

NIH Public Access **Author Manuscript**

Curr Mol Pharmacol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 January 17.

Published in final edited form as: *Curr Mol Pharmacol*. 2012 January ; 5(1): 68–87.

Targeting DNA Polymerase β for Therapeutic Intervention

Eva M. Goellner1,2, **David Svilar**1,2, **Karen H. Almeida**3, and **Robert W. Sobol***,1,2,4 ¹Department of Pharmacology & Chemical Biology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

²University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

³Department of Physical Sciences, Rhode Island College, 600 Mt. Pleasant Ave, Providence, RI 02908-1991, USA

⁴Department of Human Genetics, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, PA 15261, USA

Abstract

DNA damage plays a causal role in numerous disease processes. Hence, it is suggested that DNA repair proteins, which maintain the integrity of the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes, play a critical role in reducing the onset of multiple diseases, including cancer, diabetes and neurodegeneration. As the primary DNA polymerase involved in base excision repair, DNA polymerase β (Polβ) has been implicated in multiple cellular processes, including genome maintenance and telomere processing and is suggested to play a role in oncogenic transformation, cell viability following stress and the cellular response to radiation, chemotherapy and environmental genotoxicants. Therefore, Polβ inhibitors may prove to be effective in cancer treatment. However, Polβ has a complex and highly regulated role in DNA metabolism. This complicates the development of effective Polβ-specific inhibitors useful for improving chemotherapy and radiation response without impacting normal cellular function. With multiple enzymatic activities, numerous binding partners and complex modes of regulation from posttranslational modifications, there are many opportunities for Polβ inhibition that have yet to be resolved. To shed light on the varying possibilities and approaches of targeting Polβ for potential therapeutic intervention, we summarize the reported small molecule inhibitors of Polβ and discuss the genetic, biochemical and chemical studies that implicate additional options for Polβ inhibition. Further, we offer suggestions on possible inhibitor combinatorial approaches and the potential for tumor specificity for Polβ-inhibitors.

Keywords

Base excision repair; chemotherapy; DNA polymerase β; lyase; polymerase; protein-protein interactions; small-molecule inhibitors

INTRODUCTION

DNA polymerase $β$ (Pol $β$) is a member of the X family of DNA polymerases [1, 2]. The *POLB* gene spans 14 exons across 33 kb and is localized to chromosome 8. A summary of genetic and physical characteristics of Polβ, along with links to several databases with additional details on Polβ, is shown in Table 1. Polβ has been implicated in several cellular

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Hillman Cancer Center, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Research Pavilion, Suite 2.6a, 5117 Centre Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213-1863, USA; Tel: 412-623-7764; Fax: 412-623-7761; rws9@pitt.edu.

functions, including genome stability [3], telomere maintenance [4–6] and meiosis [7]. Defects in Polβ have been linked with cancer [8, 9], aging [10], neurodegeneration [11, 12] and its expression is critical for the cellular response to environmental and chemotherapeutic genotoxins [13]. This latter function involves its primary role as the major DNA polymerase in the base excision repair (BER) pathway. A model for the BER proteins involved in the repair of temozolomide (TMZ)-induced lesions is depicted in Fig. (1), along with the chemical nature of each repair intermediate. In mammalian BER, a damaged base residue, such as those induced by the chemotherapeutic alkylating agent TMZ [14] is removed by a lesion-specific DNA glycosylase [15, 16]. Alkylation-induced base adducts, such as the N7- MeG and N3-MeA base lesions induced by TMZ, are removed by methylpurine (alkyladenine) DNA glycosylase. This protein has several designations, including MPG, AAG or ANPG but for clarity we will refer to it herein as MPG. The resulting abasic site is incised by apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease (APE1), leaving a single-nucleotide gap in the DNA strand with 3'-OH and 5'-deoxyribose phosphate (5'dRP) groups at the margins. Poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase-1 (PARP1), together with poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase-2 (PARP2) and the catabolic enzyme poly(ADP-ribose)glycohydrolase (PARG), are then suggested to be recruited to the APE1-mediated strand-break. It has been postulated that low-level activation of PARP1 and the resultant synthesis of poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR) facilitates recruitment of the downstream BER proteins XRCC1, DNA ligase IIIa (LigIIIa) and Polβ to stimulate and complete DNA repair [17].

Although the *POLB* gene is quite large, the protein encoded by *POLB* is the smallest of the human DNA polymerases [3, 18]. Polβ is a bi-functional, two-domain, single-polypeptide 39kDa enzyme [18]. Structurally, Polβ is similar to other DNA polymerases in which the polymerase domain is further divided into sub-domains referred to as the fingers, palm and thumb (Fig. (2)). Detailed structural characterization of Polβ has been summarized elsewhere [18, 19]. The polymerase or nucleotidyltransferase activity, responsible for gapfilling DNA synthesis in BER, resides in the C-terminal 31kDa domain and contains three aspartic acid (D) residues (190, 192 and 256) required for activity (Fig. (2)). A second active site in the N-terminal domain of Polβ conducts the essential gap-tailoring step in BER, the removal of the 5'dRP moiety [18, 20–24] (Fig. (2)). A close examination of the molecular mechanism of the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ reveals the formation of a Schiff base intermediate during the reaction, as originally reported by Wilson and colleagues [25]. In this earlier report, it was demonstrated that the Polβ 5'dRP lyase reaction proceeded through an imine-intermediate, implicating one or more of 4 lysine amino acid residues (K35, K68, K72 and K84) as the 5'dRP lyase active site catalytic nucleophile [25], although later studies have defined K72 as the essential nucleophile [26]. Once the epsilon-amino group of the active site lysine has begun the nucleophilic attack on the C-1' atom of the sugar within the sugar-phosphate BER intermediate (5'dRP), the BER reaction intermediate may be covalently trapped by reduction with sodium borohydride (NaBH4), resulting in a covalently bound substrate-enzyme complex, as depicted in Fig. (3). The 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ is the predominant BER-dependent 5'dRP lyase activity observed in mammalian cells for the repair of alkylated [27] and oxidized [28] bases. However, biochemical and genetic analyses have identified additional proteins with 5'dRP lyase activities that may contribute to gap tailoring in nuclear and mitochondrial BER, including DNA polymerases theta (θ) , lambda (λ,), gamma (γ) and iota (ι) [24, 29–33]. Additional enzymes with similar 5'dRP lyase activities include the catalytic subunit of the HSV-1 DNA polymerase [34–36], the *S. cerevisiae* Trf4 protein [37], NEIL family glycosylases [38, 39], DNA polymerase β from *Leishmania infantum* [40], Ku [41], DNA polymerase X from African swine fever virus [42] and *Deinococcus radiodurans* [43], mitochondrial DNA polymerase β from *Crithidia fasciculate* [44], the RecJ and Fpg proteins of *E. coli* [45, 46], HMGA2 [47] and HMGB1 [48].

Polβ has two active sites and several critical functional domains that may be considered as targets to inhibit Polβ and BER. In addition, Polβ-dependent BER is a highly complex process involving several proteins and requires multiple protein-protein interactions for repair (depending on the base lesion) [3], suggesting that interference with DNA binding or with the formation of one or more essential protein-protein interactions may in effect inhibit Polβ-mediated repair. Further, cancer mutations or cancer specific truncations of Polβ offer additional opportunities for BER-specific modulation and recently, it has been suggested by us and others that inhibition of Polβ may provide an opportunity for synthetic lethality associated with cancer specific genotypes. BER and Polβ can also be regulated by protein modifications but an understanding of this aspect of BER and Polβ regulation is in its infancy [3, 49]. For example, Polβ can be modified by acetyl, methyl and phosphate groups, yet little is known about the functional impact of these modifications [50, 51]. More recently, it has been suggested that Polβ is modified by ubiquitin, leading to proteasomemediated degradation [52–54]. As will be discussed throughout this review, the central and pivotal role of Polβ in BER implicates this protein as a prime target to enhance the response to chemotherapeutic agents or radiation. The complexity of BER and the ubiquitous role of Polβ in cellular metabolism suggest that the design and effectiveness of a robust Polβ inhibitor will be a significantly complex but rewarding challenge.

INHIBITING POLβ-DEPENDENT DNA SYNTHESIS

Initial attempts to regulate cancer cell growth focused on inhibiting DNA synthesis in order to regulate the uncontrolled replication of the cancer cell [55, 56]. Such inhibition can be accomplished directly or indirectly [55]. Indirect methods include damaging the DNA sufficiently to prevent replication [57] or depletion of nucleotide pools with anti-metabolites such as methotrexate [58]. Alternatively, components of the DNA replication machinery can be inhibited directly. This idea has led to numerous inhibitors of DNA polymerases useful in the treatment of diseases associated with cellular hyperproliferation, including cancer and viral infection [55, 56]. However, the requirement for normal cellular replication severely limits the utility of general DNA polymerase inhibitors. The identification of 15 mammalian DNA polymerases [1, 59] involved in nuclear and mitochondrial DNA replication, repair, recombination and translesion DNA synthesis complicates the utility of DNA polymerase inhibitors, requiring a high degree of selectivity and specificity. However, the unique role of repair and translesion DNA polymerases, especially in response to radiation and chemotherapy [56, 60, 61] suggests an opportunity for selectivity and specificity of DNA polymerases in response to some anti-cancer agents. The unique role of Polβ in BER, in particular in response to chemotherapy [60, 62], suggests that targeting the DNA synthesis activity of Polβ may offer a selective advantage in the treatment of cancer. A discussion on the role of Polβ in translesion DNA synthesis is presented by Sharma and colleagues elsewhere in this Special Edition and will not be covered here. Below, we have summarized past and present advances in the development of small molecule inhibitors specific to the DNA polymerase activity of Polβ.

Natural Products as Inhibitors of Polβ-Mediated DNA Synthesis

Hecht and colleagues utilized a standardized approach of 'bioassay-guided fractionization' to identify a series of natural-product-derived Polβ inhibitors isolated from crude plant extracts (*e.g*., aqueous or methyl ethyl ketone extracts) [63–70]. The assay used was a standard *in vitro* DNA polymerase assay using either purified calf thymus Polβ or recombinant rat or human Polβ, in the presence or absence of bovine serum albumen (BSA), monitoring the incorporation of [3H]dTTP in response to the presence or absence of the inhibitor or extract. In some cases, the compounds were resynthesized to validate the results.

The earliest studies yielded the identification of several alkylresorcinol analogs [65, 69], including one previously identified as bis-5-alkylresorcinol [65]. In addition, two novel alkylresorcinol analogs were identified, depicted in Fig. (4). The most potent of these exhibited IC₅₀ values as low as 5.3 μ M [65, 69]. However, specificity and selectivity of these compounds has yet to be evaluated. Interestingly, these two alkylresorcinol analogs, as shown in Fig. (4), initiate Cu^{2+} -dependent DNA cleavage, prompting Hecht and colleagues to evaluate additional classes of compounds that can both inhibit Polβ and cleave DNA. Recently, Hecht and colleagues isolated a set of flavinoids [(+)-myristinin A and D], shown in Fig. (5), from *knema elegans* [71] and later they accomplished the complete chemical synthesis of $(+)$ -myristinin A [72]. These also have potent Pol β inhibitory activity and mediate Cu^{2+} -dependent DNA cleavage. When evaluated for an impact on cellular viability at 10 µM, each appeared to be as effective as 0.075µM bleomycin. The combination of bleomycin plus the alkylresorcinol compound or the flavinoids yielded either a similar impact or slightly greater impact on cell growth and viability. It was therefore suggested that these alkylresorcinol and flavinoid compounds damage DNA and then inhibit the repair of the induced DNA damage. It remains to be determined if the damage induced by either the alkylresorcinol or flavinoid compounds is repaired by Polβ.

The same group also reported the isolation of several triterpenoids and similar multicyclic compounds isolated from several plant species that can inhibit DNA synthesis mediated by Polβ. Compounds were isolated from *Brackenridgea nitida* [68], *Bleasdalea bleasdalei* [68], *Freziera sp*. [66], *Baeckea gunniana* [64], *Tetracera boiviniana* [63] and *Sandoricum koetjape* [70]. None yielded compounds with IC_{50} values lower than 2 μ M. More recently, Cazaux and colleagues utilized a high-throughput approach, screening over 8,000 natural products (extracts) to identify an inhibitor of Polβ-mediated DNA synthesis. In this screen, they identified masticadienonic acid (MA), demonstrating a small degree of specificity as MA does not appear to inhibit the enzyme activity of Polδ. Since MA enhanced the cell killing effect of cisplatin [73], it was suggested that MA may affect the translesion-synthesis role of Polβ (See article by Sharma and colleagues elsewhere in this Special Edition). Other natural products found to inhibit Polβ include fatty acid derivatives [74], azaphilones isolated from cultures of *Talaromyces sp*. [75] and 1-deoxyrubralactone [76], among others we may have inadvertently missed. It remains to be established if any of these are selective or specific to Polβ, as compared to other Pol X family members or other DNA polymerases.

Sulfolipids

Using a similar functional screening paradigm, Sakaguchi and colleagues have identified several novel classes of compounds that inhibit Polβ. By analyzing extracts of the pteridophyte *A. niponicum*, they isolated a series of sulfolipids with inhibitory activity towards Pol α and Pol β [77], with IC₅₀ values of 6 and 8 μ M, respectively. This discovery was followed by several reports describing a series of sulfolipids of the sulfo-quinovosylacyl-glycerol (SQAG) class [78–81]. All seem to function as competitive inhibitors of Polβ (with respect to template/primer or dNTP) whereas some exhibit non-competitive inhibition of Polα. As with Lithocholic acid (LCA), MA and related fatty acid or steroid-based lipid derivatives (see below), the sulfolipids appear to bind to the N-terminal 8kDa domain and interfere with DNA binding, preventing nucleotidyltransferase (polymerase) activity [82]. Since many of these sulfolipids contain an esterified form of fatty acids similar to those known to inhibit Polβ (see below), it is speculated that both fatty acids and sulfolipids may inhibit Polβ *via* a similar mechanism [78, 80]. However, it is noted that both the sulfate in the quinovose moiety as well as the fatty acid component of these sulfolipid compounds are critical for inhibition of Polβ-mediated nucleotidyltransferase activity [78–80]. Similarly, it was established that sulfated glycoglycerolipids (*e.g*., KN-208) are effective in inhibiting

Pol β (Ki = 0.05 µM), yet as with the others mentioned here, this compound also inhibits Polα although at a 10-fold higher concentration [83].

