

# Susceptibility Profiles of Nocardia Isolates Based on Current Taxonomy

# Robert Schlaberg,<sup>a,b</sup> Mark A. Fisher,<sup>a,b</sup> Kimberley E. Hanson<sup>a,b,c</sup>

ARUP Institute for Clinical and Experimental Pathology, ARUP Laboratories, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA,<sup>a</sup> and Department of Pathology<sup>b</sup> and Department of Medicine,<sup>c</sup> University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

The genus *Nocardia* has undergone rapid taxonomic expansion in recent years, and an increasing number of species are recognized as human pathogens. Many established species have predictable antimicrobial susceptibility profiles, but sufficient information is often not available for recently described organisms. Additionally, the effectiveness of sulfonamides as first-line drugs for *Nocardia* has recently been questioned. This led us to review antimicrobial susceptibility patterns for a large number of molecularly identified clinical isolates. Susceptibility results were available for 1,299 isolates representing 39 different species or complexes, including 11 that were newly described, during a 6-year study period. All tested isolates were susceptible to linezolid. Resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) was rare (2%) except among *Nocardia pseudobrasiliensis* (31%) strains and strains of the *N. transvalensis* complex (19%). Imipenem susceptibility varied for *N. cyriacigeorgica* and *N. farcinica*, as did ceftriaxone, TMP-SMX, and imipenem) was highest for *N. pseudobrasiliensis* (100%), *N. transvalensis* complex (83%), *N. farcinica* (68%), *N. puris* (57%), *N. brasiliensis* (51%), *N. aobensis* (50%), and *N. amikacinitolerans* (43%). Thus, while antimicrobial resistance can often be predicted, susceptibility testing should still be considered when combination therapy is warranted, for less well characterized species or those with variable susceptibility profiles, and for patients with TMP-SMX intolerance.

ocardia species are ubiquitous environmental organisms that can cause a variety of diseases in humans. Clinical manifestations range from localized skin and soft tissue infection as a result of cutaneous trauma in otherwise healthy individuals to life-threatening pneumonia, central nervous system infection, and/or bacteremia in immunocompromised hosts. Recently, the genus Nocardia has undergone rapid taxonomic expansion as a result of the broad utilization of 16S sequencing for identification of clinical and environmental isolates. There are currently 86 recognized Nocardia spp., more than half of which have been described during the last 10 years, and most have been isolated from clinical specimens (1-3). Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles are predictable for several Nocardia spp. and have been used in the past to classify isolates into multiple distinct antibiotypes (4). Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) has long been considered the therapeutic agent of choice for monotherapy, while combinations of TMP-SMX with amikacin, carbapenems, or ceftriaxone are often used for severe or systemic infections (1). Two recent surveys on sulfonamide-resistant Nocardia spp. in the United States provided conflicting information (5, 6). In addition, antimicrobial susceptibilities have not been systematically tested for several of the more recently described species. The purposes of this study were to review susceptibility data for a large number of Nocardia isolates identified using partial 16S rRNA gene (16S) sequencing in a national reference laboratory and to analyze antimicrobial susceptibility patterns based on current taxonomy.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical isolates were referred to ARUP Laboratories for identification and susceptibility testing from institutions throughout the United States. Isolates that were identified as *Nocardia* spp. by 16S sequencing between January 2006 and December 2011 were included in this study. Sequencing was performed using routine protocols (7), SmartGene analysis software (SmartGene, Raleigh, NC) (8), and Clinical and Laboratory Standards

