



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer. 2009 February 1; 115(3): 480–488. doi:10.1002/cncr.24063.

Cancer Surveillance Behaviors and Psychosocial Factors Among Long-Term Survivors of Breast Cancer:

Cancer and Leukemia Group B 79804

Mira L. Katz, PhD¹, Kathleen A. Donohue, MA², Catherine M. Alfano, PhD¹, Jeannette M. Day, MS², James E. Herndon II, PhD², and Electra D. Paskett, PhD¹

¹Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center and College of Public Health, Columbus, Ohio

²Cancer and Leukemia Group B Statistical Center, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

Abstract

BACKGROUND—Little is known about cancer surveillance (mammography, clinical breast examination, and pelvic examination) behaviors in long-term (9–16 years) breast cancer survivors. This report describes the relation of these behaviors to demographic and clinical characteristics, psychological symptoms, body satisfaction, and social support.

METHODS—Survivors who had participated in Cancer and Leukemia Group B treatment Trial 8541 completed a survey that included questions on breast cancer surveillance and pelvic examination, psychological well being, body satisfaction, and social support.

RESULTS—The participation rate was 78% and included 245 breast cancer survivors. Survivors (n = 107; 44%) reported completing breast cancer surveillance (mammography and clinical breast

© 2009 American Cancer Society

Corresponding author: Mira L. Katz, PhD, Comprehensive Cancer Center and College of Public Health, Ohio State University, A352 Starling Loving Hall, 320 West 10th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210; Fax: (614) 293-5611; mira.katz@osumc.edu.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

The research for CALGB 79804 was supported in part by grants from the National Cancer Institute (CA31946) to CALGB (Richard L. Schilsky, MD, chairman) and to the CALGB Statistical Center (Stephen George, PhD; CA33601). The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute. In addition, this study was funded by National Institutes of Health grants AG16602, CA79883, CA57707, and CA107079. Supported by grant CA33601 (Stephen George, PhD, Cancer and Leukemia Group B Statistical Office, Durham, NC), grant CA45418 (Irving M. Berkowitz, DO, Christiana Care Health Services, Inc. Community Clinical Oncology Program [CCOP], Wilmington, DE), grant CA32291 (George P Canellos, MD, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Mass), grant CA04326 (Marc S. Ernstoff, MD, Dartmouth Medical School-Norris Cotton Cancer Center, Lebanon, NH), grant CA47577 (Jeffrey Crawford, MD, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC), grant CA12449 (Michael L. Grossbard, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Mass), grant CA04457 (Lewis R. Silverman, MD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY), grant CA35279 (Daniel R. Budman, MD, North Shore Long Island Jewish Medical Center, Manhasset, NY), grant CA08025 (Louis A. Leone, MD, Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI), grant CA02599 (Ellis Levine, MD, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY), grant CA45808 (James N. Atkins, MD, Southeast Cancer Control Consortium Inc. CCOP, Goldsboro, NC), grant CA35421 (John Ellerton, MD, Southern Nevada Cancer Research Foundation CCOP, Las Vegas, Nev), grant CA21060 (Stephen L. Graziano, MD, State University of New York Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY), grant CA45389 (Jeffrey Kirshner, MD, Syracuse Hematology-Oncology Associates of Central New York CCOP, Syracuse, NY), grant CA11789 (Stephen L. Seagren, MD, University of California at San Diego, San Diego, Calif), grant CA41287 (Gini Fleming, MD, University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Ill), grant CA31983 (David Van Echo, MD, University of Maryland Cancer Center, Baltimore, Md), grant CA37135 (Mary Ellen Taplin, MD, University of Massachusetts Medical Center, Worcester, Mass), grant CA16450 (Bruce A. Peterson, MD, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn), grant CA12046 (Michael C. Perry, MD, University of Missouri/ Ellis Fischel Cancer Center, Columbia, Mo), grant CA47559 (Thomas C. Shea, MD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC), grant CA03927 (David D. Hurd, MD, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC), grant CA26806 (Joseph J. Drabeck, MD, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, DC), and grant CA77440 (Nancy Bartlett, MD, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Mo).

examination) and completing pelvic examination ($n = 162$; 68%) within recommended guidelines. There were no significant associations between breast cancer surveillance and breast cancer anxiety, depression, stressful life events, body satisfaction, social support, or demographic characteristics. Survivors within recommended guidelines for pelvic examinations were younger ($P = .05$), married ($P = .003$), had health insurance ($P = .004$), and had lower depression scores ($P = .005$) than survivors who underused or overused pelvic examination. In addition, survivors within recommended pelvic examination guidelines had significantly lower levels of breast cancer anxiety ($P = .03$) compared with survivors who underused pelvic examination.

