

Tumor suppressor role of phospholipase C ϵ in Ras-triggered cancers

Marta Martins^a, Afshan McCarthy^b, Rhona Baxendale^b, Sabrina Guichard^b, Lorenza Magno^c, Nicoletta Kessaris^c, Mona El-Bahrawy^d, Philipp Yu^e, and Matilda Katan^{a,1}

^aDivision of Biosciences, Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, and ^cDepartment of Cell and Developmental Biology, Wolfson Institute for Biomedical Research, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; ^bDivision of Cancer Biology, Chester Beatty Laboratories, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SW3 6JB, United Kingdom; ^dDepartment of Histopathology, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 0HS, United Kingdom; and ^eInstitut für Immunologie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany

Edited by Sue Goo Rhee, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, and accepted by the Editorial Board January 31, 2014 (received for review June 18, 2013)

Phospholipase C_{ε} (PLC $_{\varepsilon}$) has been characterized as a direct effector of Ras in vitro and in cellular systems; however, the role of PLC ε in tumorigenesis and its link to Ras in this context remain unclear. To assess the role of PLC ε in Ras-driven cancers, we generated two new mouse strains: one carrying a targeted deletion of *Plce* (*Plce* $^{-/-}$) and the other carrying mutant alleles of Plce unable to bind to Ras (Pice^{RAm/RAm}). The Pice^{-/-} and, to a lesser degree, Pice^{RAm/RAm} transgenic mice exhibited increased susceptibility to tumor formation in the two-stage skin carcinogenesis protocol, revealing a tumor suppressor function for this PLC. This result also suggests that in this context Ras binding in part regulates functions of PLCE. Although significant differences were not seen in the LSL-Kras^{G12D} nonsmall cell lung carcinoma model, down-regulation of PLCE was found in animal tumors and in cellular systems following expression of the oncogenic Ras. An inhibitory impact of PLCE on cell growth requires intact lipase activity and is likely mediated by protein kinase C enzymes. Further cellular studies suggest involvement of histone deacetylase in the mechanism of PLC_E down-regulation. Taken together, our results show a previously unidentified tumor suppressor role for this PLC in animal models and, together with observations of marked down-regulation in colorectal, lung, and skin tumors, suggest its use as a biological marker in cancer.

ctivating mutations in the Ras subfamily of small GTPases A contribute to the formation of a large proportion of human tumors (1). However, selective treatment for Ras oncogenes is not yet available in the clinic and components that could mediate generation and progression of tumors by Ras are being extensively assessed as alternative targets. These components include direct signaling effectors (such as Raf-kinase, PI3-K, and RalGDS) (2-4) and recently identified Ras-binding protein (PDE δ) (5), as well as components less directly linked to Ras or necessary for Ras oncogene-dependent cancer cell survival (for example, Ral, Cdk4, GATA, TBK1) (6-9). Approaches for evaluating their role in vivo are based on mouse models for Rastriggered cancers. In addition to a two-stage chemical carcinogenesis model where a carcinogen [DMBA, 7.12-dimethylbenz (a)anthracene] generates activating mutations in Hras, other models, including lung and pancreatic cancer, have been developed based on inducible expression of the Kras oncogene (10, 11). By applying these strategies and models to strains with ablated expression of a specific component or using specific inhibitors, it was possible to assess the requirement or contribution of each component in Ras-triggered malignancies. Some of the well-known direct effectors of Ras have been found necessary for tumor formation; in their absence, tumor generation and progression is attenuated or absent. Examples include the requirement for c-Raf in Kras oncogene-driven nonsmall cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) (4), PI3K p110a in NSCLC, and in two-stage skin cancerogenesis (3) and RalGDS in the same Hras oncogene-driven skin cancer model (2). In some instances, for example for PI3K, it was also possible to assess the requirement for a Ras-binding domain (RA) by generating alleles encoding p110 α variants deficient in Ras-binding (3). However, not all proteins implicated in direct binding to Ras appear to have a positive role in Ras oncogene-driven tumors or tumors caused by other factors. For example, depletion of some members of the Ras Association Doman Family (rassf), such as Rassf1A, enhanced tumor formation in mice (12).

Phospholipase $C\varepsilon$ (PLC ε) is a multifunctional signaling protein, incorporating both PLC and guanine nucleotide exchange factor activities (13, 14). Importantly, and in common to several direct effectors of Ras, PLCE has an RA domain that binds several Ras GTPases, including oncogenic Kras and Hras (15). The main insights into in vivo functions of PLCE have been obtained using two different transgenic mouse strains, one with an in-frame deletion within the PLC catalytic domain (*Plce1*^{$\Delta X/\Delta X$}) and the other lacking PLC ε expression (*Plce1^{-/-}*) (16). Although in both cases mice were viable, some notable differences in their phenotype, in particular related to heart morphology, have been observed. In assessing functions related to cancer, however, only the $Plce1^{\Delta X/\Delta X}$ transgene—where the link between PLCs and a phenotype could be more difficult to interpret-has been used so far (16, 17). Some of these studies have suggested that the function of PLCE could be required for immune responses associated with the skin chemical cancerogenesis and colorectal models (18, 19). However, in the case of another skin cancer, caused by UVB light—which also triggers inflammation (20)—such an overall positive role of PLCE was not seen. Furthermore, several studies based on analysis of human colorectal tumors (21-23)

