Hindawi Publishing Corporation The Scientific World Journal Volume 2013, Article ID 843968, 5 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/843968

## Research Article

# **Isolation of** *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 Strain from Fecal Samples of Zoo Animal

## Aseel Mohammed Hamzah, Aseel Mohammed Hussein, and Jenan Mahmoud Khalef

Zoonotic Diseases Unit, Veterinary Medicine College, Baghdad University, Iraq

Correspondence should be addressed to Aseel Mohammed Hamzah; aseelm30@yahoo.com

Received 6 August 2013; Accepted 29 September 2013

Academic Editors: C. DebRoy and L. W. Svenson

Copyright © 2013 Aseel Mohammed Hamzah et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The isolation and characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains from 22 out of 174 fecal samples from petting zoo animals representing twenty-two different species (camel, lion, goats, zebra, bear, baboon monkey, Siberian monkey, deer, elk, llama, pony, horses, fox, kangaroo, wolf, porcupine, chickens, tiger, ostrich, hyena, dogs, and wildcats) were investigated. One petting Al-Zawraa zoological society of Baghdad was investigated for *E. coli* O157:H7 over a 16-month period that spanned two summer and two autumn seasons. Variation in the occurrence of *E. coli* O157:H7-positive petting zoo animals was observed, with animals being culture positive only in the summer months but not in the spring, autumn, or winter. *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates were distinguished by agglutination with *E. coli* O157:H7 latex reagent (Oxoid), identified among the isolates, which showed that multiple *E. coli* strains were isolated from one petting zoo animal, in which a single animal simultaneously shed multiple *E. coli* strains; *E. coli* O157:H7 was isolated only by selective enrichment culture of 2 g of petting zoo animal feces. In contrast, strains other than O157:H7 were cultured from feces of petting zoo animals without enrichment.

#### 1. Introduction

Since it was first identified in the early 1980s [1], the enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) strains are a subset of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* strains that have been associated with animals and human diseases. In humans including self-limited watery diarrhea, hemorrhagic colitis, and the hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) [2], this syndrome happened in 2–7% of people with *E. coli* 0157:H7 infection causing bloody diarrhea [3], in many areas of the world [4–8]. Among the EHEC serotypes, O157:H7, which expresses somatic (O) antigen 157 and flagellar (H) antigen 7, causes serious morbidity and large disease outbreaks, making this bacterium one of the most important food-borne and waterborne pathogens worldwide [9–11]. In 1995, an outbreak of *E. coli* O157:H7 infections in people was traced to jerky made from deer meat [12].

The vulnerable sectors of the community [children and the elderly] are at the most risk of developing severe infection, making it a very emotive issue in public health and across the food and agricultural industries [13–17].

Cattle appear to be major reservoir for verotoxin-producing *E. coli* O157 [18–22], although it has also been found in sheep, goats, heifer, birds, deer, geese, turkey, seabirds, dogs, cat, gull, chicken, pig, monkey, reptiles, llama, and horses, as well as on flies [23–30]. However, the extent to which these animal species play a role in the epidemiology of O157 infection remains to be established. Although most infections of O157 in humans have been linked to exposure to a food vehicle or water, person-to-person transmission of O157 and transmission by direct contact with animals or animal manure have also been reported [31].

Petting zoo visits are popular leisure activities and also have become an important feature of education for young children. Such visits are highly beneficial to children in helping them to learn about aspects of animal husbandry and farm produce. Close contact with the animals is often encouraged, such as petting and feeding animals, especially to the main group of visitors, young children, of acquiring severe zoonotic infections during visits to petting zoos. Several outbreak recorded of Escherichia coli O157 infections occurred among agricultural fair, festival, and petting zoo visitors in

farm visits occurred in many country in Pennsylvania, Washington [32], Canada [33], and North Wales [34]. During 2003 to 2004the capacity of STEC 0157 to persist and multiplicative in the farm environment (animal feces, straw, soil, water) [35] and their natural occurrence in several wild animal species from which interspecies transmission to domestic animals may occur [36], preventing the introduction of the infection, routine testing of brought-in replacement animals, culling infected animals, and closing infected petting zoos, all do not appear to be feasible or effective control measures.

Consistent with this, Pritchard et al. [37] found no obvious value in preentry of bacteriological testing of animals during a longitudinal study on a farm open to the public. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 has been detected in the feces of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), but the extent of direct or indirect zoonotic risk of this source of *E. coli* O157:H7 has yet to be determined [38].

