

# Global Expression Analysis of the Yeast Lachancea (Saccharomyces) kluyveri Reveals New URC Genes Involved in Pyrimidine Catabolism

Anna Andersson Rasmussen,<sup>a</sup> Dineshkumar Kandasamy,<sup>a</sup> Halfdan Beck,<sup>a</sup> Seth D. Crosby,<sup>b</sup> Olof Björnberg,<sup>a</sup> Klaus D. Schnackerz,<sup>a</sup> Jure Piškur<sup>a</sup>

Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden<sup>a</sup>; Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA<sup>b</sup>

Pyrimidines are important nucleic acid precursors which are constantly synthesized, degraded, and rebuilt in the cell. Four degradation pathways, two of which are found in eukaryotes, have been described. One of them, the *URC* pathway, has been initially discovered in our laboratory in the yeast *Lachancea kluyveri*. Here, we present the global changes in gene expression in *L. kluyveri* in response to different nitrogen sources, including uracil, uridine, dihydrouracil, and ammonia. The expression pattern of the known *URC* genes, *URC1-6*, helped to identify nine putative novel *URC* genes with a similar expression pattern. The microarray analysis provided evidence that both the *URC* and *PYD* genes are under nitrogen catabolite repression in *L. kluyveri* and are induced by uracil or dihydrouracil, respectively. We determined the function of *URC8*, which was found to catalyze the reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, the final degradation product of the pathway. The other eight genes studied were all putative permeases. Our analysis of double deletion strains showed that the *L. kluyveri* Fui1p protein transported uridine, just like its homolog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, but we demonstrated that is was not the only uridine transporter in *L. kluyveri*. We also showed that the *L. kluyveri* homologs of *DUR3* and *FUR4* do not have the same function that they have in *S. cerevisiae*, where they transport urea and uracil, respectively. In *L. kluyveri*, both of these deletion strains grew normally on uracil and urea.

**P**yrimidines, together with purines, are important precursors for many macromolecules in the cell, such as nucleic acids, and their internal pools are strictly regulated to provide the cell with a balanced supply of nucleotides. The main metabolic pathways affecting the size of the intracellular nucleotide pools are *de novo*, salvage, and catabolic pathways. In addition, degradation of pyrimidines and other macromolecules is a way for many microorganisms to obtain nitrogen when more preferable, easily accessible sources are scarce (1).

As a model to study pyrimidine catabolism, we have previously developed the yeast *Lachancea kluyveri* (2, 3) (formerly *Saccharomyces kluyveri* [4]), which, unlike its distant relative *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is able to degrade and utilize the nitrogen from most known intermediates in nucleotide metabolism (5).

Four different pyrimidine degradation pathways have been described so far, of which the reductive pathway is the most common, being found among most eukaryotes and some bacteria. The URC pathway is found in several fungi and bacteria, while the oxidative and Rut pathways have been found in only a few bacteria (6–9). The reductive pathway starts with the reduction of uracil to dihydrouracil by a dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (EC 1.3.1.2), an enzyme which has not been found in any fungi. The pyrimidine ring is then opened by dihydropyrimidinase (EC 3.5.2.2) to create  $\beta$ -ureidopropionate, which becomes hydrolyzed to  $\beta$ -alanine by a  $\beta$ -alanine synthase (EC 3.5.1.6). The  $\beta$ -alanine can then be further degraded by a beta-alanine aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.2), yielding malonate semialdehyde (10). In L. kluyveri the enzymes required for the second, third, and fourth steps, encoded by PYD2, PYD3, and PYD4, respectively, have been identified and characterized (11-15), while S. cerevisiae is not able to perform any of these steps. Many other yeasts, however, also have the ability to degrade one or more of the reductive pathway intermediates (16). Despite the complete lack of dihydropyrimidine

dehydrogenase-encoding genes among fungi, many yeasts are able to degrade uracil (16).

We have previously discovered in *L. kluyveri* a novel pyrimidine catabolic pathway, the URC pathway, found in many yeasts, fungi, and bacteria, that represents the only known way for yeast to degrade uracil (17). Six loci, *URC1-6*, have been found to be necessary for the degradation of uracil (Fig. 1). *URC2* encodes a  $Zn_2Cys_6$  zinc finger transcription factor, *URC3* and *URC5* together encode a urea amidolyase (EC 6.3.4.6 and EC 3.5.1.54), and *URC6* encodes a uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (EC 2.4.2.9). *URC1* and *URC4* are conserved genes, encoding proteins of unknown function. The outcomes of the pathway are 3-hydroxypropionate, which is excreted, and urea, which is further hydrolyzed to yield ammonia and carbon dioxide (17). Ribosyl-urea or phosphoribosyl-urea has been proposed to be an intermediate of the pathway (18), but other intermediates, as well as functions of some of the involved enzymes, remain largely unknown.

It is apparent that the four pyrimidine degradation pathways do not share many intermediates and enzymatic activities, but 3-hydroxypropionate is the final product in both the URC and Rut pathways. In *Escherichia coli*, 3-hydroxypropionate is pro-

Received 7 August 2013 Accepted 19 October 2013

Published ahead of print 1 November 2013

Address correspondence to Anna Andersson Rasmussen,

anna.rasmussen@biol.lu.se.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /EC.00202-13.

Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/EC.00202-13

The authors have paid a fee to allow immediate free access to this article.



FIG 1 Overview of the known parts of the URC pathway. Uracil is transformed by the action of the *URC1* and *URC6* gene products and possibly one or several other enzymes. This results in the formation of 3-hydroxypropionate, which is excreted, and (phospho)ribosyl urea, which is somehow degraded to urea (17, 18). Urc4p has been proposed to catalyze the degradation of the (phospho)ribosyl urea. The nitrogen is then released in the form of ammonia by the action of Urc3,5 enzyme. *URC2* encodes a transcription factor which is likely required for a functional URC pathway (17).

duced by the action of RutE or YdfG through the reduction of malonate semialdehyde (19).

In this report, we performed a global expression analysis in *L. kluyveri* and screened for additional *URC* genes. Microarrays were used to study changes in gene expression of all 5,321 predicted genes in response to different pyrimidines and other nitrogencontaining metabolites present as the sole nitrogen source. Here, we can show that the known *URC* genes are all upregulated by uracil, uridine, and, to some extent, dihydrouracil but are down-regulated by ammonia, which means they are under nitrogen catabolite repression (1). Nine putative *URC* genes were further studied through deletion analysis, and subsequently the function of a new *URC* gene, *URC8*, encoding a short-chain dehydrogenase, was determined through an enzyme assay.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, media, and growth conditions. The *L. kluyveri* strains used and developed in this study can be found in Table 1. The yeast strains were grown in rich yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) media unless stated differently. For selection, 100  $\mu$ g/ml G418 was used.

For the microarray experiment and the growth test, N-minimal medium was made from defined minimal medium complemented with various nitrogen sources at 0.1% (wt/vol), including uracil, dihydrouracil, uridine, urea, allantoin, proline, or ammonium sulfate, as described previously (13).

For selection of transformants with the *URA3* gene marker, SC URA dropout medium was used (1% succinic acid, 0.6% NaOH, 2% glucose, 0.19% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and ammonium sulfate, 0.5% ammonium sulfate, 0.1926% synthetic complete mixture [Kaiser], and dropout mixture lacking uracil [Formedium]).

*Escherichia coli*, which was used for protein production, was grown in LB medium (1% NaCl, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% peptone, pH 7).

