



Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2014 January 14; 53(1): 10–12. doi:10.1021/bi401552j.

## Revealing Cell-Surface Intramolecular Interactions in the BlaR1 Protein of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by NMR Spectroscopy

Thomas E. Frederick, Brian D. Wilson, Jooyoung Cha, Shahriar Mobashery, and Jeffrey W. Peng

The Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556 USA. (574) 631-2983

### Abstract

In methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*,  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic resistance is mediated by the transmembrane protein BlaR1. The antibiotic-sensor domain BlaR<sup>S</sup> and the L2 loop of BlaR1 are on the membrane surface. We used NMR to investigate interactions between BlaR<sup>S</sup> and a water-soluble peptide from L2. This peptide binds BlaR<sup>S</sup> proximal to the antibiotic acylation site as an amphipathic helix. BlaR<sup>S</sup> acylation by penicillin G does not disrupt binding. These results suggest a signal transduction mechanism whereby the L2 helix, partially embedded in the membrane, propagates conformational changes caused by BlaR<sup>S</sup> acylation through the membrane via transmembrane segments, leading to antibiotic resistance.

---

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is a global clinical scourge that has become resistant to virtually all  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics. In many MRSA strains, the  $\beta$ -lactam resistance is induced by a transmembrane sensor/transducer protein, BlaR1 (Figure 1). The induction begins when the extracellular sensor domain of BlaR1, BlaR<sup>S</sup>, becomes acylated at Ser389 by a  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic. Transduction of this signal to the BlaR1 cytoplasmic domain leads to transcription and expression of antibiotic resistance determinants (1–3).

The initial events related to acylation are not fully understood. Previous studies of BlaR1 from *Bacillus licheniformis* (4) demonstrated an interaction between the aforementioned BlaR<sup>S</sup> and the extracellular transmembrane loop L2 (Figure 1). It is reasonable to hypothesize that this interaction plays a role in signal transduction. Herein, we describe studies that disclose the nature of the interactions between BlaR<sup>S</sup> and the L2 loop, and clarify the early events leading to transduction of the acylation signal through the membrane in *Staphylococcus aureus*.

We investigated the BlaR<sup>S</sup>/L2 interactions through solution NMR studies of the isolated sensor domain, BlaR<sup>S</sup> (residues 330–585) and a peptide corresponding to the C-terminal 33 amino acids of L2 (residues 73–105). The full-length L2 peptide (residues 39–105) was insoluble; therefore, we used the soluble truncated construct, L2short, for all NMR experiments.

---

**Corresponding Author.** jpeng@nd.edu, (574) 631-2983.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** Materials and methods for all experiments and supporting figures S1–S6 can be accessed free of charge online at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

No competing financial interests have been declared.

Backbone amide  $^{15}\text{N}$  spin relaxation parameters ( $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and heteronuclear NOE) of U- $^{15}\text{N}$  L2short in the absence versus presence of equimolar BlaR<sup>S</sup> (300  $\mu\text{M}$ ) indicated L2short undergoes rapid exchange between a major free state and a minor BlaR<sup>S</sup>-bound state (Supporting Information). From  $R_1$  decreases, we estimated an equilibrium dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) of  $1.3 \pm 0.4$  mM. In intact BlaR1, co-localization of L2short and BlaR<sup>S</sup> on the membrane surface would impose a favorable entropic factor in their interactions that the millimolar  $K_d$  does not reflect. A reduced spectral density determination of L2short  $J_{\text{eff}}(0)$  values suggested the C-terminal residues 94–102 contact BlaR<sup>S</sup> directly (Figure S1).

Determining where L2short binds BlaR<sup>S</sup> was of key interest. Detecting intermolecular NOEs proved unsuccessful, most likely because of the weak binding affinity (5, 6). We therefore used paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) measurements, which are well suited for fast-exchange binding interactions (7–9). Our PRE measurements reported herein directly established the location and binding mode of the L2short/BlaR<sup>S</sup> interaction, which were inconclusive from chemical shift perturbations (CSPs), NOEs, and line broadening.

To do the PRE measurements, we generated a T92C variant of L2short, and attached paramagnetic and diamagnetic moieties consisting of MTSL and acetyl-MTSL, respectively (Figure S2). We chose T92 because of its proximity to the L2-binding interface residues revealed by  $J_{\text{eff}}(0)$  (Figure S1). We then measured the amide proton transverse relaxation rates  $R_2(^1\text{H}^N)$  of [U- $^{15}\text{N}$ , 80%  $^2\text{D}$ ] BlaR<sup>S</sup> in the presence of the paramagnetic and diamagnetic T92C variants. The PREs were the differences between the paramagnetic and diamagnetic  $R_2(^1\text{H}^N)$  relaxation rates, namely,  $\Gamma_2 = R_2^{PARA} (^1\text{H}^N) - R_2^{DIA} (^1\text{H}^N)$  (8, 9). BlaR<sup>S</sup> amide protons with large  $\Gamma_2$  were sites that experienced greater electron-nuclear dipolar relaxation, indicating proximity to the L2short spin-label, and thus, involvement in the binding interface.