The morbidity and the mortality associated with outbreaks of gastrointestinal illnesses caused by STEC have highlighted the threat they pose to public health. Therefore, monitoring the presence of *E. coli* in animals will assure prompt diagnosis and identify the source of infection that may assist in risk management.

Epidemiological investigation of O157 strain in animal populations has focused mainly on the bovine reservoir and recently in horses, so the prevalence in other animals is not well known in Iraq. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in fecal samples collected from zoo animals in the Al-Zawraa zoological society of Baghdad in Iraq.

## 2. Material and Methods

- 2.1. Animals. One hundred seventy-four fecal samples were collected from animals kept in Al\_Zawraa zoological society of Baghdad of different breeds, ages, and sexes which were included in the study during the period from June 2010 to June 2013. Twenty-two animal species, representing, camel, lion, goats, zebra, bear, baboon monkey, Siberian monkey, deer, elk, llama, pony, horses, fox, kangaroo, wolf, porcupine, chickens, tiger, ostrich, hyena, dogs, and wildcats.
- 2.2. Sample Inoculation. Three g of each fecal sample was mixed with normal saline and centrifuged. The supernatant was discarded and the deposit was inoculated with 5 mL of buffered peptone water (Oxoid).
- 2.3. Selective Enrichment and Isolation of E. coli O157:H7. One loopful from cultured broth was placed in plate with Cefixime Tellurite-Sorbitol MacConkey (CT-SMAC) agar. The plate was streaked for isolation and incubated overnight. After incubation, all nonsorbitol-fermenting (grey/white) colonies were plated on both blood agar and Levine Eosin Methylene blue agar and incubated overnight at 37°C.
- 2.4. Agglutination Test. Individual isolates colonies of nonsorbitol-fermenting colonies on CT-SMAC medium were tested for the presence of the O157 and the H7 antigens



FIGURE 1: Sorbitol-nonfermented colonies of *E. coli* O157:H7 on CT-SMAC media.




FIGURE 2: Agglutination of *E. coli* O157 and H7 latex reagent with isolates colonies of nonsorbitol-fermenting colonies on CT-SMAC medium.

by agglutination with *E. coli* O157 and H7 latex reagent (Oxoid).

#### 3. Results

*E. coli* O157:H7 was isolated from twenty-two out of 174 fecal samples collected in Al\_Zawraa zoological societies of Baghdad which are summarized in Table 1. *E. coli* O157:H7 was isolated from 30% bear, 22.2% deer, 28.6% pony, 16.7% horses, 20% zebra, 66.7% ostrich, 12.5% hyena, 16.7% llama, 25% goat, and 28.6% jaguar. The percentage of EHEC *E. coli* isolate and characteristic of *E. coli* O157:H7 are shown in Table 2.

EHEC strains were isolated from 50% bear, 33.3% deer, 14.3% pony, 4.55% lion, 8.3% horse, 100% ostrich, 11.1% camel, 16.7% llama, and 28.6% jaguar.

The *E. coli* O157:H7 appears as sorbitol-nonfermented colonies on MacConkey agar (white-gray) as shown in Figure 1.

Individual isolates colonies of non-sorbitol-fermenting colonies on CT-SMAC medium were agglutinated with *E. coli* O157 and H7 latex reagent (Oxoid) as shown in Figures 2 and 3.

Table 1: Number of positive E. coli O157:H7 animals from fecal samples collected and date of each collection for different animal species in	1
Al-Zawraa zoological society of Baghdad.	

Animal spp.	Number of samples	Month of sample	No. of positive animals	Percentage of positive animals for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	
Bear	10	June	3	30%	
Deer	9	June	2	22.2%	
Pony	7	June	2	28.6%	
Lion	22	April	3	13.6%	
Elk	8	April	1	12.5%	
Dog	8	September	Nil	0%	
Horse	12	April	2	16.7%	
Wildcat	5	September	Nil	0%	
Zebra	5	September	1	20%	
Siberia monkey	9	February	Nil	0%	
Ostrich	3	June	2	66.7%	
Baboon monkey	9	February	Nil	0%	
Hyena	8	April	1	12.5%	
Kangaroo	1	April	Nil	0%	
Wolf	5	February	Nil	0%	
Camel	9	June	Nil	0%	
Fox	6	June	Nil	0%	
Porcupine	5	February	Nil	0%	
Llama	6	June	1	16.7%	
Goat	8	June	2	25%	
Jaguar	7	April	2	28.6%	
Chicken	6	February	Nil	0%	



FIGURE 3: Agglutination kit (Oxoid) for diagnosis of E. coli O157:H7.