**Cell cultivation for microarray experiment.** All cultivations of *L. kluyveri* were performed at 25°C, and *E. coli* was grown at 37°C. An overnight culture of the diploid sequenced strain Y057 (NRRL strain Y12651) in YPD was used to inoculate flasks with N-minimal medium with uracil, dihydrouracil, uridine, proline, or ammonia as the nitrogen source. Four parallel cultures (biological replicates) were made for each condition. The cells were grown to an optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) of between 0.3 and 0.5 and then harvested by transferring the culture to small centrifugation tubes filled with crushed ice. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 4°C at 3,000 rpm for 10 min.

**RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis and labeling.** The yeast cells were immediately resuspended in 1 ml ice-cold TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and then disrupted using a mini-beadbeater (6 m/s; five times for 25 s each) using 0.5- $\mu$ m glass beads. The samples were kept on ice, and total RNA was extracted according to the provided protocol, except that the RNA was precipitated at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Cleanup was performed by running the RNA on RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's specifications. The RNA was treated on column with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and the integrity of extracted total RNA was analyzed by capillary electro-phoresis (2100 Bioanalyzer; Agilent).

For cDNA synthesis, SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (RT; Invitrogen) was used, with 5  $\mu$ g of total RNA as the template and the oligo(dT)<sub>12-18</sub> primers (Invitrogen). The cDNA was labeled using a Pronto! plus labeling kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Test samples were labeled with Cy3-dCTP (Amersham), and the reference was labeled with Cy5-dCTP. The protocols provided by the manufacturer were followed.

Microarray hybridization and analysis. Equimolar amounts of labeled test and reference cDNA were hybridized onto L. kluyveri microarray slides using the MAUI hybridization system. The microarrays, which were made on Corning epoxy slides, were provided by Mark Johnston, St. Louis, MO. Each spot contains a 65-base-long oligonucleotide representing one gene or open reading frame out of the total 5,353 included and is present in triplicates on each slide. In total, L. kluyveri has 5,321 predicted genes, but on the array some are represented by two different oligonucleotides; hence, 5,353 oligonucleotide-containing spots. The oligonucleotide sequences were based on the genome sequence determined by the Washington University School of Medicine (20), which was the only one available for L. kluyveri when the arrays were printed. Details of the platform can be found in the GEO database (platform no. GPL17318; http: //www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The slides were scanned and resulting images were analyzed in the GenePix Pro 4.1 software package (Molecular Devices, LLC).

Data analysis was conducted in R using the Bioconductor package. The raw data were normalized by within-print-tip-group local regression (LOESS) location normalization. An average of the signal from the three replicates on each array was then compared to the corresponding average of the control from the same array, and the  $\log_2$  value of the sample/reference ratio was calculated. A standard *t* test was applied to assess the significance of the changes in expression, and the obtained *P* values were corrected for false discovery rates (FDR) due to the large sample size. The median of the  $\log_2$  ratios from the four

TABLE 1 L. kluyveri strains used and developed during this research project

No.	Strain	Genotype <sup>a</sup>	Originating strain
1	Y057	Diploid, prototroph	NRRL Y-12651
2	Y156	MATa ura3	GRY1175 (J. Strathern)
3	Y1392	MAT <b>a</b> prototroph	Y057
4	Y1161	MATα ura3 urc2::KANMX3	Y156
5	Y1616	MATa urc8::KANMX3	Y1392
6	Y1694	MAT <b>a</b> Lkdur3::KANMX3	Y1392
7	Y1700	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3	Y156
8	Y1701	MATα ura3 Lkfur4::KANMX3	Y156
9	Y1702	MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3	Y156
10	Y1703	MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3	Y156
11	Y1705	MATα ura3 Lkdur3::KANMX3	Y156
12	Y1704	MATa ura3 SAKL0A07480::KANMX3	Y156
13	Y1773	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3 Lkfui1 hom::URA3	Y156
14	Y1774	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3	Y156
15	Y1775	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3 Lkfur4::URA3	Y156
16	Y1776	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3 Lkdal5::URA3	Y156
17	Y1777	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3 Lkdal5 hom::URA3	Y156
18	Y1778	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3	Y156
19	Y1779	MATα ura3 Lkfui1::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3	Y156
20	Y1780	MATα ura3 Lkfui1 hom::KANMX3	Y156
21	Y1781	MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3	Y156
22	Y1782	MATα ura3 Lkdur3::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3	Y156
23	Y1783	MATα ura3 Lkfui1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3	Y156
24	Y1784	MATα ura3 Lkfui1 hom::KANMX3 Lkfur4::URA3	Y156
25	Y1785	MATα ura3 Lkfui1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal5::URA3	Y156
26	Y1786	MATα ura3 Lkfui1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal5 hom::URA3	Y156
27	Y1787	MATα ura3 Lkfui1 hom::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3	Y156
28	Y1788	MATα ura3 Lkfui1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3	Y156
29	Y1789	MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkdal5 hom::URA3	Y156
30	Y1790	MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3	Y156
31	Y1791	MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkfur4::URA3	Y156
32	Y1792	MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3	Y156
33	Y1793	MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3	Y156
34	Y1794	MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3	Y156
35	Y1795	MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 Lkfur4::URA3	Y156
36	Y1796	MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3	Y156
37	Y1797	MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3	Y156
38	Y1798	MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3 Lkfur4::URA3	Y156
39	Y1799	MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3	Y156
40	Y1800	MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3	Y156
41	Y1801	MATα ura3 Lkfur4::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3	Y156
42	Y1802	MATα ura3 Lkfur4::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3	Y156

<sup>*a*</sup> hom, homolog.

biological replicates was used instead of the mean, since, in several cases, one out of the four replicates had large deviations from the other three. More details on the microarray experiment can be found in the GEO database (accession no. GSE48135).

Seven genes regulated in a manner similar to that of the known *URC1-6* genes, i.e., upregulated by uracil and uridine and repressed by ammonia, were selected for further phenotypic studies. In addition, two other genes, both paralogs of genes selected from the microarray analysis, were included in the study. All nine genes can be found in Table 2.

**Cassettes for gene replacement.** Gene replacement cassettes were made for each of the nine genes and were used to create the knockout strains. The cassettes consisted of a marker gene flanked by regions of 470 to 520 bases homologous to the upstream and downstream sequence of the target gene (17). All sequences used, both coding and noncoding, were retrieved from the Génolevures database (http://www.genolevures.org/) (21). The constructs for the cassettes were amplified separately by PCR, purified, and then assembled in a special primer-free assembly PCR, where about 48 ng marker gene and 20 ng flanking region were assembled

with the help of overhangs on the flanking regions designed to be complementary to the marker gene. A second reaction with primers was run to amplify the correct product, using part of the assembly reaction as the template ( $\sim 5 \ \mu$ l for a 30- $\mu$ l reaction). All primers used are specified in Table S1 in the supplemental material. For all PCRs, the Phusion polymerase (Finnzymes/Thermo Scientific) was used and its recommended protocol was followed, using 65°C as annealing temperature, or, in difficult cases, a touchdown protocol from 70 or 72 to 65°C. The assembly reaction was run for 15 cycles, while the second reaction was run, as normal, for 30 cycles.

