

# Generation of a Complete Single-Gene Knockout Bacterial Artificial Chromosome Library of Cowpox Virus and Identification of Its Essential Genes

#### Zhiyong Xu, Dimitrios Zikos, Nikolaus Osterrieder, B. Karsten Tischer

Institut für Virologie, Freie Universität Berlin, Zentrum für Infektionsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

Cowpox virus (CPXV) belongs to the genus *Orthopoxvirus* in the *Poxviridae* family. It infects a broad range of vertebrates and can cause zoonotic infections. CPXV has the largest genome among the orthopoxviruses and is therefore considered to have the most complete set of genes of all members of the genus. Since CPXV has also become a model for studying poxvirus genetics and pathogenesis, we created and characterized a complete set of single gene knockout bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones of the CPXV strain Brighton Red. These mutants allow a systematic assessment of the contribution of single CPXV genes to the outcome of virus infection and replication, as well as to the virus host range. A full-length BAC clone of CPXV strain Brighton Red (pBRF) harboring the gene expressing the enhanced green fluorescent protein under the control of a viral late promoter was modified by introducing the *mrfp1* gene encoding the monomeric red fluorescent protein driven by a synthetic early vaccinia virus promoter. Based on the modified BAC (pBRFseR), a library of targeted knockout mutants for each single viral open reading frame (ORF) was generated. Reconstitution of infectious virus was successful for 109 of the 183 mutant BAC clones, indicating that the deleted genes are not essential for virus replication. In contrast, 74 ORFs were identified as essential because no virus progeny was obtained upon transfection of the mutant BAC clones and in the presence of a helper virus. More than 70% of all late CPXV genes belonged to this latter group of essential genes.

owpox virus (CPXV) belongs to the family Poxviridae and the genus Orthopoxvirus. While the prototype virus of the genus, the human pathogen variola virus, was declared eradicated in 1980, other members of the genus, including CPXV and monkeypox virus, still circulate within animal populations and cause zoonotic infections in Western Eurasia and Africa, respectively (1). CPXV is closely related to vaccinia virus (VACV) that was used as a heterotypic live virus vaccine against smallpox. Studies investigating the function of orthopoxvirus (OPV) genes have mainly been conducted using VACV (2-5), although most VACV strains are attenuated in many species. In addition, VACV lacks functional copies of several genes present in other OPVs due to loss or truncation of the respective ORFs compared to other species of the genus (6). Consequently, the function of a number of known or predicted OPV genes and their products remains unknown, and VACV seems not to be the ideal model for studying OPV gene function.

In contrast, CPXV has a number of advantages that make it a suitable model virus for studying OPV biology. With a size of  $\sim$ 220 kbp, it has the largest genome of all OPVs,  $\sim$ 30 kbp larger than that of VACV. In addition, CPXV possesses the most complete genome of all known OPVs in terms of number of genes (7, 8) and is considered to have the potential to evolve into new virus species with pathogenic potential comparable to that of more virulent viruses (9). CPXV can infect a broad range of domestic and wild animals, including bovines, elephants, primates, cats, and rodents, and can also cause zoonotic disease in humans (1). It has become a popular model for the study of poxvirus biology and pathogenesis, but comprehensive functional analysis of poxvirus genes requires targeted genome manipulations. Classical methods to modify poxvirus genomes relying on homologous recombination in vertebrate cells are laborious and time-consuming (10). Progeny viruses containing the desired modified genome are rare, because of low recombination efficiencies, which make necessary multiple rounds of plaque purification and sometimes even chemical selection or comprehensive screening protocols (10). Knockout mutants of genes important for virus replication might be difficult or almost impossible to purify because of their greatly impaired fitness. Moreover, mutant isolation might need multiple rounds of selection, even when complementing cell lines are used (11).

Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) technology is a powerful tool used to propagate and modify large genomic DNA fragments and has been used to clone an increasing number of different virus genomes, among them various poxviruses (12-16). Even after sequential introduction of six major deletions into a chorioallantois vaccinia virus Ankara BAC clone, no fortuitous mutations occurred (16). This underlines the suitability of the BAC technology for maintenance and modification of poxvirus genomes. Mutagenesis methods such as two-step markerless Red recombination (also referred to as "en passant" mutagenesis) allow efficient modification of BAC DNA in Escherichia coli ranging from single base pair mutation to the deletion or introduction of large pieces of DNA (17, 18). Red recombination only requires short homologous sequences (>28 bp) to insert foreign DNA into a target site, which makes the design and execution of mutagenesis relatively simple. For comparison,

Received 21 August 2013 Accepted 20 October 2013 Published ahead of print 23 October 2013 Address correspondence to B. Karsten Tischer, ktischer@fu-berlin.de. ZX. and D.Z. contributed equally to this article. Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JVI.02385-13 recombination in infected vertebrate cells requires homologous sequences of at least 200 bp in order to achive relatively high efficiencies (19).

In the present study, we constructed a knockout library of the CPXV strain Brighton Red (BR) genome, which was based on a full-length virus BAC clone termed pBRFseR. pBRFseR was constructed by introducing a red fluorescent marker (mRFP) into pBRF, a previously described full-length BAC clone (15). Thus, pBRFseR contains a mRFP driven by an early promoter and an enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) under the control of a viral late promoter, both of which allowed us to monitor early and late gene expression of CPXV. We used Red recombination in E. *coli* to insert deletion cassettes containing stop codons, as well as a kanamycin resistance gene, which resulted in single-gene knockout mutants for each unique CPXV-BR open reading frame (ORF). After reconstitution of each of the mutant viruses, we identified different phenotypes and were able to categorize CPXV genes as essential for the transition to late gene expression, essential for the production of virus progeny despite the production of late viral proteins, or nonessential for viral replication in cell culture.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and viruses. All cell lines were cultivated at 37°C under a 5% CO2 atmosphere. African green monkey cells Vero 76 (Collection of Cell Lines in Veterinary Medicine, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany) were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium with Earle's salts, 2.2 g of NaHCO3/liter, and stable L-glutamine (MEM; Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biochrom), 65 µg of penicillin G/ml, and 100 µg of streptomycin (AppliChem GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany)/ml. Primary chicken embryo cells (CEC) were prepared from 11-day-old embryonated specific-pathogen-free eggs (VALO BioMedia GmbH, Osterholz-Scharmbeck, Germany) according to standard procedures and cultured in MEM containing 10% FBS (Biochrom) and antibiotics as described above. Recombinant and mutant CPXV were propagated on Vero cells, whereas fowlpox virus (FWPV; Nobilis-PD, strain WP; Intervet, Boxmeer, Netherlands [kindly provided by D. Lüschow, Freie Universität, Berlin, Germany]) was amplified on CEC.

Reconstitution of infectious virus from BAC DNA. For virus reconstitution,  $1 \times 10^5$  or  $7 \times 10^5$  Vero cells seeded in one well of a 24- or 6-well plate, respectively, were transfected with  $\sim 2 \mu g$  of purified BAC DNA using 1 to 4 µl of FuGENE HD transfection reagents (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Transfected cultures were infected with 20 to 500 PFU of FWPV at 2 h after transfection. Virus reconstitution was monitored using an Axiovert 100 fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) by screening for fluorescent early and late gene expression markers. Images were taken from 48 to 240 h after transfection using an AxioCam MRm chargecoupled device camera (Zeiss). Image processing was performed with the AxioVision 4.8.2 software package (Zeiss). Elimination of residual helper virus was achieved by passaging the reconstituted viruses three times on Vero cells, which are nonpermissive for FWPV. Between individual passages, infected cells were lysed by freeze-thawing the cultures twice at -70°C. Confluent monolayers in 24- or 6-well plates were infected with 1 to 10 µl of freeze-thaw cell lysate from the previous passage. Monitoring of virus replication and imaging of single virus plaques was performed as described above. Mutant BAC clones, which could not be reconstituted on the first attempt, were used for repeated transfections on Vero cells with FWPV and on CEC using Shope fibroma virus (SFV; Merial, Lyon, France) as a helper virus.

