

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biosens Bioelectron. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 March 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Biosens Bioelectron. 2014 March 15; 53: 453-458. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2013.10.013.

Nanopore Detection of Copper Ions using a Polyhistidine Probe

Guihua Wang, Liang Wang, Yujing Han, Shuo Zhou, and Xiyun Guan*

Department of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Illinois Institute of Technology, 3101 S Dearborn St, Chicago, IL 60616, USA

Abstract

We report a stochastic nanopore sensing method for the detection of Cu^{2+} ions. By employing a polyhistidine molecule as a chelating agent, and based on the different signatures of the events produced by the translocation of the chelating agent through an α -hemolysin pore in the absence and presence of target analytes, trace amounts of copper ions could be detected with a detection limit of 40 nM. Importantly, although Co^{2+} , Ni²⁺, and Zn²⁺ also interacts with the polyhistidine molecule, since the event residence times and/or blockage amplitudes for these metal chelates are significantly different from those of copper chelates, these metal ions do not interfere with Cu^{2+} detection. This chelating reaction approach should find useful application in the development of nanopore sensors for other metal ions.

Keywords

nanopore sensing; copper; polyhistidine; chelation; biosensor

1. Introduction

Nanopore stochastic sensors have emerged as a label-free and amplification-free technique for various applications, including biosensing (Gao et al., 2009), studying covalent and noncovalent bonding interactions (Luchian et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2008), investigating biomolecular folding and unfolding (Shim et al., 2009; Talaga et al., 2009), probing enzyme kinetics, sequencing DNA molecules (Kasianowicz et al., 1996; Meller et al., 2000; Howorka et al., 2001; Storm et al., 2005; Sigalov et al., 2008; Jovanovic-Talisman et al., 2009; Derrington et al., 2010), and so on. However, one major hurdle still needs to be overcome before nanopore sensors could be deployed for routine use in the analysis of realworld samples. Thus far, various reported applications of the nanopores were mainly achieved by using protein ion channels. The high sensitivity and selectivity (i.e., molecular recognition) of these biological nanopores are accomplished by modifying the nanopore interior to introduce a variety of new functions (i.e., binding sites) at specific positions (Braha et al., 1997; Braha et al., 2000; Movileanu et al., 2000; Cheley et al., 2002; Guan et al., 2005). However, the protein pore sensors developed by this approach can only be used for sensing a particular substance. To detect different analytes, different nanopores having different binding sites need to be produced, which is time-consuming and inconvenient. Furthermore, the protein pore-based stochastic sensing is not suitable as a deployable tool

^{© 2013} Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author: Xiyun Guan, Department of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Illinois Institute of Technology, 3101 S Dearborn St, Chicago, IL 60616, USA, Tel: 312-567-8922, Fax: 312-567-3494, xguan5@iit.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

for extended usage due to the fragility of the biological membrane used in such a sensing platform. It should be noted that development of synthetic nanopores in robust solid-state substrates is currently under intense investigation. Although these stable artificial nanopores are ideal platforms as portable/fieldable stochastic sensors, the current synthetic nanopore technology provides a poor resolution and selectivity due to the difficulty in imparting these nanopores with inner surface functionalities. Therefore, to advance nanopore sensing technology for field-deployable applications, there is a need for the development of other sensor design methodologies, which are fundamentally different from those used in the conventional nanopore sensing.

