

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Biol Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 March 15.

# Published in final edited form as:

Biol Psychiatry. 2014 March 15; 75(6): 435-448. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.08.008.

# Remember the Future II: Meta-analyses and Functional Overlap of Working Memory and Delay Discounting

# Michael J. Wesley<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Warren K. Bickel<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute, Virginia Tech, Roanoke, VA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Addiction Recovery Research Center

<sup>3</sup>Human Neuroimaging Laboratory

# Abstract

**Background**—Previously we showed that working memory training decreased the discounting of future rewards in stimulant addicts without affecting a Go/NoGo task. While a relationship between delay discounting and working memory is consistent with other studies, the unique brain regions of plausible causality between these two abilities have yet to be determined.

**Methods**—Activation likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analyses were performed on foci from studies of delay discounting (DD = 449), working memory (WM = 452), finger tapping (FT = 450), and response inhibition (RI = 450). Activity maps from relatively less (FT) and more (RI) demanding executive tasks were contrasted with maps of DD and WM. Overlap analysis identified unique functional coincidence between DD and WM.

**Results**—The anterior cingulate cortex was engaged by all tasks. FT largely engaged motorrelated brain areas. In addition to motor-related areas, RI engaged frontal brain regions. The right lateral prefrontal cortex was engaged by RI, DD and WM and was contrasted out of overlap maps. A functional cluster in the posterior portion of the left lateral prefrontal cortex emerged as the largest location of unique overlap between DD and WM.

**Conclusions**—A portion of the left lateral prefrontal cortex is a unique location where delay discounting and working memory processes overlap in the brain. This area, therefore, represents a therapeutic target for improving behaviors that rely on the integration of the recent past with the foreseeable future.

# Keywords

Delay Discounting; Temporal Discounting; Working Memory; Activation Likelihood Estimation (ALE); fMRI; dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC)

**Financial Disclosure** 

<sup>© 2013</sup> Society of Biological Psychiatry. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence: Warren K. Bickel, Addiction Recovery Research Center, Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute, 2 Riverside Circle, Roanoke, VA 24016, USA. Phone: 540-526-2088; wkbickel@vtc.vt.edu.

Dr. Wesley reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Dr. Bickel reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Introduction

Excessive discounting of delayed reinforcers is a neurobehavioral process evident in a variety of disorders and suboptimal behaviors (1). Delay discounting, also referred to as temporal discounting or intertemporal choice, describes the decreasing value of a reinforcer as a function of the time or delay until its receipt (2). Excessive discounting of delayed reinforcers is evident in almost every form of drug dependence, problem gambling, obesity, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), schizophrenia and is correlated with a wide variety of important health behaviors. Furthermore, discounting rates are predictive of outcomes in clinical trials of behavior change (3); consistent with excessive discounting functioning as a trans-disease process (4).

Previous research has alluded to a functional relationship between delay discounting and working memory, identifying that the abilities to retain and manipulate transitory information are correlated (5, 6). In addition, working memory training has been shown to improve clinical outcomes among individuals with ADHD (7, 8), problem drinking (9), and schizophrenia (10). Moreover, in a recent manuscript entitled, "Remember the future: working memory training decreases delay discounting among stimulant addicts", we directly examined the effects of working memory training on the performance of various executive functioning tasks in stimulant dependent individuals (11). In that study, participants performed delay discounting tasks of real and hypothetical rewards in addition to a Go/ NoGo task examining response inhibition. Following working memory training, the discounting of real and hypothetical rewards was significantly reduced while response inhibition remained unaltered. These findings suggest a common functional relationship between delay discounting and working memory in the brain that are independent of processes engaged by response inhibition. The identification of brain areas that underscore this relationship will provide therapeutic targets for treating a variety of disease states where the processing of temporally relevant information is compromised.

While independent studies have identified brain areas engaged by delay discounting and working memory tasks, to date there has not been a controlled systematic analysis of the functional overlap between these tasks, adjusted for activity during other executive tasks. Independent studies suggest, however, that potential targets include the striatum (12) and frontal cortex (13) from studies of delay discounting tasks and portions of the bilateral frontal cortex (14, 15) from studies of working memory. The goal of the present study, is to use activation likelihood estimation (ALE), a well validated and widely used quantitative meta-analysis technique (16, 17), to provide the first comprehensive and controlled analysis of the unique functional relationship between delay discounting and working memory in the brain. First, we generated ALE functional brain maps from studies of (1) delay discounting (2) working memory (3) finger tapping and (4) response inhibition. Next, a series of contrast and overlap analyses were performed to isolate unique brain areas involved in delay discounting and working memory.

# **Materials and Methods**

### **Analytical Plan**

A step-wise series analyses were performed (graphical design in supporting information Figure S1) using data from published neuroimaging data sets of delay discounting (18–35), working memory (36–62), finger tapping (63–97), and response inhibition (98–125) shown in (Table 1). First, four independent activation likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analyses were conducted on studies of (1) delay discounting, (2) working memory, (3) finger tapping and (4) response inhibition. Secondly, a series of contrast analyses were performed to adjust ALE brain maps of delay discounting and working memory for activity during tasks of

finger tapping and response inhibition. This contrast step was crucial for isolating a more specific relationship between delay discounting and working memory. Finger tapping was envisioned as a task engaging minimal executive processes but sharing features with many other tasks (e.g., attention, visual processing, motor responses). Response inhibition was envisioned as a task requiring more executive processes and was limited to studies utilizing the Go/NoGo task. The decision to only include Go/NoGo studies was motivated by our previous observation that working memory training altered discounting rates but not response inhibition as measured by the Go/NoGo task. So, the current design was optimized for (1) identifying a behaviorally relevant functional relationship between delay discounting and working memory and (2) eliminating the possibility of observing effects due to differences between Go/NoGo and other response inhibition tasks. Lastly, a series of overlap analyses were performed to reveal unique and overlapping brain areas involved in delay discounting and working memory.

#### Inclusion Criteria and Identification of Publications

All studies used in the meta-analyses were subject to identical inclusion criteria: experiments or contrasts must (1) result from an analysis including healthy control participants; (2) use fMRI or PET imaging techniques to probe brain activity; (3) use the entire brain as a search volume; (4) report imaging results in standardized Montreal Neurologic Institute (MNI) or Talairach three-dimensional (3D) coordinate-based space.

Publications were identified using the preexisting ALE BrainMap database (www.brainmap.org/pubs) as well as a series of Medline searches with keywords relevant to each study type (e.g., "delay discounting fMRI" and "working memory fMRI") as well as synonyms, acronyms and combinations of search terms (e.g., "intertemporal choice" and "functional magnetic resonance imaging"). As relevant publications were identified, their reference sections were analyzed for additional publications to be included.

Due to relatively fewer imaging publications for studies of delay discounting, initial efforts focused on identifying delay discounting studies that met inclusion criteria. Of these studies, contrasts or parametric analyses were selected that specifically sought to isolated brain responses for processing information about the future (e.g., later choices > now choices and correlations with discounting factors). In effort to maximize power and the number of studies included, distinctions were not made between various types of discounting (e.g., discounting of gains versus losses). While this, and other, distinctions are relevant, in this initial report we chose to focus on more general brain activity related to future considerations. Of note, one delay discounting study (Table 1, #6) correlated discounting measures calculated outside of an fMRI scanner with brain activity from an fMRI task examining the evaluation of an individual's current versus future self. This contrast was included because it used time-relevant information strongly correlated with discounting rates to isolate brain activity. As an additional control step, meta-analyses were matched on the number of 3D locations (i.e., foci) contributing to each analysis. For delay discounting, a total 37 contrasts reporting 449 foci were included. Working memory included 41 contrasts reporting 452 significant foci. Studies of finger tapping resulted in 49 contrasts and 450 foci, and response inhibition included 43 contrasts reporting 450 foci.

#### Activation Likelihood Estimation (ALE)

Each of the four ALE meta-analyses used the Turkeltaub et al. (126) corrected ALE algorithm for minimizing within-experiment and within-group effects (see supplementary text for more detail). For each analysis, ALE values were computed for all focal locations from contributing contrasts. A null distribution ALE statistic was calculated with full width half maximum (FWHM) values empirically determined by the sample size of each

contributing study. Values were then subjected to a false discovery rate (FDR) algorithm. Individual meta-analyses were performed with the FDR Pn adjustment at a p < .01 and a minimum extent threshold cluster threshold of 100mm<sup>3</sup>.

#### ALE Contrasts

To examine the degree of convergence, a series of contrast analyses were performed. Due to difficulty in interpreting the subtraction of ALE maps (e.g., subtractions resulting in values of zero), z-score maps were generated for each contrast of interest in a two-step process. First, two single and one pooled ALE analysis was performed (similar to the individual ALE analyses described above). The three resultant activity maps corresponded to two individual sets (e.g., 1 = delay discounting and 2 = finger tapping) and one pooled set (e.g., 3 = delay discounting + finger tapping) of foci for each contrast of interest. These three maps were then combined and analyzed to generate z-score maps. Next, z-score maps of finger tapping and response inhibition were contrasted with maps of delay discounting and working memory: (1) DD > FT (2) WM > FT (3) DD > RI and (4) WM > RI. Individual and pooled ALEs were generated with FDR Pn adjustment at p < .05 with a minimum extent threshold of 100mm<sup>3</sup>. For z-score contrasts, 10000 *P* value permutations were used with FDR Pn adjustment at p < .05 and a minimum extent threshold of 100mm<sup>3</sup>.

#### **Spatial Overlap**

Lastly, a series of overlap analyses were performed using the maps obtained from the contrast analyses. Contrasted maps of delay discounting and working memory were overlaid to reveal activity in unique and coincident brain areas. Overlap maps were then examined individually and combined in an omnibus analysis. The centroid locations for overlapping clusters were identified and z-scores were examined.

For visualizations, the structural MNI template provided by BrainMap was used (Colin27\_T1\_seg\_MNI.nii, www.brainmap.org/ale). Functional ALE and z-score results were overlaid using MRIcron (version 12/2009, www.mccauslandcenter.sc.edu/mricro/mricron/install.html). Centroid visualization and isolation was performed with Mango software (version 2.5, http://ric.uthscsa.edu/mango/).