Generation of plasmid pACAA. For the construction of plasmid pACAA, the vector pACYC177 (New England BioLabs, Frankfurt, Ger-

many) was amplified via inverse PCR using the primers pAAfw and pAArv (see Table S1 in the supplemental material [http://www.vetmed.fu-berlin .de/en/einrichtungen/institute/we05/cowpox]). This resulted in the deletion of 205 bp from the plasmid and insertion of a bacterial promoter and the kanamycin resistance gene (*aphAI*), together with a 9-bp sequence (GCCGCGTGA) that codes for two alanines and a stop codon, while also containing a PaeI restriction site. After digestion of the PCR product with DpnI to eliminate template vector, the DNA was cleaved with PaeI and religated resulting in the vector pACAA. This plasmid was used as a template for the generation of the knockout library.

Generation of dual marker CPXV-BR BAC clone pBRFseR. To generate transfer vector pEP-MVA-dVI-PK1L-mRFP (18), the gene encoding monomeric red fluorescence protein 1 (*mrfp1*) (20) was excised from plasmid pEP-ExpRFP1-in by using BamHI and SacI. The linear 763-bp fragment was inserted into the respective restriction sites in pEP-MVAdVI-PK1L (Dai LianPan and Ingo Drexler, unpublished data).

Insertion of the PK1L-mRFP1 expression cassette into pBRF (15) was performed by two-step en passant Red recombination as described previously (17, 18) (Fig. 1). Briefly, the PK1L-mRFP1-aphAI fragment was amplified from pEP-MVA-dVI-PK1L-mRFP by using the primers mRF-Pfw and mRFPrv (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and electroporated into E. coli strain GS1783 (17) harboring the pBRF BAC clone. Insertion of the PK1L-mRFP1 expression cassette into pBRF resulted in pBRFeR. In a second en passant mutagenesis procedure, the K1L promoter of the PK1L-mRFP1 cassette in pBRFeR was replaced by the previously published synthetic early promoter  $(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{syn7.5}})$  (21). For this purpose, the aphAI-I-SceI fragment from plasmid pEP-kanS was amplified with the primers syn7.5fw and syn7.5rv (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and used for en passant recombination exactly as described earlier (18). All BAC clones described above were verified by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis and sequencing of the insertion site (data not shown).

BAC mutagenesis. Knockout BAC clones were generated by inserting PCR-derived marker cassettes into selected loci by one-step Red recombination (17) (Fig. 2). All knockout BAC clones are listed in Table 1. Knockout BAC clones were named according to the respective ORF deleted. For example, the CPXV010 deletion mutant was named pBRFseR\_d10. PCR primers were designed to amplify the aphAI cassette from recombinant plasmid pACAA. Besides the marker cassette, PCR fragments contained at each end 40 bp of sequences that were homologous to the target locus in the CPXV BR sequence. The resulting PCR products were inserted into pBRFseR by conventional Red recombination, ultimately resulting in interruption of all predicted ORFs in CPXV (Table 1 and see Table S2 in the supplemental material [http://www.vetmed.fu-berlin.de/en/einrichtungen /institute/we05/cowpox]). Recombination was performed in E. coli strain GS1783 by electroporation of PCR products into GS1783 harboring pBRFseR. Bacteria were spread on LB agar plates containing 35 µg of chloramphenicol/ml and 35 µg of kanamycin/ml (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) to select for clones containing the insertion cassette.

**Knockout BAC verification.** For each of the knockout mutants, bacterial colonies resistant to chloramphenicol and kanamycin were selected and BAC DNA was extracted by alkaline lysis (22). For RFLP analysis, BAC DNA was cleaved with selected restriction enzymes and separated by 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis for 16 h at 75 V in 1× TAE buffer (40 mM Tris, 20 mM acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.4]). Each individual mutant BAC DNA was tested for the correct RFLP with at least two different restriction enzymes (see Table S3 in the supplemental material [http://www.vetmed.fu-berlin.de/en/einrichtungen /institute/we05/cowpox]). To confirm the in-frame insertion of the premature stop codon, PCR primers covering the original start and new stop codon of the respective target ORFs were designed for all mutants (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). PCR products overlapping with the modified loci were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis, purified using the GF-1 AmbiClean (PCR & Gel) nucleic acid extraction kit (Vi-



FIG 1 Insertion of a fluorescent marker for viral early gene expression into the mini-F region of pBRF. The PK1L-*mRFP1-aphAI* fragment was amplified from transfer vector pEP-MVA-dVI-PK1L-mRFP using the primers mRFPfw and mRFPrv. The cassette was integrated downstream of the existing late gene expression marker (eGFP) by *en passant* mutagenesis, resulting in pBRFeR. In a second *en passant* mutagenesis, the K1L promoter was exchanged for a previously published synthetic early promoter (Psyn7.5), resulting in pBRFseR. Dashed lines indicate recombination events between homologous sequences.

vantis Technologies, Subang Jaya, Malaysia) and sequenced to verify the correct insertion of the marker cassette.

**Bioinformatics analysis.** Searches for homologous poxvirus sequences, as well as prediction of gene expression kinetics and function of



FIG 2 Schematic illustration of the generation of CPXV knockout mutants using Red recombination in *E. coli*. PCR fragments containing a kanamycin resistance gene *aphAI* and a sequence coding for two alanines (GCCGCG), followed by a stop codon (TGA) were amplified from plasmid pACAA. Homologous flanking sequences (40 bp) were added through 5' overhangs of each PCR primer. PCR products were inserted into the target genes of the CPXV sequence by Red recombination. Restriction sites in PCR products for DraIII, HindIII, NruI, PvuI, and XhoI are indicated.

encoded proteins, were performed using the NCBI BLAST and the VectorNTI 9.1 software package (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany) and were based on OPV sequences available at the Poxvirus Bioinformatics Resource Center (PBRC; http://www.poxvirus.org) and GenBank (23). Predicted incorporation of CPXV proteins into the virion was based on information for homologous proteins of VACV (7, 24–26).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Generation of the dual marker CPXV-BR BAC clone pBRFseR.** We previously cloned the CPXV-BR genome as a BAC by inserting the mini-F harboring the *egfp* gene under the control of a viral late promoter into the locus of the nonessential thymidine kinase (*TK*, *CPXV105*) (15). In order to be able to monitor early and late viral gene expression in infected cells, we introduced the *mrfp1* gene under the control of a synthetic early promoter, based on the 7.5-kDa VACV promoter, into the recombinant parental clone pBRF. Since early gene promoters tend to be weak and homology to existing sequences in the BAC might have led to genetic instability, we chose a synthetic early promoter, optimized for strong expression (21). The early marker expression cassette was inserted into the mini-F region of the BAC by two-step *en passant* Red mutagenesis.