**Creation of knockout strains.** Transformation of the gene replacement cassettes to create the knockout strains was performed by electroporation into competent *L. kluyveri* cells as described previously (13), with some minor adjustments. The cells were grown to an  $OD_{600}$  of 1 to 1.5, and the amount of cells used for each transformation corresponded to 2 to 3 ml of cells. For all transformations where minimal media was used for selection, an extra step of two washes with 2 ml of sterile water or minimal media was included after the incubation in YPD before spreading

L. kluyveri name		S. cerevisiae name	2			
Common	Systematic	Common	Systematic	Function in S. cerevisiae		
LkFUI1	SAKL0H03476g	FUI1	YBL042C	Uridine transporter		
LkFUI1 hom	SAKL0H03498g		None	Not found in S. cerevisiae; unknown		
LkDAL5	SAKL0C00352g	DAL5	YJR152W	Ureidosuccinate/allantoate transporter		
LkDAL5 hom	SAKL0G16456g		None	Not found in S. cerevisiae; unknown		
LkDAL4	SAKL0G04532g	DAL4	YIR028W	Allantoin permease		
LkFUR4	SAKL0C11594g	FUR4	YBR021W	Uracil transporter		
LkDUR3	SAKL0A10010g	DUR3	YHL016C	Urea/polyamine permease		
	SAKL0A07480		None <sup>b</sup>	Transport of basic amino acids		
URC8	SAKL0H04730g		YMR226C	3-Hydroxy acid reductase		

TABLE 2 L. kluyveri gen	nes selected for k	knockout analysis and	l the name and function	of their homol	ogs in S. cerevisiae
/ 0		/			0

<sup>*a*</sup> All of these genes, except the Lk*FUI1* homolog (hom) and Lk*DAL5* homolog, were highly expressed on uracil but not on ammonia.

<sup>b</sup> Weakly similar to VBA2 (YBR293W).

the cells on the selective media to avoid transfer of the rich media to the selective minimal media.

Single deletions of all transporter-like genes were made by transformation of the cassettes with *KANMX3* as the marker into the *ura3* strain Y156. For the reductase gene, *URC8*, the same procedure was used but employing the prototrophic strain Y1392 instead of Y156, since no double deletion was planned for this gene and the *URA3* marker was not needed. Transformants were selected on YPD with G418. Double knockouts were then created for all putative transporter genes by transforming the single knockout strains with deletion cassettes, now using *URA3* as the marker gene, which allowed selection on SD media.

The correct insertion of the deletion cassette was confirmed by colony PCR in all deletion strains created. Cells were dissolved in 5  $\mu$ l 0.02 M NaOH, incubated for 10 min at 95°C, and then spun down. Three  $\mu$ l of the supernatant was used as the template in a regular PCR using DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Fermentas).

All of the resulting strains, both single and double knockouts, were tested for growth on minimal media with various sources of nitrogen (described above). The sequenced strain Y057 and the parent strain were used as positive controls, and the  $\Delta urc2$  strain Y1161, which has a known URC phenotype, was used as the negative control. The strains were grown overnight in YPD and then harvested and washed in sterile water. They were diluted to ODs of 0.1 and 0.01 and spotted onto solid sole-nitrogen-source media. Analysis of the growth was done after 3 and 6 days.

The knockout strains created in this study are represented under the numbers 6 to 42 in Table 1.

**Urc8p overexpression and assay.** The *URC8* gene from *L. kluyveri* was cloned and inserted into the pET151/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen), which adds an N-terminal His tag to the protein product. The construct (P1027) was transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (Invitrogen) for expression. A culture was grown at 37°C to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6, and then expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside) followed by overnight incubation at 16°C. The cells were harvested and homogenized by French press two times at 16,000 and 18,000 lb/in<sup>2</sup>, and the protein was purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) chromatography. The storage buffer was subsequently changed to 10 μM 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)-dimethylammonio]-1-propane-sulfonate (CHAPS), 0.1 M KP buffer, pH 7.4 using PD-10 columns (GE Healthcare).

The *L. kluyveri*  $\beta$ -alanine aminotransferase, Pyd4p, was purified according to a previous description (15) and then used for production of malonate semialdehyde under the following conditions: 83 mM KP buffer, pH 7.4, 80.8 mM  $\beta$ -alanine, 2.6 mM  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate, 0.3  $\mu g/\mu$ l Pyd4p, 30°C, 5 min. NADPH and Urc8p were added (0.13  $\mu g/\mu$ l and 0.42 ng/ $\mu$ l, respectively) to assay the Urc8p activity. Under these conditions, neither the Pyd4p concentration nor the produced malonate semialde-

hyde should be limiting. The reaction was monitored by the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm, where NADPH has its absorption maximum.

**Microarray data accession numbers.** The microarray data determined in the course of this work have been deposited in the GEO database under accession no. GSE48135 and the microarray platform is found under accession no. GPL17318.

## RESULTS

Global expression analysis. Global expression analysis was performed on L. kluyveri by specifically designed microarrays (GEO accession no. GSE48135; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query /acc.cgi?acc=GSE48135), based on the first available genome sequence (20), in order to study the pyrimidine catabolism and its relationship to general nitrogen metabolism. To cover the different aspects of pyrimidine and nitrogen metabolism, we chose four growth conditions, where uracil, uridine, dihydrouracil, or ammonia was used are the only source of nitrogen. For each experiment, cells grown with proline as the nitrogen source were used as the control, since proline, like uracil, uridine, and dihydrouracil, is a poor nitrogen source and because its metabolism is not related to that of the other sources used. The top 20 upregulated genes under each condition are shown in Table 3. The highest number of upregulated genes was seen under the uridine condition, with 250 genes being at least 2-fold upregulated. On dihydrouracil at least 122 genes were 2-fold or more upregulated, on uracil 91 genes, and on ammonia 57 genes. The complete lists of genes which are at least 2-fold upregulated are found in Table S2 in the supplemental material.

Through this analysis, we show that all of the five already known *URC* genes (17) were upregulated in the presence of uracil and uridine, while no induction was observed on ammonia. For *URC2*, which encodes a transcription factor, the expression was comparatively constitutive, with only a 3-fold induction on uracil. An important observation was that *URC1* is the most upregulated gene on both uracil and uridine, being 800 to 1,000 times induced, closely followed by *URC4*, which was increased 250 to 350 times. Surprisingly, we found that most of the *URC* genes were also induced by dihydrouracil, even though its metabolism is not related to that of uracil and uridine in yeast, in contrast to mammals and other organisms which possess the full reductive pathway. In addition, *PYD2* and *PYD3* of the reductive pathway also were upregulated on uracil, but the induction by dihydrouracil was stron-

TABLE 3 Top 20 upregulated genes under the	he four conditions analy	zed: uracil, dihvdrouracil	uridine, and ammonia media

