Review Article

Recent Insights in the Paracrine Modulation of Cardiomyocyte Contractility by Cardiac Endothelial Cells

Jacques Noireaud and Ramaroson Andriantsitohaina

*Inserm UMR 1063 (Stress Oxydant et Pathologies Métaboliques (SOPAM)), Institut de Biologie en Santé-IRIS,
4 rue Larrey CHU, 49933 Angers Cedex 9, France*

Correspondence should be addressed to Jacques Noireaud; jacques.noireaud@inserm.fr

The cardiac endothelium is formed by a continuous monolayer of cells that line the cavity of the heart (endocardial endothelial cells (EECs)) and the luminal surface of the myocardial blood vessels (intramyocardial capillary endothelial cells (IMCEs)). EECs and IMCEs can exercise substantial control over the contractility of cardiomyocytes by releasing various factors such as nitric oxide (NO) *via* a constitutive endothelial NO-synthase (eNOS), endothelin-1, prostaglandins, angiotensin II, peptide growth factors, and neuregulin-1. The purpose of the present paper is actually to shortly review recent new information concerning cardiomyocytes as effectors of endothelium paracrine signaling, focusing particularly on contractile function. The modes of action and the regulatory paracrine role of the main mediators delivered by cardiac endothelial cells upon cardiac contractility identified in cardiomyocytes are complex and not fully described. Thus, careful evaluation of new therapeutic approaches is required targeting important physiological signaling pathways, some of which have been until recently considered as deleterious, like reactive oxygen species. Future works in the field of cardiac endothelial cells and cardiac function will help to better understand the implication of these mediators in cardiac physiopathology.

1. Introduction

The purpose of the present review is actually to shortly review recent new information concerning cardiomyocytes as effectors of endothelium paracrine signaling, focusing particularly on contractile function. For more information on the cardiac endothelial modulating factors and their roles in the regulation of other heart functions (growth, differentiation, rhythmicity, remodeling), please refer to some recent reviews [1–5].

First of all, it is important to make the distinction between the respective contribution of the cardiac endothelial cells in the myocardial capillaries and at the endocardium (purpose of the present review) [6]. The cardiac endothelium is formed by a continuous monolayer of cells that line the cavity of the heart (endocardial endothelial cells (EECs)) and the luminal surface of the myocardial blood vessels (intramyocardial capillary endothelial cells (IMCEs)). EECs are the first of the endothelium cells to develop and originate from the cardiogenic plate by the process of vasculogenesis, whereas the IMCEs originate from the mesothelial cells of the epicardium, by angiogenesis. The luminal surface of the majority of EECs has a variety of microvilli that project into the heart cavities [7]. The large contact surface area of the endocardial endothelium with cardiac cells suggests an important sensor role for EECs [8]. Gap junctions, tight junctions, and zonula adherens are present between EECs where they play a role in rapid intercellular electrochemical coupling as well as to act as a selective barrier to limit the paracellular diffusion of molecules through the intercellular spaces, respectively [8, 9]. Golgi apparatus and endoplasmic reticulum of EECs are abundant with a great number of mitochondria surrounding the nucleus [9] suggesting that these cells are highly active metabolically. Similarities exist between EECs and IMCEs, but differences are also present between these two types of endothelial cells. An important feature of endothelium is also the presence of numerous

caveolae. Caveolae are small (70–90 nm in diameter) specialized invaginations of the plasmalemmal membrane. These organelles are present in most mammalian tissues and are particularly abundant in endothelial cells. A large number of signaling molecules that regulate endothelial cells localize to caveolae (for recent review, see [10]). Caveolae are abundantly provided in caveolin-1 (Cav-1) which constitutes the nonmuscle isoform of a coat protein of caveolae. Brutsaert has reviewed in detail this point [1]. Thus, immunostaining for Cav-1 shows that the peripheral borders of EECs are nearly completely devoid of caveolin labeling, whereas IMCEs display a very intense labeling for Cav-1 [11]. Caveolin-rich plasmalemmal microdomains are sites for the constitutive nitric oxide (NO) synthase (eNOS), and the poverty of Cav-1 in these areas suggests that eNOS activity might be associated with membrane components other than caveolae or with parts of the cytoskeleton. IMCEs do not have gap junctions; thus these cells differ in the way they communicate with other adjacent endothelial and nonendothelial cells [8]. Considering their respective cytoskeleton components, stress fibers, vimentin filaments, and microtubules are found to be different in EECs and IMCEs. IMCEs contain more actin filaments or stress fibers compared to EECs. Vimentin filaments and microtubules are closely packed and aligned parallel to the cell axis in IMCEs, whereas in EECs, these components constitute an extensive filamentous network. EECs interact with components of the circulating blood entering and leaving the pulmonary vasculature and both act as an autocrine or paracrine system that modulates cardiomyocyte function and as a barrier between the superfusing blood and the cardiomyocytes. EECs and IMCEs play a role in controlling the contractility of cardiomyocytes by releasing various factors. In the normal adult heart, cardiac endothelial cells produce NO through the activity of eNOS, endothelin 1 (ET-1) after conversion of pre-proET-1 to proET-1 and into ET-1 by the ET converting enzyme, eicosanoids as prostaglandins, transform angiotensin I (Ang I) into active angiotensin II (Ang II) and release peptide growth factors and neuregulin-1 (Figure 1).

