## Nucleotide sequence analysis of the chicken c-myc gene reveals homologous and unique coding regions by comparison with the transforming gene of avian myelocytomatosis virus MC29, $\Delta qaa - muc^*$

(DNA sequence analysis/identifying reading frames/onc genes and transforming proteins of retroviruses/cellular prototypes of viral onc genes)

DENNIS K. WATSON<sup>†</sup>, E. PREMKUMAR REDDY<sup>‡</sup>, PETER H. DUESBERG<sup>§</sup>, AND TAKIS S. PAPAS<sup>†¶</sup>

Laboratories of <sup>†</sup>Molecular Oncology and of <sup>‡</sup>Cellular and Molecular Biology, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205; and <sup>§</sup>Department of Molecular Biology and Virus Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Communicated by Robert J. Huebner, January 5, 1983

ABSTRACT Myelocytomatosis virus MC29 is a defective avian retrovirus with a hybrid transforming gene ( $\Delta gag-myc$ ) consisting of a 1,358-base pair (bp) sequence from the retroviral gag gene and a 1,568-bp sequence (v-myc) shared with a cellular locus, termed c-myc. We have subjected to sequence analysis 2,735 bp of the cloned c-muc gene, which includes the v-muc-related region of 1.568 bp. an intervening sequence of 971 bp, and unique flanking sequences of 45 bp and 195 bp at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. Analysis of the genetic information and alignment of the c-myc sequence with the known sequence of MC29 indicates that: (i) the two myc sequences share the same reading frame, including the translational termination signal; (ii) there are nine nucleotide changes between c-myc and v-myc that correspond to seven amino acid changes; (iii) the 971-bp intervening sequence of c-muc can be defined as an intron by consensus splice signals; (iv) the unique 5' sequence of c-myc could either extend its reading frame beyond the homology with v-myc or could be an intron because its junction with the myc region of the locus is a canonical 3' splice-acceptor site; (v) the vmyc contains 10 nucleotides at its 5' end not shared with the c-myc analyzed here and also not with known gag genes, probably derived from an upstream exon; and (vi) the c-muc locus can generate a mRNA whose termination signals have been identified to be located 83 bp and 119 bp from the point of divergence between the v-myc and c-myc. We conclude that the gene of the c-myc locus of the chicken and the onc gene of MC29 share homologous myc regions and differ in unique 5' coding regions and we speculate, on this basis, that their protein products may have different functions. The hybrid onc gene of MC29 must have been generated from the c-myc gene by deletion of the 5' cellular coding sequence, followed by substitution with the 5' region of the viral gag gene.

Myelocytomatosis virus MC29 is the prototype of a subgroup of replication-defective, avian retroviruses that also includes MH2, CMII, and OK10. The viruses of the MC29 subgroup have a broad oncogenic spectrum, inducing acute leukemias, carcinomas, and sarcomas, and transform fibroblasts and macrophages in cell culture (1, 2). The 5.7-kilobase (kb) RNA of MC29 contains an internal, specific sequence of 1.6 kb, termed v-myc (2), which is unrelated to the three essential virion genes of retroviruses (gag, pol, and env) (2) and which is the structural basis for the classification of avian acute leukemia viruses into the MC29 subgroup (1). In addition to myc, viruses of the MC29 subgroup contain a partial  $\Delta gag 5'$  to myc and a  $\Delta env$  gene 3' to myc. The probable transforming onc gene of MC29 is a  $\Delta gag$ -myc gene defined by its product, a gag-related protein, termed p110 (3).

Recently we have molecularly cloned (4) and subjected to sequence analysis the 5' 3,782 nucleotides of proviral MC29 DNA, including the  $\Delta gag$ -myc transforming gene (5). The hybrid genome containing viral gag sequences and cell-derived myc sequences results in an open reading frame coding for a  $\Delta gag$ -myc hybrid protein containing 450 amino acids from gag and 425 from myc. This open reading frame encodes a 96,000dalton protein and is terminated 301 bases upstream from the 3' v-myc helper-viral junction (5). This size estimate probably reflects the molecular mass of the primary polypeptide sequence of p110, which has an apparent molecular mass of 110,000 daltons. In MC29-transformed cells p110 is highly phosphorylated, lacks kinase activity (6, 7), and is localized in the nucleus (8, 9). In vitro translation of MC29 RNA also yields a protein with an apparent size of about 110,000 daltons (3).