CONCLUSIONS—Many long-term breast cancer survivors were not within recommended cancer surveillance guidelines. Private health insurance was associated with following recommendations for pelvic examinations, although such a relation did not exist for breast cancer surveillance. The results of this study have implications for the development of educational programs to improve cancer surveillance among the growing population of long-term breast cancer survivors.

Keywords

cancer survivorship; cancer surveillance; cancer screening; breast cancer

In the United States, over 2.4 million breast cancer survivors live with an increased risk for recurrence and second cancers, such as cancer of the contralateral breast or endometrial cancer.¹ To address this increased risk, national professional organizations have developed cancer surveillance guidelines and recommend that breast cancer survivors receive annual surveillance that includes evaluation of the breasts (mammography and clinical breast examinations) and gynecologic examination.^{2–4} Previous studies have documented the prevalence of breast cancer surveillance among breast cancer survivors.^{5–11} In general, these studies have documented the rates of mammography within recommended guidelines in the range of 60% to 92% among patients within 1 year after completing treatment or among breast cancer survivors within a few years after their diagnosis.^{5–11} Breast cancer survivors who were most likely not to be within recommended surveillance guidelines were women who were older, not married, from a minority population, diagnosed at a later stage, had comorbidities, and were further in time from their original diagnosis.

Although there is increasing information available about the rates of cancer surveillance among breast cancer survivors, little is known about surveillance in long-term survivors (>5 years postdiagnosis) and the psychological well being and social support issues that may affect compliance with recommended surveillance guidelines among this group of survivors. This is important because previous studies have indicated that psychological distress may prevent adherence to recommended surveillance guidelines (breast and cervical) among healthy women and women who have an increased risk of breast cancer.^{12–15} The objective of this study was to investigate the use of recommended breast cancer surveillance and pelvic examinations among a group of long-term (9–16 years) breast cancer survivors and examine how compliance with these surveillance behaviors may be associated with demographic characteristics and with psychological symptoms, body satisfaction, and social support.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Participants were recruited into the current study (Cancer and Leukemia Group B [CALGB] 79804) from among 1572 patients with unilateral breast cancer who participated in a chemotherapy treatment trial (CALGB 8541) from 1985 to 1991.¹⁶ At the beginning of the

current study, 314 women were alive, disease-free, and had their treating physician's approval to be contacted for participation. The surveys were returned by 245 women (78%). CALGB 79804 participants did not differ from CALGB 8541 survivors who were alive but did not participate, except that more whites versus nonwhites ($P < .0001$) agreed to participate in the current study. Of the 245 participants who completed surveys, 241 participants (98.4%) provided data on breast cancer surveillance, and 239 participants (97.6%) provided data on pelvic examination and were included in the analyses presented here.

Procedures

CALGB 79804 was approved by the institutional review board at each participating institution. Patient registration and data collection were managed by the CALGB Statistical Center. The patient's addresses, phone numbers, and disease status (alive and disease-free) were confirmed, and the patient's treating physicians were contacted for permission to approach the patients about the current study. A consent form and questionnaire were mailed to each eligible participant along with a postage-paid return envelope. Nonrespondents were contacted by telephone; and, if necessary, the consent form was returned by mail, and the survey was conducted by telephone ($n = 8$ respondents).

Measures

Demographic and clinical variables—Patient information was obtained from the CALGB 8541 database, including demographic characteristics, health insurance status, surgery type, estrogen receptor status, radiation therapy, original treatment arm (low-dose, standard-dose, or intensive-dose adjuvant chemotherapy), and date of treatment.

Cancer surveillance—Breast cancer surveillance and pelvic examination use were assessed by asking participants to report how many times in the past year they had the following examinations: mammogram (defined for participants as 'a special x-ray of the breast to detect breast cancer'), physical examination of the breast or breasts by a physician, and pelvic examination ('internal examination of the female organs') by a physician. Responses to each cancer surveillance item were categorized as zero times, 1 time, 2 times, 3 times, >3 times, or unknown.