2. eNOS and NO

The eNOS is mainly present in both EECs and IMCEs [11], but to lesser extent also in cardiomyocytes [12]. Immunostaining experiments have shown that there is a considerable nonuniformity of eNOS expression between EECs and IMCEs. eNOS is generally associated with the particulate fraction in endothelial cells, in particular with the Golgi complex and with domains of the plasma membrane, the caveolae. The more intense eNOS staining in EECs compared to IMCEs appeared to be associated with more intensely labeled and larger Golgi complexes. Heterogeneity is characteristic also for eNOS labeling of the peripheral cell borders between EECs and IMCEs. The eNOS-stained peripheral borders are distinct in EECs and not observed in IMCEs. NO production by the peripherally located eNOS in EECs may be involved in the regulation of paracellular permeability and suggests a greater eNOS activity in these cells than in IMCEs. These two

cell types probably account for most of the NO measurable in the effluent of *in vivo* heart or whole cardiac preparations.

Studies in endothelial cells reveals that eNOS targeted to the plasmalemma releases greater amounts of NO compared with Golgi tethered eNOS [13] and the increased amount of NO produced greatly influenced the mechanisms implicated in NO effects (cGMP-dependent signaling versus S-nitrosylation of target proteins with high and low concentrations of NO, respectively; see below) [14]. Considering eNOS associated with caveolae, eNOS interacts with Cav-1 that ensures the proper targeting of eNOS to caveolae and maintains eNOS in an inhibited state. This inhibition can be reversed by addition of exogenous calmodulin, suggesting a reciprocal regulation of the enzyme by Cav-1 versus activating calcium-calmodulin [15, 16]. Stimulus- or agonist-induced increases in intracellular calcium promote the dissociation of Cav-1 and eNOS complex. Binding of activated calcium-calmodulin to its consensus sequence on eNOS initiates catalytic activity. The phenotype of mice deficient in Cav-1 exhibits a marked hyporesponsiveness to constrictor agonists attributable to an increase of NO release [17, 18]. It has also been shown that statins potentiate eNOS activity by decreasing Cav-1 abundance *in vitro* and *in vivo*, at least in macrovascular endothelial cells where the caveolin pool is lower and the proportion of caveolin-bound eNOS is higher [19] (see also review in [4]). However, another protein called NOSIP (for eNOS-interacting protein) has been also described to be able to target eNOS to caveolae in endothelial cells of the cardiac microvasculature in rat heart [20]. These authors suggest that NOSIP is a novel type of modulator that promotes translocation of eNOS from the plasma membrane to intracellular sites, thereby uncoupling eNOS from plasma membrane caveolae and inhibiting NO synthesis.

Experiments in cultured endothelial cells have demonstrated that eNOS expression can be modulated by many factors including shear stress, TGF-β, protein kinase C, TNF-α, oxygen, and the proliferative state. The ubiquitous 90 kDa, heat-shock protein (Hsp90), is expressed at high levels (accounting for up to 1-2% of total cellular protein content) in the cytosol even in unstressed conditions. Hsp90 functions as a chaperone for the proper folding of specific protein substrates include many signal transducing molecules (e.g., nonreceptor tyrosine kinases, transcription factors, and eNOS, among others; for a review, see [21]). Most of its regulatory action in eNOS signaling has been described in endothelial cells. Hsp90 is associated with eNOS in resting endothelial cells, and upon stimulation with vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), estrogen, histamine, shear stress, and statins, the association between the two proteins is increased, resulting in enhanced NO production [22]. The protein kinase Akt, the kinase involved in the phosphorylation of eNOS on the active site serine 1177, is another scaffold protein for Hsp90. Akt binds to a sequence of Hsp90 that does not overlap with that involved in the binding of eNOS. Therefore, Hsp90 is proposed as an adaptor between Akt and its substrate, eNOS, thereby promoting the phosphorylation of eNOS at the active site (for more details, see [23]).

The main physiological source of NO in normal, adult nonstressed cardiac tissue is eNOS from EECs and IMCEs.

FIGURE 1: Paracrine communication between cardiac endothelial cells and cardiomyocytes. Endocardial endothelial cells (EECs) and intramyocardial capillary endothelial cells (IMCEs) respond to physical and humoral stimuli with the release of mediators such as nitric oxide (NO), endothelin 1, eicosanoid, and angiotensin II. These endothelial cells also release neuregulin-1 (NRG-1) acting on ErbB receptors on myocardial cardiomyocytes. IMCEs release parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) which activates PTH1 receptor (PTH1-R) located on the cardiomyocytes. Gap junctions (GJ) allow cell-to-cell coupling for rapid intercellular communication of functional demands. Tight junctions (TJ, including zonula adherens) modulate the transendocardial endothelial-permeability through intercellular clefts. A large number of endothelial signaling molecules localize to caveolae (Cav). Sfl: subendocardial fibroelastic layer (or extracellular matrix including sympathetic nerve fascicles).

The effects of NO on myocardial contraction and relaxation have been very much studied and the signaling pathways in the heart have been reviewed in detail [4, 24, 25]. A positive inotropic response to low concentrations of NO has been reported in several studies in isolated cardiomyocytes [26, 27], whereas it induced a negative inotropic effect only at higher concentrations [28].

