Chair is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Boonlarppadab C, Kauffman CA, Jensen PR, Fenical W. Org Lett. 2008; 10:5505–5508. [PubMed: 19007176]
- 2. Fürstner A. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2003; 42:3582–3603.
- 3. Aldrich LN, Dawson ES, Lindsley CW. Org Lett. 2010; 12:1048–1051. [PubMed: 20141121]
- 4. Cai XC, Wu X, Snider BB. Org Lett. 2010; 12:1600-1603. [PubMed: 20218641]
- For selected recent examples see: Panarese JD, Lindsley CW. Org Lett. 2012; 14:5808–5801.
 [PubMed: 23106227] Senter TJ, Fadeyi OO, Lindsley CW. Org Lett. 2012; 14:1869–1871.
 [PubMed: 22432912] Fadeyi OO, Senter TJ, Hahn KN, Lindsley CW. Chem Eur J. 2012; 18:5826–5831.
 [PubMed: 22473565] Hahn KN, Fadeyi OO, Cho HP, Lindsley CW. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012; 53:3577–3580.
 [PubMed: 22822275] Brogan JT, Stoops SL, Lindsley CW. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2012; 3:658–664.
 [PubMed: 23019492]
- Panarese JD, Konkol LC, Berry CB, Bates BS, Aldrich LN, Lindsley CW. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013; 54:2231–2234. [PubMed: 23606772]
- 7. Evans DA, Bartoli J, Shih TL. J Am Chem Soc. 1981; 103:2127–2129.
- 8. Crimmins MT, She J. Synlett. 2004; 8:1371-1374.
- 9. Katsuki T, Sharpless KB. J Am Chem Soc. 1980; 102:5974–5976.
- 10. Finan JM, Kishi Y. Tet Lett. 1982; 23:2719-2722.
- 11. Parikh JR, Doering WE. J Am Chem Soc. 1967; 89:5505-5507.
- 12. Stetter H. Angew Chem Int Eng Ed. 1976; 15:639-647.
- 13. Paal C. Berichte der deutschen chemischen Gesellschaft. 1884; 17:2756.
- 14. Knorr L. Berichte der deutschen chemischen. Gesellschaft. 1884; 17:2863.
- 15. Bal BS, Childers WE, Pinnick HW. Tetrahedron. 1981; 37:2091–2096.
- 16. Wang W, McMurray JS. Tet Lett. 1999; 40:2501-2504.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of marineosin A (1) and a functionalized spiroaminal lactam **8**. TBDPS = *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl, TIPS = triisopropylsilyl, Piv = pivalate, Bn = benzyl.

Scheme 2.

Synthesis of advanced intermediate **15**. a) i. 20 mol% CuI, vinyl magnesium bromide, THF, $-20\,^{\circ}$ C, 20 h; ii. TBDPSCl, ImH, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 4 h, 81% over two steps; b) i. O₃, CH₂Cl₂, 1h, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C; ii. PPh₃, rt, 1h, 84%; c) α -bromo acetylbromide, THF, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C to rt, 89%; d) P(OEt)₃, 100 $^{\circ}$ C, 2 h, 82%; e) **7** + **11**, NaH, THF, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, 2 h, 75%; f) 20 mol% CuBr-DMS, allyl magnesium bromide, THF:SMe₂ (2:1), $-78\,^{\circ}$ C, 81% (>20:1 dr). TBDPS = tert-butyldiphenylsilyl, ImH = imidazole, Bn = benzyl.

Scheme 3.

Synthesis of key intermediate **6**. a) NaH, TBAI, PMBCl, THF, 0 °C, rt, 12 h, 68%; b) MnO₂, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 36 h, 36%; c) i. TiCl₄, DIPEA, NMP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, ii. **18**, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 65% (10:1 dr); d) i. LiBH₄, MeOH, THF, 0 °C, 6 h, 44%; ii. TIPSCl, ImH, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 4 h, 82%; e) VO(acac)₂, TBHP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 3 h, 95%; f) i. BnBr, NaH, TBAI, THF, 0 °C, 4 h, 85%; ii. DDQ, CH₂Cl₂, pH 7 buffer, 0 °C, 14 h, 73%; g) Red-Al, THF, 0 °C to rt, 4 h, 79% (>20:1, 1,3:1,2); h) i. PivCl, pyr, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 3h; ii. Me₃OBF₄, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 1.5 hr, 75% over two steps. PMB = para-methoxybenzyl, TBAI, tetrabutyl ammonium iodide, TBDPS = tert-butyldiphenylsilyl, TIPS = triisopropylsilyl, ImH = imidazole, TBHP = tert-butyl hydrogen peroxide, Bn = benzyl, Piv = pivalate, pyr = pyridine.

Scheme 4.

Synthesis of the macrocyclic pyrrole **4** of marineosin A (**1**). a) BF₃OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0.5 h, rt, 94%; b) SO₃-Pyr, Et₃N, DMSO, CH₂Cl₂, 6 h, rt, 75%; c) i. vinyl magnesium bromide, THF, -78 °C, 1h; ii. Dess Martin Periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, 0.75 h, rt, 68% over two steps; d) 6-heptenal, Et₃N, thiazolium salt. 1,4-dioxane, 70 °C, 12 h, 80%; e) 30 mol% Grubbs I, CH₂Cl₂ (0.0005 M), 9 h, 40 °C, 84%; f) NH₄OAc, MeOH, 120 °C, mw, 20 min, 78%.

Scheme 5.

Synthesis of the spiroaminal lactam **8** of marineosin A (**1**). a) DIBAL-H, CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C, 1h, 93%; b) SO_3 -pyr, Et_3N , DMSO, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 1h, 93%; c) i. NaO_2Cl , NaH_2PO_4 , 2-methyl-2-butene, 0 °C, 0.5 h; ii. EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, NH_4Cl , DMF, rt, 78% over two steps; d) i. Pd/C, H_2 , EtOAc, rt, 8 h; ii. TPAP, NMO, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to rt, 2 h, 84% over two steps; e) 0.01 M HCl, MeOH, rt, 10 h, 82%. EDC = 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide, HOBt = N-hydroxybenzotriazole, DIPEA, diisopropylethyl amine, TPAP = tetrapropylammonium perruthenate, NMO = N-methyl morpholine oxide.