# Results

#### Individual Activation Likelihood Estimation (ALE) Meta-Analyses

All individual ALE results are presented in Figure 1 and Table S1 in supporting information. During delay discounting activity was observed in several limbic structures (Figure 1A), including the left medial globus pallidus, bilateral caudate as well as the right putamen and left thalamus. Clusters were also observed in the bilateral temporal lobe. Posterior brain activations were observed in bilateral superior parietal cortex as well as posterior cingulate cortex. Additionally, several clusters were observed throughout the frontal lobe. Activity was observed in bilateral anterior insula, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and throughout the inferior and middle frontal cortex, with the largest frontal activity clusters observed in the left frontal cortex.

Activity during working memory was also observed in several neocortical structures (Figure 1B). Activations were present in bilateral inferior and superior parietal cortices, with relatively larger spatial extents observed in working memory than delay discounting (WM: left = 7648 mm<sup>3</sup> and right = 5216 mm<sup>3</sup> vs. DD: left = 144 mm<sup>3</sup> and right = 184 mm<sup>3</sup>). Several frontal lobe structures were also recruited during working memory. These included the ACC as well as bilateral inferior, middle and superior cortices. Unlike delay discounting, during working memory, clusters were not observed in more limbic brain structures.

ALE results for finger tapping are presented in Figure 1C. With the exception of the ACC, there was a lack of activity in the prefrontal cortex during finger tapping. Activity was observed, however, in several movement-related brain areas. These areas included bilateral pre- and postcentral gyri as well as portions of the thalamus, putamen and cerebellum.

Activity during response inhibition is presented in Figure 1D. During response inhibition, clusters were observed throughout the prefrontal cortex. Similar to the other tasks examined, activity was present in the ACC. Activity was also observed in bilateral middle and superior frontal cortices, with the largest extent of activity observed in the right lateral prefrontal cortex. Clusters were also present in the striatal caudate, the superior parietal cortex and portions of the cerebellar cortex and occipital lobe.

# **ALE Contrasts**

Results from ALE contrast analyses are presented in Figure 2 and supporting information Table S2. Following the contrasts of delay discounting with finger tapping (Figure 2A) and response inhibition (Figure 2C), activity was observed in both the ventral and dorsal striatum. Activity was also observed in bilateral dorsal parietal cortex and the medial prefrontal cortex. The largest clusters were present in the left lateral prefrontal cortex. The contrasts of working memory with finger tapping (Figure 2B) and response inhibition (Figure 2D) also resulted in several activity clusters throughout the brain. These clusters were observed in bilateral middle and superior frontal cortex. Similar to delay discounting, the largest activity clusters were present in the left hemisphere (Figure 2, x = -44). Of note, contrasting delay discounting and working memory with response inhibition resulted in the removal of activity clusters in the right lateral prefrontal cortex.

#### ALE Overlap

Overlaid z-score maps from ALE contrasts are shown in Figure 3 and Table 2 (with additional results in Figure S2 and Table S3). Overlays show contrasted activity specific to delay discounting (yellow) and working memory (blue) as well as contrasted activity shared by both tasks (green). Frontal lobe activity for delay discounting was more ventral to that observed for working memory (Figure 3A). Activity specific to delay discounting was observed in the posterior cingulate while activity for working memory was observed in medial prefrontal cortex, coincident with the ACC. Relatively small clusters shared between delay discounting and working memory (< 100 mm<sup>3</sup>) were observed in the left middle frontal cortex, anterior insula and inferior frontal gurus. A larger overlapping cluster (979 mm<sup>3</sup>) was revealed in the left lateral prefrontal cortex (Figure 3B). This activity cluster was identified as a portion of the inferior frontal gyrus located in the posterior extent of Broadmann Area 9 (Figure 4). For reference, Figure 4 displays contrast overlaps between delay discounting and working memory imposed on full-depth allowed, brain extracted and full head, structural volumes.

# Discussion

While a behavioral relationship between the discounting of delayed reinforcers and working memory has been observed previously, the potential brain regions of plausible causality that overlap both conditions have yet to be determined. The present analysis identified brain areas of functional overlap during tasks of delay discounting and working memory, corrected for activity in other tasks. First, matched activation likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analyses confirmed previous reports that delay discounting (12) and working memory (13) engage limbic and neocortical structures, including the striatum, insula, cingulate and portions of the frontal lobe. Next, ALE activity maps from studies of finger tapping and

response inhibition were used to further isolate activity during tasks of delay discounting and working memory. Lastly, overlap analyses revealed that delay discounting and working memory share a large cluster of activity lateralized in the left prefrontal cortex. Based upon these results, we posit that this portion of the left prefrontal cortex is distinctively qualified, through its executive functioning effort, to provide functions common to delay discounting and working memory that may account for a behavioral relationship.

ALE activity maps of finger tapping and response inhibition were contrasted with maps of delay discounting and working memory to yield more specific results, as opposed to simply overlaying ALE results. This step was important given our previous observation that working memory training did not change performance a Go/No-Go Task (11). As expected, finger tapping resulted in robust activations in several movement-related brain areas including pre- and post-central gyri, thalamus and cerebellum. Largely, finger tapping did not result in activity in more executive-related brain areas. This was with the exception of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), which consistent with its role in attention and performance monitoring (127–132), was engaged by all tasks. Correspondingly, ACC activity was largely removed from maps while contrasting activity between tasks, with the exception of maps of working memory, which retained a unique cluster in the medial prefrontal cortex. Unlike finger tapping, response inhibition produced activity in bilateral middle prefrontal cortex and dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC). This is consistent with the relatively larger executive demands of the Go/NoGo task (107, 133, 134), as well as other conflict-monitoring tasks (135–137).

Interestingly, following contrasts of response inhibition with delay discounting and working memory, previously observed clusters in the right DLPFC for all tasks were removed. This result suggests that there are processes unique to response inhibition, delay discounting and working memory that rely on functions within the right DLPFC. This activity plausibly reflects a more general executive demand shared by these three tasks. For example, greater activity in the right DLPFC is associated both with increasing task speed and cognitive load in tasks of executive functioning (138). Together, results from the contrast analyses highlight two main points: (1) with the exception of activity in the ACC, activity during delay discounting and working memory is largely independent from that of finger tapping and (2) response inhibition, delay discounting and working memory all engage portions of the right DLPFC, with more robust activity observed for working memory.

Overlap analyses revealed that delay discounting and working memory independently engaged superior and lateral portions of the parietal and frontal cortices. This parietal-frontal network has been demonstrated as essential for bottom-up and top-down integration of various memory components, including mnemonic processing (139), the storage, retrieval and manipulation of long-term memories (140), and interactions between attention and working memory (141–143). This is consistent with our observation that working memory tasks resulted in more robust function in these areas, compared to delay discounting. Interestingly, a recent EEG study revealed that activity in posterior portions of this network is associated with the prospective memory that guides attention according to previously formed intentions (144).

The omnibus overlap analysis revealed that the largest area shared between delay discounting and working memory was in the left lateral prefrontal cortex. This activity cluster was located more posterior than the F3 and AF3 regions cited as the DLPFC in EEG studies (145). However, it is consistent with the posterior extent of Brodmann Area 9 (146), which represents the most posterior extent of the DLPFC. This cluster is directly adjacent to the inferior frontal junction (IFJ), which has also been highlighted for its role in top-down executive processes and cognitive control (147–149). Topographically, the observed

location is potentially in two distinct cortical circuits, the dorsal cortical and the ventral cortical. The dorsal cortical circuit is linked to the dorsal anterior cingulate, the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, and the dorsal anterolateral prefrontal cortex. This circuit supports the intentional regulation executive functions, such as attention and planning (150). In contrast, the ventral cortical circuit supports more the affective modulation of attentional performance (151). This cortical circuit includes the orbitofrontal cortex, amygdala, anterior insula, ventral striatum, medial thalamus, and paralimbic regions of the hippocampus. Based on previous descriptions and the cognitive nature of the tasks examined, it is likely that delay

discounting and working memory largely work together through the former circuit to assist ongoing executive functions.

# Lateral PFC and Executive Function

The lateral PFC is active during decision making and is particularly active when considering the rational costs and benefits of alternatives (150). It has been consistently identified as activated in studies of working memory and hypothesized to provide specialization through the active monitoring and manipulation of task-relevant information (14). It has also been shown to be active during delay discounting tasks, but not modulated by parameters such as length of delay, choice preference, individual discounting rate, or reward magnitude (25, 152, 153). But see a recent transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) study demonstrating that right DLPFC function modulates impulsivity levels and reward value calculations at different time scales (154). Of particular relevance to the current study, another TMS study found that disrupting the left, but not the right, DLPFC increased choices of immediate rewards over larger delayed rewards, providing causal evidence for a neural lateralprefrontal cortex-based self-control mechanism in delay discounting (155). These converging lines of evidence, together with the current results, suggest a neural intersection for working memory constraints and abnormally high discounting rates. Furthermore, they posit that the left lateralized PFC is uniquely qualified to underlie executive processes shared between delay discounting and working memory. A potential mechanism for this relationship warrants further investigation, however, as the previously observed relationship between dopamine D1 binding potentials and working memory improvement found in other brain areas has not been observed in this region (156).

In terms of the competing neuro-behavioral decision systems hypothesis, the impulsive system (comprised of evolutionarily older limbic structures) and the executive system (consisting of the evolutionarily young PFC) work in concert for optimal decision making (157, 158). When either or both of these systems are functioning suboptimal (i.e., hypo- or hyperactively), decision-making becomes impaired. Imaging studies of both delay discounting and working memory have observed behavioral impairments associated with greater hypoactivity in the prefrontal cortex. Hypo-frontal activity is also associated with compromised executive abilities in various disease states, including schizophrenia (159) and major depression (160). In a recent study, prefrontal hypoactivity during delay discounting was observed in methamphetamine-abusing populations, compared to controls (161). This is consistent with rodent studies showing that repeated self-administration of cocaine decreases basal levels of PFC activity (162). In the case of delay discounting and working memory, hypoactivity in the left DLPFC would likely manifest itself as the inability to delay gratification, resulting in steeper rates of discounting and lower working memory capacity.