Institute (CLSI) guidelines (9). Chromatograms resulting from routine testing were reassembled with MicroSeq 500 software (version 2.0; Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) for this study. Short (<400-bp) and low-quality (PHRED scores < 35) sequences were excluded. The remaining high-quality sequences were reanalyzed by comparison to type strain sequences of all valid Nocardia spp. (2, 3) using Geneious software (version 5.4.2; Biomatters). Isolates with <100% sequence identity to type strain sequences based on BLAST analysis (10) were compared to the NCBI nucleotide database using BLAST. Results were reviewed manually and interpreted using CLSI guidelines (9). Species that cannot reliably be differentiated based on partial 16S sequences were grouped into complexes (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The N. nova complex was defined as previously reported (1) to include N. africana, N. elegans, N. kruczakiae, N. nova, and N. veterana. By partial 16S sequencing, the type strain of N. mikamii cannot be differentiated from those of N. africana, N. elegans, N. kruczakiae, and N. veterana using CLSI guidelines (9). Therefore, N. mikamii was also included in the N. nova complex for the purpose of this study. Since N. nova can be differentiated by 16S sequencing from the other members and is the most frequently isolated species of this complex, results are provided for isolates belonging to the larger complex and for N. nova itself. Isolates with sequence similarities of 99 to 99.5% to references were identified as Nocardia spp. and likely belong to yet-undescribed taxa.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was performed using com-

Received 19 July 2013 Returned for modification 23 August 2013 Accepted 12 November 2013

Published ahead of print 18 November 2013

Address correspondence to Robert Schlaberg, robert.schlaberg@path.utah.edu. Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /AAC.01531-13.

Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.01531-13

TABLE 1 Antimicrobial nonsusceptibility for 1,299 Nocardia strains isolated over a 6-year period	TABLE 1 Antimicrobial nonsuscep	ptibility for 1,299 Nocardia strains isolated over a 6-ye	ear period
--	---------------------------------	---	------------

	% of strains with result <sup>a</sup>										
Organism (n)	TMP-SXT R	AMK R	IMI R/I	CTR R/I	AUG R/I	LZD R	CIP R/I	CLA R/I	MIN R/I	TOB R/I	MXF R/I $(n)$
N. abscessus complex (110)	0	0	69	2	22	0	100	71	15	0	92 (39)
N. amamiensis (2)	0	0	0	0	50	0	100	100	0	0	100(1)
N. amikacinitolerans (7)	0	57	28	43	0	0	100	86	14	0	100 (5)
N. aobensis (2)	0	0	50	50	100	0	100	0	100	100	100 (2)
N. asteroides sensu stricto (3)	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	100	33	0	100 (2)
N. beijingensis complex (30)	0	0	7	3	97	0	96	37	20	0	95 (18)
N. blacklockiae (1)	0	0	100	0	0	0	100	0	100	100	0(1)
N. brasiliensis (148)	0	0	99	51	4.7	0	99	97	76	0	1 (88)
N. brevicatena (1)	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	0	0	0 (0)
N. carnea (2)	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	50	100	0	0 (0)
N. cyriacigeorgica (264)	0	0	57	12	97	0	100	99	94	0.8	96 (128)
N. exalbida complex (4)	0	0	25	0	100	0	75	50	50	0	100(1)
N. farcinica (204)	0.5	0	67	97	24	0	57	99.5	95	99.5	21 (99)
N. flavorosea (1)	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	0(1)
N. grenadensis (2)	0	0	100	0	100	0	0	100	50	0	0 (0)
N. higoensis (2)	0	0	50	0	100	0	0	50	0	0	0 (0)
N. ignorata (1)	0	0	100	100	100	0	100	0	0	0	0(1)
N. mexicana (1)	0	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	100	0 (0)
N. neocaledoniensis (1)	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	100	100	0	100(1)
N. niigatensis (2)	0	0	100	100	100	0	0	0	100	0	0(1)
N. niwae (6)	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	50	50	0	0 (0)
N. nova complex (320)	0	0	1	53	91	0	99	3	88	87	98 (162)
N. $nova^b$ (246)	0	0	2	50	92	0	99	0	90	88	99 (132)
N. otitidiscaviarum (29)	0	0	93	100	100	0	93	93	55	38	65 (17)
N. paucivorans (11)	0	0	0	0	90	0	9	18	9	0	0 (5)
N. pseudobrasiliensis (13)	31	31	100	100	100	0	0	0	100	0	0(7)
N. puris (7)	0	0	57	86	85	0	100	100	0	0	100(1)
N. rhamnosiphila (4)	0	0	25	0	100	0	0	100	100	0	0 (2)
N. takedensis (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	100(1)
N. testacea (1)	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	100	100	0	0 (0)
N. thailandica (1)	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	0(1)
<i>N. transvalensis</i> complex (83)	19	72	94	37	53	0	16	96	85	96	0 (41)
N. vermiculata (1)	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	0 (0)
N. vinacea (1)	0	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	0 (0)
N. yamanashiensis (1)	0	0	100	100	100	0	100	0	0	100	0(1)
Nocardia sp. (32)	0	3	44	31	72	0	50	53	50	19	6 (16)
Total (1,299)	2	5	51	44	63	0	83	67	78	45	60 (642)