Significance

Ras oncogens have been implicated in high proportion of cancers. However, selective treatment for Ras oncogenes is not yet available and components that could mediate Ras functions are being extensively assessed as alternative targets. For some potential targets, such as phospholipase $C\epsilon$ (PLC ϵ), it is not clear how they contribute to tumor development. Here we provide several lines of experimental evidence that support a tumor suppressor function of PLC ϵ , contrasting a positive role of several other well-defined effectors of Ras. Our results show a tumor suppressor role for this PLC in animal models and describe its impact on cell proliferation. Together with observations of marked down-regulation in several types of tumors, this study suggests its use as a biological marker in cancer.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: M.M., A.M., R.B., and M.K. designed research; M.M., A.M., R.B., S.G., and L.M. performed research; M.M., A.M., R.B., N.K., M.E.-B., and P.Y. analyzed data; and M.M., P.Y., and M.K. wrote the paper.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. S.G.R. is a guest editor invited by the Editorial Board. Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: m.katan@ucl.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1311500111/-/DCSupplemental.

show markedly reduced levels of PLC ϵ and indicate cancersuppressing functions of PLC ϵ rather than a positive role in generation and progression of tumors. Because of these apparent discrepancies, it is necessary to further scrutinize the role of PLC ϵ in a more comprehensive study, including use of different *Plce1* transgenes.

We describe herein generation of two unique *Plce1* transgenic strains and their analysis in Ras-triggered cancers that, together with cellular studies and information for expression in human tumors, provide evidence for a tumor suppressor role of PLC_E.

Results

Generation and Characterization of Transgenic Mice. To study the role of PLC ε and its signaling links to Ras GTPases in vivo, we generated two new transgenic strains. *Plce*-null mice (*Plce*^{-/-}) were generated by disruption of exon 2 of *Plce* and the introduction of a frame-shift termination codon in exon 3, therefore preventing the expression of any functional domains of PLC ε (Fig. 1 *A* and *B*). The variant deficient in Ras-binding (*Plce*^{*RAm/RAm*}) was generated by introduction of three point mutations (R2130L, K2151E, and Y2154L) into exon 29 and 30



Fig. 1. Generation of transgenic mice. (A) Schematic diagram of PLC ϵ showing domain organization. The underlined region at the N terminus indicated by an asterisk represents a largely unstructured and evolutionary highly variable (in length and sequence) portion of PLC ϵ that has not been removed using the strategy described in *B* (*Left*). (*B*) Strategies for generation of *Plce1* null (*Left*) and *Plce^{RAm}* (*Right*) alleles. (C) Number and percentage (%) of offsprings generated from *Plce^{+/-}* (*Upper*) and *Plce^{+/RAm}* (*Lower*) intercrosses, genotyped 3 wk after birth. (*D*) Total RNA was extracted from *Plce^{+/+}* and *Plce^{-/-}* brain, heart, lung, and skin and RT-PCR was performed using primers for exons 2–3 (*Left*). Genomic DNA, extracted from brain, heart, lung, and skin of *Plce^{+/+}* and *Plce^{RAm/RAm}* mice, was amplified (sequences flanking exons 29 and 30) by PCR and the product digested with Hpal or Haelll (*Right*).

of the *Plce* allele (Fig. 1*B*, *Right*); these point mutations were previously shown to completely disrupt Ras binding to the RA2 domain of PLC ϵ (15). *Plce^{-/-}* and *Plce^{RAm/RAm}* mice were bred to homozygosity, exhibiting the expected Mendelian ratio (Fig. 1*C*). Homozygotes were fertile and showed normal life span and development.

Initial confirmation for homolog recombination in ES cells was obtained by Southern blot analysis (Fig. S14). The excision of exon 2 in the *Plce^{-/-}* mice was confirmed by RT-PCR of various organs using primers for exon 2–3 (Fig. 1*D*, *Left*). Point mutations generated in exon 29 and 30 of *Plce^{RAm/RAm}* were verified in different organs based on the presence of specific restriction sites (Fig. 1*D*, *Right*). In addition, the absence of PLCe expression was confirmed by Western blotting of protein extracts from selected organs and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) (Fig. S1 *B* and *C*). To access whether other PLCs would compensate for the absence of the *Plce* gene, protein extracts from *Plce*^{+/+} and *Plce*^{-/-} MEFs were used for Western blotting and showed no significant difference of expression of other PLCs (Fig. S1*B*).