Two regions of BlaR<sup>S</sup> gave significant PREs, suggesting two binding sites (Figure 2A). The first region (blue) was proximal to the antibiotic-binding site (site of acylation), which includes residues in the  $\beta 5$ – $\beta 6$  turn. The second region (red) was distal from the antibiotic-binding site, and includes residues in the  $\beta 6$ – $\beta 7$  turn. The distal site PRE values were smaller, reflecting a different binding mode with larger intermolecular distances, lower binding affinity, or both. Addition of 10:1 L2short to 300  $\mu\text{M}$  [U- $^{15}\text{N}$ , 80%  $^2\text{D}$ ] BlaR<sup>S</sup> resulted in BlaR<sup>S</sup> CSPs that corroborate the PRE results (Figure S3).

We corroborated these results from the L2short perspective, by spin-labeling BlaR<sup>S</sup> and looking for amide protons PREs in [U- $^{15}\text{N}$ ] L2short. We made two BlaR<sup>S</sup> variants for iodoacetamido-proxyl (IAP) (Figure S2) spin-labeling: I531C and N548C. I531 lies within the proximal L2short binding site ( $\beta 5$ – $\beta 6$  turn), while N548 is within the distal L2short binding site ( $\beta 6$ – $\beta 7$  turn).

The L2short PREs highlighted the same C-terminal region (residues 94–102) as the  $^{15}\text{N}$   $J_{\text{eff}}(0)$  results; thus, these L2short residues clearly contribute to the binding interface. The L2short PREs caused by I531C (Figure 2B) were significantly greater than those caused by N548C; this is consistent with converse PRE experiments involving T92C. Figure S4 compares the two PRE profiles.

The L2short PREs from I531C showed a distinct undulation for residues 87–104, which indicated cyclic proximity of these residues to spin-labeled I531C. The pattern was consistent with an amphipathic  $\alpha$ -helix (Figure 2B).  $^1\text{H}$ - $^1\text{H}$  NOESY spectra of L2short in the presence of BlaR<sup>S</sup> confirmed this  $\alpha$ -helical model by giving the characteristic sequential  $^1\text{H}^N$ - $^1\text{H}^N$  NOEs (Figure S5) (10).

Figure 2C depicts our provisional model of the L2short/BlaR<sup>S</sup> binding mode at the proximal site; this was derived from HADDOCK (11) calculations using our PRE-derived intermolecular distances (12) and BlaR<sup>S</sup> CSPs. The L2short residues in the binding interface are mainly in the polar hydrophilic face of the  $\alpha$ -helix, with putative interactions between D100 of L2short and K535/K562 of BlaR<sup>S</sup>. An exception is W99, which gave a very strong PRE. Hydrophobic interactions between W99 and BlaR<sup>S</sup> residues I531 and Y536 may enhance binding. Otherwise, the hydrophobic patches on the helix are opposite the BlaR<sup>S</sup> surface, allowing for partial embedding into the membrane (Figure 2C).

The binding mode for L2short at the BlaR<sup>S</sup> distal interaction site was unclear. The smaller L2short PREs precluded assessment of a similar amphipathic  $\alpha$ -helix. The mainly polar residues at the distal site suggest binding is dominated by electrostatic interactions. PRE experiments at higher salt supported this hypothesis. The higher salt reduced the distal site PRE to near noise levels; by contrast, the proximal site PREs remained prominent, albeit, at a reduced level (Figure S3). HADDOCK modeling deems unlikely a scenario in which one L2short binds the proximal and distal sites simultaneously. Rather, L2short binds one or the other. The weaker PRE response and the lack of evidence for structured binding suggest the distal binding site reflects a non-specific interaction.

A natural question is whether the  $\alpha$ -helicity of L2short is induced upon binding BlaR<sup>S</sup>. Far-UV circular dichroism (CD) measurements showed that the isolated L2short is disordered in solution (Figure S6). Yet, the same isolated L2short kept the  $\alpha$ -helix NOE pattern (10) seen in the presence of BlaR<sup>S</sup> (Figure S5). These results are not contradictory: the  $r^{-6}$  distance dependence of the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H NOE is sensitive to sparsely populated conformers with short inter-proton distances (13, 14), such as that found within an  $\alpha$ -helix. Together, the CD and NMR results suggest that the isolated L2short transiently samples the bound-state helix, which stabilizes upon binding BlaR<sup>S</sup> (conformational selection).