### 4. Discussion

This study includes the isolation of *E. coli* derived from twenty-two mammalian species from one zoo; this is the first report concerning the isolation of *E. coli* O157:H7 from petting zoo animals in Iraq.

Whereas ruminants are considered to be reservoir of *E. coli* O157:H7 infections, wild bird may play a key role in emergence by providing a "zoonotic pool" of the infectious agents mainly *E. coli* O157:H7; wild bird play an important role in dissemination of *E. coli* O157:H7; could be the main reservoir for *E. coli* O157:H7 especially gull that spreads *E.* 

coli O157:H7 to cattle and other animals [39, 40]. Other researcher [41] found that manure, rail and environmental of petting zoo animal that causes human infected cases with *E. coli* 0157 so that different isolated rate among animal species could be related with manure, animal food, water supply [11] contaminated with *E. coli* 0157 that may contaminated with feces of wild bird. The 100% carriage rate of *E. coli* O157:H7 in ostrich in this investigation is almost the same as that in cattle, which suggests that *E. coli* O157:H7 strains are probably widespread in ostrich populations. Because of the popularity of petting zoo, petting zoo animals with *E. coli* 0157:H7 have the potential to make large numbers of people ill.

Visitors had direct contact with animals that are potential sources of enteric pathogens. Visitors could eat and drink while interacting with animals, and, with the exception of strollers, there were no exclusions on items being brought into animal venues and visitors did not receive educational messages concerning disease risk and prevention measures.

There were insufficient hand washing signs and hand washing stations within the animal venues and midways.

Standards should outline the need for adequate hand washing facilities, appropriate disposal of manure, and proper cleaning of the environment, including rails and floors. Most of these animals did not carry *E. coli* 0157:H7 during the study period.

The seasonality of the incidence of *E. coli* O157:H7 in petting zoo animals, coupled with the increase in *E. coli* 

Animal spp.	Positive samples for EHEC	% of <i>E. coli</i> isolates	Positive sample for O157:H7	Sorbitol fermentation	Positive for O157 antigen	Positive for H7 antigen
Bear	5	50%	3	+	+	+
Deer	3	33.3%	2	+	+	+
Pony	1	14.3%	2	+	+	+
Lion	1	4.55%	3	+	+	+
Elk	Nil	_	1	+	+	+
Dog	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Horse	1	8.3%	2	+	+	+
Wildcat	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Zebra	Nil	_	1	+	+	+
Siberia monkey	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Ostrich	3	100%	2	+	+	+
Baboon monkey	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Hyena	Nil	_	1	+	+	+
Kangaroo	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Wolf	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Camel	1	11.1%	Nil	_	_	_
Fox	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Porcupine	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_
Llama	1	16.7%	1	+	+	+
Goat	Nil	_	2	+	+	+
Jaguar	2	28.6%	2	+	+	+
Chicken	Nil	_	Nil	_	_	_

TABLE 2: The percentage of EHEC *E. coli* isolate and *E. coli* O157:H7 with its characteristic features.

O157:H7 associated with food-borne illness during the summer months, suggests that environmental replication plays a key role in the epidemiology of infections [42, 43].

#### References

- [1] L. W. Riley, R. S. Remis, S. D. Helgerson et al., "Hemmorhagic colitis associated with a rare *Escherichia coli* serotype," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 308, no. 12, pp. 681–685, 1983.
- [2] P. M. Griffin and R. V. Tauxe, "The epidemiology of infections caused by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, other enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, and the associated hemolytic uremic syndrome," *Epidemiologic Reviews*, vol. 13, pp. 60–98, 1991.
- [3] L. Easton, "Escherichia coli O157: occurrence, transmission and laboratory detection," British Journal of Biomedical Science, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 57–64, 1997.
- [4] S. M. Parry and S. R. Palmer, "The public health significance of VTEC O157," *Journal of Applied Microbiology Symposium Supplement*, vol. 88, no. 29, pp. 1–9, 2000.
- [5] P. A. Chapman, D. J. Wright, and P. Norman, "Verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections in Sheffield: cattle as a possible source," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 439–445, 1989.
- [6] D. D. Hancock, T. E. Besser, M. L. Kinsell, P. I. Tarr, D. H. Rice, and M. G. Paros, "The prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157.H7 in dairy and beef cattle in Washington state," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 199–207, 1994.
- [7] P. A. Chapman, C. A. Siddons, A. T. Cerdan Malo, and M. A. Harkin, "A 1-year study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle, sheep,