Successful integration resulting in the dual marker pBRFseR

INDEL I CI	Av-Dic Oici s, inutan	t bries, and reconstitution			
CPXV-BR	VACV-COP				c i h
gene	homolog(s)	Function of VACV homologs"	Mutant BAC	Reconstitution	Conservation
CPXV001		Unknown			
CPXV002		Unknown			
CPXV003	C23L	Chemokine binding protein			
CPXV004		Unknown			
CPXV005	C22L	Tumor necrosis factor receptor			
CPXV006	C19L	Ankyrin			
CPXV007		Unknown			
CPXV008	C17L	Ankyrin			
CPXV009	C16L	Unknown			
CPXV010	N2L	Alpha-amanitin sensitivity protein	pBRFseR d10	Yes	No
CPXV011		Ankyrin			
CPXV012		Unknown	pBRFseR d12	Yes	No
CPXV013		Kelch like	pBRFseR d13	Yes	No
CPXV014f	C22L, B28R	Tumor necrosis factor receptor	pBRFseR d14	Yes	No
CPXV015f		Unknown	pBRFseR d15	Yes	No
CPXV016		Ankyrin			
CPXV017		Ankyrin			
CPXV018		Unknown	pBRFseR d18	Yes	No
CPXV019		Ankyrin			
CPXV020		Unknown	pBRFseR d20	Yes	No
CPXV021	C11R	Epidermal growth factor	pBRFseR d21	Yes	No
CPXV022	C10L, C4L	Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist	pBRFseR d22	Yes	No
CPXV023		Ubiquitin ligase/host defense modulator protein	pBRFseR d23	Yes	No
CPXV024		Interleukin-18 binding protein	pBRFseR d24	Yes	No
CPXV025		Ankyrin host range protein			
CPXV026		Unknown	pBRFseR d26	Yes	No
CPXV027	C9L	Ankyrin			
CPXV028	C8L	Unknown	pBRFseR d28	Yes	No
CPXV029	C7L	Host range virulence factor	pBRFseR d29	Yes	No
CPXV030	C6L	Unknown	pBRFseR d30	Yes	No
CPXV031f	C5L	Unknown	pBRFseR d31	Yes	No
CPXV032	C5L	Unknown	pBRFseR d32	Yes	No
CPXV033	C10L, C4L	Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist	pBRFseR d33	Yes	No
CPXV034	C3L	Complement binding protein (secreted)	pBRFseR d34	Yes	No
CPXV035	C2L	Kelch-like protein	pBRFseR d35	Yes	No
CPXV036	C1L	Unknown	pBRFseR d36	Yes	No
CPXV037	N1L	Virokine/NF-κB inhibitor	pBRFseR d37	Yes	No
CPXV038	N2L	Alpha amanitin sensitivity protein	pBRFseR d38	Yes	No
CPXV039	M1L	Ankyrin			
CPXV040	M2L	NF-ĸB inhibitor	pBRFseR d40	Yes	No
CPXV041	K1L	Ankyrin and NF-κB inhibitor	-		
CPXV042	C12L, K2L, B13R,	"Serpin 1,2,3"	pBRFseR d42	Yes	No
	B14R	-	-		
CPXV043	K3L	Interferon resistance and eIF2 alpha-like PKR	pBRFseR d43	Yes	No
		inhibitor			
CPXV044	K4L	Nicking/joining enzyme	pBRFseR d44	Yes	No
CPXV045	K5L, K6L	Monoglyceride lipase (putative)	pBRFseR d45	Yes	No
CPXV046	K7R	Unknown	pBRFseR d46+47	Yes	No
CPXV047	Pseudo? <sup>c</sup>	Unknown	•		
CPXV048	F1L	Apoptosis inhibitor (associated with mitochondria)	pBRFseR d48	Yes	No
CPXV049	F2L	dUTPase	pBRFseR d49	Yes	No
CPXV050	F3L	Kelch-like protein	pBRFseR d50	Yes	No
CPXV051	F4L	Ribonucleotide reductase small subunit	pBRFseR d51	Yes	No
CPXV051A	Pseudo?	Unknown	1		
CPXV052	F5L	Membrane protein (36 kDa)	pBRFseR d52	Yes	No
CPXV053	F6L	Unknown	pBRFseR d53	Yes	No
CPXV054	F7L	Unknown	pBRFseR d54	Yes	No
CPXV055	F8L	Cytoplasmic protein	pBRFseR d55	Yes	No
CPXV056	F9L	Disulfide bond formation pathway protein	pBRFseR d56	No	Р
CPXV057	F10L	Serine/threonine kinase	pBRFseR d57+58	No	Р
			-		

## TABLE 1 CPXV-BR ORFs, mutant BACs, and reconstitution

(Continued on following page)

# TABLE 1 (Continued)

CPXV-BR	VACV-COP				
gene	homolog(s)	Function of VACV homologs <sup>a</sup>	Mutant BAC	Reconstitution	Conservation <sup>b</sup>
CPYV058	E OPE D Beaudo?	Unknown			
CPXV050	r_OKr_D rseudo:	Unknown	pPDEcoD d50	Vac	No
CPXV060	F11L F12I	IFV-associated protein	pBREseR d60	Ves	C
CPXV061	F13I	EEV phospholipase	pBREseR d61	Ves	C
CPXV062	FIJL E14I	Unknown	pBREseP d62	Vec	No
CPXV063	I I I I I	Unknown	pBRFseR d63	Ves	No
CPXV064	E15I	Unknown	pBREseR d64	Ves	C
CPXV065	E16I	Unknown	pBDEseD d65	Vec	No
CPXV066	F17R	DNA binding phosphoprotein	pBRFseR d66	No	C
CPXV067	F1I	Poly(A) polymerase large subunit	pBREseR d67	No	P
CPXV068	E1L F2I	Unknown	pBRFseR d68	Ves	ſ
CPXV069	F3I	Interferon resistance and PKR inhibitor	pBRFseR d69	Ves	No
CPXV070	F4I	RNA polymerase 30 subunit	pBRFseR d70	No	C
CPXV070	E1E F5R	Virosome component protein	pBRFseR d71	Ves	No
CPXV072	E5R E6R	required for the formation of immature virions	pBRFseR d72	No	P
CPXV073	E7R	FEV myristylated soluble protein	pBRFseR d73	Yes	No
CPXV074	E8R	Endoplasmic reticulum localized membrane	pBRFseR d74	Yes	C
01111071	Loit	protein	pointional	105	0
CPXV075	F91.	DNA polymerase	pBRFseR d75	No	р
CPXV076	FIOR	Disulfide bond formation pathway protein	pBRFseR d76	No	p
CPXV077	E11L	Virion core protein	pBRFseR d77	No	No
CPXV078	011.	Unknown	pBRFseR d78	Yes	No
CPXV078A	Pseudo?	Unknown	pbidiselearo	105	110
CPXV079	O2L	Glutaredoxin 1	pBRFseR d79	Yes	No
CPXV080	III.	DNA binding protein	pBRFseR d80	No	C
CPXV081	I2L	Unknown	pBRFseR d81	No	C
CPXV082	I3L	DNA binding phosphoprotein	pBRFseR d82	No	C
CPXV083	IJE I	Ribonucleotide reductase large subunit	pBRFseR d83	Yes	No
CPXV084	ISL	IMV protein	pBRFseR d84	Yes	C
CPXV085	I6L	Telomere binding protein	pBRFseR d85	Yes	Č
CPXV086	I7L	Virion core protease	pBRFseR d86	No	Р
CPXV087	I8R	RNA helicase/NPH-II	pBRFseR d87	No	Р
CPXV088	G1L	Metalloprotease (predicted)	pBRFseR d88	No	Р
CPXV089	G3L	virus fusion complex	pBRFseR d89	No	С
CPXV090	G2R	Viral late transcription factor	pBRFseR d90	No	С
CPXV091	G4L	Glutaredoxin 2	pBRFseR d91	No	С
CPXV092	G5R	Unknown	pBRFseR d92	No	Р
CPXV093	G5.5R	RNA polymerase 7 subunit	pBRFseR d93	No	С
CPXV094	G6R	Unknown	pBRFseR d94	Yes	Р
CPXV095	G7L	Virion assembly protein	pBRFseR d95	No	С
CPXV096	Pseudo?	Unknown	-		
CPXV097	G8R	Viral late transcription factor 1	pBRFseR d96+97	No	С
CPXV098	G9R	Entry fusion complex protein	pBRFseR d98	No	Р
CPXV099	L1R	IMV myristylated membrane protein	pBRFseR d99	No	Р
CPXV100	L2R	Crescent formation	pBRFseR d100	No	С
CPXV101	L3L	Internal virion protein	pBRFseR d101	No	Р
CPXV102	L4R	Core package and transcription protein	pBRFseR d102	No	Р
CPXV103	L5R	IMV entry and fusion protein	pBRFseR d103	No	Р
CPXV104	J1R	Virion morphogenesis protein	pBRFseR d104	No	С
CPXV105	J2R	Thymidine kinase			
CPXV106	J3R	Poly(A) polymerase small subunit	pBRFseR d106	No	Р
CPXV107	J4R	RNA polymerase 22 subunit	pBRFseR d107	No	С
CPXV108	J5L	Unknown membrane protein	pBRFseR d108	Yes	Р
CPXV109	J6R	RNA polymerase 147 subunit	pBRFseR d109	No	Р
CPXV110	H1L	Serine/Tyrosine phosphatase	pBRFseR d110	Yes	С
CPXV111	H2R	Entry and cell to cell fusion protein	pBRFseR d111	No	Р
CPXV112	H3L	IMV heparin binding surface protein	pBRFseR d112	Yes	Р
CPXV113	H4L	RNA polymerase associated protein RAP94	pBRFseR d113	No	Р
CPXV114	H5R	Viral late transcription factor 4	pBRFseR d114	No	С
CPXV115	H6R	Topoisomerase type I	pBRFseR d115	Yes	Р