In an effort to determine the functional relationship between the onc gene of MC29 and its cellular prototype, we have recently cloned the c-myc locus of chicken and found that it was interrupted relative to the viral counterpart by a 1-kb sequence of nonhomology (10).

In this study we have subjected to sequence analysis about 2.7 kb of the c-myc locus, and we compare it to the  $\Delta gag$ -myc gene of MC29. Alignment of the c-myc sequence with the hybrid onc gene of MC29 revealed that the two genes share homologous myc coding regions but that each gene contains a unique 5' coding region. Thus, structural and possible functional differences exist between the onc gene of MC29 and its cellular homolog.

## **METHODS AND MATERIALS**

Molecular Cloning and Nucleotide Sequence Analysis. A normal chicken locus homologous to the *myc* sequence of the transforming gene of MC29 (v-*myc*) (10) was further subcloned in the plasmid vector, pBR322, and then was utilized for sequence analysis (Fig. 1B). Appropriate endonuclease-resistant DNA fragments were labeled (11) and all subsequent experiments were carried out as described (5, 12).

## RESULTS

Genetic Information of c-myc Deduced from Its Nucleotide Sequence and by Alignment with the Sequence of MC29. Analysis of the genetic information of the 2.7-kb c-myc se-

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U. S. C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: bp, base pair(s); kb, kilobase(s).

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the RNA Tumor Viruses Meeting, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, May 26-30, 1982.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>To whom reprint requests should be addressed.



FIG. 1. Structural comparison between v-myc and c-myc and strategy for DNA sequence analysis of c-myc. Restriction site map of integrated MC29 proviral DNA ( $\Delta gag$ -myc) (A) and its cellular homolog (c-myc) (B). Shaded (hatched) areas represent myc-related sequences. Brackets below B define subclones used in this study. Cleavage sites of restriction enzymes used for sequence analysis are shown in C. Arrows detail orientation and extent of sequence analysis. Values are given in kb.

quence identified an open reading frame starting at position -45 and extending to position 706 and then continuing from position 707 to 1,266 with a noncoding, intervening sequence from position I<sup>1</sup> to I<sup>971</sup> (Fig. 2). A TAG termination signal of translation was identified at position 1,267 but we have not found an ATG translation start codon in the part of the c-myc locus that was subjected to sequence analysis here.

To determine how c-myc mRNA could be generated, we have looked in the c-myc sequence for signals that could be involved in the initiation and termination of mRNA. Consistent with the observation that the coding sequence of the c-myc locus appears to start at an undetermined location 5' to position -45, no initiation signal of transcription has been detected in the part of the c-myc locus that has been subjected to sequence analysis to date. However, two consensus signals involved in the termination of mRNA, A-A-T-A-A-A at position 1,651 and C-A-C-A at position 1,687, have been identified. Cellular sequences 5' to the overlap with v-myc must be subjected to sequence analysis to identify translation and mRNA initiation signals.

To determine structural and functional relationship between the c-myc locus and the genome of MC29, we have aligned, on the basis of nucleotide sequence homology, the sequence of cmyc with the known sequence of MC29 (5) (Fig. 2). This alignment located the 5' point of overlap with v-myc at position 1 of c-myc and position 2,063 of MC29 (Fig. 2). The 3' boundary of overlap with MC29 was identified at position 1,568 of c-myc and at position 3,629 of MC29 (5), which are located 83 and 119 base pairs (bp) upstream from the putative mRNA termination signal of c-myc. By comparing the complete sequence of c-myc to that of v-myc, we confirm that the c-myc locus is interrupted by an intervening sequence. The 5' c-myc region is 706 bp in length and extends from position 1 to 706. The 3' c-myc region is 862 bp in length and extends from position 707 to 1,568. The intervening sequence measures 971 bp. As shown in Fig. 2, the 5' junction of the intervening sequence C-T-C-G-G/G-T-G-A-G is very similar to the common 5' splice-site sequence A-G/ G-T-N-A-G (13). The 3' junction sequence C-C-T-G-T-G-C-A-G/ is similar to the 3' splice-site signal Y-N-Y-Y-N-C-A-G/ (Y = pyrimidine). Therefore, it seems that splice signals are present at the myc boundaries of this sequence and that this portion of the cellular sequence is probably an intron that is spliced out upon transcription into a mRNA.

