## The murine bml2 gene conversion provides evidence that T cells recognize predominantly Ia conformation

(immune response genes/allogeneic recognition/major histocompatibility complex)

HARLEY Y. TSE\*<sup>†</sup>, Sachio Kanamori\*<sup>‡</sup>, William D. Walsh<sup>§</sup>, and Ted H. Hansen<sup>§</sup>

\*The Department of Immunology, Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, Rahway, NJ 07065; and §The Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, Box 8031, St. Louis, MO <sup>63110</sup>

Communicated by Donald C. Shreffler, July 8, 1985

ABSTRACT The structure of the highly polymorphic Ia dimer is the genetically determined factor that controls the immune response to foreign antigens, albeit the mechanism remains unresolved. However, it is clear that, in diverse immune responses, effector T lymphocytes require recognition of self-Ia and foreign antigenic determinants on the surface of an antigen-presenting cell or an antibody-secreting B cell. Furthermore, a single Ia molecule has been found to possess several independently acting functional domains. In this report T-cell recognition of Ia was limited to a single, defined structure by using the Ia mutant mouse strain B6.C-H-2bm12 (bm12). The Ia determinant being recognized is the site of the mutation that represents a difference in three of five amino acid residues in a hypervariable region of its  $\beta$  chain. This mutation has been proposed to have resulted from a gene conversion-like event and is known to have functional importance. Recognition of the bml2 mutation site was studied here in in vitro cultures of T cells generated against Iabml2 antigens. The specificity of these alloreactive T cells was tested by using stimulator cells expressing various Ia alloantigens of known structure. Our findings provide direct genetic evidence that T cells recognize predominantly conformational determinants on Ia molecules and not their primary structure. The implications of these findings on our understanding of the genetic control of the immune response and the potential to modulate these responses in an antigen-specific way are discussed.

The class II major histocompatibility antigens, the Ia (I region-associated) antigens, are highly polymorphic cellsurface glycoproteins expressed on B lymphocytes and a subpopulation of macrophages as heterodimers consisting of an  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  polypeptide chain (cf. ref. 1). Obligate recognition by T lymphocytes of surface Ia on B cells and macrophages regulates (restricts) the interaction of these three cell types in immune responses. For example, in immune responses to foreign protein antigens, activation of helper T cells requires the concomitant recognition of Ia and nominal antigen on the surface of the antigen-presenting cell (e.g., macrophage) (2). And activation of B cells to secrete specific antibody requires help from T cells recognizing B-cell surface Ia  $(3, 4)$ . Therefore, the interaction of T cells, B cells, and antigenpresenting cells is said to be Ia-restricted. Allogeneic responses to Ia provide a model system to study recognition of Ia, since allogeneic Ia is thought to mimic self-Ia plus antigen (5). Furthermore, recent studies indicate that both alloreactive and antigen-specific T cells use a common pool of receptors (6).

The I-A mutant mouse strain B6.C- $H$ -2<sup>bm12</sup> (bm12) has been used to probe several structure-function correlates of immune recognition of Ia molecules. The structural difference between B6 and bml2 Ia is confined to three amino acid

differences in a segment of five amino acids (7-9). And the site of the mutation is located in a hypervariable region of the outer extracellular domain of the I subregion  $A_\beta$  polypeptide chain. It has been proposed that the  $bm/2$  mutation resulted from a gene conversion event, whereby a minimum of 14 nucleotides of the  $A_{\beta}^{p}$  gene were replaced by homologous information from the  $E^b_\beta$  gene (8, 9). Functional comparisons of B6 and bml2 mice have defined differences in both allogeneic and antigen-specific T-cell responses. For example, B6 and bml2 mice reject each other's skin grafts (10) and generate cytotoxic (11) and proliferative (10) in vitro T-cell responses. Differences in the immune responses of B6 and bml2 mice have been reported with the antigens H-Y (12), beef insulin (13), and the random polypeptides poly(Glu,Ala) and poly(Glu,Tyr) (14). However, there are other  $I-A^b$ restricted immune responses [e.g., multichain poly(amino acid) poly(Tyr,Glu)-poly(Ala)--poly(Lys)—called  $(T,G)$ -AL—and collagen] in which B6 and bm12 mice respond comparably (12). Therefore, studies using bml2 mice provided direct genetic evidence that Ia molecules are themselves the antigen-specific mediators of the immune response, the so-called Ir gene products, and that each Ia molecule has multiple functional domains (12, 15), only one of which was altered in the bml2 mutant. More recent studies with bml2 mice and cells have defined the mutation site as a discrete functional domain seen by allogeneic (8) and antigenspecific (16, 17) T lymphocytes. In studies by Hochman and Huber (16) and Mengle-Gaw et al. (8), this functional domain was found to be shared between  $A_{\beta}^{b}$  and  $E_{\beta}^{b}$  molecules, thus correlating with the putative gene conversion event. These authors suggested that the conversion event resulted in the transfer of a "minigene" that maintains its functional integrity and that other hypervariable regions of Ia may also function as independent domains. Such an interpretation would have significant implications on genetic evolution and medicine. For example, once the precise function of a socalled "minigene" was determined, this knowledge could be used to genetically diagnose or therapeutically manipulate the immune system in an exquisitely specific manner.