			Сог	mmon	S. cerevisiae	Log <sub>2</sub> fold	
Condition and no.	ID	Systematic name	nar	ne	homolog	induction	P value
Uracil							
1	Contig145.1.A:821	SAKL0H14498g	UR	C1	None	9.703	0.00141
2	Contig31.2.A:628	SAKL0A09988g	UR	C4	None	8.410	0.00104
3	Skluy_39.4.2:2	SAKL0C00352g			DAL5	5.951	0.00107
4	Skluy_32.2.16:16	SAKL0H03476g			FUI1	5.728	0.00069
5	Skluy_1.3.12:12	SAKL0E11792g	UR	C6	FUR1	5.465	0.00061
6	Skluy_36.1.5:5	SAKL0H10560g	UR	C3,5	DUR1,2	5.264	0.00110
7	Skluy_116.1.12:12	SAKL0D01386g			ATR1	4.976	0.00073
8	Skluy_11.1.2:2	SAKL0H23210g			YGR015C	4.668	0.00175
9	Skluy_104.1.4:4	SAKL0C02178g			None <sup>a</sup>	4.626	0.00458
10	Skluy_104.1.5:5	SAKL0C02200g			PRM1	4.550	0.00828
11	Skluy_31.2.8:8	SAKL0A10010g			DUR3	4.483	0.00116
12	Skluy_54.3.14:14	SAKL0G04532g			DAL4	4.280	0.00155
13	Skluy_182.1.2:2	SAKL0C11594g			FUR4	3.890	0.00140
14	Skluy_76.1.28:28	SAKL0H04730g	UR	C8	YMR226C	3.819	0.00206
15	Contig29.2.E:116	SAKL0H20526g			None	3.804	0.00515
16	Skluy_13.2.3:3	SAKL0B05588g	PYI	D2	None	3.721	0.00337
17	Skluy_61.2.32:32	SAKL0B10054g			FUN26	3.702	0.00140
18	Contig147.1.A:1027	SAKL0C11748g	PYI	D3	None	3.590	0.00207
19	Skluv 102.2.15:15	SAKL0G14762g			UGA4	3.475	0.00259
20	Skluy_0.1.1:1	SAKL0H05412g			TPO4	3.400	0.00731
	/-	0					
Dihydrouracil	0	CA171 0 C11 7 40	DIZ		) T	0.015	0.00120
1	Contig147.1.A:1027	SAKLUC11/48g	PYI	D3	None	9.015	0.00129
2	Skluy_13.2.3:3	SAKLUBU5588g	PYI	D2	None	6.908	0.00326
3	Skluy_177.1.3:3	SAKL0B10120g			DAL5	6.305	0.00135
4	Skluy_30.1.33:33	SAKL0B12562g			UGAI	6.217	0.00097
5	Skluy_182.1.2:2	SAKL0C11594g		~	FUR4	5.903	0.00075
6	Skluy_76.1.28:28	SAKL0H04/30g	UR	C8	YMR226C	4.984	0.00126
7	Skluy_1.3.12:12	SAKL0E11792g	UR	C6	FURI	4.622	0.00083
8	Contig145.1.A:821	SAKL0H14498g	UR	CI	None	4.158	0.00122
9	Contig31.2.A:628	SAKL0A09988g	UR	C4	None	4.048	0.00190
10	Skluy_30.1.35:35	SAKL0B12606g			HPA2	4.018	0.00103
11	Skluy_23.5.2:2	SAKL0G07480g			GITT	3.825	0.01224
12	Contig73.1.B:480	SAKL0A08514g			None	3.758	0.00135
13	Skluy_104.1.4:4	SAKL0C02178g			None	3.581	0.00405
14	Skluy_45.3.31:31	SAKL0E15290g			FEN2	3.469	0.00278
15	Skluy_104.1.5:5	SAKL0C02200g			PRM1	3.244	0.00460
16	Skluy_20.1.9:9	SAKL0F16632g			ESBP6	3.113	0.00411
17	Skluy_3.3.12:12	SAKL0E05016g			ARG8	3.001	0.00127
18	Contig6.3.B:1543	SAKL0H11176g			None	2.960	0.00191
19	Contig20.1.B:21	SAKL0F16654g			None	2.834	0.00092
20	Skluy_3.3.13:13	SAKL0E04994g			HER2	2.715	0.00242
Uridine							
1	Contig145.1.A:821	SAKL0H14498g	UR	C1	None	10.131	0.00138
2	Skluy_33.4.3:3	SAKL0D00814g			PHO84	9.393	0.00161
3	Skluy_23.5.2:2	SAKL0G07480g			GIT1	9.293	0.00146
4	Contig31.2.A:628	SAKL0A09988g	UR	C4	None	8.012	0.00137
5	Contig1.2.A:494	SAKL0E12144g			None	7.726	0.00143
6	Skluy_32.2.16:16	SAKL0H03476g			FUI1	6.070	0.00159
7	Skluy_39.4.2:2	SAKL0C00352g			DAL5	5.786	0.00167
8	Skluy_53.2.1:1	SAKL0H13222g			ENA2	5.271	0.00143
9	Skluy_6.8.1:1	SAKL0H13222g			ENA5	4.828	0.00160
10	Skluy_98.1.15:15	SAKL0A00726g			VBA5	4.819	0.00128
11	Skluy_53.1.1:1	SAKL0H13222g			ENA5	4.756	0.00160
12	Contig30.1.B:723	SAKL0B12232g			NOHBY208	4.754	0.00330
13	Skluy_4.6.2:2	SAKL0E07964g			XBP1	4.752	0.00229
14	Skluy_61.2.32:32	SAKL0B10054g			FUN26	4.551	0.00125
15	Skluy_1.3.12:12	SAKL0E11792g	UR	C6	FUR1	4.502	0.00134
16	Contig11.2.B:654	SAKL0H24310g			None	4.343	0.00122
	~	0					

(Continued on following page)

# TABLE 3 (Continued)

Condition and no.	ID	Systematic name	Common name	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> homolog	Log <sub>2</sub> fold induction	P value
17	Skluy 36.1.5:5	SAKL0H10560g	URC3.5	DUR1,2	4.326	0.00129
18	Skluy 46.2.9:9	SAKL0B03212g		ICL2	4.315	0.00397
19	Skluv 76.1.28:28	SAKL0H04730g	URC8	YMR226C	4.161	0.00286
20	Skluy_0.4.5:5	SAKL0H08580g		YPL110C	4.123	0.00126
Ammonia						
1	Skluy_88.1.2:2	SAKL0D02948g		AGP1	3.515	0.019478
2	Skluy_140.1.3:3	SAKL0D09152g		CIT1	2.660	0.009231
3	Skluy_2.1.29:29	SAKL0F04356g		TIR3	2.417	0.026387
4	Skluy_95.2.7:7	SAKL0B02090g		HSP12	2.190	0.05253
5	Skluy_80.1.22:22	SAKL0F13310g		ITR2	2.123	0.03577
6	Skluy_27.1.38:38	Sequence on chromosome F, no matching genes in Génolevures			2.089	0.027403
7	Skluy_22.1.1:1	Sequence on chromosome G, no matching genes in Génolevures			2.054	0.042043
8	Skluy_34.1.4:4	SAKL0D04510g		JEN1	2.024	0.013497
9	Contig113.1.A:1140	SAKL0B01672g		NOHBY648	1.961	0.007016
10	Skluy_22.1.46:46	SAKL0G10164g		PDC1	1.924	0.021277
11	Skluy_112.3.8:8	SAKL0C11528g		GAL7	1.906	0.040539
12	Skluy_375.1.1:1	Matches 10 retrotransposon Gag genes		YHR214C-C	1.882	0.201569
13	Contig573.1.A:203	SAKL0F13310g			1.831	0.050309
14	Skluy_105.1.10:10	SAKL0A09394g		OPT1	1.712	0.022063
15	Skluy_40.1.1:1	SAKL0G16038g		CTR3	1.689	0.022914
16	Skluy_12.2.10:10	SAKL0G19140g		MDH1	1.671	0.013244
17	Skluy_2.1.30:30	SAKL0F04334g		PDC1	1.616	0.02575
18	Skluy_25.3.2:2	SAKL0G14014g		HIP1	1.540	0.029876
19	Skluy_15.3.12:12	SAKL0D11946g		SUC2	1.497	0.03344
20	Skluy_161.1.5:5	SAKL0G08052g		YHR087W	1.490	0.036698

<sup>a</sup> Weakly similar to VBA2 (YBR293W).

ger. A similar but much weaker effect was seen for the last gene of the pathway, *PYD4*. The genes of the reductive pathway also were downregulated by ammonia. These results show that both the *PYD* and the *URC* genes in *L. kluyveri* are subjected to the nitrogen

catabolite repression. The change in expression is represented in Table 4 and graphically visualized in Fig. 2.