Most of the negative actions of high concentrations of NO on cardiac performance are due to the activation of myocardial soluble guanylate cyclase to produce cGMP and desensitization of cardiac contractile myofilaments to calcium [29]. The positive lusitropic effect of NO has also been attributed to cGMP- and PKG-mediated phosphorylations of troponin I, subsequent to myofilament calcium desensitization, relaxation hastening, and improved distensibility [30].

NO exerts its positive inotropic effects mainly via a mechanism independent of cGMP. Very low concentrations of NO activate G proteins such as Gs, stimulating adenylyl cyclase, and the L-type calcium current (I_{CaL}) from cardiac voltage-dependent L-type calcium (VDLC) channels and Gi, hence stimulating muscarinic K^+ channels [31]. NO may also bind superoxide anions to form peroxynitrite, which exerts specific effects on cardiac voltage-dependent channels and contraction [32–35]. It is indeed important to remember that NO can exert its effects directly through the S-nitrosylation of target proteins [36–40]. A recent proteomic study identified as many as 951 unique proteins that can be S-nitrosylated in the heart [41], demonstrating its potential importance in regulating cardiac function. Indeed, direct nitrosylation

of the ryanodine receptor RyR2 accounts for the enhanced excitation-contraction coupling gain and positive inotropic effect of cardiomyocyte stretch [42]. A direct S-nitrosylation of the cardiac VDLC channels through a redox switch-mediated increase in I_{CaL} could also be involved [32, 33]. Although cGMP-independent activation of adenylyl cyclase at low NO levels has been suggested [26], a cGMP-dependent increase in cAMP, through cGMP-mediated inhibition of cAMP phosphodiesterase III (PDE3) and prevention of cAMP breakdown, has been reported [43]. PDE3 and PDE4 are actually considered as critical regulators of cAMP signals in cardiomyocytes [44] (for recent review on PDEs, see [45]).

3. Endothelin-1 (ET-1)

EECs and IMCEs are the major source of ET-1 in the normal heart [46], and cardiomyocytes are its primary target. Cardiac tissue displays a high density of ET_A and ET_B receptors in cardiomyocytes and endothelial cells [47, 48]. ET-1 is one of the most potent positive inotropic agents known [49, 50]. Its positive inotropic effect has been partly explained by an enhanced affinity of the contractile proteins to calcium [51], subsequent to an intracellular alkalosis resulting from activation of the sarcolemmal Na^+/H^+ exchanger [52]. Thus, ET-1 might reverse acidosis-induced negative inotropic and lusitropic effects, without increasing intracellular calcium as it occurs with most positive inotropic agents. Moreover, it has been shown that ET-1 binds directly *in vivo* to the ET_B receptors on the endothelial surface and induces the release

of endothelium-derived relaxing factors, such as NO and prostanoids [53], rather than directly promoting myocardial inotropy through ET_A receptors on the cardiomyocytes (reviewed in [3, 5]).

Furthermore, NADPH oxidase-derived reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a physiological role in the acute regulation of cardiac contractility in the intact rat heart. Results of Kubin et al. [54] reveal that ET-1-induced increase in cardiac contractility is partially dependent on enhanced ROS generation, which in turn activates the extracellular signal regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2)-p90 ribosomal S6 kinase-Na^+/H^+ exchanger-1 pathway [55]. It is known that excessive levels of ROS can modulate the activity of different proteins involved in the excitation-contraction coupling, including the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca^{2+} release channel, the SR Ca^{2+} ATPase, and the L-type Ca^{2+} channel, by modifying sulfhydryl groups of cysteine residues [56, 57]. In contrast to pathological conditions, less is known about the role of ROS in the regulation of normal cardiac function. Some data support the existence of a relationship between contraction, oxidative metabolism, and ROS production in the cardiomyocytes. Thus, increases in contraction frequency are accompanied by enhanced oxygen consumption and ROS formation in isolated cardiomyocytes [58, 59]. However, the effect of ROS on contractile function is controversial and prior studies have produced conflicting results regarding the role of ROS and ET-1 in the regulation of contractile function in isolated cardiomyocytes. Indeed, Ang II induces ET-1 release and an increase in ROS generation, which in turn triggered an increase in contractility in cat cardiomyocytes [60]. Moreover, it has been suggested that the positive inotropic effect of exogenous ET-1 is almost exclusively dependent on ROS production in this model [61]. ET-1 has been shown to increase contractility in several species such as rat, rabbit, cat, guinea pig, and human [62, 63]. However, ET-1 can elicit both positive and negative inotropic effects in murine models. Thus, ET-1 induces a negative inotropic effect in isolated mouse cardiomyocytes [64].

4. Prostaglandins

Endothelial cells, including EECs and IMCEs, synthetize and release several prostaglandins in response to a wide variety of hormonal, chemical, immunological, and physical stimuli [65, 66]. Cyclooxygenases (COX) in both constitutive (COX-l) and inducible (COX-2) isoforms are key regulators of prostaglandin synthesis. COX-l is constitutively expressed in all endothelial cells in the heart and is believed to provide cytoprotective effects. COX-2 is nearly undetectable under normal physiological conditions but is induced in endothelial cells and in macrophages during inflammation.

