

# **Flagellin A Toll-Like Receptor 5 Agonist as an Adjuvant in Chicken Vaccines**

## **Shishir Kumar Gupta,a Preety Bajwa,b Rajib Deb,a Madhan Mohan Chellappa,a Sohini Deya**

Recombinant DNA Lab, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly (UP), India<sup>a</sup>; GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India<sup>b</sup>

**Chicken raised under commercial conditions are vulnerable to environmental exposure to a number of pathogens. Therefore, regular vaccination of the flock is an absolute requirement to prevent the occurrence of infectious diseases. To combat infectious diseases, vaccines require inclusion of effective adjuvants that promote enhanced protection and do not cause any undesired adverse reaction when administered to birds along with the vaccine. With this perspective in mind, there is an increased need for effective better vaccine adjuvants. Efforts are being made to enhance vaccine efficacy by the use of suitable adjuvants, particularly Toll-like receptor (TLR)-based adjuvants. TLRs are among the types of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that recognize conserved pathogen molecules. A number of studies have documented the effectiveness of flagellin as an adjuvant as well as its ability to promote cytokine production by a range of innate immune cells. This minireview summarizes our current understanding of flagellin action, its role in inducing cytokine response in chicken cells, and the potential use of flagellin as well as its combination with other TLR ligands as an adjuvant in chicken vaccines.**

**A**significant sector of world agriculture is formed by the poul-try industry. The major problem faced by the industry is a loss of productivity due to infectious diseases. Therefore, proper monitoring and active health management of the birds are required [\(1](#page-5-0)[–](#page-5-1)[3\)](#page-5-2). Currently, active immunization using live virus vaccines is a routine practice. An effective vaccine not only needs a good antigen but also requires an appropriate adjuvant to enhance the immunogenicity of the antigen. Newer-generation vaccines, including recombinant vaccines, mostly fail to produce a strong immune response [\(4\)](#page-5-3). Such vaccines need adjuvants which can augment the antigenicity of the antigen so that an enhanced immune response can be achieved.

Traditionally used adjuvants are inorganic compounds, bacterial products, and complex mixtures of surface-active compounds, mineral oil, and synthetic polymers [\(5,](#page-5-4) [6\)](#page-5-5). Adjuvants based on alum and mineral oil are the most commonly used adjuvants. Freund's complete adjuvant is an effective mineral oilbased adjuvant, but it shows high levels of adverse local painful reaction and tissue damage at the injection site and may cause systemic disorders in chicken  $(7, 8)$  $(7, 8)$  $(7, 8)$ . Alum suffers from weak adjuvant activity as well as being associated with the induction of IgE antibody response and may cause allergic reactions [\(9\)](#page-5-8). Recent developments in innate immunity mark a new era of TLR-based adjuvants which can substantially enhance the immune response to vaccines [\(10\)](#page-5-9). The innate immune system recognizes unique conserved molecular patterns of pathogens (pathogen-associated molecular patterns [PAMPs]) through pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) [\(11\)](#page-5-10). Recognition through PRRs alerts the immune system to mount a quick response to limit the spread of infection [\(12\)](#page-5-11). TLRs are among the types of PRRs. In mammals, 13 TLRs have been reported, with each recognizing and responding to different pathogen molecules [\(13\)](#page-5-12). Different ligands of TLRs include pathogen molecules such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (TLR4), flagellar protein and peptidoglycans (TLR1, TLR2, TLR5, and TLR6) [\(14,](#page-5-13) [15\)](#page-5-14), viral double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) (TLR3) [\(16\)](#page-5-15), bacterial and viral unmethylated cytosine-guanosine-containing oligonucleotides (CpG-ODN) (TLR9), and single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) (TLR7 and TLR8) [\(17](#page-5-16)[–](#page-6-0)[19\)](#page-6-1). Recently, TLR11 and TLR12

have been shown to recognize profilin in *Toxoplasma gondii* infection whereas TLR13 senses the rRNA sequence CGGAAAGACC  $(20-22)$  $(20-22)$  $(20-22)$ .

To date, 10 TLRs have been identified in chicken and include TLR1A and TLR1B, TLR2A and TLR2B, TLR3, TLR4, TLR5, TLR7, TLR15, and TLR21 [\(23](#page-6-5)[–](#page-6-6)[25\)](#page-6-7). Further, TLR21, which is a functional orthologue of mammalian TLR9, recognizes CpG-ODN whereas LPS and flagellin are recognized by TLR4 and TLR5, respectively [\(26](#page-6-8)[–](#page-6-9)[30\)](#page-6-10). Mammalian counterparts of TLR8 and TLR9 seem to be defective in chicken, although chicken TLR3 appears to recognize dsRNA in a manner similar to that seen in mammals [\(31,](#page-6-11) [32\)](#page-6-12). TLR15 has been shown to detect yeast proteases  $(33)$ .

To combat infectious bacterial and viral diseases, depending upon the causative agent, humoral as well as cell-mediated immune responses may be required. Clearance of bacterial diseases may require robust humoral immunity [\(34,](#page-6-14) [35\)](#page-6-15). Viral diseases, apart from humoral immunity, require cell-mediated immunity. For example, cellular immunity is crucial in Newcastle disease virus (NDV) infection because the viral pathogenesis includes an intracellular phase [\(36\)](#page-6-16). This necessitates the use of an agent that can elicit both types of immune response.

CpG-ODN, a TLR21 ligand, has been reported to be an effective adjuvant, but its use has been limited due to its adsorption by nonrelevant tissues and transient biological activity due to a short half-life *in vivo* [\(6\)](#page-5-5). Though modification increases its half-life, it does not render it completely resistant to nuclease activity and it still undergoes slow degradation [\(37\)](#page-6-17). Poor cellular uptake, nonspecificity, toxicity, and severe side effects upon long-term

Published ahead of print 22 January 2014 Editor: T. S. Alexander Address correspondence to Shishir Kumar Gupta, shishirgupta.biotech@gmail.com. Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. [doi:10.1128/CVI.00669-13](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00669-13)



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**FIG 1** TLR5 signaling. Flagellin binding to TLR recruits adaptor protein MyD88, leading to the activation of IRAK-1/4 and TRAF-6 and resulting in the activation of NF-KB, which induces the expression of proinflammatory cytokines.

use are some other disadvantages associated with the modification [\(38](#page-6-18)[–](#page-6-19)[41\)](#page-6-20).

Another microbial component, TLR5 ligand flagellin, a major structural protein of Gram-negative flagella, is a potent inducer of cytokine and chemokine production and has shown tremendous potential as an adjuvant in various investigations [\(42](#page-6-21)[–](#page-6-22)[44\)](#page-6-23).

#### **TLR5 SIGNALING**

TLRs have recently emerged as key components of the innate immune system that sense microbial infections and elicit antimicrobial host defense responses. Binding of a ligand to its specific TLR initiates a cascade of reactions leading to production of various inflammatory cytokines and type 1 interferons (IFN) [\(45,](#page-6-24) [46\)](#page-6-25). TLR signaling consists of two distinct pathways: a MyD88-dependent pathway and a MyD88-independent pathway. The MyD88 dependent pathway is used by all the TLRs except TLR3, while TLR4 uses both the pathways [\(16,](#page-5-15) [47\)](#page-6-26). Flagellin binding to TLR5 activates the MyD88-dependent pathway [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0). Analysis of MyD88-deficient mice revealed the important role of MyD88 in TLR signaling [\(48\)](#page-6-27). When macrophages from such MyD88-deficient mice were exposed to bacterial components, they failed to produce inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor

alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-1 $\beta$ , and IL-12 [\(49,](#page-6-28) [50\)](#page-6-29). Upon stimulation, MyD88 binds to the cytoplasmic portion of a TLR and recruits IRAK-4 (interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase), IRAK-1, and TRAF6 (TNF receptor-associated factor) to the receptor. IRAK-4 then phosphorylates IRAK-1. TRAF6 with phosphorylated IRAK1 releases from the receptor and activates TAK1 [\(51\)](#page-6-30). TAK1 (TGF- $\beta$ -activated kinase 1) then phosphorylates the I<sub>K</sub>B kinase (IKK) complex and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases. Activation of the IKK complex results in degradation of IkB and activation of NF-KB. Both NF-KB and MAP kinases then cause induction of genes involved in inflammatory responses. These genes, after activation, produce different types of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines which in turn direct and shape the acquired immune response [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-2-0) [\(52\)](#page-6-31).