Two-Stage Chemical Skin Carcinogenesis. Before applying the twostage chemical charcinogenesis protocol we analyzed the skin from $Plce^{+/+}$, $Plce^{-/-}$, and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ mice and verified the absence of PLC ε expression in the null mice and similar levels of expression in $Plce^{+/+}$ and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ mice (Fig. 24). We also confirmed PLC ε expression in the epidermis and hair follicles of the dermis but not in the dermis itself (Figs. S24 and S3B), as suggested previously (24).

For the two-stage chemical skin carcinogenesis model, driven through Hras signaling, $Plce^{+/+}$, $Plce^{-/-}$, and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ mice were backcrossed to FVB strain of mice for six generations and cohorts of mice were treated with one single application of DMBA—to initiate oncogenic mutation on the *Hras* gene—and weekly applications of TPA (12-O-tetradecanoyl-phorbor-13acetate) —to promote clonal expansion of the initiated cells—for 18 wk. Three tumors of each genotype were tested for the activating mutations at the 61st codon of *Hras* (25) and all tumors were found to carry the activating mutations, irrespective of the *Plce* genetic background (Fig. S34).

As shown in Fig. 2*B*, our results clearly show that the loss of PLC ε leads to a significant increase in tumor burden compared with the wild-type control mice. Similarly, *Plce*^{*RAm/RAm*} mice also exhibited increase in tumor burden compared with the wild-type, suggesting that absence of signaling mediated by the RA2 domain of PLC ε increases susceptibility to tumor development; however, mice with a total absence of PLC ε exhibited an aggravated phenotype (Fig. 2*B*). We also excluded the possibility that the enhancement in tumor development was because of a reduction in apoptosis (Fig. S3*C*).

When tumor volume was assessed at 13 wk of treatment, $Plce^{-/-}$ mice had significantly bigger tumors than those seen in the wildtype, whereas no significant difference was seen between $Plce^{+/+}$ and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ (Fig. 2C). This finding suggests that the RA2 domain could contribute to suppression of tumor formation without significantly affecting tumor growth. In contrast, complete ablation of PLC ε has an effect on both processes, affecting the number and size of tumors.

Unexpectedly, the survival rate of the $Plce^{-/-}$ mice was drastically affected during the treatment (Fig. 2D). Our initial experiments performed on a different, C57BL/6J-FVB (F1) mixed background (Fig. S3 *D* and *E*), however, suggest that the FVB genetic background is affecting the survival of $Plce^{-/-}$ mice shown in Fig. 2D, rather than the $Plce^{-/-}$ genotype per se. In C5Bl/6J-FVB background we have shown a similar increase in tumor burden in the $Plce^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. S3D) but the survival of $Plce^{-/-}$ mice was not affected by the DMBA/TPA treatment (Fig. S3E). It is, however, currently not possible to make clear inferences from these observations. C57BL/6 mice, which are relatively resistant to TPA skin tumor formation, have a number of



Fig. 2. Two-stage skin chemical carcinogenesis model applied to different *Plce* genotypes. (*A*) Evaluation of PLC^E expression in the skin of *Plce^{+/+}*, *Plce^{-/-}*, and *Plce^{RAm/RAm}* mice. qRT-PCR was performed using a probe made to hybridize with exon 2–3 of *Plce1*. Values were normalized to the expression level of the endogenous control, GAPDH. One-way ANOVA, **P* < 0.05. (*B*) Cohorts of mice were treated with one application of DMBA and subsequent, twice a week applications of TPA for 18 wk. Time course of the average number of tumors per mouse in FVB background (mean \pm SEM) for *Plce^{+/+}* (*n* = 33), *Plce^{-/-}* (*n* = 15), and *Plce^{RAm/RAm}* (*n* = 16) genotypes is shown per week after DMBA treatment. Two-way ANOVA, *P* < 0.0001. (*C*) Rate of tumor growth. The three greatest tumors of each animal were measured at 13 wk after DMBA treatment and averaged per genotype; *t* test, **P* < 0.01. (*D*) Percentage of the survival rate per week after DMBA treatment for *Plce^{+/+}*, *Plce^{-/-}*, and *Plce^{RAm/RAm}* mice. (*E*) Evaluation of epidermal hyperplasia in *Plce^{+/+}*, *Plce^{-/-}*, and *Plce^{RAm/RAm}* (*n* = 5) mice was treated with either acetone (control, black) or TPA in acetone (TPA, gray); 48 h after treatment the skin was excised, fixed, and paraffin-embedded. Proliferation was assessed by immunohistochemistry for *K*₁67, *K*₁67⁺ cells were counted in three fields of view per skin sample. The data are shown as mean \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student *t* test: **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.001.

not yet defined genetic loci that influence tumor susceptibility in the two-stage skin carcinogenesis model (26–28). Although to our knowledge, FVB vs. C57BL/6 outcrossing to identify susceptibility genes has not been done, our data suggest that in the F1 (FVBxC57BL/6) generation a C57BL/6 derived "tumor survival" allele is present, which could be associated with PLCɛ tumor suppressor or other roles relevant for tumor formation.

