

Correspondence

Purported Mcl-1 inhibitor marinopyrrole A fails to show selective cytotoxicity for Mcl-1-dependent cell lines

JM Eichhorn¹, SE Alford¹, CC Hughes², W Fenical² and TC Chambers^{*,1}*Cell Death and Disease* (2013) 4, e880; doi:10.1038/cddis.2013.411; published online 24 October 2013

Subject Category: Cancer

Dear Editor,

Anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1 have become prime targets for anti-cancer agents in an effort to overcome blocks in apoptosis exhibited by cancer cells.¹ Marinopyrrole A, a marine natural product originally developed for its activity against Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*,^{2,3} was recently reported by Doi *et al.*⁴ to be a selective

Mcl-1 antagonist that binds to Mcl-1 and targets it for degradation. This publication was received with great interest because the discovery of a specific Mcl-1 inhibitor had thus far eluded researchers. Indeed, the only agents reported to target Mcl-1 to date are general transcription inhibitors, which show limited selectivity for Mcl-1 due to its short half-life.^{5,6} However, previous studies in human colon carcinoma cells reported actin




Figure 1 Marinopyrrole A is not selectively cytotoxic for Mcl-1-dependent cell lines. Cell viability was assessed using an MTT ((3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) cell viability assay. Cells were untreated (100%) or treated with marinopyrrole A (0.1–100 μM) for 72 h. Results given are mean ± s.d. ($n = 6$). (a) Left: cell viability curves for HeLa and RS4:11 (Bcl-2 dependent) cells. Right: immunoblot analysis of relative levels of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (b) Cell viability curves for Mcl-1-dependent and Bcl-2-dependent leukemia cells. (c) Cell viability curves for control HeLa cells and HeLa cells generated to stably overexpress untagged full-length Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, or Mcl-1. Cell extraction, immunoblotting, and cell viability assays using MTT dye were performed as described previously.⁹ Antibodies against Bcl-2 (sc-509) and Mcl-1 (sc-819) were from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA) and antibodies against Bcl-xL (2762) and GAPDH (14C10) were from Cell Signaling (Beverly, MA)

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, USA and ²Center for Marine Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

*Corresponding author: TC Chambers, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Mail Slot 516, 4301 W. Markham Street, Little Rock, AR 72205, USA. Tel: + 15016865755; Fax: + 15016868169; E-mail: chamberstimothyc@uams.edu

as the primary target of marinopyrrole A.² This raised the possibility that Mcl-1 may not be the primary target for marinopyrrole A in cancer cells. We therefore sought to determine whether marinopyrrole A was indeed a *bona fide*-specific Mcl-1 inhibitor.

Our recent studies testing the viability of HeLa cells after systematic knockdown of individual anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins demonstrated strict dependence on Mcl-1 (Eichhorn *et al.*, manuscript submitted). We first compared the sensitivity to marinopyrrole of Mcl-1-dependent HeLa cells *versus* RS4;11 human leukemia cells which have previously been shown to be dependent on Bcl-2 for survival.⁷ HeLa and RS4;11 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of natural marinopyrrole A (0.1–100 μ M) and cell viability assessed after 72 h (Figure 1a). Relative expression levels of Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1 (Figure 1a, right) highlight Bcl-2 overexpression in the RS4;11 cell line. Surprisingly, marinopyrrole A was much more effective against Bcl-2-dependent RS4;11 cells (IC_{50} : 2 μ M) when compared to Mcl-1-dependent HeLa cells (IC_{50} : 20 μ M) (Figure 1a). Immunoblotting indicated that marinopyrrole A treatment did not affect Mcl-1 expression levels (data not shown).

We next tested whether natural marinopyrrole A would show selective cytotoxicity against Mcl-1- *versus* Bcl-2-dependent leukemia cell lines as reported by Doi *et al.*⁴ For this purpose we chose two murine leukemia cell lines generated from transgenic mice and established via multiple criteria to be either dependent on Mcl-1 or Bcl-2.⁸ Marinopyrrole A was equally effective against Mcl-1-dependent leukemia cells (IC_{50} : 2.5 μ M) as Bcl-2-dependent cells (IC_{50} : 2 μ M; Figure 1b).

It is important to note that data presented by Doi *et al.*⁴ utilized a racemic mixture of synthetic marinopyrrole A, whereas our data and previous work done by Hughes *et al.*² were performed using the natural M-(-)-enantiomer. Therefore, cell viability assays were conducted in the Mcl-1- and Bcl-2-dependent leukemia cell lines using the non-natural P-(+)-enantiomer, and no statistical difference between the two enantiomers was observed (results not shown).

Finally, we tested whether overexpression of Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, or Mcl-1 would protect HeLa cells from marinopyrrole A. HeLa cells stably overexpressing Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, or Mcl-1 were treated with marinopyrrole A for 72 h and cell viability was assessed. Strikingly, overexpression of each anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member conferred complete resistance to marinopyrrole A (Figure 1c). Thus, marinopyrrole A failed to show selective cytotoxicity towards cells overexpressing Mcl-1 in contrast to previously reported data.⁴ The data in Figure 1c indicate that the death signals generated from marinopyrrole A activate the intrinsic apoptotic pathway.

The results reported here are in stark contrast to previously reported data showing that marinopyrrole A induces cell death selectively in Mcl-1-dependent but not Bcl-2-dependent cells.⁴ While further studies are needed to establish the basis of this discrepancy, taken together, our results seriously question the validity of marinopyrrole A as a specific Mcl-1 inhibitor.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. Thank you to Dr. Anthony Letai for generously providing the Mcl-1- and Bcl-2-dependent cell lines.

1. Letai AG. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2008; **8**: 121–132.
2. Hughes CC *et al.* *J Am Chem Soc* 2009; **131**: 12094–12096.
3. Haste NM *et al.* *Antimicrobial Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 3305–3312.
4. Doi K *et al.* *J Biol Chem* 2012; **287**: 10224–10235.
5. Wei G *et al.* *Cancer Cell* 2012; **21**: 547–562.
6. Zhang S *et al.* *Cell Signalling* 2012.
7. Del Gaizo Moore V *et al.* *Blood* 2008; **111**: 2300–2309.
8. Brunelle JK *et al.* *J Cell Biol* 2009; **187**: 429–442.
9. Sakurikar *et al.* *J Biol Chem* 2012; **287**: 39193.



Cell Death and Disease is an open-access journal published by Nature Publishing Group. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>