

## RESEARCH PAPER

# Stoichiometry of $\delta$ subunit containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors

B Patel, M Mortensen and T G Smart

*Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, University College London, London, UK*

### Correspondence

Professor Trevor G Smart,  
Department of Neuroscience,  
Physiology and Pharmacology,  
University College London,  
Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT,  
UK. E-mail: t.smart@ucl.ac.uk

### Keywords

GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor; stoichiometry;  
 $\delta$  subunit; extrasynaptic

### Received

1 August 2013

### Revised

2 October 2013

### Accepted

24 October 2013

## BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Although the stoichiometry of the major synaptic  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  subunit-containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors has consensus support for 2 $\alpha$ :2 $\beta$ :1 $\gamma$ , a clear view of the stoichiometry of extrasynaptic receptors containing  $\delta$  subunits has remained elusive. Here we examine the subunit stoichiometry of recombinant  $\alpha4\beta3\delta$  receptors using a reporter mutation and a functional electrophysiological approach.

## EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

Using site-directed mutagenesis, we inserted a highly characterized 9' serine to leucine mutation into the second transmembrane (M2) region of  $\alpha4$ ,  $\beta3$  and  $\delta$  subunits that increases receptor sensitivity to GABA. Whole-cell, GABA-activated currents were recorded from HEK-293 cells co-expressing different combinations of wild-type (WT) and/or mutant  $\alpha4$ (L297S),  $\beta3$ (L284S) and  $\delta$ (L288S) subunits.

## KEY RESULTS

Recombinant receptors containing one or more mutant subunits showed increased GABA sensitivity relative to WT receptors by approximately fourfold, independent of the subunit class ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  or  $\delta$ ) carrying the mutation. GABA dose–response curves of cells co-expressing WT subunits with their respective L9'S mutants exhibited multiple components, with the number of discernible components enabling a subunit stoichiometry of 2 $\alpha$ , 2 $\beta$  and 1 $\delta$  to be deduced for  $\alpha4\beta3\delta$  receptors. Varying the cDNA transfection ratio by 10-fold had no significant effect on the number of incorporated  $\delta$  subunits.

## CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Subunit stoichiometry is an important determinant of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor function and pharmacology, and  $\delta$  subunit-containing receptors are important mediators of tonic inhibition in several brain regions. Here we demonstrate a preferred subunit stoichiometry for  $\alpha4\beta3\delta$  receptors of 2 $\alpha$ , 2 $\beta$  and 1 $\delta$ .

## Abbreviations

M2, second transmembrane region; nAChRs, nicotinic acetylcholine receptors; PTX, picrotoxin; SA, spontaneous channel activity; WT, wild-type

## Introduction

GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are the main class of inhibitory ligand-gated ion channels in the mammalian CNS (receptor nomenclature follows Alexander *et al.*, 2013). They are hetero-pentameric complexes forming a central anion-conducting channel. To

date, eight classes of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits have been identified, with half of these exhibiting multiple isoforms:  $\alpha$ (1–6),  $\beta$ (1–3),  $\gamma$ (1–3),  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\rho$ (1–3). Although GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors exhibit distinct regional and developmental expression patterns in the CNS,  $\gamma2$ -containing receptors are considered the dominant subtype found at GABAergic inhibitory

synapses (Somogyi *et al.*, 1996). By contrast,  $\delta$ -containing receptors are thought to be exclusively found at extrasynaptic sites, where they play an important role in mediating tonic inhibition (Farrant and Nusser, 2005). Extrasynaptic  $\alpha 4\beta\delta$  receptors have been identified in several neuronal cell types, including dentate gyrus granule cells and thalamic relay neurons (Sur *et al.*, 1999; Peng *et al.*, 2002). In addition, extrasynaptic  $\alpha 6\delta$  and  $\alpha 1\delta$  pairs have been identified in cerebellar granule cells (Jones *et al.*, 1997) and hippocampal interneurons (Glykys *et al.*, 2007), respectively, together with extrasynaptic  $\alpha 5\beta\gamma$  and  $\alpha 1\beta$  assemblies identified in the hippocampus (Mortensen and Smart, 2006; Glykys *et al.*, 2008).

The subunit composition of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors is an important determinant of their functional properties as demonstrated by the type of  $\alpha$  subunit and presence of the  $\gamma 2$  subunit affecting, for example, receptor sensitivity to benzodiazepines (Korpi *et al.*, 2002). Given that orthosteric and many allosteric binding sites on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are interfacial (Sieghart *et al.*, 2012), it becomes important to understand whether there are preferred subunit stoichiometries that will critically define the nature of these subunit interfaces and thus the receptor's response to ligand binding. Compared with  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  receptors, we know least about  $\delta$  subunit-containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, which play an important role mediating tonic inhibition in several brain regions (Brickley *et al.*, 2001; Porcello *et al.*, 2003; Farrant and Nusser, 2005; Santhakumar *et al.*, 2010). To address this deficit, we have employed a pharmacological analysis, in combination with a reporter mutation, to better understand the structural properties of  $\delta$ -containing receptors using heterologous expression systems (e.g. HEK-293 and *Xenopus laevis* oocytes). Previous reports note that some functional discrepancies have been observed for  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors, such as EC<sub>50</sub> values for GABA and ethanol sensitivity (Wallner *et al.*, 2003), which have been postulated to arise, in part, from differences in subunit stoichiometry (Borghese *et al.*, 2006; Wagoner and Czajkowski, 2010). Although the stoichiometry of major synaptic  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor isoforms has broad consensus support for 2 $\alpha$ :2 $\beta$ :1 $\gamma$  (Backus *et al.*, 1993; Chang *et al.*, 1996; Tretter *et al.*, 1997), an unequivocal view of the stoichiometry for extrasynaptic  $\delta$ -containing receptors remains elusive. Although atomic force microscopy of recombinant  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors has suggested a stoichiometry of 2 $\alpha$ :2 $\beta$ :1 $\delta$  (Barrera *et al.*, 2008), biochemical analysis of recombinant  $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$  receptors indicates that more than one  $\delta$  can be incorporated into the receptor complex (Wagoner and Czajkowski, 2010). Moreover, it was recently demonstrated on the basis of using  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  (Kaur *et al.*, 2009) and  $\alpha 6\beta 3\delta$  concatemers (Baur *et al.*, 2009) that more than one  $\delta$  subunit can be incorporated into functional channels, although for the former subtype, a constrained conformation of 2 $\alpha$ :2 $\beta$ :1 $\delta$  most closely resembled the pharmacological profile of unconstrained recombinant  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors (Kaur *et al.*, 2009).