## **TLR SIGNALING AFFECTS MANY IMMUNOLOGICAL PROCESSES TO ENHANCE THE IMMUNE RESPONSE**

TLR ligands seem to affect an array of processes to augment the immune response [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-2-1). In this regard, production of cytokines upregulates the expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) as well as various costimulatory molecules in the antigenpresenting cells (APCs), and upregulation of CD70 and CD40 molecules results in the activation of T and B cells [\(53,](#page-6-32) [54\)](#page-6-33). TLR signaling and phagocytosis are distinctive features of macrophage-mediated innate immune responses to bacterial infection. Many studies have reported an enhanced rate of phagocytosis due to TLR signaling. Engagement of TLRs induces MyD88-dependent signaling through the activation of IRAK-4 and p38, causing upregulation of expression of a number of genes associated with phagocytosis [\(55](#page-7-0)[–](#page-7-1)[57\)](#page-7-2).

Detection of microbial components by TLR enhances efficiency of vaccination by upregulating the cross-presentation and cross-priming ability of APCs [\(58\)](#page-7-3). TLRs have also been reported to be present on  $CD4^+$  T cells, suggesting that microbial components may directly induce activated  $CD4^+$  T cell survival without any help from the APCs [\(40\)](#page-6-19). This effect is mediated directly though the activation of the  $NF-\kappa B$  pathway following TLR ligation [\(59,](#page-7-4) [60\)](#page-7-5). These studies indicated a function of TLRs in the activation of adaptive immune cells and the involvement of effector memory T cells in innate immunity.

#### **FLAGELLIN: A TLR5 AGONIST**

**Structure.** Flagellin is a globular protein that arranges itself in a hollow cylinder to form the filament in bacterial flagellum and is present in large amounts in nearly all flagellated bacteria [\(61,](#page-7-6) [62\)](#page-7-7). Flagellar protein consists of the four domains D0, D1, D2, and D3. The D0 and D1 domains are mostly helical in structure and have a highly conserved sequence of amino acids at the N and C termini of the primary sequence of protein across the species. The D2 and D3 domains form the hypervariable region [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-3-0). Systemic deletion studies of flagellin protein have revealed that the TLR5 activating region of flagellin is located within the conserved domains of the protein [\(29\)](#page-6-9). Deletion of the first 99 amino acids from the N terminus of the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium flagellin monomer prevented TLR5 recognition, while deletions that removed amino acids 416 to 444 within the C terminus of flagellin were also sufficient to abolish the recognition of protein by TLR5 [\(29\)](#page-6-9). The variable D3 domain is present at the surface of the flagellar filament, and it has been reported to have immunos-



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**FIG 2** Mechanism of induction of the type of immune responses following TLR activation.

timulatory activity. This makes this domain important for innate immune recognition [\(63,](#page-7-8) [64\)](#page-7-9).

Individuals become susceptible to Legionnaires' disease when a stop codon mutation occurs in TLR5 [\(65\)](#page-7-10). Occurrence of a stop codon mutation makes such individuals unable to recognize flagellated bacteria and, hence, unable to mount a proinflammatory response mediated through TLR5-flagellin signaling. This accounts for the greater susceptibility of such individuals to Legionella infection [\(65\)](#page-7-10). Absence of TLR5 in mice makes them more susceptible to *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infection, suggesting that TLR5 regulates the innate immune response in the urinary



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**FIG 3** TLR ligands affect many immunological processes to elicit an elevated immune response.

tract [\(66\)](#page-7-11). Furthermore, TLR5-deleted mice have been reported to develop spontaneous colitis whereas another study suggested that the flagellin-induced pulmonary inflammatory response is TLR5 dependent [\(67,](#page-7-12) [68\)](#page-7-13). Taken together, these studies strongly suggest that the functional status of the TLR5-mediated flagellin response in the host determines the host susceptibility to the infectious diseases.

In chicken, TLR5 has been reported to be expressed in lungs, kidney, colon, spleen, testes, and heart [\(23\)](#page-6-5). TL5 expression has also been detected in the immune cells of chicken such as heterophils, monocytes, Langerhans cells, NK cells, and T and B cells of the adaptive immune system [\(69](#page-7-14)[–](#page-7-15)[71\)](#page-7-16).

**Flagellin as an adjuvant in chicken.** In chicken, many of the previous studies [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-1) performed with flagellated bacteria such as *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium have also revealed the potential of flagellar protein flagellin in activating the immune system of the host. In this context, infection of chicken TLR5 expressing HeLa cells with *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis activated high levels of NF-KB in a dose- and flagellin-dependent manner [\(72\)](#page-7-17). In another study, aflagellar *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium  $f$ *liM* induced less IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-6 production than was seen with wild-type flagellated bacteria in chicken and the aflagellar bacteria had a greater ability for systemic infection [\(23\)](#page-6-5). *S. enterica* serovar Gallinarum, a nonflagellated bacterium, shows reduced invasiveness and elicits reduced levels of cytokines and chemokines. de Freitas Neto et al. [\(73\)](#page-7-18) produced flagellated strains of this bacterium and infected chicken kidney cells (CKC) with these strains



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**FIG 4** Structure of flagellin with four major domains indicated (NCBI; Gen-Bank accession no. [KF589316\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore?term=KF589316).

along with the parent strain. The flagellated strain (*S. enterica* serovar Gallinarum  $\text{Fla}^+$ ) induced higher levels of CXCLi2, inducible nitric oxide (NO) synthase (iNOS), and IL-6 mRNA expression in CKC than were seen in the nonflagellated parent strain. In other TLR5-flagellin interactions, chicken peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) produced more IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, CXCLi2, and CCLi2 in response to *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis infection [\(74\)](#page-7-19). Pan et al. [\(75\)](#page-7-20) also investigated the effect of flagellin-deficient mutant *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium on the immune system of the chicken *in vivo*. Mutant (flagellin-deficient) strains showed a greater ability to establish systemic infection and elicited reduced levels of IL-1 $\beta$  and CXCLi2 compared to the wild-type bacteria. An absence of TLR5-flagellin-mediated production of various proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines may account for the enhanced ability of the flagellin-deficient mutant to cause systemic infections [\(23,](#page-6-5) [75\)](#page-7-20). Taken together, all these studies indicated that the *Salmonella* flagellin protein is involved in triggering the innate immune responses.

A number of studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of flagellin as an adjuvant in chicken immune cells *in vitro* [\(Table 2\)](#page-4-0). Heterophils showed an increased oxidative burst and a significant upregulation of various proinflammatory cytokines  $(IL-1\beta, IL-6,$ and IL-8) and chemokines (CXCLi2) in response to flagellin [\(76,](#page-7-21) [77\)](#page-7-22). Isolated chicken monocytes stimulated with flagellin showed upregulated nitric oxide production, which indicates enhanced macrophage function [\(78\)](#page-7-23). Furthermore, chicken macrophagelike cells (HD11), chicken kidney epithelial cells (CKC), and chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEF) have been shown to upregulate production of IL-1 $\beta$  when stimulated with flagellin [\(23\)](#page-6-5). Keestra et al. [\(72\)](#page-7-17) reported that the increased proinflammatory response was due to TLR5-flagellin-mediated enhanced production of NF-KB in HeLa cells expressing chicken TLR5.

