

### NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Am J Ophthalmol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 01.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Am J Ophthalmol. 2014 April; 157(4): 870–875.e1. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2013.12.019.

### Vitreoretinal Management and Surgical Outcomes in Proliferative Sickle Retinopathy: A Case Series

Royce W. S. Chen, Harry W. Flynn Jr., Wen-Hsiang Lee, D. Wilkin Parke III, Ryan F. Isom, Janet L. Davis, and William E. Smiddy

Department of Ophthalmology, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida 33136

#### Abstract

**Purpose**—To report the outcomes of current vitreoretinal surgical management of proliferative sickle retinopathy and to compare current methods to previous studies.

**Design**—A retrospective, interventional case series.

**Methods**—Fifteen eyes of 14 patients with proliferative sickle retinopathy were managed with vitreoretinal surgery over a 12-year period at a single institution.

**Results**—Nine patients had a sickle cell-hemoglobin C (SC) profile, 1 was sickle cell-beta<sup>+</sup> thalassemia (S beta<sup>+</sup>-thal), and 4 were sickle cell trait (AS). All 15 eyes underwent pars plana vitrectomy (PPV): 6 for vitreous hemorrhage (VH), 1 for epiretinal membrane (ERM), and an additional 8 for tractional retinal detachment (RD) and/or rhegmatogenous RD. In addition, an encircling scleral buckle (SB) was used in 2 cases. 20-gauge PPV was used in 7 cases, 23-gauge in 3, and 25-gauge in 5. All 7 eyes with VH or ERM had improved vision postoperatively. Four (50%) of the 8 patients with traction and/or rhegmatogenous RD developed recurrent detachments and required a second operation. All retinas were attached at last follow-up, and visual acuity was 20/400 or better in all eyes. No cases of anterior segment ischemia were encountered.

**Conclusions**—Anterior segment ischemia is no longer a common occurrence in eyes undergoing surgery for proliferative sickle retinopathy. Although PPV has replaced the use of SB in many situations, an encircling SB may still be used in this population when necessary. Surgery for VH and ERM generally results in favorable outcomes, but eyes undergoing surgery for traction/rhegmatogenous RD carry a more guarded prognosis.

<sup>© 2013</sup> Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Royce W. S. Chen, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, 900 NW 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Miami, FL 33136, Telephone: 305-326-6118, Fax: 305-326-6047, r.chen6@med.miami.edu.

Author Contributions: design and conduct of study (RC, HF, WL), management, analysis, and interpretation of data (RC, HF, WL), preparation, review, or approval of manuscript (RC, HF, WL, DP, RI, JD, WS).

**Financial Disclosures:** HF is a consultant for Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan) and Vindico Medical Education (Thorofare, NJ). JD is a consultant for Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan), Xoma Corporation (Berkeley, CA), and Clearside Biomedical (Alpharetta, GA). The remaining authors (RC, WL, DP, RI, and WS) indicate no financial support or conflicts of interest.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

#### Introduction

Proliferative sickle retinopathy is characterized by the development of peripheral retinal neovascularization, vitreous hemorrhage, and tractional or combined tractional-rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Natural history studies demonstrate a high rate of autoinfarction of neovascular complexes in the absence of treatment, but selected cases require vitreoretinal surgical management to improve or stabilize vision.<sup>1–3</sup>

The earliest surgical series for proliferative sickle retinopathy was published prior to the era of vitrectomy and reported a high rate of anterior segment ischemia, prompting some authors to recommend preoperative exchange transfusion and avoidance of an encircling scleral buckle.<sup>4</sup> Subsequent reports demonstrated both improved outcomes and a lower rate of complications in this disease, despite the use of encircling scleral buckles in some cases.<sup>5–9</sup>

The present study describes the indications, treatments, and outcomes for surgically managed cases of proliferative sickle retinopathy at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute over the past 12 years, and offers updated treatment recommendations compared to a previous study from this same institution.<sup>8</sup>

#### Methods

This study was a retrospective, interventional case series of all patients undergoing vitreoretinal surgery from 2001–2013 with an ICD-9 diagnosis code of sickle cell disease (282.60–282.68) or proliferative retinopathy not elsewhere classified (362.29). Data collected included demographics, sickle cell status, clinical indication for surgery, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), lens status, operative procedure(s), postoperative outcome, and duration of follow-up. Indications for surgical management included vitreous hemorrhage (VH), epiretinal membrane (ERM), traction retinal detachment (RD), rhegmatogenous RD, or combined traction/rhegmatogenous RD. The operating surgeon selected the surgical approach for each individual case: there was no defined management protocol in this study. The institutional review board at the University of Miami approved the study protocol, and HIPAA compliance was maintained.