To address these questions regarding T-cell recognition of Ia, we analyzed the B6 anti-bml2 proliferative response using mixed lymphocyte reaction assays and numerous T-cell clones. In contrast to the aforementioned studies, our data suggest that the  $bm/2$  mutation is seen as a unique conformational determinant not shared with the  $E^b_\beta$  molecule or Ia molecules of other standard haplotypes. Therefore, our results suggest that the putative bm12 gene conversion event resulted in the creation of a unique functional domain on Ia,

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: B6, C57BL/6; bm12, the spontaneous *I-A* mutant mouse strain, B6.C-H-2<sup>bm12</sup>; TCGF, T-cell growth factor.

To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

tPresent address: Department of Urology, National Tohsei Hospital, 762-1 Nagasawa, Shimiza-cho, Suntoh-gun, Japan.

thus providing genetic evidence that alloreactive T cells see predominantly conformational determinants.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. C57BL/6, B10.D2, B10.BR, B10.G, and B10.RIII were purchased from The Jackson Laboratories. bml2 mice originally provided by R. Melvold (Northwestern University) were bred in our own facilities. B10.S mice were kindly provided by C. David (Mayo Clinic). All mice were used between ages 2 and 6 months. The mouse strains used and their salient properties are summarized in Table 1.

Generation of Alloreactive T-Lymphocyte Colonies. The methods of Sredni et al. (20) were used with slight modification. Briefly, T lymphocytes were enriched by passage of normal spleen cells over nylon-wool columns. Responder T lymphocytes  $(3 \times 10^6)$  were cultured with equal numbers of irradiated stimulator spleen cells in a 1-ml vol of RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Reheis Chemical, Phoenix, AZ; 50 units of penicillin, 50  $\mu$ g of streptomycin, and 100  $\mu$ g of gentamycin per ml (all from GIBCO); <sup>2</sup> mM L-glutamine (GIBCO); and <sup>50</sup>  $\mu$ M 2-mercaptoethanol in 24-well culture plates (Limbro, Flow Laboratories). Cultured cells were recovered 5 days later, washed, and seeded in soft agar containing irradiated stimulator spleen cells. Colonies were picked between days 3 and 5 and were expanded in vitro with  $1 \times 10^5$  stimulator cells in complete RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% T-cell growth factor (TCGF or interleukin 2). TCGF activity was provided by the culture supernatants of EL-4 cells (provided by John Farrar, Department of Immunopharmacology, Hoffmann-La Roche) stimulated with  $4\beta$ -phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (Sigma) at a final concentration of 15 ng/ml. Cultures were fed with fresh medium and stimulator cells every week.

Generation of Long-Term Alloreactive T-Lymphocyte Lines. Long-term alloreactive T-lymphocyte lines were generated by the methods of Kimoto and Fathman (21). Briefly, primary mixed lymphocyte cultures were set up in 24-well plates with  $3 \times 10^6$  responders and  $3 \times 10^6$  irradiated stimulators in a 1-ml vol. On day 7, cultures were replenished with fresh medium and allowed to rest for another week. After that, cultures were split and restimulated with  $1 \times 10^6$ irradiated stimulator spleen cells for 5 days. Cultures were

Table 1. Mouse strains used, their I region genotypes, and their Ia antigens expressed on the cell surface