Recently, highly sensitive and selective nanopore sensors for the detection of Hg²⁺ were successfully developed, where molecular recognition of the sensors was achieved by using thymine-containing DNA hairpins and based on the interaction between Hg²⁺ and DNA (Wen et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013). Nanopore analysis of metal-biomolecule interactions has also been investigated by other researchers (Mereuta et al., 2012; Stefureac et al., 2008; Stefureac et al., 2010). They found that the event signatures of peptides and proteins were significantly different in the absence and presence of metal ions, which may be attributed to the conformational change of the biomolecules induced by the metal ion – biomolecule interaction (Stefureac et al., 2010). In this work, we will demonstrate that a polyhistidine molecule can be utilized as a probe to detect Cu^{2+} ions based on the chelating reaction between them, providing further evidence that sensitive and selective nanopore sensors could be developed without constructing a binding site in the nanopore interior. It should be noted that copper is an essential trace element that is vital to the human health (Gaggelli et al., 2006). Overload or deficiency of copper is associated with diseases of genetic origin such as Wilson disease (WD) and Menkes disease (MD), respectively. Further, elevated levels of copper have been found in many types of human cancers although mechanisms underlying this phenomenon is not entirely elucidated (Tisato et al., 2010).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Peptide H6 (Hexa-His, Sequence: HHHHHH) was purchased from Genscript Corporation (Piscataway, NJ), while peptides H10 (Sequence: HHHHHHHHH) and H3 (Sequence: GHHPHG) were chemically synthesized by Biomatik Corparation (Wilmington, DE). Other chemicals such as $Cu(NO_3)_2$ (99.999%), $Co(NO_3)_2$ (99.999%), $Ni(NO_3)_2$ (99.999%), $Zn(NO_3)_2$ (99.999%), NaCl (99.999%), HCl (ACS reagent, 1 ppm heavy metals), and Trizma base (BioXtra grade, 99.9%) were bought from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). All of the peptide samples and chemicals were dissolved in HPLC-grade water (ChromAR, Mallinckrodt Baker). The stock solutions of metal salts were prepared at concentrations of 1.0 mM each, while those of peptides were prepared at 5.0 mM each, and were kept at -20° C before and after use. The electrolyte solution used in this work contained 1.0 M NaCl and 10 mM tris, with the pH of the solutions adjusted to pH 6.5, pH 7.5, or pH 8.5 using HCl. Lipid 1,2-diphytanoylphosphatidylcholine was obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). Teflon film was purchased from Goodfellow (Malvern, PA). α HL protein pores were produced using a procedure as described by our previous work (Wang et al., 2009).

2.2. Electrical Recording

A bilayer of 1,2-diphytanoylphosphatidylcholine was formed on an aperture (150 μ m) in a Teflon septum (25 μ m thick) that divided a planar bilayer chamber into two compartments, *cis* and *trans*. The formation of the bilayer was achieved by using the Montal-Mueller method (Montal et al., 1972). The experiments were performed under a series of

symmetrical buffer conditions with a 2.0 mL solution comprising 1.0 M NaCl, and 10 mM Tris·HCl at $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. Unless otherwise noted, the α HL proteins were added to the *cis* compartment, which was connected to "ground", while peptides and metal salts were added to the *trans* compartment. The final concentration of the α HL proteins used for the single channel insertion was 0.2–2.0 ng·mL⁻¹. Currents were recorded with a patch clamp amplifier (Axopatch 200B, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). They were low-pass filtered with a built-in four-pole Bessel filter at 10 kHz and sampled at 50 kHz by a computer equipped with a Digidata 1322 A/D converter (Molecular Devices).

2.3. Data Analysis

Data were analyzed with the following software: pClamp 10.2 (Molecular Devices), Origin 8.0 (Microcal, Northampton, MA), and SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA). Conductance values were obtained from the amplitude histograms after the peaks were fit to Gaussian functions. Mean residence times (τ_{off} values) for peptides and Cu(II)-peptide complexes were obtained from the residence time histograms by fitting the distributions to single exponential functions by the Levenberg-Marquardt procedure (Movileanu, et al., 2005). Each single-channel current trace was recorded for at least 2 minutes. At least three separate experiments were carried out for each sample.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of peptide probes on Cu²⁺ detection

The principle for nanopore detection of Cu^{2+} ions is based on the interaction between a copper-chelating agent and Cu^{2+} . As shown in Scheme 1, without Cu^{2+} ions, the interactions between the copper-chelating agents and the protein pore produce only one type of events. In contrast, after addition of Cu^{2+} ions to the solution, they will interact with the copper-chelating agent molecules to form copper chelates. The interactions between these copper chelates and the protein pore result in events having significantly different signatures (e.g., residence times or blockage amplitudes) from those in the absence of Cu^{2+} ions, which permits the copper chelates to be readily recognized.