Interestingly, many of these sulfolipids have additional cellular effects that may need to be considered if these are to be developed clinically. For example, Sulfo-quinovosylmonoacylglycerol (SQMG), also shown to inhibit Polβ [82], triggers cell cycle arrest [84] and has anti-angiogenic effects, likely *via* down-regulation of Tie2 [85]. Further, SQMG functions as a radiosensitizer [86], although it has not been determined if any of the radiosensitizing effect is *via* inhibition of Polβ or other DNA polymerases.

KA-A

Kohamaic acid A (KA-A) is a sesterterpenic acid that was isolated from *Ircinia sp*., a marine sponge, and was first reported to inhibit cell division of fertilized sea urchin eggs [87]. The effect on cell division was suggested to proceed *via* a block or inhibition of sea urchin replication-essential DNA polymerases. More detailed analysis of KA-A and a series of analogs [88, 89] revealed broad spectrum inhibition of human DNA polymerases $α$, $β$, $δ$ and γ, suggesting a possible universal mechanism of inhibition of DNA polymerases but a clear lack of specificity for Polβ. The structure of the natural compound KA-A and the most effective derivative [Compound #11; (1S*, 4aS*, 8aS*)−17-(1,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a– octahydro-2,5,5,8a–tetramethylnaphthalen-1-yl)heptanoic acid] [88] is shown in Fig. (6). Compound #11 acts as a competitive inhibitor of Polβ with Ki values of 1.9 µM (template/ primer) and 2.3 µM (nucleotide). Molecular modelling studies suggest KA-A derivative #11 binds to the 8kDa domain of Polβ along the interface that interacts with the ssDNA of a template/primer. Such inhibition would be similar to that of other fatty acid derivatives [90].

Cloretazine

The nucleotidyltransferase activity of Polβ has also been reported to be inhibited by the inter-strand DNA crosslinking agent Cloretazine [91] or 1,2-Bis(methylsulfonyl)-1-(2 chloroethyl)-2-[(2-methylamino)carbonyl] hydrazine. This agent, also referred to as Laromustine or VNP-40101M [92] is currently in several stages of clinical evaluation [93– 95]. Cloretazine is activated under aqueous conditions, yielding the two reactive molecules 90CE and methylisocyanate, as shown in Fig. (7). The 90CE derivative is responsible for alkylation of DNA at the O^6 position of guanine bases, triggering cytotoxicity resulting from the formation of interstrand cross-links to cytosine. However, cytotoxicity is alleviated in cells expressing the direct-reversal repair protein O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) [96]. The methylisocyanate compound induces carbamoylation of protein sulfhydryl groups [97]. Rice and colleagues observed that the Cloretazine derivative 90CE has no effect on Polβ whereas the analog 101MDCE, which retains the carbamoylating activity, has an IC₅₀ value of 92 μ M, suggesting Cloretazine inhibits Pol β by methylisocyanate-mediated carbamoylation of an active-site sulfhydryl group, attenuating DNA polymerase activity. We await validation of this initial report.

Lithocholic Acid

One small molecule that has received considerable attention as a purported specific inhibitor of Pol β is Lithocholic acid (LCA) – see Fig. (8). Originally identified by DeClercq and colleagues as a cholic acid derivative with selectivity for HIV-1-mediated viral replication [98], LCA was subsequently shown to promote N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG)-mediated tumor growth [99–101]. Since MNNG induces DNA damage that is repaired by Polβ and the BER pathway [13], Yoshida and colleagues then hypothesized that the tumor promoting action of LCA may be mediated by inhibiting Polβ and preventing repair of the MNNG-induced DNA damage. After evaluating seventeen related bile acids, it

was determined that LCA and select derivatives were potent inhibitors of Polβ. Note however, that LCA was also found to inhibit Polα, Polδ, Polε and Polγ although Polβ was reported as the most sensitive [99]. In follow-up analyses by Sakaguchi and colleagues, it was determined that LCA bound to the N-terminal 8kDa domain of Polβ [102]. Although the binding affinity of LCA to Polβ was sufficient to allow modified forms of LCA (*e.g*., biotinylated) for use in the purification and affinity capture of Polβ [103, 104], this approach can also be used to purify Polα [103, 104] and LCA also binds tightly to DNA Topoisomerase II [105], suggesting some level of off-target effects of LCA with regard to Polβ.

Recently, LCA was shown to potentiate the cell killing effect of TMZ, a clinical alkylating agent previously shown to induce BER specific DNA damage requiring Polβ for cell survival [14, 60, 62, 106]. Importantly, it was shown that potentiation of LCA on TMZ was enhanced in cells with a null mutation in the BRCA2 gene [107]. In this latest report, Glazer, Sweasy and colleagues very clearly demonstrate that LCA inhibits both the nucleotidyltransferase and the 5'dRP lyase activity of purified Polβ, as well as inhibiting Polβ in a complete BER reaction. Under the assumption that LCA is specific for Polβ, this recent study was then extended to cells deficient or proficient for BRCA2, showing synergy between LCA and TMZ. However, these studies failed to effectively demonstrate that the synergy between LCA and TMZ is the result of specific or selective Polβ inhibition. For example, LCA treatment yields a similar level of synergy with TMZ in both wild-type (WT) and MPG(AAG) knockout (KO) cells [107]. Since it was earlier demonstrated that Polβ/ AAG double-KO cells are resistant to the cell killing effects of alkylating agents (as compared to Polβ KO cells) [108], the reported synergy of LCA and TMZ in AAG KO cells [107] likely involves at least some level of cell killing resulting from either non-specific effects of LCA or inhibition unrelated to Polβ. As with many of the compounds discussed herein, further tests are required to clearly demonstrate if LCA is selective or specific to Polβ in human cells, especially given the previous evidence for inhibition of other human DNA polymerases [99]. Further, LCA has additional cellular effects, likely both related and unrelated to its function as a ligand for the Vitamin D receptor, including regulation of NFκB and Vitamin D [109, 110] as well as induction of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) [111]. Most recently, LCA was identified in a large-scale chemical and genetic screen to extend yeast chronological life span [112], an effect clearly not mediated through Polβ.

DNA BINDING INHIBITION

NSC666715

As depicted in Fig. (2), Polβ has two essential DNA binding domains [18]. The 8kDa domain, corresponding to amino acid residues 1–89, binds to ssDNA [18] with a preference for a small gap with a 5'phosphate [23]. The fingers sub-domain, corresponding to amino acid residues 90–149, encodes the dsDNA-binding domain [18]. However, there is likely some level of cooperative binding since the C-terminal 31kDa Polβ fragment, corresponding to amino acid residues 90–335, has minimal DNA binding and DNA polymerase activity [27]. Given the extraordinary number of proteins capable of binding DNA plus the potential for a high degree of similarity among proteins that bind DNA in a non-sequence dependent manner, it was surprising that a relatively specific Polβ inhibitor was recently reported that appears to function precisely by inhibiting the binding of Polβ to the damaged DNA under repair [113]. In this report, Narayan and colleagues reported promising results with the compound NSC666715 [113], depicted in Fig. (9). This compound (NSC666715; IUPAC designation = 4-chloro-N-[5-(4-chloroanilino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl]-5-methyl-2-sulfanylbenzene-sulfonamide) was first synthesized as an anti-HIV compound, designed for inhibition of viral integration [114–116]. Narayan and colleagues used a molecular docking

approach to identify small molecules that would bind to a recently identified APC-binding site on Polβ [117, 118]. Using purified proteins, they find that NSC666715 inhibits both the 5'dRP lyase and DNA synthesis steps catalyzed by Polβ, resulting in an overall block to BER. Treatment with NSC666715 was effective in enhancing the efficacy of TMZ in a mouse xenograft model using the HCT-116 colon tumor model [113]. In this report, the data suggests that NSC666715 prevents Polβ from binding damaged DNA. The specificity and selectivity of NSC666715 remains to be determined, as treatment with NSC666715 alone resulted in the inhibition of tumor cell growth in the absence of TMZ, an effect not likely to be the result of Polβ inhibition. Regardless, this initial report is a promising example of targeting Polβ by preventing the ability to recognize and bind to damaged DNA.

DISRUPTING POLβ-DEPENDENT DNA REPAIR COMPLEXES

As our understanding of the BER pathway has evolved, especially the molecular details of each step in the mechanism of BER, it has become clear that repair of base lesions and related BER substrates is facilitated *via* a series of protein complexes that assemble at the site of the DNA lesion [3, 18, 49, 119]. This should not be surprising as essentially all proteins either function by or are regulated by specific protein-protein interactions. In fact, protein-binding interfaces may offer a greater number of targets for the development of highly specific and selective drugs. For example, one recent accounting of the number of protein-protein interactions in human cells suggest as many as 150,000 protein interaction pairs [120] and this number may be greatly enhanced when considering the increase in the number of protein products that result from alternative splicing events [121]. Further, as with other repairosomes or transient DNA Repair complexes, post-translational modification (PTM) of BER proteins impacts both function and repair complex formation [49].

Targeting the Polβ-XRCC1 Interface

A recent example of targeted disruption of a proteinprotein interaction is the disruption of the c-Myc/Max heterodimer. The oncoprotein c-Myc is over-expressed in many cancers and forms a heterodimer with Max to function as a transcription factor [122]. It has been demonstrated that small molecules can disrupt the c-Myc/Max dimer, eliminating the oncogenic potential of c-Myc overexpression [123, 124]. Similar protein-protein disrupting molecules or peptide mimetics have also been developed to target SMAC in an attempt to induce apoptosis [125, 126] although other targets have been proposed [127, 128]. In this same vein, we propose that given the number of specific protein-protein interactions that are formed during the repair of base lesions by Polβ and the BER pathway, it might also be possible to develop selective and specific BER inhibitors by targeting specific proteinprotein interfaces that arise during repair. As summarized in Table 2, there are a number of proteins that functionally interact with Polβ or modulate Polβ function. These proteins have been reported to either modify Polβ (*e.g*., Mule) or participate in BER (*e.g*., LigI). However, for many of these proteins, the functional significance of binding to Polβ is unknown (*e.g*., TAF1D). For those that form complexes with Polβ to facilitate BER (*e.g*., XRCC1), we propose that targeted disruption of this complex or protein-protein interaction may lead to inhibition of Polβ-mediated BER and hence the Polβ/XRCC1 interface may provide a unique drug development target. Polβ forms a tight complex with XRCC1 [129], amenable to both NMR analysis [130, 131] and crystallographic analysis [132]. The region of interaction with Polβ is located within residues 84–183 in the N-terminal half of the XRCC1 protein [133]. Conversely, the Polβ domain that binds to XRCC1 is the C-terminal or thumb domain (see Fig. (2)). As was demonstrated using NMR spectroscopy, Polβ mutants with a L301R/V303R/V306R triple point mutation cannot interact with XRCC1 [131, 134]. These amino acid residues form part of a protusion (referred to as the V303 hairpin) that appears to insert within the Polβ/XRCC1 binding interface, as determined by crystallographic analysis

[132] – see Fig. (10). Interestingly, the Polβ/XRCC1 binding interface appears to be regulated by oxidation [132]. Given the significance of the Polβ/XRCC1 interaction in BER [135–137], it is therefore likely that disruption or inhibition of BER may be accomplished by small molecules or peptide mimetics targeted to this location within the Polβ/XRCC1 binding interface.

Modulating POLβ Function By Regulating PTMs

As discussed previously [3, 49] and mentioned above, Polβ helps facilitate BER *via* the formation of transient protein complexes at the site of the DNA lesion. Further, it appears that, as with many proteins, post-translational modification (PTM) of BER proteins can either enhance or disrupt BER, in some cases by modulating protein complex formation [49]. Like many BER proteins, Polβ is modified at multiple amino acid residues – summarized in Table 3. For example, Dianov and colleagues have suggested that Polβ levels are kept in check by ubiquitylation and subsequent proteosome-mediated degradation [52, 54]. Therefore, it is conceivable that a targeted approach of Polβ ubiquitylation and degradation might be feasible. Alternatively, enhancing the acetylation of K72 [51] would inhibit the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ. In both cases, the result would be a targeted disruption of BER. However, we concede that selective drugs to facilitate enhanced modification of Polβ is highly speculative at this time, especially considering that many of the reported Polβ-specific PTMs (Table 3) have not been validated in multiple systems and little is known regarding the functional impact of these PTMs. It is possible that many of the PTMs reported to date are not universal and/or do not impact Polβ function or Polβmediated BER complex formation. In addition, the protein modifiers (*e.g*., CHIP, PKC, p300) have multiple targets unrelated to Polβ and BER and therefore inhibition of these enzymes is not likely to be Polβ specific. We look forward to future studies in this regard.

DOMINANT-NEGATIVE INTERFERENCE OF POLβ FUNCTION AND THE IMPACT OF TUMOR MUTATIONS

An essential aspect of any enzyme inhibitor to be used in cells or *in vivo*, as we have discussed throughout, is specificity and selectivity. Unfortunately, none of the small molecule inhibitors of Polβ discussed so far have been shown to be highly specific or selective. Many of these small molecule inhibitors can inhibit other DNA polymerases with equal or greater affinity, have multiple biological effects unrelated to Polβ or are directly cytotoxic, an effect not expected to result from Polβ inhibition since Polβ null cells are viable [13, 27, 108, 138].