		<i>I</i> -region genotypes*	Ia antigens expressed on			
<b>Strain</b>	Αg		$E_B$	$E_{\alpha}$	cell surface <sup>†</sup>	
<b>B6</b>		h	b	h	$A^b_\alpha \cdot A^b_\beta$	
$bm 12^{\ddagger}$	bm12	h	h	h	$A_{\alpha}^{b}$ . $A_{\beta}^{bm12}$	
<b>B10.BR</b>		k	k	k	$A_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{k}}\cdot A_{\beta}^{\mathbf{k}},$ $\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{k}}_{\alpha}\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{k}}_{\alpha}$	
<b>B10.D2</b>		d	d	d	$A_{\alpha}^d$ $A_{\beta}^d$ , $E_{\alpha}^d$ $E_{\beta}^d$	
<b>B10.S</b>	s	s	s	s	$A_{\alpha}^s \cdot A_{\beta}^s$	
<b>B10.G</b>	q	q	q	q	$A^q_\alpha \cdot A^q_\beta$	
<b>B10.RIII</b>					$A_{\alpha}^{\rm r} \cdot A_{\beta}^{\rm r}$ , $E_{\alpha}^{\rm r} \cdot E_{\beta}^{\rm r}$	
B10.A(5R) <sup>§</sup>		h	b/k		$A_{\alpha}^{\circ}\cdot A_{\beta}^{\circ},$	

\*The haplotype origin ofeach gene is designated by a lowercase letter in the table.

The b, s, and q haplotypes fail to express a second Ia molecule due to a genetically aberrant  $E_{\alpha}$  or  $E_{\beta}$  gene (19).

<sup>‡</sup>The bm12 strain has a mutation in its  $A_\beta$  gene and thus is designated Abml2

 $§B10.A(5R)$  is an intra-I-region recombinant with the left half of its  $E_B$  gene derived from the b haplotype, whereas the right half was derived from the k haplotype (18). The exon in B1O.A(5R) encoding the  $E_B$  outer domain (that region involved in the putative gene conversion event) was derived from the b haplotype.

then allowed to rest for 10 days, and the cycle was repeated. Subsequently, viable cells were isolated by Ficoll-Paque purification and cultured at  $4 \times 10^5$  cells per well. From then on, cells proliferated rapidly when stimulated by the appropriate stimulators.

Assay for Alloreactivity. Cloned T lymphocytes  $(5 \times 10^3)$ were cultured in a 0.2-3 ml vol of complete RPMI 1640 medium in 96-well flat-bottomed plates together with  $2 \times 10^5$ irradiated normal spleen cells from various mouse strains as stimulator cells. Cultures were maintained in 95% air/5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at 37°C for 4 days. Ten to 20 hr before harvesting, 1  $\mu$ Ci of tritiated thymidine (New England Nuclear) was added to each culture. Incorporation of thymidine was determined in a Beckman liquid scintillation counter (model L5900). All cultures were established in triplicates, and the results were expressed as the mean number of cpm.

## RESULTS

Analysis of B6 Anti-bml2 Alloreactive Clones. Since the three amino acid differences in the  $A_\beta$  polypeptide chain of bml2 Ia antigen created a determinant that is recognized as foreign by B6 T lymphocytes, we investigated the uniqueness of this determinant by raising B6 anti-bml2 alloreactive T-lymphocyte clones and testing for crossreaction with cells from standard haplotypes. T-lymphocyte clones were obtained by the soft-agar methodology (20). Seventeen bml2 specific alloclones were raised and tested in mixed lymphocyte cultures with spleen cell stimulators bearing the Ia alloantigens of the  $d$ ,  $k$ ,  $r$ ,  $q$ , or s haplotypes. Table 2 summarizes the reactivities of these clones 2 months after their clonal expansion in liquid culture. Crossreactivity in our system was defined as proliferation that was minimally  $10\%$ that of the response to bml2 Ia antigen. This definition was based on the fact that the standard errors of the means of control culture responses were usually <10%. Based on this criteria, the majority of the B6 anti-bml2 clones were specific for the bml2 determinant and exhibited no extensive crossreaction with other haplotypes. Four of the 17, however, did show low levels of crossreactivity. These 4 clones were subjected to further analysis.