To demonstrate this concept, our initial experiments were carried out at pH 7.5 with three short peptides in the mutant α -hemolysin (M113F)₇ pore in the absence and presence of Cu²⁺ ions. It has been shown that the (M113F)₇ protein could provide an enhanced resolution for biomolecule recognition compared with that observed with the wild-type α -hemolysin pore. The peptides used included H3, H6, and H10, which contains 3, 6, and 10 histidines, respectively. It should be noted that peptides possess a range of potential donor atoms, and are very effective ligands for a variety of metal ions with high specificities (Gaggelli et al., 2006). The complexes formed exist in various conformations. Taking histidine-containing peptides for example, the histidine residue possesses a very efficient nitrogen donor in its side chain imidazole ring, and provides two nitrogen donors and a sixmembered chelate ring for coordination. Terdentate binding makes histidine a primary low molecular weight chelator in living systems. It is worth mentioning that the administration of Cu-histidine, when initiated early in life, is the most effective treatment for Menkes disease, a genetic neurodegenerative disorder due to the impaired copper metabolism (Tümer et al., 1996).

Our experimental results (Fig. 1) showed that, at +120 mV, the event signatures (residence time and blockage amplitude) of peptides H3 and H6 didn't change much in the absence and presence of Cu^{2+} ions. However, when peptide H10 was used as the chelating agent to detect copper ions, significant difference in its event signature was observed before and after addition of Cu^{2+} ions. Specifically, in the absence of Cu^{2+} ions, H10 produced a single

population of short-lived translocation events with a mean residual current of ~ 15 pA and a mean residence time of \sim 3 ms, which is typical for a short peptide of 10 amino acids (Movileanu et al., 2005). In sharp contrast, upon addition of Cu^{2+} ions to the electrolyte solution, in addition to the short-lived events, a new type of long-lived events having a mean residual current of ~ 6.0 pA and a mean residence time of ~ 40 ms was observed (Fig. 2). Furthermore, with an increase in the concentration of added Cu²⁺, the frequency of the longlived events increased, while that of the short-lived ones decreased. It is apparent that these long-lived events were attributed to the Cu²⁺-peptide complexes. In addition to the peptide conformational change (Mereuta et al., 2012, and Stefureac et al., 2008, Stefureac et al., 2010), another possible reason why the event signatures of H10 were significantly different before and after addition of Cu^{2+} ions is that free peptides and Cu^{2+} -peptide chelates have different charge states. It is well known that at pH 7.5, histidine is almost at its isoelectric point, and hence peptide H10 would be neutral molecules. After H10 molecules are complexed with Cu²⁺ ions, the Cu²⁺-peptide chelates are positively charged. The positively charged nature of the copper chelates are supported by the voltage dependence experiment, where the mean residence time of the long-lived events first increased and then decreased significantly as the applied potential bias increased (Supporting Information, Fig. S1a). This voltage dependent phenomenon is well documented for charged species in nanopores (Jayawardhana et al., 2009). Since the largest residence time of H10 was observed at +100mV (Fig. S1), this voltage bias was used throughout the remaining experiments unless otherwise noted.

3.2. pH effect on the detection of Cu²⁺ ions

The protein ion channel properties such as conductance and ion selectivity are sensitive to the pH of electrolyte solution (Gu et al., 2000; Gu et al., 2001; Merzlyak et al., 2005). For example, Bayley and coworkers reported that when the pH of the electrolyte decreased from 11.0 to 7.5 and then to 5.0, the charge selectivity of the wild-type α HL pore changed from cation selective to weakly anion selective, and then to anion selective (Gu et al., 2001). Our previous studies demonstrated that a reduction in the pH of the electrolyte solution could result in an increase in the event frequency and residence time of biomolecules and terrorist agents in the nanopore, and/or improve the event signature contrast between the target analyte and other matrix components, thus offering an enhanced resolution and better sensitivity for the nanopore sensor (de Zoysa et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2013). The improved nanopore resolution and sensitivity might be attributed to several factors, such as the enhanced electro-osmotic flow, the pH effect on the charge state of the target analyte, and the analyte/protein ion channel structure or conformational change.