This alignment also revealed that the two exons of c-myc and v-myc have a common reading frame and another unique feature of sequence conservation between c-myc and v-mycnamely, a common TAG termination signal of translation located at position 1,267 in the 3' exon of c-myc, or 301 bp upstream from the point where c-myc and v-myc cease to overlap, and at position 3,329 of MC29. If compared at the sequence level, the two exons of c-myc differ from v-myc in a total of nine base changes, which correspond to seven amino acid changes. Two of these seven changes are in the 5' exon and the remaining seven, in the 3' exon of c-muc (Table 1). Although many of these substitutions could result in minor conformational changes, the substitution of threonine for methionine is highly significant and could generate a different protein conformation. The available c-muc sequence information already suggested that the reading frame of c-myc may extend at least 15 codons 5' to the boundary of overlap with v-myc. However, close examination of the v-myc and c-myc 5' junction also reveals the

Start of v-myc homology \_40 CCC GAG CGC GGC TCA CCC GGC CCC GTG TCC CCC TCC CGC CCG CAG GCA GCA GCC GCC GCG ATG CCG CTC AGC GCC AGC CTC CCC Pro Glu Arg Gly Ser Pro Gly Pro Pro Val Ser Pro Ser Arg Pro Gln Ala Ala Ala Ala Ala Ala Met Pro Leu Ser Ala Ser Leu Pro 50 100 AGC AAG AAC TAC GAT TAC GAC TAC GAC TCG GTG CAG CCC TAC TTC TAC TTC GAG GAG GAG GAG GAG GAG AAC TTC TAC CTG GCG GCG CAG Ser Lys Asn Tyr Asp Tyr Asp Tyr Asp Ser Val Gln Pro Tyr Phe Tyr Phe Glu Glu Glu Glu Glu Glu Asn Phe Tyr Leu Ala Ala Gln Pstl CAG CGG GGC AGC GAG CTG CAG CCT CCC GCC CCG TCC GAG GAC ATC TGG AAG AAG TTT GAG CTC CTG CCC ACG CCG CCC CTC TCG CCC Gln Arg Gly Ser Glu Leu Gln Pro Pro Ala Pro Ser Glu Asp Ile Trp Lys Lys Phe Glu Leu Leu Pro Thr 200 250 300 AGC CGC CGC TCC AGC CTG GCC GCC GCC TCC TGC TTC CCT TCC ACC GCC GAC CAG CTG GAG ATG GTG ACG GAG CTG CTC GGG GGG GAC Ser Arg Arg Ser Ser Leu Ala Ala Ala Ser Cys Phe Pro Ser Thr Ala Asp Gln Leu Glu Met Val Thr Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Asp 350 ATG GTC AAC CAG AGC TTC ATC TGC GAC CCG GAC GAC GAA TCC TTC GTC AAA TCC ATC ATC ATC CAG GAC TGC ATG TGG AGC GGC TTC Met Val Asn Gln Ser Phe Ile Cys Asp Pro Asp Asp Glu Ser Phe Val Lys Ser Ile Ile Gln Asp Cys Met Trp Ser Gly Phe 400 450 TCC GCC GCC AAG CTG GAG AAG GTG GTG TCG GAG AAG CTC GCC ACC TAC CAA GCC TCC CGC CGG GAG GGG GGC CCC GCC GCC GCC Ser Ala Ala Ala Ala Lys Leu Glu Lys Val Val Ser Glu Lys Leu Ala Thr Tyr Gln Ala Ser Arg Arg Glu Gly Gly Pro Ala Ala Ala 500 550 600 650 Ala