One possible circumstance that can give rise to a false positives is the clonality of the cell population. Since the soft-agar colonies were not recloned, it was possible that some of these colonies contained, in addition to bml2 specific cells, other alloreactive cells that were also propagated due to nonspecific recruitment (22). But since the majority of cells were specific, these nonspecific contaminants would eventually be diluted out. Thus, 51E5, 52E8, 53G6, and 54B8 were stimulated and passed for 2 additional months. Table <sup>3</sup> shows the reactivity of these four clones 4 months after establishment. In this experiment, titrating numbers of T lymphocytes were used. It can be seen that three of the four originally crossreactive clones now no longer exhibited any crossreactivity, indicating that the original 2-month cultures actually contained nonspecific contaminating cells rather than a crossreactive clone. One clone, 51E5, continued to show crossreactivity to B10.D2. Table 4 shows the results of an experiment in which the four clones were tested again 6 months after establishment. It confirms the observations of Table 3 and further shows that no new specific responses arose in the 4 months of culture.

Taken together, we concluded that the "gain" antigenic determinant on bml2 Ia represents a private specificity. The minor crossreactivity with  $Ia<sup>d</sup>$  was only 1 of 17, suggesting that 51E5 was a rare clone.

Conformational Recognition of the bml2 Ia Determinant. Recent studies have suggested that the  $bm/2$  mutation represents a gene conversion whereby at least 14 nucleotides from an  $E_B^b$  gene replaced homologous information in an  $A_B^b$ 

T-cell		Proliferative response in cultures with stimulators, cpm								
colonies	Medium	<b>B6</b>	bm12	<b>B10.BR</b>	<b>B10.D2</b>	<b>B10.S</b>	<b>B10.G</b>	<b>B10.RIII</b>		
63F5	134	177	9992	337	358	261	292	367		
50B5	98	228	2362	343	208	223	149	199		
50E10	162	324	6031	624	443	863	691	539		
51B2	209	160	3816	276	217	289	216	260		
51B3	75	133	2855	206	202	292	139	210		
51B5	171	384	2043	444	337	235	255	448		
51B8	40	193	4228	328	221	329	593	508		
51B9	1152	1304	11554	1341	1633	1858	1882	1889		
51E4	43	291	5604	442	326	644	515	515		
52B5	150	221	7309	235	216	703	239	317		
52B10	108	241	3466	317	414	297	337	389		
53G11	76	249	11542	302	316	358	222	195		
54E10	121	130	10815	383	270	275	203	204		
51E5	53	106	2787	434 (12.2%)	648 (20.2%)	235	175	213		
52E8	52	102	2209	291	172	276	188	536 (20.6%)		
53G6	86	143	4387	1052(21.4%)	269	332	258	251		
54B8	153	455	5863	$1003(10.1\%)$	436	530	614	607		

Table 2. Alloantigen specificity of B6 anti-bm12 T-cell colonies

Two months after picking the colonies and after expansion in liquid cultures,  $5 \times 10^3$  T cells were cultured with  $2 \times 10^5$ irradiated normal spleen cells from various mouse strains as the source of stimulator cells in complete culture medium supplemented with 10% TCGF. Proliferate responses were measured on day 4. Crossreactivities above 10% were indicated in parentheses. Crossreactivity was calculated by the following formula in which stimulation is measured as cpm incorporation:

> stimulation by crossreactive strain  $-$  stimulation by syngeneic B6  $\cdot \times 100\%$ .

stimulation by  $bm 12 -$  stimulation by syngeneic B6

gene, thus creating the  $A_{\beta}^{bml2}$  gene (7–9). This putative conversion resulted in three amino acid differences between  $A_B^b$  and  $A_B^{pm12}$  polypeptides in a hypervariable region of the outer molecular domain ( $\beta$ 1). Since B6 and bm12 mice fail to express  $E^b_\beta$  chains (19), the  $bm/2$  gene conversion resulted in the expression of a new Ia sequence expressed by bml2 and not by B6 cells. To determine whether T cells see the site of

Table 3. Alloantigen specificity of B6 anti-bml2 T-cell colonies 4 months after establishment

T-cell	T cells per well,	Proliferative response in cultures with stimulators, cpm						
colonies	no.		bm12	<b>B10.BR</b>	<b>B10.D2</b>	<b>B10.RIII</b>		
51E5	$5.0 \times 10^3$	268	5441	161	1430 (22.9%)			
	$7.5 \times 10^3$	505	8502	343	2131 (20.3%)			
	$10.0 \times 10^{3}$	341	11547	761	2821 (22.1%)			
	$15.0 \times 10^3$	457	14309	1117	3002 (18.4%)			
52E8	$1.25 \times 10^3$	187	2601			266		
	$2.50 \times 10^3$	177	7750			95		
	$5.00 \times 10^{3}$	253	13457			144		
	$7.50 \times 10^3$	210	16971			103		
53G6	$5.0 \times 10^3$	185	9051	956				
	$7.5 \times 10^3$	283	15376	1131				
	$10.0 \times 10^{3}$	300	17261	1485				
	$15.0 \times 10^{3}$	517	22839	1684				
54B8	$2.5 \times 10^3$	180	2948	199				
	$5.0 \times 10^3$	207	8171	349				
	$7.5 \times 10^3$	184	11039	424				
	$10.0 \times 10^3$	248	14713	498				
	$15.0 \times 10^3$	266	18878	511				