<sup>*a*</sup> Percent of strains with resistant (R) or intermediate (I) interpretation are listed. Testing for moxifloxacin was only available for a subset of isolates; numbers of strains are indicated in the last column. TMP-SMT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; AMK, amikacin; IMI, imipenem; CTR, ceftriaxone; AUG, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; LZD, linezolid; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CLA, clarithromycin; MIN, minocycline; TOB, tobramycin; MXF, moxifloxacin.

<sup>b</sup> Antimicrobial nonsusceptibility results for the species N. nova are provided independently from those for the larger N. nova complex.

mercial broth microdilution panels (RAPMYCO panels; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) only when requested by the treating physician. Briefly, organisms were resuspended in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth and inoculated at a final concentration of  $1 \times 10^4$  to  $5 \times 10^4$  CFU per well. MICs were determined for amikacin (AMK), amoxicillin/clavulanate (AUG), ceftriaxone (CTR), ciprofloxacin (CIP), clarithromycin (CLA), imipenem (IMI), linezolid (LZD), minocycline (MIN), moxifloxacin (MXF; 2009 to 2011 only), tigecycline (TGC; by request only), TMP-SMX, and tobramycin (TOB) and interpreted as recommended by CLSI (11). Epidemiological cutoff (ECOFF) values were estimated for tigecycline using two approaches: the first was defined as two doubling dilutions above the MIC<sub>50</sub> and the second as two doubling dilutions above the modal MIC (12, 13). Both approaches yielded the same ECOFF.

# RESULTS

A total of 2,198 isolates were identified as *Nocardia* spp. during the study period, 2,151 with species-level and 47 with genus-level

identification (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Isolates identified to the species level represented at least 37 different species or complexes. Thirty-eight species, including 15 that are part of complexes, were described since the year 2000, and 12 species were first described during the study period. More than 90% of isolates with species-level identification belonged to only 7 species or complexes (*N. nova* complex, *N. cyriacigeorgica*, *N. farcinica*, *N. brasiliensis*, *N. abscessus* complex, *N. transvalensis* complex, and *N. beijingensis* complex). It is important to note that *N. asteroides sensu stricto* was isolated very infrequently from patient samples. Only 4 isolates were identified during this 6-year study (0.2% of all isolates). Isolates were cultured from patients in 40 U.S. states, 47% of the patients were female, and the median age was 63 years. Most isolates were from respiratory (54%) or subcutaneous/cutaneous sources (26%), followed by blood (6%) or other body fluids

	TMP-SMX		Imipenem		Ceftriaxon	e	Amikacin	
Organism (n)	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>						
N. abscessus complex (110)	≤0.25/4.8	0.5/9.5	16	64	$\leq 4$	$\leq 4$	≤1	≤1
N. beijingensis complex (30)	≤0.25/4.9	0.5/9.6	$\leq 2$	4	$\leq 4$	$\leq 4$	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$
N. brasiliensis (148)	0.5/9.6	0.5/9.6	64	≥128	16	≥128	$\leq 1$	2
N. cyriacigeorgica (264)	≤0.25/4.9	0.5/9.6	8	16	$\leq 4$	16	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$
N. farcinica (204)	1/19	2/38	8	32	≥128	≥128	$\leq 1$	2
N. nova complex (320)	0.5/9.5	1/19	$\leq 2$	$\leq 2$	16	32	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$
N. nova <sup>b</sup> (246)	0.5/9.5	1/19	≤2	≤2	8	32	≤1	≤1
N. transvalensis complex (83)	2/38	4/76	64	≥128	8	≥128	32	≥128

<sup>a</sup> Values for nonsusceptible strains, based on the 2011 CLSI intermediate and resistant breakpoints (11), are in bold.