#### Results

One hundred and sixty-six records were identified, but only 15 eyes of 14 patients were operated for a vitreoretinal condition associated with proliferative sickle retinopathy. One case was excluded because the patient had a penetrating keratoplasty and corneal ulcer prior to his vitreoretinal complication, and it was felt that these pre-existing conditions would complicate the analysis of his vitreoretinal management. Three patients had diabetes mellitus, and 1 of these 3 patients had early changes of non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (this patient underwent surgery for ERM only). The other 2 patients showed no signs of diabetic retinopathy. All patients had at least 2 months of follow-up. There were 8 male and 6 female patients with a mean age of 48 years (range: 23–69) during the study period. Six right eyes and 9 left eyes had surgery. Fourteen of 15 eyes were phakic at the time of primary surgery. All cases were performed under local anesthesia. Hemoglobin subtypes were as follows: sickle cell-hemoglobin C (SC, 9), sickle cell-beta<sup>+</sup> thalassemia (S beta<sup>+</sup>-thal, 1), and sickle cell trait (AS, 4). The mean postoperative follow-up was 26.7 months (range: 2–140 months, Tables 1 and 2).

Six vitreoretinal procedures were performed for the primary indication of VH, and 1 operation was performed for ERM. Of these 7 eyes, 6 underwent 20-gauge pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), and one underwent 23-gauge PPV. Each of these cases was performed

with non-valved cannulas. One patient (Case 1) developed a postoperative hyphema and elevated intraocular pressure that was successfully managed with topical steroid and ocular antihypertensive medications. The preoperative BCVA of the patients ranged from 20/200-light perception, and all 7 eyes had significantly improved BCVA at last follow-up, ranging from 20/20 - 20/60 (Table 2).

Eight eyes underwent vitrectomy for traction RD and/or rhegmatogenous RD. Of these detachments, 7 (88%) involved the macula. The preoperative BCVA ranged from 20/40 to HM (hand motions). An encircling scleral buckle (SB) was employed in 2 cases in order to provide additional support for peripheral traction: a #41 band was used in one case, and a #240 band was used in the second case. 20-gauge PPV was used in 1 case, 23-gauge in 2 cases, and 25-gauge in 5 cases. Valved cannulas were employed in all cases except for the 20-gauge PPV. Silicone oil was used in 3 cases, and gas was used in 5 cases. Final BCVA was improved in 5 of these 8 cases (63%), with cataract limiting visual potential in 1 of the remaining 3 cases. All eyes achieved anatomic success at last follow-up, and all eyes achieved 20/400 or better vision (Figures 1 and 2, Table 2).

The surgical objectives (clearance of vitreous hemorrhage, improvement of macular pucker, complete retinal reattachment) were achieved by a single operation in 9 (60%) of 15 eyes; 6 of 15 eyes underwent additional vitreoretinal surgery, including 4 for recurrent RD, 1 for silicone oil removal, and 1 for ERM peeling (Table 3). One case with an initial indication of VH developed a giant retinal tear following the initial vitrectomy, but was managed successfully with pneumatic retinopexy. In addition, 7 eyes underwent subsequent cataract extraction. Exchange transfusion was not performed for any patients and anterior segment ischemia did not occur in any study eyes postoperatively.

#### Features of Cases with Recurrent Detachment

Four eyes (Cases 9, 12, 13, 14) developed recurrent detachments following the initial vitrectomy (Table 3). Three patients had an SC hemoglobin profile, while 1 was AS. All 4 eyes featured both traction and rhegmatogenous components, and the macula was detached in all eyes. In 3 eyes, a vitreous hemorrhage was present. The initial BCVA ranged from 20/80 to HM. An encircling scleral buckle with a #240 band was used in 1 case, and a retinectomy was performed in another case. The choice of tamponade agent was silicone oil in 2 cases, perfluoropropane gas in 1 case, and sulfur hexafluoride gas in 1 case. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy was a factor for recurrent detachment in all 4 eyes. Recurrent vitreous hemorrhage occurred in 1 case and was successfully cleared at the time of the second operation. The time from initial to second surgery ranged from 2 to 11 months. Case 9 required a third operation and was left aphakic under silicone oil tamponade. At last follow-up, all 4 cases had retinal reattachment, and BCVA ranged from 20/100–20/400.

#### Discussion

The current study of patients undergoing vitreoretinal surgery for proliferative sickle retinopathy reports contemporary surgical techniques and outcomes. Although exchange transfusions were not performed, and an encircling scleral buckle was used in 2 cases, no cases of anterior segment ischemia were encountered.