Deletion studies revealed novel URC genes. From the microarray results, seven potential new URC genes were selected for

TABLE 4  $Log_2$  fold regulation obtained from the microarray experiment of *L. kluyveri* genes related to pyrimidine metabolism in response to different sole nitrogen sources<sup>*a*</sup>

	S. caraviciaa		Log <sub>2</sub> fold regulation in response to:					
L. kluyveri name	homolog	Sequence ID	Uracil	Dihydrouracil	Uridine	Ammonia		
URC1	None	Contig145.1.A:821	9.703	4.158	10.131	-2.016		
URC2	YDR520C	Skluy_39.6.2:2	1.715	0.726	0.939	-0.418		
URC3,5	DUR1,2	Skluy_36.1.5:5	5.264	1.554	4.326	-0.406		
URC4	None	Contig31.2.A:628	8.410	4.048	8.012	-0.501		
URC6	FUR1	Skluy_1.3.12:12	5.465	4.622	4.502	0.080		
PYD2	None	Skluy_13.2.3:3	3.721	6.908	0.839	-0.078		
PYD3	None	Contig147.1.A:1027	3.590	9.015	2.798	-0.106		
PYD4	UGA1	Skluy_29.2.17:17	0.585	1.213	-0.441	-0.941		
URC8	YMR226C	Skluy_76.1.28:28	3.819	4.984	4.161	-0.201		
DAL4	DAL4	Skluy_54.3.14:14	4.280	0.986	3.090	0.107		
DAL5	DAL5	Skluy_39.4.2:2	5.951	1.801	5.786	-0.121		
DAL5 homolog	DAL5	Skluy_40.3.7:7	-0.513	1.105	-1.614	-1.609		
FUI1	FUI1	Skluy_32.2.16:16	5.728	2.406	6.070	-1.632		
FUI1 homolog	FUI1	SAKL0H03498g <sup>b</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA		
FUR4	FUR4	Skluy_182.1.2:2	3.890	5.903	2.406	-0.211		
SAKL0A07480	None <sup>c</sup>	Skluy_104.1.4:4	4.626	3.581	3.061	-1.632		
DUR3	DUR3	Skluy_31.2.8:8	4.483	1.749	3.580	-0.351		

<sup>*a*</sup> Cells grown on single-nitrogen-source media were used, and the expression under the four conditions was compared to that in cells grown on media with proline as the nitrogen source. The log<sub>2</sub> fold regulation was calculated by logarithmically normalizing the sample/reference ratio of the values recovered from the scanned arrays. NA, not applicable. <sup>*b*</sup> The oligonucleotide matching this gene could not be identified; therefore, its systematic name found in the Génolevures database was used.

<sup>c</sup> Weakly similar to VBA2 (YBR293W).



FIG 2 Expression analysis of *L. kluyveri* grown on different nitrogen sources, as analyzed by microarrays. The oligonucleotides representing genes known to be involved in the URC and reductive pyrimidine catabolic pathways are marked in red. All of the genes selected for further analysis, which also are marked in the figure, were previously unknown but have expression patterns similar to those of the known *URC* genes or are homologous to a gene with a similar expression pattern. The exception is the *FUI1* homolog SAKL0H03498g, which could not be identified among the oligonucleotides represented on the array. The *y* axis shows the sample/reference signal log<sub>2</sub> ratio, and the *x* axis is the average intensity of the total signal for each oligonucleotide (average of the technical and biological replicates).

further studies (Table 2). The selection was made on the basis (i) that their expression profile was similar to that of the known *URC* genes, i.e., upregulation on uracil and uridine and no change or downregulation on ammonia (Table 4), and (ii) that the function in *L. kluyveri* was unknown. Two additional genes (SAKL0H03498g and SAKL0G16456g), whose function in *L. kluyveri* were also unknown,

were included as well. These two genes had different expression patterns but were included since they are paralogs of the *FUI1* and *DAL5* genes selected from the microarray study. An extensive collection of knockout strains, including 9 single and 26 double knockout strains (Table 1), was prepared through gene replacement for the selected genes. All of the created strains were found to be viable and were

TABLE 5 Growth	phenotype	analysis of	single and	double	deletion	strains
----------------	-----------	-------------	------------	--------	----------	---------

	Growth <sup>b</sup> on:							
Deleted gene(s) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	Ammonia	Uracil	Uridine	DHU	Allantoin	Urea		
Single deletion								
LkFUI1	+++	+ + +	+	+++	+++	+++		
Lk <i>FUI1</i> hom	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+ + +	+++		
LkDAL5	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+ + +	+++		
LkDAL5 hom	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+ + +	+++		
LkDAL4	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++		
LkFUR4	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++		
LkDUR3	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++		
SAKL0A07480	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+++	+++		
URC8	+++	+/++	+	+/-	+++	+++		
Double deletion								
$\Delta$ Lkfur4 $\Delta$ Lkdal4	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++		
$\Delta Lkfui1 \Delta Lkfur4$	+++	+ + +	-	++	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta Lkfui1 \Delta fui1$ hom	+++	+++	_	+++	+++	+++		
ΔLkfui1 ΔLkdur3	+++	+++	+	++	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta Lkfui1 \Delta Lkdal4$	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++		
$\Delta Lkfui1 \Delta Lkdal5$	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++		
$\Delta Lk$ fuil dal5 hom	+++	+++	+	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta$ Lkfui1 $\Delta$ SAKL0A07480	+++	+++	++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta$ Lkdur3 $\Delta$ SAKL0A07480	+++	++	++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta$ Lkdur3 $\Delta$ Lkdal5	+++	++	++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta$ Lkdur3 $\Delta$ Lkdal4	+++	++	++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta fuil$ hom $\Delta Lkdur3$	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++		
$\Delta fuil$ hom $\Delta Lk dal4$	+++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta fuil$ hom $\Delta Lk dal5$	+++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta fuil$ hom $\Delta Lk fur4$	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta fuil$ hom $\Delta$ SAKL0A07480	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta dal5$ hom $\Delta Lk dal5$	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta$ Lkdal4 $\Delta$ Lkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta$ Lkfur4 $\Delta$ Lkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta$ SAKL0A07480 $\Delta$ Lkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta dal5$ hom $\Delta Lk dal4$	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++		
$\Delta dal5$ hom $\Delta Lkfur4$	+++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta dal5$ hom $\Delta Lk dur3$	+++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta dal5$ hom $\Delta$ SAKL0A07480	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +		
$\Delta$ Lkfur4 $\Delta$ SAKL0A07480	+++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+++	+++		
$\Delta$ Lk <i>dal4</i> $\Delta$ SAKL0A07480	+++	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++		

<sup>*a*</sup> hom, homolog.

<sup>b</sup> Shading highlights the results which differed from those for the wild-type strain. +++, wild-type-like growth; ++, growth, but less than that of the wild type; +, very limited and slow growth; -, no growth/equal to negative control; NA, not assayed. DHU, dihydrouracil. Growth was inspected after 3 and 6 days.

tested for growth on media with different nitrogen sources (Table 5) using the parental strain as a positive control and the  $\Delta urc2$  strain as the negative control.

A majority of the genes selected for deletion analysis encode putative permeases. So far, no permeases have been characterized in *L. kluyveri*. Uptake of nutrients is crucial for the cell, so often there is more than one transporter for each nutrient, although their affinity for that nutrient may differ. Therefore, it is not very surprising that most of the permease gene deletions did not show any deviating phenotype; however, a few strains grew slower than their parental strain. The strain with the deletion of the *L. kluyveri FUI1* (SAKL0H03476g) gene showed a decrease in growth on uridine. Several of the double-knockout strains where this gene was missing had a changed phenotype on uridine, and in a few cases a slight decrease in growth was seen on dihydrouracil as well. Two strains, in which Lk*FUI1* was disrupted together with the Lk*FUI1* paralog or Lk*FUR4*, only had a little background growth, similar to the negative control, thereby showing a stronger growth phenotype than the single deletion of Lk*FUI1*.