Interestingly, Polβ expression or primary sequence appears to be altered in a significant percentage of human tumors so far evaluated [139]. High levels of Polβ expression have been demonstrated in several human cancers and tumor cell lines [140]. We, and others, have observed elevated expression of Polβ in gastrointestinal tumors and cancers from the esophagus, colon and pancreas [9, 141–143]. In addition, chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) [144] and infection by human papillomavirus 16 (HPV16) [145] or Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [146] leads to elevated expression of Polβ. In many cases, mutations within the Polβ coding region results in over-expression of dysfunctional Polβ proteins [8]. Overall, approximately 30% of human cancers express mutant or aberrant forms of Polβ proteins [8, 147–149], leading to genomic instability and possibly conferring a mutator phenotype to cells [108, 140, 150]. An updated list of disease-associated Polβ mutants is shown in Table 4. These range from single-point mutants such as the Leu22Pro mutant found in gastric cancer that is deficient in 5'dRP lyase activity to deletion mutants that function as dominant negative inhibitors of Polβ activity (Table 4). It is intriguing to consider the possibility that small molecules may be developed to specifically target cancer specific mutants of Polβ,

although the likelihood of such inhibitors may be low. However, as we, and others, have shown, Polβ targeting by siRNA or shRNA gives rise to an almost complete loss of Polβ expression and increased sensitivity to DNA damaging agents [60, 62, 106, 151]. Hence, RNA interference could be utilized to specifically target mutant forms of Polβ, similar to that reported recently to target mutant forms of the Huntingtin alleles without affecting the normal allele [152]. Alternatively, it has been suggested that inhibiting the normal form of Polβ may be synthetically lethal with some cancer genotypes such as MSH2 mutations found in Hereditary NonPolyposis Colorectal Cancer (HNPCC) [153]. However, synthetic lethality of Polβ with a null mutation in MSH2 remains to be validated in a separate study.

One interesting method that might be more amenable to a gene-therapy type approach is the identification and utilization of mutants of Polβ that function as dominantnegative inhibitors. Such an approach could take advantage of cell penetrating peptides for delivery of a highly specific Polβ and BER inhibitor [154]. The first report of a naturally occurring dominantnegative mutant of Polβ was from Banerjee and colleagues in which they identified a splicevariant of Polβ, missing 87 bp (exon 11) corresponding to amino acid residues 208– 236 [155]. This mutant form of Pol β (Pol $\beta\Delta$ 87) is defective in BER [156] and functions as a dominant-negative inhibitor of Polβ [157]. Although suggested to be a cancer-specific form of Polβ [155], the PolβΔ87 mutant is expressed in both normal and tumor tissue [158].

Earlier, Wilson and colleagues demonstrated that the 14kDa N-terminal fragment of Polβ, corresponding to amino acid residues 1–140 (PolβN140), inhibited recombinant Polβ *in vitro* [159]. Interestingly, the inhibitory effect of PolβN140 was specific in that other N-terminal fragments, corresponding to fragment sizes of 8, 27 and 31 kDa did not inhibit the activity of Polβ and conversely, PolβN140 did not inhibit Polα [159]. Capitalizing on the dominantnegative phenotype of PolβN140, Vens and colleagues have shown repeatedly that this mutant form of Polβ can be utilized to specifically and selectively inhibit BER in human cells, likely by competing with the WT form of Polβ, as originally suggested by Wilson and colleagues [159]. Using this approach, they initially reported that PolβN140 revealed a role for Polβ in sensitization to ionizing radiation [61]. This dominant-negative mutant of Polβ acts as a radiosensitizer *via* XRCC1 dependent mechanisms that is independent of Polβ expression [160] and it's action appears cell cycle dependent [161]. It is interesting to speculate that PolβN140 may function by interfering with XRCC1-dependent DNA repair complex formation. However, the mechanism of this interference is not obvious, as PolβN140 does not contain the XRCC1 binding domain. As might be expected, cell death due to radiation combined with expression of the dominantnegative PolβN140 correlates with accumulation of DNA double-strand breaks [161]. DNA double-strand break induction might result from interference with the repair of clustered lesions characteristic of ionizing radiation-induced damage [162]. We await the evaluation of the dominant-negative PolβN140 protein in xenograft studies and suggest that PolβN140 might be an excellent candidate for delivery using a cell penetrating peptide such as those described by Bitler and Schroeder [154].

INHIBITION OF POLβ-DEPENDENT 5'dRP LYASE ACTIVITY AND THE CELLULAR CONSEQUENCES

DNA Polβ has always been considered a "DNA Repair polymerase" [138] yet it was not until the development and characterization of Polβ knockout (KO) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) that its role in BER was clearly defined where it was demonstrated that Polβ KO MEFs are sensitive to DNA alkylating agents due to a BER defect [13]. However, with the discovery that Polβ conducts two critical enzyme activities to complete BER, nucleotidyltransferase activity [18, 163] and 5'dRP lyase activity [20], it was important to determine the enzyme activity that was the most essential for BER and therefore if inhibited,

could increase sensitivity to DNA alkylating agents. In a follow-up study, it was then determined that the alkylation sensitivity of Polβ KO MEFs was the result of a failure to repair the 5'dRP group, an intermediate in BER [27]. Subsequently, we have demonstrated that loss of Polβ-mediated 5'dRP lyase activity enhances sensitivity to the chemotherapeutic agent TMZ in human cells derived from breast and glioma tumors [60, 62, 106]. Although there appears to be several other enzymes that can repair the 5'dRP lesion [24, 29–33, 38, 39, 41, 47, 48], cellular hypersensitivity to alkylating agents can be achieved if one eliminates or attenuates the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ [27, 106]. In this final section, we present a summary of progress towards the development of effective inhibitors of the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ and discuss our recent insights into the cellular consequences that result from 5'dRP lyase inhibition.

Although not initially designed as such, many of the nucleotidyltransferase and DNA binding inhibitors discussed above also inhibit the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ. Earlier studies by Hecht, Sakaguchi and others (see above) focused on the polymerase activity of Polβ but the impact of many of these compounds on the associated 5'dRP lyase activity was not reported. However, it is likely that MA [73] and the sulfolipids (described above) may inhibit the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ since these and related compounds bind to the 8kDa domain of Polβ that encodes the 5'dRP lyase active site [82] (see Fig. (2)). Cloretazine is suggested to inhibit Polβ by modifying one of three cysteine amino acid residues. These all lie within the C-terminal or nucleotidyltrans-ferase domain and therefore if such modifications do occur, Cloretazine will likely effect the nucleotidyltransferase as opposed to the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ. On the other hand, LCA, originally identified as a DNA polymerase inhibitor, inhibits both the nucleotidyltransferase and the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ [107]. In addition, it was also determined that NSC666715 not only prevents binding to the damaged DNA but as a result, inhibits the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ [113]. From many of these studies, one may be able to extrapolate that tight binding to the 8kDa domain may be a signature or pre-requisite for inhibition of 5'dRP lyase activity.

In a completely surprising finding, Vijayanti and colleagues have reported that the dementia drugs donepezil hydrochloride, rivastigmine tartrate and Nootropil bind to the 8kDa domain of Polβ as determined in an *in silico* analysis to evaluate potential macromolecular docking sites on the protein [164]. Using *in vitro* DNA polymerase and 5'dRP lyase assays, they show no significant impact on polymerase activity but suggest that rivastigmine tartrate and Nootropil both have a significant impact on Polβ dependent 5'dRP lyase activity. However, there was little or no impact on a complete BER reaction containing DNA ligase. Additional studies are therefore suggested to validate the inhibitory effect of donepezil hydrochloride, rivastigmine tartrate and Nootropil on Polβ.

In what appears to be the first dedicated effort to identify and develop Polβ specific 5'dRP lyase inhibitors, Wilson and Hecht and colleagues have discovered a number of compounds that inhibit both the nucleotidyltransferase and 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ, such as koetjapic acid (KJA), isolated from *Sandoricum koetjape* [70]. Using a bioassayguided fractionization approach and a standardized 5'dRP lyase activity assay, Hecht and Kingston identified a large number of 5'dRP lyase inhibitory compounds from natural products, including lupanetriterpinoids, (−)epicatechin, sesquiterpinoids, biscoumarin derivatives, plant sterols, oleananetriterpinoids, ursanetriterpenes and neolignans [165– 172]. In some cases, these compounds were reported to enhance or potentiate the cytotoxicity of the alkylating agent methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) [170], as might be expected from an inhibitor of the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ [27, 106]. The sulfolipid KN-208, a reported polymerase activity inhibitor (see above), enhanced cellular sensitivity to MMS [83] and is likely to inhibit the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ since the general class of sulfolipids are known to bind to the 8kDa domain of Polβ, although it has yet to be evaluated.

Selectivity for many of these compounds remains an issue since potentiation of MMS in a WT cell could result from the inhibition of many cellular functions. Wilson and Hecht approached this problem using a combined NMR, biochemical and cellular approach to identify lead compounds for specific inhibition of the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ [173]. In this initial study, they used their previously reported NMR structure of the 8kDa domain of Polβ [130, 131] to map the binding site and critical contacts (amino acid residues and chemical groups) for binding of the 5'dRP lyase inhibitor KJA [70]. Subsequently, thirtyfour structurally similar compounds were analyzed using this NMR chemical shift mapping approach and ten compounds, including KJA, were identified that yielded appropriate (micromolar) binding constants. These and other 5'dRP lyase inhibitor compounds are listed in Table 5. To address the specificity issue, each of these compounds were then evaluated for the ability to potentiate MMS-induced cell death, comparing WT and Polβ KO MEFs [173], with the expectation that a highly specific Polβ 5'dRP lyase inhibitor would potentiate MMS in WT cells but would yield little or no potentiation in the Polβ KO cells. Any potentiation in the Polβ KO cells would likely be the result of non-specific or off-target effects. By comparing potentiation in both cell lines (WT and Polβ KO), Wilson and colleagues were then able to evaluate the specificity and efficacy of each compound by calculating an enhancement ratio (ER) [173], the most effective and specific compounds yielding the highest ER. By this criteria, it was determined that Pamoic Acid (PA) is the most effective and specific of the inhibitors tested, with a binding constant of 9 μ M [173]. In line with this result, PA was also shown to inhibit both the nucleotidyltransferase and 5'dRP lyase activities of Polβ, when tested in an *in vitro* assay using purified, recombinant Polβ [173]. The binding interface of PA and the 8kDa domain of Polβ was then independently confirmed by Milon and colleagues [174] to further development of PA analogs and related compounds with greater affinity and selectivity for Polβ. Finally, a summary of the development and characterization of these compounds (Table 5) by Wilson and colleagues has also been reported [175].

The increased alkylating agent sensitivity of Polβ KO or deficient cells (or Polβ 5'dRP lyase deficient/inhibited cells) has been well documented [13, 27, 60, 62, 106, 108, 176, 177]. However, the mechanism of cell death initiated by alkylating agents in a Polβ null cell was only recently revealed. Using a series of human tumor cell lines depleted of Polβ (*via* RNA interference) together with isogenic lines complemented with WT and mutant forms of Polβ, we reported that failure to repair the 5'dRP lesion (termed *BER Failure*) initiates hyperactivation of PARP1/PARP2 leading to the depletion of NAD⁺/ATP pools, the release of the RAGE ligand HMGB1 and the onset of necrosis [106]. In fact, we find that cell death from Polβ inhibition (in concert with DNA damage) is highly dependent on the availability of cellular bioenergetic metabolites $(NAD⁺$ and $NAD⁺$ precursors) and the capacity of the NAD⁺ biosynthesis machinery [106]. In that vein, we suggest that the efficacy of Pol β inhibitors, especially 5'dRP lyase inhibitors, to potentiate DNA damage-induced cell death (e.g., anti-tumor effect) can be improved by simultaneous disruption or inhibition of cellular NAD⁺ biosynthesis [178].

SUMMARY

In summary, we have discussed past, present and future options for inhibiting Polβ as a means to enhance response to chemotherapy and radiation. The obvious target, to inhibit the nucleotidyltransferase activity of Polβ, has not resulted in any highly effective or specific inhibitors to date. The most effective compounds appear to be those that result in inhibition of both the nucleotidyltransferase and 5'dRP lyase activities of Polβ such as LCA, NSC666715 and PA. However, reported binding constants are still too high but NSC666715 and PA appear to provide a high level of specificity and offer promise, each targeting a unique site on Polβ. Future studies may also include novel targets such as the Polβ/XRCC1

interface. It has not yet been determined if interrupting the Polβ/XRCC1 interaction results in *BER Failure* or BER inhibition in cells, but studies are underway to determine if targeting BER-dependent protein-protein interfaces is a viable approach to inhibit BER. Another option is to modulate PTMs to inhibit Polβ. However, more information is needed about the functional impact of Polβ specific PTMs before considering this as a target. In addition, one report has proposed a potential for synthetic lethality between Polβ loss and mutations in MSH2, suggesting that targeting Polβ alone (in the absence of DNA damage) may be considered for MSH2-deficient tumors. Finally, as we discussed above, combinations of inhibitors may prove most effective in the short term. Since inhibiting Polβ triggers DNA damage-induced necrosis *via* NAD⁺ depletion [106], one might consider using a DNA damaging agent, a Polβ inhibitor and an NAD+ biosynthesis inhibitor. Such an approach might be most effective in tumors with defects in NAPRT1-mediated NAD+ biosynthesis to allow normal tissue rescue with nicotinic acid [179, 180].