Ala Ala Ala Asp Cys Ile Asp Pro Ser Val Val Phe Pro Tyr Pro Leu Ser Glu Arg Ala Pro Arg Ala Ala Pro Pro Gly Ala AAC CCC GCG GCT CTG CTG GGG GTC GAC ACG CCG CCC ACG ACC AGC AGC GAC TCG G Asn Pro Ala Ala Leu Leu Gly Val Asp Thr Pro Pro Thr Thr Ser Ser Asp Ser CCTTCCCGCTGCTCGCGGGACCTCACCCTGCTTCTCCCCCCTTGCGCGCCCCCTGTTAAATGCCACGTTAGCGAGGCGCTCTGCGAGTTTATTTCCTGGAAAAGCAGTTTTC I200 I250 I300 I350 I400 I450 I550 1600 1650 1700 1800 I750 TACGCAAGAGCTGTGCAACTTTTGAAATCAGGGAGCCAGAGTTCCCGAGGGCAGTCCTTGAAACGCTGCTTCCTTAAGGGAAGGGCTCTTGGGAAGGGAATGGGGAGTCTTGCAGA 1900 I950 I850 800 850 TCC AGC ACA GAA GCA TCA GAG GAG CAC TGT AAG CCC CAC CAC AGT CCG CTG GTC CTC AAG CGG TGT CAC GTC AAC ATC CAC CAA CAC Ser Ser Thr Glu Ala Ser Glu Glu His Cys Lys Pro His His Ser Pro Leu Val Leu Lys Arg Cys His Val Asn Ile His Gln His 900 AAC TAC GCT GCT CCC CCC TCC ACC AAG GTG GAA TAC CCA GCC GCC AAG AGG CTA AAG TTG GAC AGT GGC AGG GTC CTC AAA CAG ATC Asn Tyr Ala Ala Pro Pro Ser Thr Lys Val Glu Tyr Pro Ala Ala Lys Arg Leu Lys Leu Asp Ser Gly Arg Val Leu Lys Gln Ile 1000 AGC AAC CAA CAA TGC TCC AGT CCC CGC ACG TCA GAC TCA GAG GAG AAC GAC AAG AGG CGA ACG CAC AAC GTC TTG GAG CGC CAG Ser Asn Asn Arg Lys Cys Ser Ser Pro Arg Thr Ser Asp Ser Glu Glu Asn Asp Lys Arg Arg Thr His Asn Val Leu Glu Arg Gln 1050 1100 CGA AGG AAT GAG CTG AAG CTG AGT TTC TTT GCC CTG CGT GAC CAG ATA CCC GAG GTG GCC AAC AAC GAG AAG GCG CCC AAG GTT GTC Arg Arg Asn Glu Leu Lys Leu Ser Phe Phe Ala Leu Arg Asp Gln Ile Pro Glu Val Ala Asn Asn Glu Lys Ala Pro Lys Val Val 1150 1200 ATC CTG AAA AAA GCC ACG GAG TAC GTT CTG TCT ATC CAA TCG GAC GAG CAC AGA CTA ATC GCA GAG AAA GAG CAG TTG AGG CGG AGG IIe Leu Lys Lys Ala Thr Glu Tyr Val Leu Ser Ile Gln Ser Asp Glu His Arg Leu Ile Ala Glu Lys Glu Gln Leu Arg Arg Arg 1250 AGA GAA CAG TTG AAA CAC AAA CTT GAG CAG CTA AGG AAC TCT CGT GCA TAG GAACTCTTGGACATCACTTAGAATACCCCCAAACTAGACTGAAACTAT Arg Glu Gln Leu Lys His Lys Leu Glu Gln Leu Arg Asn Ser Arg Ala End 1350 1400 GATAAAATATTAGTGTTTCTAATATCACTCATGAACTACATCAGTCCATTGAGTATGGAACTATTGCAACTGCATGCGGGCCTTAACTTGGGACTACACAACCTTGGCCGAA 1450 1500 TCTCCGAACGGTTTGGCCAGAACCTCAAAACTGCCTCATAATTGATACTTTGGGCATAAGGGATGATGGGACATTCTTCATGCTTGGGGATGAACTCTTCAAQ<u>TTTTTT</u>CTTTT 1550 End of v-myc homology 1600 Polyadenylation signal AAAATTTTGTATTTAAGGCATTTTTTTTTTTGGGAGAATTCCAAATAGAGTTGTCCCCAGATTGCTGTATATATTTACACATCTTCTTGCCATGTAAATACCTTT<u>AATAAA</u>GTCTT Poly A addition site 1700 1750

TATAGAAAAATGTGCAACATTAATACACAGCAGTTGTGGGAACTGGATTTATACTTGTCTTGAACTTGTGTGCCATAACATTTCACAGTTTTGTTTTTATTT

FIG. 2. (Legend appears at the bottom of the following page.)