Four months after picking the colonies and following in vitro eXpansion, various numbers of T lymphocytes from each cell line were cultured with  $2 \times 10^5$  irradiated normal spleen cell from the indicated strains in complete culture medium supplemented with 10% TCGF. Cells were harvested on day 4, and [3H]thymidine was added 16 to  $\approx$  18 hr before harvesting. Crossreactivities above 10% were indicated in parentheses. Crossreactivity was calculated in the same manner as in Table 2.

the  $bm/2$  mutation as a separate functional domain, we used the intra-I region recombinant mouse strain BlO.A(5R). As listed in Table 1, BlO.A(5R) cells express both cell surface Ia dimers  $E_{\alpha}^{k}E_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  and  $A_{\alpha}^{k}A_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ . Since the  $\beta I$  exon of the  $E_{\beta}$  gene of B10.A(5R) was derived from the *b* haplotype (18), bm12 and BlO.A(5R) both express the same Ia sequence involved in the conversion event, albeit bm12 in the context of  $A_\beta$  and B10.A(5R) in the context of  $E<sub>6</sub>$ .

B6 and bml2 cells mount a strong primary mixed lymphocyte response not only to each other but to third party cell types as well (Table 5). Cells from this experiment also were used to make a B6 anti-bml2 alloreactive line by the method of Kimoto and Fathman (21). This line was repeatedly stimulated with bml2 cells for 3 months and then was tested on a panel of cell types. The B6 anti-bml2 line showed a strong response to bml2 stimulators but a negligible response to  $Ia<sup>K</sup>$  (B10.BR),  $Ia<sup>d</sup>$  (B10.D2), and  $Ia<sup>q</sup>$  (B10.G) antigens (Table 6). It is noteworthy that only background levels of response were observed when this line was stimulated by B10.A(5R) cells, suggesting that the  $bm/2$  mutation is seen by T cells as a conformational determinant.

Table 4. Alloantigen specificity of B6 anti-bml2 T-cell colonies 6 months after establishment

T-cell colo-				Proliferative response in cultures with stimulators, cpm			
nies				B6 bm12 B10.BR B10.D2			<b>B10.S B10.G B10.RIII</b>
		51E5 651 32171	683	6079 (17.2%)	145	213	228
52E8	124	8241	95	65	245	101	49
53G6		62 14139	141	29	81	99	95
54B8		103 12330	196	110	99	180	36

Six months after picking colonies and following in vitro expansion,  $5 \times 10^3$  T lymphocytes from each cell line were cultured with  $2 \times 10^5$ irradiated stimulator cells from various mouse strains in complete culture medium supplemented with 10% TCGF. Cells were harvested on day 4 and  $[3H]$ thymidine was added 16 to  $\approx 18$  hr before harvesting. Crossreactivity of >10% was indicated in parentheses and crossreactivity was calculated from the same formula as in Table 2.

Table 5. Primary mixed lymphocyte reactions in vitro

Proliferative response in cultures with stimulators, cpm										
Responder	<b>B6</b>	bm12 B10.A(5R) B10.BR B10.D2 B10.G								
<b>B6</b>	1265	12523	14323	22656	35496	25239				
bm12	12603	2061	15079	18917	17793	15369				
<b>B10.A(5R)</b>	3372	2593 32550 26312 26509								

Normal responder spleen cells  $(3 \times 10^5)$  were cultured in a 0.2-ml vol of complete RPMI 1640 medium with  $3 \times 10^5$  irradiated spleen stimulator cell populations from various strains. Stimulator cells were irradiated with 2000 R. [<sup>3</sup>H]Thymidine incorporation was assayed on day 4.