Survivors were determined to be within recommended guidelines according to National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommendations.⁴ Breast cancer surveillance was categorized as either complied with recommended guidelines (1 mammogram and 1 clinical breast examination in the past year) or did not comply with recommended guidelines (either >1 mammogram and/or >1 clinical breast examination in the past year or did not complete a mammogram or did not complete a clinical breast examination in the past year). Pelvic examination was categorized as either complied with recommended guidelines (1 pelvic examination in the past year) or did not comply with recommended guidelines (more than 1 pelvic examination in the past year or did not complete a pelvic examination in the past year).

Psychological symptoms—*Breast cancer anxiety* was assessed with a modified form of the Breast Cancer Anxiety and Screening Behavior Scale, a 21-item reliable and valid scale that assesses the intrusive and avoidant thoughts related to breast cancer as well as fear of recurrence.¹⁷ We used 14 of the items on that scale that were relevant to breast cancer survivors and omitted 7 items that were relevant only to high-risk women or to breast cancer screening. Higher scores indicated more frequent breast cancer anxiety.

Depressive symptoms during the past week were measured with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale 20-item short form total score.¹⁸ Higher scores indicated worse depression symptomology.

Stressful life events were assessed with the 11-item Life Events Scale¹⁹ adapted from the Social Readjustment Rating Scale²⁰ to measure the occurrence of a variety of potentially stressful life events as well as the perceived emotional impact of the event. These events were not related to the diagnosis of breast cancer but reflected general stressful events (ie, spousal death, job loss, etc). Higher scores on this scale represented a worse burden of stressful life events.

Body satisfaction was assessed with the summed 10-item Self-Concept Scale, which assesses participants' satisfaction with different body areas and their weight.²¹ With this scale, higher scores indicated greater body satisfaction.

Social support was measured with the 20-item Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey²² total score. Higher scores indicated greater perceived social support.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe participants' characteristics by breast cancer surveillance and pelvic examination use during the past year. The association between categorical variables and cancer screening was assessed using the Fisher exact test.²³ The relation between screening and age was analyzed using analysis of variance, and the relation between screening and 'time since enrollment' was analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test²⁴ because of non-normality of the data. Analysis of covariance models adjusted for age, relationship status, and private insurance status were used to generate adjusted means for psychological symptoms, body satisfaction, and social support for patient subgroups defined by breast cancer surveillance and pelvic examination use. All statistical tests were 2-sided, and the level of significance set at 5%. Statistical analyses were performed by statisticians at the CALGB Statistical Center (K.A.D., J.E.H., and J.M.D.).

RESULTS

Breast cancer survivors who participated in this study (n = 245 women) had a mean age of approximately 62 years. On average, the survivors were greater than 12 years since enrollment in the CALGB treatment trial (range, 9.3–16.4 years).

Cancer Surveillance Behaviors

Overall, 107 participants (44%) reported compliance to breast cancer surveillance recommendations. There were no significant differences in demographics or clinical characteristics for breast cancer survivors who did and did not comply (underused and overused) with recommended breast cancer surveillance (Table 1). Of those who did not comply (n = 134; 56%) with the NCCN-recommended guidelines for breast cancer surveillance, 102 women (76%) completed more surveillance and 32 women (24%) completed less surveillance than recommended. There was a trend ($P = .07$) for breast cancer survivors who completed more than the recommended breast cancer surveillance to be more likely to have health insurance compared with survivors who completed less than the recommended breast cancer surveillance.

Completing a pelvic examination within recommended guidelines was reported by 162 breast cancer survivors (68%). There were significant differences in mean age, health insurance, and marital status between breast cancer survivors who did and did not comply with recommended guidelines for pelvic examination. Survivors who reported undergoing a

pelvic examination within recommended guidelines were younger ($P = .05$), more likely to have health insurance ($P = .004$), and more likely to be married ($P = .003$) compared with breast cancer survivors who were not within recommended guidelines for pelvic examination (Table 2). Of those who did not comply ($n = 77$; 32%) with the NCCN-recommended guidelines for pelvic examination, 19 women (25%) completed more than an annual pelvic examination and 58 women (75%) did not complete an annual pelvic examination as recommended.