Recent studies aimed at exploring the potential of flagellin as an adjuvant have reported a mixed induction of Th1 and Th2 immune responses in chicken cells [\(79,](#page-7-24) [80\)](#page-7-25). We have found that when chicken PBMCs were stimulated with recombinant flagellin, both Th1 and Th2 cytokines (Th1–IL-12 and Th2–IL-4) were detected along with proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 [\(79\)](#page-7-24). The same pattern of cytokine production (Th1–IL-12, gamma interferon [IFN- $\gamma$ ], and Th2–IL-4 and –IL-13) was observed in chicken splenocytes [\(80\)](#page-7-25).

Many *in vivo* investigations were undertaken looking at the promising results of various *in vitro* studies with purified or recombinant flagellin in chicken immune cells [\(Table 3\)](#page-4-1). In this regard, flagellin administered *in vivo* enhanced the infiltration of heterophils into the site of injection, which suggests that flagellin is a potent stimulator of a heterophil-mediated innate immune response *in vivo* and can protect against *Salmonella* infections in chickens [\(81\)](#page-7-26). Although the exact mechanism behind this phenomenon was not clearly documented, it was reasoned to be mediated by the TLR5-flagellin-induced production chemotactic factor IL-8  $(76)$ .

Use of flagellin in recombinant vaccine can elicit a greater immune response [\(82\)](#page-7-27). Chaung et al. [\(82\)](#page-7-27) investigated the adjuvant effects of the monomeric and polymeric forms of *Salmonella* flagellin in specific-pathogen-free (SPF) chickens immunized intramuscularly or intranasally with inactivated avian influenza virus H5N2 vaccines. Results showed that flagellin cooperating with

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**TABLE 1** Summary of TLR5-mediated adjuvant action of flagellated *Salmonella* strains

Study model	Result(s)	Reference
Chicken kidney cells (CKC)	Flagellated strains S. Enteritidis and S. Gallinarum ( $Fla^+$ ) induced higher levels of CXCLi2, iNOS, and IL-6; less mortality with flagellated strains	73
Chicken (in vivo)	Flagellin-deficient mutants exhibited enhanced ability for systemic infections; lower levels of IL-1β and CXCLi2 than wild type	75
Chicken PBMCs	TLR5-flagellin-mediated upregulation of IL-1β, IL-6, CXCLi2, and CCLi2 expression in S. Enteritidis-infected cells	74
CKC and HD11	Flagellated S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium induced more IL-6, CXCLi1, CXCLi2, and iNOS than nonflagellated S. Pullorum and S. Gallinarum	105
HeLa cells expressing chicken TLR5	Induced more NF- $\kappa$ B in response to S. Enteritidis infection in a dose- and flagellin-dependent manner	72
Chicken (in vivo)	Reduced levels of IL-1 $\beta$ and IL-6 and enhanced ability to establish systemic infection after challenge with aflagellar S. Typhimurium	23
Intestinal epithelial cells	Flagellin-deficient S. Typhimurium mutant ( $\text{flic}, \text{fijB},$ and $\text{flh}D$ ) failed to elicit IL-8 expression	52

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**TABLE 2** Flagellin as an adjuvant in chicken: applications *in vitro*

Cell category	Response(s)	Reference
Chicken PBMCs	Upregulation of both IL-12 and IL-4 as well as IL-6	79
Chicken splenocytes	Induction of a mixed Th1- and Th2-like response	-80
Chicken heterophils	Upregulation of IL-6 and CXCLi2	<b>100</b>
HeLa cells with chicken TLR5	Upregulation of TLR5-flagellin-mediated NF-KB expression	72
Chicken monocytes	Induction of nitric oxide production	78
Chicken heterophils Increased oxidative burst; significant production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines		77
CEF, CKC HD11 cells	Significant upregulation of IL-1ß	23
Chicken heterophils	Significant upregulation of IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, and IL-8 and increased oxidative burst	76

the 64CpG adjuvant significantly induced influenza virus-specific antibody titers of plasma IgA in the vaccinated animals. The nasal IgA levels in the flagellin-coadministered avian influenza virusvaccinated chickens were significantly elevated compared to levels observed with the H5N2 vaccine alone. Okamura et al. [\(34\)](#page-6-14) used recombinant flagellin protein from *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis and tested its potential in a vaccine candidate against homologous challenge in chickens. After immunization with recombinant flagellin or administration of phosphate-buffered saline, the chickens were challenged with *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis. The vaccinated birds showed significantly decreased bacterial counts in the liver and cecal contents compared to those administered phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

Recombinant vectors based on *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium have also been used. Pan et al. [\(75\)](#page-7-20) developed a recombinant *S. enterica* vector expressing the fusion protein (F) gene of NDV; experimental data revealed that recombinant vector induced higher levels of interleukin-1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ), CXCLi2, and TLR5 mR-NAs in the cecum, the spleen, and the heterophils. Huang et al. [\(35\)](#page-6-15) used flagellin as an adjuvant against *Campylobacter jejuni* and reported an increased Ig and decreased bacterial load in the birds. Further, flagellin has also been used as an adjuvant against *Eimeria tenella*. A recent study demonstrated the potential of flagellin as an adjuvant against parasitic infections [\(83\)](#page-7-28). A fusion protein consisting of flagellin and immune-mapped protein-1 (IMP-1), an antigenic protein of *Eimeria tenella*, elicited a stronger immune response than recombinant IMP-1 with Freund's complete adjuvant, showing that flagellin can also be used to enhance the immunogenicity of parasite antigens. Flagellin-antigen fusion proteins hold great potential and can be effectively used once the antigenic region of a pathogen is identified.

**Flagellin as an adjuvant in combination with other TLR ligands.** Recent studies have shown that when two or more TLRs are activated simultaneously, their pathways interact with each other and this cross talk results in either synergistic or antagonistic immune response [\(84,](#page-7-29) [85\)](#page-7-30). TLR combinations can produce a stronger and selective immune response, and a number of studies

have been undertaken in mice as well as in human immune cells [\(86](#page-7-31)[–](#page-8-1)[91\)](#page-8-2). In chicken, many combinations have also been tried to establish the pattern of cytokine production and induction of the type of immune response [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-17). In this context, costimulation with TLR3 and TLR21 ligands synergistically upregulated IFN- $\gamma$ and IL-10 expression in chicken monocytes [\(92\)](#page-8-3). A combination of CpG-ODN and poly(I·C) synergistically stimulated a proinflammatory immune response in chicken monocytes that included nitric oxide (NO) production and expression of iNOS and of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines [\(93,](#page-8-4) [94\)](#page-8-5). Flagellin has also been used in combination with other agonists. In a previous study, cross talk between TLR5 and TLR9 on human PBMCs resulted in a more robust production of IL-10 and IFN- $\gamma$  but antagonized IL-12 production [\(86\)](#page-7-31). In another study, we have reported that a combination of recombinant flagellin and LPS synergistically upregulated nitric oxide production and IL-12 and IL-6 expression but antagonistically downregulated IL-4 expression in comparison to recombinant flagellin alone. The results indicate that these agonists synergistically interact and enhance macrophage function and promote Th1 immune response in chicken PBMCs [\(79\)](#page-7-24). Our study [\(79\)](#page-7-24) also favored the use of combinations of these (LPS and recombinant flagellin) agonists, where Th1 type immunity is required, in small doses to alleviate the toxicity-related concerns associated with these agonists. These studies indicated that a single TLR ligand may not be effective enough to combat deadly infectious diseases and that instances occur in which a well-directed immune response is required; hence, in such cases, a novel combination of TLR ligands can be tried to achieve a more effective and selective immune (Th1 or Th2) response in chickens.

