

Histogenetic Concepts, Terminology and Categorization of Biphasic Tumours of the Oral and Maxillofacial Region

ARVIND BABU R.S.¹, RAMANA REDDY B.V.², ANURADHA C.H.³

ABSTRACT

A biphasic tumour is a truly histological term that refers to neoplastic tissue which is characterized by two different cellular elements. Several histogenetic theories have been proposed for the aetiology of the biphasic tumours. Literatures have been published on the individual lesions, which have described their biphasic nature but, biphasic tumours have not been categorized singly. Categorizing biphasic tumours is not likely to highlight diagnostic standards, but it may sensitize the therapeutic planning and post operative monitoring. This review article focuses on the histogenetic concepts of biphasic tumours, and the histopathological description of the lesions that are suggested to be biphasic tumours.

Keywords: Biphasic, Bimorphic, Histogenetic concepts, Synovial sarcoma, Epithelial-myoepithelial carcinoma

INTRODUCTION

Willis defined the term, 'neoplasia' as an abnormal mass of tissue, the growth of which exceeds and is uncoordinated with that of the normal tissues and persists in the same excessive manner after cessation of the stimuli which evoked the change [1]. Initially, neoplasias were considered to be monoclonal in origin; the modern concept of cancer suggests that as the tumour progresses, it becomes polyclonal, making cancer treatment a difficult task [2]. The origin of cancer is considered to arise from normal stem / progenitor cells. The reason that a successful tumour initiation occurs in normal adult stem/progenitor cells, is that normal cells have a self-renewal mechanism in place that allows their longevity, and that there is a system that continuously renews their cell population, i.e., the haematopoietic system. Further, once cells leave the stem cell niche, they are destined for efflux from the system, and can undergo multiple somatic mutations which are required for formation of a malignancy [3]. The mechanism of Epithelial Mesenchymal interactions has been poorly understood, but it is known that fibroblasts can accelerate the growth of epithelial cells and that keratinocyte growth factor may be responsible for this fibroblast- epithelial interaction [4]. Although a tumour causes the proliferation of transformed/mutant cells, the proliferation of adjacent stromal cells has also been observed. Microscopic inspection of most of the tumours reveals a complex heterogeneous picture. Phenotypic and behavioural cell heterogeneity is generated in part by the variation which occurs within a tumour, with respect to its proximity to the vascular network and because not all of the cells are cancer cells. Non-cancer cells which are found within a tumour include: inflammatory cells, cancer associated fibroblasts and immature myeloid cells, all of which influence tumour behaviour and which often facilitate tumour invasion and metastasis. The microenvironment which surrounds blood vessels represents the source of the highest rates of tumour cell proliferation and it regulates cancer stem cells [5].

There is a suggestion that tumours be characterized by co-proliferation of epithelial and mesenchymal elements. The stromal proliferation is considered to be a non-neoplastic proliferation generally, as often these proliferated stromal cells may appear to be equal in number as malignant tumour cells. This gives the appearance of a two-cell population in the malignant tissue, and hence the lesions are termed as biphasic tumours. The term,

"biphase" implies two different phases, and it supports the fact that the origin of the tumour is from two different cells. However, the term, 'biphasic tumours' can be used in conditions where tumour tissue is characterized by two different cell populations and where both cell populations demonstrate a malignant nature microscopically. In contrast, the tumour tissue that is characterized by two different cell populations and is of a malignant nature, that is observed with one cell population, is termed as a bimorphic tumour. In bimorphic tumours, the tissue is characterized by proliferation of two different cell populations, one being neoplastic and one which has a non-neoplastic proliferation.

This review will focus on the histogenetic concepts of biphasic tumours and the histological appearances of biphasic tumours of the jaw and it will therefore include Spindle Cell Carcinoma (SPCC), Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma – undifferentiated type (NPC), Synovial Sarcoma (SC), Carcinosarcoma Of Salivary Gland (CSSG), Melanotic Neuroectodermal Tumour of Infancy (MNTI), Epithelial-Myoepithelial Carcinoma (EMC) and Mesenchymal Chondrosarcoma (MCHS). The tumours that histologically mimic biphasic tumours of the jaw, Myofibroblastoma (MF) and Neurilemmoma (NL) have also been discussed.