<sup>b</sup> MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> for the species N. nova are provided independently from those for the larger N. nova complex.

(3%), central nervous system (3%) or ocular (2%) sites, and unknown (5%) or miscellaneous (1%) sources.

Results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing were available for 1,299 isolates (59%), including 1,267 with species-level and 32 with genus-level identification (Tables 1 and 2). Overall, resistance to TMP-SMX was rare (2%; n = 21) except among N. *pseudobrasiliensis* isolates (31%; n = 4) and members of the N. transvalensis complex (19%; n = 16). The only other TMP-SMX resistance encountered in this study was in one N. farcinica isolate (Table 1). Average rates of nonsusceptibility (i.e., classification as resistant or intermediate) were 5% for amikacin, 44% for ceftriaxone, 51% for imipenem, and 78% for minocycline. The highest rates of resistance to amikacin were among N. amikacinitolerans (57%), N. pseudobrasiliensis (31%), and the N. transvalensis complex (72%). Among the more common species, nonsusceptibility to imipenem was highest for N. abscessus (69%), N. brasiliensis (99%), N. cyriacigeorgica (57%), N. farcinica (67%), N. otitidiscaviarum (93%), N. pseudobrasiliensis (100%), and the N. transvalensis complex (94%). Nonsusceptibility to ceftriaxone was highest for N. brasiliensis (51%), N. farcinica (97%), N. nova complex (53%), N. otitidiscaviarum (100%), and N. pseudobrasiliensis (100%). Significant intraspecies variability was seen with several common species (e.g., N. abscessus complex, N. cyriacigeorgica, and N. farcinica for imipenem; N. brasiliensis, N. nova complex, and N. transvalensis complex for ceftriaxone) (Table 1; also, see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). All 1,299 Nocardia isolates were susceptible to linezolid. Of the isolates that could not be identified to the species level, all were susceptible to TMP-SMX, 3% were resistant to amikacin, 44% were nonsusceptible to imipenem, 31% were resistant to ceftriaxone, and 50% were nonsusceptible to minocycline. MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> values for TMP-SMX, imipenem, ceftriaxone, and amikacin are shown in Table 2 for the 7 most common species and complexes, and the distribution of MICs is shown in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material. The mode MIC was in the intermediate range for several species/drug combinations (e.g., N. cyriacigeorgica and N. farcinica for imipenem, N. nova complex for ceftriaxone). Overall, amoxicillin/clavulanate, ciprofloxacin, and minocycline showed the highest rates of nonsusceptibility among the isolates (Table 1).

*Nocardia* spp. with resistance to two or more of the most commonly used drugs (amikacin, ceftriaxone, TMP-SMX, and imipenem), i.e., multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Nocardia* spp., are

shown in Table 3. Multidrug resistance was most common among N. pseudobrasiliensis (100% of isolates), N. transvalensis complex (83%), N. farcinica (68%), N. puris (57%), N. brasiliensis (51%), N. aobensis (50%), and N. amikacinitolerans (43%). In contrast, all isolates of these species were susceptible to at least 1 alternative drug (in addition to linezolid) (Table 1): N. pseudobrasiliensis (n =13) to ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, and tobramycin; N. farcinica (n = 204) to amikacin; *N. puris* (n = 7) to amikacin, minocycline, and tobramycin; N. brasiliensis (n = 148) to amikacin and tobramycin; N. aobensis (n = 2) to amikacin and clarithromycin; N. *amikacinitolerans* (n = 7) to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and tobramycin; and all tested isolates of the N. transvalensis complex (n = 41) to moxifloxacin. No breakpoints have been determined by CLSI or EUCAST for tigecycline; however, from our data, an ECOFF value of  $\leq 4 \mu g/ml$  was determined. Isolates identified as resistant using this cutoff ( $\geq 8 \mu g/ml$ ) were N. farcinica (n = 16; 16%), N. nova (n = 1; 0.8%), N. transvalensis complex (n = 1; 1, 1, 2, 3, 5)2%), and Nocardia spp. (n = 1; 6%). Of the more frequently isolated species or complexes (>10 isolates), there appeared to be three distinct susceptibility profiles for tigecycline (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). N. farcinica isolates were less susceptible than N. beijingensis, N. cyriacigeorgica, N. nova complex, and N. transvalensis complex isolates, which were in turn slightly less susceptible than N. abscessus, N. brasiliensis, N. otitidiscaviarum, and Nocardia spp. The MIC distribution for the 650 isolates with available results and separate data for multidrug-resistant isolates are shown in Table 4.