Relation Between Psychological Symptoms, Body Satisfaction, and Social Support by Cancer Surveillance Behaviors

Mean psychological well being scores, adjusted for age, marital status, and insurance coverage, are listed in Table 3 for survivors stratified by surveillance compliance with recommended guidelines. For breast cancer surveillance, there were no significant differences between women who did and did not comply (underuse and overuse) with recommended guidelines and breast cancer anxiety, depression, body satisfaction, or social support. There was a trend (5.03 vs 6.93; $P = .08$) for fewer life events among women who were within recommended guidelines compared with women who underused breast cancer surveillance.

Survivors who were within recommended guidelines for pelvic examination had significantly lower breast cancer anxiety (0.78 vs 0.93; $P = .03$), less depression (9.29 vs 12.78; $P = .005$), and had a trend for fewer life events (4.95 vs 6.27; $P = .008$) compared with survivors who had completed less than the recommended annual pelvic examination. In addition, breast cancer survivors who were within recommended guidelines for pelvic examination had less depression (9.29 vs 12.88; $P = .005$) compared with survivors who completed more than the recommended annual pelvic examination. The scores for breast cancer anxiety (0.78 vs 0.92) and stressful life events (4.95 vs 6.26) among those who completed more than an annual pelvic examination did not reach statistical significance because of the small number of survivors ($n = 19$) compared with survivors who were within recommended guidelines for pelvic examination. For pelvic examination use, there were no significant differences between women who did and did not comply (underuse and overuse) with recommended guidelines and body satisfaction or social support.

DISCUSSION

This study determined the prevalence of adherence to cancer surveillance (mammography, clinical breast examination, and pelvic examination) behaviors within recommended guidelines among long-term breast cancer survivors and the association of these behaviors with demographic and clinical characteristics, psychological symptoms, body satisfaction, and social support. On average, participants in this study were 12 years (range, 9–16 years) postentry into a chemotherapy treatment trial. Over half of the women (56%) self-reported not undergoing breast cancer surveillance within NCCN-recommended guidelines, and 32% of the women did not comply with the NCCN-recommended guidelines for pelvic examination. Of those who did not comply, 24% underused and 76% overused breast cancer surveillance, and 75% underused and 25% overused recommended annual pelvic examination. These findings are similar to previous studies that were conducted among women closer in time to cancer treatment and documented that many breast cancer survivors are not undergoing recommended cancer surveillance.^{5–11} Thus, our findings may have implications for survival among this growing population of long-term breast cancer survivors.²⁵

The current study, however, did not confirm the findings of previous studies that reported the lack of breast cancer surveillance among survivors who were older, unmarried, or from a

minority population.⁵⁻¹¹ The findings in the current study may be because of the limited number of older, unmarried, and minority women participating in the current study. In addition, the design of the original treatment study did not allow for a comparison of surveillance among participants who were diagnosed at a later stage of disease or based on physician specialty for follow-up after treatment.⁵⁻¹¹

There were significant differences in demographic characteristics for women who reported having a pelvic examination within recommended guidelines compared with women not within guidelines in this study. Younger women and married women were more likely to be within recommended pelvic examination guidelines. In addition, women who lacked private health insurance were more likely not to comply with guidelines for receiving a pelvic examination. These findings are similar to studies reporting the completion of Papanicolaou (Pap) testing among women in the general population.²⁶⁻³⁰

To our knowledge, this is the first report examining the compliance of long-term breast cancer survivors to cancer surveillance and how compliance to these surveillance behaviors may be associated with psychological symptoms, body satisfaction, and social support. We were surprised that there were no significant psychosocial variables associated with breast cancer surveillance, because survivors report considerable cancer-related stress and anxiety,^{31,32} and stress has been associated with screening among women at high risk for breast cancer.¹³ There was a trend for more stressful life events among survivors who underused breast cancer surveillance, but there was no trend for breast cancer anxiety. It is possible that breast cancer-related stress and anxiety are not associated with breast cancer surveillance among long-term survivors (mean, 12 years after diagnosis).