Acknowledgments

We would like to extend special thanks to Lucas Santana dos Santos, University of Pittsburgh, for help with the PyMOL application. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) [GM087798; CA148629] to RWS. Support was also provided by the University of Pittsburgh Department of Pharmacology & Chemical Biology to EMG and DS and a John S. Lazo Cancer Pharmacology Fellowship to EMG. Further, this publication was made possible by RI-INBRE Grant # P20RR016457 from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR, NIH) awarded to KHA. It's contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NCRR or NIH.

ABBREVIATIONS

REFERENCES

- 1. Burgers PM, Koonin EV, Bruford E, Blanco L, Burtis KC, Christman MF, Copeland WC, Friedberg EC, Hanaoka F, Hinkle DC, Lawrence CW, Nakanishi M, Ohmori H, Prakash L, Prakash S, Reynaud CA, Sugino A, Todo T, Wang Z, Weill JC, Woodgate R. Eukaryotic DNA polymerases: proposal for a revised nomenclature. J. Biol. Chem. 2001; 276(47):43487–43490. [PubMed: 11579108]
- 2. Bebenek K, Kunkel TA. Functions of DNA polymerases. Adv. Protein Chem. 2004; 69:137–165. [PubMed: 15588842]
- 3. Almeida KH, Sobol RW. A unified view of base excision repair: lesion-dependent protein complexes regulated by post-translational modification. DNA Repair. 2007; 6(6):695–711. [PubMed: 17337257]
- 4. Verdun RE, Karlseder J. The DNA damage machinery and homologous recombination pathway act consecutively to protect human telomeres. Cell. 2006; 127(4):709–720. [PubMed: 17110331]
- 5. Fotiadou P, Henegariu O, Sweasy JB. DNA polymerase β interacts with TRF2 and induces telomere dysfunction in a murine mammary cell line. Cancer Res. 2004; 64(11):3830–3837. [PubMed: 15172990]

- 6. Muftuoglu M, Wong HK, Imam SZ, Wilson DM 3rd, Bohr VA, Opresko PL. Telomere repeat binding factor 2 interacts with base excision repair proteins and stimulates DNA synthesis by DNA polymerase beta. Cancer Res. 2006; 66(1):113–124. [PubMed: 16397223]
- 7. Kidane D, Jonason AS, Gorton TS, Mihaylov I, Pan J, Keeney S, de Rooij DG, Ashley T, Keh A, Liu Y, Banerjee U, Zelterman D, Sweasy JB. DNA polymerase beta is critical for mouse meiotic synapsis. EMBO J. 2010; 29(2):410–423. [PubMed: 20019666]
- 8. Starcevic D, Dalal S, Sweasy JB. Is there a link between DNA polymerase beta and cancer? Cell Cycle. 2004; 3(8):998–1001. [PubMed: 15280658]
- 9. Yoshizawa K, Jelezcova E, Brown AR, Foley JF, Nyska A, Cui X, Hofseth LJ, Maronpot RM, Wilson SH, Sepulveda AR, Sobol RW. Gastrointestinal Hyperplasia with Altered Expression of DNA Polymerase β. PLoS ONE. 2009; 4(8):e6493. [PubMed: 19654874]
- 10. Xu G, Herzig M, Rotrekl V, Walter CA. Base excision repair, aging and health span. Mech. Ageing Dev. 2008; 129(7–8):366–382. [PubMed: 18423806]
- 11. Copani A, Caraci F, Hoozemans JJ, Calafiore M, Sortino MA, Nicoletti F. The nature of the cell cycle in neurons: focus on a "non-canonical" pathway of DNA replication causally related to death. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2007; 1772(4):409–412. [PubMed: 17196375]
- 12. Copani A, Hoozemans JJ, Caraci F, Calafiore M, Van Haastert ES, Veerhuis R, Rozemuller AJ, Aronica E, Sortino MA, Nicoletti F. DNA polymerase-beta is expressed early in neurons of Alzheimer's disease brain and is loaded into DNA replication forks in neurons challenged with beta-amyloid. J. Neurosci. 2006; 26(43):10949–10957. [PubMed: 17065437]
- 13. Sobol RW, Horton JK, Kuhn R, Gu H, Singhal RK, Prasad R, Rajewsky K, Wilson SH. Requirement of mammalian DNA polymerase-β in base-excision repair. Nature. 1996; 379(6561): 183–186. [PubMed: 8538772]
- 14. Sobol, RW.; Temozolomide. Encyclopedia of Cancer. 2nd ed.. M, Schwab, editor. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer; 2009.
- 15. Wood RD, Mitchell M, Sgouros J, Lindahl T. Human DNA repair genes. Science. 2001; 291(5507):1284–1289. [PubMed: 11181991]
- 16. Wilson SH, Sobol RW, Beard WA, Horton JK, Prasad R, Vande Berg BJ. DNA Polymerase β and Mammalian Base Excision Repair. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 2001; 65:143–155. [PubMed: 12760029]
- 17. Dantzer F, Ame JC, Schreiber V, Nakamura J, Menissier-de Murcia J, de Murcia G. Poly(ADPribose) polymerase-1 activation during DNA damage and repair. Methods Enzymol. 2006; 409:493–510. [PubMed: 16793420]
- 18. Beard WA, Wilson SH. Structure and mechanism of DNA polymerase Beta. Chem. Rev. 2006; 106(2):361–382. [PubMed: 16464010]
- 19. Moon AF, Garcia-Diaz M, Batra VK, Beard WA, Bebenek K, Kunkel TA, Wilson SH, Pedersen LC. The X family portrait: structural insights into biological functions of X family polymerases. DNA Repair (Amst). 2007; 6(12):1709–1725. [PubMed: 17631059]
- 20. Matsumoto Y, Kim K. Excision of deoxyribose phosphate residues by DNA polymerase β during DNA repair. Science. 1995; 269(5224):699–702. [PubMed: 7624801]
- 21. Matsumoto Y, Kim K, Katz DS, Feng JA. Catalytic center of DNA polymerase β for excision of deoxyribose phosphate groups. Biochemistry. 1998; 37(18):6456–6464. [PubMed: 9572863]
- 22. Prasad R, Batra VK, Yang XP, Krahn JM, Pedersen LC, Beard WA, Wilson SH. Structural insight into the DNA polymerase beta deoxyribose phosphate lyase mechanism. DNA Repair (Amst). 2005; 4(12):1347–1357. [PubMed: 16172026]
- 23. Prasad R, Beard WA, Chyan JY, Maciejewski MW, Mullen GP, Wilson SH. Functional analysis of the amino-terminal 8-kDa domain of DNA polymerase β as revealed by site-directed mutagenesis DNA binding and 5'-deoxyribose phosphate lyase activities. J. Biol. Chem. 1998; 273(18):11121– 11126. [PubMed: 9556598]
- 24. Prasad R, Beard WA, Strauss PR, Wilson SH. Human DNA polymerase β deoxyribose phosphate lyase Substrate specificity and catalytic mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 1998; 273(24):15263–15270. [PubMed: 9614142]

- 25. Piersen CE, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Lloyd RS. Evidence for an imino intermediate in the DNA polymerase β deoxyribose phosphate excision reaction. J. Biol. Chem. 1996; 271(30):17811– 17815. [PubMed: 8663612]
- 26. Deterding LJ, Prasad R, Mullen GP, Wilson SH, Tomer KB. Mapping of the 5'-2-deoxyribose-5 phosphate lyase active site in DNA polymerase beta by mass spectrometry. J. Biol. Chem. 2000; 275(14):10463–10471. [PubMed: 10744736]
- 27. Sobol RW, Prasad R, Evenski A, Baker A, Yang XP, Horton JK, Wilson SH. The lyase activity of the DNA repair protein β-polymerase protects from DNA-damage-induced cytotoxicity. Nature. 2000; 405(6788):807–810. [PubMed: 10866204]
- 28. Allinson SL, Dianova, Dianov GL. DNA polymerase β is the major dRP lyase involved in repair of oxidative base lesions in DNA by mammalian cell extracts. EMBO J. 2001; 20(23):6919–6926. [PubMed: 11726527]
- 29. Garcia-Diaz M, Bebenek K, Kunkel TA, Blanco L. Identification of an intrinsic 5'-deoxyribose-5 phosphate lyase activity in human DNA polymerase lambda: a possible role in base excision repair. J. Biol. Chem. 2001; 276(37):34659–34663. [PubMed: 11457865]
- 30. Bebenek K, Tissier A, Frank EG, McDonald JP, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Woodgate R, Kunkel TA. 5'-Deoxyribose phosphate lyase activity of human DNA polymerase iota *in vitro* . Science. 2001; 291(5511):2156–2159. [PubMed: 11251121]
- 31. Prasad R, Bebenek K, Hou E, Shock DD, Beard WA, Woodgate R, Kunkel TA, Wilson SH. Localization of the deoxyribose phosphate lyase active site in human DNA polymerase iota by controlled proteolysis. J. Biol. Chem. 2003; 278(32):29649–29654. [PubMed: 12777390]
- 32. Prasad R, Longley MJ, Sharief FS, Hou EW, Copeland WC, Wilson SH. Human DNA polymerase theta possesses 5'-dRP lyase activity and functions in single-nucleotide base excision repair *in vitro* . Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37(6):1868–1877. [PubMed: 19188258]
- 33. Longley MJ, Prasad R, Srivastava DK, Wilson SH, Copeland WC. Identification of 5'-deoxyribose phosphate lyase activity in human DNA polymerase γ and its role in mitochondrial base excision repair *in vitro* . Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1998; 95(21):12244–12248. [PubMed: 9770471]
- 34. Bogani F, Boehmer PE. The replicative DNA polymerase of herpes simplex virus 1 exhibits apurinic/apyrimidinic and 5'-deoxyribose phosphate lyase activities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2008; 105(33):11709–11714. [PubMed: 18695225]
- 35. Bogani F, Chua CN, Boehmer PE. Reconstitution of uracil DNA glycosylase-initiated base excision repair in herpes simplex virus-1. J. Biol. Chem. 2009; 284(25):16784–16790. [PubMed: 19411250]
- 36. Bogani F, Corredeira I, Fernandez V, Sattler U, Rutvisuttinunt W, Defais M, Boehmer PE. Association between the herpes simplex virus-1 DNA polymerase and uracil DNA glycosylase. J. Biol. Chem. 2010; 285(36):27664–27672. [PubMed: 20601642]
- 37. Gellon L, Carson DR, Carson JP, Demple B. Intrinsic 5'-deoxyribose-5-phosphate lyase activity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae Trf4 protein with a possible role in base excision DNA repair. DNA Repair (Amst). 2008; 7(2):187–198. [PubMed: 17983848]
- 38. Bandaru V, Zhao X, Newton MR, Burrows CJ, Wallace SS. Human endonuclease VIII-like (NEIL) proteins in the giant DNA Mimivirus. DNA Repair (Amst). 2007; 6(11):1629–1641. [PubMed: 17627905]
- 39. Grin IR, Khodyreva SN, Nevinsky GA, Zharkov DO. Deoxyribophosphate lyase activity of mammalian endonuclease VIII-like proteins. FEBS Lett. 2006; 580(20):4916–4922. [PubMed: 16920106]
- 40. Alonso A, Terrados G, Picher AJ, Giraldo R, Blanco L, Larraga V. An intrinsic 5'-deoxyribose-5 phosphate lyase activity in DNA polymerase beta from Leishmania infantum supports a role in DNA repair. DNA Repair (Amst). 2006; 5(1):89–101. [PubMed: 16174567]
- 41. Roberts SA, Strande N, Burkhalter MD, Strom C, Havener JM, Hasty P, Ramsden DA. Ku is a 5' dRP/AP lyase that excises nucleotide damage near broken ends. Nature. 2010; 464(7292):1214– 1217. [PubMed: 20383123]
- 42. Garcia-Escudero R, Garcia-Diaz M, Salas ML, Blanco L, Salas J. DNA polymerase X of African swine fever virus: insertion fidelity on gapped DNA substrates and AP lyase activity support a role in base excision repair of viral DNA. J. Mol. Biol. 2003; 326(5):1403–1412. [PubMed: 12595253]