(Continued on following page)

## TABLE 1 (Continued)

CPXV-BR	VACV-COP				
gene	homolog(s)	Function of VACV homologs <sup>a</sup>	Mutant BAC	Reconstitution	Conservation <sup>b</sup>
CPXV116	Pseudo?	Unknown			
CPXV117	H7R	Crescent formation	pBRFseR d117+116	No	С
CPXV118	D1R	Large capping enzyme	pBRFseR d118	No	P
CPXV119	D2L	Virion core	pBRFseR d119+119A	No	С
CPXV119A	D ORF B	Unknown	I		
CPXV120	D3R	Virion core protein	pBRFseR d120	No	С
CPXV121	D4R	Uracil DNA glycosylase	pBRFseR d121	No	Р
CPXV122	D5R	NTPase and DNA replication protein	pBRFseR d122	No	Р
CPXV123	D6R	Viral early transcription factor small subunit	pBRFseR d123	No	Р
CPXV124	D7R	RNA polymerase 18 subunit	pBRFseR d124	No	Р
CPXV125	D8L	Carbonic anhydrase	pBRFseR d125	Yes	No
CPXV126	D9R	NTP-PPH containing mutT motif	pBRFseR d126	Yes	С
CPXV127	D10R	NPH-PPH RNA levels regulator containing mutT motif	pBRFseR d127	Yes	Р
CPXV128	D11L	Helicase NPH-I	pBRFseR d128	No	Р
CPXV129	D12L	Small capping enzyme	pBRFseR d129+130	No	Р
CPXV130	Pseudo?	Unknown	-		
CPXV131	D13L	Virion coat protein rifampin resistance	pBRFseR d131	No	Р
CPXV132	A1L	Viral late transcription factor 2	pBRFseR d132	No	Р
CPXV133	A2L	Viral late transcription factor 3	pBRFseR d133	No	Р
CPXV134	A2.5L	Thioredoxin like protein	pBRFseR d134	No	С
CPXV135	A3L	P4b precursor	pBRFseR d135	No	Р
CPXV136	A4L	Core protein	pBRFseR d136	Yes	С
CPXV137	A5R	RNA polymerase 19 subunit	pBRFseR d137	No	Р
CPXV138	A6L	Virion morphogenesis protein	pBRFseR d138	No	С
CPXV139	A7L	Viral early transcription factor large subunit	pBRFseR d139	No	Р
CPXV140	A8R	Viral intermediate transcription factor 3	pBRFseR d140	No	С
CPXV141	A9L	Membrane protein	pBRFseR d141	No	Р
CPXV142	A10L	P4a precursor	pBRFseR d142	No	Р
CPXV143	A11R	Membrane formation protein	pBRFseR d143	No	Р
CPXV144	A12L	Structural protein	pBRFseR d144	No	С
CPXV145	A13L	Virion maturation protein	pBRFseR d145	No	С
CPXV146	A14L	IMV membrane protein (phosphorylated)	pBRFseR d146	No	С
CPXV147	A14.5L	IMV virulence factor (membrane protein)	pBRFseR d147	Yes	С
CPXV148	A15L	Unknown	pBRFseR d148	No	С
CPXV149	A16L	Entry and cell-to-cell fusion protein (myristilated)	pBRFseR d149	No	Р
CPXV150	A17L	IMV membrane protein phosphorylated	pBRFseR d150	No	С
CPXV151	A18R	DNA helicase	pBRFseR d151	No	Р
CPXV152	A19L	Unknown	pBRFseR d152+152A	No	С
CPXV152A	Pseudo?	Unknown			7
CPXV153	A21L	Entry and cell-to-cell fusion protein	pBRFseR d153	No	P
CPXV154	A20R	DNA processivity factor	pBRFseR d154	No	C
CPXV155	A22K	Holliday junction resolvase	pBRFseR d155	Yes	P
CPXV156	A23R	subunit)	pBRFseR d156	No	P
CPXV157	A24R	RNA polymerase 132 subunit	pBRFseR d157	No	Р
CPXV158	A25L	A-type inclusion protein	pBRFseR d158	Yes	No
CPXV159	A26L	P4c precursor	pBRFseR d159	Yes	No
CPXV160	Pseudo?	Unknown			
CPXV161	A26L	P4c precursor	pBRFseR d161	Yes	No
CPXV162	A27L	Fusion protein	pBRFseR d162	Yes	No
CPXV163	A28L	IMV virus entry (membrane protein)	pBRFseR d163	No	P
CPXV164	A29L	RNA polymerase 35 subunit	pBRFseR d164	No	P
CPAV 165	A 3 UL	virion morphogenesis protein	PBRESER d165	INO Vac	
CPAV166	A31K	UIIKNOWN	PBRESSER d166	i es	INO D
CPAV16/	AJZL AJZD	DINA packaging and ATPase protein	pBREseR d16/	INO Voc	r No
CDVV160	A33K	EE v giycoprotein EEV C tuma lactin lika maatain	PDRESSER 0100	Tes Voc	
CDVV170	AJ4K Dooudo2	EEv C-type lectin-like protein	PDRESER 0109	Tes Voc	U No
CPAV1/U CPVV171	r seudo:	Unknown	pokrsek a1/0+1/1	1.68	INO
ULVI/1	11331	UIKIIUWII			