Histogenetic Concepts

There are four main histogenetic concepts that have been proposed to explain the biphasic nature of tumours. (1) The Collision Theory suggests that the carcinomatous and sarcomatous elements are two independent neoplasms. (2) The Combination Theory suggests that both components are derived from a single stem cell that undergoes a divergent differentiation early in the evolution of the tumour. (3) The Conversion Theory suggests that the sarcomatous element derives from the carcinoma during the evolution of the tumour. (4) The Composition Theory suggests that the spindle cell component is a pseudosarcomatous stromal reaction to the presence of the carcinoma [6,7] [Table/Fig-1].

Spindle Cell Carcinoma

Spindle cell carcinoma is a variant of squamous cell carcinoma. Four theories have been proposed to explain the histogenetic concept of spindle cell carcinoma. The first theory suggested that the spindle cells and epithelial cells arose simultaneously from separate stem

Concepts of biphasic tumor	Reference
Lineage restricted clonality in bhasic tumors.	[4]
Collision, Combination, conversion and Composition theories of biphasic tumors	[6]
Divergent histogenesis theory of Carcinosarcomas	[7]
Tumorigenesis in Nasopharyngeal carcinomas	[8]
Biphasic nature in Epithelial myoepithelial Carcinoma	[9]
Two cell types in Mesenchymal Chondrosarcoma	[10]
Biphasic populations in Melanotic Neuroectodermal Tumor of Infancy	[11]
Mimicking biphasic nature of myofibroblastomas	[12,13]
Biphasic pattern of Neurilemmoma	[14]

[Table/Fig-1]: Concepts of biphasic tumors in Oral and Maxillofacial Region

cells and the name, "Collision Tumour" was proposed. The second theory explained that the nature of the spindle cell component was an atypical reactive proliferation of the stroma and the name, "pseudosarcoma" was proposed. This theory supported the Composition theory. The third theory explained that both the spindle and epithelial components had the same monoclonal origin, and that transformation to spindle cells had occurred in the later stages, due to the "driving force" of the malignant epithelial cell. This supported the Conversion theory of biphasic tumours. The fourth theory explained that the spindle component was caused by the de-differentiation of the tumour cells [15,16]. Immunohistochemical studies suggested that some of the spindles that had a mesenchymal appearance expressed dual antigen-positivity with both cytokeratin and vimentin markers [17].

Microscopically, the tumour is characterized by a dysplastic epithelium and spindle cells in the stromal tissue. Most often the overlying epithelium shows ulceration. The tumour is considered to be biphasic or bimorphic, as it shows a dysplastic epithelium and spindle cells in connective tissue stroma. The gradual transition of the dysplastic epithelium to the spindle cell element at the basal cell layer is described as the "dropping-off" phenomenon. The cells in the connective tissue stroma are categorized as fasciculated or streaming fashion. The cells in fascicles are elongated and they have elliptical nuclei. Mitotic figures which are observed may range between few to many [18]. The presence of the giant cells in the lesional tissue was also reported. Giant cells may be found in the tissue [19]. Osteoid formation may be seen sometimes. [20] Immunohistochemical studies showed that the spindle cell component of the carcinomatous tissue displayed dual antigen positivity for epithelial and mesenchymal elements such as cytokeratin and vimentin. The dual antigen positivity suggests that the cells are in transition and it may represent sarcomatous metaplasia of a squamous cell carcinoma [17].

Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma (undifferentiated type)

This tumour represents a malignant tumour of the lining epithelium of the nasopharynx. Microscopically, these tumours can be recognized to be of three types, based on the keratinizing character of the tumour tissue: Well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma, keratinizing carcinoma and undifferentiated carcinoma [21]. Microscopically, the tumour is characterized by solid sheets of syncytial appearing, large tumour cells which are arranged in irregular islands. The tumour cells show large vesicular nuclei and little / scanty cytoplasm. There is often a cellular overlap of tumour and inflammatory cells. The malignant epithelial cells are often seen as islands and well-defined islands are termed as the "Regaud pattern". In contrast, the individual malignant cells which are seen as ill-defined sheets are termed as the "Schminck pattern" [22]. The tumour cells are intermingled with inflammatory elements. Due to the dominant lymphoid component in the tumour tissue, it was assumed that the tumour originated from both epithelial and lymphoid tissues and the name, "lymphoepithelioma" was proposed. However, lymphoid