In this study, we have examined the subunit stoichiometry of functional recombinant  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors, utilizing polar substitutions of a highly conserved leucine residue within the second transmembrane region (M2) of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. This residue exchange acts as a reporter mutation causing a profound increase in agonist potency consequently displacing the agonist dose–response curve (Chang *et al.*, 1996; Chang and Weiss, 1999), as also observed for nicotinic

ACh receptors (nAChRs) (Filatov and White, 1995; Labarca *et al.*, 1995) and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors (Yakel *et al.*, 1993). The extent of the curve shift is correlated with the number of polar substitutions per ion channel complex, and this has been used to deduce the subunit stoichiometry of recombinant  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Chang *et al.*, 1996). By inserting this highly characterized 9' serine to leucine (L9'S) mutation into  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits, we derive a subunit stoichiometry of 2 $\alpha$ :2 $\beta$ :1 $\delta$  for functional  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. Furthermore, our data indicate that for three different, but commonly used, cDNA transfection ratios, the number of incorporated  $\delta$  subunits seemingly remains fixed at one.

## Methods

### Site-directed mutagenesis

Inverse PCR was used to introduce the M2 leucine-to-serine substitutions into murine  $\alpha 4$  and  $\beta 3$ , and rat  $\delta$  subunits. The  $\delta$  subunit was tagged at the N-terminus (between residues 13 and 14 of the mature protein) with a super ecliptic phluorin. The mutagenic oligonucleotides used to make  $\alpha 4$ (L297S),  $\beta 3$ (L284S) and  $\delta$ (L288S) were 5'-CACGATGACCACCCTAAGCATC-3' (sense), 5'-CCACCATGACAACCATCAACAACCTC-3' (sense) and 5'-CGACAATGACCACACTCATGGTTA-3' (sense) respectively. Successful mutations were verified by full DNA sequencing.

### Transient receptor expression in HEK-293 cells

HEK-293 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% v/v fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 u·mL<sup>-1</sup> penicillin G and 100  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin, and were incubated at 37°C in humidified 95% air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. HEK cells were plated on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips and transfected using a calcium phosphate protocol. Briefly, cDNA encoding wild-type (WT) and/or mutant  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits, in transfection ratios of 1:1:1, 1:1:10 or 10:1:10, were mixed with 340 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and an equal volume of HBSS (50 mM HEPES, 280 mM NaCl and 2.8 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.2) to form a precipitate. The total amount of cDNA used for each transfection was 4  $\mu$ g. The DNA–calcium phosphate suspension was applied to HEK cells, which were incubated overnight, and used for electrophysiology 16–24 h after transfection. For the co-expression experiments, WT subunits and their respective L9'S mutants were co-expressed in equal amounts, with an overall transfection ratio remaining at 10 $\alpha$ :1 $\beta$ :10 $\delta$ .

### Electrophysiological recordings

Transfected HEK cells were placed in a recording chamber and viewed with a Nikon Diaphot microscope (Nikon, Kingston-upon-Thames, UK) and phase contrast optics. Cells were continuously perfused with Krebs solution containing (in mM) 140.0 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 1.2 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.52 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 11.0 glucose and 5.0 HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.4 with 1 M NaOH. Patch pipettes were fire polished to 2–4 M $\Omega$  and filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM) 120 KCl, 1 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 11 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 1 CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 2 ATP, adjusted to pH 7.2 with 1 M NaOH.

Whole-cell agonist-activated currents were recorded with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale,

CA, USA). Cells were voltage clamped between -20 and -60 mV, depending on peak current size. Whole-cell currents were filtered at 5 kHz (-36 dB), digitized at 50 kHz via a Digi-data 1332A (Molecular Devices) and recorded to disk (Dell Pentium Dual Core Optiplex 960; Dell, Berks, UK). Series resistances were monitored throughout each experiment and deviations >20% resulted in the data being excluded from further analysis.

### Data analysis

Currents ( $I_{GABA}$ ) activated by concentrations of GABA ( $[A]$ ) were normalized to the maximal current caused by a saturating concentration of GABA ( $I_{Max,GABA}$ ). The normalized concentration-response curves were fitted with the following equation using a non-linear least-squares method:

$$\frac{I_{GABA}}{I_{Max,GABA}} = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{1 + (EC_{50}/[A])^n}$$

where  $EC_{50}$  is the GABA concentration inducing a half-maximal current,  $n$  is the  $n_H$ ,  $i$  is the number of components where  $j = 1 - 3$ .

For WT  $\alpha 4\beta 3$  and  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors, the level of inhibition exhibited by 1  $\mu M$  Zn<sup>2+</sup> on GABA  $EC_{50}$  responses for each receptor subtype was also assessed.

The spontaneous channel activity exhibited by each mutant was calculated by expressing the outward current induced by the blocker picrotoxin ( $I_{PTX}$ ; 1 mM) as a percentage of the maximum current, defined as the sum of  $I_{Max,GABA}$  and  $I_{PTX}$ . No spontaneous activity (SA) was observed for WT  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors. The level of SA was quantified according to:

$$SA(\%) = \frac{I_{PTX}}{I_{PTX} + I_{Max,GABA}}$$

All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Where appropriate, statistical analyses were performed using an unpaired Student's  $t$ -test or a one-way ANOVA.

### Materials

GABA (Sigma, Dorset, UK) and zinc chloride (BDH Biochemical, Poole, UK) solutions were prepared from 1 M stocks (in water), whereas 1 mM picrotoxin (Sigma) was dissolved in extracellular Krebs solution containing 0.05% v/v dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma). Drugs were applied via a U-tube application system (Mortensen and Smart, 2007).

## Results

### Functional expression of WT and L9'S mutant $\alpha 4$ , $\beta 3$ and $\delta$ subunits

The highly conserved 9' leucine residues in  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits (Figure 1) were mutated to serines, producing  $\alpha 4(L297S)$ ,  $\beta 3(L284S)$  and  $\delta(L288S)$ . These mutated subunits are referred to as  $\alpha_m$ ,  $\beta_m$  and  $\delta_m$ , whereas their WT counterparts are designated as  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ . WT  $\alpha\beta\delta$ , and mutant  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$ -expressing cells (Figure 2A), demonstrated a dose-dependent sensitivity to GABA (Figure 2A). Notably, GABA whole-cell currents of mutant subunit-expressing cells exhibited prolonged deactivation phases compared with those for WT  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors (Figure 2A).




Figure 1


Primary sequence alignment of the second transmembrane region (M2) of  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits. Prime notation (red) denotes the amino acids comprising the ion channel pore. The conserved hydrophobic 9' leucine residues are indicated and boxed for  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits, with their numbering in the mature subunit proteins.