The first report of surgery for proliferative sickle retinopathy was published in 1971 by Ryan and Goldberg.<sup>4</sup> They reported a high incidence of anterior segment ischemia in eyes undergoing standard scleral buckling for retinal detachment. They reasoned that the encircling procedure and surgically-induced inflammation interfered with blood flow in the ciliary vessels sufficiently to precipitate localized sickling and ischemia. Thus, their recommendations included preoperative exchange transfusion, local anesthesia with

retrobulbar anesthetics without sympathomimetics, supplemental oxygen, avoidance of treatment or compression of long posterior ciliary vessels, careful intraocular pressure control, avoidance of excessive cryopexy, and avoidance of extraocular muscle removal intraoperatively, among other suggestions. Many of these recommendations reflected techniques of the day, before vitrectomy became available.

In 1982, Jampol and associates reported 19 eyes that underwent vitrectomy and/or scleral buckling procedures for complications of proliferative sickle retinopathy.<sup>6</sup> Patients who had rhegmatogenous RD or VH underwent surgery by SB and PPV, respectively, with excellent outcomes. However, only 50% of eyes undergoing combined SB/PPV for a traction RD with or without a rhegmatogenous component had visual improvement following surgery. In addition, iatrogenic retinal breaks occurred in 5 of 15 patients with combined traction/ rhegmatogenous RD. Most patients in this series underwent exchange transfusions prior to surgery; however, 5 patients with SC disease did not undergo exchange transfusions – one of these patients (who also received epinephrine in the retrobulbar anesthetic) developed anterior segment ischemia.

More recently, the risks of exchange transfusion forced renewed scrutiny of the need for transfusions. In 1988 Pulido reported on 11 eyes of 10 patients with SC disease who underwent PPV for the complications of proliferative sickle retinopathy.<sup>8</sup> Nine eyes had traction RD with or without rhegmatogenous RD or VH, and 2 eyes had VH alone. BCVA improved in both patients with VH, and 8 of 9 patients with traction RD. An encircling scleral buckle was employed in 5 cases. No cases of anterior segment ischemia occurred, but an exchange transfusion was performed in 5 patients encountered earlier in the series - 2 undergoing PPV alone, and 3 undergoing SB in addition to PPV. The authors concluded that the risks of exchange transfusions outweighed the potential benefits, especially given that the complications of anterior segment ischemia could likely be avoided with attention to factors other than preoperative exchange transfusions, such as adequate hydration, oxygenation, and intraocular pressure control.

In 2009, Williamson treated 18 eyes of 17 patients with complications of proliferative sickle retinopathy with PPV- 8 eyes had VH alone, 3 had ERM, 2 had macular hole, 3 had rhegmatogenous RD with or without VH, and 2 had traction RD with or without VH.<sup>9</sup> Iatrogenic breaks occurred in 7 (39%) of 18 eyes, and 15 (83%) of 18 eyes had improved postoperative vision. All 8 eyes with VH had improved vision following surgery, as did 3 eyes with rhegmatogenous RD. Of the 2 eyes with traction RD, 1 improved after surgery, and the other remained detached under silicone oil. All eyes underwent 20-gauge PPV, and no patients had preoperative exchange transfusions.

In the current study, the most common indication for surgery was traction RD or combined traction/rhegmatogenous RD (Table 4). There were some patients with VH only or ERM, cases that might engender less extensive surgical maneuvers and less stimulus for anterior segment ischemia. The complication rate was higher for eyes with traction RD and/or rhegmatogenous RD, as 50% required an additional vitreoretinal procedure for management of recurrent RD. All eyes achieved anatomic success at last follow-up. The visual outcomes for the proliferative sickle retinopathy eyes with traction +/- rhegmatogenous RD approximate those of diabetic eyes with traction RD involving the macula, where visual acuity may improve in 59–70% and worsen in 15–34% following vitrectomy.<sup>10,11</sup>

There are two important limitations to the current series. First, due to the study's retrospective nature, the choice of vitreoretinal management technique did not follow a standardized protocol. Second, because proliferative sickle retinopathy is an uncommon

condition, the sample size is limited in this study despite its being a collaboration of several surgeons at a large university practice.

Since the initial report 42 years ago, it is evident that vitreoretinal surgical techniques have changed substantially. Scleral buckles are no longer placed high and broad, and the introduction of PPV and, even more recently, the preponderance of small incision techniques have resulted in less dependence on scleral buckling and shorter operating times. Wide-field viewing systems have enabled better visualization for peripheral dissection, and valved cannulas have improved intraocular pressure management during surgery. Together, these changes in vitreoretinal techniques may result in less inflammation-induced ischemia in this vulnerable population.