Brutsaert [1] has reviewed in detail the differences between EECs and IMCEs on prostanoid productions. Mebazaa et al. [67] have reported abundant production of prostacyclin (PGI_2) and prostaglandin (PG)E_2 from cultured EECs from right and left bovine ventricles, with EEC production of PGI_2 being 10 times higher than that of PGE_2. On the contrary, IMCEs have been reported to release more PGE_2 than PGI_2. The reasons why IMCEs release more PGE_2 than PGI_2 are still unknown. Responses to PGI_2 and PGE_2 range from increased inotropy [68] to no effect [69], to negative inotropy [70]. *In vitro* studies on isolated papillary muscle have helped to explain some of those inconsistencies [71]. With the use of activators and inhibitors of endogenous eicosanoids and of NO in different combinations, the inotropic action of eicosanoids and NO is reciprocal. Thus, stimulation of endogenous prostaglandin (mainly PGI_2) release abolishes the inotropic effect of NO, in particular on the onset of relaxation, while demonstration of a PGI_2-induced positive inotropic (contraction-prolonging) effect is dependent on inhibition of NO synthesis. Accordingly, PGI_2 and NO interact to regulate cardiac contractile performance, by their opposing effects on the onset of myocardial relaxation. NO, PGI_2, and, to a smaller extent, PGE_2 share a number of important properties and that their synthesis and release from endothelial cells are often coupled through continuous cross talk between the NOS and COX pathways [72], although their underlying subcellular mechanisms await further clarification. Their mutual actions on the target cardiomyocytes are closely linked, largely through the effects of PGI_2 and NO in establishing cAMP-to-cGMP ratios rather than absolute intracellular concentrations of cAMP or cGMP. The localization of PGI_2 synthase at the intercalated discs favors a new function of PGI_2 in the heart [73]. Since the PGI_2-receptor (IP-receptor) is also present in the same compartment, cAMP-dependent phosphorylation of connexin 43 leading to increased electrical coupling of cardiomyocytes may play a physiological role of PGI_2 in these cells.

In mouse atria, acetylcholine induces a biphasic inotropic response; that is, a transient decrease in contractile force is followed by a late increase mediated by muscarinic M2 and M3 receptors, respectively [74]. Tanaka et al. [75] show that the positive response is mediated by prostaglandin released from the endocardial endothelium. In ventricular myocardia, it has been reported that PGF_2 induces a positive inotropic response and a rise in intracellular pH (i.e., an alkalosis) [76]. In the case of the mouse atria, Tanaka et al. [77] do not detect change in pH in response to PGF_2. Further studies are needed to better understand the inotropic effect of PGF_2.

5. Angiotensin II (Ang II)

Most of the effects of Ang II on cardiac contractile performance result from locally produced rather than from circulating Ang II [78]. Ang II is synthetized through both Ang convertase enzyme- (ACE-) dependent and ACE-independent pathways, expressed in EECs and IMCEs. Several studies have investigated whether Ang II affects cardiomyocyte contractility. As an acute response to Ang II, some authors find a negative contractile response in mouse cardiomyocytes [79]. Others report a direct positive inotropic response in isolated cardiac preparations [60, 80]. In human ventricular myocardium, Ang II has either no effect or it exerts positive inotropic responses [81, 82]. The effects of Ang II share

some features of α-adrenoceptor stimulation. For example, both agonists are able to activate PLC-dependent pathways. However, in contrast to α-adrenoceptor stimulation, Ang II induces ROS formation through NADPH oxidase activation and stimulates stress-activated pathways as well [83, 84]. On the mechanistic basis, the different responses evoked by Ang II are mediated by activation of either Gαq or Gα12/13-coupled receptors. Ang II-dependent ROS formation, probably from NADPH oxidase, induces the expression of TGF-β_1 in cardiomyocytes [83, 85, 86]. Mufti et al. [87] provide strong evidence that TGF-β_1 is a key player mediating the Ang II-dependent long-term cardiodepressive effect. Ang II causes a negative contractile effect in adult rat cardiomyoctyes and this has been found to depend on p38 MAP kinase activation via ROS-independent formation [88]. Mufti et al. [87] also report that the effects of Ang II on cell shortening are also dependent on p38 MAP kinase pathway. However, as the effect in this study depends on Ang II-dependent TGF-β_1 activation, one can expect the participation of ROS formation. The exact role of p38 MAP kinase requires further studies [89]. de Giusti et al. [90] recently reviewed that acute activation of the cardiac renin-Ang II-aldosterone system induces mitochondrial ATP-dependent K^+ channel opening and subsequently enhances the production of mitochondrial ROS. These oxidant molecules, in turn, activate membrane transporters, as the Na^+/H^+ exchanger (NHE-1) and the Na^+/HCO cotransporter (NBC) *via* the stimulation of the ROS-sensitive MAPK cascade. The stimulation of such effectors leads to an increase in cardiac contractility. The mechanism of how the activation of NHE-1 or NBC regulates cardiac contractility involves the increase in intracellular Na^+ concentration [91]. Indeed, the activation of these transporters leads to a subsequent increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration due to the activation of the reverse mode of the Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchanger [92–95].

6. Peptide Growth Factors

Only preliminary data are presently available about a possible role for peptide growth factors in the performance of the adult heart. IMCEs express and release parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) [96, 97]. PTHrP exerts a positive inotropic, chronotropic, and lusitropic effects in adult ventricular cardiomyocytes and PTHrP released during an ischemia improves the inotropy of the postischemic heart [98]. PTHrP via PTH1 receptor (PTH1-R) directly improves cardiac function and myocardial perfusion through protein kinase A/protein kinase C-dependent activation of adenylate cyclase [99]. Evidences have been provided that PTHrP may play a role regarding cardiac dysfunction during situation with NO deficiency such as menopause. Indeed, Schreckenberg et al. [100] report that chronic NO deficit is associated with a loss of the inotropic and chronotropic effect of this hormone resulting from downregulation of PTH1-R via TGFβ_1-dependent pathway in left ventricular cardiomyocytes.