With regard to channel gating  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$ , but not  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors, exhibited spontaneous activation in the absence of exogenously applied GABA, which was blocked by the chloride channel blocker, picrotoxin (1 mM; Figure 2B). Expressed as a proportion of the total GABA-activated plus spontaneous current [ $I_{PTX}/(I_{PTX} + I_{Max,GABA})$ ; Figure 2B inset], the levels of spontaneous receptor activation for  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  receptors were  $21.9 \pm 5.3$  and  $16 \pm 1\%$  respectively. The highest level of spontaneous activation was exhibited by  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$ -expressing cells ( $76.6 \pm 6.5\%$ ) relative to  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  ( $P < 0.001$ ) and  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  ( $P < 0.05$ ) receptors (non-parametric ANOVA – Kruskal–Wallis test). The increased degree of spontaneous receptor activation observed for the  $\beta$  mutant is likely to reflect the predominant role this subunit plays in stabilizing open-shut GABA channel conformation(s). It is also noteworthy that  $\beta$  homomers can form spontaneously opening ion channels (Krishek *et al.*, 1996; Davies *et al.*, 1997; Wooltorton *et al.*, 1997; Cestari *et al.*, 2000) unlike their  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$  or  $\delta$  subunit counterparts.

Both the SA and distinctive deactivation profiles exhibited by mutant subunit-expressing cells confirmed that each mutant was efficiently co-assembled into functional  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors.

### L9'S mutations in $\alpha 4$ , $\beta 3$ and $\delta$ subunits increase GABA sensitivity

By compiling GABA dose-response curves for cells expressing the subunit combinations,  $\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$  and  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$ , those containing  $\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha_m$  or  $\beta_m$  subunits exhibited an increased GABA sensitivity compared with WT  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors, manifest by a leftward shift in the curves for mutant receptor-expressing cells (Figure 3A). Whereas WT  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors had a GABA  $EC_{50}$  of  $1.91 \pm 0.47 \mu M$ , single mutant subunit-containing receptors possessed lower  $EC_{50}$  values of  $0.46 \pm 0.11 \mu M$  ( $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ),  $0.12 \pm 0.03 \mu M$  ( $\alpha_m\beta\delta$ ) and  $0.11 \pm 0.04 \mu M$  ( $\alpha\beta_m\delta$ ; Table 1). Notably, the  $n_H$  obtained for  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$  receptors ( $0.63 \pm 0.06$ ; Table 1) was significantly lower than those obtained for  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  ( $1.41 \pm 0.12$ ) and  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  ( $1.18 \pm 0.19$ ) receptors (Welch's  $t$ -test:  $P = 0.02$  and  $P = 0.03$  respectively). Although we cannot simply transpose the change in  $n_H$  to any physical attribute, it is possible that the L9'S mutations altered the gating kinetics of the receptor, which may account for the changes in  $n_H$ . Moreover, the effects on gating kinetics may be highly dependent on the subunit in which the mutation is inserted.




**Figure 2**

Functional expression of WT and L9'S mutant  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits. In this and subsequent figures, WT  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits are labelled as  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ , whereas mutated  $\alpha 4$ (L297S),  $\beta 3$ (L284S) and  $\delta$ (L288S) subunits are designated as  $\alpha_m$ ,  $\beta_m$  and  $\delta_m$ . (A) Examples of whole-cell currents elicited by increasing concentrations of GABA on HEK cells expressing recombinant  $\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors. A transfection ratio of 10 $\alpha$ :1 $\beta$ :10 $\delta$  was used. Note the increased GABA sensitivity and prolonged deactivation kinetics exhibited by mutant-expressing cells. (B) Bar graph of SA for  $\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  and  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors. Values were calculated by expressing the outward current induced by the Cl<sup>-</sup> channel blocker picrotoxin ( $I_{\text{PTX}}$ ; 1 mM) as a percentage of the maximum current, defined as the sum of  $I_{\text{Max, GABA}}$  and  $I_{\text{PTX}}$  ( $n = 4-11$ ; mean  $\pm$  SEM). No SA (= 0%) was observed for WT  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors. The inset shows example GABA-activated and picrotoxin-sensitive currents ( $I_{\text{Max, GABA}}$  and  $I_{\text{PTX}}$ ) for  $\alpha\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  receptors. Current calibration bars: 300 pA ( $\alpha\beta\delta$ ); 400 pA ( $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ).

The  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  receptors exhibited an approximately fourfold (4.15) increase in GABA sensitivity, relative to  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors. The increased sensitivity of  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  was not attributable to a large population of  $\alpha\beta$  receptors being expressed as the EC<sub>50</sub> for  $\alpha\beta$  receptors (1.01  $\pm$  0.13  $\mu\text{M}$ ; data not shown) is similar to that for  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors (1.91  $\pm$  0.47  $\mu\text{M}$ ; Welch's *t*-test:  $P = 0.13$ ). By comparison, the GABA sensitivities of  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors were higher compared with  $\delta_m$ -containing receptors, causing shifts of 16 (15.91) and 17 (17.36)-fold, respectively, in the GABA EC<sub>50</sub> (Figure 3).

If we assume each subunit mutation has an equivalent effect on GABA potency, independent of the subunit class in which the L9'S mutation is inserted, and if we further assume that the receptor contains two  $\alpha$  subunits, then we would



**Figure 3**

L9'S mutations in  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits increase GABA sensitivity. (A) GABA concentration–response curves for  $\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta_m\delta$  and  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM from  $n = 5-9$ . Each data set was fitted to the Hill equation (continuous lines) using a non-linear least-squares method. Parameters from these fits are provided in Table 1. (B) Relationship between the number of mutant receptors incorporated into the receptor pentamer (assuming a stoichiometry of 2 $\alpha$ :2 $\beta$ :1 $\delta$ ) and the average GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values.

expect the shift in EC<sub>50</sub> by the 9' mutation to be approximately the square of the change due to a single  $\alpha$  subunit. Thus a shift of 15.91 predicts a single  $\alpha$  subunit would cause a 3.99-fold change in EC<sub>50</sub>. Similarly for the  $\beta$  subunit, a 17.36-fold shift indicates each  $\beta$  subunit (if two copies are present in the receptor) should cause a 4.17-fold change. These are equivalent to that caused by the  $\delta$  subunit (4.15) and the findings therefore suggest that relative to the  $\delta$  subunit, twice the number of  $\alpha 4$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits are likely to exist in each receptor complex. Thus, because GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are assumed to form pentameric complexes,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta_m\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  probably contained 2 $\alpha_m$ , 2 $\beta_m$  and 1 $\delta_m$  subunits.