#### DISCUSSION

The combination of trimethoprim with sulfamethoxazole is considered the cornerstone of treatment for most *Nocardia* infections (1). More recently, there has been conflicting information on the rates of *in vitro* sulfonamide resistance among *Nocardia* spp. (5, 6, 14, 15). The interpretation of *Nocardia* MICs using the broth microdilution method is challenging. A recent multicenter study identified significant interlaboratory variability for several drug/organism combinations (16). Methodological challenges (e.g., inoculum consistency, interpretation of cutoffs) and growth characteristics of *Nocardia* appear to be responsible for limited reproducibility of broth microdilution, especially for some drug-organism combinations (5, 16). Advances in identification methods and changes in taxonomy may also explain some of the differences, especially when more recent results are compared with

	Determinati					
Organism (n)	TMP-SXT	AMK	IMI	CTR	п	%
N. abscessus complex (110)	S	S	S	S	33	30
	S	S	S	R/I	1	0.9
	S S S	S S	R/I	S	75	68
	8	8	R/I	R/I	1	0.9
N. amikacinitolerans (7)	S S S S	S	S	S	2	29
	S	S	R/I	S	1	14
	S	R	S	S	1	14
	S	R	S D/I	R/I	2	29
	5	R	R/I	R/I	1	14
N. aobensis (2)	S S	S	S	S	1	50
	S	S	R/I	R/I	1	50
N. brasiliensis (148)	S	S	S	S	1	0.7
	S	S	R/I	S	72	48
	S	S	R/I	R/I	75	51
N. cyriacigeorgica (264)	S	S	S	S	106	40
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	S	S S	S	R/I	7	3
	S S S S	S	R/I	S	127	48
	S	S	R/I	R/I	24	9
N. farcinica (204)	S	S	S S	S	6	3
* * *	S S	S S		R/I	60	29
	S	S S	R/I	R/I	137	67
	R	S	R/I	R/I	1	0.5
N. nova complex (320)	S	S	S	S	151	47
	S	S	S	R/I	165	52
	S	S	R/I	R/I	4	1
N. pseudobrasiliensis (13)	S	S	R/I	R/I	7	54
· · ·	S	R	R/I	R/I	2	15
	R	S	R/I	R/I	2	15
	R	R	R/I	R/I	2	15
N. puris (7)	S	S	S S	S	1	14
	S	S		R/I	2	29
	S	S	R/I	R/I	4	57
N. transvalensis complex (83)	S	S	S	S	2	2
	S	S S S	S	R/I	1	1
	S	S	R/I	S	9	11
	S	S	R/I	R/I	8	10
	S	R	S	S	2	2
	S S S S S S	R	R/I	S D/I	28	34
	S R	R	R/I D/I	R/I	17	20
	R R	S S	R/I R/I	S R/I	1 2	1 2
	R	R	R/I R/I	S S	2 10	12
	R	R	R/I	R/I	3	4

TABLE 3 Susceptibility profiles of commonly used antimicrobials for
<i>Nocardia</i> species with multidrug resistant isolates