Table 1. Nucleotide and amino acid differences between c-myc and v-myc

c- <i>myc</i> position	с-тус		v-myc	
	Nucleo- tide	Amino acid	Nucleo- tide	Amino acid
5' exon				
200	С	Thr	Т	Met
455	G	Arg	Α	Gln
3' exon		-		
992	С	Ser	Т	Leu
1,066	Α	Ser	С	Arg
1,165	Α	Ile	С	Leu
1,184	G	Arg	Α	Lys
1,188	Α	Leu	G	Leu
1,239	Α	Lys	С	Asn
1,535	+T	<u> </u>		_

+, Inserted nucleotide.

possible splice-acceptor signal (C-G-C-C-G-C-A-G $\subseteq$ ) between positions -6 and 3. If this is indeed an acceptor, the C-A-G (Gln) and the adjacent 10 unique nucleotides seen in v-myc (5) are possibly derived from an additional exon. Hence, the reading frame of c-myc may continue into another as yet unidentified exon.

Finally, alignment of the MC29 sequence with the known gag sequence of Rous sarcoma virus (2) demonstrated that the two viral gag sequences overlap up to position 2,052 of MC29 (not shown) (5). Because the 5' boundary of overlap with c-myc is at position 2,062, this leaves a MC29 sequence of 10 nucleotides between positions 2,052 and 2,062 that is MC29-specific and possibly defines additional nucleotides in the upstream exon of c-myc.

## DISCUSSION

Comparison of the Structures of the Cellular Gene of the c-myc Locus and the onc Gene of MC29. The nucleotide sequence demonstrates that the c-myc locus has all of the structural characteristics of a typical cellular gene. It carries mRNA termination signals and its coding regions (exons) are interrupted by at least one intervening sequence with consensus splice signals (13). Further sequence analysis upstream from the overlap with v-myc is expected to identify 5' initiation signals. Analysis of mRNA from normal chicken embryo fibroblasts revealed myc-related RNA species ranging from 6.5 to 2.7 kb in the nucleus and a 2.5-kb RNA species in the cytoplasm, indicating that c-myc is transcriptionally active (14). The existence of the large (possible) nuclear precursors of the 2.5-kb cytoplasmic RNA species implies that the c-myc may contain exons outside the regions defined here by homology with v-myc, which is consistent with our evidence that the 5' ATG start codon of translation is not within the 1,568 coding bases of c-muc that have been subjected to sequence analysis to date. The human c-myc locus, which has a structure similar to that of chicken c-myc (15), also expresses a cytoplasmic mRNA of 2.7 kb (16, 17).

Comparison of the gene of the c-myc locus and the onc gene of MC29 at the sequence level revealed that the two genes share homologous 3' myc coding regions and a common translation termination signal. The common myc coding regions differ by nine nucleotides that do not introduce translation termination codons into the c-*myc* sequence (Table 1). These nucleotide differences correspond to seven amino acid changes between the respective proteins (Table 1). Therefore, the protein sequence encoded by this region of c-*myc* is not identical with the viral counterpart.

The major difference between the coding sequences of the two genes is that the onc gene of MC29 carries about 1,358 (gag) nucleotides at its 5' end, which are not shared with the gene encoded by the c-muc locus. The unique sequence at the 5' end of the c-myc could be viewed in two ways: (i) as an extension of the open reading frame for at least 45 nucleotides, which are not shared with the viral transforming gene, or (ii) as an upstream exon. Evidence for the latter comes from the presence of a perfect splicing signal at position -6 to 3 and from unique 10-bp sequence in v-myc 5' to the border with c-myc, which is neither homologous with c-myc nor with helper virus-related sequences. Assuming that the 2.5-kb cytoplasmic myc-related mRNA of normal cells is transcribed from the c-myc locus analyzed here, we estimate that the gene of the c-muc locus may carry at its 5' end a coding sequence of up to 600 nucleotides that is absent from v-myc, coding a putative polypeptide of about 80,000 daltons. [This estimate subtracts about 300 nucleotides from the 2.5-kb mRNA for poly(A) and 5' noncoding sequences.] We conclude that the onc gene of MC29 and the gene encoded by the cellular myc locus share closely related 3' coding regions, but each contains a unique 5' coding region.