- pigs and poultry," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 245–250, 1997.
- [8] W. B. Trevena, R. S. Hooper, C. Wray, G. A. Willshaw, T. Cheasty, and G. Domingue, "Vero cytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 associated with companion animals," *The Veterinary Record*, vol. 138, no. 16, article 400, 1996.
- [9] "Waterborne outbreak of gastroenteritis associated with a contaminated municipal water supply, Walkerton, Ontario, May-June 2000," Canada Communicable Disease Report, vol. 26, no. 20, pp. 170–173, 2000.
- [10] A. N. Wetzel and J. T. LeJeune, "Isolation of Escherichia coli O157:H7 strains that do not produce Shiga toxin from bovine, avian and environmental sources," Letters in Applied Microbiology, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 504–507, 2007.
- [11] S. Bonetta, E. Borelli, S. Bonetta, O. Conio, F. Palumbo, and E. Carraro, "Development of a PCR protocol for the detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and Salmonella spp. in surface water," *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, vol. 177, no. 1–4, pp. 493–503, 2011.
- [12] W. E. Keene, E. Sazie, J. Kok et al., "An outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections traced to jerky made from deer meat," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 277, no. 15, pp. 1229–1231, 1997.
- [13] L. Al-Jader, R. L. Salmon, A. M. Walker, H. M. Williams, G. A. Willshaw, and T. Cheasty, "Outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157 in a nursery: lessons for prevention," *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 60–63, 1999.
- [14] A. E. Heuvelink, C. Van Heerwaarden, R. Van Oosterom, K. Edink, and Y. T. Van Duynhoven, "Escherichia coli O157 on a

- farm for children," *Tijdschrift voor Diergeneeskunde*, vol. 125, no. 24, pp. 761–762, 2000.
- [15] N. Pradel, V. Livrelli, C. De Champs et al., "Prevalence and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle, food, and children during a one-year prospective study in France," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 1023–1031, 2000.
- [16] "Outbreaks of Escherichia coli O157:H7 infections among children associated with farm visits in Pennsylvania and Washington, 2000," Canada Communicable Disease Report, vol. 27, pp. 117–120, 2001.
- [17] G. Duffy, "Verocytoxigenic Escherichia coli in animal faeces, manures and slurries," Journal of Applied Microbiology Symposium Supplement, vol. 94, no. 32, pp. S94–S103, 2003.
- [18] M. Blanco, J. E. Blanco, J. Blanco et al., "Prevalence and characteristics of *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7 and other verotoxin-producing *E. Coli* in healthy cattle," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 251–257, 1996.
- [19] M. Blanco, J. E. Blanco, J. Blanco et al., "Distribution and characterization of faecal verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) isolated from healthy cattle," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 54, no. 3-4, pp. 309–319, 1997.
- [20] S. G. Jackson, R. B. Goodbrand, R. P. Johnson et al., "Escherichia coli O157:H7 diarrhoea associated with well water and infected cattle on an Ontario farm," Epidemiology and Infection, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 17–20, 1998.
- [21] G. Johnsen, Y. Wasteson, E. Heir, O. I. Berget, and H. Herikstad, "Escherichia coli O157:H7 in faeces from cattle, sheep and pigs in the southwest part of Norway during 1998 and 1999," International Journal of Food Microbiology, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 193–200, 2001.
- [22] H. Sheng, J. Y. Lim, M. K. Watkins, S. A. Minnich, and C. J. Hovde, "Characterization of an *Eschenchia coli* O157:H7 O-antigen deletion mutant and effect of the deletion on bacterial persistence in the mouse intestine and colonization at the bovine terminal rectal mucosa," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 74, no. 16, pp. 5015–5022, 2008.
- [23] I. T. Kudva, P. G. Hatfield, and C. J. Hovde, "Characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other shiga toxin- producing *E. coli* serotypes isolated from sheep," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 892–899, 1997.
- [24] A. E. Heuvelink, C. Van Heerwaarden, J. T. M. Zwartkruis-Nahuis et al., "Escherichia coli O157 infection associated with a petting zoo," Epidemiology and Infection, vol. 129, no. 2, pp. 295–302, 2002.
- [25] B. A. Synge, "Verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli: a veterinary view," Journal of Applied Microbiology Symposium Supplement, vol. 88, no. 29, pp. S31–S37, 2000.
- [26] E. Oswald, H. Schmidt, S. Morabito, H. Karch, O. Marchès, and A. Caprioli, "Typing of intimin genes in human and animal enterohemorrhagic and enteropathogenic *Escherichia* coli: characterization of a new intimin variant," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 64–71, 2000.
- [27] D. G. Renter, J. M. Sargeant, S. E. Hygnstorm, J. D. Hoffman, and J. R. Gillespie, "Escherichia coli O157:H7 in free-ranging deer in Nebraska," Journal of Wildlife Diseases, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 755– 760, 2001.
- [28] M. Lenahan, S. B. O'Brien, C. Byrne et al., "Molecular characterization of Irish *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates of human, bovine, ovine and porcine origin," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 1340–1349, 2009.