We also examined epidermal hyperplasia in TPA-treated skin to determine the potential effect of PLCE in the second proliferative step of the two chemical carcinogenesis protocol. Initial measurements of the skin thickness on day 2 showed that a single topical application of TPA at 10 µg induced thickening of the epidermis in all genotypes (from $19.3 \pm 1.8 \ \mu\text{m}$ to $39.3 \pm 3.5 \ \mu\text{m}$ in *Plce*^{+/+}, from $19.3 \pm 1.2 \ \mu\text{m}$ to $44.1 \pm 2.9 \ \mu\text{m}$ in *Plce*^{*RAm/RAm*}, and from 24.1 \pm 4.1 µm to 45.4 \pm 5.7 µm in *Plce*^{-/-} mice). The measurements also suggested some thickening of the epidermis in $Plce^{-/-}$ mice compared with the wild-type under control conditions. This finding was further supported by data for the rate of cell proliferation in the vehicle or TPA-treated skin using immunohistochemistry for K_i 67 (Fig. 2*E* and Fig. S4). TPA treatment of $Plce^{+/+}$ and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ induced an increase in the number of proliferative cells in the epidermis compared with the vehicle-treated control skin. TPA treatment also induced an increase in epidermal proliferation in the $Plce^{-/-}$ skin; however, this increase was smaller than that seen in the Plce⁺ and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ mice (Fig. 2E) and this appears to be because of the fact that there is a higher level of basal proliferation in the $Plce^{-/-}$ skin compared with the wild-type skin (Fig. 2E) (P < 0.05). These findings, revealing prolonged higher basal proliferation rate of *Plce^{-/-}* skin, are consistent with the results showing greater tumors in the Plce-/- mice compared with the other genotypes (Fig. 2C).

Analysis of Skin Tumors: PLC Down-Regulation. To investigate the level of expression of PLC ε in the tumors, we analyzed four tumors of four different mice of each genotype and compared these to untreated animals. The results showed a significant decrease in PLC ε expression in the *Plce*^{+/+} and *Plce*^{*RAm/RAm*} tumor samples compared with normal skin, close to the level seen in the *Plce*^{-/-} skin (Fig. 3*A*). This result seems to be in keeping with a putative tumor suppressor function of this PLC. To further investigate if Hras could directly down-regulate PLC ε in

tumors, primary MEFs from $Plce^{+/+}$ and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ embryos were first immortalized with retrovirus expressing a dominant negative form of the tumor suppressor protein p53 and subsequently transformed with the activated Hras mutant retrovirus (p53DD+HrasV12), or only transformed with HrasV12 retrovirus (HrasV12) (Fig. 3B). The data show that HrasV12 completely abrogates expression of the wild-type and RAm PLCE in cell culture. Furthermore, these data also suggest an epigenetic silencing of the Plce gene mediated by Hras that is independent of the Ras-binding domain. To examine the epigenetic regulation of this repression, the above immortalized and transformed MEFs were treated with the DNA methylation inhibitor 5-Aza-2'-Deoxycytidine (AZA) or with the histone deacetylase inhibitor Trichostatin A (TSA). Interestingly, TSA seems to increase or completely restore the expression of PLCE in HrasV12 and p53DD+HrasV12 transformed cells, respectively (Fig. 3C). Cellular differences between primary or immortalized cells may be the reason why MEFs expressing HrasV12 respond differently to TSA treatment in terms of PLCE expression. Unexpectedly, treatments with AZA seem to have a negative effect on PLCE expression despite restoring expression of Fas (Fig. S5), one of the best characterized targets of hypermethylation in Ras transformed cells (29). Thus, it appears that in MEFs histone deacetylases most likely mediate the down-regulation of PLCE. Similar results to those observed for $Plce^{+/+}$ MEFs (Fig. 3C) were seen for the Plce^{RAm/RAm} allele when using TSA and AZA (Fig. S5A). Varying growth conditions and prolonged stimulations of MEFs did not affect expression levels of PLC ε (Fig. S5 *B* and *C*).

To further analyze expression levels of PLC ε during DMBA/ TPA treatment, we crossed our C57BL/6J mice with a background known to be more resistant to the DMBA/TPA treatment, the DBA mice, enabling us to slow down the tumourigenesis process and study treated skin that did not develop tumors. Wildtype F1 mice (C57BL/6J-DBA) were treated as previously and nontreated skin, treated skin, and tumors were analyzed at week 13 of the DMBA/TPA treatment. The three mice analyzed showed an 80% down-regulation of PLC ε in treated skin (in the absence of lesions) compared with untreated (ventral) skin (Fig. 3D). This result suggests that the down-regulation of PLC ε also occur before tumor formation and is probably mediated by the TPA-promotion step. Overall, these results propose that activated mutations in the *Hras* gene can directly down-regulate PLC ε in cells; however,