This study, however, indicated that long-term breast cancer survivors who followed recommended guidelines for pelvic examination had significantly lower levels of breast cancer anxiety and depression and fewer reported stressful life events than survivors who did not comply with recommended annual pelvic examination. This result may reflect the breast cancer survivors' concern that their cancer has spread to a different body organ or site or another, unmeasured factor (eg, provider recommendation).³³

The results of this study add to the increasing literature focusing on the need for interventions to promote continued cancer surveillance among long-term breast cancer survivors. Many long-term breast cancer survivors do not comply (underuse and overuse) with current surveillance recommendations for breast and pelvic examinations. Barriers to cancer surveillance may be at the patient, provider, or system level. These issues highlight the importance of physician-patient communication to avoid the known fragmented care that is received by many cancer survivors after the conclusion of their cancer treatment.² Although many of the cancer screening barriers in cancer survivors may be similar to the screening barriers identified in noncancer patients, future educational programs to improve surveillance in cancer survivors may benefit from addressing psychosocial and health-related quality-of-life issues associated with a specific cancer diagnosis and the fear of recurrence in this specific population.

Limitations of this study include its cross-sectional design, the reliance on self-report of cancer surveillance, and the generalizability of the findings to all populations, because many of women in this study were white, insured, and had some college education. Women in this study were categorized by NCCN guidelines to assess their compliance with cancer surveillance guidelines. If a woman was instructed by her healthcare provider to receive screening examinations on a different schedule because of symptoms or a family/personal history or if a woman was evaluated by multiple healthcare providers, then that information was not available. Another limitation was that it is known that the self-report of cancer

screening within a specific timeframe differs from a medical record review, especially for cervical cancer screening examinations.^{34,35} In addition, women had participated in a clinical cancer treatment trial and, thus, may have had access to more cancer-related health information. Future studies should investigate cancer screening behaviors in survivors using a prospective design with outcomes measured by a medical records review.

Despite these limitations, the current study provides a unique contribution to the existing literature by reporting the prevalence of cancer surveillance behaviors and its relation to the psychosocial well being of long-term breast cancer survivors. The results from this study have implications for the development of educational programs to improve cancer surveillance in this growing population. Because physician recommendation is the single most predictive facilitator to improve cancer screening rates among women in the general population,^{27,33,36} it also may be an important facilitator among breast cancer survivors. Developing patient-level educational programs directed at improving knowledge and activating survivors to communicate with their healthcare providers about cancer surveillance may prove useful in the future to reduce cancer mortality in this population of survivors.

References

1. Ries, LAG.; Melbert, D.; Krapcho, M., et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2004. Bethesda, Md: National Cancer Institute; 2007.
2. From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivor: Lost in Transition. Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine and National Research Council of the National Academies; 2006. Committee on Cancer Survivorship: Improving Care and Quality of Life, NCPB.
3. Khatcheressian JL, Wolff AC, Smith TJ, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology 2006 update of the breast cancer follow-up and management guidelines in the adjuvant setting. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24:5091–5097. [PubMed: 17033037]
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. [Accessed April 2008] Available at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/default.asp
5. Hillner BE, McDonald MK, Penberthy L, et al. Measuring standards of care for early breast cancer in an insured population. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997; 15:1401–1408. [PubMed: 9193332]
6. Keating NL, Landrum MB, Guadagnoli E, Winer EP, Ayanian JZ. Factors related to underuse of surveillance mammography among breast cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24:85–94. [PubMed: 16382117]
7. Lash TL, Silliman RA. Medical surveillance after breast cancer diagnosis. *Med Care.* 2001; 39:945–955. [PubMed: 11502952]
8. Schapira MM, McAuliffe TL, Nattinger AB. Underutilization of mammography in older breast cancer survivors. *Med Care.* 2000; 38:281–289. [PubMed: 10718353]
9. Andersen MR, Urban N. The use of mammography by survivors of breast cancer. *Am J Public Health.* 1998; 88:1713–1714. [PubMed: 9807544]
10. Geller BM, Kerlikowske K, Carney PA, et al. Mammography surveillance following breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2003; 81:107–115. [PubMed: 14572153]
11. Doubeni CA, Field TS, Ulcickas Yood M, et al. Patterns and predictors of mammography utilization among breast cancer survivors. *Cancer.* 2006; 106:2482–2488. [PubMed: 16634097]
12. Erlich J, Bovbjerg DH, Valdimarsdottir HB. Psychological distress, health beliefs, and frequency of breast self-examination. *J Behav Med.* 2000; 23:277–292. [PubMed: 10863678]
13. Lerman C, Kash K, Stefanek M. Younger women at increased risk for breast cancer: perceived risk, psychological well-being, and surveillance behavior. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* 1994; (16): 171–176. [PubMed: 7999461]
14. Gurevich M, Devins GM, Wilson C, McCready D, Mar-mar CR, Rodin GM. Stress response syndromes in women undergoing mammography: a comparison of women with and without a history of breast cancer. *Psychosom Med.* 2004; 66:104–112. [PubMed: 14747644]