Based on the experience gained from the current study, patients with proliferative sickle retinopathy may not always be aware of their hemoglobin subtype, often because they do not have appropriate access to a primary care physician. One patient who underwent PPV for VH reported a history of sickle trait, but also stated that she had experienced 5 systemic pain crisis episodes in the past, events that would be atypical for a patient with sickle trait alone. In this patient, the funduscopic evaluation revealed sea fans in the peripheral retina. Because the patient did not currently have a primary care physician, the sickle cell prep was performed and was confirmed to be positive. A subsequent hemoglobin electrophoresis revealed the SC genotype, rather than AS. This case illustrates the importance of confirming the patient's reported sickle status with hemoglobin electrophoresis, as the results may impact future ocular and systemic management.

Proliferative sickle retinopathy remains a challenging ocular condition, and anterior segment ischemia is a possibility even with modern surgical techniques and in the absence of scleral buckling or muscle detachment.<sup>12</sup> Although the value of prophylactic laser treatment was not addressed in the current study, this question has been investigated previously.<sup>13–20</sup> Exchange transfusions do not seem to be necessary, but prior recommendations to maintain adequate perioperative hydration and oxygenation, and to avoid vasoconstrictive agents such as epinephrine in both the retrobulbar anesthetic and irrigating solution, are still potentially important. When managing elevated intraocular pressure, one should refrain from using carbonic anhydrase inhibitors.<sup>21</sup> Encircling scleral buckles may be applied safely, but avoiding unnecessarily high buckles is still recommended. Given the severity of disease occurring in younger patients, eyes requiring surgery are often phakic, and unlike the more posterior pathology found in proliferative diabetic retinopathy, the traction points in proliferative sickle retinopathy that require dissection are often encountered anteriorly in areas of ischemic retina. Together, these factors contribute to the high rate of iatrogenic tears reported in previous studies, as well as a lower rate of single operation success. For those patients undergoing a second surgery for traction/rhegmatogenous RD, useful vision may still be achieved.

#### Acknowledgments

**Funding/Support:** This study was supported by NIH Center Core Grant P30EY014801, Research to Prevent Blindness Unrestricted Grant, and Department of Defense (DOD-Grant#W81XWH-09-1-0675). RC was supported by a merit award from the Heed Ophthalmic Foundation and Society of Heed Fellows.

#### References

1. Goldberg MF. Natural history of untreated proliferative sickle retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1971; 85(4):428–37. [PubMed: 5554871]

Page 5

Chen et al.