Fig. 3. Skin cancer model and PLC ϵ down-regulation. (A) Evaluation of PLC ϵ expression by qRT-PCR in normal skin (N) and tumor samples after 13 wk of treatment (T) for indicated genotypes, normalized to expression of β -actin (n = 4 per genotype). Statistical analysis was by one-way ANOVA; ***P < 0.001 for $Plce^{+/+}$ and **P < 0.01 for $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$. Similar analysis did not reveal significant differences in expression levels of PLCo1 (values within 100 \pm 32) and PLC_Y1 (values within 100 \pm 26). (B) Evaluation of PLC_E expression by qRT-PCR in Plce^{+/+} and Plce^{RAm/RAm} MEFs, normalized to the levels of expression of GAPDH. Primary MEFs were transfected with a retrovirus expressing the activated mutant HrasV12 (Hras) or a dominant-negative form of the tumor suppressor protein p53 followed by a transfection with HrasV12 (p53DD+Hras), or the same backbone empty vector (empty vector). Results are representative for three different cell lines. (C) Evaluation of PLC_E expression by qRT-PCR in nontransfected wild-type, primary MEFs (primary), and these MEFs transfected with HrasV12 or p53DD+ HrasV12, as described in B. In treated cells, the evaluation was performed 3 d after 15 µM of DNA methylation inhibitor (AZA) treatment and 18 h after 400 nM of histone deacetylase inhibitor (TSA) treatment. Results are representative for three different cell lines. (D) Evaluation of PLCE expression by qRT-PCR in untreated ventral skin (untreated skin), dorsal skin treated with DMBA/TPA for 13 wk (treated skin), and two isolated tumors from the same mouse (tumor); analysis was performed on three mice (n =3). Statistical analysis was by one-way ANOVA, ***P < 0.001. As a further control, expression levels of $PLC\epsilon$ were compared between untreated ventral (values 100 \pm 19) and untreated dorsal skin (values 115 \pm 16), showing no difference.

continuous skin treatment with TPA also down-regulates $\mbox{PLC}\epsilon$ before tumor formation.

Our further experiments also suggested that exogenous expression of the wild-type PLC ε in $Plce^{-/-}$ MEFs resulted in a reduction in cell proliferation; this effect seems to be dependent on intact lipase activity (Fig. S64). Consistent with this finding, reexpression of PLC ε corresponded to an increase in PKC activity (as assessed by phosphorylation of PKC and its substrate MARCKS) (Fig. S6 *B* and *C*). As shown in Fig. S6*D*, we observed similar changes in precancer skin from $Plce^{-/-}$ mice that is characterized by higher rate of proliferation (Fig. 2*E*). This finding suggests that the predominant mechanism that impacts on cell proliferation is mediated by PKC; isozymes previously linked to growth inhibition such as PKC δ or PKC η (30), are likely to be involved.

Kras-Driven Lung Tumor Development. To further investigate the tumor suppressor function of PLCE, we used the conditional LSL-Kras^{G12D} NSCLC model. PLCE expression is highest in the lung (Fig. 4A) and in situ hybridization of the wild-type lung shows ubiquitous expression of PLC ε in this tissue (Fig. S2B). In this model, lung tumor initiation is synchronously induced by a single infection with an AdCre virus, which leads to the removal of the transcriptional stop element and activation of the oncogenic Kras^{G12D} allele. C57BL/6J $Plce^{+/+}$, $Plce^{-/-}$, and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ mice were crossed with the LSL-Kras^{G12D} mouse and 6-wk-old mice were infected with AdCre by intranasal instillation. Animals were killed 23/24 wk after AdCre infection and histological analysis of the lungs revealed similar tumor burden and tumor grade distribution among all genotypes (Fig. 4 B-E). We quantified tumor burden by analyzing the number of lesions per lung and measuring the tumor-to-lung area ratio, which revealed no significant difference between the genotypes (Fig. 4 B and C). Malignancy was assessed by counting the number of solid nodules and fraction of animals having solid tumors per genotype, which also did not show any significant difference between the genotypes (Fig. 4 D and E).

PLC ε expression (assessed by quantitative PCR) was analyzed in the whole, wild-type untreated lungs and lungs from mice 26 wk after AdCre infection showing 38% reduction (Fig. 4*F*). In vitro analysis of *LSL-Kras*^{G12D} MEFs showed a rapid down-regulation of PLC ε after AdCre infection; 50% of PLC ε reduction occurred 6 d after AdCre infection (Fig. 4*G*). These results indicate that in the NSCLC model, where initiation of Kras^{G12D} expression is synchronized and a rapid down-regulation of PLC ε is seen in Kras^{G12D}-expressing MEFs, the *Plce^{-/-}* genotype does not have an effect on tumor burden in the lung. Effectively, Kras^{G12D} is likely to convert wild-type cells into cells completely lacking PLC ε as in *Plce^{-/-}* genotype.