A slight but still clear decrease in growth on both uracil and uridine was observed for several double knockout combinations involving the LkDUR3 gene. No effect, however, was seen on urea, although this is the substrate of DUR3 in S. cerevisiae (22).

A newly characterized *URC* gene encodes a  $C_3$ -modifying enzyme. The only non-permease-like gene selected for further analysis was SAKL0H04730g, which was later named *URC8* (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). The deletion strain showed significantly reduced growth on uracil and uridine, indicating involvement of this gene in the URC pathway. In addition, it also showed less growth on dihydrouracil, which is degraded by the reductive pathway and would be expected to be unrelated to the URC pathway. The Urc8p homologs in *S. cerevisiae* (YMR226C) and *E. coli* (YdfG) have been characterized previously (23). They belong to the NADP<sup>+</sup>/ NADPH-dependent short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase family



FIG 3 Purified His-tagged Urc8 protein from L. kluyveri run on SDS-PAGE.

(23, 24), and YdfG is known to reduce malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate in the Rut pathway in *E. coli* (19), which is also the outcome of the URC pathway (17). We hypothesize that the role of *URC8* is similar to that of YdfG.

**Overexpression and purification of Urc8p.** We successfully subcloned the *URC8* gene of *L. kluyveri* and produced the protein in *E. coli*, using a pET/TOPO vector in order to add a His tag to facilitate purification. The purified protein was analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3), and a band just below 35 kDa was observed, which should be compared to the predicted size of the monomer of the native protein, 29.2 kDa, and the 4 kDa added by the vector. After confirmation of the protein size, the concentration was determined by Bradford assay and the yield was estimated to be about 10 mg per liter of culture. The fractions containing the highest protein concentration were pooled, desalted, and diluted for activity assays.

Urc8p catalyzes the reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate. To test our hypothesis that Urc8p catalyzes the same reaction as YdfG in the Rut pathway (19), i.e., converts malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, we used the purified protein in a coupled assay with the  $\beta$ -alanine amino-transferase from *L. kluyveri*, Pyd4p, to make the proposed substrate.  $\beta$ -Alanine amino-transferase catalyzes the fourth step of the common reductive pyrimidine degradation pathway, where  $\beta$ -alanine is converted to malonate semialdehyde (15). The purified Pyd4p enzyme was incubated with  $\beta$ -alanine and  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate (as an acceptor of the ammonia moiety) at 30°C for 5 min to produce malonate semialdehyde before addition of NADPH and the Urc8 protein. The conditions were optimized, so the concentrations of Pyd4p and its substrates should not be limiting.

The reaction was monitored by the absorbance change of NADPH at 340 nm. A linear decrease from 0.825 to 0.494 was observed during a 10-min period (Fig. 4), indicating that NADPH is the reducing agent in the reaction. A negligible decrease in absorbance was seen without Urc8p added or when NADH was used instead of NADPH. This confirms that Urc8p catalyzes the reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, which is a waste product of the URC pathway (Fig. 4).



FIG 4 Assay for the new URC enzyme, Urc8p. For the Urc8p assay, the absorbance was monitored at 340 nm for 10 min, corresponding to the decrease of NADPH. In the inset in the lower left corner, the Urc8p reaction, where malonic semialdehyde is converted into 3-hydroxypropionate with the use of NADPH as the reductant, is presented. No change in absorbance was observed when NADH was used instead of NADPH in a parallel sample.

### DISCUSSION

We designed a microarray to deepen our knowledge on the URC pathway. L. kluyveri had already been studied by microarray analysis (25, 26), but only with cells grown in rich medium and not minimal media with different nitrogen sources. Our transcription analysis of L. kluyveri clearly shows that all genes known to be involved in the pyrimidine catabolism are also under nitrogen catabolite repression (Table 4). The nitrogen catabolite repression has been well studied in S. cerevisiae and in some filamentous fungi (1, 27), and we confirmed here that this regulatory circuit also exists in L. kluyveri, making the nitrogen metabolism more energy efficient by using the best source available. All URC genes were indeed induced when uracil or uridine, but not ammonia, was used as the source of nitrogen (Table 4 and Fig. 2). However, the fact that a quite substantial induction of most URC genes is also observed in the dihydrouracil condition is more surprising, considering that the catabolism of uracil and dihydrouracil occurs by two separate pathways in fungi, although they are both degraded by the same reductive pathway in most other organisms. The fact that the URC genes were strongly upregulated in response to uracil and uridine implies that these compounds also can be utilized as a source of nitrogen in nature. This would give L. kluyveri an advantage in niches where preferred nitrogen sources, such as ammonia and glutamate, are absent but uracil or uridine is present.

In contrast to mammals, insects, plants, and many bacteria, which have a complete reductive pathway in which degradation of uracil proceeds through reduction to dihydrouracil, yeasts that are able to degrade pyrimidines possess two separate pathways, one for uracil and one for dihydrouracil catabolism (17). The dihydrouracil is degraded by the same reductive pathway as that in other organisms, but the enzyme performing the first step, namely, the reduction of uracil to dihydrouracil, has not been found in any yeast. Therefore, yeast must use the URC pathway for degradation of uracil and uridine. Surprisingly, we found that the genes involved in the reductive pathway, namely, *PYD2* (13) and *PYD3* (14), were significantly upregulated by uracil, although the induction by dihydrouracil was higher. Similarly, the *URC* genes were found to be upregulated not only by uracil but also by dihydrouracil. In short, all *URC* and *PYD* genes were strongly regulated by their expected inducers. The observed induction by uracil and dihydrouracil could be a leftover process from the complete reductive pathway in early unicellular eukaryotes. It could also be explained by the fact that the two molecules only differ by one double bond in the pyrimidine ring and might be similar enough to activate the specific regulator proteins for each other's pathways. A similar overlap could be seen in the transport, where the same transporter carries both uracil and dihydrouracil (28). Lastly, one could also speculate that the two molecules get slowly interconverted in the yeast cell.

The high induction by uracil observed for *URC1* and *URC4* (about 830 and 350 times, respectively) can be compared to a previous study of uracil induction in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; however, that study was performed in the presence of ammonia. Here, the *URC1* homolog *urg1* was upregulated 100-fold. As in *L. kluyveri*, the *S. pombe* homologs of *URC1*, *URC4*, and *URC6* were the three most strongly regulated genes in response to uracil, al-though the *URC6* homolog *urg2* was stronger than the *URC4* homolog *urg3* (29).

After our microarray analysis, we developed a large collection of strains with single and double gene disruptions for the eight putative transporters included in this study (Table 1). The single deletion strains were all viable, meaning that none of the genes was essential. Likewise, all of the double deletions created were found to be viable.

All deletion strains of *FUI1* showed restricted growth on the minimal plate containing uridine as a sole nitrogen source (Table 5). Together, these results suggest that *FUI1*, which is a high-affinity uridine permease in *S. cerevisiae* (30), also is responsible for uridine transport in *L. kluyveri*. However, in *L. kluyveri*, there are additional permeases whose functions overlap this function. The double deletions where *FUI1* was disrupted together with *FUR4* or the Lk*FUI1* paralog had a null phenotype, indicating that these two genes function as weak uridine transporters. In *S. cerevisiae*, *FUR4* is known to be the only uracil transporter (31), which is clearly not the case with the *L. kluyveri* homolog, since no effect at all was seen on uracil for any of its deletions.