## TABLE 4 Distribution of tigecycline MICs for 650 Nocardia isolates

TABLE 4 Distribution of tige	ecycline	MICs	s for 6	50 N	ocard	ia isc	lates		
	No. of isolates for which the tigecycline MIC (µg/ml) was:								
Organism $(n)^a$	≤0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	$\geq 8$	
N. abscessus complex (39) N. abscessus complex, MDR (0)	10	7	7	10	5	0	0	0	
N. amamiensis (1)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
N. amikacinitolerans (5)	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	
N. amikacinitolerans, MDR (3)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	
N. aobensis (2)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
N. aobensis, MDR (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
N. asteroides sensu stricto (2)	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
N. beijingensis complex (18)	2	2	1	4	7	1	1	0	
N. blacklockiae (1)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
N. brasiliensis (88)	7	36	21	18	5	1	0	0	
N. brasiliensis, MDR (34)	3	9	12	6	3	1	0	0	
N. cyriacigeorgica (128)	0	7	9	39	44	24	5	0	
N. cyriacigeorgica, MDR (12)	0	0	2	4	3	2	1	0	
N. exalbida complex (1)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
N. farcinica (102)	0	2	0	2	6	18	58	16	
N. farcinica, MDR (65)	0	1	0	1	4	5	40	14	
N. flavorosea (1)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N. ignorata (1)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
N. neocaledoniensis (1)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
N. niigatensis (1)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
N. nova complex (162)	4	1	11	25	41	51	28	1	
N. nova complex, MDR (2)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
N. nova (132)	4	1	9	22	32	38	25	1	
N. nova, MDR (2)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
N. otitidiscaviarum (17)	2	3	4	3	5	0	0	0	
N. paucivorans (5)	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	
N. pseudobrasiliensis (7)	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	0	
N. pseudobrasiliensis, MDR (7)	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	0	
N. puris (1)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N. puris, MDR (1)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N. rhamnosiphila (2)	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
N. takedensis (1)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
N. thailandia (1)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
N. transvalensis complex (41) N. transvalensis complex, MDR (20)	0 0	0 0	4 0	4 1	11 4	12 8	9 6	1 1	
N. vermiculata (1)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
N. yamanashiensis (1)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Nocardia sp. (16)	2	4	2	4	3	0	0	1	
Total (650)	27	69	64	115	139	111	106	19	

those of older studies. In this large analysis, 98% of isolates were susceptible to TMP-SMX, which is consistent with data from the most recent multicenter study (5) as well as previous reports (17, 18). Resistance to TMP-SMX was highest among isolates of *N. pseudobrasiliensis* and *N. transvalensis* complex, which is consistent with previous reports and therefore are unlikely to be artifactual. Thus, our results support the notion that technical differences in susceptibility testing and interpretation, rather than an increasing prevalence of TMP-SMX drug resistance, may explain the discrepancies seen across studies.

Empirical treatment for severe *Nocardia* infections often involves combinations of TMP-SMX, imipenem, amikacin, and/or ceftriaxone (1). Susceptibility to these drugs, however, varied significantly within many species in this study (Tables 2 and 4; also, see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The mode MIC was in the intermediate range for several common species, including *N*.

<sup>*a*</sup> Distribution of tigecycline MICs for the species *N. nova* is provided independently from that for the larger *N. nova* complex. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Nocardia* spp. were defined as those with resistance to two or more of the most commonly used drugs (amikacin, ceftriaxone, TMP-SMX, and imipenem).

*cyriacigeorgica* and *N. farcinica* for imipenem as well as *N. nova* complex for ceftriaxone (Table 2; also, see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Resistance to two commonly used drugs was seen in *N. farcinica*, *N. pseudobrasiliensis*, and the *N. transvalensis* complex. Nonsusceptibility to three or all four drugs was present in *N. amikacinitolerans*, *N. farcinica*, the *N. transvalensis* complex, and *N. pseudobrasiliensis* isolates, resulting in infections that may be unlikely to respond to standard empirical therapies and are

more difficult to treat. Although no standardized susceptibility breakpoints exist for tigecycline, using a calculated epidemiological cutoff of  $\leq 4 \mu g/ml$  suggests that of the more commonly isolated multidrug-resistant species, *N. farcinica* and *N. transvalensis* complex isolates are less likely to respond to this agent than MDR isolates of *N. brasiliensis* or *N. cyriacigeorgica* (Table 4). In contrast, all of the isolates tested during the study period were susceptible to linezolid. Thus, empirical treatment for severe infections due to frequently multidrug-resistant species may warrant tailored combination antimicrobial therapy in advance of more comprehensive drug susceptibility test results. These combinations could include linezolid as well as ciprofloxacin for *N. pseudobrasiliensis* and moxifloxacin for *N. transvalensis* complex (Table 1).