- 43. Khairnar NP, Misra HS. DNA polymerase X from Deinococcus radiodurans implicated in bacterial tolerance to DNA damage is characterized as a short patch base excision repair polymerase. Microbiology. 2009; 155(Pt. 9):3005–3014. [PubMed: 19542005]
- 44. Saxowsky TT, Matsumoto Y, Englund PT. The mitochondrial DNA polymerase beta from Crithidia fasciculata has 5'-deoxyribose phosphate (dRP) lyase activity but is deficient in the release of dRP. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277(40):37201–37206. [PubMed: 12151410]
- 45. Dianov G, Sedgwick B, Daly G, Olsson M, Lovett S, Lindahl T. Release of 5'-terminal deoxyribose-phosphate residues from incised abasic sites in DNA by the Escherichia coli RecJ protein. Nucleic Acids Res. 1994; 22(6):993–998. [PubMed: 7512263]
- 46. Graves RJ, Felzenszwalb I, Laval J, O'Connor TR. Excision of 5'-terminal deoxyribose phosphate from damaged DNA is catalyzed by the Fpg protein of Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 1992; 267(20):14429–14435. [PubMed: 1378443]
- 47. Summer H, Li O, Bao Q, Zhan L, Peter S, Sathiyanathan P, Henderson D, Klonisch T, Goodman SD, Droge P. HMGA2 exhibits dRP/AP site cleavage activity and protects cancer cells from DNA-damage-induced cytotoxicity during chemotherapy. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37(13):4371– 4384. [PubMed: 19465398]
- 48. Prasad R, Liu Y, Deterding LJ, Poltoratsky VP, Kedar PS, Horton JK, Kanno S, Asagoshi K, Hou EW, Khodyreva SN, Lavrik OI, Tomer KB, Yasui A, Wilson SH. HMGB1 is a cofactor in mammalian base excision repair. Mol. Cell. 2007; 27(5):829–841. [PubMed: 17803946]
- 49. Almeida, KH.; Sobol, RW. Increased Specificity and Efficiency of Base Excision Repair through Complex Formation. In: Siede, W.; Doetsch, PW.; Kow, YW., editors. DNA Damage Recognition. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc; 2005. p. 33-64.
- 50. Beausoleil SA, Jedrychowski M, Schwartz D, Elias JE, Villen J, Li J, Cohn MA, Cantley LC, Gygi SP. Large-scale characterization of HeLa cell nuclear phosphoproteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2004; 101(33):12130–12135. [PubMed: 15302935]
- 51. Hasan S, El-Andaloussi N, Hardeland U, Hassa PO, Burki C, Imhof R, Schar P, Hottiger MO. Acetylation regulates the DNA end-trimming activity of DNA polymerase β. Mol. Cell. 2002; 10(5):1213–1222. [PubMed: 12453427]
- 52. Parsons JL, Tait PS, Finch D, Dianova II, Edelmann MJ, Khoronenkova SV, Kessler BM, Sharma RA, McKenna WG, Dianov GL. Ubiquitin ligase ARF-BP1/Mule modulates base excision repair. EMBO J. 2009; 28(20):3207–3215. [PubMed: 19713937]
- 53. Sobol RW. CHIPping Away at Base Excision Repair. Mol. Cell. 2008; 29(4):413–415. [PubMed: 18313379]
- 54. Parsons JL, Tait PS, Finch D, Dianova II, Allinson SL, Dianov GL. CHIP-Mediated Degradation and DNA Damage-Dependent Stabilization Regulate Base Excision Repair Proteins. Mol. Cell. 2008; 29(4):477–487. [PubMed: 18313385]
- 55. Berdis AJ. DNA polymerases as therapeutic targets. Biochemistry. 2008; 47(32):8253–8260. [PubMed: 18642851]
- 56. Maga G, Hubscher U. Repair and translesion DNA polymerases as anticancer drug targets. Anticancer agents Med. Chem. 2008; 8(4):431–447. [PubMed: 18473728]
- 57. Batista LF, Kaina B, Meneghini R, Menck CF. How DNA lesions are turned into powerful killing structures: insights from UV-induced apoptosis. Mutat Res. 2009; 681(2–3):197–208. [PubMed: 18845270]
- 58. Huennekens FM. The methotrexate story: a paradigm for development of cancer chemotherapeutic agents. Adv. Enzyme Regul. 1994; 34:397–419. [PubMed: 7942284]
- 59. Sweasy JB, Lauper JM, Eckert KA. DNA polymerases and human diseases. Radiat. Res. 2006; 166(5):693–714. [PubMed: 17067213]
- 60. Trivedi RN, Almeida KH, Fornsaglio JL, Schamus S, Sobol RW. The Role of Base Excision Repair in the Sensitivity and Resistance to Temozolomide Mediated Cell Death. Cancer Res. 2005; 65(14):6394–6400. [PubMed: 16024643]
- 61. Vens C, Dahmen-Mooren E, Verwijs-Janssen M, Blyweert W, Graversen L, Bartelink H, Begg AC. The role of DNA polymerase beta in determining sensitivity to ionizing radiation in human tumor cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002; 30(13):2995–3004. [PubMed: 12087186]

- 62. Trivedi RN, Wang XH, Jelezcova E, Goellner EM, Tang J, Sobol RW. Human methyl purine DNA glycosylase and DNA polymerase β expression collectively predict sensitivity to temozolomide. Mol. Pharmacol. 2008; 74(2):505–516. [PubMed: 18477668]
- 63. Ma J, Starck SR, Hecht SM. DNA polymerase beta inhibitors from Tetracera boiviniana. J. Nat. Prod. 1999; 62(12):1660–1663. [PubMed: 10654414]
- 64. Deng JZ, Starck SR, Hecht SM. DNA polymerase beta inhibitors from Baeckea gunniana. J. Nat. Prod. 1999; 62(12):1624–1626. [PubMed: 10654412]
- 65. Deng JZ, Starck SR, Hecht SM. bis-5-Alkylresorcinols from Panopsis rubescens that inhibit DNA polymerase beta. J. Nat. Prod. 1999; 62(3):477–480. [PubMed: 10096862]
- 66. Deng JZ, Starck SR, Hecht SM. Pentacyclic triterpenoids from Freziera sp that inhibit DNA polymerase beta. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2000; 8(1):247–250. [PubMed: 10968284]
- 67. Deng JZ, Starck SR, Hecht SM, Ijames CF, Hemling ME. Harbinatic acid, a novel and potent DNA polymerase beta inhibitor from Hardwickia binata. J. Nat. Prod. 1999; 62(7):1000–1002. [PubMed: 10425125]
- 68. Deng JZ, Starck SR, Sun DA, Sabat M, Hecht SM. A new 7,8-euphadien-type triterpenoid from Brackenridgea nitida and Bleasdalea bleasdalei that inhibits DNA polymerase beta. J. Nat. Prod. 2000; 63(10):1356–1360. [PubMed: 11076551]
- 69. Starck SR, Deng JZ, Hecht SM. Naturally occurring alkylresorcinols that mediate DNA damage and inhibit its repair. Biochemistry. 2000; 39(9):2413–2419. [PubMed: 10694411]
- 70. Sun DA, Starck SR, Locke EP, Hecht SM. DNA polymerase beta inhibitors from Sandoricum koetjape. J. Nat. Prod. 1999; 62(8):1110–1113. [PubMed: 10479314]
- 71. Deng JZ, Starck SR, Li S, Hecht SM. (+)-Myristinins A and D from Knema elegans, which inhibit DNA polymerase beta and cleave DNA. J. Nat. Prod. 2005; 68(11):1625–1628. [PubMed: 16309311]
- 72. Maloney DJ, Deng JZ, Starck SR, Gao Z, Hecht SM. (+)-Myristinin A, a naturally occurring DNA polymerase beta inhibitor and potent DNA-damaging agent. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127(12): 4140–4141. [PubMed: 15783174]
- 73. Boudsocq F, Benaim P, Canitrot Y, Knibiehler M, Ausseil F, Capp JP, Bieth A, Long C, David B, Shevelev I, Frierich-Heinecken E, Hubscher U, Amalric F, Massiot G, Hoffmann JS, Cazaux C. Modulation of cellular response to cisplatin by a novel inhibitor of DNA polymerase beta. Mol. Pharmacol. 2005; 67(5):1485–1492. [PubMed: 15703384]
- 74. Nakamura R, Takeuchi R, Kuramochi K, Mizushina Y, Ishimaru C, Takakusagi Y, Takemura M, Kobayashi S, Yoshida H, Sugawara F, Sakaguchi K. Chemical properties of fatty acid derivatives as inhibitors of DNA polymerases. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007; 5(24):3912–3921. [PubMed: 18043794]
- 75. Kimura T, Nishida M, Kuramochi K, Sugawara F, Yoshida H, Mizushina Y. Novel azaphilones, kasanosins A and B, which are specific inhibitors of eukaryotic DNA polymerases beta and lambda from Talaromyces sp. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2008; 16(8):4594–4599. [PubMed: 18308572]
- 76. Naganuma M, Nishida M, Kuramochi K, Sugawara F, Yoshida H, Mizushina Y. 1 deoxyrubralactone, a novel specific inhibitor of families X and Y of eukaryotic DNA polymerases from a fungal strain derived from sea algae. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2008; 16(6):2939–2944. [PubMed: 18178092]
- 77. Mizushina Y, Watanabe I, Ohta K, Takemura M, Sahara H, Takahashi N, Gasa S, Sugawara F, Matsukage A, Yoshida S, Sakaguchi K. Studies on inhibitors of mammalian DNA polymerase alpha and beta: sulfolipids from a pteridophyte, Athyrium niponicum. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1998; 55(4):537–541. [PubMed: 9514090]
- 78. Hanashima S, Mizushina Y, Ohta K, Yamazaki T, Sugawara F, Sakaguchi K. Structure-activity relationship of a novel group of mammalian DNA polymerase inhibitors, synthetic sulfoquinovosylacylglycerols. Jpn. J. Cancer Res. 2000; 91(10):1073–1083. [PubMed: 11050480]
- 79. Hanashima S, Mizushina Y, Yamazaki T, Ohta K, Takahashi S, Sahara H, Sakaguchi K, Sugawar F. Synthesis of sulfoquinovosylacylglycerols, inhibitors of eukaryotic DNA polymerase alpha and beta. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2001; 9(2):367–376. [PubMed: 11249129]

- 80. Mizushina Y, Kasai N, Iijima H, Sugawara F, Yoshida H, Sakaguchi K. Sulfo-quinovosyl-acylglycerol (SQAG), a eukaryotic DNA polymerase inhibitor and anti-cancer agent. Curr. Med. Chem. Anticancer Agents. 2005; 5(6):613–625. [PubMed: 16305483]
- 81. Ohta K, Mizushina Y, Hirata N, Takemura M, Sugawara F, Matsukage A, Yoshida S, Sakaguchi K. Action of a new mammalian DNA polymerase inhibitor, sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 1999; 22(2):111–116. [PubMed: 10077426]
- 82. Kasai N, Mizushina Y, Murata H, Yamazaki T, Ohkubo T, Sakaguchi K, Sugawara F. Sulfoquinovosylmonoacylglycerol inhibitory mode analysis of rat DNA polymerase beta. FEBS J. 2005; 272(17):4349–4361. [PubMed: 16128805]
- 83. Ogawa A, Murate T, Izuta S, Takemura M, Furuta K, Kobayashi J, Kamikawa T, Nimura Y, Yoshida S. Sulfated glycoglycerolipid from archaebacterium inhibits eukaryotic DNA polymerase alpha, beta and retroviral reverse transcriptase and affects methyl methanesulfonate cytotoxicity. Int. J. Cancer. 1998; 76(4):512–518. [PubMed: 9590127]
- 84. Murakami C, Takemura M, Yoshida H, Sugawara F, Sakaguchi K, Mizushina Y. Analysis of cell cycle regulation by 1-mono-O-acyl-3-O-(alpha-D-sulfoquinovosyl)-glyceride (SQMG), an inhibitor of eukaryotic DNA polymerases. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2003; 66(4):541–550. [PubMed: 12906919]
- 85. Mori Y, Sahara H, Matsumoto K, Takahashi N, Yamazaki T, Ohta K, Aoki S, Miura M, Sugawara F, Sakaguchi K, Sato N. Downregulation of Tie2 gene by a novel antitumor sulfolipid, 3' sulfoquinovosyl-1'-monoacylglycerol, targeting angiogenesis. Cancer Sci. 2008; 99(5):1063–1070. [PubMed: 18380795]
- 86. Sakimoto I, Ohta K, Yamazaki T, Ohtani S, Sahara H, Sugawara F, Sakaguchi K, Miura M. Alphasulfoquinovosylmonoacylglycerol is a novel potent radiosensitizer targeting tumor angiogenesis. Cancer Res. 2006; 66(4):2287–2295. [PubMed: 16489033]
- 87. Mizushina Y, Murakami C, Yogi K, Ueda K, Ishidoh T, Takemura M, Perpelescu M, Suzuki M, Oshige M, Yamaguchi T, Saneyoshi M, Yoshida H, Sakaguchi K. Kohamaic acid A, a novel sesterterpenic acid, inhibits activities of DNA polymerases from deuterostomes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2003; 1648(1–2):55–61. [PubMed: 12758147]
- 88. Mizushina Y, Manita D, Takeuchi T, Sugawara F, Kumamoto-Yonezawa Y, Matsui Y, Takemura M, Sasaki M, Yoshida H, Takikawa H. The inhibitory action of kohamaic acid A derivatives on mammalian DNA polymerase beta. Molecules. 2009; 14(1):102–121. [PubMed: 19127241]
- 89. Takikawa H, Kamatani N, Nakanishi K, Tashiro T, Sasaki M, Yoshida H, Mizushina Y. Synthetic studies on kohamaic acids: synthesis of structurally simplified analogs of kohamaic acid A. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2008; 72(11):3071–3074. [PubMed: 18997393]
- 90. Mizushina Y, Ohkubo T, Date T, Yamaguchi T, Saneyoshi M, Sugawara F, Sakaguchi K. Mode analysis of a fatty acid molecule binding to the N-terminal 8-kDa domain of DNA polymerase beta A 1:1 complex and binding surface. J. Biol. Chem. 1999; 274(36):25599–25607. [PubMed: 10464295]
- 91. Frederick AM, Davis ML, Rice KP. Inhibition of human DNA polymerase beta activity by the anticancer prodrug Cloretazine. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2009; 378(3):419–423. [PubMed: 19026985]
- 92. Krishna G, Hodnick WF, Lang W, Lin X, Karra S, Mao J, Almassian B. Pharmaceutical development and manufacturing of a parenteral formulation of a novel antitumor agent, VNP40101M. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2001; 2(3):E14. [PubMed: 14727873]
- 93. Deisseroth A, Farrell A, Justice R, Kane R, Sridhara R, Chen H, He K, Pazdur R. Toxicity of laromustine plus highdose cytarabine in patients with relapsed acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2010; 115(2):430. [PubMed: 20075171]
- 94. Giles F, Vey N, DeAngelo D, Seiter K, Stock W, Stuart R, Boskovic D, Pigneux A, Tallman M, Brandwein J, Kell J, Robak T, Staib P, Thomas X, Cahill A, Albitar M, O'Brien S. Phase 3 randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of high-dose continuous infusion cytarabine alone or with laromustine (VNP40101M) in patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first relapse. Blood. 2009; 114(19):4027–4033. [PubMed: 19710500]
- 95. Schiller GJ, O'Brien SM, Pigneux A, Deangelo DJ, Vey N, Kell J, Solomon S, Stuart RK, Karsten V, Cahill AL, Albitar MX, Giles FJ. Single-agent laromustine, a novel alkylating agent, has

significant activity in older patients with previously untreated poor-risk acute myeloid leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. 2010; 28(5):815–821. [PubMed: 20026800]