PLC E Down-Regulation in Human Lung Tumors and Cell Lines. To investigate whether PLC would also be down-regulated in human lung tumors, we have probed a commercial cancer-profiling array containing cDNA from 21 pairs of normal/tumor patient samples. Quantitative analysis revealed that in about 73% of tumors there was a decrease in PLC mRNA compared with the normal tissues (Fig. 4*H*). The reduction of expression was within a range of 2- to 9.3-fold. Human epithelial carcinoma cell lines were also analyzed for expression of PLC and the comparatively low or no PLC expression as assessed by Western blotting or RT-PCR (Fig. 4*I*). Notably, TSA treatment of these cell lines resulted in an increase in the level of PLC (Fig. 4*I*, *Left*).

Discussion

Here we provide several lines of experimental evidence that support a tumor suppressor function of PLC ε , contrasting a positive role of several other well-defined effectors of Ras in Rastriggered generation and progression of tumors. We have found an increase in susceptibility to skin tumor formation in *Plce^{-/-}* mice in response to DMBA/TPA (Fig. 2) and down-regulation of PLC ε in skin and lung tumors as well as in cellular models following expression of oncogenic Ras (Figs. 3 and 4). In addition to Ras-driven animal tumors, the reduction in PLC ε expression levels was also observed in human lung adenocarcinomas (Fig. 4). The down-regulation of PLC ε is likely to account for apparent inconsistencies between the skin (increase in tumors) and lung model (no change in tumor burden) (Figs. 2 and 4) that can be because of an extensive, synchronized, and more rapid down-regulation in the latter.

Although the effect of PLC ϵ -deficiency on tumor formation in mice differs from ablation of several other Ras effectors [Raf, PI3K, or RalGDS (2–4)], it shows similarities with protein kinase C η (PKC η) knockout strain when analyzed by two-stage chemical



Fig. 4. NSCLC: LSL-Kras^{G12D} model and PLC_E down-regulation. (A) Evaluation of PLC_E expression by qRT-PCR in organs from wild-type mice (n = 3) normalized to the levels of GAPDH; relative values compared with expression in the skin (skin = 1). (B) $Plce^{+/+}$ (n = 12), $Plce^{-/-}$ (n = 12), and $Plce^{RAm/RAm}$ (n = 11) mice carrying one LSL-KrasG12D allele were treated once with AdenoCre viruses by intranasal instillation. Twenty-three to 24 wk later, mice were killed and the lungs processed for histological analysis. Quantification of the number of lesion per lungs is shown. (C) Quantification of the area of lesion per area of total lung in the indicated genotypes treated as described in B. (D) Quantification of the number of solid tumors of the mice of the indicated genotypes treated as described in B. (E) Assessment of the percentage of animals having solid tumors per indicated genotype treated as described in B. (F) Evaluation of $\text{PLC}\epsilon$ expression by qRT-PCR in whole lungs from the wild-type nontreated (control) and from treated animals after 26 wk (treated); t test, **P < 0.001 (n = 5). (G) Evaluation of PLC ε expression by qRT-PCR in two independent populations of LSL-Kras^{G12D} MEFs infected with Adenovirus expressing either control GFP (AdGFP) or Cre-GFP (AdCreGFP) after 2, 4, and 6 d. Asterisks represent significant differences, t test *P < 0.05. (H) Cancer profiling array comparing lung samples isolated from normal and tumor tissues from the same patient (n = 21). Hybridization with PLC ε probe was normalized to hybridization to the ubiquitin probe. (/) Western blot showing the levels of $PLC\epsilon$ expression in epithelial lung cancer cell lines A549, A427, H358, H460, and H727 (Left). RT-PCR for expression of PLCE in H358 and H460 cells treated with 15 µM AZA for three days and 400 nM TSA for 18 h (Right).

carcinogesis (30). This novel PKC isoform, regulated by PLCgenerated diacylglycerol, is highly expressed in the skin. As in the case of PLCE-deficiency (Fig. 2), the lack of this enzyme in Prkch^{-/-} mice resulted in an increased susceptibility to skin tumor formation compared with the wild-type. Interestingly, in both cases a suppressive effect on TPA induced epidermal hyperplasia could be one of the factors involved in the control of tumor formation; PKCn-deficient mice have prolonged epidermal hyperplasia (30) and our data suggest that the high proliferative rate of basal cells in the epidermis of the Plce mice may be closely associated with increased tumor growth (Fig. 2). We also note that our data from the application of the two-stage chemical carcinogenesis model differ from previous observations obtained using another $PlceI^{\Delta X/\Delta X}$ transgene (24); this difference is likely caused by different approaches in interfering with functions of this complex protein.