15. Leiferman JA, Pheley AM. The effect of mental distress on women's preventive health behaviors. *Am J Health Promot.* 2006; 20:196–199. [PubMed: 16422139]
16. Wood WC, Budman DR, Korzun AH, et al. Dose and dose intensity of adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II, node-positive breast carcinoma. *N Engl J Med.* 1994; 330:1253–1259. [PubMed: 8080512]
17. Kash KM, Holland JC, Halper MS, Miller DG. Psychological distress and surveillance behaviors of women with a family history of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1992; 84:24–30. [PubMed: 1738170]
18. Radloff LS. A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychological Meas.* 1977; 1:385–401.
19. Wilcox S, Evenson KR, Aragaki A, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Mouton CP, Loevinger BL. The effects of widowhood on physical and mental health, health behaviors, and health outcomes: the Women's Health Initiative. *Health Psychol.* 2003; 22:513–522. [PubMed: 14570535]
20. Holmes TH, Rahe RH. The Social Readjustment Rating Scale. *J Psychosom Res.* 1967; 11:213–218. [PubMed: 6059863]
21. Polivy J. Psychological effects of mastectomy on a woman's feminine self-concept. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 1977; 164:77–87. [PubMed: 836487]
22. Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL. The MOS social support survey. *Soc Sci Med.* 1991; 32:705–714. [PubMed: 2035047]
23. Fisher, R. *The Design of Experiments.* Edinburg, Scotland: Oliver and Boyd; 1935.
24. Kruskal WH, Wallis WA. Use of ranks in a 1-criterion variance analysis. *J Am Stat Assoc.* 1952; 47:583–621.
25. Hensley ML, Dowell J, Herndon JE 2nd, et al. Economic outcomes of breast cancer survivorship: CALGB study 79804. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2005; 91:153–161. [PubMed: 15868443]
26. Hsia J, Kemper E, Kiefe C, et al. The importance of health insurance as a determinant of cancer screening: evidence from the Women's Health Initiative. *Prev Med.* 2000; 31:261–270. [PubMed: 10964640]
27. Ogedegbe G, Cassells AN, Robinson CM, et al. Perceptions of barriers and facilitators of cancer early detection among low-income minority women in community health centers. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2005; 97:162–170. [PubMed: 15712779]
28. Somkin CP, McPhee SJ, Nguyen T, et al. The effect of access and satisfaction on regular mammogram and Papanicolaou test screening in a multiethnic population. *Med Care.* 2004; 42:914–926. [PubMed: 15319618]
29. Selvin E, Brett KM. Breast and cervical cancer screening: sociodemographic predictors among White, Black, and Hispanic women. *Am J Public Health.* 2003; 93:618–623. [PubMed: 12660207]
30. Swan J, Breen N, Coates RJ, Rimer BK, Lee NC. Progress in cancer screening practices in the United States: results from the 2000 National Health Interview Survey. *Cancer.* 2003; 97:1528–1540. [PubMed: 12627518]
31. Andersen BL, Farrar WB, Golden-Kreutz D, et al. Stress and immune responses after surgical treatment for regional breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1998; 90:30–36. [PubMed: 9428780]
32. Andersen BL, Shapiro CL, Farrar WB, Cresspin T, Wells-Digregorio S. Psychological responses to cancer recurrence. *Cancer.* 2005; 104:1540–1547. [PubMed: 16118802]
33. Meissner HI, Breen N, Taubman ML, Vernon SW, Graubard BI. Which women aren't getting mammograms and why (United States)? *Cancer Causes Control.* 2007; 18:61–70. [PubMed: 17186422]
34. Paskett ED, Tatum CM, Mack DW, Hoen H, Case LD, Velez R. Validation of self-reported breast and cervical cancer screening tests among low-income minority women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 1996; 5:721–726. [PubMed: 8877064]
35. Caplan LS, Mandelson MT, Anderson LA. Validity of self-reported mammography: examining recall and covariates among older women in a health maintenance organization. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2003; 157:267–272. [PubMed: 12543627]