cell proliferation is non neoplastic [8]. Immunohistochemical studies done on undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma showed strong and diffuse immunoreactivity to cytokeratins 5 and 6. The lymphoid population is polytypic, with the presence of B and T cell markers. The tumour tissues that are intermingled with the lymphoid component show that the cytoplasm reacts with keratin to create a "meshwork pattern" [22]. Immunohistochemical studies also suggested cytoplasmic reactivity for cytokeratin and/ or epithelial membrane antigen [23]. In-situ hybridization studies have documented the presence of the Epstein Barr virus in undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma tissues. In contrast, differentiated / keratinized types of nasopharyngeal carcinomas do not show any evidence of the Epstein Barr virus in their tissue specimens. There is a strong association between Epstein Barr virus infections and the undifferentiated form of the tumour [24]. The prognosis and survival rate are considered to be poor in cases which show the undifferentiated form of the tumour [25]. Although the two different cell populations are frequently found in undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinomas, only the squamous cell population undergoes neoplastic transformation. Based on the histocharacterization of cellular population in undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinomas, the tumour can be termed as a bimorphic tumour [23].

Synovial Sarcoma

Synovial sarcoma represents a tumour arising from synovial tissue, that presents with both lining cells and subsynovial stromal cells [9]. It arises from the pleuripotential mesenchymal cells near joint surface, tendons, tendon sheaths, juxta-articular membranes and fascial aponeuroses [9]. It is a carcinosarcoma like tumour with a true epithelial differentiation and hence, it is named is a misnomer [26]. Microscopically, it is characterized by two strikingly well distinct cell populations: spindle cell elements (primary component) and an epitheloid (secondary) component. The spindle cells are long, slender and they exhibit little or no pleomorphism. The intervening stroma which is seen between each spindle cell is scant. A fibrosarcoma like pattern may be evident. However, a herringbone pattern is not prominent; the spindle cells tend to appear in various planes and in a nondescript arrangement. The spindle cells become plump, lose much of their spindle shape, and in extreme situations, they assume a distinct epithelial appearance. The numbers of mitotic figures vary. The epitheloid cells are large, polygonal shaped and they show an organization of microscopic joint spaces. These epitheloid cells are surrounded by spindle cells that simulate subsynovial mesenchymal cells. The epitheloid cells are less prominent than the spindle cells. The epitheloid cells tend to be oriented in slit/cleft like spaces; however, this feature is not a constant histocharacteristic [27]. Microscopically, two predominant types: mono and bimorphic forms of synovial sarcoma may be identified. Monomorphic cells show spindle cell component, whereas bimorphic cells show both spindle and epitheloid components [9,28,29]. Immunohistochemical analysis of epitheloid, spindle cells revealed positivity to cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen, but vimentin positivity was observed in spindle cells only [30-32]. Synovial sarcoma arises from primitive cells that have the potential to differentiate into either mesenchymal or epithelial components [27]. Based on the histogenetic origin of synovial sarcomas, the biphasic nature of the tumour is explained by using the combination theory.

Carcinosarcoma of Salivary Gland

Carcinosarcomas of salivary glands represent the malignant counterparts of pleomorphic adenomas or benign mixed tumours. They are considered to be true and high grade malignant mixed tumours in which both the epithelial and stromal components fulfill the histological criteria of malignancy and display its atypical features [33]. Two antithetical hypotheses have been proposed to explain the histogenesis of carcinosarcomas, namely the convergence and divergence hypotheses. The convergence hypothesis supports the