#### **Clinical Implications**

The large overlapping cluster identified in the present analysis represents new target site for therapies such as TMS or real-time fMRI neuro-feedback. Its location in the posterior extent of the DLPFC and adjacent to the IFJ, is ideally situated to influence executive processes. We hypothesize that this area is associated with integrating temporal information about the

recent past and the foreseeable future into ongoing executive processes while making decisions. Future studies in our lab will directly test the ability of activity in this area to modulate performance on delay discounting and working memory tasks in healthy and addicted populations.

#### **Considerations and Limitations**

There are some facets to consider when interpreting the current findings. Each meta-analysis included pediatric-aged participants. Since these studies did not distinguish between data points obtained from pediatric subsamples and other individuals, it was not possible to exclude subjects less than 21 years of age. We took additional steps, however, to ensure our results were not due to age. First, ALE contrasts (set to thresholds used in the current analysis) between studies that included participants less than and greater than 21 years of age revealed no significant differences in brain maps (i.e., DD = 6 vs.12 studies, WM = 5 vs. 22 studies, FT = 9 vs. 26 studies, and RI = 15 vs. 13 studies). Secondly, an analysis of age across tasks revealed no significant differences F(3,93)=2.28, p = .085. The mean (±s.e.) reported ages were: DD =  $26.28 \pm 1.6$ , WM =  $33.48 \pm 2.5$ , FT =  $33.03 \pm 2.7$  and RI =  $27.04 \pm 2.3$ .

The current analyses were limited to studies examining whole brain function in stereotactic space. While this facilitated matching studies and minimizing statistical bias, some studies were excluded on the basis of region of interest analyses (i.e., anatomical and/or functional). These studies remain important, however, and potentially contribute to a more informed understanding of the brain activity associated with the tasks examined. Imaging contrasts from various studies using a variety of statistical thresholds and correction procedures. Although this is consistent with the meta-analytical approach, our results represent a broad survey of the functional brain activity associated with the tasks examined. Finally, as the goal of the current analysis was to examine a unique functional relationship between delay discounting and working memory, task activity was removed during subtraction and overlap analyses. As such and from a neural network perspective, there are likely important dynamics associated with delay discounting and working memory that are not captured in the current work. Such dynamics may include temporal and spatial patterns of brain activity necessary for choosing future rewards by avoiding past mistakes.

To summarize, the current ALE analyses generated brain activity maps associated with performing tasks of delay discounting, working memory, finger tapping and response inhibition. Maps of finger tapping and response inhibition were contrasted with maps of delay discounting and working memory to isolate a common temporal thread in executive ability. Overlap analyses revealed delay discounting and working memory share unique function in the left lateral PFC. These data add to the previously observed behavioral relationship between delay discounting and working memory (11) by identifying a brain location where shared processes are likely to occur. This location represents a new therapeutic target for treatment strategies aimed at enhancing the ability to increase working memory processes and the value placed on future rewards.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Jeffery A. Pitcock at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas for his help in the preparation of this manuscript.

# References

- Bickel WK, Marsch LA. Toward a behavioral economic understanding of drug dependence: Delay discounting processes. Addiction. 2001; 96:73–86. [PubMed: 11177521]
- Mazur, JE. An adjusting procedure for studying delayed reinforcement. In: Commons, ML.; Mazur, JE.; Nevin, JA.; Rachlin, H., editors. Quantitative analysis of behavior. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; 1987. p. 55-73.
- Sheffer C, Mackillop J, McGeary J, Landes R, Carter L, Yi R, et al. Delay discounting, locus of control, and cognitive impulsiveness independently predict tobacco dependence treatment outcomes in a highly dependent, lower socioeconomic group of smokers. The American journal on addictions / American Academy of Psychiatrists in Alcoholism and Addictions. 2012; 21:221–232. [PubMed: 22494224]
- 4. Bickel WK, Jarmolowicz DP, Mueller ET, Koffarnus MN, Gatchalian KM. Excessive discounting of delayed reinforcers as a trans-disease process contributing to addiction and other disease-related vulnerabilities: emerging evidence. Pharmacol Ther. 2012; 134:287–297. [PubMed: 22387232]
- 5. Baddeley A. Working memory: looking back and looking forward. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2003; 4:829–839.
- 6. Shamosh NA, DeYoung CG, Green AE, Reis DL, Johnson MR, Conway ARA, et al. Individual differences in delay discounting: Relation to intelligence, working memory, and anterior prefrontal cortex. Psychological Science. 2008; 19:904–911. [PubMed: 18947356]
- Klingberg T, Forssberg H, Westerberg H. Training of working memory in children with ADHD. Journal of clinical and experimental neuropsychology. 2002; 24:781–791. [PubMed: 12424652]
- Rutledge KJ, van den Bos W, McClure SM, Schweitzer JB. Training cognition in ADHD: current findings, borrowed concepts, and future directions. Neurotherapeutics: the journal of the American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics. 2012; 9:542–558. [PubMed: 22911054]
- 9. Houben K, Wiers RW, Jansen A. Getting a grip on drinking behavior: training working memory to reduce alcohol abuse. Psychol Sci. 2011; 22:968–975. [PubMed: 21685380]
- Twamley EW, Jeste DV, Bellack AS. A review of cognitive training in schizophrenia. Schizophrenia bulletin. 2003; 29:359–382. [PubMed: 14552510]
- Bickel WK, Yi R, Landes RD, Hill PF, Baxter C. Remember the future: working memory training decreases delay discounting among stimulant addicts. Biological psychiatry. 2011; 69:260–265. [PubMed: 20965498]
- Carter R, Meyer J, Huettel S. Functional Neuroimaging of Intertemporal Choice Models: A Review. Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics. 2010; 3:27–45.
- Rottschy C, Langner R, Dogan I, Reetz K, Laird AR, Schulz JB, et al. Modelling neural correlates of working memory: a coordinate-based meta-analysis. Neuroimage. 2012; 60:830–846. [PubMed: 22178808]
- Owen AM, McMillan KM, Laird AR, Bullmore E. N-back working memory paradigm: a metaanalysis of normative functional neuroimaging studies. Hum Brain Mapp. 2005; 25:46–59. [PubMed: 15846822]
- 15. Wager TD, Smith EE. Neuroimaging studies of working memory: a meta-analysis. Cognitive, affective & behavioral neuroscience. 2003; 3:255–274.
- Laird AR, McMillan KM, Lancaster JL, Kochunov P, Turkeltaub PE, Pardo JV, et al. A comparison of label-based review and ALE meta-analysis in the Stroop task. Hum Brain Mapp. 2005; 25:6–21. [PubMed: 15846823]
- Laird AR, Fox PM, Price CJ, Glahn DC, Uecker AM, Lancaster JL, et al. ALE meta-analysis: controlling the false discovery rate and performing statistical contrasts. Hum Brain Mapp. 2005; 25:155–164. [PubMed: 15846811]
- Bickel WK, Pitcock JA, Yi R, Angtuaco EJ. Congruence of BOLD response across intertemporal choice conditions: fictive and real money gains and losses. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2009; 29:8839–8846. [PubMed: 19587291]

- Boettiger CA, Mitchell JM, Tavares VC, Robertson M, Joslyn G, D'Esposito M, et al. Immediate reward bias in humans: fronto-parietal networks and a role for the catechol-O-methyltransferase 158(Val/Val) genotype. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2007; 27:14383–14391. [PubMed: 18160646]
- Christakou A, Brammer M, Rubia K. Maturation of limbic corticostriatal activation and connectivity associated with developmental changes in temporal discounting. NeuroImage. 2011; 54:1344–1354. [PubMed: 20816974]
- Ersner-Hershfield H, Wimmer GE, Knutson B. Saving for the future self: neural measures of future self-continuity predict temporal discounting. Social cognitive and affective neuroscience. 2009; 4:85–92. [PubMed: 19047075]
- Hoffman WF, Schwartz DL, Huckans MS, McFarland BH, Meiri G, Stevens AA, et al. Cortical activation during delay discounting in abstinent methamphetamine dependent individuals. Psychopharmacology. 2008; 201:183–193. [PubMed: 18685833]
- 23. Kable JW, Glimcher PW. The neural correlates of subjective value during intertemporal choice. Nature neuroscience. 2007; 10:1625–1633.
- Luhmann CC, Chun MM, Yi DJ, Lee D, Wang XJ. Neural dissociation of delay and uncertainty in intertemporal choice. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2008; 28:14459–14466. [PubMed: 19118180]
- 25. McClure SM, Laibson DI, Loewenstein G, Cohen JD. Separate neural systems value immediate and delayed monetary rewards. Science. 2004; 306:503–507. [PubMed: 15486304]
- Monterosso JR, Ainslie G, Xu J, Cordova X, Domier CP, London ED. Frontoparietal cortical activity of methamphetamine-dependent and comparison subjects performing a delay discounting task. Human brain mapping. 2007; 28:383–393. [PubMed: 16944492]
- Onoda K, Okamoto Y, Kunisato Y, Aoyama S, Shishida K, Okada G, et al. Inter-individual discount factor differences in reward prediction are topographically associated with caudate activation. Experimental brain research Experimentelle Hirnforschung Experimentation cerebrale. 2011; 212:593–601.
- Peters J, Buchel C. Overlapping and distinct neural systems code for subjective value during intertemporal and risky decision making. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2009; 29:15727–15734. [PubMed: 20016088]
- Peters J, Buchel C. Episodic future thinking reduces reward delay discounting through an enhancement of prefrontal-mediotemporal interactions. Neuron. 2010; 66:138–148. [PubMed: 20399735]
- 30. Pine A, Seymour B, Roiser JP, Bossaerts P, Friston KJ, Curran HV, et al. Encoding of marginal utility across time in the human brain. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2009; 29:9575–9581. [PubMed: 19641120]
- Sripada CS, Gonzalez R, Phan KL, Liberzon I. The neural correlates of intertemporal decisionmaking: contributions of subjective value, stimulus type, and trait impulsivity. Human brain mapping. 2011; 32:1637–1648. [PubMed: 20886577]
- Tanaka SC, Doya K, Okada G, Ueda K, Okamoto Y, Yamawaki S. Prediction of immediate and future rewards differentially recruits cortico-basal ganglia loops. Nature neuroscience. 2004; 7:887–893.
- Weber BJ, Huettel SA. The neural substrates of probabilistic and intertemporal decision making. Brain research. 2008; 1234:104–115. [PubMed: 18710652]
- 34. Wittmann M, Leland DS, Paulus MP. Time and decision making: differential contribution of the posterior insular cortex and the striatum during a delay discounting task. Experimental brain research Experimentelle Hirnforschung Experimentation cerebrale. 2007; 179:643–653.
- Xu L, Liang ZY, Wang K, Li S, Jiang T. Neural mechanism of intertemporal choice: from discounting future gains to future losses. Brain research. 2009; 1261:65–74. [PubMed: 19185567]
- Allen PP, Cleare AJ, Lee F, Fusar-Poli P, Tunstall N, Fu CH, et al. Effect of acute tryptophan depletion on pre-frontal engagement. Psychopharmacology. 2006; 187:486–497. [PubMed: 16823591]