To further explore the role of Ta conformation, we also analyzed the B10.A(5R) anti-bml2 proliferative response. B10.A(5R) cells mounted a strong primary mixed lymphocyte response to bml2 cells and, as expected, no response to B6 cells (Table 5). Cells from these same cultures were propagated by the above described procedures to establish an alloreactive cell line. This B10.A(5R) anti-bml2 line was found to be highly specific for  $Ia^{bm12}$  antigens (Table 6). Since B10.A(5R) cells are incapable of recognizing the primary sequence of the bmJ2 mutation, these data underscore the importance of conformation in T-cell recognition of Ta.

In summary, three lines of evidence are presented that suggest that T cells see predominantly the secondary structure of Ia:  $(i)$  none of the B6 anti-bm12 clones reacted with cells expressing  $E^k_\beta$  polypeptides that share the same amino acid sequence with  $A_{\beta}^{b}$  and  $E_{\beta}^{b}$  in the region involved in the mutation; (ii) a B6 anti-bm12 line failed to react with  $E^b_{\beta}$ - or  $E_R^k$ -bearing cells; and *(iii)* B10.A(5R) cells mounted a strong anti-bml2 response even though they should be tolerant to all linear determinants.

## DISCUSSION

We studied the T-cell recognition of mutant  $Ia^{bm12}$  antigens by parental B6 cells. This assay system has several unique features that make it of interest in studies of immune recognition. First, it permits the study of a select portion of the Ta molecule that has been structurally defined; second, recognition of the  $bm/2$  mutation is known to have functional importance in both allogeneic and antigen-specific T-cell responses; and third, given the structure of the  $bm/2$  mutation, the B6 anti-bml2 response is tantamount to studying recognition of a functional domain of an  $E_\beta$  molecule transferred to the homologous site on an  $A_\beta$  molecule. Our studies of the B6 anti-bm12 response support several conclusions.

Table 6. Mixed lymphocyte reactions of B6 anti-bm12 and B10.A(5R) anti-bml2 cell lines

Responder cell line	Cells $\times 10^{-3}$ per		Proliferative response in cultures with stimulators, cpm						
	well	B6.		bm12 B10.A(5R) B10.BR B10.D2 B10.G					
<b>B6</b>	5	148	41654	247	463	155	423		
anti- bm12	10	663	51031	538	2160	722	705		
B10.A(5R)	5		652 161930	456	3111	988	957		
anti- bm 12	10		412 240113	827	3246	2215	1070		

Three months after repeated stimulation and resting periods in vitro and 10 days after the last stimulation with bml2 stimulator cells, various numbers of cells were cultured with  $2 \times 10^5$  irradiated spleen stimulator cells from the strains indicated. [<sup>3</sup>H]Thymidine incorporation was assayed on day 4.

The Gain-Specificity of the bml2 Mutation Is Unique. Analyses of B6 anti-bml2 clones and lines indicate that the overwhelming majority of this response is directed against a unique Ia determinant. Sixteen of 17 B6 anti-bm12 clones showed no reproducible crossreactions with the other haplotypes tested  $(k,d,s,q,r)$ , whereas only 1 showed a minor crossreaction with Ia<sup>d</sup> antigens. Similarly, B6 anti-bm12 and B10.A(5R) anti-bm12 bulk culture (or line) showed principal reactivity with bm12. Concordantly, the humoral response against Iabml2 was found previously to define a unique serological determinant not shared with other haplotypes (23). In contrast to these findings, the reciprocal response (bml2 anti-B6) was found to recognize both private and public  $Ia<sup>b</sup>$  determinants in proliferative (24) and humoral responses (23).

The bml2 Conversion Resulted in the Creation of a New Functional Domain, Not the Transfer of a Preexisting One. Recent studies by Hochman and Huber (16) and Mengle-Gaw et al. (8) defined Ia determinants that are shared between  $A_{\beta}^{bm12}$  and  $E_{\beta}^{b}$  polypeptides and are recognized by either antigen-specific or allogeneic T cells, respectively. Because this crossreaction correlates with the putative gene conversion, both groups proposed that the  $bm/2$  mutation defines a functional unit for T-cell recognition of la. In the study by Hochman and Huber (16), immune responsiveness of  $H-2^k$ mice to sheep insulin was shown to be mediated by the  $E_{\alpha}^{k}E_{\beta}^{k}$ molecule, whereas the  $A_{\alpha}^{b} \cdot A_{\beta}^{b}$  molecule of  $H-2^{b}$  mice conferred nonresponsiveness to sheep insulin. Since bml2 mice were found to be responders to sheep insulin and  $E_8^k$  and  $E_8^b$ polypeptides are identical in the region involved in the putative conversion, they postulated that this mutation defines an immune response epitope on Ta for sheep insulin. In the studies by Fathman and colleagues, a single A anti-( $\overline{B6} \times$  $A$ ) $F_1$  alloreactive T-cell clone defined a determinant shared by  $\bar{A}^{bm12}_{\beta}$  and  $E^b_{\beta}$  polypeptides (8). Based on this correlation with the putative  $\frac{bm12}{2}$  conversion event, they also proposed that this clone defines a T-cell recognition unit on Ta, or a so-called minigene product.