7. Neuregulin-1 (NRG-1)

Neuregulin-1 (NRG-1), a growth factor released from cardiac endothelial cells, has been shown to be essential for the normal function of the adult heart (Parodi and Kuhn [101]). NRG-1 mediates its actions through activation of the extracellular domain of the tyrosine kinase receptors, ErbB. In the adult heart, NRG-1, ErB2, and ErB4 are found in cardiomyocytes. Binding of NRG-1 to ErB2 and/or ErB4 induces the formation of homo- and heterodimers on cardiomyocytes [102]. Although NRG-1 does not bind directly to ErB2, it is the favored coreceptor for heterodimerization [103]. Thus, in adult cardiomyocytes, NRG-1 signaling occurs through ErbB2/ErbB4 heterodimers and/or ErbB4/ErbB4 homodimers. The first evidence for a role of NRG-1/ErbB signaling in adult heart function comes from clinical studies in patients with metastatic mammary carcinoma, undergoing combination therapy. Trastuzumab (Herceptin), a monoclonal antibody against ErbB2 combined with an anthracycline, elicited dilated cardiomyopathy and heart failure [104]. Using targeted gene inactivation in the mouse, different studies support the hypothesis that NRG-1 plays an essential roles during development of the heart and peripheral nervous system and demonstrates that the main NRG-1 receptors *in vivo* correspond to ErbB2/ErbB4 or ErbB2/ErbB3 heteromers, respectively (for reviews, see [105, 106]). The receptors ErbB2 and ErbB4 remain expressed in adult cardiomyocytes. They are localized to the T-tubule system and intercalated discs in the vicinity of components of the excitation-contraction machinery [107–109]. Thus, both the α- and β-isoforms of NRG-1 induce a negative inotropic response and activate NOS in isolated adult rabbit papillary muscles [110]. These authors also show in neonatal rat cardiomyocytes that NRG-1 can activate Akt leading to the phosphorylation of eNOS [111]. Thus, the NRG-1/ErbB signaling pathway may have a modulatory role and could be activated in conditions of enhanced cardiac inotropism, such as in myocardial hypertrophy or during β-adrenergic overdrive. On the other hand, dynamic regulation of NRG-1 expression in EECs and IMCEs occurs *in vitro* in response to other endothelial factors. Thus, mechanical stretch or stimulation with ET-1 leads to upregulation of NRG-1, while prolonged treatment with Ang II results in downregulation of its expression [112].

8. Pathophysiological Role of Cardiac Endothelial Cells

As reviewed above, EECs and IMCEs are modulators of ventricular cardiomyocyte contractile function. Thus, damage and/or dysfunction of cardiac endothelium could have a serious impact on the development of cardiac diseases. A deficient production of NO by eNOS contributes to diastolic ventricular dysfunction and abnormalities in Ca^{2+} homeostasis. Alterations in NO generation or disruption in its targeting have been shown in various pathological conditions like atherosclerotic vascular disease, congestive heart failure (CHF), and essential hypertension (reviewed in

[2, 113–115]). Indeed, eNOS can be a major ROS generator during pathologic stress. Dysfunction of NO pathway via eNOS participates in cardiac arrhythmia. Indeed, eNOS deficient mice display a slower heart rate and increase in the transient inward current and tachycardia [116]. Although cardiomyocytes isolated from heart of eNOS deficient mice have normal resting action potential (AP) duration and VDLC current under basal condition, their response to isoproterenol is altered. Application of isoproterenol induces longer AP duration, with increases in early and delayed after depolarization, and therefore induces cardiac dysfunction [117]. It is generally known that alteration of cardiac contraction is one of the main causes of cardiac remodeling leading cardiac hypertrophy. Evidences have been provided on the role of eNOS in these pathologies. Indeed, chronic eNOS deletion induces concentric hypertrophy and worsens remodeling after pressure overload [118, 119]. Other authors using abdominal aortic banding found increased hypertrophy but less chamber dilation in eNOS deficient mice [120, 121]. The therapeutic approaches used for improving NOS function in cardiovascular diseases have used organic nitrates to increase circulating NO level *via* denitration [122–124]. Enhancement of NOS pathway using various compounds has been recently described as therapeutic approaches for treating cardiac diseases and these include arginase inhibitors, NOS activators, NOS transcription enhancers, NOS recouplers, soluble guanylate cyclase activators, PDE5 inhibitors, and antioxidants (reviewed in [115]). ET-1 may also be involved in the modulation of contractile performance in pathological states. Enhancement of ET-1 production has been detected in various cardiovascular stress including acute myocardial infarction, ischaemia, CHF, cardiogenic shock, and oxidative stress (for reviews, see [2, 3, 5]). Thus, targeting ET-1 system may be therapeutic benefit for cardiovascular diseases. Indeed, bosentan and ambrisentan, ET_A-ET_B receptor, and selective ET_A receptor antagonists, respectively, have been shown to be successful in treating right ventricular hypertrophy and right heart failure subsequent to pulmonary arterial hypertension [125, 126]. However, ET-1 receptor antagonists have not yet proven to be efficient for the treatment of CHF [5, 125].