- Carlson S, Martinkauppi S, Rama P, Salli E, Korvenoja A, Aronen HJ. Distribution of cortical activation during visuospatial n-back tasks as revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. Cereb Cortex. 1998; 8:743–752. [PubMed: 9863701]
- Deckersbach T, Rauch SL, Buhlmann U, Ostacher MJ, Beucke JC, Nierenberg AA, et al. An fMRI investigation of working memory and sadness in females with bipolar disorder: a brief report. Bipolar disorders. 2008; 10:928–942. [PubMed: 19594508]
- Dohnel K, Sommer M, Ibach B, Rothmayr C, Meinhardt J, Hajak G. Neural correlates of emotional working memory in patients with mild cognitive impairment. Neuropsychologia. 2008; 46:37–48. [PubMed: 17915264]
- Drapier D, Surguladze S, Marshall N, Schulze K, Fern A, Hall MH, et al. Genetic liability for bipolar disorder is characterized by excess frontal activation in response to a working memory task. Biological psychiatry. 2008; 64:513–520. [PubMed: 18571627]
- Forn C, Barros-Loscertales A, Escudero J, Benlloch V, Campos S, Antonia Parcet M, et al. Compensatory activations in patients with multiple sclerosis during preserved performance on the auditory N-backtask. Human brain mapping. 2007; 28:424–430. [PubMed: 16944483]
- Frangou S, Kington J, Raymont V, Shergill SS. Examining ventral and dorsal prefrontal function in bipolar disorder: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. European psychiatry: the journal of the Association of European Psychiatrists. 2008; 23:300–308. [PubMed: 17656073]
- Haberecht MF, Menon V, Warsofsky IS, White CD, Dyer-Friedman J, Glover GH, et al. Functional neuroanatomy of visuo-spatial working memory in Turner syndrome. Human brain mapping. 2001; 14:96–107. [PubMed: 11500993]
- 44. Jonides J, Scheuerecker EH, Smith EE, Lauber E, Awh E, Satoshi M, et al. Verbal working memory load affects regional brain activation as measured by PET. Journal of cognitive neuroscience. 1997; 9:462–475. [PubMed: 23968211]
- 45. Kim JJ, Kwon JS, Park HJ, Youn T, Kang DH, Kim MS, et al. Functional disconnection between the prefrontal and parietal cortices during working memory processing in schizophrenia: a[15(O)]H2O PET study. The American journal of psychiatry. 2003; 160:919–923. [PubMed: 12727696]
- 46. Koppelstaetter F, Poeppel TD, Siedentopf CM, Ischebeck A, Verius M, Haala I, et al. Does caffeine modulate verbal working memory processes? An fMRI study. NeuroImage. 2008; 39:492–499. [PubMed: 17936643]
- Kumari V, Aasen I, Taylor P, Ffytche DH, Das M, Barkataki I, et al. Neural dysfunction and violence in schizophrenia: an fMRI investigation. Schizophrenia research. 2006; 84:144–164. [PubMed: 16616832]
- Malisza KL, Allman AA, Shiloff D, Jakobson L, Longstaffe S, Chudley AE. Evaluation of spatial working memory function in children and adults with fetal alcohol spectrum disorders: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Pediatric research. 2005; 58:1150–1157. [PubMed: 16306185]
- Matsuo K, Glahn DC, Peluso MA, Hatch JP, Monkul ES, Najt P, et al. Prefrontal hyperactivation during working memory task in untreated individuals with major depressive disorder. Molecular psychiatry. 2007; 12:158–166. [PubMed: 16983390]
- McAllister TW, Saykin AJ, Flashman LA, Sparling MB, Johnson SC, Guerin SJ, et al. Brain activation during working memory 1 month after mild traumatic brain injury: a functional MRI study. Neurology. 1999; 53:1300–1308. [PubMed: 10522888]
- Meisenzahl EM, Scheuerecker J, Zipse M, Ufer S, Wiesmann M, Frodl T, et al. Effects of treatment with the atypical neuroleptic quetiapine on working memory function: a functional MRI follow-up investigation. European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience. 2006; 256:522–531. [PubMed: 17151834]
- Mendrek A, Kiehl KA, Smith AM, Irwin D, Forster BB, Liddle PF. Dysfunction of a distributed neural circuitry in schizophrenia patients during a working-memory performance. Psychological medicine. 2005; 35:187–196. [PubMed: 15841676]
- Mendrek A, Laurens KR, Kiehl KA, Ngan ET, Stip E, Liddle PF. Changes in distributed neural circuitry function in patients with first-episode schizophrenia. The British journal of psychiatry: the journal of mental science. 2004; 185:205–214. [PubMed: 15339824]

- 54. Monks PJ, Thompson JM, Bullmore ET, Suckling J, Brammer MJ, Williams SC, et al. A functional MRI study of working memory task in euthymic bipolar disorder: evidence for task-specific dysfunction. Bipolar disorders. 2004; 6:550–564. [PubMed: 15541071]
- 55. Owen AM, Stern CE, Look RB, Tracey I, Rosen BR, Petrides M. Functional organization of spatial and nonspatial working memory processing within the human lateral frontal cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1998; 95:7721–7726. [PubMed: 9636217]
- Pfefferbaum A, Desmond JE, Galloway C, Menon V, Glover GH, Sullivan EV. Reorganization of frontal systems used by alcoholics for spatial working memory: an fMRI study. NeuroImage. 2001; 14:7–20. [PubMed: 11525339]
- 57. Ragland JD, Turetsky BI, Gur RC, Gunning-Dixon F, Turner T, Schroeder L, et al. Working memory for complex figures: an fMRI comparison of letter and fractal n-back tasks. Neuropsychology. 2002; 16:370–379. [PubMed: 12146684]
- Ricciardi E, Bonino D, Gentili C, Sani L, Pietrini P, Vecchi T. Neural correlates of spatial working memory in humans: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study comparing visual and tactile processes. Neuroscience. 2006; 139:339–349. [PubMed: 16324793]
- Sanchez-Carrion R, Gomez PV, Junque C, Fernandez-Espejo D, Falcon C, Bargallo N, et al. Frontal hypoactivation on functional magnetic resonance imaging in working memory after severe diffuse traumatic brain injury. Journal of neurotrauma. 2008; 25:479–494. [PubMed: 18363509]
- Schmidt H, Jogia J, Fast K, Christodoulou T, Haldane M, Kumari V, et al. No gender differences in brain activation during the N-back task: an fMRI study in healthy individuals. Human brain mapping. 2009; 30:3609–3615. [PubMed: 19387979]
- Shamosh NA, Deyoung CG, Green AE, Reis DL, Johnson MR, Conway AR, et al. Individual differences in delay discounting: relation to intelligence, working memory, and anterior prefrontal cortex. Psychological science. 2008; 19:904–911. [PubMed: 18947356]
- 62. Smits M, Dippel DW, Houston GC, Wielopolski PA, Koudstaal PJ, Hunink MG, et al. Postconcussion syndrome after minor head injury: brain activation of working memory and attention. Human brain mapping. 2009; 30:2789–2803. [PubMed: 19117278]
- 63. Aoki T, Tsuda H, Takasawa M, Osaki Y, Oku N, Hatazawa J, et al. The effect of tapping finger and mode differences on cortical and subcortical activities: a PET study. Experimental brain research Experimentelle Hirnforschung Experimentation cerebrale. 2005; 160:375–383.
- Catalan MJ, Ishii K, Honda M, Samii A, Hallett M. A PET study of sequential finger movements of varying length in patients with Parkinson's disease. Brain: a journal of neurology. 1999; 122(Pt 3):483–495. [PubMed: 10094257]
- 65. Cerasa A, Hagberg GE, Peppe A, Bianciardi M, Gioia MC, Costa A, et al. Functional changes in the activity of cerebellum and frontostriatal regions during externally and internally timed movement in Parkinson's disease. Brain research bulletin. 2006; 71:259–269. [PubMed: 17113955]
- 66. De Luca M, Smith S, De Stefano N, Federico A, Matthews PM. Blood oxygenation level dependent contrast resting state networks are relevant to functional activity in the neocortical sensorimotor system. Experimental brain research Experimentelle Hirnforschung Experimentation cerebrale. 2005; 167:587–594.
- Dimitrova A, de Greiff A, Schoch B, Gerwig M, Frings M, Gizewski ER, et al. Activation of cerebellar nuclei comparing finger, foot and tongue movements as revealed by fMRI. Brain research bulletin. 2006; 71:233–241. [PubMed: 17113951]
- Elsinger CL, Rao SM, Zimbelman JL, Reynolds NC, Blindauer KA, Hoffmann RG. Neural basis for impaired time reproduction in Parkinson's disease: an fMRI study. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society: JINS. 2003; 9:1088–1098. [PubMed: 14738289]
- Fox PT, Narayana S, Tandon N, Sandoval H, Fox SP, Kochunov P, et al. Column-based model of electric field excitation of cerebral cortex. Human brain mapping. 2004; 22:1–14. [PubMed: 15083522]
- Gavazzi C, Nave RD, Petralli R, Rocca MA, Guerrini L, Tessa C, et al. Combining functional and structural brain magnetic resonance imaging in Huntington disease. Journal of computer assisted tomography. 2007; 31:574–580. [PubMed: 17882035]