How Was MC29 Generated from c-myc? Based upon the lack of sequence homology between the c-myc locus of the chicken and the gag and env genes of Rous sarcoma virus and other avian retroviruses (2), the generation of MC29 must have been the net product of illegitimate recombination between the gag and env genes of an avian retrovirus and the c-myc locus of the cell. The low probability and the necessity for all steps of such an event to occur within the lifespan of an infected animal for a transmissible virus to emerge are consistent with the infrequent occurrence of MC29-like viruses in nature (1, 2).

Conceivably, the primary recombination between the gag gene of a hypothetical retroviral progenitor of MC29 could have occurred at many possible sites within a noncoding sequence 5' of the coding region of c-myc to generate a splice-donor site. Subsequent splicing would have fused the coding gag sequences with the myc sequences shared by the virus and the cell. Such a mechanism has been favored because the resulting viral hybrid onc gene would contain a complete exon of a putative cellular onc gene and could then be functionally related to its cellular prototype. However, our data suggest that the hypothetical 5' recombination between gag and the c-myc locus must have occurred within the coding region of the cellular gene. This process would have generated a new hybrid gene, the onc gene of MC29, by linking the 5' region of the viral gag gene with the 3' coding region of the cellular gene.

Because the 3' v-myc-env interface is outside the coding region of c-myc and v-myc, the primary recombination at the 3' end of c-myc must have occurred in a noncoding region. However, this recombination would have to be limited to the 400 nucleotides between the translation termination codon of c-myc, at position 1,267, and the transcription termination codons at about position 1,650, in order for viable RNA virus to emerge.

FIG. 2 (on preceding page). Translated nucleotide sequence of the chicken c-myc locus. Nucleotide sequence of c-myc details position of exons showing viral homology (1–706 and 707–1,568) and the intron  $(1^1-1^{971})$  and flanking cellular sequences (-45 to -1 and 1,570–2,735) with no MC29 viral homology. Dots mark every 10th nucleotide. The amino acid sequence deduced from the open reading frame is given in the bottom line. Several restriction sites are shown to further facilitate comparison with the v-myc sequence (5). Nucleotide and amino acid changes (listed in Table 1) between c-myc and v-myc are shown in boxes. Also highlighted are the donor (1) and acceptor (1) splice signals, polyadenylylation signals, and protein translation termination site.

The presence of a transcription termination signal within the viral genome would obviously generate a viral RNA without the 3' terminal regulatory sequences necessary to synthesize a viral large terminal repeat (2).

Are c-muc and v-muc Parts of Functionally Related Genes? The functional relationship between retroviral onc genes and cellular prototypes has been viewed in terms of two models (1): the qualitative model, which holds that viral onc genes and cellular prototypes have related structures but different functions (1), and the quantitative model, which holds that they are functionally the same (18). With regard to the relationship between the c-myc locus and the onc gene of MC29, our data favor the qualitative model, because it is probable that the hybrid gene  $(\Delta gag-muc)$  and the cellular gene [5' unique coding exon(s)-muc] would encode functionally different proteins. By contrast, it has been claimed in accord with the quantitative model that cmyc is a functional homolog of the onc gene of MC29 and that enhanced expression of c-muc, due to adjacent integration of a retrovirus, is the cause of B-cell lymphoma (19, 20). These integration points have been clearly defined (21). Yet, this hypothesis fails to explain why c-myc would cause lymphomas rather than the acute myelocytomatoses, carcinomas, or sarcomas typical of MC29 virus infection. This could be readily explained in view of our results that the onc gene of MC29 and the gene of the c-myc locus each have unique domains.

It is recognized that this explanation implies that the c-myc locus may be a potential cellular onc gene, albeit different from the onc gene of MC29. However, because activation of c-muc is not a necessary condition for chicken lymphoma (19, 20) and because another DNA locus has since been identified in chicken lymphoma that may be the transforming gene (22), the c-myc locus may not serve a maintenance function in transformation. This view is compatible with the lack of correlation between cmuc expression and the transformed phenotype of a number of normal and neoplastic human cells (16, 17). The high degree of conservation of c-myc from Drosophila to vertebrates (10, 14, 15. 23) also suggests a basic c-muc function, rather than a function that specifically affects lymphoblasts.

