## **Double bull's eye for post-operative intravenous iron in patient blood management: better outcome and cost-effective**

Giancarlo M. Liumbruno, Gioia Grazzini

Immunohaematology and Transfusion Medicine Unit, "San Giovanni Calibita" Fatebenefratelli Hospital, Rome, Italy

The prevalence of pre-operative anaemia in surgical patients varies greatly<sup>1</sup> but in surgical procedures with moderate to high peri-operative blood loss such as elective hip or knee arthroplasty and hip fracture surgery it is quite high, ranging from  $24\pm9\%$  to  $44\pm9\%$ , respectively<sup>2</sup>. In addition, pre-operative anaemia, even if only mild, is independently associated with an increased risk of 30-day morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing major (non-cardiac) surgery<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, the detection and treatment of anaemia in the framework of a universal patient blood management strategy should become standard care for patients undergoing elective surgical procedures, especially if substantial blood loss is expected. Studies on the epidemiology of anaemia in patients undergoing hip or knee surgery showed that the anaemia is hypochromic and microcytic in 23% to 70% of the subjects<sup>2</sup>.

Post-operative anaemia is even more prevalent in patients undergoing the aforementioned elective and non-elective major orthopaedic procedures (51% and  $87\pm10\%$ , respectively)<sup>2</sup>. In fact, it can occur in up to 90% of surgical patients<sup>1</sup> and is mainly due to perioperative bleeding but may be worsened by blunted erythropoiesis caused by surgery-induced inflammatory responses, especially through decreased iron availability (i.e. hepcidin-dependent down-regulation of intestinal absorption of iron and impaired mobilization of the metal from body stores)<sup>4-7</sup>.

It is well known that hepcidin, through modulation of the expression of ferroportin, acts as the main systemic iron-regulatory hormone of iron metabolism and its synthesis is controlled by multiple signalling pathways (e.g. inflammation, hypoxia, erythropoietin)<sup>8</sup>. In general, infections or stimuli causing a systemic inflammatory response can induce hepatic expression of hepcidin, thus reducing serum iron and increasing iron accumulation in reticuloendothelial cells. In patients with increased hepcidin levels oral iron therapy is useless, if not deleterious, because the negative feedback loop on ferroportin inhibits gastrointestinal absorption of oral iron, iron export from stores in hepatocytes or macrophages, and iron transport to the bone marrow thus limiting its availability for erythropoiesis<sup>9-12</sup>.

Although the pathophysiology of acute inflammationrelated anaemia, such as in trauma or surgery, is somewhat different<sup>4,8,13</sup>, the aforementioned principles also apply in the peri-operative period in which the two major mechanisms inducing anaemia are peri-operative or traumatic bleeding as well as blunted erythropoiesis caused by decreased iron availability with concomitant normal or near-normal erythropoietin levels.

Iron-deficiency syndromes include: (i) absolute iron deficiency, the most common nutritional deficiency characterised by absence of stored iron; (ii) functional iron deficiency, defined as "when increased erythron iron requirements exceed the available supply of iron"<sup>14</sup> such as occurs during high stimulation of erythropoiesis; and (iii) iron sequestration, which is mediated by hepcidin that causes the aforementioned unavailability of stored iron<sup>10,15</sup>.

Thus, although oral iron supplementation is adequate in most clinical conditions of absolute iron deficiency provided it can be tolerated and the time frame to scheduled surgery is not a limit<sup>16</sup>, in the peri-operative period the use of intravenous iron is required as an adequate and quick supply able to bypass hepcidinmediated inhibition of oral iron absorption or to maintain iron saturation and avoid functional iron deficiency in patients treated with erythropoietin<sup>10,15</sup>.

At present, a rapidly increasingly number of studies support the key role of peri-operative intravenous iron, with or without recombinant erythropoietin, in correcting anaemia and reducing the allogeneic transfusion rate in surgical patients and show that its efficacy is associated with a high level of safety<sup>10,13,16-22</sup>. Recently published consensus guidelines include intravenous iron in the pharmacological alternatives to be adopted in the peri-operative period in order to stimulate erythropoiesis and to reduce transfusion rates<sup>23</sup>. In addition, more data on the safety of intravenous iron preparations are available from post-marketing studies carried out in the United States of America (USA) and in Europe<sup>24-26</sup>, which show that iron sucrose and sodium ferric gluconate are associated with much lower rates of adverse events per million units sold than iron dextran or ferumoxytol, which are associated with the highest rates of all reported adverse event classifications. In fact, according to the USA Food and Drug Administration database<sup>25</sup>, on average, four major or serious adverse events are reported for every 1 million units (1 unit is

*Blood Transfus 2014; 12: 7-9* DOI 10.2450/2013.0227-13 © SIMTI Servizi Srl equivalent to 100 mg of iron, otherwise called 100 mg dose equivalent) of iron sucrose sold in this country, 10 per million units for sodium ferric gluconate, 27 per million units for iron dextran, and 184 per million units for ferumoxytol.