Analysis of *Plce*^{*RAm/RAm*} mice, the strain where binding of a subset of Ras family GTPases to the PLC ε RA2 domain is abolished, demonstrated that regulatory interactions mediated by the RA2 domain contribute to tumor suppressive roles of PLC ε . An increase in number of skin tumors was observed in *Plce^{-/-}* and *Plce*^{*RAm/RAm*} mice; an increase in tumor volume and epidermal proliferative rate, however, was only seen in *Plce^{-/-}* mice (Fig. 2). Notably, down-regulation of PLC ε was independent of the functional RA2 domain and both the wild-type PLC ε and PLC ε with RAm mutations were similarly downregulated (Fig. 3). This finding supports the possibility that tumor suppressive functions of PLC ε involve RA2-mediated regulatory interactions—notably with the oncogenic Ras—at least at early stages (that influence tumor generation). However, at later stages in tumorigenesis down-regulation of PLC ε becomes prominent.

It has been well established that Ras-driven cancers exhibit a complex picture of up-regulated and down-regulated gene expression compared with normal cells. The best-characterized mechanisms for down-regulation involve epigenetic silencing by promoter hypermethylation (29). Interestingly, this may not be the prevalent mechanism for down-regulation of PLC ε as we show that PLC ε reexpression in our cellular systems can be achieved by the histone deacetylase inhibitor (TSA) rather than the DNA methylation inhibitor (AZA) (Figs. 3 and 4).

To our knowledge, the expression levels of PLCE have not been previously analyzed in skin or lung human tumors and we provide unique evidence for down-regulation of PLCE in these tumor types. Nevertheless, data from several previous reports demonstrate a great reduction of PLCE expression in another type of human tumor, colorectal cancer (CRC), and suggest some further similarities with observations presented here. Results from Sorli et al. demonstrated significantly reduced mRNA expression levels in colon and rectum cancer samples and several colon cancer cell lines expressing Ras oncogene (21). Wang et al. reported a frequency of 36% loss of heterozygosity of 10q23 (where PLCE1 is located) and down-regulation of PLCE in 21 of 50 colorectal cancer samples compared with matched normal tissue (22, 31). Recently, Danielsen et al. performed a comprehensive statistical analysis of data for CRC and normal mucosa (from different microarray platforms) and subsequent validation of the main findings (23). The authors have demonstrated that PLCE is one of the most significantly down-regulated components, with the expression levels decreasing with more advanced stages, indicating that the repression of PLCE1 gene facilitates cancer progression. Notably, this study also reported strong association between low expression of PLCE and KRAS mutations (P = 0.006) but not with mutations in *BRAF*, *PIK3CA*, or *PTEN*. Furthermore, previous studies of colorectal cell lines and ectopic overexpression of PLCE (in cells with low endogenous levels of this PLC) have reported inhibition of tumor cell proliferation, increased apoptosis, reduced number of colonies formed in cell culture, and

reduced tumor formation in a xenograft model in vivo (21, 22), all consistent with a tumor suppressive role for *PLCE1* gene.

Taken together with previously published observations, our comprehensive study, including animal models, suggests that PLC ϵ has tumor suppressive functions in the formation of several cancer types (including CRC, lung, and skin), and that its marked down-regulation could be a useful biological marker in their assessment and treatment.

Materials and Methods

Generation of Plce^{-/-} **and Plce**^{RAm/RAm} **Mice.** Generation of PLCε transgenic mice was based on a standard homologous recombination strategy using stem cell manipulation. For details, see *SI Material and Methods*.

- Pylayeva-Gupta Y, Grabocka E, Bar-Sagi D (2011) RAS oncogenes: Weaving a tumorigenic web. Nat Rev Cancer 11(11):761–774.
- González-García A, et al. (2005) RalGDS is required for tumor formation in a model of skin carcinogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 7(3):219–226.
- Gupta S, et al. (2007) Binding of ras to phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110alpha is required for Ras-driven tumorigenesis in mice. Cell 129(5):957–968.
- Blasco RB, et al. (2011) c-Raf, but not B-Raf, is essential for development of K-Ras oncogene-driven non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 19(5):652–663.
- 5. Zimmermann G, et al. (2013) Small molecule inhibition of the KRAS-PDEδ interaction impairs oncogenic KRAS signalling. *Nature* 497(7451):638–642.
- Kumar MS, et al. (2012) The GATA2 transcriptional network is requisite for RAS oncogene-driven non-small cell lung cancer. Cell 149(3):642–655.
- 7. Peschard P, et al. (2012) Genetic deletion of RALA and RALB small GTPases reveals redundant functions in development and tumorigenesis. *Curr Biol* 22(21):2063–2068.
- Puyol M, et al. (2010) A synthetic lethal interaction between K-Ras oncogenes and Cdk4 unveils a therapeutic strategy for non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 18(1):63–73.
- Barbie DA, et al. (2009) Systematic RNA interference reveals that oncogenic KRASdriven cancers require TBK1. *Nature* 462(7269):108–112.
- O'Hagan RC, Heyer J (2011) KRAS mouse models: Modeling cancer harboring KRAS mutations. Genes Cancer 2(3):335–343.
- Pérez-Mancera PA, Guerra C, Barbacid M, Tuveson DA (2012) What we have learned about pancreatic cancer from mouse models. *Gastroenterology* 142(5):1079–1092.
- Tommasi S, et al. (2005) Tumor susceptibility of Rassf1a knockout mice. Cancer Res 65(1):92–98.
- Bunney TD, Katan M (2006) Phospholipase C epsilon: Linking second messengers and small GTPases. Trends Cell Biol 16(12):640–648.
- Bunney TD, Katan M (2011) PLC regulation: Emerging pictures for molecular mechanisms. *Trends Biochem Sci* 36(2):88–96.
- Bunney TD, et al. (2006) Structural and mechanistic insights into ras association domains of phospholipase C epsilon. Mol Cell 21(4):495–507.
- Smrcka AV, Brown JH, Holz GG (2012) Role of phospholipase Cε in physiological phosphoinositide signaling networks. *Cell Signal* 24(6):1333–1343.
- Bunney TD, Katan M (2010) Phosphoinositide signalling in cancer: Beyond PI3K and PTEN. Nat Rev Cancer 10(5):342–352.