- Condon PI, Serjeant GR. Behaviour of untreated proliferative sickle retinopathy. Br J Ophthalmol. 1980; 64(6):404–11. [PubMed: 7387965]
- Ryan SJ, Goldberg MF. Anterior segment ischemia following scleral buckling in sickle cell hemoglobinopathy. Am J Ophthalmol. 1971; 72(1):35–50. [PubMed: 5571210]
- Brazier DJ, Gregor ZJ, Blach RK, Porter JB, Huehns ER. Retinal detachment in patients with proliferative sickle cell retinopathy. Trans Ophthalmol Soc U K. 1986; 105 (Pt 1):100–5. [PubMed: 3459293]
- Jampol LM, Green JL Jr, Goldberg MF, Peyman GA. An update on vitrectomy surgery and retinal detachment repair in sickle cell disease. Arch Ophthalmol. 1982; 100(4):591–3. [PubMed: 7073571]
- Morgan CM, D'Amico DJ. Vitrectomy surgery in proliferative sickle retinopathy. Am J Ophthalmol. 1987; 104(2):133–8. [PubMed: 3618710]
- Pulido JS, Flynn HW Jr, Clarkson JG, Blankenship GW. Pars plana vitrectomy in the management of complications of proliferative sickle retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1988; 106(11):1553–7. [PubMed: 3190540]
- Williamson TH, Rajput R, Laidlaw DA, Mokete B. Vitreoretinal management of the complications of sickle cell retinopathy by observation or pars plana vitrectomy. Eye. 2009; 23(6):1314–20. [PubMed: 18836414]
- Thompson JT, de Bustros S, Michels RG, Rice TA. Results and prognostic factors in vitrectomy for diabetic traction retinal detachment of the macula. Arch Ophthalmol. 1987; 105(4):497–502. [PubMed: 2436603]
- Yang CM, Su PY, Yeh PT, Chen MS. Combined rhegmatogenous and traction retinal detachment in proliferative diabetic retinopathy: clinical manifestations and surgical outcome. Can J Ophthalmol. 2008; 43(2):192–8. [PubMed: 18347622]
- Leen JS, Ratnakaram R, Del Priore LV, Bhagat N, Zarbin MA. Anterior segment ischemia after vitrectomy in sickle cell disease. Retina. 2002; 22(2):216–9. [PubMed: 11927857]
- Hanscom TA. Indirect treatment of peripheral retinal neovascularization. Am J Ophthalmol. 1982; 93(1):88–91. [PubMed: 6175214]
- Rednam KR, Jampol LM, Goldberg MF. Scatter retinal photocoagulation for proliferative sickle cell retinopathy. Am J Ophthalmol. 1982; 93(5):594–9. [PubMed: 6177246]
- Cruess AF, Stephens RF, Magargal LE, Brown GC. Peripheral circumferential retinal scatter photocoagulation for treatment of proliferative sickle retinopathy. Ophthalmology. 1983; 90(3): 272–8. [PubMed: 6191265]
- Kimmel AS, Magargal LE, Stephens RF, Cruess AF. Peripheral circumferential retinal scatter photocoagulation for the treatment of proliferative sickle retinopathy. An update. Ophthalmology. 1986; 93(11):1429–34. [PubMed: 3808604]
- Kimmel AS, Magargal LE, Tasman WS. Proliferative sickle retinopathy and neovascularization of the disc: regression following treatment with peripheral retinal scatter laser photocoagulation. Ophthalmic Surg. 1986; 17(1):20–2. [PubMed: 2419814]
- Farber MD, Jampol LM, Fox P, et al. A randomized clinical trial of scatter photocoagulation of proliferative sickle cell retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1991; 109(3):363–7. [PubMed: 2003796]
- Jampol LM, Farber M, Rabb MF, Serjeant G. An update on techniques of photocoagulation treatment of proliferative sickle cell retinopathy. Eye. 1991; 5 (Pt 2):260–3. [PubMed: 2070884]
- Sayag D, Binaghi M, Souied EH, et al. Retinal photocoagulation for proliferative sickle cell retinopathy: a prospective clinical trial with new sea fan classification. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2008; 18(2):248–54. [PubMed: 18320518]
- 21. Goldberg MF. The diagnosis and treatment of sickled erythrocytes in human hyphemas. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1978; 76:481–501. [PubMed: 754380]

Page 6

#### Biography



Royce Chen, MD, is a second-year fellow in vitreoretinal surgery at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute. He completed his ophthalmology residency at Columbia University in New York City, where he served as Chief resident. Dr. Chen is a Heed Fellow and has an interest in retinal imaging and the vitreoretinal management of complex retinal detachments and macular holes. He has accepted a position as Assistant Professor in the Department of Ophthalmology at Columbia University.



#### Figure 1.

Case 12: A 49-year-old male with sickle cell-hemoglobin C disease had a progressive combined tractional/rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RD) involving the macula. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 20/80-2. He underwent 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), endolaser to the area of neovascularization and margin of RD, membrane peel, retinectomy, and silicone oil tamponade. Four months later, he developed a recurrent RD with proliferative vitreoretinopathy, and he underwent a second 25-gauge PPV, membrane peel, and silicone oil tamponade. Three months following the second procedure, his retina is attached, and BCVA is 20/400.



#### Figure 2.

Case 11: A 33-year-old male with sickle cell-hemoglobin C disease developed a progressive tractional retinal detachment in the left eye. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 20/400. He underwent 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), endolaser to peripheral vitreoretinal proliferation, membrane peeling, and 14% C3F8 gas tamponade. BCVA 2 months after the operation was 20/300, with vision limited by macular atrophy and lamellar hole. Left: A tractional vitreoretinal membrane is involving the nasal and superior macula. There is an epiretinal membrane with lamellar hole. Middle: Fluorescein angiography revealed shadowing of fluorescence from the tractional membrane and areas of hyperfluorescence from multiple window defects, thought secondary to a previous inferior choroidal infarct. Right: Two months after PPV, the traction is released, and the retina is attached; however, BCVA is limited by pre-existing foveal atrophy.