### *Increasing GABA sensitivity with the number of co-assembled L9'S mutant subunits*

For heteromeric muscle nAChRs, each 9' polar substitution within the ion channel confers an additional ~10-fold

**Table 1**

 GABA concentration–response curve parameters for WT and L9'S mutant containing  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors

Subunit combination	GABA EC <sub>50</sub> (μM)	n <sub>H</sub>	No. of mutants*
$\alpha\beta\delta$	1.91 ± 0.47	1.06 ± 0.04	0
$\alpha\beta\delta_m$	0.46 ± 0.11	1.41 ± 0.12	1
$\alpha\beta_m\delta$	0.11 ± 0.04	0.63 ± 0.06	2
$\alpha_m\beta\delta$	0.12 ± 0.03	1.18 ± 0.19	2
$\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$	0.08 ± 0.02	0.95 ± 0.07	3

\*Number of mutants within the pentamer assuming a 2 $\alpha$ :2 $\beta$ :1 $\delta$  stoichiometry. GABA dose–response curves were obtained from five to nine HEK cells expressing  $\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ ,  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$ ,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  or  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors. The Hill equation was fitted to each data set, and the mean values for GABA potency (EC<sub>50</sub>) and the Hill slope (n<sub>H</sub>) are shown in the table as mean ± SEM.

increase in agonist sensitivity (Filatov and White, 1995; Labarca *et al.*, 1995). However, such a linear relationship has not been observed for recombinant  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2S$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Chang and Weiss, 1999), where mutations in  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  subunits contributed unequally to the increased GABA sensitivity, thus prohibiting an estimate of receptor stoichiometry (Chang and Weiss, 1999). By contrast, for our  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  subunit receptors, the shifts appeared more consistent, with each mutation contributing an approximately fourfold increase in GABA sensitivity. We therefore investigated the relationship between GABA potency and the number of mutant substitutions in  $\delta$ -containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors with  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$ -expressing cells.

Based on our predictions,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors would be expected to contain three mutant subunits (i.e. two  $\alpha$ s and one  $\delta$ ), and thus exhibit even greater sensitivity to GABA, than either  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  (double mutant) or  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  (single mutant) receptors.

For  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors an EC<sub>50</sub> of 0.08 ± 0.02 μM (Table 1) was determined, which equates to a 23.87-fold increase in GABA sensitivity, which is greater than that for  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$  receptors and approximates to a threefold shift (2.88) per mutant subunit. Based on the double mutant receptors, we predicted an approximately fourfold shift per subunit, and thus for three mutant subunits, we might have expected a 64-fold increase in GABA sensitivity. The discrepancy between the predicted and actual shift observed for  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  could arise from the  $\delta_m$  subunit being absent from  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptor-expressing cells, leaving cell surface receptors mainly composed of  $\alpha\beta$  receptors containing just two mutant  $\alpha_m$  subunits. However, this seemed unlikely given that for  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$ -expressing cells, there was clear evidence of cell surface  $\delta_m$ -GFP fluorescence. Furthermore,  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$ -expressing cells exhibited a level of SA (49.4 ± 8.4%) that was comparable with the combined spontaneous activities of  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  (21.9 ± 5.3%) and  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  receptors (15.7 ± 1.3%; Figure 2B). Taken together, these data suggest that both  $\alpha_m$  and  $\delta_m$  subunits were efficiently incorporated into functional  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors.

Our predicted shift of 64-fold for  $\alpha_m\beta\delta_m$  receptors was predicted on the assumption that each additional mutant subunit within the receptor complex acts independently. Although this holds for receptors with two mutant subunits, it is conceivable that with three such substitutions, some degree of interaction between adjacent mutant subunits might cause deviations from the predicted curve shifts.


### *cDNA transfection ratio has no effect on $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptor stoichiometry*

Recently, using an imaging approach based on inserting bungarotoxin binding sites into GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits that could be subsequently labelled by fluorescent bungarotoxin, it was demonstrated that the number of  $\delta$  subunits incorporated into the  $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$  receptor complex could vary with the cDNA transfection ratio (Wagoner and Czajkowski, 2010). We therefore investigated this possibility using our functional approach with HEK cells transfected with one of the following three commonly used  $\alpha$  :  $\beta$  :  $\delta$  cDNA ratios – 1:1:1, 1:1:10 or 10:1:10 (Borghese *et al.*, 2006; Stórustovu and Ebert, 2006; Barrera *et al.*, 2008; Hoestgaard-Jensen *et al.*, 2010).

First, we ascertained the sensitivity of  $\alpha\beta\delta$ -expressing cells to the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtype-selective blocker Zn<sup>2+</sup> (Smart *et al.*, 1991; Nagaya and Macdonald, 2001; Hosie *et al.*, 2003) to assess if binary  $\alpha\beta$  constructs were present as 1 μM Zn<sup>2+</sup> selectively inhibits  $\alpha\beta$  to a far greater extent than  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors (Krishek *et al.*, 1998; Hosie *et al.*, 2003; Stórustovu and Ebert, 2006). Accordingly, 1 μM Zn<sup>2+</sup> inhibited the GABA EC<sub>50</sub> response of  $\alpha\beta$  receptors by 75.5 ± 5.7% (Figure 4A). By contrast, the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of  $\alpha\beta\delta$ -expressing cells did not vary significantly with the  $\alpha\beta\delta$  transfection ratio (13.1 ± 3.4, 17.0 ± 3.9 and 17.6 ± 0.7%; one-way ANOVA – Bonferroni:  $P = 0.5$ ), but all were significantly reduced compared with Zn<sup>2+</sup> inhibition of  $\alpha\beta$  receptors (Figure 4A; one-way ANOVA – Dunnett's:  $P < 0.0001$ ). These data also confirmed the likelihood of efficient incorporation of  $\delta$  subunits into functional  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors, for all three transfection ratios used.

We next studied the effect of varying the transfection ratio on GABA sensitivities of  $\alpha\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  receptors. For WT  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors, altering the transfection ratio had no effect on GABA sensitivity (1.38 ± 0.20, 1.88 ± 0.32 and 1.91 ± 0.47 μM for  $\alpha\beta\delta$  ratios of 1:1:1, 1:1:10 and 10:1:10, respectively; one-way ANOVA – Bonferroni:  $P = 0.56$ ). Similarly, the GABA dose–response curves for  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ -expressing cells transfected with different ratios were also indistinguishable (Figure 4B), and their GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values (0.23 ± 0.01, 0.31 ± 0.08 and 0.46 ± 0.11 μM for  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  ratios of 1:1:1, 1:1:10 and 10:1:10, respectively) did not differ significantly (one-way ANOVA – Bonferroni:  $P = 0.20$ ). Although there appears to be a trend for  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ -expressing cells transfected with a 10:1:10 ratio to have higher n<sub>H</sub> (1.4 ± 0.2; Figure 4B) than those transfected with either a 1:1:1 (0.82 ± 0.03) or 1:1:10 (0.9 ± 0.2) transfection ratio, this was not significant (Welch's *t*-test:  $P = 0.09$  and  $P = 0.17$  respectively).