polyclonal nature of tumourigenesis and it suggests that origin of carcinosarcomas is from two or more stem cells. The divergence hypothesis supports the monoclonal nature of tumourigenesis and it suggests that origin of carcinosarcomas is from a single totipotential stem cell that differentiates into separate epithelial and mesenchymal components [7]. The divergence hypothesis supports the combination theory and convergence hypothesis supports conversion theory. The convergence theory explains that carcinomatous component is “driving force” and that sarcomatous component develops eventually during tumour progression, thus being a component of conversion theory [6]. Microscopically, the tumour tissue is characterized by the presence of both carcinomatous and sarcomatous elements of varying proportions and it suggests true biphasic nature of the tumour [34]. The carcinomatous component of the tumour may resemble a ductal adenocarcinoma or a squamous cell carcinoma. The sarcomatous component may be observed in the chondroid, osteoid or fibrous elements. It thus resembles chondrosarcomatous, osteosarcomatous or fibrosarcomatous areas. However, these tumours are predominantly of the chondrosarcomatous type [9, 35]. The tumour tissue may show the infiltration of malignant cells into nervous tissue [36]. Immunohistochemical studies revealed the positivity for cytokeratin in carcinomatous cellular component. In contrast, the carcinomatous and sarcomatous cells showed some degree of immunoreactivity for vimentin and S100 protein [37,38]. Carcinosarcoma of salivary gland represents the malignant counterpart of a pleomorphic adenoma. Ultrastructural studies have explained that the histogenesis of the pleomorphic adenoma is from myoepithelial cells and reserve cells in the intercalated duct [9]. Based on this explanation, it was suggested that myoepithelial cells possessed epithelial and mesenchymal components. The carcinomatous component of this myoepithelial originated tumour could drive the sarcomatous process and if so, it could support the Conversion Theory origin of the biphasic nature of this tumour.

Epithelial-Myoepithelial Carcinoma

The epithelial-myoeplithelial carcinoma is a low grade malignant salivary gland tumour. Microscopically, it is characterized by solid lobules that are separated by bands of hyalinized fibrous tissue[9]. Most of the tumours show a multi-nodular growth pattern with islands of tumour cells that are separated by dense bands of fibrous connective tissue. The islands of tumour cells are composed of small ducts which are lined by cuboidal epithelium, which are surrounded by clear cells with a thickened basement membrane. The inner luminal cuboidal cells have a finely granular, dense cytoplasm. The outer clear cells may vary in shape from columnar to ovoid, with a vesicular nucleus, with the nucleus being located towards the basement membrane [39]. The biphasic appearance of epithelial-myoeplithelial carcinoma is due to the presence of ductal lining cuboidal cells, which is an epithelial component, and clear cells. The histogenesis of the clear cells is that they originate from myoepithelial cells. The histocharacteristics of epithelial-myoeplithelial carcinoma, and the expression patterns of both the epithelial and myoepithelial cells support the biphasic appearance of the tumour.

Mesenchymal Chondrosarcoma

Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma is a histological variant of a chondrosarcoma [9]. It is microscopically characterized by varying amounts of differentiated cartilage which are admixed with undifferentiated small round or ovoid cells [40]. The differentiated cartilageous component is in an early stage of maturation and often, these islands show calcification and metaplastic bone formation [41]. Immunohistochemical studies done on mesenchymal chondrosarcomas showed S-100 positivity [42,43]. Few authors mentioned that S-100 helped in assessing chondrogenicity of human articular chondrocytes [44]. Ultrastructural studies demonstrated two cell types 1) poorly differentiated mesenchymal cells with a sparsity of

organelles and 2) a cartilaginous differentiation with well-developed cell organelles [10]. Although a two cellular population is observed in mesenchymal chondrosarcomas, both cell populations are derived from a single cell lineage and this supports the Combination Theory explanation for the biphasic nature of this tumour.

Melanotic Neuroectodermal Tumour of Infancy

Melanotic neuroectodermal tumour originates from neural crest cells and it is a osteolytic-pigmented neoplasm which primarily affects the jaws of newborn infants [45]. Microscopically, it is characterized by a biphasic population of pigmented and non-pigmented cells in a dense fibrous connective tissue stroma [11]. The tumour is recognized by the presence of epithelial-like cells that are arranged in small islands, which outline acinar or glandular structures. These cells are often large in size, with abundant cytoplasm which is rich in melanotic pigments. The second group of cells is generally grouped, but they are not very cohesive, which may be seen as islands or which are occasionally arranged in bunches, and are surrounded by pigmented epithelial cells [46]. Molecular studies suggested that large cells with melanin resembled a neuroepithelium, while small non pigmented cells resembled immature neuroblasts or differentiating neuroblasts. All the cellular types clearly have ultra structural features which are specific for the neurogenic cells [47,48]. Immunohistochemical studies suggested HMB 45 positivity in cuboidal cells [49,50]. Based on these studies, it has been suggested that Melanotic neuroectodermal tumour is derived from the epithelial nest that evolved at the time of the embryonic fusion during the facial process and this supports the Combination Theory explanation for the biphasic nature of this tumour.