- Gerardin E, Sirigu A, Lehericy S, Poline JB, Gaymard B, Marsault C, et al. Partially overlapping neural networks for real and imagined hand movements. Cereb Cortex. 2000; 10:1093–1104. [PubMed: 11053230]
- 72. Gosain AK, Birn RM, Hyde JS. Localization of the cortical response to smiling using new imaging paradigms with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Plastic and reconstructive surgery. 2001; 108:1136–1144. [PubMed: 11604609]
- Hanakawa T, Dimyan MA, Hallett M. Motor planning, imagery, and execution in the distributed motor network: a time-course study with functional MRI. Cereb Cortex. 2008; 18:2775–2788. [PubMed: 18359777]
- 74. Jancke L, Specht K, Mirzazade S, Peters M. The effect of finger-movement speed of the dominant and the subdominant hand on cerebellar activation: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. NeuroImage. 1999; 9:497–507. [PubMed: 10329289]
- Joliot M, Crivello F, Badier JM, Diallo B, Tzourio N, Mazoyer B. Anatomical congruence of metabolic and electromagnetic activation signals during a self-paced motor task: a combined PET-MEG study. NeuroImage. 1998; 7:337–351. [PubMed: 9626674]
- Kawashima R, Tajima N, Yoshida H, Okita K, Sasaki T, Schormann T, et al. The effect of verbal feedback on motor learning--a PET study. Positron emission tomography. NeuroImage. 2000; 12:698–706. [PubMed: 11112401]
- 77. Kuhtz-Buschbeck JP, Mahnkopf C, Holzknecht C, Siebner H, Ulmer S, Jansen O. Effectorindependent representations of simple and complex imagined finger movements: a combined fMRI and TMS study. The European journal of neuroscience. 2003; 18:3375–3387. [PubMed: 14686911]
- Lacourse MG, Orr EL, Cramer SC, Cohen MJ. Brain activation during execution and motor imagery of novel and skilled sequential hand movements. NeuroImage. 2005; 27:505–519. [PubMed: 16046149]
- 79. Langheim FJ, Callicott JH, Mattay VS, Duyn JH, Weinberger DR. Cortical systems associated with covert music rehearsal. NeuroImage. 2002; 16:901–908. [PubMed: 12202078]
- Lehericy S, Bardinet E, Tremblay L, Van de Moortele PF, Pochon JB, Dormont D, et al. Motor control in basal ganglia circuits using fMRI and brain atlas approaches. Cereb Cortex. 2006; 16:149–161. [PubMed: 15858164]
- Lerner A, Shill H, Hanakawa T, Bushara K, Goldfine A, Hallett M. Regional cerebral blood flow correlates of the severity of writer's cramp symptoms. NeuroImage. 2004; 21:904–913. [PubMed: 15006657]
- Lissek S, Hausmann M, Knossalla F, Peters S, Nicolas V, Gunturkun O, et al. Sex differences in cortical and subcortical recruitment during simple and complex motor control: an fMRI study. NeuroImage. 2007; 37:912–926. [PubMed: 17629502]
- Marchand WR, Lee JN, Thatcher GW, Jensen C, Stewart D, Dilda V, et al. A functional MRI study of a paced motor activation task to evaluate frontal-subcortical circuit function in bipolar depression. Psychiatry research. 2007; 155:221–230. [PubMed: 17588725]
- Mattay VS, Callicott JH, Bertolino A, Santha AK, Van Horn JD, Tallent KA, et al. Hemispheric control of motor function: a whole brain echo planar fMRI study. Psychiatry research. 1998; 83:7– 22. [PubMed: 9754701]
- Mostofsky SH, Powell SK, Simmonds DJ, Goldberg MC, Caffo B, Pekar JJ. Decreased connectivity and cerebellar activity in autism during motor task performance. Brain: a journal of neurology. 2009; 132:2413–2425. [PubMed: 19389870]
- Mostofsky SH, Rimrodt SL, Schafer JG, Boyce A, Goldberg MC, Pekar JJ, et al. Atypical motor and sensory cortex activation in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study of simple sequential finger tapping. Biological psychiatry. 2006; 59:48– 56. [PubMed: 16139806]
- Muller JL, Roder CH, Schuierer G, Klein H. Motor-induced brain activation in cortical, subcortical and cerebellar regions in schizophrenic inpatients. A whole brain fMRI fingertapping study. Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry. 2002; 26:421–426. [PubMed: 11999890]

- Rao SM, Bobholz JA, Hammeke TA, Rosen AC, Woodley SJ, Cunningham JM, et al. Functional MRI evidence for subcortical participation in conceptual reasoning skills. Neuroreport. 1997; 8:1987–1993. [PubMed: 9223090]
- Riecker A, Kassubek J, Groschel K, Grodd W, Ackermann H. The cerebral control of speech tempo: opposite relationship between speaking rate and BOLD signal changes at striatal and cerebellar structures. NeuroImage. 2006; 29:46–53. [PubMed: 16085428]
- 90. Riecker A, Wildgruber D, Mathiak K, Grodd W, Ackermann H. Parametric analysis of ratedependent hemodynamic response functions of cortical and subcortical brain structures during auditorily cued finger tapping: a fMRI study. NeuroImage. 2003; 18:731–739. [PubMed: 12667850]
- Rotte M, Kanowski M, Heinze HJ. Functional magnetic resonance imaging for the evaluation of the motor system: primary and secondary brain areas in different motor tasks. Stereotactic and functional neurosurgery. 2002; 78:3–16. [PubMed: 12381881]
- Rounis E, Lee L, Siebner HR, Rowe JB, Friston KJ, Rothwell JC, et al. Frequency specific changes in regional cerebral blood flow and motor system connectivity following rTMS to the primary motor cortex. NeuroImage. 2005; 26:164–176. [PubMed: 15862216]
- 93. Sabatini U, Boulanouar K, Fabre N, Martin F, Carel C, Colonnese C, et al. Cortical motor reorganization in akinetic patients with Parkinson's disease: a functional MRI study. Brain: a journal of neurology. 2000; 123(Pt 2):394–403. [PubMed: 10648446]
- 94. Samuel M, Ceballos-Baumann AO, Blin J, Uema T, Boecker H, Passingham RE, et al. Evidence for lateral premotor and parietal overactivity in Parkinson's disease during sequential and bimanual movements. A PET study. Brain: a journal of neurology. 1997; 120(Pt 6):963–976. [PubMed: 9217681]
- 95. Taniwaki T, Okayama A, Yoshiura T, Nakamura Y, Goto Y, Kira J, et al. Reappraisal of the motor role of basal ganglia: a functional magnetic resonance image study. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2003; 23:3432–3438. [PubMed: 12716951]
- 96. Thaut MH, Demartin M, Sanes JN. Brain networks for integrative rhythm formation. PloS one. 2008; 3:e2312. [PubMed: 18509462]
- Wilson SM, Saygin AP, Sereno MI, Iacoboni M. Listening to speech activates motor areas involved in speech production. Nature neuroscience. 2004; 7:701–702.
- Altshuler LL, Bookheimer SY, Townsend J, Proenza MA, Eisenberger N, Sabb F, et al. Blunted activation in orbitofrontal cortex during mania: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Biological psychiatry. 2005; 58:763–769. [PubMed: 16310510]
- Aron AR, Poldrack RA. Cortical and subcortical contributions to Stop signal response inhibition: role of the subthalamic nucleus. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2006; 26:2424–2433. [PubMed: 16510720]
- 100. Baglio F, Blasi V, Falini A, Farina E, Mantovani F, Olivotto F, et al. Functional brain changes in early Parkinson's disease during motor response and motor inhibition. Neurobiology of aging. 2011; 32:115–124. [PubMed: 19188005]
- 101. Bohland JW, Guenther FH. An fMRI investigation of syllable sequence production. NeuroImage. 2006; 32:821–841. [PubMed: 16730195]
- 102. Brown MR, Goltz HC, Vilis T, Ford KA, Everling S. Inhibition and generation of saccades: rapid event-related fMRI of prosaccades, antisaccades, and nogo trials. NeuroImage. 2006; 33:644– 659. [PubMed: 16949303]
- 103. Durston S, Davidson MC, Thomas KM, Worden MS, Tottenham N, Martinez A, et al. Parametric manipulation of conflict and response competition using rapid mixed-trial event-related fMRI. NeuroImage. 2003; 20:2135–2141. [PubMed: 14683717]
- 104. Durston S, Mulder M, Casey BJ, Ziermans T, van Engeland H. Activation in ventral prefrontal cortex is sensitive to genetic vulnerability for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Biological psychiatry. 2006; 60:1062–1070. [PubMed: 16712804]
- 105. Durston S, Thomas KM, Worden MS, Yang Y, Casey BJ. The effect of preceding context on inhibition: an event-related fMRI study. NeuroImage. 2002; 16:449–453. [PubMed: 12030830]
- 106. Garavan H, Ross TJ, Kaufman J, Stein EA. A midline dissociation between error-processing and response-conflict monitoring. NeuroImage. 2003; 20:1132–1139. [PubMed: 14568482]