Overall, altering the transfection ratio had no significant effect on the relative GABA EC<sub>50</sub> shifts between  $\delta$ - and  $\delta_m$ -expressing cells (Figure 4B), suggesting that at least for these three transfection ratios, the number of  $\delta$  subunits incorporated into  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors remains relatively constant.



**Figure 4**

cDNA transfection ratio has no effect on  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptor stoichiometry. (A) Inhibition by  $1 \mu\text{M}$   $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  of GABA  $\text{EC}_{50}$  currents for  $\alpha\beta$  or  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors expressed following transfection with one of three  $\alpha:\beta:\delta$  cDNA ratios: 1:1:1, 1:1:10 and 10:1:10 ( $n = 4-5$ ; mean  $\pm$  SEM). The total amount of cDNA used for each transfection was  $4 \mu\text{g}$ . (B) GABA concentration–response curves for  $\alpha\beta\delta$  (filled circles) or  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  (open circles) transfected with the  $\alpha:\beta:\delta$  cDNA ratios: 1:1:1, 1:1:10 and 10:1:10. The Hill equation was fitted to each data set using a non-linear least-squares method (continuous lines).

### Co-expressing WT and mutant subunits confirms $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptor stoichiometry

Our deductions so far are based on the assumption that each subunit mutation has an equivalent effect on GABA potency, regardless of the subunit in which the L9'S mutation is inserted. Although this holds for our  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors, some deviation may occur when the number of mutant subunits per receptor is increased, as noted for  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2\text{S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Chang *et al.*, 1996) and heteromeric nAChRs (Labarca *et al.*, 1995). To overcome this methodological limitation, Chang *et al.* (1996) proposed an alternative approach for deducing subunit stoichiometry that does not rely on the


relative  $\text{EC}_{50}$  shifts induced by different classes of mutant subunits, but instead relied on co-expressing WT and L9'S mutants to generate multiple populations of receptors expressed in the same cells (Chang *et al.*, 1996).

In principle, the co-expression of WT subunits with their respective L9'S mutants (e.g.  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_m$ ) should introduce discrete and discernible components into the GABA dose–response curve of expressing cells. For example, assuming there are two  $\alpha$  subunits per receptor pentamer, these components would represent distinct GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors of  $\alpha\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha_m\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and its equivalent,  $\alpha_m\alpha\beta\delta$ . Thus, the GABA sensitivity that is attributable to an individual receptor population would be observed by an inflection in the dose–response curve. This analysis was used to infer the subunit stoichiometry for  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2\text{S}$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Chang *et al.*, 1996).

Taking a similar approach for  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors, we generated GABA dose–response curves for cells co-transfected with  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  and equal amounts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_m$  cDNAs. The  $\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$  GABA dose–response curve exhibited three discernible components, which were described by the sum of three Hill equations (Figure 5A). The first and third components accounted for  $34.0 \pm 3.3$  and  $17.5 \pm 2.7\%$  of the total receptor population, with GABA  $\text{EC}_{50}$  values of  $0.023 \pm 0.005$  and  $5.72 \pm 0.90 \mu\text{M}$  respectively (Table 2). These  $\text{EC}_{50}$  values are in close proximity to those for  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  ( $0.46 \pm 0.11 \mu\text{M}$ ) and  $\alpha\beta\delta$  ( $1.9 \pm 0.47 \mu\text{M}$ ) receptors, suggesting these two components to the dose–response curves of  $\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$  (Figure 5A) are attributable to  $\alpha_m\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors. Moreover, the appearance of an intermediary component with an  $\text{EC}_{50}$  of  $0.30 \pm 0.03 \mu\text{M}$  ( $48.7 \pm 5.6\%$ ) suggested the expression of receptor pentamers containing one WT and one mutant subunit (i.e.  $\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$  or  $\alpha_m\alpha\beta\delta$ ). Similar to  $\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$ -expressing cells, the GABA dose–response curves of  $\alpha\beta\beta_m\delta$ -expressing cells revealed three distinct components (Figure 5B). The first component ( $24.7 \pm 3.5\%$ ) had an  $\text{EC}_{50}$  of  $0.03 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{M}$ , corresponding to the  $\alpha\beta_m\delta$  receptor population. Approximately  $31.5 \pm 2.6\%$  of receptors exhibited an  $\text{EC}_{50}$  of  $4.68 \pm 0.74 \mu\text{M}$  attributable to  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors. Again, the appearance of an intermediary component with an  $\text{EC}_{50}$  of  $0.31 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{M}$  ( $43.9 \pm 3.5\%$ ) was indicative of a third receptor population containing both  $\beta$  subtypes (i.e.  $\beta$  and  $\beta_m$ ).

By contrast, the mean GABA dose–response curve for  $\alpha\beta\delta\delta_m$  receptors did not exhibit obvious multiple components (Figure 5C). However, detailed analysis of individual dose–response curves revealed that for most cells sampled (7/10), two components were discerned (Figure 5C inset). For those cells exhibiting two components, the majority of receptors ( $75.8 \pm 3.0\%$ ) exhibited a GABA  $\text{EC}_{50}$  of  $0.21 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{M}$ , whereas  $24.2 \pm 2.8\%$  of receptors exhibited an  $\text{EC}_{50}$  of  $2.14 \pm 0.48 \mu\text{M}$ . The GABA sensitivities of these two components are similar to the observed  $\text{EC}_{50}$ s for  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors respectively (Table 2). Although the remaining cells (3/10) did not overtly display multiple components, their GABA sensitivities were intermediary to those of  $\alpha\beta\delta$ - and  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ -expressing cells. The absence of a third component suggested that  $\alpha\beta\delta\delta_m$ -expressing cells exhibit only two receptor populations,  $\alpha\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta_m$ , and thus it follows that each receptor complex is most likely to contain only one  $\delta$  subunit.

Collectively, these data demonstrate that  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors are most likely to contain two  $\alpha$ s, two  $\beta$ s and one  $\delta$  subunit.