For patients with sulfonamide intolerance or allergy and nonserious (e.g., cutaneous) infections, several oral alternative therapies exist, including amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, minocycline, clarithromycin, and fluoroquinolones (1). The species most frequently isolated from subcutaneous/cutaneous sources in this study was *N. brasiliensis* (42%). All of these isolates were susceptible to TMP-SMX, and despite its frequent resistance to imipenem and ceftriaxone, 95% were susceptible to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. Other species frequently isolated from subcutaneous/cutaneous sites were *N. farcinica* (13%), *N. nova* complex (9%), *N. cyriacigeorgica* (8%), and *N. abscessus* complex (5%). Susceptibility of oral regimens was highest with *N. farcinica* for amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (76%), *N. nova* complex for clarithromycin (97%), and *N. abscessus* complex for minocycline (85%) and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (78%).

Of the 12 species first described during the period of this study, susceptibility results were available for all but *N. iowensis*. Those for which limited or no antimicrobial susceptibility data were previously available include *N. amamiensis*, *N. exalbida*, *N. niwae*, and *N. rhamnosiphila* (18, 19). All isolates of these species were susceptible to ceftriaxone. *N. niwae* isolates were susceptible to imipenem, while susceptibility varied for the other 3 species, and all but one isolate of these 4 species were resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. Interestingly, 3 of the 7 isolates belonging to the recently described species *N. amikacinitolerans* were not resistant to amikacin as has been previously reported (20). However, all 7 isolates of this species were susceptible to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid.

Given the increasingly rapid identification of *Nocardia* spp. using proteomic methods (21), the time interval between isolate identification and availability of susceptibility results will increase. We have shown that susceptibility profiles can be predicted for several of the most commonly isolated and some of the recently described *Nocardia* spp. Thus, studies such as ours begin to allow reliable prediction of antimicrobial susceptibility profiles following rapid species-level identification in many instances. Susceptibility testing should still be considered for species with variable antimicrobial susceptibility profiles or for less well characterized species, when combination therapy is warranted, and in patients with TMP-SMX intolerance.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sam Cohen for technical support and David Davis for assistance with database queries.

### REFERENCES

 Brown-Elliott BA, Brown JM, Conville PS, Wallace RJ, Jr. 2006. Clinical and laboratory features of the *Nocardia* spp. based on current molecular taxonomy. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 19:259–282. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR .19.2.259-282.2006.