- 96. Ishiguro K, Shyam K, Penketh PG, Sartorelli AC. Role of O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase in the cytotoxic activity of cloretazine. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2005; 4(11):1755–1763. [PubMed: 16275997]
- 97. Rice KP, Penketh PG, Shyam K, Sartorelli AC. Differential inhibition of cellular glutathione reductase activity by isocyanates generated from the antitumor prodrugs Cloretazine and BCNU. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2005; 69(10):1463–1472. [PubMed: 15857610]
- 98. Baba M, Schols D, Nakashima H, Pauwels R, Parmentier G, Meijer DK, De Ckercq E. Selective activity of several cholic acid derivatives against human immunodeficiency virus replication *in vitro* . J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 1989; 2(3):264–271. [PubMed: 2470892]
- 99. Ogawa A, Murate T, Suzuki M, Nimura Y, Yoshida S. Lithocholic acid, a putative tumor promoter, inhibits mammalian DNA polymerase beta. Jpn. J. Cancer Res. 1998; 89(11):1154– 1159. [PubMed: 9914784]
- 100. Pool-Zobel BL, Leucht U. Induction of DNA damage by risk factors of colon cancer in human colon cells derived from biopsies. Mutat. Res. 1997; 375(2):105–115. [PubMed: 9202721]
- 101. Zusman I, Zimber A. Ultrastructural changes in rat colorectal epithelium and tumors after treatment with N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine and secondary bile acids. Acta Anat. (Basel). 1991; 141(3):282–288. [PubMed: 1755292]
- 102. Mizushina Y, Kasai N, Miura K, Hanashima S, Takemura M, Yoshida H, Sugawara F, Sakaguchi K. Structural relationship of lithocholic acid derivatives binding to the N-terminal 8-kDa domain of DNA polymerase beta. Biochemistry. 2004; 43(33):10669–10677. [PubMed: 15311928]
- 103. Watanabe M, Hanashima S, Mizushina Y, Yoshida H, Oshige M, Sakaguchi K, Sugawara F. Biotinylated lithocholic acids for affinity chromatography of mammalian DNA polymerases alpha and beta. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002; 12(3):287–290. [PubMed: 11814779]
- 104. Kuramochi K, Haruyama T, Takeuchi R, Sunoki T, Watanabe M, Oshige M, Kobayashi S, Sakaguchi K, Sugawara F. Affinity capture of a mammalian DNA polymerase beta by inhibitors immobilized to resins used in solid-phase organic synthesis. Bioconjug. Chem. 2005; 16(1):97– 104. [PubMed: 15656580]
- 105. Mizushina Y, Kasai N, Sugawara F, Iida A, Yoshida H, Sakaguchi K. Three-dimensional structural model analysis of the binding site of lithocholic acid, an inhibitor of DNA polymerase beta and DNA topoisomerase II. J. Biochem. 2001; 130(5):657–664. [PubMed: 11686928]
- 106. Tang J, Goellner EM, Wang XW, Trivedi RN, st. Croix CM, Jelezcova E, Svilar D, Brown AR, Sobol RW. Bioenergetic Metabolites Regulate Base Excision Repair-Dependent Cell Death in Response to DNA Damage. Mol. Cancer Res. 2010; 8(1):67–79. [PubMed: 20068071]
- 107. Stachelek GC, Dalal S, Donigan KA, Campisi Hegan D, Sweasy JB, Glazer PM. Potentiation of temozolomide cytotoxicity by inhibition of DNA polymerase beta is accentuated by BRCA2 mutation. Cancer Res. 2010; 70(1):409–417. [PubMed: 20028873]
- 108. Sobol RW, Kartalou M, Almeida KH, Joyce DF, Engelward BP, Horton JK, Prasad R, Samson LD, Wilson SH. Base Excision Repair Intermediates Induce p53-independent Cytotoxic and Genotoxic Responses. J. Biol. Chem. 2003; 278(41):39951–39959. [PubMed: 12882965]
- 109. Ruiz-Gaspa S, Guanabens N, Enjuanes A, Peris P, Martinez-Ferrer A, de Osaba MJ, Gonzalez B, Alvarez L, Monegal A, Combalia A, Pares A. Lithocholic acid downregulates vitamin D effects in human osteoblasts. Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 2010; 40(1):25–34. [PubMed: 20055894]
- 110. Sun J, Mustafi R, Cerda S, Chumsangsri A, Xia YR, Li YC, Bissonnette M. Lithocholic acid down-regulation of NF-kappaB activity through vitamin D receptor in colonic cancer cells. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2008; 111(1–2):37–40. [PubMed: 18515093]
- 111. Baek MK, Park JS, Park JH, Kim MH, Kim HD, Bae WK, Chung IJ, Shin BA, Jung YD. Lithocholic acid upregulates uPAR and cell invasiveness *via* MAPK and AP-1 signaling in colon cancer cells. Cancer Lett. 2010; 290(1):123–128. [PubMed: 19782465]
- 112. Goldberg AA, Richard VR, Kyryakov P, Bourque SD, Beach A, Burstein MT, Glebov A, Koupaki O, Boukh-Viner T, Gregg C, Juneau M, English AM, Thomas DY, Titorenko VI. Chemical genetic screen identifies lithocholic acid as an anti-aging compound that extends yeast

chronological life span in a TOR-independent manner, by modulating housekeeping longevity assurance processes. Aging (Albany NY). 2010; 2(7):393–414. [PubMed: 20622262]

- 113. Jaiswal AS, Banerjee S, Panda H, Bulkin CD, Izumi T, Sarkar FH, Ostrov DA, Narayan S. A novel inhibitor of DNA polymerase beta enhances the ability of temozolomide to impair the growth of colon cancer cells. Mol. Cancer Res. 2009; 7(12):1973–1983. [PubMed: 19996303]
- 114. Brzozowski Z. 2-Mercapto-N-(azolyl)benzenesulphonamides. I. Synthesis of N-(1,1-dioxo-1,4,2 benzodithiazin-3-yl)guanidines and their transformations into 2-mercapto-N-(5-amino-1,2,4 triazol-3-yl) benzenesulphonamide derivatives with potential anti-HIV or anticancer activity. Acta Pol. Pharm. 1995; 52(2):91–101. [PubMed: 8960241]
- 115. Brzozowski Z. 2-Mercapto-N-(azolyl)benzenesulfonamides. V. Syntheses, anti-HIV and anticancer activity of some 4-chloro-2-mercapto-5-methyl-N-(1,2,4- triazolo[4,3-a]pyrid-3 yl)benzenesulfonamides. Acta Pol. Pharm. 1998; 55(5):375–379. [PubMed: 9921115]
- 116. Neamati N, Mazumder A, Zhao H, Sunder S, Burke TR Jr. Schultz RJ, Pommier Y. Diarylsulfones, a novel class of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 integrase inhibitors. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1997; 41(2):385–393. [PubMed: 9021196]
- 117. Jaiswal AS, Narayan S. A novel function of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) in regulating DNA repair. Cancer Lett. 2008; 271(2):272–280. [PubMed: 18662849]
- 118. Narayan S, Jaiswal AS, Balusu R. Tumor suppressor APC blocks DNA polymerase betadependent strand displacement synthesis during long patch but not short patch base excision repair and increases sensitivity to methylmethane sulfonate. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280(8):6942– 6949. [PubMed: 15548520]
- 119. Hitomi K, Iwai S, Tainer JA. The intricate structural chemistry of base excision repair machinery: Implications for DNA damage recognition, removal, and repair. DNA Repair. 2007; 6(4):410– 428. [PubMed: 17208522]
- 120. Chen JY, Mamidipalli S, Huan T. HAPPI: an online database of comprehensive human annotated and predicted protein interactions. BMC Genomics. 2009; 10(Suppl. 1):S16. [PubMed: 19594875]
- 121. Nilsen TW, Graveley BR. Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing. Nature. 2010; 463(7280):457–463. [PubMed: 20110989]
- 122. Reddy CD, Dasgupta P, Saikumar P, Dudek H, Rauscher FJ 3rd, Reddy EP. Mutational analysis of Max: role of basic, helix-loop-helix/leucine zipper domains in DNA binding, dimerization and regulation of Myc-mediated transcriptional activation. Oncogene. 1992; 7(10):2085–2092. [PubMed: 1408152]
- 123. Berg T. Small-Molecule Modulators of c-Myc/Max and Max/Max Interactions. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 2010; 348:139–149. [PubMed: 20680803]
- 124. Clausen DM, Guo J, Parise RA, Beumer JH, Egorin MJ, Lazo JS, Prochownik EV, Eiseman JL. *In vitro* cytotoxicity and *in vivo* efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and metabolism of 10074-G5, a novel small-molecule inhibitor of c-Myc/Max dimerization. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2010; 335(3):715–727. [PubMed: 20801893]
- 125. Flygare JA, Fairbrother WJ. Small-molecule pan-IAP antagonists: a patent review. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2010; 20(2):251–267. [PubMed: 20100005]
- 126. Chen DJ, Huerta S. Smac mimetics as new cancer therapeutics. Anticancer drugs. 2009; 20(8): 646–658. [PubMed: 19550293]
- 127. Cragg MS, Harris C, Strasser A, Scott CL. Unleashing the power of inhibitors of oncogenic kinases through BH3 mimetics. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2009; 9(5):321–326. [PubMed: 19343035]
- 128. Lessene G, Czabotar PE, Colman PM. BCL-2 family antagonists for cancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2008; 7(12):989–1000. [PubMed: 19043450]
- 129. Caldecott KW, Aoufouchi S, Johnson P, Shall S. XRCC1 polypeptide interacts with DNA polymerase β and possibly poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase, and DNA ligase III is a novel molecular 'nick-sensor' *in vitro* . Nucleic Acids Res. 1996; 24(22):4387–4394. [PubMed: 8948628]
- 130. Marintchev A, Robertson A, Dimitriadis EK, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Mullen GP. Domain specific interaction in the XRCC1-DNA polymerase β complex. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000; 28(10):2049– 2059. [PubMed: 10773072]