**Figure 5**

Co-expression of WT and mutant L9'S  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits. GABA concentration–response curves (black continuous lines) for (A)  $\alpha_m \beta \delta$  ( $n = 6$ ), (B)  $\alpha \beta_m \delta$  ( $n = 6$ ) and (C)  $\alpha \beta \delta_m$  ( $n = 10$ ), fitted using a two or three component Hill equation (see Methods section). Also shown for each receptor class are the Hill equation fits for the GABA concentration–response curves of WT  $\alpha \beta \delta$  receptors (blue dashed lines) and their respective L9'S mutants (red dashed lines):  $\alpha_m \beta \delta$  (A),  $\alpha \beta_m \delta$  (B) and  $\alpha \beta \delta_m$  (C). For  $\alpha \beta \delta_m$ , the GABA dose–response curves of 7/10 cells exhibited two discernible components. The inset is an example of GABA dose–response curve from a cell exhibiting two such components. The total amount of cDNA used for each transfection was 4  $\mu\text{g}$ , and equal amounts of WT and their respective L9'S mutant cDNA were used. Data points are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM.

## Discussion

Although the stoichiometry of synaptic  $\alpha 1 \beta 2 \gamma 2$  subunit-containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors has consensus support for  $2\alpha:2\beta:1\gamma$  (Backus *et al.*, 1993; Chang *et al.*, 1996; Tretter *et al.*, 1997), the stoichiometry of extrasynaptic  $\delta$ -containing receptors remains unclear and potentially variable with a dependence on experimental conditions. This may reflect a difference in the co-assembly properties of  $\delta$ , with different  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits (Baur *et al.*, 2009; Kaur *et al.*, 2009; Wagoner and Czajkowski, 2010). We used an alternative approach to probe  $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$  stoichiometry by introducing a well-characterized 9' leucine-to-serine mutation into the M2 domains of  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\beta 3$  and  $\delta$  subunits. Each polar substitution increased the GABA sensitivity of mutant subunit-containing receptors (by approximately fourfold) in relative proportion with the number of mutant subunits assembled in the receptor. This, in conjunction with data derived from cells co-expressing mutant and respective WT subunits, revealed a relatively consistent subunit stoichiometry, by these methods, of  $2\alpha$ ,  $2\beta$  and  $1\delta$ .

### Assumptions and limitations

Our deductions regarding  $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor stoichiometry are predicated on the assumption that the L9'S mutations do not perturb the 'normal' subunit stoichiometry of these receptors. Because N-terminal motifs have been established as the key determinants of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit assembly (Connolly *et al.*, 1996; Taylor *et al.*, 1999; Klausberger *et al.*, 2001), it seemed unlikely that a point mutation within the ion channel-lining M2 region would alter receptor subunit stoichiometry. However, it is intriguing that for most  $\alpha \beta \delta_m$ -expressing cells, the component that was attributable to  $\alpha \beta \delta_m$  receptors was larger than that for  $\alpha \beta \delta$  receptors ( $\sim 75$  and  $24\%$ , respectively), suggesting that  $\delta_m$  might be more efficiently incorporated into functional receptors than  $\delta$ .

Given the M2 location of the point mutation, a more likely explanation for the disproportionate percentage components is that the mutation may affect the gating kinetics of the receptor. For nAChRs (Filatov and White, 1995) and GABA<sub>A</sub>  $\alpha 1 \beta 3 \gamma 2 \text{L}$  receptors (Bianchi and Macdonald, 2001), it has been demonstrated that 9' mutant-containing receptors can exhibit altered single-channel conductances and/or open probabilities. This could cause the apparent percentage components of  $\alpha \beta \delta$  and  $\alpha \beta \delta_m$  to vary (Chang *et al.*, 1996). Nevertheless, because our conclusions rely on the number of observable components in the dose–response curves and not on the relative contribution of each individual component, our conclusion that  $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$  receptors contain only one  $\delta$  subunit still remains valid.

### Comparison with previous studies

To date, only two studies have investigated the subunit stoichiometry of unconstrained recombinant  $\alpha 4 \beta 2 / 3 \delta$  receptors. Although atomic force microscopy has revealed a subunit stoichiometry of  $2\alpha 4:2\beta 3:1\delta$  (Barrera *et al.*, 2008), the immunopurification of cell surface  $\alpha 4 \beta 2 \delta$  receptors suggested that by increasing the relative abundance of  $\delta$ , more than one  $\delta$  can be incorporated into the receptor complex (Wagoner and

Table 2

GABA concentration–response curve components for WT and L9'S mutant containing  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors

Receptor subunits	First component		Second component		Third component		$n_H$
	EC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	Proportion %	EC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	Proportion %	EC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	Proportion %	
$\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$	0.023 $\pm$ 0.005	34.0 $\pm$ 3.3	0.30 $\pm$ 0.03	48.7 $\pm$ 5.6	5.72 $\pm$ 0.90	17.5 $\pm$ 2.7	1.58 $\pm$ 0.36
$\alpha\beta\beta_m\delta$	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	24.7 $\pm$ 3.5	0.31 $\pm$ 0.05	43.9 $\pm$ 3.5	4.68 $\pm$ 0.74	31.5 $\pm$ 2.6	2.06 $\pm$ 0.31
$\alpha\beta\delta\delta_m$	0.21 $\pm$ 0.01	75.8 $\pm$ 3.0	–	–	2.14 $\pm$ 0.48	24.2 $\pm$ 2.8	0.94 $\pm$ 0.09

GABA concentration–response curve parameters derived from curve fitting data from 6 to 10 HEK cells expressing  $\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\beta_m\delta$  or  $\alpha\beta\delta\delta_m$ . Individual cells expressing  $\alpha\alpha_m\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\beta_m\delta$  or  $\alpha\beta\delta\delta_m$  were fitted using a two or three component Hill equation. The relative component proportions (%) and GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Cells were transfected with a cDNA transfection ratio of 10:1:10.

Czajkowski, 2010). Moreover, a study using receptor expression in oocytes reported that increasing relative amounts of  $\delta$  cRNA increased the GABA EC<sub>50</sub> and decreased the Hill slopes for  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  GABA dose–response curves (You and Dunn, 2007).

Although a change in stoichiometry may account for altered receptor function, it is also equally plausible, from studies using concatemers and thus constrained subunit positions, that  $\delta$  subunits may assume various locations within a functional receptor pentamer, and also potentially contribute to an agonist-binding site (Kaur *et al.*, 2009; Sigel *et al.*, 2009). Thus the previously described effects on receptor function may also have arisen from the rearrangement of subunits within the receptor. Indeed, for concatemeric  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors, those with an  $\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\delta$  (anticlockwise) subunit arrangement appear to be  $\sim 26$ -fold less sensitive to GABA than receptors with the  $\beta\alpha\beta\delta\alpha$  (anticlockwise) subunit arrangement (Kaur *et al.*, 2009), demonstrating the functional importance of subunit location within a receptor pentamer.