- 107. Garavan H, Ross TJ, Murphy K, Roche RA, Stein EA. Dissociable executive functions in the dynamic control of behavior: inhibition, error detection, and correction. NeuroImage. 2002; 17:1820–1829. [PubMed: 12498755]
- 108. Kawashima R, Satoh K, Itoh H, Ono S, Furumoto S, Gotoh R, et al. Functional anatomy of GO/ NO-GO discrimination and response selection--a PET study in man. Brain research. 1996; 728:79–89. [PubMed: 8864300]
- 109. Kiehl KA, Liddle PF, Hopfinger JB. Error processing and the rostral anterior cingulate: an eventrelated fMRI study. Psychophysiology. 2000; 37:216–223. [PubMed: 10731771]
- 110. Konishi S, Nakajima K, Uchida I, Kikyo H, Kameyama M, Miyashita Y. Common inhibitory mechanism in human inferior prefrontal cortex revealed by event-related functional MRI. Brain: a journal of neurology. 1999; 122(Pt 5):981–991. [PubMed: 10355680]
- 111. Konishi S, Nakajima K, Uchida I, Sekihara K, Miyashita Y. No-go dominant brain activity in human inferior prefrontal cortex revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. The European journal of neuroscience. 1998; 10:1209–1213. [PubMed: 9753190]
- 112. Langenecker SA, Kennedy SE, Guidotti LM, Briceno EM, Own LS, Hooven T, et al. Frontal and limbic activation during inhibitory control predicts treatment response in major depressive disorder. Biological psychiatry. 2007; 62:1272–1280. [PubMed: 17585888]
- 113. Liddle PF, Kiehl KA, Smith AM. Event-related fMRI study of response inhibition. Human brain mapping. 2001; 12:100–109. [PubMed: 11169874]
- 114. Maguire RP, Broerse A, de Jong BM, Cornelissen FW, Meiners LC, Leenders KL, et al. Evidence of enhancement of spatial attention during inhibition of a visuo-motor response. NeuroImage. 2003; 20:1339–1345. [PubMed: 14568502]
- 115. Maltby N, Tolin DF, Worhunsky P, O'Keefe TM, Kiehl KA. Dysfunctional action monitoring hyperactivates frontal-striatal circuits in obsessive-compulsive disorder: an event-related fMRI study. NeuroImage. 2005; 24:495–503. [PubMed: 15627591]
- 116. Mazzola-Pomietto P, Kaladjian A, Azorin JM, Anton JL, Jeanningros R. Bilateral decrease in ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation during motor response inhibition in mania. Journal of psychiatric research. 2009; 43:432–441. [PubMed: 18586275]
- 117. McNab F, Leroux G, Strand F, Thorell L, Bergman S, Klingberg T. Common and unique components of inhibition and working memory: an fMRI, within-subjects investigation. Neuropsychologia. 2008; 46:2668–2682. [PubMed: 18573510]
- 118. Menon V, Adleman NE, White CD, Glover GH, Reiss AL. Error-related brain activation during a Go/NoGo response inhibition task. Human brain mapping. 2001; 12:131–143. [PubMed: 11170305]
- 119. Mostofsky SH, Schafer JG, Abrams MT, Goldberg MC, Flower AA, Boyce A, et al. fMRI evidence that the neural basis of response inhibition is task-dependent. Brain research Cognitive brain research. 2003; 17:419–430. [PubMed: 12880912]
- Pessiglione M, Seymour B, Flandin G, Dolan RJ, Frith CD. Dopamine-dependent prediction errors underpin reward-seeking behaviour in humans. Nature. 2006; 442:1042–1045. [PubMed: 16929307]
- 121. Rubia K, Smith AB, Woolley J, Nosarti C, Heyman I, Taylor E, et al. Progressive increase of frontostriatal brain activation from childhood to adulthood during event-related tasks of cognitive control. Human brain mapping. 2006; 27:973–993. [PubMed: 16683265]
- 122. Simmonds DJ, Fotedar SG, Suskauer SJ, Pekar JJ, Denckla MB, Mostofsky SH. Functional brain correlates of response time variability in children. Neuropsychologia. 2007; 45:2147–2157. [PubMed: 17350054]
- 123. Suskauer SJ, Simmonds DJ, Fotedar S, Blankner JG, Pekar JJ, Denckla MB, et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence for abnormalities in response selection in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: differences in activation associated with response inhibition but not habitual motor response. Journal of cognitive neuroscience. 2008; 20:478–493. [PubMed: 18004945]
- 124. Watanabe J, Sugiura M, Sato K, Sato Y, Maeda Y, Matsue Y, et al. The human prefrontal and parietal association cortices are involved in NO-GO performances: an event-related fMRI study. NeuroImage. 2002; 17:1207–1216. [PubMed: 12414261]

- 125. Welander-Vatn AS, Jensen J, Lycke C, Agartz I, Server A, Gadmar OB, et al. No altered dorsal anterior cingulate activation in bipolar II disorder patients during a Go/No-go task: an fMRI study. Bipolar disorders. 2009; 11:270–279. [PubMed: 19419384]
- 126. Turkeltaub PE, Eickhoff SB, Laird AR, Fox M, Wiener M, Fox P. Minimizing within-experiment and within-group effects in Activation Likelihood Estimation meta-analyses. Hum Brain Mapp. 2012; 33:1–13. [PubMed: 21305667]
- 127. Nee DE, Kastner S, Brown JW. Functional heterogeneity of conflict, error, task-switching, and unexpectedness effects within medial prefrontal cortex. Neuroimage. 2011; 54:528–540. [PubMed: 20728547]
- 128. Wittfoth M, Kustermann E, Fahle M, Herrmann M. The influence of response conflict on error processing: evidence from event-related fMRI. Brain Res. 2008; 1194:118–129. [PubMed: 18177843]
- 129. Mars RB, Coles MG, Grol MJ, Holroyd CB, Nieuwenhuis S, Hulstijn W, et al. Neural dynamics of error processing in medial frontal cortex. Neuroimage. 2005; 28:1007–1013. [PubMed: 16055352]
- 130. Brown JW, Braver TS. Learned predictions of error likelihood in the anterior cingulate cortex. Science. 2005; 307:1118–1121. [PubMed: 15718473]
- 131. van Veen V, Holroyd CB, Cohen JD, Stenger VA, Carter CS. Errors without conflict: implications for performance monitoring theories of anterior cingulate cortex. Brain and cognition. 2004; 56:267–276. [PubMed: 15518940]
- 132. Carter CS, Braver TS, Barch DM, Botvinick MM, Noll D, Cohen JD. Anterior cingulate cortex, error detection, and the online monitoring of performance. Science. 1998; 280:747–749. [PubMed: 9563953]
- 133. Nakata H, Sakamoto K, Ferretti A, Gianni Perrucci M, Del Gratta C, Kakigi R, et al. Executive functions with different motor outputs in somatosensory Go/Nogo tasks: an event-related functional MRI study. Brain research bulletin. 2008; 77:197–205. [PubMed: 18722517]
- 134. Menon V, Adleman NE, White CD, Glover GH, Reiss AL. Error-related brain activation during a Go/NoGo response inhibition task. Hum Brain Mapp. 2001; 12:131–143. [PubMed: 11170305]
- 135. Li C, Zheng J, Wang J, Gui L, Li C. An fMRI stroop task study of prefrontal cortical function in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease. Current Alzheimer research. 2009; 6:525–530. [PubMed: 19747163]
- 136. Kaufmann L, Ischebeck A, Weiss E, Koppelstaetter F, Siedentopf C, Vogel SE, et al. An fMRI study of the numerical Stroop task in individuals with and without minimal cognitive impairment. Cortex. 2008; 44:1248–1255. [PubMed: 18761138]
- 137. Liu X, Banich MT, Jacobson BL, Tanabe JL. Common and distinct neural substrates of attentional control in an integrated Simon and spatial Stroop task as assessed by event-related fMRI. Neuroimage. 2004; 22:1097–1106. [PubMed: 15219581]
- 138. Takeuchi H, Sugiura M, Sassa Y, Sekiguchi A, Yomogida Y, Taki Y, et al. Neural correlates of the difference between working memory speed and simple sensorimotor speed: an fMRI study. PloS one. 2012; 7:e30579. [PubMed: 22291992]
- 139. Buchsbaum BR, Ye D, D'Esposito M. Recency Effects in the Inferior Parietal Lobe during Verbal Recognition Memory. Frontiers in human neuroscience. 2011; 5:59. [PubMed: 21811449]
- 140. Uncapher MR, Wagner AD. Posterior parietal cortex and episodic encoding: insights from fMRI subsequent memory effects and dual-attention theory. Neurobiology of learning and memory. 2009; 91:139–154. [PubMed: 19028591]
- 141. Ranganath C, D'Esposito M. Directing the mind's eye: prefrontal, inferior and medial temporal mechanisms for visual working memory. Current opinion in neurobiology. 2005; 15:175–182. [PubMed: 15831399]
- 142. Chun MM, Turk-Browne NB. Interactions between attention and memory. Current opinion in neurobiology. 2007; 17:177–184. [PubMed: 17379501]
- 143. Awh E, Vogel EK, Oh SH. Interactions between attention and working memory. Neuroscience. 2006; 139:201–208. [PubMed: 16324792]