**Advantages of flagellin as an adjuvant in chicken vaccine.** Flagellin has emerged as a potential adjuvant candidate for vaccines due to a number of advantages associated with it. It has been shown to be effective at very low doses [\(81,](#page-7-26) [82\)](#page-7-27), and previous immunity does not alter its adjuvant activity, as it has high affinity for TLR5 [\(95,](#page-8-6) [96\)](#page-8-7). Being protein in nature, it can be manipulated and epitopes can be fused with its N or C terminus as well as in the

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**TABLE 3** Flagellin as an adjuvant in chicken: applications *in vivo*

Trible 9 Figgerini as an adjuvant in chicken, applications <i>in vivo</i>				
Antigen	Result(s)	Reference		
Immune-mapped protein-1 (IMP-1) of Eimeria tenella (EtIMP1)	Flagellin-fused EtIMP1 elicited a stronger protective immune response; reduced mortality	83		
Avian influenza virus	Increased IgY and IgA	82		
Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis	Increased IgA and decreased bacterial counts	34		
Campylobacter jejuni	Increased Ig and decreased bacterial load	35		
Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis	Reduced mortality	81		
Campylobacter jejuni	Increased Ig and decreased bacterial load	101		

<span id="page-5-17"></span>



*<sup>a</sup>* RBCs, red blood cells.

hypervariable region without affecting its binding ability with TLR5 [\(97,](#page-8-10) [98\)](#page-8-11). Further, it can easily be made in a large quantity using recombinant DNA technology. Flagellin can also be used in DNA vaccination, where the gene encoding flagellin can be placed next to an antigenic epitope. An expression vector containing the *fliC*gene was made and was given to mice against a lethal challenge of influenza A virus. Results of the experiment indicated that expression of DNA-encoded TLR agonists by mammalian cells greatly enhanced and broadened immune responses to influenza A virus [\(99\)](#page-8-12). Flagellin can also be used in a recombinant viral vector carrying an antigenic gene. In this regard, flagellin has successfully been used as an adjuvant in a recombinant vector expressing a viral protein [\(75\)](#page-7-20).

### **CONCLUSION**

Flagellin exhibits a range of immunological effects on a number of cell types in the host. It has been seen that the TLR5 ligand flagellin induced the chicken immune system and elicited a mixed Th1 and Th2 response, though with an inclination toward a Th2 response [\(79,](#page-7-24) [80\)](#page-7-25). However, we observed [\(79\)](#page-7-24) that flagellin used in combination with LPS induced a Th1 response in chicken PBMCs. Also, many of the other TLR agonists induce a predominantly Th1 response, thereby making flagellin an attractive option under both sets of conditions; for example, when an elevated antibody-mediated immune response is desired, flagellin alone might be used, and in cases where cell-mediated immunity is required, combination with LPS might be tried [\(79\)](#page-7-24). However, the particular type of response may further be influenced by several factors, including the dose of flagellin or antigen, the cell subtypes, and the route of immunization, as well as its combination with other agonists [\(79\)](#page-7-24). Though many of the *in vivo* studies undertaken suggest flagellin to be a potent adjuvant in chickens [\(76,](#page-7-21) [77,](#page-7-22) [79\)](#page-7-24), toxicity-related concerns over the use of flagellin in birds cannot be ignored. This necessitates the detailed *in vivo* investigation of the use of flagellin in chickens. A good option to avoid toxicity concerns might be the use of a combination of flagellin with another TLR ligand; such combinations will help in reducing the quantity of the agonists used and, hence, toxicity-related concerns in chickens. Thus, flagellin is an effective adjuvant, but detailed *in vivo* investigations to measure long-term safety as well as the determination of factors such as the route of administration and dose will provide a foundation for the use of flagellin and its combinations with other TLR ligands as an adjuvant in future development of vaccines against infectious diseases of chicken.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- 1. **Bowersock TL.** 2002. Evolving importance of biologics and novel delivery systems in the face of microbial resistance. AAPS PharmSci. **4:**e33. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1208/ps040433.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1208/ps040433)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>2. **Mohan CM, Dey S, Rai A, Kataria JM.** 2006. Recombinant haemagglutinin neuraminidase antigen-based single serum dilution ELISA for rapid serological profiling of Newcastle disease virus. J. Virol. Methods **138:**117–122. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.08.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.08.002)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>3. **Dey S, Upadhyay C, Mohan CM, Kataria JM, Vakharia VN.** 2009. Formation of subviral particles of the capsid protein VP2 of infectious bursal disease virus and its application in serological diagnosis. J. Virol. Methods **157:**84 –89. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.11.020.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.11.020)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>4. **O'Hagan DT, MacKichan ML, Singh M.** 2001. Recent developments in adjuvants for vaccines against infectious diseases. Biomol. Eng. **18:**69 – 85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1389-0344\(01\)00101-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1389-0344(01)00101-0)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>5. **Fraser CK, Diener KR, Brown MP, Hayball JD.** 2007. Improving vaccines by incorporating immunological coadjutants. Expert Rev. Vaccines **6:**559 –578. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14760584.6.4.559.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14760584.6.4.559)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>6. **Heegaard Peter MH, Dedieu L, Johnson N, Potier ML, Mockey M, Vahlenkamp FMT, Vascellari M, Sørensen NS.** 2011. Adjuvants and delivery systems in veterinary vaccinology: current state and future developments. Arch. Virol. **156:**183–202. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00705](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00705-010-0863-1) [-010-0863-1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00705-010-0863-1)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span><span id="page-5-6"></span>7. **Schmidt P, Erhard MH, Wanke R, Hofmann A, Schmahl W.** 1996. Local reactions after the application of several adjuvants in the chicken. ALTEX **13:**30 –34.
- 8. **Wanke R, Schmidt P, Erhard MH, Messing AS, Stangassinger M, Schmahl W, Hermanns W.** 1996. Freund's complete adjuvant in the chicken: efficient immunostimulation with severe local inflammatory reaction. Zentralbl. Veterinarmed. A**43:**243–253. (In German.) [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0442.1996.tb00450.x) [.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0442.1996.tb00450.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0442.1996.tb00450.x)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span><span id="page-5-8"></span>9. **Gupta RK.** 1998. Aluminum compounds as vaccine adjuvants. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. **32:**155–172[.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X\(98\)00008-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(98)00008-8)
- 10. **Coffman RL, Sher A, Seder RA.** 2010. Vaccine adjuvants: putting innate immunity to work. Immunity **33:**492–503. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.10.002) [.immuni.2010.10.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.10.002)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span><span id="page-5-10"></span>11. **Janeway CA, Jr, Medzhitov R.** 2002. Innate immune recognition. Annu. Rev. Immunol. **20:**197–216.
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>12. **Akira S, Takeda K, Kaisho T.** 2001. Toll-like receptors: critical proteins linking innate and acquired immunity. Nat. Immunol. **2:**675–680. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/90609) [//dx.doi.org/10.1038/90609.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/90609)
- 13. **Shi Z, Cai Z, Sanchez A, Zhang T, Wen S, Wang J, Yang J, Fu S, Zhang D.** 2011. A novel Toll-like receptor that recognizes vesicular stomatitis virus. J. Biol. Chem. **286:**4517–4524. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.159590) [.M110.159590.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.159590)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>14. **Chow JC, Young DW, Golenbock DT, Christ WJ, Gusovsuredsky F.** 1999. Toll-like receptor-4 mediates lipopolysaccharide-induced signal transduction. J. Biol. Chem. **274:**10689 –10692. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.16.10689) [.1074/jbc.274.16.10689.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.16.10689)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>15. **Schwandner R, Dziarski R, Wesche H, Rothe M, Kirschning CJ.** 1999. Peptidoglycan- and lipoteichoic acid-induced cell activation is mediated by toll-like receptor 2. J. Biol. Chem. **274:**17406 –17409. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.25.17406) [/10.1074/jbc.274.25.17406.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.25.17406)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span><span id="page-5-15"></span>16. **Alexopoulou L, Holt AC, Medzhitov R, Flavell RA.** 2001. Recognition of double stranded RNA and activation of NF-kB by Toll-like receptor 3. Nature **413:**732–738. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35099560.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35099560)
- 17. **Takeshita F, Leifer CA, Gursel I, Ishii KJ, Takeshita S, Gursel M.** 2001.

Cutting edge: role of Toll-like receptor 9 in CpG DNA-induced activation of human cells. J. Immunol. **167:**3555–3558.