Our data indicate that, at least for three commonly used  $\alpha : \beta : \delta$  transfection ratios 1:1:1, 1:1:10 or 10:1:10 (Borghese *et al.*, 2006; Stórustovu and Ebert, 2006; Barrera *et al.*, 2008; Hoestgaard-Jensen *et al.*, 2010), the number of incorporated  $\delta$  subunits seemingly remains fixed at one. Moreover, we found no significant effect of altering cDNA transfection ratio on  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptor function. In accordance with our findings, another oocyte study had demonstrated no significant effect of altering cRNA transfection ratio on the sensitivity of WT  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors to GABA or Zn<sup>2+</sup> (Borghese and Harris, 2007).

Although the discrepancy between our observations and those previously reported remain unclear, one difference may be the use of different expression systems. Alternatively, the use of different  $\beta$  isoforms may also give rise to these discrepancies. Given that  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits have been demonstrated to have distinctive assembly properties (Taylor *et al.*, 1999), this might have important implications for their oligomerization with  $\delta$  subunits.

### Subunit positioning

Although we demonstrate a stoichiometry of  $2\alpha:2\beta:1\delta$  for  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors, our data give little indication of subunit arrangement, which could be an important determinant of  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptor function (Baur *et al.*, 2009; Kaur *et al.*, 2009). The subunit positional arrangement of  $\alpha 1\beta 2$  receptors is

widely accepted to be  $\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\gamma$  (anticlockwise) (Baumann *et al.*, 2001; 2002; Baur *et al.*, 2006; Smart and Paoletti, 2012). Given the conflicting evidence regarding the number of incorporated  $\delta$  subunits, it is unsurprising that the subunit arrangement of recombinant  $\alpha\beta\delta$  remains undefined. For  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors with a stoichiometry of  $2\alpha:2\beta:1\delta$ , structural microscopic analysis has revealed a predominant  $\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\delta$  anticlockwise arrangement (Barrera *et al.*, 2008), suggesting  $\delta$  can assume the position of the  $\gamma 2$  subunit in an  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  receptor. However, in the same study, a minority of receptors ( $\sim 21\%$ ) were found to have an alternative  $\beta\alpha\beta\delta\alpha$  subunit arrangement, indicating more than one arrangement may be possible (Barrera *et al.*, 2008). Indeed it has been recently demonstrated that  $\delta$  can assume multiple positions when constrained within  $\alpha\beta\delta$  concatemers (Baur *et al.*, 2009; Kaur *et al.*, 2009). Intriguingly, concatemeric  $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$  receptors with the  $\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\delta$  conformation (Shu *et al.*, 2012) form functional receptors with similar pharmacological profiles to unconstrained recombinant  $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$  receptors (Stórustovu and Ebert, 2006), whereas  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors formed from the alternative  $\beta\alpha\beta\delta\alpha$  anticlockwise arrangement exhibit similar GABA and Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivities to non-concatenated receptors (Kaur *et al.*, 2009). These findings suggest that the arrangement of recombinant and native  $\delta$ -containing receptors is still open to question.

To conclude, we demonstrate that the subunit stoichiometry of heterologously expressed  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  receptors is  $2\alpha:2\beta:1\delta$ . This stoichiometry remains unchanged even with varying cDNA transfection ratios, which may reflect that this is the preferred, dominant subunit assembly for this important extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtype that underlies tonic inhibition in some areas of the brain.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the MRC. We are grateful to Damian Bright for his helpful comments on the manuscript.

### Conflict of interest

None.



## References

- Alexander SPH, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Pawson AJ, Sharman JL, Catterall WA, Spedding M, Peters JA, Harmar AJ and CGTP Collaborators (2013). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14: Overview. *Br J Pharmacol* 170: 1449–1867.
- Backus KH, Arigoni M, Drescher U, Scheurer L, Malherbe P, Möhler H *et al.* (1993). Stoichiometry of a recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor deduced from mutation-induced rectification. *Neuroreport* 5: 285–288.
- Barrera NP, Betts J, You H, Henderson RM, Martin IL, Dunn SMJ *et al.* (2008). Atomic force microscopy reveals the stoichiometry and subunit arrangement of the  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* 73: 960–967.
- Baumann SW, Baur R, Sigel E (2001). Subunit arrangement of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors. *J Biol Chem* 276: 36275–36280.
- Baumann SW, Baur R, Sigel E (2002). Forced subunit assembly in  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors: insight into the absolute arrangement. *J Biol Chem* 277: 46020–46025.
- Baur R, Minier F, Sigel E (2006). A GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor of defined subunit composition and positioning: concatenation of five subunits. *FEBS Lett* 580: 1616–1620.
- Baur R, Kaur KH, Sigel E (2009). Structure of  $\alpha 6\beta 3\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors and their lack of ethanol sensitivity. *J Neurochem* 111: 1172–1181.
- Bianchi MT, Macdonald RL (2001). Mutation of the 9' leucine in the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\gamma 2$ L subunit produces an apparent decrease in desensitization by stabilizing open states without altering desensitized states. *Neuropharmacology* 41: 737–744.
- Borghese CM, Harris RA (2007). Studies of ethanol actions on recombinant  $\delta$ -containing  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors yield contradictory results. *Alcohol* 41: 155–162.
- Borghese CM, Stórustovu SI, Ebert B, Herd MB, Bellelli D, Lambert JJ *et al.* (2006). The  $\delta$  subunit of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors does not confer sensitivity to low concentrations of ethanol. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 316: 1360–1368.
- Brickley SG, Revilla V, Cull-Candy SG, Wisden W, Farrant M (2001). Adaptive regulation of neuronal excitability by a voltage-independent potassium conductance. *Nature* 409: 88–92.
- Cestari IN, Min KT, Kulli JC, Yang J (2000). Identification of an amino acid defining the distinct properties of murine  $\beta 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunit-containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *J Neurochem* 74: 827–838.
- Chang Y, Weiss DS (1999). Allosteric activation mechanism of the  $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$   $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor revealed by mutation of the conserved M2 leucine. *Biophys J* 77: 2542–2551.
- Chang Y, Wang R, Barot S, Weiss DS (1996). Stoichiometry of a recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. *J Neurosci* 16: 5415–5424.
- Connolly CN, Woollorton JR, Smart TG, Moss SJ (1996). Subcellular localization of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors is determined by receptor  $\beta$  subunits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93: 9899–9904.
- Davies PA, Kirkness EF, Hales TG (1997). Modulation by general anaesthetics of rat GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors comprised of  $\alpha 1\beta 3$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. *Br J Pharmacol* 120: 899–909.
- Farrant M, Nusser Z (2005). Variations on an inhibitory theme: phasic and tonic activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6: 215–229.
- Filatov GN, White MM (1995). The role of conserved leucines in the M2 domain of the acetylcholine receptor in channel gating. *Mol Pharmacol* 73: 379–384.
- Glykys J, Peng Z, Chandra D, Homanics GE, Houser CR, Mody I (2007). A new naturally occurring GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit partnership with high sensitivity to ethanol. *Nat Neurosci* 10: 40–48.
- Glykys J, Mann EO, Mody I (2008). Which GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits are necessary for tonic inhibition in the hippocampus? *J Neurosci* 28: 1421–1426.
- Hoestgaard-Jensen K, Dalby NO, Wolinsky TD, Murphey C, Jones KA, Rottländer M *et al.* (2010). Pharmacological characterization of a novel positive modulator at  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -containing extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Neuropharmacology* 58: 702–711.
- Hosie AM, Dunne EL, Harvey RJ, Smart TG (2003). Zinc-mediated inhibition of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors: discrete binding sites underlie subtype specificity. *Nat Neurosci* 6: 362–369.
- Jones A, Korpi ER, McKernan RM, Pelz R, Nusser Z, Mäkelä R *et al.* (1997). Ligand-gated ion channel subunit partnerships: GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\alpha 6$  subunit gene inactivation inhibits  $\delta$  subunit expression. *J Neurosci* 17: 1350–1362.
- Kaur KH, Baur R, Sigel E (2009). Unanticipated structural and functional properties of  $\delta$ -subunit-containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *J Biol Chem* 284: 7889–7896.
- Klausberger T, Sarto I, Ehya N, Fuchs K, Furtmüller R, Mayer B *et al.* (2001). Alternate use of distinct intersubunit contacts controls GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor assembly and stoichiometry. *J Neurosci* 21: 9124–9133.
- Korpi ER, Gründer G, Lüddens H (2002). Drug interactions at GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Prog Neurobiol* 67: 113–159.
- Krishek BJ, Moss SJ, Smart TG (1996). A functional comparison of the antagonists bicuculline and picrotoxin at recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Neuropharmacology* 35: 1289–1298.
- Krishek BJ, Moss SJ, Smart TG (1998). Interaction of H<sup>+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> on recombinant and native rat neuronal GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *J Physiol* 507: 639–652.
- Labarca C, Nowak MW, Zhang H, Tang L, Deshpande P, Lester HA (1995). Channel gating governed symmetrically by conserved leucine residues in the M2 domain of nicotinic receptors. *Nature* 376: 514–516.
- Mortensen M, Smart TG (2006). Extrasynaptic  $\alpha \beta$  subunit GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors on rat hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Physiol* 577: 841–856.
- Mortensen M, Smart TG (2007). Single-channel recording of ligand-gated ion channels. *Nat Protoc* 2: 2826–2841.
- Nagaya N, Macdonald RL (2001). Two  $\gamma 2$ L subunit domains confer low Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity to ternary GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *J Physiol* 532: 17–30.
- Peng Z, Hauer B, Mihalek RM, Homanics GE, Sieghart W, Olsen RW *et al.* (2002). GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor changes in  $\delta$  subunit-deficient mice: altered expression of  $\alpha 4$  and  $\gamma 2$  subunits in the forebrain. *J Comp Neurol* 446: 179–197.
- Porcello DM, Huntsman MM, Mihalek RM, Homanics GE, Huguenard JR (2003). Intact synaptic GABAergic inhibition and altered neurosteroid modulation of thalamic relay neurons in mice lacking  $\delta$  subunit. *J Neurophysiol* 89: 1378–1386.
- Santhakumar V, Jones RT, Mody I (2010). Developmental regulation and neuroprotective effects of striatal tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> currents. *Neuroscience* 167: 644–655.