Cancer Models and Analysis of Tumors. Skin tumor formation was based on DMBA/TPA two-stage carcinogenesis protocol and lung tumors were generated by lung infection with adenovirus (AdCre) on *LSL-Kras^{G12D}*background. Tumors were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR), histopathology, and immunohistochemistry, in situ hybridization, and cancer profiling array. See *SI Material and Methods* for details.

Cell Culture. Generation and culture of MEFs and other cell lines and their further analysis was carried out as described in *SI Material and Methods*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Georgia Zoumpoulidou and Sibylle Mittnacht for regents, Bradley Spencer-Dene for help with in situ hybridization, and Chris Marshall for helpful discussions. Work in the M.K. laboratory has been funded by Cancer Research UK and the Wellcome Trust.

- Ikuta S, Edamatsu H, Li M, Hu L, Kataoka T (2008) Crucial role of phospholipase C epsilon in skin inflammation induced by tumor-promoting phorbol ester. *Cancer Res* 68(1):64–72.
- Li M, Edamatsu H, Kitazawa R, Kitazawa S, Kataoka T (2009) Phospholipase Cepsilon promotes intestinal tumorigenesis of Apc(Min/+) mice through augmentation of inflammation and angiogenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 30(8):1424–1432.
- Oka M, et al. (2010) Enhancement of ultraviolet B-induced skin tumor development in phospholipase Ce-knockout mice is associated with decreased cell death. *Carcinogenesis* 31(10):1897–1902.
- Sorli SC, Bunney TD, Sugden PH, Paterson HF, Katan M (2005) Signaling properties and expression in normal and tumor tissues of two phospholipase C epsilon splice variants. Oncogene 24(1):90–100.
- Wang X, et al. (2012) Phospholipase C epsilon plays a suppressive role in incidence of colorectal cancer. *Med Oncol* 29(2):1051–1058.
- Danielsen SA, et al. (2011) Phospholipase C isozymes are deregulated in colorectal cancer—Insights gained from gene set enrichment analysis of the transcriptome. PLoS ONE 6(9):e24419.
- Bai Y, et al. (2004) Crucial role of phospholipase Cepsilon in chemical carcinogeninduced skin tumor development. *Cancer Res* 64(24):8808–8810.
- Finch JS, Albino HE, Bowden GT (1996) Quantitation of early clonal expansion of two mutant 61st codon c-Ha-ras alleles in DMBA/TPA treated mouse skin by nested PCR/ RFLP. Carcinogenesis 17(12):2551–2557.
- Hennings H, et al. (1993) FVB/N mice: An inbred strain sensitive to the chemical induction of squamous cell carcinomas in the skin. *Carcinogenesis* 14(11):2353–2358.
- Fujiwara K, Wie B, Elliott R, Nagase H (2010) New outbred colony derived from *Mus musculus castaneus* to identify skin tumor susceptibility loci. *Mol Carcinog* 49(7): 653–661.
- Angel JM, Caballero M, DiGiovanni J (2003) Identification of novel genetic loci contributing to 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate skin tumor promotion susceptibility in DBA/2 and C57BL/6 mice. *Cancer Res* 63(11):2747–2751.
- Gazin C, Wajapeyee N, Gobeil S, Virbasius CM, Green MR (2007) An elaborate pathway required for Ras-mediated epigenetic silencing. *Nature* 449(7165):1073–1077.
- Chida K, et al. (2003) Disruption of protein kinase Ceta results in impairment of wound healing and enhancement of tumor formation in mouse skin carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 63(10):2404–2408.
- Wang X, et al. (2008) Screening of new tumor suppressor genes in sporadic colorectal cancer patients. *Hepatogastroenterology* 55(88):2039–2044.