Histologically Mimicking Biphasic Tumours

Myofibroblastoma

Myofibroblastoma is a benign stromal tumour of mesenchymal origin with a myofibroblastic differentiation. It is most often seen in the mammary stroma [51]. However, extramammary myofibroblastomas are reported among head and neck tumours [52]. It is considered to be rare in the oral and maxillofacial region, and so far, only three cases of oral myofibroblastomas have been documented [53-55]. Microscopically, the tumour tissue is characterized by spindle-shaped cells which are slender and are closely packed in short, straight, haphazardly intersecting fascicles, with hyalinized stroma. This tumour shows a wide spectrum of morphological appearances and thus, it is categorized into eight histological variants. These include – cellular, infiltrating, epithelioid, deciduoid -like, lipomatous, fibrous, myxoid and mixed variants. The intra lesional variability is caused by the fibro-myofibroblastic differentiation. Microscopically, epithelioid myofibroblastoma is characterized by epithelioid cells predominantly. These epithelioid cells are usually arranged in clusters or in an alveolar, solid or trabecular growth patterns and they are variably embedded in a myxoid to fibrous stroma. The epithelioid and stromal components of this tumour mimic a biphasic appearance [12,13]. The fact is that the broad morphological spectrum that the lesion predisposes to, is a potential diagnostic pitfall and that the microscopic appearance of this tumour can be mistaken for that of a biphasic tumour.

Neurilemmoma

Neurilemmoma is a benign neural neoplasm that originates from Schwann cells. Microscopically, the tumour is characterized by the presence of two patterns which are called Antoni A and Antoni B [56]. The Antoni A pattern is demonstrated by streaming fascicles of spindle shaped cells. These cells are often arranged in a palisading manner around central acellular eosinophilic areas which are called Verocay Bodies. In contrast, the Antoni B pattern is demonstrated by less cellular areas and it is less organized. The spindle cells are haphazardly arranged within a loose myxomatous stroma [57]. It

has been suggested that the histological appearances of cellular Antoni A and paucicellular Antoni B areas are considered to be of a biphasic pattern [14], but they are not considered to be those of a true biphasic originated tumour.

Future Directions

Although histogenetic concepts have been proposed for the biphasic tumours, further explanation is needed for the exact mechanism of the biphasic drive in the tumour. The term, 'biphasic' represents the origin which is from two different components. The two cellular components may originate from the combination of ectodermal and mesenchymal derived tissues or within ectodermal or mesenchymal elements. The term, 'biphasic' relates to number of origin and not the stemness of the components. The term, 'bimorphic' is not a synonym. Bimorphic refers to two morphological structures rather than two different origins of the tissue. Melanotic Neuroectodermal Tumour of Infancy is characterized by two cellular components which originate from neurogenic cells and are displayed in two cellular structures and is thus bimorphic instead of biphasic. Changing the terminologies actually may not highlight diagnostic standards, but it may alter the therapeutic issues. Revisiting the terminologies in these tumours as to which are biphasic and bimorphic is anticipated, and this will eventually help in defining and categorizing biphasic tumours of jaws.

CONCLUSION

The histogenetic concepts of biphasic tumours explain the genesis of two cellular components which are involved in the process of tumorigenesis. Molecular studies are helpful in identifying the origin of biphasic tumours and they support their categorization. Histological interpretations of biphasic tumours are easy, due to the patterns of the cellular components. We may not prove anything by proposing new terminologies to the pre-existing literature, but their value adds to the therapeutics and prognosis of the tumour. As in the cases of carcinosarcomatous lesions, the biphasic nature of tumour identifies its aggressiveness and this can help in deciding the therapeutic strategy for it.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank for the support from Prof. Archibald Mc Donald, Principal., Prof. Horrace Fletcher, Dean., and Prof. Russell Pierre Programme Director for MBBS., Dr. Thaon Jon Jones, Director for Dentistry Programme, Faculty of Medical Sciences, The University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston, Jamaica, West Indies.