36. Bazargan M, Bazargan SH, Farooq M, Baker RS. Correlates of cervical cancer screening among underserved Hispanic and African-American women. *Prev Med.* 2004; 39:465–473. [PubMed: 15313085]

Table 1

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Participants by Breast Cancer Surveillance (N=241)

Characteristic	Breast Cancer Surveillance: No. of Patients (%) [*]		
	Within Guidelines, n = 107	Not Within Guidelines, n = 134	
		Underused, n = 32	Overused, n = 102
Age, y			
30–49	12 (11)	3 (9)	8 (8)
50–59	30 (28)	14 (44)	34 (33)
60–69	45 (42)	8 (25)	37 (36)
70	20 (19)	7 (22)	23 (23)
Mean±SD	62.8 (9.8)	61.6 (10.0)	61.3 (9.8)
Race			
White	103 (96)	28 (88)	95 (93)
Other	4 (4)	4 (13)	7 (7)
Education, y			
0–12	62 (58)	15 (47)	43 (42)
13–16	33 (31)	10 (31)	39 (38)
17–70	8 (7)	3 (9)	14 (14)
Income, \$ US			
<\$20,000	21 (20)	4 (13)	17 (17)
\$20,000–\$44,999	30 (28)	10 (31)	27 (27)
\$45,000–\$79,999	29 (27)	6 (19)	17 (17)
\$80,000	14 (13)	5 (16)	25 (25)
Health insurance	103 (96)	27 (84)	102 (100)
Private	87 (81)	22 (69)	87 (85)
Medicare	45 (42)	11 (34)	40 (39)
Medicaid	6 (6)	2 (6)	4 (4)
None	4 (4)	4 (13)	0 (0)
Not reported	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Marital status			
Single	7 (6)	2 (6)	6 (6)
Married/living as married	67 (68)	21 (69)	71 (70)
Separated/divorced/widow	33 (26)	8 (25)	25 (25)
Surgery type			
Mastectomy	88 (82)	27 (84)	74 (73)
Breast conservation	19 (18)	5 (16)	28 (27)
Estrogen receptor status			
Negative	32 (30)	11 (34)	33 (32)
Positive	72 (67)	19 (59)	65 (64)
Borderline	2 (2)	1 (3)	2 (2)
Radiation therapy			
No	86 (80)	27 (84)	71 (71)

Characteristic	Breast Cancer Surveillance: No. of Patients (%) [*]		
	Within Guidelines, n = 107	Not Within Guidelines, n = 134	
		Underused, n = 32	Overused, n = 102
Yes	20 (19)	5 (16)	30 (29)
Treatment arm: CALGB 8541			
Low dose	30 (28)	15 (47)	28 (27)
Standard dose	43 (40)	8 (25)	40 (39)
Intensive dose	34 (32)	9 (28)	34 (33)
Time since CALGB 8541 enrollment, y			
Mean±SD	12.431.9	12.631.6	12.231.8
Range	9.5–16.4	9.3–15.9	9.3–16.2

SD indicates standard deviation; CALGB, Cancer and Leukemia Group B.

* Frequencies may not add up to the sample size total because of missing data.

Table 2

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Participants by Pelvic Examination Use (n=239)