However, to the authors' knowledge, so far only an economic evaluation of benefits, harms and costs of parenteral versus oral iron administration in anaemic dialysis patients has been carried out<sup>27</sup>, while no study has been designed to make a formal cost comparison of the various intravenous iron therapies used in surgical patients in the framework of patient blood management programmes.

In this issue of Blood Transfusion, Manuel Muñoz and colleagues published a retrospective, matched cohort cost-analysis study on post-operative intravenous iron therapy in elective total lower limb arthroplasty<sup>28</sup>. This study was carried out in Spain and the authors retrospectively reviewed data from around 800 patients who underwent total knee or total hip arthroplasty between 2004 and 2011. The objective of the authors was to analyse and compare patient blood management costs in two cohorts of patients who underwent major orthopaedic surgery. The same defined allogeneic blood transfusion protocol was applied for all the patients included. The study cohort (537 subjects) was also treated with post-operative intravenous iron while the control group (257 patients) did not receive intravenous iron therapy. Two intravenous iron preparations were used: iron sucrose (200 mg in 100-200 mL saline over 30-60 minutes for 3 consecutive post-operative days) and ferric carboxymaltose (600 mg in 100-200 mL saline over 15-30 minutes on the first post-operative morning). All the analyses were performed in two matched cohorts of patients (182 in each group). Muñoz and co-workers evaluated in which patients this pharmacological alternative to blood transfusion was most effective with regards to cost-saving and also related this parameter to the iron compound used, to the pre-operative haemoglobin concentration, and the different allogeneic transfusion rates in patients managed with each iron preparation.

Fixed and variable costs related to patient blood management included the costs of allogeneic red blood cell acquisition, the transfusion service, haemoglobin assessment, post-operative intravenous iron administration, and hospitalisation. Similarly to another cost analysis of a different technique of patient blood management carried out by the same author<sup>29</sup>, the cost model was developed with the time-driven activity-based costing methodology as this is able to capture a wide spectrum of the indirect costs as well as the unused capacity cost<sup>30</sup>.

The results of this economic evaluation showed that iron-treated patients had a significantly lower allogeneic

transfusion rate in comparison to control subjects (11.5% versus 26.4%; p=0.001) without any relevant adverse event or increase in post-operative infection rate. Interestingly, the reduction in transfusion rates was more noticeable in anaemic patients and individuals receiving allogeneic transfusion had a longer hospitalisation (+1.9 days; 95% confidence interval: 1.2-2.6). Finally, both iron sucrose and ferric carboxymaltose were cost-neutral in the different cost scenarios evaluated by the authors. Thus, post-operative intravenous iron, in addition to being safe and reducing transfusion rates as well as days of hospitalisation, also proved to be cost-effective.

In conclusion, as correctly pointed out by the authors, their study "was a retrospective, matched cohort study, and as such it does not provide unbiased results"<sup>28</sup>. Therefore, although we believe that subjects included in observational studies more closely resemble those patients we come across in daily clinical practice, utility and cost-benefit of post-operative intravenous iron in elective total lower limb arthroplasty should be confirmed by controlled clinical trials in order to further strengthen the very consistent results from the observational study by Manuel Muñoz and co-workers.

Cost-effectiveness analyses of patient blood management techniques such as the aforementioned<sup>28</sup> are very important and topical as they allow the proper allocation of resources. In fact, many countries of the industrialised world are currently united by the common quest for affordable and sustainable healthcare and the struggle against steadily growing healthcare costs that exceed the constantly pursued increase of the gross domestic product<sup>31</sup>. We, therefore, deem that this study is valuable for all stakeholders actively involved in setting up patient blood management programmes from which patients and health care payer organisations as well as public healthcare providers will undoubtedly benefit.

The Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Shander A, Knight K, Thurer R, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of anemia in surgery: a systematic review of the literature. Am J Med 2004; 116 (Suppl 7A): 58S-69S.
- Spahn DR. Anemia and patient blood management in hip and knee surgery: a systematic review of the literature. Anesthesiology 2010; **113**: 482-95.
  Musallam KM, Tamim HM, Richards T, et al. Preoperative
- 3) Musallam KM, Tamim HM, Richards T, et al. Preoperative anaemia and postoperative outcomes in non-cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2011; **378**: 1396-407.
- van Iperen CE, Kraaijenhagen RJ, Biesma DH, et al. Iron metabolism and erythropoiesis after surgery. Br J Surg 1998; 85: 41-5.
- 5) van Iperen CE, van de Wiel A, Marx JJ. Acute event-related anaemia. Br J Haematol 2001; **115**: 739-43.
- 6) Means RT. Hepcidin and cytokines in anaemia. Hematology 2004; **9**: 357-62.