(Continued on following page)

# TABLE 1 (Continued)

CPXV-BR	VACV-COP							
gene	homolog(s)	Function of VACV homologs <sup>a</sup>	Mutant BAC	Reconstitution	Conservation <sup>b</sup>			
CPXV172	A36R	IEV specific	pBRFseR d172	Yes	No			
CPXV173	A37R	Unknown	pBRFseR d173	Yes	No			
CPXV174		Unknown	pBRFseR d174	Yes	No			
CPXV175	A38L	CD47-like protein	pBRFseR d175	Yes	No			
CPXV176	A39R	Semaphorin	pBRFseR d176	Yes	No			
CPXV177	A40R	Lectin homolog	pBRFseR d177	Yes	No			
CPXV178	A41L	Secreted virulence factor	pBRFseR d178	Yes	No			
CPXV179	A42R	Profilin homolog	pBRFseR d179	Yes	No			
CPXV180	A43R	Membrane glycoprotein class I	pBRFseR d180	Yes	No			
CPXV181		Unknown	pBRFseR d181	Yes	No			
CPXV182	A44L	Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase	pBRFseR d182	Yes	No			
CPXV183	A45R	Superoxide dismutase-like protein	pBRFseR d183	Yes	No			
CPXV184	A46R	Interleukin-1 signaling inhibitor	pBRFseR d184	Yes	No			
CPXV185	A47L	Unknown	pBRFseR d185	Yes	No			
CPXV186	A48R	Thymidylate kinase	pBRFseR d186	Yes	No			
CPXV187	A49R	Phosphotransferase anion transport protein	pBRFseR d187	Yes	No			
		(putative)	I					
CPXV188	A50R	DNA ligase	pBRFseR d188	Yes	No			
CPXV189	A51R	Unknown	pBRFseR d189	Yes	No			
CPXV190	A52R	Intracellular TLR and interleukin-1 signaling	pBRFseR d190	Yes	No			
		inhibitor	F					
CPXV191	A53R, A ORF T	Tumor necrosis factor receptor (CrmC)	pBRFseR d191	Yes	No			
CPXV192	Pseudo?	Unknown	I					
CPXV193	A55R	Kelch-like protein	pBRFseR d193	Yes	No			
CPXV194	A56R	Hemagglutinin	pBRFseR d194	Yes	No			
CPXV195	A57R	Guanvlate kinase	pBRFseR d195	No	No			
CPXV196	B1R	Serine/threonine kinase	pBRFseR d196	Yes	No			
CPXV197	B2R; B3R	Schlafen	pBRFseR d197	Yes	No			
CPXV198	B4R	Ankvrin	I					
CPXV199	B5R	EEV complement control protein	pBRFseR d199	Yes	No			
CPXV200	B6R	Unknown	pBRFseR d200	Yes	No			
CPXV201	B7R	Virulence factor (endoplasmic reticulum	pBRFseR d201	Yes	No			
0000000000	<b>B</b> 6 B	associated)						
CPXV202	B8R	Interferon gamma receptor	pBRFseR d202	Yes	No			
CPXV203	B9R	Virulence factor	pBRFseR d203	Yes	No			
CPXV204	B10R	Kelch-like protein	pBRFseR d204	Yes	No			
CPXV205	B11R	Unknown	pBRFseR d205	Yes	No			
CPXV206	B12R	Serine/threonine kinase	pBRFseR d206	Yes	No			
CPXV207	C12L, K2L, B13R,	"Serpin 1,2,3"						
CDMADOO	BI4K	TT 1		37	N			
CPXV208	C16L, B15K, B22K	Unknown	pBRFseR d208	Yes	No			
CPXV209	BIOK	Interleukin-1ß receptor	pBRFseR d209	res	NO			
CPXV210	B1/L D10D		pBRFseR d210	res	INO			
CPXV211	DIOR DIOD	Ankyrin Alulu (hata interference name anter	DDF-D J212	V	N.			
CPAV212	D19K D20D	And	pbkrsek d212	ies	INO			
CPXV215	B20K	Ankyrin						
CPXV214 CPXV215	Pseudo:	UNKNOWN Kalah lika maatain	**************************************	Vaa	Ma			
CPAV215	CIDI VOL DI2D	Laka sum	p D R F se R d 214 + 215 $m P D E se P d 216 (A T C)$	1 es	No			
CPAV210	B14R	Unknown	pbkrsek d210 (AIG)	Tes	INO			
CPXV217	C12L, K2L, B13R, B14R	"Serpin 1,2,3"	pBRFseR d217	Yes	No			
CPXV218	C14L, C13L	Unknown	pBRFseR d218	Yes	No			
CPXV219		Surface glycoprotein	pBRFseR d219	Yes	No			
CPXV220	C21L, C20L, C19L, B25R, B26R, B27P	Ankyrin	-					
CPXV221	CrmD	Tumor necrosis factor recentor (CrmD)	nBREseR dool	Ves	No			
GIAV221	CHILD	rumor necrosis ractor receptor (Child)	PDRISER 0221	103	110			

<sup>a</sup> Information was obtained from the PBRC.
 <sup>b</sup> C, gene families conserved in chordopoxviruses; P, gene families conserved in poxviruses (32).
 <sup>c</sup> Pseudo?, pseudogene (according to PBRC).



FIG 3 Detection of dual marker expression in infected cell culture. (A) Reconstitution of vBRFseR on Vero cells using FWPV as a helper virus. Expression of both mRFP and eGFP can be detected upon reconstitution. (B) Expression of mRFP and eGFP during infection. mRFP can be detected at 6 h p.i.; eGFP can be detected at 12 h p.i.; araC can block eGFP but not mRFP expression in infected cells, as evidenced by the expression of mRFP only. Scale bars, 200 µm.

BAC clone was checked by RFLP analysis and sequencing (data not shown). Upon reconstitution and serial virus passage, we obtained fully replicating virus (vBRFseR) expressing both the red and green fluorescent proteins (Fig. 3A). We performed time course experiments to test the kinetics of the expression of both fluorescent markers. Vero cells were infected with vBRFseR using a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. The early red and the late green fluorescence signals were readily detectable at 6 and 12 h postinfection (p.i.), respectively.

Furthermore, addition of 50  $\mu$ g of 1- $\beta$ -D-arabinofuranosyl cytidine (araC)/ml to the cell culture did not affect expression of the early mRFP marker, while completely blocking expression of the late eGFP marker (Fig. 3B). This clearly showed that the newly introduced marker was indeed expressed in the early phase of viral replication, whereas the eGFP marker was only expressed in late stages of the replication cycle after successful replication of viral DNA (Fig. 3).

Targeted knockout of all unique CPXV-BR ORFs. The overall aim of the present study was to generate single knockout mutants for each of the 216 unique CPXV ORFs representing ORF CPXV010 to ORF CPXV221. We did not include genes present in the terminal inverted repeats (TIR; ORFs CPXV001 to CPXV009 and CPXV222 to CPXV229). Thirteen of the 216 unique ORFs were determined to be pseudogenes (according to the PBRC). Knockout mutants of all 16 ankyrin repeat protein-encoding genes, including 12 unique genes and the 4 ORFs in the TIR regions of the genome, were generated previously (B. K. Tischer, unpublished data). The thymidine kinase encoding gene CPXV105 is interrupted by the mini-F replicon, and a BAC-based mutant of CPXV207 coding for CrmA was described previously (15, 27). The six predicted kelch-like protein encoding genes were deleted by en passant mutagenesis for another study (Tischer, unpublished; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material [http://www .vetmed.fu-berlin.de/en/einrichtungen/institute/we05/cowpox]). In the case of gene CPXV216, which is short and in close proximity to gene CPXV217, the start codon was replaced by en passant mutagenesis to avoid an interruption of the promoter of CPXV217.