The existence of several transporters for uridine explains why strains with the single deletion of *FUI1* still grow slightly on uridine. The function of the LkFui1p paralog (SAKL0H03498g) has not been characterized before, but it is 70% identical to LkFui1p and 69% identical to *S. cerevisiae* Fui1p. We speculate that the function of the three proteins is similar. The main *FUR4* function in *S. cerevisiae* is transport of uracil. Interestingly, no deviating phenotype was observed for any other double deletion strain where *FUR4* was disrupted; instead, they all grew like the parental strain, even on uracil (Table 5). However, we cannot rule out uracil transport as a function of *FUR4* in *L. kluyveri*, since other transporters might have overlapping functions, but it is clear that the uracil transport in *L. kluyveri* is different from that in *S. cerevisiae*, where *FUR4* is the only uracil transporter (31, 32).

DUR3 also seems to have some role in the transport of both uracil and uridine, since several of its double knockout combinations showed some deviation in phenotype on these media, although no change was seen for the single knockout strain (Table 5). The other genes deleted in these double combinations apart from *FUI1*, namely, SAKL0A07480, *DAL4*, and *DAL5*, might also play a small role in uracil and uridine transport. The slight phenotype observed for the *dur3* double knockouts, with *fui1* and the Lk*fui1* paralog, indicates that it also is involved in the transport of dihydrouracil. In *S. cerevisiae*, *DUR3* is known to be a permease for polyamines and urea (22, 33), but no deviating phenotype was observed on urea for any *dur3* strain in our growth tests, so it seems that the function of *DUR3* in *L. kluyveri* is different.

The new *URC* gene presented in this paper, *URC8*, had a similar expression level under dihydrouracil, uridine, and uracil conditions (Table 4), and the corresponding knockout grew weakly on uracil, uridine, and dihydrouracil. We successfully overproduced and purified the encoded protein, Urc8p, and showed that it can catalyze the reduction of a 3-carbon molecule just like its homologs in *S. cerevisiae* and *E. coli*, YMR226c and YdfG. The confirmed reaction, i.e., reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, is the same reaction catalyzed by RutE and YdfG in *E. coli* (19). However, the Urc8p homologs YMR226c and YdfG are known multisubstrate enzymes, meaning that Urc8p is likely to be one too. We speculate that the now confirmed reaction is the one which is required for the URC pathway, since 3-hydroxypropionate has already been confirmed as a waste product of this pathway (17).

Now that the function of URC8 is clear, its regulation by uracil and dihydrouracil also makes sense, as this gene apparently provides a link between the reductive and URC pathways (Fig. 5). Malonate semialdehyde, the substrate of Urc8p, is formed in both pathways and then processed by Urc8p. In the URC pathway, it is likely formed directly or spontaneously from the three-carbon part when the pyrimidine ring is opened and in the reductive pathway from beta-alanine by the action of Pyd4 (10). The reactions needed for extracting the two nitrogens from the pyrimidine ring are still unrelated in the two pathways, as Urc8p is only involved in taking care of the carbon waste product. The Urc8p homolog in E. coli, YdfG, degrades the malonate semialdehyde formed in the Rut pathway, producing 3-hydroxypropionate, thereby overlapping in function with RutE, which is a malonate semialdehyde reductase not homologous to YdfG or Urc8p. The known catalytic amino acids (34) are conserved in the E. coli and S. cerevisiae homologs of Urc8p. They are present also in the L. kluyveri protein (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), suggesting a similar function. In addition, the E. coli homologs produce the same product as that formed in the URC pathway. These facts together led us to hypothesize that Urc8p performed the same reaction as YdfG. In E. coli, the reaction is proposed to have a detoxifying role, helping to speed up the removal of toxic intermediates formed during the degradation (19).

The fact that the  $\Delta urc8$  strain is still able to grow on both uracil and dihydrouracil, but much slower than the parent strain, also points to a detoxifying role of *URC8*. This is the first report on functional homology among the four pyrimidine degradation pathways.

In conclusion, we have now provided proof of nitrogen catabolite repression in *L. kluyveri* and provided a deeper knowledge of the regulation of genes involved in general nitrogen metabolism and pyrimidine degradation from the microarrays. A new *URC* gene, *URC8*, was found necessary for full growth of *L. kluyveri* on



FIG 5 Overview of pyrimidine degradation in *L. kluyveri*, showing a link between the reductive and the URC pathways. At the top is the reductive pathway, which degrades dihydrouracil to  $\beta$ -alanine via ureidopropionate using the *PYD2* and *PYD3* gene products. In yeast, the gene encoding the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, which catalyzes the reduction of uracil to dihydrouracil, does not exist, but other species, like mammals, plants, and insects, possess the complete reductive pathway. In yeast, uracil is degraded by the URC pathway (bottom). Malonate semialdehyde is produced both in the reductive pathway when Pyd4p deaminates  $\beta$ -alanine and in the URC pathway after the pyrimidine ring opening, presumably by the action of Urc1p. The malonate semialdehyde is degraded by Urc8p to the end product 3-hydroxypropionate.

uracil, and its function was determined. Our growth test analysis of the large single and double knockout collection for putative transporters brought new insight into the complex transport of pyrimidines and other metabolically related compounds. LkFui1p was found to be involved in the uptake of uridine, but unlike its homolog in *S. cerevisiae*, it is not the only uridine transporter in *L. kluyveri*.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mark Johnston and Christopher S. Sawyer at the Genome Technology Access Center (GTAC; Washington University, St. Louis, MO) for designing and printing the *L. kluyveri* DNA microarrays. GTAC is supported by NCI Cancer Center support grant P30 CA91842 to the Siteman Cancer Center and by ICTS/CTSA grant UL1RR024992 from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

Many thanks to Jeanette Valchich and Johan Staaf at SCIBLU Genomics at Lund University for patience with helping us perform the cDNA labeling, hybridization, and analysis of the microarray slides. We are grateful to Jessica Abbott at the Department of Biology at Lund University, who helped us to extract the microarray data from R files. We also thank Hans-Erik Åkerlund from the Department of Biochemistry and Structural Biology at Lund University for technical assistance, Mingming Lai for making the *URC8* knockout strain, and You Lv for purifying the Urc8p and Pyd4p enzymes.

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council, the Nilsson-Ehle foundation at The Royal Physiographic Society in Lund, the Jörgen Lindström foundation, and the Sven and Lilly Lawski Foundation for Scientific Studies.

## REFERENCES

- ter Schure EG, van Riel NAW, Verrips CT. 2000. The role of ammonia metabolism in nitrogen catabolite repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 24:67–83.
- Beck H, Dobritzsch D, Piskur J. 2008. Saccharomyces kluyveri as a model organism to study pyrimidine degradation. FEMS Yeast Res. 8:1209– 1213. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00442.x.
- 3. Gojkovic Z, Paracchini S, Piskur J. 1998. A new model organism for

studying the catabolism of pyrimidines and purines. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. **431:**475–479. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-5381-6\_94.