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>18. **Heil F, Ahmad-Nejad P, Hemmi H, Hochrein H, Ampenberger F, Gellert T, Dietrich H, Lipford G, Takeda K, Akira S, Wagner H, Bauer S.** 2003. The Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7)-specific stimulus loxoribine uncovers a strong relationship within the TLR7, 8 and 9 subfamily. Eur. J. Immunol. **33:**2987–2997. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eji.200324238.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eji.200324238)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>19. **Diebold SS, Kaisho T, Hemmi H, Akira S, Reis e Sousa C.** 2004. Innate antiviral responses by means of TLR7-mediated recognition of single stranded RNA. Science **303:**1529 –1531. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1093616) [.1093616.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1093616)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>20. **Yarovinsky F, Zhang D, Andersen JF, Bannenberg GL, Serhan CN, Hayden MS, Hieny S, Sutterwala FS, Flavell RA, Ghosh S, Sher A.** 2005. TLR11 activation of dendritic cells by a protozoan profilin-like protein. Science **308:**1626 –1629. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1109893.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1109893)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>21. **Koblansky AA, Jankovic D, Oh H, Hieny S, Sungnak W, Mathur R, Hayden MS, Akira S, Sher A, Ghosh S.** 2013. Recognition of profilin by toll-like receptor 12 is critical for host resistance to *Toxoplasma gondii*. Immunity **38:**119 –130. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.09.016.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.09.016)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>22. **Manzoor Z, Koh YS.** 2012. Bacterial 23S ribosomal RNA, a ligand for Toll-like receptor 13. J. Bacteriol. Virol. **42:**357–358. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.4167/jbv.2012.42.4.357) [.4167/jbv.2012.42.4.357.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4167/jbv.2012.42.4.357)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>23. **Iqbal M, Philbin VJ, Smith AL.** 2005. Expression patterns of chicken Toll like receptor mRNA in tissues, immune cell subsets, and cell lines. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. **104:**117–127. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2004.11.003) [/j.vetimm.2004.11.003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2004.11.003)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>24. **Higgs R, Cormican P, Cahalane S, Allan B, Lloyd AT, Meade K, James T, Lynn DJ, Babiuk LA, O'Farrelly C.** 2006. Induction of a novel chicken Toll-like receptor following *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium infection. Infect. Immun. **74:**1692–1698. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.3.1692-1698.2006) [.1128/IAI.74.3.1692-1698.2006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.3.1692-1698.2006)
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>25. **Ruan WK, Zheng SJ.** 2011. Polymorphisms of chicken toll-like receptor 1 type 1 and type 2 in different breeds. Poult. Sci. **90:**1941–1947. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01489) [//dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01489.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01489)
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>26. **Brownlie R, Zhu J, Allan B, Mutwiri GK, Babiuk LA, Potter A, Griebel P.** 2009. Chicken TLR21 acts as a functional homologue to mammalian TLR9 in the recognition of CpG oligodeoxynucleotides. Mol. Immunol. **46:**3163–3170. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2009.06.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2009.06.002)
- 27. **Keestra AM, de Zoete MR, Bouwman LI, van Putten JP.** 2010. Chicken TLR21 is an innate CpG DNA receptor distinct from mammalian TLR9. J. Immunol. **185:**460 –467. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0901921.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0901921)
- 28. **Hayashi F, Smith KD, Ozinsky A, Hawn TR, Yi EC, Goodlett DR, Eng Akira JKS, Underhill DM, Aderem A.** 2001. The innate immune response to bacterial flagellin is mediated by Toll-like receptor 5. Nature **410:**1099 –1103. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35074106.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35074106)
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>29. **Smith KD, Andersen-Nissen E, Hayashi F, Strobe K, Bergman MA, Barrett SLR, Cookson BT, Aderem A.** 2003. Toll-like receptor 5 recognizes a conserved site on flagellin required for protofilament formation and bacterial motility. Nat. Immunol. **4:**1247–1253. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni1011) [.1038/ni1011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni1011)
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>30. **Keestra AM, van Putten JP.** 2008. Unique properties of the chicken TLR4/MD-2 complex: selective lipopolysaccharide activation of the MyD88-dependent pathway. J. Immunol. **181:**4354 –4362.
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>31. **Philbin VJ, Iqbal M, Boyd Y, Goodchild MJ, Beal RK, Bumstead N, Young J, Smith AL.** 2005. Identification and characterization of a functional, alternatively spliced Toll like receptor 7 (TLR7) and genomic disruption of TLR8 in chickens. Immunology **114:**507–521. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2005.02125.x) [.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2005.02125.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2005.02125.x)
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>32. **Schwarz H, Schneider K, Ohnemus A, Lavric M, Kothlow S, Bauer S, Kaspers B, Staeheli P.** 2007. Chicken Toll-like receptor 3 recognizes its cognate ligand when ectopically expressed in human cells. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. **27:**97–101. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/jir.2006.0098.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/jir.2006.0098)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>33. **Boyd AC, Peroval MY, Hammond JA, Prickett MD, Young JR, Smith AL.** 2012. TLR15 is unique to avian and reptilian lineages and recognizes a yeast-derived agonist. J. Immunol. **189:**4930 –4938. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1101790) [/10.4049/jimmunol.1101790.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1101790)
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>34. **Okamura M, Matsumoto W, Seike F, Tanaka Y, Teratani C, Tozuka M, Kashimoto T, Takehara K, Nakamura M, Yoshikawa Y.** 2012. Efficacy of soluble recombinant *FliC* protein from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis as a potential vaccine candidate against homologous challenge in chickens. Avian Dis. **56:**354 –358. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1637](http://dx.doi.org/10.1637/9986-111011-Reg.1) [/9986-111011-Reg.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1637/9986-111011-Reg.1)
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>35. **Huang J, Yin Y-X, Pan Z, Zhang G, Zhu A, Liu X, Jiao X.** 2010.

Intranasal immunization with chitosan/pCAGGS-flaA nanoparticles inhibits *Campylobacter jejuni* in a White Leghorn model. J. Biomed. Biotechnol. **2010:**589476. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2010/589476.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2010/589476)