- 144. Knight JB, Ethridge LE, Marsh RL, Clementz BA. Neural correlates of attentional and mnemonic processing in event-based prospective memory. Frontiers in human neuroscience. 2010; 4:5. [PubMed: 20161996]
- 145. Fitzgerald PB, Maller JJ, Hoy KE, Thomson R, Daskalakis ZJ. Exploring the optimal site for the localization of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in brain stimulation experiments. Brain stimulation. 2009; 2:234–237. [PubMed: 20633422]
- 146. Leuthardt EC, Pei XM, Breshears J, Gaona C, Sharma M, Freudenberg Z, et al. Temporal evolution of gamma activity in human cortex during an overt and covert word repetition task. Frontiers in human neuroscience. 2012; 6:99. [PubMed: 22563311]
- 147. Sundermann B, Pfleiderer B. Functional connectivity profile of the human inferior frontal junction: involvement in a cognitive control network. BMC neuroscience. 2012; 13:119. [PubMed: 23033990]
- 148. Derrfuss J, Vogt VL, Fiebach CJ, von Cramon DY, Tittgemeyer M. Functional organization of the left inferior precentral sulcus: dissociating the inferior frontal eye field and the inferior frontal junction. NeuroImage. 2012; 59:3829–3837. [PubMed: 22155041]
- 149. Derrfuss J, Brass M, von Cramon DY, Lohmann G, Amunts K. Neural activations at the junction of the inferior frontal sulcus and the inferior precentral sulcus: interindividual variability, reliability, and association with sulcal morphology. Human brain mapping. 2009; 30:299–311. [PubMed: 18072280]
- 150. Davidson RJ, Irwin W. The functional neuroanatomy of emotion and affective style. Trends in cognitive sciences. 1999; 3:11–21. [PubMed: 10234222]
- 151. Christakou A, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ. Prefrontal cortical-ventral striatal interactions involved in affective modulation of attentional performance: implications for corticostriatal circuit function. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2004; 24:773– 780. [PubMed: 14749421]
- 152. Bickel WK, Mueller ET. Toward the Study of Trans-Disease Processes: A Novel Approach With Special Reference to the Study of Co-morbidity. Journal of dual diagnosis. 2009; 5:131–138. [PubMed: 20182654]
- McClure SM, Ericson KM, Laibson DI, Loewenstein G, Cohen JD. Time discounting for primary rewards. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:5796–5804. [PubMed: 17522323]
- 154. Cho SS, Ko JH, Pellecchia G, Van Eimeren T, Cilia R, Strafella AP. Continuous theta burst stimulation of right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex induces changes in impulsivity level. Brain Stimul. 2010; 3:170–176. [PubMed: 20633446]
- 155. Figner B, Knoch D, Johnson EJ, Krosch AR, Lisanby SH, Fehr E, et al. Lateral prefrontal cortex and self-control in intertemporal choice. Nature neuroscience. 2010; 13:538–539.
- 156. McNab F, Varrone A, Farde L, Jucaite A, Bystritsky P, Forssberg H, et al. Changes in cortical dopamine DI receptor binding associated with cognitive training. Science. 2009; 323:800–802. [PubMed: 19197069]
- 157. Bechara A, Van der Linden M. Decision-making and impulse control after frontal lobe injuries. Current Opinion in Neurology. 2005; 18:734–739. [PubMed: 16280687]
- 158. Bickel WK, Miller ML, Yi R, Kowal BP, Lindquist DM, Pitcock JA. Behavioral and neuroeconomics of drug addiction: Competing neural systems and temporal discounting processes. Drug and alcohol dependence. 2007; 90S:S85–S91. [PubMed: 17101239]
- 159. Raine A, Lencz T, Yaralian P, Bihrle S, LaCasse L, Ventura J, et al. Prefrontal structural and functional deficits in schizotypal personality disorder. Schizophrenia bulletin. 2002; 28:501–513. [PubMed: 12645681]
- 160. Matsuo K, Onodera Y, Hamamoto T, Muraki K, Kato N, Kato T. Hypofrontality and microvascular dysregulation in remitted late-onset depression assessed by functional nearinfrared spectroscopy. Neuroimage. 2005; 26:234–242. [PubMed: 15862223]
- 161. Monterosso JR, Ainslie G, Xu J, Cordova X, Domier CP, London ED. Frontoparietal cortical activity of methamphetamine-dependent and comparison subjects performing a delay discounting task. Hum Brain Mapp. 2007; 28:383–393. [PubMed: 16944492]
- 162. Sun W, Rebec GV. Repeated cocaine self-administration alters processing of cocaine-related information in rat prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci. 2006; 26:8004–8008. [PubMed: 16870745]



#### Figure 1.

Significant FDR corrected (p<.05) ALE values for tasks of (A) delay discounting (B) working memory (C) finger tapping and (D) response inhibition. ALE values for left (L) and right (R) hemispheres are presented on the MNI standard space template.



### Figure 2.

Significant FDR corrected (p<.05) results showing activity for delay discounting and working memory contrasted with that of finger tapping (A,B) and response inhibition (C,D). Z-score values for left (L) and right (R) hemispheres are presented on the MNI standard space template.



#### Figure 3.

Combined and individual overlaps in activity maps of delay discounting (DD) and working memory (WM), contrasted with finger tapping (FT) and response inhibition (RI). Maps show activity in the left (L) and right (R) hemispheres for (A) delay discounting (yellow), working memory (blue) as well as functional overlap between the two tasks (green). (B) Exclusive functional overlaps of DD and WM.





#### Figure 4.

Location of exclusive functional overlaps. Figure shows overlaps of delay discounting (DD) and working memory (WM) activity from Fig. 3b projected on three-dimensional infinitedepth MNI anatomical templates (i.e., glass brains). (A) Functional overlaps on brain marking the left (L) hemisphere locations of the superior frontal gyrus (SFG), middle frontal gyrus (MFG) and inferior frontal gyrus (IFG). Sulci are the superior frontal sulcus (sfs), superior precentral sulcus (spcs), central sulcus (cs), inferior frontal sulcus (ifs), inferior precentral sulcus (ipcs) and lateral sulcus (ls). (B) Functional overlaps on brain showing relevant Brodmann Area locations. (C) Functional overlaps projected on MNI whole head template.

# Table 1

fMRI studies included in individual meta-analyses. Wesley and Bickel 32

a) I Ref	Jelay Discounting èrence	=	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
01	Christakou et al., 2011 (20)	40	20	12-32	Delay > Immediate vmPFC Connectivity: Delaved Choice	11
02	Onoda et al., 2011 (27)	30	22		Reward Prediction V(t) related to discounting factor 3	12
03	Sripada et al., 2011 (31)	20	29		Choose Later > Choose Earlier	15
04	Peters & Büchel, 2010 (29)	30	25		Episodic > Control Subjective Value Correlation: Episodic > Control	13 56
05	Bickel et al., 2009 (18)	30	47	20-67	Discounting > Control: RMG Discounting > Control: HMG Discounting > Control: HML	21 20 23
06	Ersner-Hershfield et al., 2009 (21)	22	26		Person x Time Time x Valence Person x Time x Valence	02 01 06
01	Peters & Büchel, 2009 (28)	22	26		Subjective Value Correlation of Delay Discounting: 1° GLM Subjective Value Correlation of Delay Discounting: Orthoganalixed with Inverse Delay and Reward Magnitude: 2° GLM Reward Magnitude Correlation (Delay Discounting): 2° GLM	25 19 15
08	Pine et al., 2009 (30)	24	23	19–28	Correlation with Discounting Factor (D) Correlation of Discounting Utility (V) Correlation of Choice Difficulty with Discounting Utility (ΔV) Correlation of Choice Difficulty with Discounting Utility (ΔV) Covary RT Correlation of Choice Difficulty with Discounting Factor (ΔD)	22 07 02 10
60	Xu et al., 2009 (35)	20	25	22–29	Discounting Gains > Fixation Discounting Losses > Fixation	19 18

Biol Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 March 15.

Wesley and Bickel

=
- <b>T</b>
U
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
~
-
7
- <del></del> -
<u> </u>
0
_
<u> </u>
2
N
r Ma
r Mar
r Mani
r Manu
r Manus
r Manuso
r Manusci
r Manuscri
r Manuscrip
r Manuscript

z

<u>a) I</u>	Delay Discounting						
Ref	ference	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)		# foci
10	Hoffman & Schwartz, 2008 (22)	42	36		Delay > Control (magnitude estimation)		11
Ξ	Luhmann et al., 2008 (24)	20	23	19–30	Delay > Immediate		05
12	Weber & Huettel, 2008 (33)	23	23	19–36	Delay > Control		05
13	Boettinger et al., 2007 (19)	19	28		Subjective Choice Negatively Correlated with	Impulsive Choice Ratio	01
14	Kable & Glimcher, 2007 (23)	10	21		Delayed Reward and Subjective Value: FIR-ty Delayed Reward and Subjective Value: HRF-ty	pe model ype model	08 14
15	Monterosso et al., 2007 (26)	29	32		Discounting > No Choice: Hard Decision Discounting > No Choice: Easy Decision		06 03
16	Wittman et al., 2007 (34)	13	26	18–39	Delay > Immediate (Delay > 1 year) > (Delay < 1 Year)		07 01
17	McClure et al., 2004 (25)	14	21		Delay > Immediate: Beta Analysis Delay > Immediate: Delta Analysis		05 08
18	Tanaka et al., 2004 (32)	20		22-34	Short Delay > No Delay Long Delay > No Delay Long Delay > Short Delay	Total Foci:	07 14 <b>15</b> <b>449</b>
P (q	Working Memory						
Ref	ference	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments) # foci		
01	Schmidt et al., 2009 (60)	25 21	34 33		(1, 2, and 3-Back) > 0-back: Males 08 (1, 2, and 3-Back) > 0-back: Females 06	1 .	
02	Smits et al., 2009 (62)	11	28		2-Back > 0-Back: Healthy Controls 08		
03	Drapier et al., 2008 (40)	20	43	27–62	1-Back > 0-Back: Healthy Controls 04 2-Back > 0-Back: Healthy Controls 06		

Wesley and Bickel

Page 23

07 02

1-Back > 0-Back: Healthy Relatives 3-Back > 0-Back: Healthy Controls

<u>v (d</u>	Vorking Memory					
Ref	erence	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
					<ul><li>2-Back &gt; 0-Back: Healthy Relatives</li><li>3-Back &gt; 0-Back: Healthy Relatives</li></ul>	07 05
04	Deckersbach et al., 2008 (38)	17	26		2-Back > Fixation	12
05	Dohnel et al., 2008 (39)	16	61		2-Back > Fixation	02
90	Frangou, 2008 (42)	07	39		1, 2, and 3-Back	11
07	Koppelstaetter et al., 2008 (46)	15		25-47	2-Back > 0-Back	16
08	Sanchez-Carrion et al., 2008 (59)	14	24		2-Back > 0-Back 3-Back > 0-Back	16 18
60	Shamosh et al., 2008 (61)	103	23	18-40	3-Back > Fixation	01
10	Forn et al., 2007 (41)	10			2-Back > 0-Back	10
=	Matsuo, 2007 (49)	15	38		1-Back > 0-Back 2-Back > 0-Back	04 02
12	Allen et al., 2006 (36)	10		23–35	2-Back > 0-Back	06
13	Kumari et al., 2006 (47)	13	33	18–55	1-Back > 0-Back 2-Back > 0-Back	22 18
14	Meisenzahl et al., 2006 (51)	12	34	28–38	2-Back > Fixation	20
15	Ricciardi et al., 2006 (58)	90	28		1-Back > Rest	44
16	Malisza et al., 2005 (48)	60			1-Back > 0-Back	13
17	Mendrek et al., 2005 (52)	12	28		2-Back > 0-Back	12
18	Mendrek et al., 2004 (53)	80			2-Back > 0-Back	08
19	Monks et al., 2004 (54)	12	46		2-Back > Baseline	17
20	Kim et al., 2003 (45)	12	26	19–35	2-Back > Control	08