## Table 1

2	5
÷	5
ŝ	2
ē	5
.E	
đ	5
$\simeq$	
٩	2
4	1
5	
0	
4	)
փ	3
Ŀ.J	5
j.	Ś
÷	
5	INTOTIOT
ρ	÷.
÷	5
2	5
Ē	
.⊆	2
at	3
<u>د</u>	2
	5
Ē	
Ē	>
C	)
F	5
÷	1
2	5
E	
- <del>-</del>	3
ç	2
- 5	1
/iti	
Viti	
ι Vit	5 - F
ino Vit	111
onino Vit	av
eronino Vit	
deraoina Vit	
nderooino	a mostonia
Undervoino Vit	Cuuci gomia
nderooino	Cuuci gomia
nderooino	Cuuci gomia
nderooino	Cuuci gomia
atients Undervoino	a mostonia
nderooino	I dimogramito cimona I
atients Undervoino	Cuuci gomia
atients Undervoino	I dimogramito cimona I
atients Undervoino	A SITUSTION OTIGON T TO GO
atients Undervoino	A SITUSTION OTIGON T TO GO
eatures of Patients Undergoing	I dimogramito cincipatina
atients Undervoino	A SURVEY OF TAULOUS CONTRACTOR
eatures of Patients Undergoing	A SURVEY OF TAULOUS CONTRACTOR
eatures of Patients Undergoing	A SURVEY OF TAULOUS CONTRACTOR
eatures of Patients Undergoing	A SURVEY OF TAULOUS CONTRACTOR
eatures of Patients Undergoing	A SURVEY OF TAULOUS CONTRACTOR
eatures of Patients Undergoing	CITIZE T VILLE UT T UTURE CITIZE T TO THE T
eatures of Patients Undergoing	CITIZE T VILLE UT T UTURE CITIZE T TO THE T
eatures of Patients Undergoing	A SURVEY OF TAULOUS CONTRACTOR
eatures of Patients Undergoing	a min chinan i cana ca i anchina chinan come
eatures of Patients Undergoing	a min chinan i cana ca i anchina chinan come
eatures of Patients Undergoing	CITIZE T VILLE UT T UTURE CITIZE T TO THE T
eatures of Patients Undergoing	Anno and Chine i Cataron of I anome Chicaro i
eatures of Patients Undergoing	Burpines and Simism I Sumice of I and the Simis Single of
ranhics and Clinical Features of Patients Undergoing	Burpines and Simism I Sumice of I and the Simis Single of
ranhics and Clinical Features of Patients Undergoing	rupines and chines I carates of I anothe chieves i
mographics and Clinical Features of Patients Undergoing	Burpines and Simism I Sumice of I and the Simis Single of

Case	Gender	Age (Years)	Sickle Type	Diabetes	Eye	<b>Preoperative Visual Acuity</b>
1	Male	67	SC	No	Right	WH
2	Male	59	SA	No	Right	dП
3	Female	59	SC	No	Left	20/200
4	Male	39	SA	No	Left	CF 5 Feet
5	Female	61	S B+ Thal	Yes, without NPDR	Left	CF 3 Feet
9	Female	42	SC	Yes, without NPDR	Left	WH
7	Female	69	SC	Yes, with NPDR	Right	20/200
8	Female	54	SA	No	Both	HM (Right) 20/40 (Left)
6	Male	23	SC	No	Left	20/200
10	Male	28	SC	No	Left	20/200
11	Male	33	SC	No	Left	20/400
12	Male	49	SC	No	Right	20/80
13	Female	53	AS	No	Left	WH
14	Male	29	SC	No	Right	WH
A C		i E	1 1 1 1			

AS: sickle cell trait, CF: counting fingers, HM: hand motions, LP: light perception, NPDR: non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, S B+Thal: sickle cell-Beta+ Thalassenia disease, SC: sickle cell - hemoglobin C disease

# Table 2

Primary Surgical Procedures and Outcomes for Complications of Proliferative Sickle Retinopathy