If the bm12 determinant represents the transfer of a functional unit from  $E^b_\beta$  to  $A^{bml2}_\beta$ , one would predict that B6 anti-bml2 alloreactive T cells should also be stimulated by  $E_{\beta}^{k}$ - or  $E_{\beta}^{b}$ -bearing cells. However, this is clearly not the case. None of the B6 anti-bml2 clones could be stimulated by  $E_B^k$ -positive cells, nor did the B6 anti-bm12 culture show  $B10.A(5R)$  (E<sub>8</sub>-positive) reactivity. Therefore, an A<sub>8</sub><sup>bm12</sup>-E<sub>8</sub><sup>b</sup> crossreactive determinant was not detectable in these responses, suggesting that the  $bm/2$  mutation site is seen as a conformational determinant. In other words, the  $bm/2$  mutation is recognized not as a separate structural domain but rather as a conformational determinant seen in the context of other parts of the  $A_{\beta}$  or  $E_{\beta}$  polypeptide or as a combinatorial determinant seen in the context of the  $A_{\alpha}$  or  $E_{\alpha}$  polypeptide. Therefore, we suggest that the published correlations of T-cell function with the putative bmJ2 conversion represent fortuitous findings and that  $Ia^{bm12}$  is no more likely to share determinants with  $E^k_{\beta}$  or  $E^b_{\beta}$  polypeptides than with any other Ta alloantigen.

T Cells Recognize Predominantly Conformational Determinants on Ia. The T-cell receptors that recognize allogeneic Ia are apparently a subset of those that recognize nominal antigen in the context of self-Ia (6). Therefore, the results reported here raise important questions regarding functional recognition of Ta in the immune response. For example, is the mutated region of Iabm12 a predominant site for T-cell recognition? A series of previous observations suggested this was true. First, several lines of evidence indicated that Ia molecules have multiple functional sites (e.g., refs. 13, 15, and 17); second, a high proportion of relevant T-cell clones and hybridomas can discriminate between Ia<sup>b</sup> and Ia<sup>bm12</sup> molecules in either allogeneic or antigen-specific immune responses (17). However, the results reported here suggest that this discrimination between  $Ia<sup>b</sup>$  and  $Ia<sup>bm12</sup>$  is probably due to recognition of secondary structural differences between these molecules. Therefore, the high frequency of T cells that can distinguish mutant from wild-type Ia may be a reflection of the extent of the conformational change ensuing from the bml2 mutation rather than the importance of the primary structure in this region of the molecule.

Another question raised by the studies reported here is whether Ia-restricted, antigen-specific T cells also recognize conformational determinants. Several recent findings could be interpreted as evidence that immune T cells see the primary structure of Ia or nominal antigen. The aforementioned studies of Hochman and Huber (16) suggest that immune response to sheep insulin is dependent upon recognition of the five amino acid sequence involved in the putative bml2 gene conversion. However, we were unable to reproduce these results (unpublished observation). Studies from several laboratories have reported that a small peptide can replace native antigen for the stimulation of certain T cells (e.g., ref. 25). However, clones reactive with peptide might represent rare clones, and it is unclear what size fragment would be necessary to attain a recognizable conformation. It also seems possible that a peptide (or antigen-processed fragment of native antigen) interacts with Ia in a manner necessary to attain a conformation required for T-cell recognition. There is also some evidence that T cells can see secondary structure of nominal antigen. Studies using T cells immune to cytochrome  $c$  (26), myoglobin (27), or insulin (28) suggested that Ia-restricted T cells see conformational determinants on nominal antigen. Taken together with the data presented here, we feel the evidence supports the conclusion that T cells recognize predominantly the conformation of Ia in both allogeneic and syngeneic responses. This conclusion is also consistent with previous studies using  $K<sup>b</sup>$  mutants that demonstrated that cytotoxic T cells see conformational determinant on class <sup>I</sup> major histocompatibility complex molecules (29).