Wesley and Bickel

Page 24

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

(q	Working Memory					
Ref	lerence	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
21	Ragland et al., 2002 (57)	Ξ	32	21-53	1-Back > 0-Back 2-Back > 0-Back	06 07
22	Haberecht et al., 2001 (43)	14	15	07–20	1-Back > 0-Back 2-Back > 0-Back	03
23	Pfefferbaum et al., 2001 (56)	10	60	47–73	2-Back > Rest	14
24	McAllister et al., 1999 (50)	11	31		1-Back > 0-Back	05
25	Carlson et al., 1998 (37)	07	21	17–23	1-Back > 0-Back 2-Back > 0-Back	17 26
26	Owen et al., 1998 (55)	90			1-Back > 0-Back	10
27	Jonides et al., 1997 (44)	19			<ul><li>1-Back &gt; Control Button Press</li><li>2-Back &gt; Control Button Press</li><li>3-Back &gt; Control Button Press</li></ul>	03 22 24
					Total Foci:	452
<u>c) F</u>	'inger Tapping					
Ref	lerence	ä	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
-	Mostofsky et al., 2009 (85)	13	Ξ	08–12	Right Hand > Rest Left Hand > Rest	60 80
5	Hanakaw a et al., 2008 (73)	13	30	21–48	Tapping > Rest	13
3	Thaut et al., 2008 (96)	12	26	20–36	3 Hz > 2 Hz	04
4	Gavazzi et al., 2007 (70)	60	47		Flexion-Extension > Rest	03
5	Lissek et al., 2007 (82)	33	25		Simple + Complex 1 + Complex 2 > Res	t 48
9	Marchand et al., 2007 (83)	14	48	21–65	Right Hand > Rest Left hand > Rest	03 09
7	Cerasa et al., 2006 (65)	11	63		Tapping > Fixation	02

### Wesley and Bickel

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

C) F	inger Tapping					
Ref	erence	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
~	Dimitrova et al., 2006 (67)	12	29		Index Finger	11
6	Lehericy et al., 2006 (80)	12	23	18–33	Simple > Rest Complex > Rest	08 27
10	Mostofsky et al., 2006 (86)	Ξ	10		Right Hand > Rest Left Hand > Rest	03 05
=	Riecker et al., 2006 (89)	10	23	18–26	Index Finger	06
12	Aoki et al., 2005 (63)	10	22	20-30	Index Finger > Rest Ring Finger > Rest Double Finger > Rest	01 07 12
13	De Luca et al., 2005 (66)	07	37		Finger Tapping	04
14	Lacourse et al., 2005 (78)	54	25		Novel > Rest Complex > Rest	18 17
15	Rounis et al., 2005 (92)	08	37	20–68	Main Effects of Movement	17
16	Fox et al., 2004 (69)	12	35	22-43	Finger Tapping > Rest	01
17	Lemer et al., 2004 (81)	10		31–58	Finger Tapping > Rest	60
18	Wilson et al., 2004 (97)	10	27		Finger Tapping > Rest	02
19	Elsinger et al., 2003 (68)	13	63		Index Finger > Rest	05
20	Kuhtz-Buschbeck et al., 2003 (77)	12	24	21–27	Simple, Right Hand > Baseline Complex, Right Hand > Baseline Simple, Left Hand > Baseline	04 08 09
21	Riecker et al., 2003 (90)	08	24	19–32	Complex, Left Hand > Baseline Main Effect All Frequencies	12 08
22	Taniwaki et al., 2003 (95)	10		24–29	Self Initiated Movement > Rest Externally Triggered Movement > Rest	05 05

Wesley and Bickel

Page 26

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

C) F	inger Tapping					
Ref	erence	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
23	Langheim et al., 2002 (79)	06	27	22–33	Bilateral Finger Tapping > Rest	05
24	Muller et al., 2002 (87)	10	33		Finger Tapping > Rest	04
25	Rotte et al., 2002 (91)	60	24		Fingers	16
26	Gosain et al., 2001 (72)	05			Finger Tapping > Rest	02
27	Gerardin et al., 2000 (71)	08	27	21–35	Motor Execution > Rest	24
28	Kawashima et al., 2000 (76)	08		19–27	Cued Tapping > Rest	14
29	Sabatini et al., 2000 (93)	90	59		Finger Tapping > Rest	10
30	Catalan et al., 1999 (64)	13	52	41–52	Sequence 16 > Rest	12
31	Jancke et al., 1999 (74)	06		22–37	Right Hand: 1 Hz > Rest Left Hand: 1 Hz > Rest	02 02
32	Joliot et al., 1998 (75)	05	23		Finger Tapping > Rest	13
33	Mattay et al., 1998 (84)	08	30		Dominant Hand Simple	12
34	Rao et al., 1 <i>997</i> (88)	13	23	18–31	Synchronization-300 > Rest Continuation-300 > Rest	03 07
35	Samuel et al., 1997 (94)	90	64	50-64	Unimanual Sequence > Rest Bimanual Sequence > Rest Total Foci:	09 12 <b>450</b>
d) R	cesponse Inhibition					
Ref	erence	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
01	Baglio et al., 2009 (100)	11	67		Go > Fixation NoGo > Fixation	22 05
02	Mazzola-Pomietto, 2009 (116)	16	35		$G_0 > N_0 G_0$	07
03	Welander-Vatn et al., 2009 (125)	28	38	18–38	Go/NoGo > Fixation	12

Wesley and Bickel

Page 27

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

_
_
- I.
0
~
$\rightarrow$
<b>-</b>
-
<u> </u>
0
_
<b>_</b>
2
Ň
Ma
Mar
Man
r Manu
r Manus
r Manus
r Manusc
r Manuscr
r Manuscrij
r Manuscrip
r Manuscript

z

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

d) F	Response Inhibition					
Ref	erence	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
04	McNab et al., 2008 (117)	11	24	22–34	$N_0G_0 > G_0$	06
05	Suskauer et al., 2008 (123)	25	11	08–13	NoGo > Fixation	07
06	Langenecker et al., 2007 (112)	17	34		Go NoGo	10 08
07	Simmonds et al., 2007 (122)	30	=	08–12	Go NoGo	05 10
08	Aron et al., 2006 (99)	s	29		Go > Null Event	21
60	Brown et al., 2006 (102)	10	26	22–26	NoGo Response > Fixation	19
10	Bohland & Guenther, 2006 (101)	13	29	22–50	Go > NoGo	40
11	Durston et al., 2006 (104)	11	15	13–19	Go > NoGo: Healthy Controls NoGo > Go: Healthy Controls	02 09
		11	14	11–20	Go > NoGo: Unaffected Siblings No-Go > Go: Unaffected Siblings	03 05
12	Pessiglione et al., 2006 (120)	39		19–37	Go/NoGo	07
13	Rubia et al., 2006 (121)	23 29	28 15	20-43 10-17	Go/NoGo: Adults Go/NoGo: Adolescents	11 04
14	Altshuler et al., 2005 (98)	13	31		NoGo > Go	04
15	Maltby et al., 2005 (115)	14	37		NoGo	05
16	Durston et al., 2003 (103)	07	60	60-90	Go > NoGo	08
17	Mostofsky et al., 2003 (119)	48	27		Primary Go Effects Primary NoGo Effects	04 03
18	Garavan et al., 2003 (106)	16	31	18-46	Task-Related Performance NoGo	12 07
19	Maguire et al., 2003 (114)	90		22–30	Go/NoGo > Fixation	90

Page 28

_
_
_
· · · ·
~
-
-
-
C
<b></b>
_
$\sim$
0
_
_
~
<
_
0
<sup>u</sup>
_
_
_
-
( -
_
~~
0,
-
0
~
_
- <b>-</b>
5
<u>b</u>
Ϊġ

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

Wesley	and	Bick
--------	-----	------

<u>d) F</u>	Response Inhibition					
Ref	erence	u	Mean Age (Years)	Age Range (Years)	Contrasts of Interest (Experiments)	# foci
20	Durston et al., 2002 (105)	10	28		Go > NoGo	10
21	Garavan et al., 2002 (107)	14	30	19–45	NoGo	16
22	Watanabe et al., 2002 (124)	11	25	19-40	Go NoGo	05 05
23	Liddle et al., 2001 (113)	16	30		Go > Baseline NoGo > Baseline	32 19
24	Menon et al., 2001 (118)	14	24	17-41	$G_0 > Rest$	07
25	Kiehl et al., 2000 (109)	14	28		Errors of Commission NoGo Errors of Commission vs. Correct Rejects Go	04 08 12
26	Konishi et al., 1999 (110)	06		21–31	NoGo	01
27	Konishi et al., 1998 (111)	05		20–31	Go NoGo	09 19
28	Kawashima et al., 1996 (108)	60		19–21	Go/NoGo > Control Total Foci:	39 <b>450</b>

ckel

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

# Table 2

Overlapping ALE clusters of delay discounting and working memory contrasted with finger tapping and response inhibition.

Wesley and Bickel

	4	INI					
	x	y	z	<b>Overlap Centroid z-score</b>	Side	Brain Area	BA*
	43	10	29	3.58	Г	IFG/Lateral Prefrontal Cortex	6
	34	52	×	3	Г	MFG/Lateral Prefrontal Cortex	10
1	43	4	5	1.14	Г	IFG/Lateral Prefrontal Cortex	10
	29	22	Ś	1.91	Γ	Anterior Insula	
	41	48	6-	3.07	L	IFG/Lateral Prefrontal Cortex	47

Activations of local maxima, and cluster-relevant Brodmann Areas, are reported in standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. BA\*, Brodmann Area; SFG\*, Superior Frontal Gyrus; MFG\*, Middle Frontal Gyrus; IFG\*, Inferior Frontal Gyrus; ALE\*, Activation Likelihood Estimation;