Finally, we investigated the effect of  $\beta$ -lactam acylation of BlaR<sup>S</sup> on the L2short interaction. For acylation, we added penicillin G (penG) to a five-fold molar excess over BlaR<sup>S</sup>. BlaR<sup>S</sup> <sup>15</sup>N-<sup>1</sup>H CSPs monitored during a penG titration indicated that this excess was sufficient for acylation throughout the experiment. We then introduced [U-<sup>15</sup>N] L2short in a 1:1 ratio with the pre-acylated BlaR<sup>S</sup>. Both <sup>15</sup>N relaxation (Figure S1) and PRE measurements for L2short (Figure S4) showed only minimal changes compared to the unacylated complex. Thus, acylation of BlaR<sup>S</sup> by the antibiotic does not disrupt its interaction with L2short, as suggested earlier (4). However, residue-specific changes remain possible and are likely contributors of the signal transduction mechanism. This lack of disruption of the complex on antibiotic binding is consistent with the L2short binding site being *proximal* to the acylation site, rather than occluding it.

In conclusion, our studies document the nature of the intramolecular interactions between L2 and the BlaR<sup>S</sup> sensor domain on the membrane surface, which are important for antibiotic recognition and signal transduction. BlaR<sup>S</sup> acylation by penG does not disrupt the interaction. The proximity of L2 to the membrane surface suggests that the amphipathic  $\alpha$ -helix (Figure 2C) partially embeds itself into the membrane. Thus, we assert that L2/BlaR<sup>S</sup> interaction: (i) helps anchor the sensor domain to the membrane surface; and (ii) provides the means whereby conformational perturbations in the sensor domain BlaR<sup>S</sup>, resulting from its acylation, propagate through the membrane to initiate the events in the cytoplasm that lead to full-blown antibiotic resistance. This picture for the first time reveals the specific interactions and early events on the membrane surface of MRSA required for signaling from the cell exterior to the cytoplasm.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments


We thank Dr. Leticia Llarrull, Mr. Michael Staude, Dr. Xingsheng Wang, Dr. Mandy Blackburn, and Dr. Gail Fanucci for valuable discussions.

### Funding Sources


This work was supported by NIH grants AI104987 (to SM), and GM085109 (to JWP).

## References

1. Llarrull LI, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009; 53:4051–4063. [PubMed: 19470504]
2. Llarrull LI, Toth M, Champion MM, Mobashery S. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286:38148–38158. [PubMed: 21896485]
3. Llarrull LI, Mobashery S. Dissection of events in the resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics mediated by the protein BlaR1 from Staphylococcus aureus. Biochemistry. 2012; 51:4642–4649. [PubMed: 22616850]
4. Hanique S, Colombo ML, Goormaghtigh E, Soumillion P, Frere JM, Joris B. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:14264–14272. [PubMed: 14736870]
5. Zuiderweg ER. Biochemistry. 2002; 41:1–7. [PubMed: 11771996]
6. Peng JW, Moore JM, Abdul-Manan N. Prog NMR Spectr. 2004; 44:225–256.
7. Jahnke W, Rudisser S, Zurini M. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:3149–3150. [PubMed: 11457032]
8. Clore GM, Iwahara J. Chem Rev. 2009; 109:4108–4139. [PubMed: 19522502]
9. Iwahara J, Clore GM. Nature. 2006; 440:1227–1230. [PubMed: 16642002]
10. Wagner G. Prog NMR Spectr. 1990; 22:101–139.
11. de Vries SJ, van Dijk M, Bonvin AM. Nat Protoc. 2010; 5:883–897. [PubMed: 20431534]
12. Battiste JL, Wagner G. Biochemistry. 2000; 39:5355–5365. [PubMed: 10820006]
13. Brusweiler R, Blackledge M, Ernst RR. J Biomol NMR. 1991; 1:3–11. [PubMed: 1841688]
14. Bonvin AM, Boelens R, Kaptein R. J Biomol NMR. 1994; 4:143–149. [PubMed: 22911161]



**Figure 1.**  
The arrangement of domains in the BlaR1 protein of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*.



**Figure 2.** (A) BlaR<sup>S</sup> PRE  $\Gamma_2$  rates caused by spin-labeled L2short T92C mapped onto the BlaR<sup>S</sup> crystal structure (PDB 3Q7V, chain B). Blue ( $\beta 5$ – $\beta 6$  turn) and red ( $\beta 6$ – $\beta 7$  turn) indicate the two interaction regions. Darker shading indicates larger  $^1\text{H}^{\text{N}}\text{-}\Gamma_2$ . The antibiotic-binding-site residue S389 is in orange. (B) L2short PRE  $^1\text{H}^{\text{N}}\text{-}\Gamma_2$  rates caused by IAP-labeled BlaR<sup>S</sup> I531C without (dark blue) and with (dashed) penicillin G. The helical wheel includes I87–N104 of L2short, with darker shading for larger  $^1\text{H}^{\text{N}}\text{-}\Gamma_2$  rates. (C) Model for L2 interaction with BlaR<sup>S</sup>, based on PREs from I531C (BlaR<sup>S</sup>) and T92C (L2short), and CSPs from the  $\beta 5$ – $\beta 6$  turn. Coloring: BlaR<sup>S</sup> (gray), L2short helix (orange helix), I531 (blue spheres), acylation site (penicillin G in yellow sticks).