Prof. L Krishna Prasad, Principal, SIBAR Institute of Dental Sciences, Takkellapadu, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

REFERENCES

- Ramzi S Cotran, Vinay Kumar, Tucker Collins, Stanley Leonard Robbins. Pathologic Basis of disease. Chapter Neoplasia. Elsevier Publications: Philadelphia, 8th edition; 2010; 259-330.
- Malcolm R Alison, Susan ML Lim, Linda J Nicholson. Cancer stem cells: problems for therapy? *J Pathol*. 2011;223:147-61.
- Barker N, Clevers H. Leucine-rich repeat-containing G-proteincoupled receptors as markers of adult stem cells. *Gastroenterology*. 2010;138:1681-96.
- Fletcher JA, Pinkus GS, Weidner N, Morton CC. Lineage-restricted clonality in biphasic solid tumors. *Am J Pathol*. 1991;138(5):1199-207.
- Coussens LM, Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. *Nature*. 2002;420:860-67.
- McCluggage WG. Malignant biphasic uterine tumors: carcinosarcomas or metaplastic carcinomas. *J Clin Pathol*. 2002;55:321-25.
- Thompson L, Chang B, Barsky SH. Monoclonal origins of malignant mixed tumors (carcinosarcomas). Evidence for a divergent histogenesis. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1996;20(3):277-85.
- Josephine Chou, Yu-Ching Lin, Jae Kim, et al. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma—review of the molecular mechanisms of tumorigenesis. *Head Neck*. 2008;30(7):946-63.
- Shafer, Hine, Levy. Benign and Malignant tumors of the oral cavity. *Text book of oral pathology*. Elsevier publications: New Delhi. 6th Edition. 2009;80-213.
- German C Steiner, Joseph M Mirra, Peter G Bullough. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma-A study of the ultrastructure. *Cancer*. 1973;32(4):926-39.
- Madrid C, Aziza J, Hlali A, Bouferrache K, Abarca M. Melanotic neuroectodermal tumour of infancy: A case report and review of the aetiopathogenic hypotheses. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2010;15(5):e739-42.
- Gaetano Magro. Mammary myofibroblastoma: A tumor with a wide morphologic spectrum. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2008;132:1813-20.
- Ying Huang, Frank Chen. Review of myofibroblastoma of breast and its most common mimickers. *North American Journal of Medicine and Sciences*. 2012; 5(1):38-42.
- Uqokwe K, Nathoo N, Prayson R, Barnett GH. Trigeminal nerve schwannoma with ancient change. Case report and review of the literature. *J Neurosurg*. 2005;102(6):1163-5.
- Batsakis JG, Rice DH, Howard DR. The pathology of head and neck tumors: spindle cell lesions (sarcomatoid carcinomas, nodular fasciitis, and fibrosarcoma) of the aerodigestive tracts, Part 14. *Head Neck Surg*. 1982;4:499-513.
- Lester DR Thompson, Jacqueline A Wienek, Markku Miettinen, Dennis KHeffner. Spindle cell (sarcomatoid) carcinomas of the larynx. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2002;26(2):153-70.
- Gupta R, Singh S, Hedau S, et al. Spindle cell carcinoma of head and neck: an immunohistochemical and molecular approach to its pathogenesis. *J Clin Pathol*. 2007;60(5):472-5.
- Takashi Takata, Hiroshi Ito, Ituko Ogawa, Mutsumi Miyauchi, Naokumi Ijuhin, Hiromasa Nikai. Spindle cell squamous carcinoma of the oral region An immunohistochemical and ultrastructural study on the histogenesis and differential diagnosis with a clinicopathological analysis of six cases. *Virchows Archiv A Pathol Anat*. 1991;419:177-182.
- Murat Oktay, Tuba Dilay Kokenek-Unal, Bulent Ocal, Guleser Saylam, Mehmet Hakan Korkmaz, Murat Alper. Spindle cell carcinoma of the tongue: A rare tumor in an unusual location. *Pathology Research International*. 2011;1-6. doi:10.4061/2011/572381
- Naoki Katase, Ryo Tamamura, Mehmet Gunduz, et al., A spindle cell carcinoma presenting with osseous metaplasia in the gingiva: a case report with immunohistochemical analysis. *Head and Face Medicine*. 2008;4:28.