1 $VH$ $20G PPV, EL$ No $2040$ $3 monts$ 2 $VH$ $20G PPV, MP, EL, AirNo20704 monts3VH, ERM20G PPV, MP, EL, AirNo20704 monts4VH20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6No207304 monts4VH20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6No20704 monts5VH20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6No207403 monts6VH20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6No207403 monts7EW20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6No207403 monts8VH20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6No207403 monts8RRD2SG PPV, EL, SF6Ves2060+21 monts8RRDSB, 23G PPV, EL, SF6Ves207002 monts9VHTRD/RRDSB, 23G PPV, EL, SF6Ves2074002 monts9VHTRD/RRDSB, 23G PPV, EL, SF6Ves2074002 monts9VHTRD/RRDSB, 23G PPV, MP, EL, SOVes2074002 monts9VHTRD/RRDSB, 23G PPV, MP, EL, SOVes2074002 monts9VHTRD/RDSB, 23G PPV, MP, EL, SOVes2074002 monts9VHTRD/RDSC PPV, MP, EL, SOVes2074002 monts9VESVesVesVes2074002 monts9$	Case	Indication	Surgery	Valved Cannula	Last Recorded Visual Acuity	Follow-up
VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, Air No 20/20   VH, ERM 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/30   VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/30   VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/40   VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/40   VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/40   VH 20G PPV, GL, C3G PPV, EL, SF6 No 20/40   FRM 20G PPV, GL, C3F8 No 20/40   FRM 20G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/40   VHTRD/RD	1	ΗΛ	20G PPV, EL	No	20/40	3 months
WH, ERM 20G PPV, MP, EL, Air No 20/30   VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/20   VH 20G PPV, RL, SF6 No 20/20   VH Phaco/IOL, 23G PPV, EL, SF6 No 20/30   VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/30   PVH 20G PPV, MP, EL No 20/30   ERM 20G PPV, MP, EL No 20/30   FRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60   PRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RD SB, 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RD SSG P	2	ΗΛ	20G PPV	No	20/20	40 months
VH 20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6 No 20/20   VH Phaco/IOL, 23G PPV, EL, SF6 No 20/40   VH Phaco/IOL, 23G PPV, EL, SF6 No 20/40   VH 20G PPV, Cryotherapy No 20/30   FRM 20G PPV, GPV, EL, SF6 No 20/60   FRM 20G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2   KRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2   KRD 25G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2   VHTRD/RKD SB, 20G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RKD 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO No 20/400   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RKD 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VHTRD/RKD 25G	3	VH, ERM	20G PPV, MP, EL, Air	No	20/30	63 months
VH Phaco/IOL, 23G PPV, EL, SF6 No 20/40   VH 20G PPV, Cryotherapy No 20/30 1   FRM 20G PPV, Cryotherapy No 20/30 1   FRM 20G PPV, FL No 20/60 1   FRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2 1   FRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2 1   FRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2 1   FRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400 1   FRD 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO No 20/400 1   FRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300 1   FRD, FRM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300 1   FRD,FRM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300 1   FRD,FRM 25G PPV, FL, SO Yes 20/400 1   FRD,FRM 25G PPV, FL, SO Yes 20/400 1   FRD,FRM 25G PPV, FL, SO <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>ΗΛ</td><td>20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6</td><td>No</td><td>20/20</td><td>4 months</td></td<>	4	ΗΛ	20G PPV, MP, EL, SF6	No	20/20	4 months
VH 20G PPV, Cryotherapy No 20/30   ERM 20G PPV, GPV, MP, EL No 20/60 2   RRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2 2   RRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2 2   VH/TRD/RRD SB, 20G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400 2   VH/TRD/RRD 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400 2   VH/TRD/RRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO No 20/400 2   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400 2   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400 2   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300 2   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400 2   VH/TRD/RND 25G PPV, EL, SFG Yes 20/400 2   VH/TRD/RND 25G PPV, EL, SFG Yes 20/400 2   VH/TRD/RND 25G PPV, EL, SFG Yes 2 2 2   VH/TRD/RN	5	ΗΛ	Phaco/IOL, 23G PPV, EL, SF6	No	20/40	39 months
ERM 20G PPV, MP, EL No 20/60   RRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2   RRD SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2   VH/TRD/RRD SB, 20G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   TRD 25G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO No 20/400   TRD, RRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD, RRD 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD, RRD 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   VHTRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD Paco/10L, 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400	9	ΗΛ	20G PPV, Cryotherapy	No	20/30	140 months
RRD SB, 33G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/60+2   RRD 25G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/50+2   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, MP, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD SB, 20G PPV, MP, EL, SO No 20/400   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   TRD, ERM 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD, ERM 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400	7	ERM	20G PPV, MP, EL	No	20/60	50 months
RRD 25G PPV, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/200   VH/TRD/RRD SB, 20G PPV, MP, EL, SO No 20/400   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD, ERM 25 G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD/RRD 25 G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD/RRD 25 G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25 G PPV, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25 G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25 G PPV EL, SF6 Yes 20/400	8R	RRD	SB, 23G PPV, EL, C3F8	Yes	20/60+2	11 months
VH/TRD/RRD SB, 20G PPV, MP, EL, SO No 20/400   TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/80   TRD, ERM 25 G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD, ERM 25 G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   TRD, RRM 25 G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/300   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SFG Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SFG Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV, EL, SFG Yes 20/400	8L	RRD	25G PPV, EL, C3F8	Yes	20/200	2 months
TRD 23G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/80   TRD, ERM 25 G PPV, MP, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/300   TRD/RRD 25 G PPV, MP, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400   TRD/RRD 25 G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD Phaco/IOL, 25 G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25 G PPV EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400	6	VH/TRD/RRD	SB, 20G PPV, MP, EL, SO	No	20/400	24 months
TRD, ERM 25 G PPV, MP, EL, C3F8 Yes 20/300   TRD/RRD 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD Phaco/IOL, 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV EL, C3F8 Yes 20/400	10	TRD	23G PPV, MP, EL, SO	Yes	20/80	9 months
TRD/RRD 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD Phaco/IOL, 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV EL, C3F8 Yes 20/100	11	TRD, ERM	25 G PPV, MP, EL, C3F8	Yes	20/300	2 months
VH/TRD/RRD Phaco/IOL, 25G PPV, EL, SF6 Yes 20/400   VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV EL, C3F8 Yes 20/100	12	TRD/RRD	25G PPV, MP, EL, SO	Yes	20/400	7 months
VH/TRD/RRD 25G PPV EL, C3F8 Yes 20/100	13	VH/TRD/RRD	Phaco/IOL, 25G PPV, EL, SF6	Yes	20/400	3 months
	14	VH/TRD/RRD	25G PPV EL, C3F8	Yes	20/100	3 months