- Shu H-J, Bracamontes J, Taylor A, Wu K, Eaton MM, Akk G *et al.* (2012). Characteristics of concatemeric GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing  $\alpha 4/\delta$  subunits expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Br J Pharmacol* 165: 2228–2243.
- Sieghart W, Ramerstorfer J, Sarto-Jackson I, Varagic Z, Ernst M (2012). A novel GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor pharmacology: drugs interacting with the  $\alpha(+)$   $\beta(-)$  interface. *Br J Pharmacol* 166: 476–485.
- Sigel E, Kaur KH, Lüscher BP, Baur R (2009). Use of concatamers to study GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor architecture and function: application to  $\delta$ -subunit-containing receptors and possible pitfalls. *Biochem Soc Trans* 37: 1338–1342.
- Smart TG, Paoletti P (2012). Synaptic neurotransmitter-gated receptors. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 4: a009662.
- Smart TG, Moss SJ, Xie X, Huganir RL (1991). GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are differentially sensitive to zinc: dependence on subunit composition. *Br J Pharmacol* 103: 1837–1839.
- Somogyi P, Fritschy J-M, Benke D, Roberts JD, Sieghart W (1996). The  $\gamma 2$  subunit of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor is concentrated in synaptic junctions containing the  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta$  subunits in hippocampus, cerebellum and globus pallidus. *Neuropharmacology* 35: 1425–1444.
- Stórustovu SI, Ebert B (2006). Pharmacological characterization of agonists at  $\delta$ -containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors: functional selectivity for extrasynaptic receptors is dependent on the absence of  $\gamma 2$ . *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 316: 1351–1359.
- Sur C, Farrar SJ, Kerby J, Whiting PJ, Atack JR, McKernan RM (1999). Preferential coassembly of  $\alpha 4$  and  $\delta$  subunits of the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid A receptor in rat thalamus. *Mol Pharmacol* 56: 110–115.
- Taylor PM, Thomas P, Gorrie GH, Connolly CN, Smart TG, Moss SJ (1999). Identification of amino acid residues within GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$  subunits that mediate both homomeric and heteromeric receptor expression. *J Neurosci* 19: 6360–6371.
- Tretter V, Ehya N, Fuchs K, Sieghart W (1997). Stoichiometry and assembly of a recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtype. *J Neurosci* 17: 2728–2737.
- Wagoner KR, Czajkowski C (2010). Stoichiometry of expressed  $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$   $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors depends on the ratio of subunit cDNA transfected. *J Biol Chem* 285: 14187–14194.
- Wallner M, Hancher HJ, Olsen RW (2003). Ethanol enhances  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  and  $\alpha 6\beta 3\delta$   $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptors at low concentrations known to affect humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 15218–15223.
- Wooltorton JR, Moss SJ, Smart TG (1997). Pharmacological and physiological characterization of murine homomeric  $\beta 3$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Eur J Neurosci* 9: 2225–2235.
- Yakel JL, Lagrutta A, Adelman JP, North RA (1993). Single amino acid substitution affects desensitization of the 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 receptor expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 90: 5030–5033.
- You H, Dunn SMJ (2007). Identification of a domain in the  $\delta$  subunit (S238-V264) of the  $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor that confers high agonist sensitivity. *J Neurochem* 103: 1092–1101.