We generated the knockout mutants of the remaining 182 ORFs by inserting the engineered PCR-derived bacterial selection marker using classical Red recombination (see Materials and Methods). We ensured disruption of the targeted ORF by positioning the bacterial selection marker such that it would certainly interrupt viral gene expression of the respective CPXV ORF and replacing between 200 and 1,000 bp of each gene depending on the respective length. Further, the insertions were targeted to be immediately after the start codon and an in-frame stop codon was added to avoid the production of larger truncated proteins, which could still be functional. Since poxvirus genes usually contain multiple start codons, we analyzed every ORF for additional downstream start codons and deleted the first two in-frame AUG codons. For example, the vaccinia virus E3L ORF can encode two functional proteins, p19 and p25, by initiating translation at its first or second AUG codon (28), and this gene was inactivated according to the outlined principle.

The knockout strategy described above would lead to double deletions in the case of overlapping ORFs, which occur frequently in poxvirus genomes. In CPXV-BR, for example, *CPXV036* and *CPXV037* overlap head to tail, with the promoter of *CPXV036* located in the coding sequence of *CPXV037*. Thus, deletion of *CPXV037* may lead to the simultaneous abrogation of *CPXV036* expression. In this and similar cases, we avoided interruption of the promoter of the downstream gene or damaging the integrity of the upstream gene by shifting the targeted position of the deletion cassette.

We confirmed successful deletion of each individual ORF by RFLP analysis. The inserted deletion cassette contained DraIII, HindIII, PvuI, NruI, and XhoI sites (Fig. 2). Therefore, cleavage with one of these restriction enzymes resulted in a change of the restriction pattern of the mutated BAC in comparison to the parental pBRFseR (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material [http://www.vetmed.fu -berlin.de/en/einrichtungen/institute/we05/cowpox]), confirming the presence of the marker sequence. All mutants were checked with at least two different restriction enzymes (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). In all cases, the observed restriction pattern and the sequencing results were in complete agreement with the *in silico* predictions (data not shown).

**Virus reconstitution.** We performed virus reconstitution by transfecting Vero cells with BAC DNA in the presence of FWPV as helper virus. The obtained virus clones were passaged three times on Vero cells to remove FWPV helper virus. Of the 183 single ORF deletion mutants, 109 knockout viruses could be reconstituted, whereas reconstitution was unsuccessful for 74 knockout viruses (Table 1).

In order to minimize host range limitations of the reconstitution system, we used two independent systems to generate BACderived CPXV mutants. Mutants that did not reconstitute on



FIG 4 Different phenotypes upon reconstitution. (A) No plaque formation (vBRFseR-d195); (B) formation of small, "red fluorescence-only" plaques with single, double-fluorescent cells in the center (vBRFseR-d121); (C) formation of wild-type-like plaques that exhibit mRFP and eGFP fluorescence (vBRFseR-d158). Scale bars, 200  $\mu$ m.

Vero cells in two independent experiments were also tested on CEC and SFV as a helper virus to confirm the result (see Materials and Methods). We termed genes "essential" if virus reconstitution failed twice with each of the two systems. Since it is well known that for VACV the *CPXV069* homolog *E3L* confers a host range phenotype on Vero cells (29, 30), we used BHK21 cells and SFV as the helper virus for reconstitution. Similar host range limitations might be true for other CPXV mutants that could not be reconstituted using the Vero and CEC systems and will be addressed in future studies.

**Different phenotypes upon virus reconstitution.** Signals emitted by mRFP and eGFP indicate early and late viral gene expression, respectively. Monitoring mRFP and eGFP fluorescence upon virus reconstitution or infection provided information about the involvement of individual CPXV genes in virus replication. According to the observed fluorescence signals, three different mutant virus phenotypes were observed: (i) no plaque formation, (ii) formation of small, red fluorescence-only plaques with single double-fluorescent cells in the center, and (iii) formation of wild-type-like plaques expressing both fluorescence markers (Fig. 4).

The red plaques expressed only mRFP but not eGFP except for a single cell in the center of the plaque (Fig. 4). The most likely explanation is that the FWPV helper virus was able to compensate for the deleted CPXV-BR gene in transfected cells. Due to complementation, the viral replication cycle could be completed in the central cell of the plaque, leading to the production of infectious viral particles. However, in neighboring cells that were infected subsequently with the mutant CPXV but not with helper FWPV, the replication cycle was aborted before the transition to late gene expression, as reflected by the lack of the green fluorescent marker. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that virus progeny was lost by repeated passage of progeny virus, which diluted and ultimately eliminated the helper virus.

Essential genes of CPXV. Wild-type-like formation of plaques by mutant viruses indicated that the gene product of the deleted ORF was dispensable for virus replication on Vero cells. This was the case for 109 of the 183 (60%) mutant viruses that we generated (Table 1 and Fig. 5). All six kelch-like protein single deletion mutants, as well as the mutant virus lacking all six genes, were also successfully reconstituted. During continuous passage on Vero cells, some mutant viruses exhibiting red and green fluorescent plaques lost their ability to produce infectious progeny. This suggested that the respective ORFs are essential for virus replication on these cells. The "red fluorescence-only" mutants (12/183) lost the ability to form plaques by repeated passage, and the respective mutants were consequently grouped with the mutants, for which no plaque formation was detectable from the start (62/183). Hence, the respective ORFs were considered essential for CPXV replication on Vero cells, and a total of 40% of the generated mutant viruses were grouped in this category.

Based on data from our mutant library, as well as information from the PBRC and previous studies performed on VACV (2, 4), a genome map was created to provide an overview about CPXV genes and their transcription kinetics (early, intermediate, and late) (Fig. 5). In accordance with previous studies, we found that 71% of all late genes were essential for virus replication. The products of all essential late genes are predicted to be incorporated into mature virions (24–26, 31). We also confirmed that most essential genes were located in the central portion of the genome, whereas most nonessential genes clustered toward the genomic termini. All except for 3 of the 74 genes determined to be essential were located in the central part of the CPXV-BR genome, where the central part accounts for 50% of the genome (Fig. 6). More than 50% (7/12) of the ORFs whose deletion resulted in the "red fluo-



FIG 5 Overview of the results from reconstitution experiments of mutant BAC clones on Vero cells and CEC. The numbers of genes that are not essential for virus replication are highlighted in green. Deletion of "red" ORFs ("red fluorescence only"; red underline) resulted in virus progeny with a blocked transition to late gene expression. Gene numbers highlighted in black are essential, as characterized by the absence of production of any virus progeny upon three attempts at reconstitution. Gray numbers indicate putative ORFs, which were not deleted for generation of the library, since this group contains pseudogenes, small ORFs that completely overlap with other ORFs or genes within the TIR. The color of the ORFs indicates gene expression kinetics according to their homologues in VACV, as indicated on the right.



FIG 6 Distribution of essential and nonessential genes in the CPXV genome. (A) The flanking 50% of the CPXV genome consists almost exclusively of nonessential genes. (B) Most essential genes, as well as all genes of the "red fluorescence-only" phenotype, are located in the central 50% of the genome. However, the central part does also contain nonessential genes ( $\sim$ 40% of the center genes are essential).

rescence-only" phenotype code for proteins that are involved in RNA transcription and are also predicted as structural components of the mature virion. Consistent with their essential nature, all 12 "red fluorescence-only" ORFs were located in the central part of the genome.