- Kurtzman CP. 2003. Phylogenetic circumscription of Saccharomyces, Kluyveromyces and other members of the Saccharomycetaceae, and the proposal of the new genera Lachancea, Nakaseomyces, Naumovia, Vander- waltozyma and Zygotorulaspora. FEMS Yeast Res. 4:233–245. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/S1567-1356(03)00175-2.
- Larue TA, Spencer JFT. 1968. Utilization of purines and pyrimidines by yeast. Can. J. Microbiol. 14:79–86. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/m68-012.
- Loh KD, Gyaneshwar P, Papadimitriou EM, Fong R, Kim KS, Parales R, Zhou ZR, Inwood W, Kustu S. 2006. A previously undescribed pathway for pyrimidine catabolism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103: 5114–5119. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600521103.
- Hayaishi O, Kornberg A. 1952. Metabolism of cytosine, thymine, uracil, and barbituric acid by bacterial enzymes. J. Biol. Chem. 197:717–732.
- Soong CL, Ogawa J, Sakuradani E, Shimizu S. 2002. Barbiturase, a novel zinc-containing amidohydrolase involved in oxidative pyrimidine metabolism. J. Biol. Chem. 277:7051–7058. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110784200.
- Soong CL, Ogawa J, Shimizu S. 2001. Novel amidohydrolytic reactions in oxidative pyrimidine metabolism: analysis of the barbiturase reaction and discovery of a novel enzyme, ureidomalonase. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 286:222–226. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2001.5356.
- Andersen G, Andersen B, Dobritzsch D, Schnackerz KD, Piskur J. 2007. A gene duplication led to specialized gamma-aminobutyrate and betaalanine aminotransferase in yeast. FEBS J. 274:1804–1817. http://dx.doi .org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2007.05729.x.
- Dobritzsch D, Andersen B, Piskur J. 2005. Crystallization and X-ray diffraction analysis of dihydropyrimidinase from *Saccharomyces kluyveri*. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun. 61:359–362. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1107/S174430910500610X.
- Dobritzsch D, Gojkovic Z, Andersen B, Piskur J. 2003. Crystallization and preliminary X-ray analysis of beta-alanine synthase from the yeast *Saccharomyces kluyveri*. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 59:1267– 1269. http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444903009120.
- Gojkovic Z, Jahnke K, Schnackerz KD, Piskur J. 2000. *PYD2* encodes 5,6-dihydropyrimidine amidohydrolase, which participates in a novel fungal catabolic pathway. J. Mol. Biol. 295:1073–1087. http://dx.doi.org /10.1006/jmbi.1999.3393.
- 14. Gojkovic Z, Sandrini MPB, Piskur J. 2001. Eukaryotic beta-alanine synthases are functionally related but have a high degree of structural diversity. Genetics 158:999–1011.
- 15. Schnackerz KD, Andersen G, Dobritzsch D, Piskur J. 2008. Degradation

of pyrimidines in *Saccharomyces kluyveri*: transamination of beta-alanine. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 27:794–799. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1080/15257770802145983.

- Andersen G, Merico A, Bjornberg O, Andersen B, Schnackerz KD, Dobritzsch D, Piskur J, Compagno C. 2006. Catabolism of pyrimidines in yeast: a tool to understand degradation of anticancer drugs. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 25:991–996. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15257770600889386.
- Andersen G, Bjornberg O, Polakova S, Pynyaha Y, Rasmussen A, Moller K, Hofer A, Moritz T, Sandrini MPB, Merico AM, Compagno C, Akerlund HE, Gojkovic Z, Piskur J. 2008. A second pathway to degrade pyrimidine nucleic acid precursors in eukaryotes. J. Mol. Biol. 380:656– 666. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2008.05.029.
- Bjornberg O, Vodnala M, Domkin V, Hofer A, Rasmussen A, Andersen G, Piskur J. 2010. Ribosylurea accumulates in yeast *urc4* mutants. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 29:433–437. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080 /15257771003741265.
- Kim KS, Pelton JG, Inwood WB, Andersen U, Kustu S, Wemmer DE. 2010. The Rut pathway for pyrimidine degradation: novel chemistry and toxicity problems. J. Bacteriol. 192:4089–4102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JB.00201-10.
- Cliften P, Sudarsanam P, Desikan A, Fulton L, Fulton B, Majors J, Waterston R, Cohen BA, Johnston M. 2003. Finding functional features in Saccharomyces genomes by phylogenetic footprinting. Science 301:71– 76. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1084337.
- 21. Genolevures C, Souciet JL, Dujon B, Gaillardin C, Johnston M, Baret PV, Cliften P, Sherman DJ, Weissenbach J, Westhof E, Wincker P, Jubin C, Poulain J, Barbe V, Segurens B, Artiguenave F, Anthouard V, Vacherie B, Val ME, Fulton RS, Minx P, Wilson R, Durrens P, Jean G, Marck C, Martin T, Nikolski M, Rolland T, Seret ML, Casaregola S, Despons L, Fairhead C, Fischer G, Lafontaine I, Leh V, Lemaire M, de Montigny J, Neuveglise C, Thierry A, Blanc-Lenfle I, Bleykasten C, Diffels J, Fritsch E, Frangeul L, Goeffon A, Jauniaux N, Kachouri-Lafond R, Payen C, Potier S, Pribylova L, Ozanne C, Richard GF, Sacerdot C, Straub ML, Talla E. 2009. Comparative genomics of protoploid Saccharomycetaceae. Genome Res. 19:1696–1709. http://dx.doi.org /10.1101/gr.091546.109.
- Elberry HM, Majumdar ML, Cunningham TS, Sumrada RA, Cooper TG. 1993. Regulation of the urea active transporter gene (*DUR3*) in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Bacteriol. 175:4688–4698.
- Fujisawa H, Nagata S, Misono H. 2003. Characterization of short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase homologues of *Escherichia coli* (YdfG) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (YMR226C). Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Proteomics 1645:89–94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1570-9639(02)00533-2.

- 24. Yang Y, Zhu D, Piegat TJ, Hua L. 2007. Enzymatic ketone reduction: mapping the substrate profile of a short-chain alcohol dehydrogenase (YMR226c) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Tetrahedron Asymmetry 18: 1799–1803. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2007.08.008.
- Agier N, Romano OM, Touzain F, Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Fischer G. 2013. The spatiotemporal program of replication in the genome of Lachancea kluyveri. Genome Biol. Evol. 5:370–388. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1093/gbe/evt014.
- Tsankov AM, Thompson DA, Socha A, Regev A, Rando OJ. 2010. The role of nucleosome positioning in the evolution of gene regulation. PLoS Biol. 8:e1000414. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000414.
- 27. Marzluf GA. 1997. Genetic regulation of nitrogen metabolism in the fungi. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 61:17–32.
- Gojković Z. 1999. Degradation of pyrimidines in yeast Saccharomyces kluyveri: genetic and molecular characterization of a novel fungal catabolic pathway. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 29. Watt S, Mata J, Lopez-Maury L, Marguerat S, Burns G, Bahler J. 2008. urg1: a uracil-regulatable promoter system for fission yeast with short induction and repression times. PLoS One 3:e1428. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0001428.
- 30. Vickers MF, Yao SYM, Baldwin SA, Young JD, Cass CE. 2000. Nucleoside transporter proteins of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Demonstration of a transporter (*FUI1*) with high uridine selectivity in plasma membranes and a transporter (*FUN26*) with broad nucleoside selectivity in intracellular membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 275:25931–25938. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074 /jbc.M000239200.
- Jund R, Chevallier MR, Lacroute F. 1977. Uracil transport in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Membr. Biol. 36:233–235. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007 /BF01868153.
- 32. Grenson M. 1969. The utilization of exogenous pyrimidines and the recycling of uridine-5'-phosphate derivatives in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, as studied by means of mutants affected in pyrimidine uptake and metabolism. Eur. J. Biochem. 11:249–260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033 .1969.tb00767.x.
- 33. Uemura T, Kashiwagi K, Igarashi K. 2007. Polyamine uptake by DUR3 and SAM3 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. 282:7733–7741. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M611105200.
- Filling C, Berndt KD, Benach J, Knapp S, Prozorovski T, Nordling E, Ladenstein R, Jornvall H, Oppermann U. 2002. Critical residues for structure and catalysis in short-chain dehydrogenases/reductases. J. Biol. Chem. 277:25677–25684. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M202160200.