9. Conclusions

The modes of action and the regulatory paracrine role of main mediators delivered by cardiac endothelial cells upon cardiac contractility identified in cardiomyocytes are exceedingly complex and are not fully described. Furthermore, as pointed out by and fully referenced in Brutsaert [1] "*a still higher scale of complexity in the in vivo intact heart may ensue from their interaction with other important cardiomodulatory pathways, such as the β-adrenergic or cholinergic pathways in the heart, atrial and brain natriuretic peptide activity, and circulating thyroid and aldosterone hormones.*" Moreover, differences in the contribution of transporters and pumps to Ca^{2+} homeostasis between rodents and humans should be taken into account. Thus, the challenge to translate experimental evidences obtained in single cardiomyocytes to the whole heart and into useful novel therapeutic strategies is still rather complicated. Careful evaluation of new-targeted therapeutic approaches is required not to alter important physiological signaling pathways. A relevant example illustrating such requirement is the heuristic shift that occurred in recent years, showing that ROS can act as intracellular signaling molecules playing important nonpathological roles in different physiological mechanisms (present review; see also [127]). Nevertheless, the present review summarizes some insights regarding the control of cardiac contractility by endothelial cells mediators. This information may help future works to fight against cardiac diseases.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] D. L. Brutsaert, "Cardiac endothelial-myocardial signaling: its role in cardiac growth, contractile performance, and rhythmicity," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 59–115, 2003.

[2] L. Kuruvilla and C. C. Kartha, "Molecular mechanisms in endothelial regulation of cardiac function," *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 253, no. 1-2, pp. 113–123, 2003.

[3] A. Mohácsi, J. Magyar, T. Bányász, and P. P. Nánási, "Effects of endothelins on cardiac and vascular cells: new therapeutic target for the future," *Current Vascular Pharmacology*, vol. 2, pp. 53–63, 2004.

[4] J.-L. Balligand, O. Feron, and C. Dessy, "eNOS activation by physical forces: from short-term regulation of contraction to chronic remodeling of cardiovascular tissues," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 89, no. 2, pp. 481–534, 2009.

[5] F. M. Drawnel, C. R. Archer, and H. L. Roderick, "The role of the paracrine/autocrine mediator endothelin-1 in regulation of cardiac contractility and growth," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 168, pp. 296–317, 2013.

[6] L. J. Andries and D. L. Brutsaert, "Differences in structure between endocardial and vascular endothelium," *Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. S243–S246, 1991.

[7] S. G. de Hert, T. C. Gillebert, L. J. Andries, and D. L. Brutsaert, "Role of the endocardial endothelium in the regulation of myocardial function: physiologic and pathophysiologic implications," *Anesthesiology*, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. 1354–1366, 1993.

[8] D. L. Brutsaert, P. Fransen, L. J. Andries, G. W. de Keulenaer, and S. U. Sys, "Cardiac endothelium and myocardial function," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 281–290, 1998.

[9] D. L. Brutsaert, G. W. de Keulenaer, P. Fransen et al., "The cardiac endothelium: functional morphology, development, and physiology," *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 239–262, 1996.

[10] G. Sowa, "Caveolae, caveolins, cavins, and endothelial cell function: new insights," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 2, pp. 1–13, 2012.

[11] L. J. Andries, D. L. Brutsaert, and S. U. Sys, "Nonuniformity of endothelial constitutive nitric oxide synthase distribution in cardiac endothelium," *Circulation Research*, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 195–203, 1998.

[12] J.-L. Balligand, L. Kobzik, X. Han et al., "Nitric oxide-dependent parasympathetic signaling is due to activation of constitutive endothelial (type III) nitric oxide synthase in cardiac myocytes," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 270, no. 24, pp. 14582–14586, 1995.

[13] Q. Zhang, J. E. Church, D. Jagnandan, J. D. Catravas, W. C. Sessa, and D. Fulton, "Functional relevance of Golgi- and plasma membrane-localized endothelial NO synthase in reconstituted endothelial cells," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1015–1021, 2006.

[14] J. Qian, Q. Zhang, J. E. Church, D. W. Stepp, R. D. Rudic, and D. J. R. Fulton, "Role of local production of endothelium-derived nitric oxide on cGMP signaling and S-nitrosylation," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. H112–H118, 2010.

[15] J. B. Michel, O. Feron, K. Sase, P. Prabhakar, and T. Michel, "Caveolin versus calmodulin. Counterbalancing allosteric modulators of endothelial nitric oxide synthase," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 272, no. 41, pp. 25907–25912, 1997.

[16] V. Rizzo, D. P. McIntosh, P. Oh, and J. E. Schnitzer, "In situ flow activates endothelial nitric oxide synthase in luminal caveolae of endothelium with rapid caveolin dissociation and calmodulin association," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 52, pp. 34724–34729, 1998.

[17] M. Drab, P. Verkade, M. Elger et al., "Loss of caveolae, vascular dysfunction, and pulmonary defects in caveolin-1 gene-disrupted mice," *Science*, vol. 293, no. 5539, pp. 2449–2452, 2001.