A previous extensive comparison of 21 completely sequenced

poxvirus genomes revealed that 49 gene families are conserved among poxviruses and that 41 additional families are conserved in the Chordopoxvirinae (32). Based on this high degree of conservation, the authors of one study predicted these gene families to be essential for poxvirus replication (30), and some of the genes were already found to be essential by independent studies (Table 2). However, an exhaustive investigation to confirm this analysis of genes essential for poxvirus replication was lacking. We found that most of the conserved genes were also essential as derived from our data set, with the exception of 17 genes (Table 2), most of which are conserved in the Chordopoxvirinae but not all Poxviridae. Among these 17 genes, 10 have already been described to be nonessential in in vitro experiments (for references, see Table 2). For example, the VACV type I topoisomerase gene (H6R) is conserved among all poxviruses but is not essential for virus replication in vitro (33). However, the mutant virus exhibited reduced infectivity, which could be ascribed mainly to lower early transcription rather than to direct effects on the processing of viral DNA. Similarly, the VACV homologues D9R and D10R, which are encoding proteins likely involved in decapping host cell mRNAs, are not essential for virus replication if knocked out separately (34). A double deletion mutant for both ORFs failed, however, to reconstitute, possibly indicating mutually compensation of the two genes, which is most likely also the case in our knockout library. Clearly, further experiments are needed to confirm this hypothesis. Interestingly, we found CPXV077 and CPXV195 (homologs of VACV) E11L and A57L, respectively) to be essential for virus replication *in vitro*, even though both are not conserved in poxviruses or chordopoxviruses.

In conclusion, we have created the first complete targeted BAC knockout library of a large DNA virus. Reconstitution of mutant clones has yielded novel insight into the importance of single viral genes for viral replication. With the insertion of fluorescent markers for early and late viral gene expression, the library at hand can be used for high-throughput screens to identify genes involved in

CPXV-BR gene	VACV-COP homolog	Family name	Conservation <sup><i>a</i></sup>	Essential according to this study	Essential according to other publications	Reference
CPXV060	F12L	Actin tail, microtubule	Р	No	No	34
CPXV061	F13L	Phospholipase extracellular enveloped virion	Р	No	No	35
CPXV064	F15L	Unknown	Р	No		
CPXV068	E2L	Unknown	Р	No	No	36
CPXV074	E8R	Endoplasmic reticulum-localized MP	Р	No		
CPXV084	I5L	Unknown VP13	Р	No	No	37
CPXV085	I6L	Unknown	Р	No		
CPXV108	J5L	Late MP, essential	С	No	Yes	38
CPXV110	H1L	Tyrosine-serine phosphatase	Р	No	Yes	39
CPXV112	H3L	Intracellular mature virus morphogenesis viral protein (VP55)	С	No	No	40
CPXV115	H6R	Topoisomerase type I	С	No	No	32
CPXV126	D9R	mutT motif, nucleoside triphosphate pyrophosphohydrolase	Р	No	No	33
CPXV127	D10R	Nucleophosphohydrolase-pyrophosphohydrolase downregulator	С	No	No	33
CPXV136	A4L	Core protein	Р	No	Yes	41
CPXV147	A14.5L	IMV MP, virulence factor	Р	No	No	42
CPXV155	A22R	Holliday junction resolvase	С	No	Yes	43
CPXV169	A34R	Extracellular enveloped virion glycoprotein	Р	No	No	44

<sup>a</sup> According to a previously published study (28). C, gene families conserved in chordopoxviruses; P, gene families conserved in poxviruses.

# TABLE 2 Literature summary of nonessential conserved CPXV genes

various processes of the virus replication cycle, including features of host range, virulence factors, and gene products involved in immunomodulation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Nationale Forschungsplattform für Zoonosen and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF 01KI1102) for funding this project.

Z.X. was supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council and the Dahlem Research School. D.Z. received a scholarship from the International Max Planck Research School and is a member of the Centre for Infection Biology and Immunology Research Training Group in Berlin, Germany.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Essbauer S, Pfeffer M, Meyer H. 2010. Zoonotic poxviruses. Vet. Microbiol. 140:229–236. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.08.026.
- Assarsson E, Greenbaum JA, Sundstrom M, Schaffer L, Hammond JA, Pasquetto V, Oseroff C, Hendrickson RC, Lefkowitz EJ, Tscharke DC, Sidney J, Grey HM, Head SR, Peters B, Sette A. 2008. Kinetic analysis of a complete poxvirus transcriptome reveals an immediate-early class of genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105:2140–2145. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.0711573105.
- Doceul V, Hollinshead M, van der Linden L, Smith GL. 2010. Repulsion of superinfecting virions: a mechanism for rapid virus spread. Science 327:873–876. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1183173.
- Yang Z, Reynolds SE, Martens CA, Bruno DP, Porcella SF, Moss B. 2011. Expression profiling of the intermediate and late stages of poxvirus replication. J. Virol. 85:9899–9908. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JVI.05446-11.
- Sivan G, Martin SE, Myers TG, Buehler E, Szymczyk KH, Ormanoglu P, Moss B. 2013. Human genome-wide RNAi screen reveals a role for nuclear pore proteins in poxvirus morphogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110:3519–3524. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300708110.
- Hendrickson RC, Wang C, Hatcher EL, Lefkowitz EJ. 2010. Orthopoxvirus genome evolution: the role of gene loss. Viruses 2:1933–1967. http: //dx.doi.org/10.3390/v2091933.
- Gubser C, Hue S, Kellam P, Smith GL. 2004. Poxvirus genomes: a phylogenetic analysis. J. Gen. Virol. 85:105–117. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/vir.0 .19565-0.
- Shchelkunov SN, Safronov PF, Totmenin AV, Petrov NA, Ryazankina OI, Gutorov VV, Kotwal GJ. 1998. The genomic sequence analysis of the left and right species-specific terminal region of a cowpox virus strain reveals unique sequences and a cluster of intact ORFs for immunomodulatory and host range proteins. Virology 243:432–460. http://dx.doi.org /10.1006/viro.1998.9039.
- Shchelkunov SN. 2011. Emergence and reemergence of smallpox: the need for development of a new generation smallpox vaccine. Vaccine 29: D49–D53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.05.037.
- Earl PL, Moss B, Wyatt LS, Carroll MW. 2001. Generation of recombinant vaccinia viruses. Curr. Protoc. Protein Sci. Chapter 5:Unit 5. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb1617s43.
- Holzer GW, Falkner FG. 1997. Construction of a vaccinia virus deficient in the essential DNA repair enzyme uracil DNA glycosylase by a complementing cell line. J. Virol. 71:4997–5002.
- Tischer BK, Kaufer BB. 2012. Viral bacterial artificial chromosomes: generation, mutagenesis, and removal of mini-F sequences. J. Biomed. Biotechnol. 2012:472537. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/472537.
- Domi A, Moss B. 2002. Cloning the vaccinia virus genome as a bacterial artificial chromosome in *Escherichia coli* and recovery of infectious virus in mammalian cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99:12415–12420. http://dx .doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192420599.
- Cottingham MG, Andersen RF, Spencer AJ, Saurya S, Furze J, Hill AV, Gilbert SC. 2008. Recombination-mediated genetic engineering of a bacterial artificial chromosome clone of modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA). PLoS One 3:e1638. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone .0001638.
- Roth SJ, Hoper D, Beer M, Feineis S, Tischer BK, Osterrieder N. 2011. Recovery of infectious virus from full-length cowpox virus (CPXV) DNA cloned as a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC). Vet. Res. 42:3. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-42-3.