- 2. DSMZ. 2013. Bacterial nomenclature up-to-date (approved lists, validation lists), version June 2013. http://www.dsmz.de/bacterial-diversity /prokaryotic-nomenclature-up-to-date.html.
- Euzeby JP. 2013. List of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature. http://www.bacterio.net.
- Wallace RJ, Jr, Steele LC, Sumter G, Smith JM. 1988. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Nocardia asteroides*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 32:1776–1779. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.32.12.1776.
- Brown-Elliott BA, Biehle J, Conville PS, Cohen S, Saubolle M, Sussland D, Wengenack N, Kriel K, Bridge L, McNulty S, Vasireddy R, Wallace RJ, Jr. 2012. Sulfonamide resistance in isolates of *Nocardia* spp. from a U.S. multicenter survey. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:670–672. http://dx.doi.org /10.1128/JCM.06243-11.
- Uhde KB, Pathak S, McCullum I, Jr, Jannat-Khah DP, Shadomy SV, Dykewicz CA, Clark TA, Smith TL, Brown JM. 2010. Antimicrobialresistant nocardia isolates, United States, 1995–2004. Clin. Infect. Dis. 51:1445–1448. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/657399.
- Simmon KE, Hall L, Woods CW, Marco F, Miro JM, Cabell C, Hoen B, Marin M, Utili R, Giannitsioti E, Doco-Lecompte T, Bradley S, Mirrett S, Tambic A, Ryan S, Gordon D, Jones P, Korman T, Wray D, Reller LB, Tripodi MF, Plesiat P, Morris AJ, Lang S, Murdoch DR, Petti CA. 2008. Phylogenetic analysis of viridans group streptococci causing endocarditis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:3087–3090. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00920-08.
- Simmon KE, Croft AC, Petti CA. 2006. Application of SmartGene IDNS software to partial 16S rRNA gene sequences for a diverse group of bacteria in a clinical laboratory. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:4400–4406. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/JCM.01364-06.
- 9. Petti CA, Bosshard PP, Brandt ME, Clarridge JE, Feldblyum TV, Foxall P, Furtado MR, Pace N, Procop G. 2008. Interpretive criteria for identification of bacteria and fungi by DNA target sequencing. Approved guidelines, vol 28. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215:403–410.
- 11. Woods GL, Brown-Elliott BA, Conville PS, Desmond EP, Hall GS, Lin G, Pfyffer GE, Ridderhof JC, Siddiqi SH, Wallace RJ, Jr, Warren NG, Witebsky FG. 2011. Susceptibility testing of mycobacteria, nocardiae, and other aerobic actinomycetes. Approved standard—2nd ed, vol 31. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 12. Canton E, Peman J, Hervas D, Iniguez C, Navarro D, Echeverria J, Martinez-Alarcon J, Fontanals D, Gomila-Sard B, Buendia B, Torroba L, Ayats J, Bratos A, Sanchez-Reus F, Fernandez-Natal I. 2012. Comparison of three statistical methods for establishing tentative wild-type population and epidemiological cutoff values for echinocandins, amphotericin B, flucytosine, and six Candida species as determined by the colorimetric Sensitire YeastOne method. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:3921–3926. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01730-12.
- 13. Pfaller MA, Espinel-Ingroff A, Canton E, Castanheira M, Cuenca-Estrella M, Diekema DJ, Fothergill A, Fuller J, Ghannoum M, Jones RN, Lockhart SR, Martin-Mazuelos E, Melhem MS, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Pappas P, Pelaez T, Peman J, Rex J, Szeszs MW. 2012. Wild-type MIC distributions and epidemiological cutoff values for amphotericin B, flucytosine, and itraconazole and Candida spp. as determined by CLSI broth microdilution. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:2040–2046. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/JCM.00248-12.
- Deresinski S. 2012. Nocardia resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole? Maybe not. Clin. Infect. Dis. 55:iii–iv. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid /cis514.
- Tremblay J, Thibert L, Alarie I, Valiquette L, Pepin J. 2011. Nocardiosis in Quebec, Canada, 1988–2008. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 17:690–696. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03306.x.
- Conville PS, Brown-Elliott BA, Wallace RJ, Jr, Witebsky FG, Koziol D, Hall GS, Killian SB, Knapp CC, Warshauer D, Van T, Wengenack NL, Deml S, Woods GL. 2012. Multisite reproducibility of the broth microdilution method for susceptibility testing of Nocardia species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:1270–1280. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00994-11.
- Lai CC, Tan CK, Lin SH, Liao CH, Chou CH, Hsu HL, Huang YT, Hsueh PR. 2009. Comparative in vitro activities of nemonoxacin, doripenem, tigecycline and 16 other antimicrobials against *Nocardia brasiliensis*, *Nocardia asteroides* and unusual *Nocardia* species. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 64:73–78. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp144.

- Lai CC, Liu WL, Ko WC, Chen YH, Tan HR, Huang YT, Hsueh PR. 2011. Multicenter study in Taiwan of the in vitro activities of nemonoxacin, tigecycline, doripenem, and other antimicrobial agents against clinical isolates of various *Nocardia* species. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55:2084–2091. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01808 -10.
- Everest GJ, Cook AE, le Roes-Hill M, Meyers PR. 2011. Nocardia rhamnosiphila sp. nov., isolated from soil. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 34: 508-512. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2011.03.006.
- Ezeoke I, Klenk HP, Potter G, Schumann P, Moser BD, Lasker BA, Nicholson A, Brown JM. 2013. Nocardia amikacinitolerans sp. nov., an amikacin-resistant human pathogen. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 63: 1056–1061. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.039990-0.
- Verroken A, Janssens M, Berhin C, Bogaerts P, Huang TD, Wauters G, Glupczynski Y. 2010. Evaluation of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of nocardia species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48:4015–4021. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM .01234-10.