[18] B. Razani, J. A. Engelman, X. B. Wang et al., "Caveolin-1 Null mice are viable but show evidence of hyperproliferative and vascular abnormalities," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 41, pp. 38121–38138, 2001.

[19] O. Feron, C. Dessy, J.-P. Desager, and J.-L. Balligand, "Hydroxy-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibition promotes endothelial nitric oxide synthase activation through a decrease caveolin abundance," *Circulation*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 113–118, 2001.

[20] J. Dedio, P. König, P. Wohlfart, C. Schroeder, W. Kummer, and W. Müller-Esterl, "NOSIP, a novel modulator of endothelial nitric oxide synthase activity," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 79–89, 2001.

[21] K. Richter and J. Buchner, "Hsp90: chaperoning signal transduction," *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, vol. 188, no. 3, pp. 281–290, 2001.

[22] G. García-Cardeña, R. Fan, V. Shah et al., "Dynamic activation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase by Hsp90," *Nature*, vol. 392, no. 6678, pp. 821–824, 1998.

[23] J.-L. Balligand, "Heat shock protein 90 in endothelial nitric oxide synthase signaling: following the lead(er)?" *Circulation Research*, vol. 90, no. 8, pp. 838–841, 2002.

[24] P. B. Massion and J.-L. Balligand, "Modulation of cardiac contraction, relaxation and rate by the endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS): lessons from genetically modified mice," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 546, no. 1, pp. 63–76, 2003.

[25] R. Fischmeister, L. Castro, A. Abi-Gerges, F. Rochais, and G. Vandecasteele, "Species- and tissue-dependent effects of NO and cyclic GMP on cardiac ion channels," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology—A Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, vol. 142, no. 2, pp. 136–143, 2005.

[26] M. G. Vila-Petroff, A. Younes, J. Egan, E. G. Lakatta, and S. J. Sollott, "Activation of distinct cAMP-dependent and cGMP-dependent pathways by nitric oxide in cardiac myocytes," *Circulation Research*, vol. 84, no. 9, pp. 1020–1031, 1999.

[27] J. W. Wegener, A. Gödecke, J. Schrader, and H. Nawrath, "Effects of nitric oxide donors on cardiac contractility in wild-type and myoglobin-deficient mice," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 136, no. 3, pp. 415–420, 2002.

[28] A. J. B. Brady, J. B. Warren, P. A. Poole-Wilson, T. J. Williams, and S. E. Harding, "Nitric oxide attenuates cardiac myocyte contraction," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 265, no. 1, pp. H176–H182, 1993.

[29] A. J. Hobbs, "Soluble guanylate cyclase: the forgotten sibling," *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 484–491, 1997.

[30] J. Layland, J.-M. Li, and A. M. Shah, "Role of cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase in the contractile response to exogenous nitric oxide in rat cardiac myocytes," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 540, no. 2, pp. 457–467, 2002.

[31] N. Abi-Gerges, G. Szabo, A. S. Otero, R. Fischmeister, and P.-F. Méry, "NO donors potentiate the β-adrenergic stimulation of $I_{Ca,L}$ and the muscarinic activation of $I_{K,ACh}$ in rat cardiac myocytes," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 540, no. 2, pp. 411–424, 2002.

[32] D. L. Campbell, J. S. Stamler, and H. C. Strauss, "Redox modulation of L-type calcium channels in ferret ventricular myocytes: dual mechanism regulation by nitric oxide and S-nitrosothiols," *Journal of General Physiology*, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 277–293, 1996.

[33] D. Malan, R. C. Levi, G. Alloatti, A. Marcantoni, I. Bedendi, and M. P. Gallo, "Cyclic AMP and cyclic GMP independent stimulation of ventricular calcium current by peroxynitrite donors in guinea pig myocytes," *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, vol. 197, no. 2, pp. 284–296, 2003.

[34] J.-M. Chesnais, R. Fischmeister, and P.-F. Méry, "Peroxynitrite is a positive inotropic agent in atrial and ventricular fibres of the frog heart," *Journal of Physiology*, vol. 521, no. 2, pp. 375–388, 1999.

[35] R. S. Ronson, M. Nakamura, and J. Vinten-Johansen, "The cardiovascular effects and implications of peroxynitrite," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 47–59, 1999.

[36] J. S. Stamler, S. Lamas, and F. C. Fang, "Nitrosylation: the prototypic redox-based signaling mechanism," *Cell*, vol. 106, no. 6, pp. 675–683, 2001.

[37] D. T. Hess, A. Matsumoto, S.-O. Kim, H. E. Marshall, and J. S. Stamler, "Protein S-nitrosylation: purview and parameters," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 150–166, 2005.

[38] P. Pacher, J. S. Beckman, and L. Liaudet, "Nitric oxide and peroxynitrite in health and disease," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 87, no. 1, pp. 315–424, 2007.

[39] M. C. Martínez and R. Andriantsitohaina, "Reactive nitrogen species: molecular mechanisms and potential significance in health and disease," *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 669–702, 2009.

[40] B. Lima, M. T. Forrester, D. T. Hess, and J. S. Stamler, "S-nitrosylation in cardiovascular signaling," *Circulation Research*, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 633–646, 2010.

[41] M. J. Kohr, A. M. Aponte, J. Sun et al., "Characterization of potential S-nitrosylation sites in the myocardium," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 300, no. 4, pp. H1327–H1335, 2011.