- Meisinger-Henschel C, Spath M, Lukassen S, Wolferstatter M, Kachelriess H, Baur K, Dirmeier U, Wagner M, Chaplin P, Suter M, Hausmann J. 2010. Introduction of the six major genomic deletions of modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) into the parental vaccinia virus is not sufficient to reproduce an MVA-like phenotype in cell culture and in mice. J. Virol. 84:9907–9919. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00756-10.
- Tischer BK, Smith GA, Osterrieder N. 2010. En passant mutagenesis: a two step markerless red recombination system. Methods Mol. Biol. 634: 421–430. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-652-8\_30.
- Tischer BK, von Einem J, Kaufer B, Osterrieder N. 2006. Two-step red-mediated recombination for versatile high-efficiency markerless DNA manipulation in *Escherichia coli*. Biotechniques 40:191–197. http://dx.doi .org/10.2144/000112096.
- Yao XD, Evans DH. 2001. Effects of DNA structure and homology length on vaccinia virus recombination. J. Virol. 75:6923–6932. http://dx.doi.org /10.1128/JVI.75.15.6923-6932.2001.
- Campbell RE, Tour O, Palmer AE, Steinbach PA, Baird GS, Zacharias DA, Tsien RY. 2002. A monomeric red fluorescent protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99:7877–7882. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas .082243699.
- Chakrabarti S, Sisler JR, Moss B. 1997. Compact, synthetic, vaccinia virus early/late promoter for protein expression. Biotechniques 23:1094– 1097.
- 22. Sambrook JJ, Russell DDW. 2001. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Lefkowitz EJ, Upton C, Changayil SS, Buck C, Traktman P, Buller RM. 2005. Poxvirus Bioinformatics Resource Center: a comprehensive poxviridae informational and analytical resource. Nucleic Acids Res. 33: D311–D316. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki110.
- Chung CS, Chen CH, Ho MY, Huang CY, Liao CL, Chang W. 2006. Vaccinia virus proteome: identification of proteins in vaccinia virus intracellular mature virion particles. J. Virol. 80:2127–2140. http://dx.doi.org /10.1128/JVI.80.5.2127-2140.2006.
- Van Vliet K, Mohamed MR, Zhang L, Villa NY, Werden SJ, Liu J, McFadden G. 2009. Poxvirus proteomics and virus-host protein interactions. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 73:730–749. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /MMBR.00026-09.
- Yoder JD, Chen TS, Gagnier CR, Vemulapalli S, Maier CS, Hruby DE. 2006. Pox proteomics: mass spectrometry analysis and identification of vaccinia virion proteins. Virol. J. 3:10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743 -422X-3-10.
- Roth SJ, Klopfleisch R, Osterrieder N, Tischer BK. 2012. Cowpox virus serpin CrmA is necessary but not sufficient for the red pock phenotype on chicken chorioallantoic membranes. Virus Res. 163:254–261. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2011.10.002.
- Yuwen H, Cox JH, Yewdell JR, Bennink JR, Moss B. 1993. Nuclear localization of a double-stranded RNA-binding protein encoded by the vaccinia virus E3L gene. Virology 195:732–744. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006 /viro.1993.1424.
- Beattie E, Kauffman EB, Martinez H, Perkus ME, Jacobs BL, Paoletti E, Tartaglia J. 1996. Host-range restriction of vaccinia virus E3L-specific deletion mutants. Virus Genes 12:89–94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007 /BF00370005.
- Hornemann S, Harlin O, Staib C, Kisling S, Erfle V, Kaspers B, Hacker G, Sutter G. 2003. Replication of modified vaccinia virus Ankara in primary chicken embryo fibroblasts requires expression of the interferon resistance gene E3L. J. Virol. 77:8394–8407. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JVI.77.15.8394-8407.2003.
- Resch W, Hixson KK, Moore RJ, Lipton MS, Moss B. 2007. Protein composition of the vaccinia virus mature virion. Virology 358:233–247. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2006.08.025.
- Upton C, Slack S, Hunter AL, Ehlers A, Roper RL. 2003. Poxvirus orthologous clusters: toward defining the minimum essential poxvirus genome. J. Virol. 77:7590–7600. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.77.13 .7590-7600.2003.
- Da Fonseca F, Moss B. 2003. Poxvirus DNA topoisomerase knockout mutant exhibits decreased infectivity associated with reduced early transcription. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100:11291–11296. http://dx.doi .org/10.1073/pnas.1534874100.
- 34. Parrish S, Moss B. 2006. Characterization of a vaccinia virus mutant with a deletion of the D10R gene encoding a putative negative regulator of gene expression. J. Virol. 80:553–561. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.2.553 -561.2006.

- Zhang WH, Wilcock D, Smith GL. 2000. Vaccinia virus F12L protein is required for actin tail formation, normal plaque size, and virulence. J. Virol. 74:11654–11662. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.24.11654 -11662.2000.
- Blasco R, Moss B. 1991. Extracellular vaccinia virus formation and cellto-cell virus transmission are prevented by deletion of the gene encoding the 37,000-Dalton outer envelope protein. J. Virol. 65:5910–5920.
- Domi A, Weisberg AS, Moss B. 2008. Vaccinia virus E2L null mutants exhibit a major reduction in extracellular virion formation and virus spread. J. Virol. 82:4215–4226. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00037-08.
- Sood CL, Ward JM, Moss B. 2008. Vaccinia virus encodes I5, a small hydrophobic virion membrane protein that enhances replication and virulence in mice. J. Virol. 82:10071–10078. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI .01355-08.
- Zajac P, Spehner D, Drillien R. 1995. The vaccinia virus J5L open reading frame encodes a polypeptide expressed late during infection and required for viral multiplication. Virus Res. 37:163–173. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016 /0168-1702(95)00025-L.
- 40. Liu K, Lemon B, Traktman P. 1995. The dual-specificity phosphatase

encoded by vaccinia virus, VH1, is essential for viral transcription in vivo and in vitro. J. Virol. **69**:7823–7834.

- da Fonseca FG, Wolffe EJ, Weisberg A, Moss B. 2000. Effects of deletion or stringent repression of the H3L envelope gene on vaccinia virus replication. J. Virol. 74:7518–7528. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.16.7518 -7528.2000.
- Williams O, Wolffe EJ, Weisberg AS, Merchlinsky M. 1999. Vaccinia virus WR gene A5L is required for morphogenesis of mature virions. J. Virol. 73:4590–4599.
- Betakova T, Wolffe EJ, Moss B. 2000. The vaccinia virus A14.5L gene encodes a hydrophobic 53-amino-acid virion membrane protein that enhances virulence in mice and is conserved among vertebrate poxviruses. J. Virol. 74:4085–4092. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.9.4085 -4092.2000.
- Garcia AD, Moss B. 2001. Repression of vaccinia virus Holliday junction resolvase inhibits processing of viral DNA into unit-length genomes. J. Virol. 75:6460–6471. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.75.14.6460-6471.2001.
- McIntosh AA, Smith GL. 1996. Vaccinia virus glycoprotein A34R is required for infectivity of extracellular enveloped virus. J. Virol. 70:272–281.