- <span id="page-6-16"></span>36. **Khalifeh MS, Amawi MM, Abu-Basha EA, Yonis IB.** 2009. Assessment of humoral and cellular-mediated immune response in chickens treated with tilmicosin, florfenicol, or enrofloxacin at the time of Newcastle disease vaccination. Poult. Sci. **88:**2118 –2124. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps](http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00215) [.2009-00215.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00215)
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>37. **Levin AA.** 1999. A review of the issues in the pharmacokinetics and toxicology of phosphorothioate antisense oligonucleotides. Biochim. Biophys.Acta **1489:**69 –84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4781\(99\)00140-2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4781(99)00140-2)
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>38. **Sands H, Gorey-Feret LJ, Cocuzza AJ, Hobbs FW, Chidester D, Trainor GL.** 1994. Biodistribution and metabolism of internally 3Hlabeled oligonucleotides. I. Comparison of a phosphodiester and a phosphorothioate. Mol. Pharmacol. **45:**932–943.
- 39. **Zhao Q, Matson S, Herrera CJ, Fisher E, Yu H, Krieg AM.** 1993. Comparison of cellular binding and uptake of antisense phosphodiester, phosphorothioate, and mixed phosphorothioate and methylphosphonate oligonucleotides. Antisense Res. Dev. **3:**53–66.
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>40. **Deng GM, Nilsson IM, Verdrengh M, Collins LV, Tarkowski A.** 1999. Intra-articularly localized bacterial DNA containing CpG motifs induces arthritis. Nat. Med. **5:**702–705. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/9554.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/9554)
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>41. **Golali E, Jaafari MR, Khamesipour A, Abbasi A, Saberi Z, Badiee A.** 2012. Comparison of in vivo adjuvanticity of liposomal PO CpG ODN with liposomal PS CpG ODN: soluble leishmania antigens as a model. Iran. J. Basic Med. Sci. **15:**1032–1045.
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>42. **McEwen J, Levi R, Horwitz RJ, Arnon R.** 1992. Synthetic recombinant vaccine expressing influenza haemagglutinin epitope in Salmonella flagellin leads to partial protection in mice. Vaccine **10:**405–411. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(92)90071-Q) [//dx.doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X\(92\)90071-Q.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(92)90071-Q)
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>43. **Levi R, Arnon R.** 1996. Synthetic recombinant influenza vaccine induces efficient long-term immunity and cross-strain protection. Vaccine **14:** 85–92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X\(95\)00088-I.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(95)00088-I)
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>44. **Jeon SH, Ben-Yedidia T, Arnon R.** 2002. Intranasal immunization with synthetic recombinant vaccine containing multiple epitopes of influenza virus. Vaccine **20:**2772–2780. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(02)00187-1) [/S0264-410X\(02\)00187-1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(02)00187-1)
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>45. **Suzuki N, Suzuki S, Yeh WC.** 2002. IRAK-4 as the central TIR signaling mediator in innate immunity. Trends Immunol. **23:**503–506. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4906(02)02298-6) [.doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4906\(02\)02298-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4906(02)02298-6)
- <span id="page-6-26"></span><span id="page-6-25"></span>46. **O'Neill LA.** 2002. Signal transduction pathways activated by the IL-1 receptor/toll-like receptor superfamily. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. **270:**47–61.
- 47. **Doyle S, Vaidya S, O'Connell R, Dadgostar H, Dempsey P, Wu T, Rao G, Sun R, Haberland M, Modlin R, Cheng G.** 2002. IRF3 mediates a TLR3/TLR4-specific antiviral gene program. Immunity **17:**251–263. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613\(02\)00390-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(02)00390-4)
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>48. **Yamamoto M, Sato S, Hemmi H, Hoshino K, Kaisho T, Sanjo H, Takeuchi O, Sugiyama M, Okabe M, Takeda K, Akira S.** 2003. Role of adaptor TRIF in theMyD88-independent toll-like receptor signaling pathway. Science **301:**640– 643. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1087262.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1087262)
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>49. **Poltorak A, He X, Smirnova I, Liu MY, Van Huffel C, Du X, Birdwell D, Alejos E, Silva M, Galanos C, Freudenberg M, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P, Layton B, Beutler B.** 1998. Defective LPS signaling in C3H/HeJ and C57BL/10ScCr mice: mutations in Tlr4 gene. Science **282:**2085–2088. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5396.2085.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5396.2085)
- <span id="page-6-29"></span>50. **Hoshino K, Takeuchi O, Kawai T, Sanjo H, Ogawa T, Takeda Y, Takeda K, Akira S.** 1999. Cutting edge: Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) deficient mice are hyporesponsive to lipopolysaccharide: evidence for TLR4 as the Lps gene product. J. Immunol. **162:**3749 –3752.
- <span id="page-6-31"></span><span id="page-6-30"></span>51. **Kumar H, Kawai T, Akira S.** 2009. Toll-like receptors and innate immunity. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **388:**621–625. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.08.062) [.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.08.062.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.08.062)
- 52. **Gewirtz AT, Navas TA, Lyons S, Godowski PJ, Madara JL.** 2001. Cutting edge: bacterial flagellin activates basolaterally expressed TLR5 to induce epithelial proinflammatory gene expression. J. Immunol. **167:** 1882–1885.
- <span id="page-6-33"></span><span id="page-6-32"></span>53. **Hayashi F, Means TK, Luster AD.** 2003. Toll-like receptors stimulate human neutrophil function. Blood **102:**2660 –2669. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-04-1078) [.1182/blood-2003-04-1078.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-04-1078)
- 54. **Diehl L, den Boer AT, Schoenberger SP, van der Voort EI, Schumacher TN, Melief CJ.** 1999. CD40 activation in vivo overcomes peptideinduced peripheral cytotoxic T lymphocyte tolerance and augments anti-

tumor vaccine efficacy. Nat. Med. **5:**774 –779. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/10495) [/10495.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/10495)

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>55. **Blander JM, Medzhitov R.** 2004. Regulation of phagosome maturation by signals from toll-like receptors. Science **304:**1014 –1018. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1096158) [.org/10.1126/science.1096158.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1096158)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>56. **Doyle SE, O'Connell RM, Miranda GA, Vaidya SA, Chow EK, Liu PT, Suzuki S, Suzuki N, Modlin RL, Yeh W, Lane TF, Cheng G.** 2004. Toll-like receptors induce a phagocytic gene program through p38. J. Exp. Med. **199:**81–90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20031237.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20031237)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>57. **Yates RM, Russell DG.** 2005. Phagosome maturation proceeds independently of stimulation of Toll-like receptors 2 and 4. Immunity **23:**409 – 417. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2005.09.007.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2005.09.007)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>58. **Datta SK, Vanessa R, Kiley R, Prilliman KT, Maripat C, Thomas T, Joseph D, Roman D, Shizuo A, Stephen PS, Eyal Raz.** 2003. A subset of Toll-like receptor ligands induces cross-presentation by bone marrowderived dendritic cells. J. Immunol. **170:**4102–4110.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>59. **Gelman AE, Zhang J, Choi Y, Turka LA.** 2004. Toll-like receptor ligands directly promote activated CD4+ T cell survival. J. Immunol. **17:**6065–6073.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>60. **Caron G, Dorothée D, Isabelle F, Pascale Catherine JD, Hugues G, Yves Delneste.** 2005. Direct stimulation of human T cells via TLR5 and TLR7/8: flagellin and R-848 up-regulate proliferation and IFN- $\gamma$  production by memory CD4 T cells. J. Immunol. **175:**1551–1557.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span><span id="page-7-6"></span>61. **Berg HC, Anderson RA.** 1973. Bacteria swim by rotating their flagellar filaments. Nature **245:**380 –382. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/245380a0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/245380a0)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>62. **Fedorov OV, Kostyukova AS.** 1984. Domain structure of flagellin. FEBS Lett. **171:**145–148. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(84\)80476-7.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(84)80476-7)
- 63. **McDermott PF, Ciacci-Woolwine F, Snipes JA, Mizel SB.** 2000. Highaffinity interaction between gram-negative flagellin and a cell surface polypeptide results in human monocyte activation. Infect. Immun. **68:** 5525–5529. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.68.10.5525-5529.2000.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.68.10.5525-5529.2000)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>64. **Steiner TS, Nataro JP, Poteet-Smith CE, Smith JA, Guerrant RL.** 2000. Enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* expresses a novel flagellin that causes IL-8 release from intestinal epithelial cells. J. Clin. Invest. **105:**1769 – 1777. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI8892.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI8892)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>65. **Hawn TR, Verbon A, Lettinga KD, Zhao LP, Li SS, Laws RJ, Skerrett SJ, Beutler B, Schroeder L, Nachman A, Ozinsky A, Smith KD, Aderem A.** 2003. A common dominant TLR5 stop codon polymorphism abolishes flagellin signaling and is associated with susceptibility to Legionnaires' disease. J. Exp. Med. **198:**1563–1572. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20031220) [.1084/jem.20031220.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20031220)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>66. **Andersen-Nissen TR, Hawn KD, Smith A, Nachman AE, Lampano S, Uematsu S, Akira A, Aderem A.** 2007. Cutting edge: Tlr5-/- mice are more susceptible to Escherichia coli urinary tract infection. J. Immunol. **178:**4717–4720.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>67. **Vijay-Kumar M, Sanders CJ, Taylor RT, Kumar A, Aitken JD, Sitaraman SV, Neish AS, Uematsu S, Akira S, Williams IR, Gewirtz AT.** 2007. Deletion of TLR5 results in spontaneous colitis in mice. J. Clin. Invest. **117:**3909 –3921. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI33084.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI33084)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>68. **Feuillet V, Medjane S, Mondor I, Demaria O, Pagni PP, Galán JE, Flavell RA, Alexopoulou L.** 2006. Involvement of Toll-like receptor 5 in the recognition of flagellated bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **103:**12487–12492. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0605200103.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0605200103)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>69. **Chalifour A, Jeannin P, Gauchat JF, Blaecke A, Malissard M, N**=**Guyen T, Thieblemont N, Delneste Y.** 2004. Direct bacterial protein PAMP recognition by human NK cells involves and triggers alpha-defensin production. Blood **104:**1778 –1783. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-08-2820) [-08-2820.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-08-2820)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>70. **Farina C, Theil D, Semlinger B, Hohlfeld R, Meinl E.** 2004. Distinct responses of monocytes to Toll-like receptor ligands and inflammatory cytokines. Int. J. Immunol. **16:**799 –809. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxh083) [/intimm/dxh083.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxh083)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>71. **Peiser M, Wanner R, Kolde G.** 2004. Human epidermal Langerhans cells differ from monocyte-derived Langerhans cells in CD80 expression and in secretion of IL-12 after CD40 cross-linking. J. Leukoc. Biol. **76:** 616 –622. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0703327.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0703327)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>72. **Keestra AM, de Zoete MR, van Aubel RA, van Putten JP.** 2008. Functional characterization of chicken TLR5 reveals species-specific recognition of flagellin. Mol. Immunol. **45:**1298 –1307. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2007.09.013) [.1016/j.molimm.2007.09.013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2007.09.013)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>73. **de Freitas Neto OC, Setta A, Imre A, Bukovinski A, Elazomi A, Kaiser P, Berchieri A, Jr, Barrow P, Jones M.** 2013. A flagellated motile Salmonella Gallinarum mutant (SG Fla+) elicits a pro-inflammatory