To examine whether the pH of the electrolyte solution will affect Cu^{2+} detection, the interactions between peptide H10 and the (M113F)₇ pore in the absence and presence of Cu^{2+} were investigated in 1 M NaCl solutions at three different pH values (i.e., pH 6.5, pH 7.5, and pH 8.5). Our experimental results (Fig. 3, and Supporting Information, Fig. S2) showed that, in the absence of Cu^{2+} ions, H10 produced events having a larger frequency but a smaller mean residence time as the pH of the solution decreased. Although the conductivities of these three different pH electrolytes were quite stable (~79 mS/cm), the results are reasonable considering the charge state of the peptide H10 at different pH values. As mentioned previously, the pI (isoelectric point) of histidine is around 7.5, and hence, H10 is positively charged at pH 6.5 while negatively charged at pH 8.5. Therefore, under an applied voltage bias of +100 mV, the electrophoretic effect will facilitate the positively charged H10 (at pH 6.5) and retard the negatively charged H10 (at pH 8.5) translocating through the nano-channel, respectively. However, in the presence of Cu^{2+} , although the pH effect on the event frequency showed a same trend as that without Cu^{2+} , the effect of the solution pH on the event residence time was quite different from that in the absence of Cu^{2+}

ions (Fig. 3, and Supporting Information, Fig. S3). Specifically, when the solution pH decreased from pH 8.5 to pH 6.5, the frequency of the Cu²⁺-peptide complex events increased by 4.8 folds (from ~ 0.84 s⁻¹ to ~ 4.0 s⁻¹ at 10 μ M concentration of Cu²⁺). Among the three pH values, Cu²⁺-peptide complexes showed the largest mean residence time of ~186.6 ms at pH 6.5, while the corresponding event residence times at pH 7.5 and pH 8.5 were 40.0 ms, and 80.1 ms, respectively. As discussed previously, as the solution pH decreased from pH 8.5 to pH 7.5 and to pH 6.5, peptide H10 was negatively charged, neutral, and positively charged, respectively. After complexation with Cu^{2+} , the charge state of the Cu^{2+} -peptide chelates should be more positive than the free peptides. If the electrophoretic effect was the dominant factor affecting the event residence time, Cu²⁺peptide complexes should produce the smallest residence time at pH 6.5, which is not in agreement with our experimental result. Therefore, it is more likely that the event mean residence time of Cu²⁺-peptide chelates is mainly affected by their structures or conformations. For example, the solution pH might affect the stoichiometric ratio of the Cu^{2+} -peptide complexes or the positions in the peptide chain at which histidines bind to Cu^{2+} ions. This interpretation is supported by both literature and our dose-response experiment. It is well known that the coordination properties of a histidine residue within a peptide sequence depend enormously on its position in a peptide chain, further, the metalpeptide complexes formed can exist in a variety of conformations that are dependent not only on the concentrations of both the peptide and metal ion but also on the pH of the reaction medium (Daniele et al., 1991; Sjöberg, 1997; Gaggelli et al., 2003; Belosi et al., 2004). It was also reported that the solution pH would affect the binding affinity of the Cu^{2+} -peptide interaction significantly (Viles et al., 1999). Our experimental results (Fig. 3) showed that, at pH 7.5, the event residence time was quite stable with an increase in the concentration of added Cu²⁺, suggesting the formation of a fixed ratio of Cu²⁺-H10 complexes. In contrast, at pH 6.5 or pH 8.5, the event residence time increased as the Cu²⁺ concentration increased, indicating that at these pH values, the formed metal-peptide complexes might have different stoichiometric ratios or different conformations, which is dependent on the concentrations of peptides and metal ions. Since the event mean residence time of Cu²⁺-peptide complexes was quite stable at different copper concentrations at pH 7.5, it could serve as a signature to differentiate Cu^{2+} from other metal ions, and hence this pH was used in the remaining experiments.