- 131. Gryk MR, Marintchev A, Maciejewski MW, Robertson A, Wilson SH, Mullen GP. Mapping of the interaction interface of DNA polymerase β with XRCC1. Structure (Camb). 2002; 10(12): 1709–1720. [PubMed: 12467578]
- 132. Cuneo MJ, London RE. Oxidation state of the XRCC1 N-terminal domain regulates DNA polymerase beta binding affinity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2010; 107(15):6805–6810. [PubMed: 20351257]
- 133. Kubota Y, Nash RA, Klungland A, Schar P, Barnes DE, Lindahl T. Reconstitution of DNA base excision-repair with purified human proteins: interaction between DNA polymerase β and the XRCC1 protein. EMBO J. 1996; 15(23):6662–6670. [PubMed: 8978692]
- 134. Marintchev A, Gryk MR, Mullen GP. Site-directed mutagenesis analysis of the structural interaction of the singlestrand-break repair protein, X-ray cross-complementing group 1, with DNA polymerase β. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31(2):580–588. [PubMed: 12527765]
- 135. Dianova II, Sleeth KM, Allinson SL, Parsons JL, Breslin C, Caldecott KW, Dianov GL. XRCC1- DNA polymerase beta interaction is required for efficient base excision repair. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32(8):2550–2555. [PubMed: 15141024]
- 136. Parsons JL, Dianova II, Allinson SL, Dianov GL. DNA polymerase beta promotes recruitment of DNA ligase III alpha-XRCC1 to sites of base excision repair. Biochemistry. 2005; 44(31): 10613–10619. [PubMed: 16060670]
- 137. Wong HK, Wilson DM 3rd. XRCC1 and DNA polymerase beta interaction contributes to cellular alkylating-agent resistance and single-strand break repair. J. Cell Biochem. 2005; 95(4):794–804. [PubMed: 15838887]
- 138. Sobol RW. DNA polymerase β null mouse embryonic fibroblasts harbor a homozygous null mutation in DNA polymerase iota. DNA Repair (Amst). 2007; 6(1):3–7. [PubMed: 16979388]
- 139. Albertella MR, Lau A, O'Connor MJ. The overexpression of specialized DNA polymerases in cancer. DNA Repair (Amst). 2005; 4(5):583–593. [PubMed: 15811630]
- 140. Srivastava DK, Husain I, Arteaga CL, Wilson SH. DNA polymerase β expression differences in selected human tumors and cell lines. Carcinogenesis. 1999; 20(6):1049–1054. [PubMed: 10357787]
- 141. Dong ZM, Zheng NG, Wu JL, Li SK, Wang YL. Difference in expression level and localization of DNA polymerase beta among human esophageal cancer focus, adjacent and corresponding normal tissues. Dis. Esophagus. 2006; 19(3):172–176. [PubMed: 16722994]
- 142. Yu J, Mallon MA, Zhang W, Freimuth RR, Marsh S, Watson MA, Goodfellow PJ, McLeod HL. DNA repair pathway profiling and microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2006; 12(17):5104–5111. [PubMed: 16951227]
- 143. Fan R, Kumaravel TS, Jalali F, Marrano P, Squire JA, Bristow RG. Defective DNA strand break repair after DNA damage in prostate cancer cells: implications for genetic instability and prostate cancer progression. Cancer Res. 2004; 64(23):8526–8533. [PubMed: 15574758]
- 144. Canitrot Y, Laurent G, Astarie-Dequeker C, Bordier C, Cazaux C, Hoffmann JS. Enhanced expression and activity of DNA polymerase beta in chronic myelogenous leukemia. Anticancer Res. 2006; 26(1B):523–525. [PubMed: 16739313]
- 145. Liu SN, Bai WY, Frye RM 2nd, Hou L, Zhang B. Specific up-regulation of DNA polymerase by human papillomavirus 16. Chin. Med. Sci. J. 2008; 23(2):108–112. [PubMed: 18686630]
- 146. Faumont N, Le Clorennec NC, Teira P, Goormachtigh G, Coll J, Canitrot Y, Cazaux C, Hoffmann JS, Brousset P, Delsol G, Feuillard J, Meggetto F. Regulation of DNA polymerase beta by the LMP1 oncoprotein of EBV through the nuclear factor-kappaB pathway. Cancer Res. 2009; 69(12):5177–5185. [PubMed: 19491276]
- 147. Michiels S, Laplanche A, Boulet T, Dessen P, Guillonneau B, Mejean A, Desgrandchamps F, Lathrop M, Sarasin A, Benhamou S. Genetic polymorphisms in 85 DNA repair genes and bladder cancer risk. Carcinogenesis. 2009; 30(5):763–768. [PubMed: 19237606]
- 148. Li D, Suzuki H, Liu B, Morris J, Liu J, Okazaki T, Li Y, Chang P, Abbruzzese JL. DNA repair gene polymorphisms and risk of pancreatic cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2009; 15(2):740–746. [PubMed: 19147782]
- 149. Li D, Li Y, Jiao L, Chang DZ, Beinart G, Wolff RA, Evans DB, Hassan MM, Abbruzzese JL. Effects of base excision repair gene polymorphisms on pancreatic cancer survival. Int. J. Cancer. 2007; 120(8):1748–1754. [PubMed: 17230526]
- 150. Sobol RW, Watson DE, Nakamura J, Yakes FM, Hou E, Horton JK, Ladapo J, Van Houten B, Swenberg JA, Tindall KR, Samson LD, Wilson SH. Mutations associated with base excision repair deficiency and methylation-induced genotoxic stress. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2002; 99(10):6860–6865. [PubMed: 11983862]
- 151. Polosina YY, Rosenquist TA, Grollman AP, Miller H. 'Knock down' of DNA polymerase β by RNA interference: recapitulation of null phenotype. DNA Repair (Amst). 2004; 3(11):1469– 1474. [PubMed: 15380102]
- 152. Pfister EL, Kennington L, Straubhaar J, Wagh S, Liu W, DiFiglia M, Landwehrmeyer B, Vonsattel JP, Zamore PD, Aronin N. Five siRNAs targeting three SNPs may provide therapy for three-quarters of Huntington's disease patients. Curr. Biol. 2009; 19(9):774–778. [PubMed: 19361997]
- 153. Martin SA, McCabe N, Mullarkey M, Cummins R, Burgess DJ, Nakabeppu Y, Oka S, Kay E, Lord CJ, Ashworth A. DNA polymerases as potential therapeutic targets for cancers deficient in the DNA mismatch repair proteins MSH2 or MLH1. Cancer Cell. 2010; 17(3):235–248. [PubMed: 20227038]
- 154. Bitler BG, Schroeder JA. Anti-cancer therapies that utilize cell penetrating peptides. Recent Pat. Anticancer Drug Discov. 2010; 5(2):99–108. [PubMed: 19961434]
- 155. Bhattacharyya N, Chen HC, Comhair S, Erzurum SC, Banerjee S. Variant forms of DNA polymerase β in primary lung carcinomas. DNA Cell Biology. 1999; 18(7):549–554. [PubMed: 10433553]
- 156. Bhattacharyya N, Banerjee T, Patel U, Banerjee S. Impaired repair activity of a truncated DNA polymerase β protein. Life Sciences. 2001; 69(3):271–280. [PubMed: 11441918]
- 157. Bhattacharyya N, Banerjee S. A variant of DNA polymerase β acts as a dominant negative mutant. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1997; 94(19):10324–10329. [PubMed: 9294209]
- 158. Bu D, Cler LR, Lewis CM, Euhus DM. A variant of DNA polymerase beta is not cancer specific. J. Invest. Surg. 2004; 17(6):327–331. [PubMed: 15764500]
- 159. Husain I, Morton BS, Beard WA, Singhal RK, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Besterman JM. Specific inhibition of DNA polymerase beta by its 14 kDa domain: role of single- and doublestranded DNA binding and 5'-phosphate recognition. Nucleic Acids Res. 1995; 23(9):1597–1603. [PubMed: 7784216]
- 160. Neijenhuis S, Begg AC, Vens C. Radiosensitization by a dominant negative to DNA polymerase beta is DNA polymerase beta-independent and XRCC1-dependent. Radiother Oncol. 2005; 76(2):123–128. [PubMed: 16024118]
- 161. Neijenhuis S, Verwijs-Janssen M, Kasten-Pisula U, Rumping G, Borgmann K, Dikomey E, Begg AC, Vens C. Mechanism of cell killing after ionizing radiation by a dominant negative DNA polymerase beta. DNA Repair (Amst). 2009; 8(3):336–346. [PubMed: 19059500]
- 162. Vens C, Begg AC. Targeting base excision repair as a sensitization strategy in radiotherapy. Semin. Radiat. Oncol. 2010; 20(4):241–249. [PubMed: 20832016]
- 163. Beard WA, Wilson SH. Structural design of a eukaryotic DNA repair polymerase: DNA polymerase beta. Mutat. Res. 2000; 460(3–4):231–244. [PubMed: 10946231]
- 164. Vyjayanti VN, Chary NS, Rao KS. On the inhibitory affect of some dementia drugs on DNA polymerase Beta activity. Neurochem. Res. 2008; 33(11):2187–2196. [PubMed: 18185993]
- 165. Li SS, Gao Z, Feng X, Jones SH, Hecht SM. Plant sterols as selective DNA polymerase beta lyase inhibitors and potentiators of bleomycin cytotoxicity. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004; 12(15):4253– 4258. [PubMed: 15246101]
- 166. Prakash Chaturvedula VS, Gao Z, Hecht SM, Jones SH, Kingston DG. A new acylated oleanane triterpenoid from Couepia polyandra that inhibits the lyase activity of DNA polymerase β. J. Nat. Prod. 2003; 66(11):1463–1465. [PubMed: 14640519]
- 167. Cao S, Gao Z, Thomas SJ, Hecht SM, Lazo JS, Kingston DG. Marine sesquiterpenoids that inhibit the lyase activity of DNA polymerase beta. J. Nat. Prod. 2004; 67(10):1716–1718. [PubMed: 15497946]

- 168. Chaturvedula VS, Gao Z, Jones SH, Feng X, Hecht SM, Kingston DG. A new ursane triterpene from Monochaetum vulcanicum that inhibits DNA polymerase beta lyase. J. Nat. Prod. 2004; 67(5):899–901. [PubMed: 15165161]
- 169. Chaturvedula VS, Zhou BN, Gao Z, Thomas SJ, Hecht SM, Kingston DG. New lupane triterpenoids from Solidago canadensis that inhibit the lyase activity of DNA polymerase beta. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004; 12(23):6271–6275. [PubMed: 15519169]
- 170. Feng X, Gao Z, Li S, Jones SH, Hecht SM. DNA polymerase beta lyase inhibitors from Maytenus putterlickoides. J. Nat. Prod. 2004; 67(10):1744–1747. [PubMed: 15497954]
- 171. Li SS, Gao Z, Feng X, Hecht SM. Biscoumarin derivatives from Edgeworthia gardneri that inhibit the lyase activity of DNA polymerase beta. J. Nat. Prod. 2004; 67(9):1608–1610. [PubMed: 15387673]
- 172. Prakash Chaturvedula VS, Hecht SM, Gao Z, Jones SH, Feng X, Kingston DG. New neolignans that inhibit DNA polymerase beta lyase. J. Nat. Prod. 2004; 67(6):964–967. [PubMed: 15217274]
- 173. Hu HY, Horton JK, Gryk MR, Prasad R, Naron JM, Sun DA, Hecht SM, Wilson SH, Mullen GP. Identification of small molecule synthetic inhibitors of DNA polymerase beta by NMR chemical shift mapping. J. Biol. Chem. 2004; 279(38):39736–39744. [PubMed: 15258144]
- 174. Hazan C, Boudsocq F, Gervais V, Saurel O, Ciais M, Cazaux C, Czaplicki J, Milon A. Structural insights on the pamoic acid and the 8 kDa domain of DNA polymerase beta complex: towards the design of higher-affinity inhibitors. BMC Struct. Biol. 2008; 8:22. [PubMed: 18416825]
- 175. Wilson SH, Beard WA, Shock DD, Batra VK, Cavanaugh NA, Prasad R, Hou EW, Liu Y, Asagoshi K, Horton JK, Stefanick DF, Kedar PS, Carrozza MJ, Masaoka A, Heacock ML. Base excision repair and design of small molecule inhibitors of human DNA polymerase beta. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 2010; 67(21):3633–3647. [PubMed: 20844920]
- 176. Horton JK, Joyce-Gray DF, Pachkowski BF, Swenberg JA, Wilson SH. Hypersensitivity of DNA polymerase beta null mouse fibroblasts reflects accumulation of cytotoxic repair intermediates from site-specific alkyl DNA lesions. DNA Repair (Amst). 2003; 2(1):27–48. [PubMed: 12509266]
- 177. Horton JK, Prasad R, Hou E, Wilson SH. Protection against methylation-induced cytotoxicity by DNA polymerase β-dependent long patch base excision repair. J. Biol. Chem. 2000; 275(3): 2211–2218. [PubMed: 10636928]
- 178. Goellner EM, Grimme B, Brown AR, Lin YC, Wang XH, Sugrue KF, Mitchell L, Trivedi RN, Tang JB, Sobol RW. Overcoming Temozolomide Resistance in Glioblastoma *via* Dual Inhibition of NAD+ Biosynthesis and Base Excision Repair. Cancer Res. 2011; 71(6):2308–2317. [PubMed: 21406402]
- 179. Watson M, Roulston A, Belec L, Billot X, Marcellus R, Bedard D, Bernier C, Branchaud S, Chan H, Dairi K, Gilbert K, Goulet D, Gratton MO, Isakau H, Jang A, Khadir A, Koch E, Lavoie M, Lawless M, Nguyen M, Paquette D, Turcotte E, Berger A, Mitchell M, Shore GC, Beauparlant P. The small molecule GMX1778 is a potent inhibitor of NAD+ biosynthesis: strategy for enhanced therapy in nicotinic acid phosphoribosyltransferase 1-deficient tumors. Mol. Cell Biol. 2009; 29(21):5872–5888. [PubMed: 19703994]
- 180. Olesen UH, Thougaard AV, Jensen PB, Sehested M. A preclinical study on the rescue of normal tissue by nicotinic acid in high-dose treatment with APO866, a specific nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase inhibitor. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2010; 9(6):1609–1617. [PubMed: 20515945]
- 181. Cannizzaro LA, Bollum FJ, Huebner K, Croce CM, Cheung LC, Xu X, Hecht BK, Hecht F, Chang LM. Chromosome sublocalization of a cDNA for human DNA polymerase-beta to 8p11----p12. Cytogenet. Cell Genet. 1988; 47(3):121–124. [PubMed: 3378450]
- 182. McBride OW, Zmudzka BZ, Wilson SH. Chromosomal location of the human gene for DNA polymerase beta. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1987; 84(2):503–507. [PubMed: 3467369]
- 183. Chyan YJ, Ackerman S, Shepherd NS, McBride OW, Widen SG, Wilson SH, Wood TG. The human DNA polymerase beta gene structure. Evidence of alternative splicing in gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res. 1994; 22(14):2719–2725. [PubMed: 7914364]