response from avian epithelial cells and macrophages and is less virulent to chickens. Vet. Microbiol. **165:**425–433. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.04.015) [.vetmic.2013.04.015.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.04.015)

- <span id="page-7-19"></span>74. **Pan Z, Fang Q, Geng S, Kang X, Cong Q, Jiao X.** 2012. Analysis of immune-related gene expression in chicken peripheral blood mononuclear cells following *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis infection *in vitro*. Res. Vet. Sci. **93:**716 –720. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.12.018) [.018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.12.018)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>75. **Pan Z, Cong Q, Geng S, Fang Q, Kang X, You M, Jiao X.** 2012. Flagellin from recombinant attenuated *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium reveals a fundamental role in chicken innate immunity. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. **19:**304 –312. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.05569](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.05569-11) [-11.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.05569-11)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>76. **Kogut MH, Iqbal M, He H, Philbin V, Kaiser P, Smith A.** 2005. Expression and function of Toll-like receptors in chicken heterophils. Dev. Comp. Immunol. **29:**791–807. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2005.02.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2005.02.002)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>77. **Kogut MH, Swaggerty C, He H, Pevzner I, Kaiser P.** 2006. Toll-like receptor agonists stimulate differential functional activation and cytokine and chemokine gene expression in heterophils isolated from chickens with different innate responses. Microbiol. Infect. **8:**1866 –1874. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2006.02.026) [//dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2006.02.026.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2006.02.026)
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>78. **He H, Genovese KJ, Nisbet DJ, Kogut MH.** 2006. Profile of Toll-like receptor expressions and induction of nitric oxide synthesis by Toll-like receptor agonists in chicken monocytes. Mol. Immunol. **43:**783–789. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2005.07.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2005.07.002)
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>79. **Gupta SK, Deb R, Gaikwad S, Saravanan R, Mohan CM, Dey S.** 2013. Recombinant flagellin and its cross-talk with lipopolysaccharide— effect on pooled chicken peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Res. Vet. Sci. **95:**930 –935. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.07.015.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.07.015)
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>80. **St Paul M, Paolucci S, Sharif S.** 2012. Treatment with ligands for Toll-like receptors 2 and 5 induces a mixed T-helper 1- and 2-like response in chicken splenocytes. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. **32:**592–598. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/jir.2012.0004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/jir.2012.0004)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>81. **Genovese KJ, He H, Lowry VK, Nisbet DJ, Kogut MH.** 2007. Dynamics of the avian inflammatory response to *Salmonella* following administration of the Toll-like receptor 5 agonist flagellin. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. **51:**112–117. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2007.00286.x) [-695X.2007.00286.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2007.00286.x)
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>82. **Chaung HC, Cheng LT, Hung LH, Tsai PC, Skountzou I, Wang B, Compans RW, Lien YY.** 2012. *Salmonella* flagellin enhances mucosal immunity of avian influenza vaccine in chickens. Vet. Microbiol. **157:** 69 –77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.12.014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.12.014)
- <span id="page-7-28"></span>83. **Yin G, Qin M, Liu X, Suo J, Tang X, Tao G, Han Q, Suo X, Wu W.** 2013. An *Eimeria* vaccine candidate based on *Eimeria tenella* immune mapped protein 1 and the TLR-5 agonist *Salmonella typhimurium* FliC flagellin. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **440:**437–442. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.09.088) [.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.09.088.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.09.088)
- <span id="page-7-29"></span>84. **Toshchakov V, Jones BW, Perera PY, Thomas K, Cody MJ.** 2002. TLR4, but not TLR2, mediates IFN-c-induced STAT1-dependent gene expression in macrophages. Nat. Immunol. **3:**392–398. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni774) [/10.1038/ni774.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni774)
- <span id="page-7-30"></span>85. **Lotz M, Ebert S, Esselmann H, Iliev AI, Prinz M, Wiazewicz N.** 2005. Amyloid beta peptide 1-40 enhances the action of Toll-like receptor-2 and -4 agonists but antagonizes Toll-like receptor-9- induced inflammation in primary mouse microglial cell cultures. J. Neurochem. **94:**289 – 298. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03188.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03188.x)
- <span id="page-7-31"></span>86. **Merlo A, Calcaterra C, Mènard S, Balsari A.** 2007. Cross-talk between Toll-like receptors 5 and 9 on activation of human immune responses. J. Leukoc. Biol. **82:**509 –518. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0207100.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0207100)
- 87. **Marshall JD, Heeke DS, Gesner ML, Livingston B, Van Nest G.** 2007. Negative regulation of TLR9-mediated IFN- $\alpha$  induction by a smallmolecule, synthetic TLR7 ligand. J. Leukoc. Biol. **82:**497–508. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0906575) [.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0906575.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0906575)
- 88. **Ghosh TK, Mickelson DJ, Solberg JC, Lipson KE, Inglefield JR, Alkan SS.** 2007. TLR-TLR cross talk in human PBMC resulting in synergistic and antagonistic regulation of type-1 and 2 interferons, IL-12 and TNF-α. Int. Immunopharmacol. 7(8)**:**1111–1121. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2007.04.006) [.1016/j.intimp.2007.04.006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2007.04.006)
- 89. **Warger T, Osterloh P, Rechtsteiner G, Fassbender M, Heib V, Schmid B, Schmitt E, Schild H, Radsak MP.** 2006. Synergistic activation of dendritic cells by combined Toll-like receptor ligation induces superior CTL responses in vivo. Blood **108:**544 –550. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1182](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-10-4015) [/blood-2005-10-4015.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-10-4015)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>90. **Zhu Q, Egelston C, Gagnon S, Sui Y, Belyakov IM, Klinman DM, Berzofsky JA.** 2010. Using 3 TLR ligands as a combination adjuvant induces qualitative changes in T cell responses needed for antiviral protection in mice. J. Clin. Invest. **120:**607. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1172](http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI39293) [/JCI39293.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI39293)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>91. **Vanhoutte F, Paget C, Breuilh L, Fontaine J, Vendeville C, Goriely S, Ryffele B, Faveeuw C, Trottein F.** 2008. Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR3 synergy and cross-inhibition in murine myeloid dendritic cells. Immunol. Lett. **116:**86 –94. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imlet.2007.11](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imlet.2007.11.014) [.014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imlet.2007.11.014)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>92. **He H, Mackinnon KM, Genovese KJ, Kogut MH.** 2011. CpG oligodeoxynucleotides and double-stranded RNA synergize to enhance nitric oxide production and mRNA expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase, proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in chicken monocytes. Innate Immunol. **17:**137–144. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1753425909356937) [/10.1177/1753425909356937.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1753425909356937)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>93. **He H, Genovese KJ, Swaggerty CL, Nisbet DJ, Kogut MH.** 2007. In vivo priming heterophil innate immune functions and increasing resistance to *Salmonella enteritidis* infection in neonatal chickens by immune stimulatory CpG oligodeoxynucleotides. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. **117:**275–283. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2007.03.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2007.03.002)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>94. **He H, Genovese KJ, Nisbet DJ, Kogut MH.** 2007. Synergy of CpG oligodeoxynucleotide and double-stranded RNA (poly I:C) on nitric oxide induction in chicken peripheral blood monocytes. Mol. Immunol. **44:**3234 –3242. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2007.01.034.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2007.01.034)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>95. **Mizel SB, Bates JT.** 2010. Flagellin as an adjuvant: cellular mechanisms and potential. J. Immunol. **185:**5677–5682. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4049](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1002156) [/jimmunol.1002156.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1002156)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>96. **Honko AN, Sriranganathan N, Lees CJ, Mizel SB.** 2006. Flagellin is an effective adjuvant for immunization against lethal respiratory challenge with *Yersinia pestis*. Infect. Immun. **74:**1113–1120. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.2.1113-1120.2006) [.1128/IAI.74.2.1113-1120.2006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.2.1113-1120.2006)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>97. **Chauhan N, Kumar R, Badhai J, Preet A, Yadava PK.** 2005. Immunogenicity of cholera toxin B epitope inserted in *Salmonella* flagellin expressed on bacteria and administered as DNA vaccine. Mol. Cell. Biochem. **276:**1–6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11010-005-2240-z.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11010-005-2240-z)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>98. **Mizel SB, Graff A, Sriranganathan N, Ervin S, Lees CJ, Lively MO,**