3.3. Selectivity of the Cu²⁺ Nanopore Sensor

His-tagging is one of the most widespread strategies used to purify recombinant proteins for biochemical and structural studies because the histidine residues of the proteins could bind to several types of immobilized metal ions, including nickel, cobalt and copper, under specific buffer conditions. To test the selectivity of this nanopore sensor, Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} which have very similar ligand-binding characteristics to Cu^{2+} were examined. Early studies with other detection techniques have shown that these metal ions, especially Ni²⁺, could potentially interfere with the Cu²⁺ detection (Liu et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2001; Dong et al., 2012). The Ni²⁺ interference could be suppressed with the addition of other chelating agents such as dimethylglyoxime (Liu et al., 1999). To improve the selectivity for Cu^{2+} detection, other non-chelating reaction approaches are currently under intensive investigation. For example, highly sensitive and selective detection of Cu²⁺ has been achieved based on Cu²⁺-controlled enzymatic/Cu²⁺-catalyzed chemical reactions (Yin et al., 2009; Lou et al, 2011; Yao et al., 2013). Our single-channel recording experiments (Supporting Information, Fig. S4) showed that indeed all these three metal ions could interact with H10 to form metal-peptide complexes. Fortunately, the event residual currents of Zn²⁺-peptide and Cu²⁺-peptide complexes were quite different (10.9 \pm 0.1 pA vs. 6.1 \pm 0.1 pA), which allows these two complexes easily to be differentiated and even simultaneously detected. On the other hand, although the events of Ni²⁺-peptide and Co²⁺-

peptide complexes had similar blockage amplitudes to those of Cu²⁺-peptide chelates, their event residence times were much smaller than that of Cu²⁺-peptide complexes (5.9 ± 0.6 ms, 13.7 ± 0.8 ms, and 40.0 ± 1.2 ms for Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, and Cu²⁺, respectively). By using an appropriate residence time cut-off value (e.g., 15 ms), we can separate the events of Cu²⁺peptide complex from those of others, thus successfully analyzing Cu²⁺ in the presence of Ni^{2+} (Fig. 4) and/or Co^{2+} . In the case of analyzing a solution mixture consisting of Cu^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} ions, selective detection of Cu^{2+} could still be achieved if only the events having the residence times larger than 15 ms, and with residual currents within the range from 4 pA to 10 pA were included in the data analysis. Under this condition, the nanopore sensor responded selectively toward Cu²⁺ ions, by at least 6-fold or more, relative to the other metal ions (Fig. 5). If equal concentrations of Cu^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} ions were present in the solution mixture, \sim 77% of the total events were contributed by the Cu²⁺peptide complexes, while 3.2%, 7.4%, and 12.6% of the events were due to Ni²⁺-, Co²⁺-, and Zn²⁺-peptide complexes, respectively (Supporting Information, Fig. S5). Further improvement in the sensor selectivity was possible by analyzing events within a narrower amplitude range. However, this approach would result in a smaller event frequency, thus requiring a longer single-channel recording time to collect enough Cu²⁺-peptide complex events. In addition, it is worth mentioning that, by modifying the data analysis condition, e.g., only including events with residual currents larger than 10 pA, and having the residence times larger than 20 ms, our nanopore sensor can selectively detect Zn^{2+} ions in the presence of other metal ions.