Characteristic	Pelvic Examination: No. of Patients (%) [*]		
	Within Guidelines (n=162)	Not Within Guidelines, n=77	
		Underused, n=58	Overused, n=19
Age, y			
30–49	18 (11)	2 (3)	2 (11)
50–59	49 (30)	22 (38)	5 (26)
60–69	70 (43)	15 (26)	6 (32)
70	25 (15)	19 (33)	6 (32)
Mean±SD; <i>P</i> =.05 [†]	61.5 (9.5)	64.4 (9.6)	65.7 (10.9)
Race			
White	153 (94)	55 (95)	17 (89)
Other	9 (6)	3 (5)	2 (11)
Education, y[‡]			
0–12	75 (46)	30 (52)	13 (68)
13–16	57 (35)	19 (33)	6 (32)
17–70	18 (11)	7 (12)	0 (0)
Income, \$ US			
>\$20,000	22 (14)	15 (26)	3 (16)
\$20,000–\$44,999	45 (28)	15 (26)	7 (37)
\$45,000–\$79,999	34 (21)	13 (22)	5 (26)
≤\$80,000	35 (22)	7 (12)	2 (11)
Health insurance; <i>P</i>=.004[§]	160 (99)	52 (90)	18 (95)
Private insurance	142 (88)	40 (69)	14 (74)
Medicare	58 (36)	28 (48)	9 (47)
Medicaid	8 (5)	2 (3)	1 (5)
None	2 (1)	6 (10)	0 (0)
Not reported	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (5)
Marital status; <i>P</i>=.003[‡]			
Single	7 (4)	6 (10)	0
Married/living as married	120 (74)	28 (48)	12 (63)
Separated/divorced/widowed	35 (22)	24 (41)	7 (37)
Surgery type			
Mastectomy	127 (78)	47 (81)	14 (74)
Breast conservation	35 (22)	11 (19)	5 (26)
Estrogen receptor status			
Negative	56 (35)	13 (22)	6 (32)
Positive	100 (62)	43 (74)	12 (63)
Borderline	4 (2)	1 (2)	0
Radiation therapy			
No	123 (76)	46 (79)	14 (74)

Characteristic	Pelvic Examination: No. of Patients (%) [*]		
	Within Guidelines (n=162)	Not Within Guidelines, n=77	
		Underused, n=58	Overused, n=19
Yes	37 (23)	12 (21)	5 (26)
Treatment arm: CALGB 8541			
Low dose	49 (30)	20 (34)	4 (21)
Standard dose	57 (35)	23 (40)	11 (58)
Intensive dose	56 (35)	15 (26)	4 (21)
Time since CALGB 8541 enrollment, y^{//}			
Mean±SD	12.431.8	12.431.8	11.931.6
Range	9.3–16.4	9.3–16.2	9.7–15.9

SD indicates standard deviation; CALGB, Cancer and Leukemia Group B.

^{*}Frequencies may not add up to the sample size total because of missing data.

[†]The *P* value for this characteristic was calculated using an analysis of variance.

[‡]The *P* value calculation excludes missing data.

[§]The *P* value for this characteristic was calculated using a 2-sided Fisher exact test. Insurance coverage was categorized as 'have private insurance' versus 'do not have private insurance.'

^{//}The *P* value for this characteristic was calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test.

Table 3
Psychological Symptoms, Body Satisfaction, and Social Support by Breast Cancer Surveillance and Pelvic Examination Use

Variable	Psychological Symptoms, Body Satisfaction, and Social Support: Mean±SD					
	Breast Cancer Surveillance*	Breast Cancer Anxiety	Depression	Stressful Life Events	Body Satisfaction	Social Support
Breast cancer surveillance*	n=230; P= .73	n=240; P= .32	n=239; P= .08	n=238; P= .47	n=241; P= .20	
Recommended (n=107)	0.85±0.42	11.52±8.6	5.03±4.5	24.79±7.7	76.21±20.9	
Underused (n=32)	0.81±0.41	13.53±8.8	6.93±5.4	24.03±7.4	69.05±25.8	
Overused (n=102)	0.90±0.50	11.61±8.3	5.61±4.2	25.82±7.3	74.63±19.2	
Pelvic examination*	n=230; P= .03	n=238; P= .005	n=237; P= .08	n=236; P= .58	n=239; P= .21	
Recommended (n=162)	0.78±0.42 ^a	9.29±7.5 ^a	4.95±3.9	25.88±7.5	78.81±18.1	
Underused (n=58)	0.93±0.48 ^b	12.78±9.6 ^b	6.27±5.4	24.41±7.7	74.38±22.6	
Overused (n=19)	0.92±0.58 ^{a,b}	12.88±8.6 ^b	6.26±5.3	25.62±6.0	71.90±29.3	

SD indicates standard deviation.

Results after controlling for age, marital status, and insurance coverage (categorized as “have private insurance” versus “do not have private insurance”).

^{a-b} difference; $p < .05$.