- Kuang-rong Wei, Ying Xu, Jing Liu, Wen-jun Zhang, Zhi-heng Liang. Histopathological classification of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev*. 2011;12:1141-47.
- Lester DR Thompson. Update on nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Head and Neck Pathol*. 2007;1:81-86.
- Ensani F, Karimi Sh. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma: the role of the immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy in differentiation between undifferentiated carcinoma and malignant lymphoma: report of 10 cases and review of literature. *Acta Medica Iranica*. 2000;38(1):55-60.
- Baizig Nehla M, Hamouda B, Asma K, et al. In situ hybridization in undifferentiated nasopharynx carcinoma in Tunisia. Report of 3 cases. *Tunis Med*. 2001;79(10):544-7.
- Shanmugaratnam K, Chan SH, de-Thé G, et al. Histopathology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: correlations with epidemiology, survival rates and other biological characteristics. *Cancer*. 1979;44(3):1029-44.
- Markku Miettinen, Ismo Virtanen. Synovial sarcoma – A misnomer. *Am J Pathol*. 1984;117:18-25.
- Enzinger FM, Weiss SW. Soft tissue tumors. Mosby Publications: St Louis. First Editon. 1983; 659-88.
- Wang H, Zhang J, He X, Niu Y. Synovial sarcoma in the oral and maxillofacial region: Report of 4 cases and review of the literature. *Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2008;66:161-7.
- Vijay Wadhwan, Sangeeta Malik, Nitin Bhola, Minal Chaudhary. Biphasic synovial sarcoma in mandibular region. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol*. 2011;15(2):239-43.
- Barnes L, Eveson JW, Reichart P, Sidransky D. Lyon: IARC Press.; World Health Organization classification of tumors. *Pathology and Genetics, Malignant Soft Tissue Tumors*. 2005;149.
- Abenzoza P, Manivel JC, Swanson PE, Wick MR. Synovial sarcoma: ultrastructural study and immunohistochemical analysis by a combined peroxidase-antiperoxidase/avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex procedure. *Hum Pathol*. 1986;17(11):1107-15.
- Lopes JM, Bjerkehagen B, Holm R, Bruland O, Sobrinho-Simões M, Nesland JM. Immunohistochemical profile of synovial sarcoma with emphasis on the epithelial-type differentiation. A study of 49 primary tumours, recurrences and metastases. *Pathol Res Pract*. 1994;190(2):168-77.
- Stephen J, Batsakis JG, Luna MA, von der Heyden U, Byers RM. True malignant mixed tumors (carcinosarcoma) of salivary glands. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1986;61(6):597-602.
- Gnepp DR. Malignant mixed tumors of the salivary glands: a review. *Pathol Ann* 1993;28:279-328.
- Neville, Damm, Allen, Bouquet. Salivary Gland Pathology. Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology. Elsevier Publications: New Delhi. 3rd Edition. 2010;492-294.
- Rashmi Patnayak, Amitabh Jena, Raju GSN, Shantveer G Uppin, Satish Rao, Sundaram C. Carcinosarcoma of the parotid gland: A case report and short review of literature. *The Internet Journal of Oncology*. 2008;5(1). DOI:10.5580/c69.
- Meeae Y Kwon, Mai Gu. True malignant mixed tumor (carcinosarcoma) of parotid gland with unusual mesenchymal component. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2001;125:812-15.
- Ping-Hong Lai, Jinn-Ming Chang, You-Yee Hou, Sau-Tung Chu, Shong-Ling Lin, Chien-Fang Yang. Carcinosarcoma of the salivary gland on CT. *AJNR*. 1995;16:1733-35.
- Gary L Ellis, Paul L Auclair, Douglas R Gnepp. Epithelial myoepithelial carcinoma. Surgical pathology of the salivary glands. WB Saunders company: London. 1st Edition. 1991;412-21.
- Hans Gelderblom, Pancras CW Hogendoorn, Sander D Dijkstra, et al. The clinical approach towards chondrosarcoma. *The Oncologist* 2008;13:320-29.