3.4. Improving the Sensitivity of the Cu²⁺ Nanopore Sensor

Under the current experimental conditions (i.e., peptide H10, α-hemolysin (M113)₇ pore, pH 7.5, +100 mV applied voltage bias), Cu²⁺ ions could be detected with a detection limit (defined as the concentration corresponding to three times the standard deviation of a blank signal) of ~500 nM in a 1 min second single-channel recording. The relatively poor detection limit was mainly due to the low event frequency $(0.2 \text{ s}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{M}^{-1} \text{Cu}^{2+})$ of this sensing system. It should be mentioned that this Cu²⁺ sensor had an extremely low background, and hence increasing the event frequency offers the potential to greatly lower its detection limit. Since the frequency of the Cu²⁺-H10 complex events increased significantly with an increase in the applied potential (Supporting Information, Fig. S1), the feasibility of utilizing the voltage-dependence to improve sensor sensitivity was then investigated at +160 mV. Note that at this voltage, the mean residence time of the Cu²⁺-H10 complex events was ~20 folds larger than that of free H10 peptides, and hence could still be readily recognized (Supporting Information, Fig. S7). Although a further increase in the applied potential may lead to more events, the lipid bilayer used in the experiments would become less stable. With this experimental condition, the detection limit for Cu²⁺ detection could be improved to ~40 nM (Fig. 5). In addition, our experiments with the pH effect on Cu^{2+} detection demonstrated that the event frequency increased by ~3 folds when the solution pH was reduced from pH 7.5 to pH 6.5 (Fig. 3). Although lowering the pH of the electrolyte solution provides a feasible approach to further improve the sensitivity for Cu²⁺ detection, a disadvantage of this approach is that the sensor selectivity will possibly be worse since the event residence time of the Cu^{2+} -peptide complexes varied with the Cu^{2+} concentration at pH 6.5, so that the events of Cu^{2+} -peptide and other metal-peptide complexes might overlap to some extent, thus interfering with Cu²⁺ detection.

4. Conclusions

A sensitive and selective nanopore sensor for the detection of Cu^{2+} ions was successfully developed by using a polyhistidine molecule as a chelating agent. In our nanopore sensor design, the chelating agent provides the required molecular recognition and plays a major role in the sensitivity and selectivity of the nanopore sensor. Hence, modification of the

inner surface of the nanopore to construct recognition sites for the target analyte is not necessary, which simplifies the fabrication of nanopore sensors (refer to Supporting information, Fig. S5, for Cu²⁺ detection with the wild-type α -hemolysin pore). This nanopore sensor design strategy should find useful application in the development of stochastic sensors for other substances, especially in situations where construction of binding sites in the nanopore interior is difficult.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (HR0011-10-C-0226), the National Institutes of Health (1R011HG005095), and Department of Homeland Security (HSHQDC-09-C-00091).