- [29] C. DebRoy and E. Roberts, "Screening petting zoo animals for the presence of potentially pathogenic *Escherichia coli*," *Journal* of *Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 597–600, 2006.
- [30] A. M. Hamzah, A. M. H. Abed AL-Reda, and J. M. Khalaf, "Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O:157 and H:7 from horse feces in Baghdad, Iraq," *Online Journal of Veterinary Research*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 96–99, 2013.
- [31] D. L. Swerdlow, B. A. Woodruff, R. C. Brady et al., "A waterborne outbreak in Missouri of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 associated with bloody diarrhea and death," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 117, no. 10, pp. 812–819, 1992.
- [32] R. Gage, A. Crielly, M. Baysinger et al., "Outbreaks of Escherichia coli O157: H7 infections among children associ-ated with farm visits—Pennsylvania and Washington," Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, vol. 50, pp. 293–297, 2001.
- [33] D. Helwig, "E. coli outbreak linked to fall fair," Canadian Medical Association Journal, vol. 162, article 245, 2000.
- [34] C. J. I. Payne, M. Petrovic, R. J. Roberts et al., "Vero cytotoxinproducing *Escherichia coli* O157 gastroenteritis in farm visitors, North Wales," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 526– 530, 2003.
- [35] M. Davies, J. Engel, D. Griffin et al., "Outbreaks of Escherichia coli O157:H7 associated with petting zoos—North Carolina, Florida, and Arizona, 2004 and 2005," Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, vol. 54, pp. 1277–1280, 2005.
- [36] D. D. Hancock, T. E. Besser, D. H. Rice, E. D. Ebel, D. E. Herriott, and L. V. Carpenter, "Multiple sources of *Escherichia coli* O157 in feedlots and dairy farms in the Northwestern USA," *Preventive* Veterinary Medicine, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 11–19, 1998.
- [37] G. C. Pritchard, G. A. Willshaw, J. R. Bailey, T. Carson, and T. Cheasty, "Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 on a farm open to the public: outbreak investigation and longitudinal bacteriological study," *Veterinary Record*, vol. 147, no. 10, pp. 259–264, 2000.
- [38] J. M. Sargeant, D. J. Hafer, J. R. Gillespie, R. D. Oberst, and S. J. A. Flood, "Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in white-tailed deer sharing rangeland with cattle," *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, vol. 215, no. 6, pp. 792–794, 1999.
- [39] J. S. Wallace, T. Cheasty, and K. Jones, "Isolation of Vero cytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from wild birds," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 399–404, 1997.
- [40] G. Foster, J. Evans, H. I. Knight et al., "Analysis of feces samples collected from a wild-bird garden feeding station in Scotland for the presence of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 2265–2267, 2006.
- [41] B. Warshawsky, I. Gutmanis, B. Henry et al., "Outbreak of *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 related to animal contact at a petting zoo," *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 175–181, 2002.
- [42] D. D. Hancock, T. E. Besser, D. H. Rice, D. E. Herriott, and P. I. Tarr, "A longitudinal study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in fourteen cattle herds," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 193– 195, 1997.
- [43] K. Stanford, S. J. Bach, T. H. Marx et al., "Monitoring *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in inoculated and naturally colonized feedlot cattle and their environment," *Journal of Food Protection*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 26–33, 2005.