- 184. Braithwaite EK, Kedar PS, Stumpo DJ, Bertocci B, Freedman JH, Samson LD, Wilson SH. DNA polymerases beta and lambda mediate overlapping and independent roles in base excision repair in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(8):e12229. [PubMed: 20805875]
- 185. Balusu R, Jaiswal AS, Armas ML, Kundu CN, Bloom LB, Narayan S. Structure/function analysis of the interaction of adenomatous polyposis coli with DNA polymerase beta and its implications for base excision repair. Biochemistry. 2007; 46(49):13961–13974. [PubMed: 17999539]
- 186. Jaiswal AS, Balusu R, Armas ML, Kundu CN, Narayan S. Mechanism of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)-mediated blockage of long-patch base excision repair. Biochemistry. 2006; 45(51): 15903–15914. [PubMed: 17176113]
- 187. Bennett RAO, Wilson DM 3rd, Wong D, Demple B. Interaction of human apurinic endonuclease and DNA polymerase β in the base excision repair pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1997; 94(14):7166–7169. [PubMed: 9207062]
- 188. Balakrishnan L, Brandt PD, Lindsey-Boltz LA, Sancar A, Bambara RA. Long patch base excision repair proceeds *via* coordinated stimulation of the multienzyme DNA repair complex. J. Biol. Chem. 2009; 284(22):15158–15172. [PubMed: 19329425]
- 189. Prasad R, Dianov GL, Bohr VA, Wilson SH. FEN1 stimulation of DNA polymerase β mediates an excision step in mammalian long patch base excision repair. J. Biol. Chem. 2000; 275(6): 4460–4466. [PubMed: 10660619]
- 190. Takahashi A, Yamakawa N, Mori E, Ohnishi K, Yokota S, Sugo N, Aratani Y, Koyama H, Ohnishi T. Development of thermotolerance requires interaction between polymerase-beta and heat shock proteins. Cancer Sci. 2008; 99(5):973–978. [PubMed: 18380790]
- 191. Mendez F, Kozin E, Bases R. Heat shock protein 70 stimulation of the deoxyribonucleic acid base excision repair enzyme polymerase beta. Cell stress chaperones. 2003; 8(2):153–161. [PubMed: 14627201]
- 192. Prasad R, Singhal RK, Srivastava DK, Molina JT, Tomkinson AE, Wilson SH. Specific interaction of DNA polymerase β and DNA ligase I in a multiprotein base excision repair complex from bovine testis. J. Biol. Chem. 1996; 271(27):16000–16007. [PubMed: 8663274]
- 193. Wang L, Bhattacharyya N, Chelsea DM, Escobar PF, Banerjee S. A novel nuclear protein, MGC5306 interacts with DNA polymerase beta and has a potential role in cellular phenotype. Cancer Res. 2004; 64(21):7673–7677. [PubMed: 15520167]
- 194. Stelzl U, Worm U, Lalowski M, Haenig C, Brembeck FH, Goehler H, Stroedicke M, Zenkner M, Schoenherr A, Koeppen S, Timm J, Mintzlaff S, Abraham C, Bock N, Kietzmann S, Goedde A, Toksoz E, Droege A, Krobitsch S, Korn B, Birchmeier W, Lehrach H, Wanker EE. A human protein-protein interaction network: a resource for annotating the proteome. Cell. 2005; 122(6): 957–968. [PubMed: 16169070]
- 195. Wiederhold L, Leppard JB, Kedar P, Karimi-Busheri F, Rasouli-Nia A, Weinfeld M, Tomkinson AE, Izumi T, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Mitra S, Hazra TK. AP endonuclease-independent DNA base excision repair in human cells. Mol. Cell. 2004; 15(2):209–220. [PubMed: 15260972]
- 196. Das A, Wiederhold L, Leppard JB, Kedar P, Prasad R, Wang H, Boldogh I, Karimi-Busheri F, Weinfeld M, Tomkinson AE, Wilson SH, Mitra S, Hazra TK. NEIL2-initiated, APE-independent repair of oxidized bases in DNA: Evidence for a repair complex in human cells. DNA Repair (Amst). 2006; 5(12):1439–1448. [PubMed: 16982218]
- 197. Zhou J, Ahn J, Wilson SH, Prives C. A role for p53 in base excision repair. EMBO J. 2001; 20(4):914–923. [PubMed: 11179235]
- 198. Prasad R, Lavrik OI, Kim SJ, Kedar P, Yang XP, Vande Berg BJ, Wilson SH. DNA polymerase β-mediated long patch base excision repair Poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase-1 stimulates strand displacement DNA synthesis. J. Biol. Chem. 2001; 276(35):32411–32414. [PubMed: 11440997]
- 199. Schreiber V, Ame JC, Dolle P, Schultz I, Rinaldi B, Fraulob V, Menissier-de Murcia J, de Murcia G. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-2 (PARP-2) is required for efficient base excision DNA repair in association with PARP-1 and XRCC1. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277(25):23028–23036. [PubMed: 11948190]
- 200. Kedar PS, Kim SJ, Robertson A, Hou E, Prasad R, Horton JK, Wilson SH. Direct interaction between mammalian DNA polymerase β and proliferating cell nuclear antigen. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277(34):31115–31123. [PubMed: 12063248]

- 201. Whitehouse CJ, Taylor RM, Thistlethwaite A, Zhang H, Karimi-Busheri F, Lasko DD, Weinfeld M, Caldecott KW. XRCC1 stimulates human polynucleotide kinase activity at damaged DNA termini and accelerates DNA single-strand break repair. Cell. 2001; 104(1):107–117. [PubMed: 11163244]
- 202. El-Andaloussi N, Valovka T, Toueille M, Hassa PO, Gehrig P, Covic M, Hubscher U, Hottiger MO. Methylation of DNA polymerase beta by protein arginine methyltransferase 1 regulates its binding to proliferating cell nuclear antigen. FASEB J. 2007; 21(1):26–34. [PubMed: 17116746]
- 203. El-Andaloussi N, Valovka T, Toueille M, Steinacher R, Focke F, Gehrig P, Covic M, Hassa PO, Schar P, Hubscher U, Hottiger MO. Arginine methylation regulates DNA polymerase β. Mol. Cell. 2006; 22(1):51–62. [PubMed: 16600869]
- 204. Toueille M, El-Andaloussi N, Frouin I, Freire R, Funk D, Shevelev I, Friedrich-Heineken E, Villani G, Hottiger MO, Hubscher U. The human Rad9/Rad1/Hus1 damage sensor clamp interacts with DNA polymerase β and increases its DNA substrate utilisation efficiency: implications for DNA repair. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32(11):3316–3324. [PubMed: 15314187]
- 205. Maga G, Crespan E, Wimmer U, van Loon B, Amoroso A, Mondello C, Belgiovine C, Ferrari E, Locatelli G, Villani G, Hubscher U. Replication protein A and proliferating cell nuclear antigen coordinate DNA polymerase selection in 8-oxo-guanine repair. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2008; 105(52):20689–20694. [PubMed: 19104052]
- 206. Harrigan JA, Opresko PL, von Kobbe C, Kedar PS, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Bohr VA. The Werner syndrome protein stimulates DNA polymerase β strand displacement synthesis *via* its helicase activity. J. Biol. Chem. 2003; 278(25):22686–22695. [PubMed: 12665521]
- 207. Tokui T, Inagaki M, Nishizawa K, Yatani R, Kusagawa M, Ajiro K, Nishimoto Y, Date T, Matsukage A. Inactivation of DNA polymerase beta by *in vitro* phosphorylation with protein kinase C. J. Biol. Chem. 1991; 266(17):10820–10824. [PubMed: 2040602]
- 208. Guo A, Villen J, Kornhauser J, Lee KA, Stokes MP, Rikova K, Possemato A, Nardone J, Innocenti G, Wetzel R, Wang Y, MacNeill J, Mitchell J, Gygi SP, Rush J, Polakiewicz RD, Comb MJ. Signaling networks assembled by oncogenic EGFR and c-Met. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2008; 105(2):692–697. [PubMed: 18180459]
- 209. Phosphosite. PhosphositePlus. cited; Available from[:http://www.phosphosite.org](http://www.phosphosite.org)
- 210. Lang T, Dalal S, Chikova A, Dimaio D, Sweasy JB. The E295K DNA polymerase beta gastric cancer-associated variant interferes with base excision repair and induces cellular transformation. Mol. Cell Biol. 2007; 27(15):5587–5596. [PubMed: 17526740]
- 211. Iwanaga A, Ouchida M, Miyazaki K, Hori K, Mukai T. Functional mutation of DNA polymerase β found in human gastric cancer: inability of the base excision repair *in vitro* . Mutat. Res. 1999; 435(2):121–128. [PubMed: 10556592]
- 212. Dalal S, Chikova A, Jaeger J, Sweasy JB. The Leu22Pro tumor-associated variant of DNA polymerase beta is dRP lyase deficient. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36(2):411–422. [PubMed: 18039710]
- 213. Lang T, Maitra M, Starcevic D, Li SX, Sweasy JB. A DNA polymerase beta mutant from colon cancer cells induces mutations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2004; 101(16):6074–6079. [PubMed: 15075389]
- 214. Wang L, Patel U, Ghosh L, Banerjee S. DNA polymerase β mutations in human colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1992; 52(17):4824–4827. [PubMed: 1511447]
- 215. Dobashi Y, Shuin T, Tsuruga H, Uemura H, Torigoe S, Kubota Y. DNA polymerase β gene mutation in human prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 1994; 54(11):2827–2829. [PubMed: 8187060]
- 216. Dalal S, Hile S, Eckert KA, Sun KW, Starcevic D, Sweasy JB. Prostate-cancer-associated I260M variant of DNA polymerase beta is a sequence-specific mutator. Biochemistry. 2005; 44(48): 15664–15673. [PubMed: 16313169]
- 217. Bhattacharyya N, Chen HC, Grundfest-Broniatowski S, Banerjee S. Alteration of hMSH2 and DNA polymerase β genes in breast carcinomas and fibroadenomas. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1999; 259(2):429–435. [PubMed: 10362525]
- 218. Sliwinski T, Ziemba P, Morawiec Z, Kowalski M, Zadrozny M, Blasiak J. Polymorphisms of the DNA polymerase beta gene in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 2007; 103(2):161–166. [PubMed: 17131038]

- 219. Matsuzaki J, Dobashi Y, Miyamoto H, Ikeda I, Fujinami K, Shuin T, Kubota Y. DNA polymerase β gene mutations in human bladder cancer. Mol. Carcinog. 1996; 15(1):38–43. [PubMed: 8561864]
- 220. Dong Z, Zhao G, Zhao Q, Yang H, Xue L, Tan X, Zheng N. A study of DNA polymerase beta mutation in human esophageal cancer. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2002; 82(13):899–902. [PubMed: 12126515]
- 221. Zhao GQ, Wang T, Zhao Q, Yang HY, Tan XH, Dong ZM. Mutation of DNA polymerase beta in esophageal carcinoma of different regions. World J. Gastroenterol. 2005; 11(30):4618–4622. [PubMed: 16094698]
- 222. Sadakane Y, Maeda K, Kuroda Y, Hori K. Identification of mutations in DNA polymerase beta mRNAs from patients with Werner syndrome. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1994; 200(1): 219–225. [PubMed: 7545922]
- 223. Han LP, Qiao YH, Dong ZM, Shi HR, Zhao GQ, Liu D. Study on DNA polymerase beta gene mutation in human cervical cancer. Zhonghua fu chan ke za zhi. 2003; 38(10):618–620. [PubMed: 14728866]

Fig. 1. Model for MPG-initiated BER

This model depicts the proteins and chemical structures of a TMZ-induced base lesion (N3- MeA) and the corresponding BER intermediates following BER initiated by the methylpurine DNA glycosylase, MPG. The chemistry of the lesion and the repair intermediates throughout the repair process are shown on the right, highlighting the three major steps for BER: Lesion Recognition/Strand Scission, Gap Tailoring and DNA Synthesis/Ligation. The structures on the left depict the protein complexes required for completion of each step in BER initiated by MPG.

Fig. 2. DNA Polymerase β

A linear depiction of the amino acid residues (335) of DNA Polymerase β: indicating the functional domains (dRP lyase and nucleotidyltransferase), the essential active site residues (K72 and D190/192/256), regions involved in ssDNA and dsDNA binding and the structural sub-domains as determined by crystallographic analysis (8K, fingers, palm and thumb).

Fig. 3. Schiff base formation and the 5'dRP lyase activity of Polβ

Schematic demonstrating the Schiff base formed as an intermediate in the 5'dRP lyase reaction conducted by Polβ, mediated by the nucleophile, K72. Completion of 5'dRP removal yields a final product (right side) that is further processed by Polβ by addition of a single nucleotide and ligation mediated by the XRCC1/LigIII heterodimer (see Fig. (1)). Evidence of the Schiff base is demonstrated by the stabilized DNA-protein complex formed upon reduction with N a $BH₄$ (bottom left).

Fig. 4. Novel alkylresorcinol inhibitors of Pol β Schematic depicting two novel alkylresorcinol analogs found to inhibit Polβ.

(+)-Myristinins A

(+)-Myristinins D

Fig. 5. Flavinoid inhibitors of Polβ

Structure of two flavinoids isolated from *Knema elegans* and identified as inhibitors of Polβ. The parent structure is shown, with varying R groups, depicting **(A)**(+)-myristinin A and **(B)** (+)-myristinin D.

Cloretazine

Methylisocyanate

Fig. 7. Cloretazine and reactive metabolites

Shown is the structure of Cloretazine and the reactive breakdown products 90CE and methylisocyanate [91, 96].

Fig. 8. Lithocholic acid

Structure of Lithocholic acid: (4R)-4-[(3R,5R,8R,9S,10S,13R,14S, 17R)-3-hydroxy-10,13 dimethyl-2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydro-1H– cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17-yl]pentanoic acid.

Fig. 9. Structure of NSC666715

Schematic depicting the chemical structure of 4-chloro-N-[5-(4-chloroanilino)-1H-1,2,4 triazol-3-yl]-5-methyl–2-sulfanylbenzenesulfonamide (NSC666715), initially reported as a potential inhibitor of HIV integrase [114–116] and later identified as an inhibitor of Polβ [113].

Fig. 10. Model depicting the Polβ**/XRCC1 interaction**

Structure of a complex formed by the thumb sub-domain of Polβ and the N-terminal domain of XRCC1 [132]. The image is a spacefilling rendition of the amino acid residues of XRCC1 in green and the thumb sub-domain of Polβ in red. The residues in the V303 hairpin, corresponding to L301, V303 and V306 are shown in yellow. The image was generated using PyMOL (Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.2r3pre; Schrödinger, LLC; [http://](http://pymol.org/) pymol.org/).

Table 1

Genetic and physical characteristics of Polβ *** .

*** Additional details of Polβ and other DNA Repair genes may be found at:<https://dnapittcrew.upmc.com/>and [http://sciencepark.mdanderson.org/](http://sciencepark.mdanderson.org/labs/wood/DNA_Repair_Genes.html) [labs/wood/DNA_Repair_Genes.html](http://sciencepark.mdanderson.org/labs/wood/DNA_Repair_Genes.html)

 NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript **Table 2**

 NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

IP, immunoprecipitation; PD, GST- or other tagged protein pulldown; Y2H, Yeast two-hybrid; FW, Far-Western. IP, immunoprecipitation; PD, GST- or other tagged protein pulldown; Y2H, Yeast two-hybrid; FW, Far-Western.

Table 3

Post-translational modifications of Polβ.

n.d. = not determined.

Table 4

Disease-Associated Polβ Mutants

n.d. = not determined.

Table 5

DNA Polβ 5'dRP lyase inhibitors

*** The structures have been reported – see [173].