References

- Belosi B, Gaggelli E, Guerrini R, Kozlowski H, Euczkowski M, Mancini FM, Remelli M, Valensin D, Valensin G. ChemBioChem. 2004; 5:349–359. [PubMed: 14997527]
- Braha O, Walker B, Cheley S, Kasianowicz JJ, Song L, Gouaux JE, Bayley H. Chem Biol. 1997; 4:497–505. [PubMed: 9263637]
- Braha O, Gu LQ, Zhou L, Lu X, Cheley S, Bayley H. Nat Biotechnol. 2000; 17:1005–1007. [PubMed: 10973225]
- 4. Cheley S, Gu LQ, Bayley H. Chem Biol. 2002; 9:829-838. [PubMed: 12144927]
- 5. Daniele PG, Zerbinati O, Zelano V, Ostacoli G. J Chem Soc, Dalton Trans. 1991:2711-2715.
- Derrington IM, Butler TZ, Collins MD, Manrao E, Pavlenok M, Niederweis M, Gundlach JH. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:16060–16065. [PubMed: 20798343]
- de Zoysa RSS, Krishantha DMM, Zhao Q, Gupta J, Guan X. Electrophoresis. 2011; 32:3034–3041. [PubMed: 21997574]
- Dong Y, Wang R, Li G, Chen C, Chi Y, Chen G. Anal Chem. 2012; 84:6220–6224. [PubMed: 22686413]
- 9. Gaggelli E, D'Amelio N, Valensin D, Valensin G. Magn Reson Chem. 2003; 41:877-883.
- Gaggelli E, Kozlowski H, Valensin D, Valensin G. Chem Rev. 2006; 106:1995–2044. [PubMed: 16771441]
- 11. Gao C, Ding S, Tan Q, Gu L. Anal Chem. 2009; 81:80-86. [PubMed: 19061410]
- 12. Gu L, Bayley H. Biophys J. 2000; 79:1967-1975. [PubMed: 11023901]
- 13. Gu L, Cheley S, Bayley H. J Gen Physiol. 2001; 118:481–493. [PubMed: 11696607]
- Guan X, Gu LQ, Cheley S, Braha O, Bayley H. ChemBioChem. 2005; 6:1875–1881. [PubMed: 16118820]
- 15. Gupta J, Zhao Q, Wang G, Kang X, Guan X. Sensor Actuat B. 2013; 176:625-631.
- 16. Howorka S, Cheley S, Bayley H. Nat Biotechnol. 2001; 19:636-639. [PubMed: 11433274]
- Jayawardhana DA, Crank JA, Zhao Q, Armstrong DW, Guan X. Anal Chem. 2009; 81:460–464. [PubMed: 19055422]
- Jovanovic-Talisman T, Tetenbaum-Novatt J, McKenney AS, Zilman A, Peters R, Rout MP, Chait BT. Nature. 2009; 457:1023–1027. [PubMed: 19098896]
- Kasianowicz JJ, Brandin E, Branton D, Deamer D. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1996; 93:13770– 13773. [PubMed: 8943010]
- 20. Liu A, Chen D, Lin C, Chou H, Chen C. Anal Chem. 1999; 71:1549-1552.
- Lou T, Chen L, Chen Z, Wang Y, Chen L, Li J. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2011; 3:4215–4220. [PubMed: 21970438]
- 22. Luchian T, Shin SH, Bayley H. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2003; 42:3766-3771.

- Meller A, Nivon L, Brandin E, Golovchenko J, Branton D. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000; 97:1079–1084. [PubMed: 10655487]
- 24. Mereuta L, Schiopu I, Asandei A, Park Y, Hahm K, Luchian T. Langmuir. 2012; 28:17079–17091. [PubMed: 23140333]
- Merzlyak PG, Capistrano MF, Valeva A, Kasianowicz JJ, Krasilnikow OW. Biophys J. 2005; 89:3059–3070. [PubMed: 16085767]
- 26. Montal M, Mueller P. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1972; 69:3561–3566. [PubMed: 4509315]
- 27. Movileanu L, Howorka S, Braha O, Bayley H. Nat Biotechnol. 2000; 18:1091–1095. [PubMed: 11017049]
- 28. Movileanu L, Schmittschmitt JP, Scholtz JM, Bayley H. Biophys J. 2005; 89:1031-1045.
- 29. Shim JW, Tan Q, Gu L. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37:972-982. [PubMed: 19112078]
- 30. Sigalov G, Comer J, Timp G, Aksimentiev A. Nano Lett. 2008; 8:56–63. [PubMed: 18069865]
- 31. Sjöberg S. Pure Appl Chem. 1997; 69:1549–1570.
- 32. Stefureac RI, Lee JS. Small. 2008; 4:1646–1650. [PubMed: 18819138]
- Stefureac RI, Madampage CA, Andrievskaia O, Lee JS. Biochem Cell Biol. 2010; 88:347–358. [PubMed: 20453935]
- Storm AJ, Storm C, Chen J, Zandbergen H, Joanny JF, Dekker C. Nano Lett. 2005; 5:1193–1197. [PubMed: 16178209]
- 35. Talaga DS, Li J. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:9287-9297. [PubMed: 19530678]
- Tisato F, Marzano C, Porchia M, Pellei M, Santini C. Med Res Rev. 2010; 30:708–749. [PubMed: 19626597]
- Tümer Z, Horn N, Tønnesen T, Christodoulou J, Clarke JT, Sarkar B. Nat Genet. 1996; 12:11–3. [PubMed: 8528242]
- Viles JH, Cohen FE, Prusiner SB, Goodin DB, Wright PE, Dyson HJ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999; 96:2042–2047. [PubMed: 10051591]
- 39. Wang D, Zhao Q, de Zoysa RSS, Guan X. Sensor Actuat B. 2009; 139:440-446.
- 40. Wang G, Zhao Q, Kang X, Guan X. J Phys Chem B. 2013; 117:4763–4769. [PubMed: 23565989]
- 41. Wen S, Zeng T, Liu L, Zhao K, Zhao Y, Liu X, Wu HC. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:18312– 18317. [PubMed: 21995430]
- 42. Yang W, Jaramillo D, Gooding JJ, Hibbert DB, Zhang R, Willett GD, Fisher KJ. Chem Commun. 2001; 19:1982–1983.
- 43. Yao Z, Yang Y, Chen X, Hu X, Zhang L, Liu L, Zhao Y, Wu HC. Anal Chem. 2013; 85:5650–5653. [PubMed: 23742674]
- 44. Yin BC, Ye BC, Tan W, Wang H, Xie CC. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:14624–14625. [PubMed: 19824721]
- 45. Zhao Q, Jayawardhana DA, Guan X. Biophys J. 2008; 94:1267–1275. [PubMed: 17993487]