A direct comparison between the functions of the proteins encoded by the two related genes would be necessary for a conclusive decision between the two models.

It may be relevant for the function of the transforming protein of MC29 that its v-myc domain is linked to the viral gag protein instead of to the amino acid-terminal region of the cmyc product. Conceivably, the gag domain directs the protein to a specific cellular target, perhaps in the nucleus, that would not be reached by the protein encoded by the c-myc locus.

We thank M. Nunn for critical review of this manuscript. This research was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Research Grant CA 11426 from the National Cancer Institute to P.H.D.

- Bister, K. & Duesberg, P. H. (1982) in Advances in Viral Oncol-1. ogy, ed. Klein, G. (Raven, New York), pp. 3-43.
- 2 Weiss, R. A., Teich, N. M., Varmus, H. E. & Coffin, J. M., eds. (1982) RNA Tumor Viruses, Molecular Biology of Tumor Viruses (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), 2nd Ed.
- 3. Mellon, P., Pawson, A., Bister, K., Martin, G. S. & Duesberg, P. H. (1978) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75, 5874-5878
- Lautenberger, J. A., Schulz, R. A., Garon, C. F., Tsichlis, P. N. & Papas, T. S. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 1518–1522. 4
- 5. Reddy, E. P., Reynolds, K., Watson, D. K., Schulz, R., Lautenberger, J. & Papas, T. S. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, in press.
- 6. Bister, K., Hayman, M. & Vogt, P. K. (1977) Virology 82, 431-
- 7. Bister, K., Lee, W.-H. & Duesberg, P. H. (1980) J. Virol. 36, 617-621
- 8. Abrams, H. D., Rohrschneider, L. R. & Eisenman, R. N. (1982) Cell 29, 427-439.
- Donner, P., Greiser-Wilke, I. & Moelling, K. (1982) Nature (London) 296, 262-266.
- Robins, T., Bister, K., Garon, C., Papas, T. & Duesberg, P. (1982) 10 J. Virol. 41, 635-642.
- Rushlow, K. E., Lautenberger, J. A., Papas, T. S., Baluda, M. 11. A., Perbal, B., Chirikjian, J. G. & Reddy, E. P. (1982) Science 216. 1421-1423
- Maxam, A. & Gilbert, W. (1980) Methods Enzymol. 65, 499-560. 12.
- Sharp, P. A. (1981) Cell 23, 643-646. 13. 14.
- Vennstrom, B., Sheiness, D., Zabiolski, J. & Bishop, J. M. (1982) . Virol. **42**, 773–779.
- 15. Dalla-Favera, R., Gelmann, E. P., Martinotti, S., Franchini, G. Papas, T. S., Gallo, R. C. & Wong-Staal, F. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 6497-6501.
- 16. Eva, A., Robbins, K. C., Andersen, P. R., Srinivasan, A., Tronick, S. R., Reddy, E. P., Ellmore, N. W., Galen, A. T., Lauten-berger, J. A., Papas, T. S., Westin, E. H., Wong-Staal, F., Gallo, R. C. & Aaronson, S. A. (1982) Nature (London) 295, 116-119.
- 17. Westin, E. H., Wong-Staal, F., Gelmann, E. P., Dalla-Favera, R., Papas, T. S., Lautenberger, J. A., Eva, A., Reddy, E. P., Tronick, S. T., Aaronson, S. A. & Gallo, R. C. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 2490–2492.
- 18
- Bishop, J. M. (1981) Cell 23, 5-6. Hayward, W. S., Neel, B. G. & Astrin, S. M. (1981) Nature (Lon-19. don) 290, 475-480.
- 20. Payne, G. S., Bishop, J. M. & Varmus, H. E. (1982) Nature (London) 295, 203-214
- Neel, B. G., Gasic, G. P., Rogler, C. E., Skalka, A. M., Ju, G. 21. Hishinuma, F., Papas, T., Astrin, S. M. & Hayward, W. S. (1982) J. Virol. 44, 158–166.
- 22. Cooper, G. A. & Neiman, P. E. (1981) Nature (London) 292, 857-858
- 23. Shilo, B.-Z. & Weinberg, R. A. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 6789-6792.