Authors are indebted to Mrs. Karen Perks for her expert secretarial assistance, Drs. D. C. Shreffier and A. S. Rosenthal for their support, and Drs. D. R. Lee and S. E. Cullen for their scientific criticism. This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grant AI 19993 awarded to T.H.H.

- 1. Kaufman, J. F., Auffray, C., Korman, A. J., Shackelford, D. A. & Strominger, J. (1984) Cell 36, 1-13.
- 2. Shevach, E. M. & Rosenthal, A. S. (1973) J. Exp. Med. 138, 1213-1229.
- 3. Sprent, J. (1978) J. Exp. Med. 147, 1159-1174.
- 4. Tse, H. Y., Mond, J. J. & Paul, W. E. (1981) J. Exp. Med. 153, 871-882.
- 5. Janeway, C. A., Wigzell, H. & Binz, H. (1976) Scand. J. Immunol. 5, 993-1001.
- 6. Kaye, J. & Janeway, C. A. (1984) J. Exp. Med. 159, 1397-1412.
- 7. McIntyre, K. & Seidman, J. (1984) Nature (London) 308, 551-553.
- 8. Mengle-Gaw, L., Conner, S., McDevitt, H. 0. & Fathman, C. G. (1984) J. Exp. Med. 160, 1184-1194.
- 9. Widera, G. & Favell, R. A. (1984) *EMBO J.* 3, 1221-1225.<br>10. McKenzie. I. F. C., Morgan, G. M., Sandrin, M.
- McKenzie, I. F. C., Morgan, G. M., Sandrin, M. S., Michaelides, M. M., Melvold, R. W. & Kohn, H. I. (1979) J. Exp. Med. 150, 1323-1338.
- 11. deWaal, L. P., Melief, C. H. M. & Melvold, R. W. (1981) Eur. J. Immunol. 11, 258-265.
- Michaelides, M., Sandrin, M., Morgan, G., McKenzie, I. F. C., Ashman, R. & Melvold, R. W. (1981) J. Exp. Med. 153, 464-469.
- 13. Lin, C.-C., Rosenthal, A. S., Passmore, H. C. & Hansen, T. H. (1981) Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 6406-6410.
- 14. Lei, H. Y., Melvold, R. W., Miller, S. D. & Waltenbaugh, C. (1982) J. Exp. Med. 156, 5%-609.
- 15. Beck, B. N., Nelson, P. A. & Fathman, C. G. (1983) J. Exp. Med. 157, 1396-1404.
- 16. Hochman, P. S. & Huber, B. T. (1984) J. Exp. Med. 160, 1925-1930.
- Kanamori, S., Walsh, W. D., Hansen, T. H. & Tse, H. Y. (1984) J. Immunol. 133, 2811-2814.
- 18. Steinmetz, M., Minard, K., Horvath, S., McNicholas, J., Srelinger, J., Wake, C., Long, E., Mach, B. & Hood, L. (1982) Nature (London) 300, 35-42.
- 19. Mathis, D. J., Benoist, C., Williams, V. E., Kanter, M. F. & McDevitt, H. 0. (1983) Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 273-277.
- 20. Sredni, B., Tse, H. Y., Chen, C. & Schwartz, R. H. (1981) J. Immunol. 126, 341-347.
- 21. Kimoto, M. S. & Fathman, C. G. (1981) J. Exp. Med. 153, 375-385.
- 22. Tse, H. Y., Schwartz, R. H. & Paul, W. E. (1980) J. Immunol. 125, 491-500.
- 23. Morgan, G. M., McKenzie, I. F. C. & Melvold, R. W. (1980) Immunogenetics 11, 1-6.
- 24. Skelly, R. R., Pappas, F., Koprak, S., Ahmed, A. & Hansen, T. H. (1982) J. Immunol. 129, 2094-2097.
- 25. Yoshioka, M., Bixler, G. S. & Atassi, M. Z. (1983) Mol. Immunol. 20, 1133-1137.
- 26. Buchmuller, Y. & Corradin, G. (1982) Eur. J. Immunol. 12, 412-416.
- 27. Berkower, I., Buckenmeyer, G. K., Gard, R. N. & Berzofsky, J. A. (1982) Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 4723-4727.
- Glimcher, L. H., Schroer, J. A., Chan, C. & Shevach, E. M. (1983) J. Immunol. 131, 2868-2874.
- 29. Sherman, L. A. (1982) Nature (London) 297, 511-513.