Appendix A. Supporting Information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http:// dx.doi.org

Highlights

- We developed a sensitive stochastic nanopore sensor for the detection of Cu²⁺ using a polyhistidine probe as the chelating agent.
- The sensor was highly selective to Cu²⁺ ions.
- Experimental conditions such as the polyhistidine probe, solution pH, and the applied voltage bias significantly affected the sensor sensitivity.
- The chelating reaction approach simplified the nanopore sensor design.



Figure 1.

Typical single-channel current recording traces, showing the effect of peptide probes on Cu^{2+} detection. The experiments were performed at +120 mV with the (M113F)₇ α -hemolysin pore and three peptides H10, H6 and H3 in the (a) absence and (b) presence of Cu^{2+} ions in a buffer solution comprising 1.0 M NaCl and 10 mM Tris•HCl (pH 7.5). Dashed lines represent the levels of zero current. The concentration of Cu^{2+} was 10 μ M, while those of H10, H6, and H3 were 50 μ M, 500 μ M, and 10 μ M, respectively.



Figure 2.

Event signatures of peptide H10 before and after addition of Cu²⁺ ions. (a) Scatter plots of event residence time versus amplitude; (b) Amplitude histograms; (c) Residence time histograms of short-lived events; and (d) Residence time histograms of long-lived events. The experiments were performed with the (M113F)₇ α -hemolysin pore in a buffer solution comprising 1.0 M NaCl and 10 mM Tris•HCl (pH 7.5) at +100 mV (*cis* at ground) in the presence of 40 μ M H10 peptide samples.



Figure 3.

Effect of solution pH on nanopore detection of Cu^{2+} . Dependence of the (a) event mean residence time and (b) frequency of Cu^{2+} -peptide complexes on the solution pH and the concentration of added Cu^{2+} ions. The experiments were performed with the (M113F)₇ α -hemolysin pore in a buffer solution comprising 1.0 M NaCl and 10 mM Tris•HCl at +100 mV in the presence of 40 μ M H10.



Figure 4.

Nanopore detection of Cu^{2+} in the presence of Ni²⁺ ions. The experiments were performed at +100 mV in the presence of 40 μ M H10.



Figure 5.

(a) Selectivity and (b) dose-response curve for the Cu^{2+} ion nanopore sensor system. Only the events having the residence times larger than 15 ms, and with residual currents within the range from 4 pA to 10 pA were included in the data analysis.



Scheme 1.

Nanopore detection of Cu^{2+} ions using a chelating agent probe. The interactions between the chelating agent and the nanopore in the absence and presence of Cu^{2+} ions produce events having significantly different signatures, thus permitting the free chelating agents and Cu^{2+} chelating agent complexes to be readily differentiated.