Blood Transfus 2014; 12: 7-9 DOI 10.2450/2013.0227-13

- Weiss G, Goodnough LT. Anemia of chronic disease. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 1011-23.
- Muñoz M, García-Erce JA, Remacha AF. Disorders of iron metabolism. Part 1: molecular basis of iron homoeostasis. J Clin Pathol 2011; 64: 281-6.
- 9) Drakesmith H, Prentice AM. Hepcidin and the iron-infection axis. Science 2012; **338**: 768-72.
- Auerbach M, Goodnough LT, Shander A. Iron: the new advances in therapy. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2013; 27: 131-40.
- Means RT Jr. Hepcidin and iron regulation in health and disease. Am J Med Sci 2013; 345: 57-60.
- Ganz T, Nemeth E. Hepcidin and iron homeostasis. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012; 1823: 1434-43.
- Auerbach M, Goodnough LT, Picard D, Maniatis A. The role of intravenous iron in anemia management and transfusion avoidance. Transfusion 2008; 48: 988-1000.
- 14) Finch CA, Huebers H. Perspectives in iron metabolism. N Engl J Med 1982; 306: 1520-8.
- 15) Goodnough LT. Iron deficiency syndromes and iron-restricted erythropoiesis (CME). Transfusion 2012; **52**: 1584-92.
- 16) Muñoz M, García-Erce JA, Cuenca J, et al; AWGE (Spanish Anaemia Working Group). On the role of iron therapy for reducing allogeneic blood transfusion in orthopaedic surgery. Blood Transfus 2012; **10**: 8-22.
- 17) Cuenca J, García-Erce JA, Martínez F, et al. Perioperative intravenous iron, with or without erythropoietin, plus restrictive transfusion protocol reduce the need for allogeneic blood after knee replacement surgery. Transfusion 2006; 46: 1112-9.
- 18) Muñoz M, Breymann C, García-Erce JA, et al. Efficacy and safety of intravenous iron therapy as an alternative/adjunct to allogeneic blood transfusion. Vox Sang 2008; 94: 172-83.
- 19) Krafft A, Breymann C. Iron sucrose with and without recombinant erythropoietin for the treatment of severe postpartum anemia: a prospective, randomized, open-label study. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2011; **37**: 119-24.
- 20) Cladellas M, Farré N, Comín-Colet J, et al. Effects of preoperative intravenous erythropoietin plus iron on outcome in anemic patients after cardiac valve replacement. Am J Cardiol 2012; **110**: 1021-6.
- 21) Muñoz M, Gómez-Ramírez S, Cuenca J, et al. Very-shortterm perioperative intravenous iron administration and postoperative outcome in major orthopedic surgery: a pooled analysis of observational data from 2547 patients. Transfusion 2013; doi: 10.1111/trf.12195.
- 22) Onken JE, Bregman DB, Harrington RA, et al. A multicenter, randomized, active-controlled study to investigate the efficacy and safety of intravenous ferric carboxymaltose in patients with iron deficiency anemia. Transfusion 2013; doi: 10.1111/trf.12289.

- 23) Leal-Noval SR, Muñoz M, Asuero M, et al. Spanish Consensus Statement on alternatives to allogeneic blood transfusion: the 2013 update of the "Seville Document". Blood Transfus 2013; 11: 585-610.
- 24) Bailie GR, Hörl WH, Verhoef JJ. Differences in spontaneously reported hypersensitivity and serious adverse events for intravenous iron preparations: comparison of Europe and North America. Arzneimittelforschung 2011; 61: 267-75.
- 25) Bailie GR. Comparison of rates of reported adverse events associated with i.v. iron products in the United States. Am J Health-Syst Pharm 2012; 69: 310-20.
- 26) Bailie GR, Verhoef JJ. Differences in the reporting rates of serious allergic adverse events from intravenous iron by country and population. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol 2012; 10: 101-8.
- 27) Wong G, Howard K, Hodson E, et al. An economic evaluation of intravenous versus oral iron supplementation in people on haemodialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28: 413-20.
- 28) Muñoz M, Gómez-Ramírez S, Martín-Montañez E, et al. Cost of post-operative intravenous iron therapy in total lower limb arthroplasty: a retrospective, matched cohort study. Blood Transfus 2014: 12: 40-9.
- 29) Muñoz M, Ariza D, Campos A, et al. The cost of post-operative shed blood salvage after total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of 1,093 consecutive procedures. Blood Transfus 2013; 11: 260-71.
- Kaplan RS, Anderson SR. Time-driven activity-based costing. Harv Bus Rev 2004; 82: 131-8.
- Hofmann A, Ozawa S, Farrugia A, et al. Economic considerations on transfusion medicine and patient blood management. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2013; 27: 59-68.

**Correspondence**: Giancarlo Maria Liumbruno Viale Italia 19 57126 Livorno, Italy e-mail: giancarlo@liumbruno.it

Blood Transfus 2014; 12: 7-9 DOI 10.2450/2013.0227-13