**Hantgan RR, Thomas MJ, Wood J, Bell B.** 2009. Flagellin-F1-V fusion protein is an effective plague vaccine in mice and two species of nonhuman primates. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. **16:**21–28. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00333-08) [.1128/CVI.00333-08.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00333-08)

- <span id="page-8-12"></span>99. **Applequist SE, Rollman E, Wareing MD, Lidén M, Rozell B, Hinkula J, Ljunggren HG.** 2005. Activation of innate immunity, inflammation, and potentiation of DNA vaccination through mammalian expression of the TLR5 agonist flagellin. J. Immunol. **175:**3882–3891.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>100. **Kogut MH, Genovese KJ, He H, Kaiser P.** 2008. Flagellin and lipopolysaccharide up-regulation of IL-6 and CXCLi2 gene expression in chicken heterophils is mediated by ERK1/2-dependent activation of AP-1 and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways. Innate Immun. 14:213–222. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1753425908094416) [.org/10.1177/1753425908094416.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1753425908094416)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>101. **Widders PR, Thomas LM, Long KA, Tokhi MA, Panaccio M, Apos E.** 1998. The specificity of antibody in chickens immunised to reduce intestinal colonisation with *Campylobacter jejuni*. Vet. Microbiol. **64:**39 –50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135\(98\)00251-X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(98)00251-X)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>102. **St Paul M, Paolucci S, Barjesteh N, Wood RD, Sharif S.** 2013. Chicken erythrocytes respond to Toll-like receptor ligands by up-regulating cytokine transcripts. Res. Vet. Sci. **95:**87–91. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.01.024) [.2013.01.024.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.01.024)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>103. **He H, Genovese KJ, Swaggerty CL, MacKinnon KM, Kogut MH.** 2012. Co-stimulation with TLR3 and TLR21 ligands synergistically upregulates Th1-cytokine IFN-γ and regulatory cytokine IL-10 expression in chicken monocytes. Dev. Comp. Immunol. **36:**756 –760. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2011.11.006) [.org/10.1016/j.dci.2011.11.006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2011.11.006)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>104. **St Paul M, Paolucci S, Barjesteh N, Wood RD, Schat KA, Sharif S.** 2012. Characterization of chicken thrombocyte responses to Toll-like receptor ligands. PLoS One **7:**e43381. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043381) [.pone.0043381.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043381)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>105. **Setta A, Barrow PA, Kaiser P, Jones MA.** 2012. Immune dynamics following infection of avian macrophages and epithelial cells with typhoidal and non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* serovars; bacterial invasion and persistence, nitric oxide and oxygen production, differential host gene expression, NF-KB signalling and cell cytotoxicity. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. **146:**212–224. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2012.03.008) [.2012.03.008.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2012.03.008)

**Shishir Kumar Gupta** obtained his graduate degree in Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) from Bombay Veterinary College (BVC), Mumbai, India. He joined the Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI) for his Master's degree in Animal Biotechnology and Immunology and worked on the potential use of TLR ligands and their combinations as adjuvant in new-generation recombinant chicken vaccines in the Recombinant DNA Laboratory of this institute. He received a fellowship from



the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) during his thesis research work. Currently, he is pursuing his Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) degree from the same institute and working in the area of viral oncolysis. He is receiving a fellowship from CSIR (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research) for his Ph.D. research work.

**Preety Bajwa** graduated in Biotechnology from the Chaudhary Charan Singh University and obtained her M.Tech. in Biotechnology with specialization in Genetic Engineering from the School of Biotechnology, Gautam Buddha University. During her Master's studies, she enrolled in the Laboratory of Gut Inflammation and Infection Biology at the Regional Centre for Biotechnology, Gurgaon, India, for her research thesis. Her work involves understanding the mechanism of *Salmonella*-mediated alteration



of host SUMOyation. Currently, she is working as a Senior Research fellow (SRF) in a DBT project at G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India. Her project is based on creation of a double-gene mutant of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and testing of its immune potential.

*Continued next page*

**Rajib Deb** obtained his Master's degree in Animal Biotechnology from Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), Uttar Pradesh, India. His postgraduate research work was on development of a bicistronic DNA vaccine against *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* using gamma interferon as an adjuvant. Presently, he is pursuing his Ph.D. in Animal Biotechnology from the same institute. His Ph.D. research work involves developing a chimeric DNA vaccine against infectious bursal diseases (IBD) of poul-



try that incorporates the flagellin (*fliC*) gene of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium as an adjuvant. He is also presently employed as an Agricultural Research Scientist (ARS) under the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), government of India.

**Madhan Mohan Chellappa** obtained his Master of Veterinary Science in Animal Biotechnology in 1997 and Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Animal Biotechnology in 2003 from the Department of Animal Biotechnology, Madras Veterinary College, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (TANUVAS), Chennai, India. In 2000 he was appointed Scientist in the Division of Veterinary Biotechnology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), India. He was awarded with a Depart-



ment of Biotechnology (DBT) overseas fellowship by the government of India in 2006 for pursuing a postdoctoral program at the University of Maryland and a Commonwealth fellowship by the Association of Commonwealth Universities, United Kingdom, in 2011 to pursue research work at the Institute of Animal Health, Pirbright, United Kingdom. Currently, he is holding the position of Senior Scientist at the Indian Veterinary Research Institute. His research interests include development of new-generation vaccines by reverse genetics and diagnostics against important poultry viral diseases with special reference to Newcastle disease virus.

**Sohini Dey** obtained her Master of Veterinary Science in Animal Biotechnology in 1997 and Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Animal Biotechnology in 2003 from the Department of Animal Biotechnology, Madras Veterinary College, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (TANUVAS), Chennai, India. In 2000, she was appointed Scientist in the Division of Veterinary Biotechnology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), India. She was awarded with a DBT overseas fellowship by



the government of India in 2006 for pursuing a postdoctoral program at the University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute and a BOYSCAST fellowship under the Department of Science and Technology in 2010 to pursue research work at the Institute of Animal Health, Pirbright, United Kingdom. Currently, she is holding the position of Senior Scientist at the Indian Veterinary Research Institute. Her research interests include development of virus-like particles and new-generation vaccines and diagnostics against important poultry viral diseases.