- [41] Nakashima Y, Park YK, Sugano O. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma. In: Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F, eds. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Pathology and Genetics. Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. Lyon, France: IARC Press. 2002;255-56.
- [42] Angiero F, Vinci R, Sidoni A, Stefani M. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the left coronoid process: report of a unique case with clinical, histopathologic, and immunohistochemical findings, and a review of the literature. *Quintessence Int*. 2007;38(4):349-55.
- [43] Satya Ranjan Misra, Maragathavalli G, Vinneet Daniel Alex, Sobhan Mishra. Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma of the jaws: A series of two rare cases. *JIAOMR*. 2010;22(1):78-82.
- [44] Giovannini S, Diaz-Romero J, Aigner T, Mainil-Varlet P, Nestic D. Population doublings and percentage of S100-positive cells as predictors of in vitro chondrogenicity of expanded human articular chondrocytes. *J Cell Physiol*. 2010;222(2):411-20.
- [45] Kruse-Lösler B, Gaertner C, Bürger H, Seper L, Joos U, Kleinheinz J. Melanotic neuroectodermal tumor of infancy: systematic review of the literature and presentation of a case. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2006;102(2):204-16.
- [46] Pooja Agarwal, Susmita Saxena, Sanjeev Kumar, Richi Gupta. Melanotic neuroectodermal tumor of infancy: Presentation of a case affecting the maxilla. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol*. 2010; 14(1): 29-32.
- [47] Claman LJ, Stetson D, Steinberg B, Shuler CF. Ultrastructural characteristics of a cell line derived from a melanotic neuroectodermal tumor of infancy. *J Oral Pathol Med*. 1991;20(5):245-9.
- [48] Pettinato G, Manivel JC, D'Amore ES, Jaszcz W, Gorlin RJ. Melanotic neuroectodermal tumor of infancy. A reexamination of a histogenetic problem based on immunohistochemical, flow cytometric, and ultrastructural study of 10 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1991;15(3):233-45.
- [49] Kapadia SB, Frisman DM, Hitchcock CL, Ellis GL, Popek EJ. Melanotic neuroectodermal tumor of infancy. Clinicopathological, immunohistochemical, and flow cytometric study. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1993 ;17(6):566-73.
- [50] Barrett AW, Morgan M, Ramsay AD, Farthing PM, Newman L, Speight PM. A clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical analysis of melanotic neuroectodermal tumor of infancy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2002;93(6):688-98.
- [51] Diego M Uchoa, Dennis Baroni Cruz, Pedro Guilherme Schaefer, Karla Lais Pegas, Eduardo Cambuzzi. Myofibroblastoma arising in mammary hamartoma: A case report. *Pathology Research International*. 2010;1-4. doi:10.4061/2010/726829.
- [52] Valerie Hox, Vincent Vander Poorten, Pierre R. Delaere, Robert Hermans Maria Debiec-Rychter, Raf Sciote. Extramammary myofibroblastoma in the head and neck region. *Head Neck*. 2009;31:1240-44.
- [53] Sahin AA, Ro JY, Ordoñez NG, Luna MA, el-Naggar AK, Goepfert H, Ayala AG. Myofibroblastoma of the tongue. An immunohistochemical, ultrastructural, and flow cytometric study. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 1990;94(6):773-7.
- [54] Jason W Nash, Amy C Hessel, Nadarajah Vigneswaran, Adel K. El-Naggar. Collagenous myofibroblastic tumor of the mandible: case report of a unique locally aggressive neoplasm. *Head and Neck Pathol*. 2010;4(1):44-48.
- [55] Tomofumi Hamada, Masato Hirano, Ichiro Semba, Yoshiaki Kamikawa, Kazumasa Sugihara. Myofibroblastoma of the tongue: A case report with immunohistochemical findings. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. Medicine and Pathology*. 2012;24(3):180-83.
- [56] Na Rae Kim, Dong Hae Chung, Dae-Song Park et al. Ancient schwannoma in oral cavity: a report of two cases. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2011;37:530-4.
- [57] Kami YN, Chikui T, Okamura K, et al. Imaging findings of neurogenic tumors in the head and neck region. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*. 2012;41(1):18-23.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Oral and Maxillofacial Pathologist and Microbiologist, Lecturer and Research Coordinator, Faculty of Medical Sciences – Dentistry Programme, The University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston 7, Jamaica, West Indies.
2. Professor and Head, Department of the Oral Pathology and Microbiology, SIBAR Institute of Dental Sciences, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.
3. Reader, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Sree Sai Dental College and Research Institute, Chapuram, Srikulam, Andhra Pradesh, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Arvind Babu Rajendra Santosh
Oral and Maxillofacial Pathologist and Microbiologist,
Lecturer and Research Coordinator, Faculty of Medical Sciences – Dentistry Programme,
The University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston 7, Jamaica, West Indies.
Mobile: +1 (876) 524 2069, E-mail: arvindbabu2001@gmail.com

Date of Submission: **Aug 02, 2013**Date of Peer Review: **Nov 11, 2013**Date of Acceptance: **Dec 09, 2013**Date of Publishing: **Feb 03, 2014****FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS:** None.