C3F8: perfluoropropane gas, EL: endolaser, ERM: epiretinal membrane, G: gauge, IOL: intraocular lens, MP: membrane peel, Phaco: phacoemulsification, PPV: pars plana vitrectomy, RRD: rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, SF6: sulfur hexafluoride gas, SO: silicone oil, TRD: tractional retinal detachment, VH: vitreous hemorrhage

#### Table 3

#### Additional Procedures after Initial Vitrectomy for Proliferative Sickle Retinopathy

Case	Indication for 2 <sup>nd</sup> Surgery	Second Surgery	Indication for 3 <sup>rd</sup> Surgery	Third Surgery
2	Giant Retinal Tear	Pneumatic Retinopexy	Cataract	Phaco/IOL
3	Cataract	Phaco/IOL	None	
5	ERM	23G PPV, MP	None	
7	Cataract	Phaco/IOL	None	
8R	Cataract	Phaco/IOL	None	
9	Cataract, Retained SO, PVR, Recurrent RD	Phaco/IOL, 20G PPV, SO Removal, MP, EL, C3F8	PVR, Recurrent RD	IOL removal, 20G PPV, MP, EL, SO
10	Cataract, Retained SO	Phaco/IOL, 25G PPV SO Removal, MP, EL	None	
12	PVR, Recurrent RD	25G PPV, MP, EL SO	None	
13	PVR, Recurrent RD	25G PPV, MP, PFO, EL, SO	None	
14	Cataract, PVR, Recurrent RD	Phaco/IOL, 25G PPV, MP, EL, SO	None	

C3F8: perfluoropropane gas, EL: endolaser, ERM: epiretinal membrane, G: gauge, IOL: intraocular lens, MP: membrane peel, PFO: perfluor-noctane liquid, Phaco: phacoemulsification, PPV: pars plana vitrectomy, PVR: proliferative vitreoretinopathy, R: Right, RD: retinal detachment, SO: silicone oil

CULLIPALISULI UL INIC	gunaugenous and			סחורה		
Authors/Year	Institution	Surgical Indication	Procedures	SB	Last Measured VA 20/400	Anterior Segment Ischemia
Ryan, Goldberg 1971	Wilmer/UIC	RRD	L	5	71%	4
		TRD +/- RRD	3	3	0%0	2
Jampol et al 1982	UIC	RRD	4	4	100%	0
		TRD +/- RRD	10	10	50%	1
Pulido et al 1988	BPEI	RRD	0	0	No cases	0
		TRD +/- RRD	6	9	78%	0
Williamson et al 2009	St. Thomas' Hospital	RRD	8	0	100%	0
		TRD +/- RRD	2	0	100%	0
Current Study 2013	BPEI	RRD	2	0	100%	0
		TRD +/- RRD	9	2	100%	0

and Traction Retinal Detachment Outcomes in Published Proliferative Sickle Retinopathy Case Series Comparison of Rheematogenous

Table 4

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

BPEI: Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami, RRD: thegmatogenous retinal detachment, SB: scleral buckle, TRD: tractional retinal detachment, UIC: University of Illinois Eye and Ear Infirmary, VA: visual acuity, Wilmer: Wilmer Institute, Johns Hopkins University