[42] M. G. V. Petroff, S. H. Kim, S. Pepe et al., "Endogenous nitric oxide mechanisms mediate the stretch dependence of Ca^{2+} release in cardiomyocytes," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 867–873, 2001.

[43] P.-F. Mery, C. Pavoine, L. Belhassen, F. Pecker, and R. Fischmeister, "Nitric oxide regulates cardiac Ca^{2+} current. Involvement of cGMP-inhibited and cGMP-stimulated phosphodiesterases through guanylyl cyclase activation," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 268, no. 35, pp. 26286–26295, 1993.

[44] F. Rochais, G. Vandecasteele, F. Lefebvre et al., "Negative feedback exerted by cAMP-dependent protein kinase and cAMP phosphodiesterase on subsarcolemmal cAMP signals in intact cardiac myocytes: an *in vivo* study using adenovirus-mediated expression of CNG channels," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 50, pp. 52095–52105, 2004.

[45] T. Keravis and C. Lugnier, "Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase (PDE) isozymes as targets of the intracellular signalling network: benefits of PDE inhibitors in various diseases and perspectives for future therapeutic developments," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 165, no. 5, pp. 1288–1305, 2012.

[46] R. M. Kedzierski and M. Yanagisawa, "Endothelin system: the double-edged sword in health and disease," *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, vol. 41, pp. 851–876, 2001.

[47] P. Molenaar, G. O'Reilly, A. Sharkey et al., "Characterization and localization of endothelin receptor subtypes in the human atrioventricular conducting system and myocardium," *Circulation Research*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 526–538, 1993.

[48] D. Jacques, S. Sader, S. Choufani, P. D'Orleans-Juste, and D. Charest, "Endothelin-1 regulates cytosolic and nuclear Ca^{2+} in human endocardial endothelium," *Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. S397–S400, 2000.

[49] K. Li, D. J. Stewart, and J.-L. Rouleau, "Myocardial contractile actions of endothelin-1 in rat and rabbit papillary muscles: role of endocardial endothelium," *Circulation Research*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 301–312, 1991.

[50] A. Mebazaa, E. Mayoux, K. Maeda et al., "Paracrine effects of endocardial endothelial cells on myocyte contraction mediated via endothelin," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 265, no. 5, pp. H1841–H1846, 1993.

[51] J. Wang, G. Paik, and J. P. Morgan, "Endothelin 1 enhances myofilament Ca^{2+} responsiveness in aequorin-loaded ferret myocardium," *Circulation Research*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 582–589, 1991.

[52] B. K. Kramer, T. W. Smith, and R. A. Kelly, "Endothelin and increased contractility in adult rat ventricular myocytes. Role of intracellular alkalosis induced by activation of the protein kinase C-dependent Na^+-H^+ exchanger," *Circulation Research*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 269–279, 1991.

[53] T. F. Luscher, C. M. Boulanger, Z. Yang, G. Noll, and Y. Dohi, "Interactions between endothelium-derived relaxing and contracting factors in health and cardiovascular disease," *Circulation*, vol. 87, no. 5, pp. V36–V44, 1993.

[54] A.-M. Kubin, R. Skoumal, P. Tavi et al., "Role of reactive oxygen species in the regulation of cardiac contractility," *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 884–893, 2011.

[55] I. Szokodi, R. Kerkelä, A.-M. Kubin et al., "Functionally opposing roles of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase in the regulation of cardiac contractility," *Circulation*, vol. 118, no. 16, pp. 1651–1658, 2008.

[56] A. V. Zima and L. A. Blatter, "Redox regulation of cardiac calcium channels and transporters," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 310–321, 2006.

[57] C. X. C. Santos, N. Anilkumar, M. Zhang, A. C. Brewer, and A. M. Shah, "Redox signaling in cardiac myocytes," *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 777–793, 2011.

[58] F. R. Heinzel, Y. Luo, G. Dodoni et al., "Formation of reactive oxygen species at increased contraction frequency in rat cardiomyocytes," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 374–382, 2006.

[59] S.-I. Saitoh, C. Zhang, J. D. Tune et al., "Hydrogen peroxide: a feed-forward dilator that couples myocardial metabolism to coronary blood flow," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 2614–2621, 2006.

[60] H. E. Cingolani, M. C. Villa-Abrille, M. Cornelli et al., "The positive inotropic effect of angiotensin II: role of endothelin-1 and reactive oxygen species," *Hypertension*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 727–734, 2006.

[61] V. C. de Giusti, M. V. Correa, M. C. Villa-Abrille et al., "The positive inotropic effect of endothelin-1 is mediated by mitochondrial reactive oxygen species," *Life Sciences*, vol. 83, no. 7-8, pp. 264–271, 2008.

[62] M. Endoh, "Signal transduction and Ca^{2+} signaling in intact myocardium," *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 100, no. 5, pp. 525–537, 2006.

[63] F. Brunner, C. Brás-Silva, A. S. Cerdeira, and A. F. Leite-Moreira, "Cardiovascular endothelins: essential regulators of cardiovascular homeostasis," *Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 111, no. 2, pp. 508–531, 2006.

[64] K. Nishimaru, T. Arimoto, Y. Takeishi, I. Kubota, K. Ishii, and M. Endoh, "Overexpression of diacylglycerol kinase ζ inhibits endothelin-1-induced decreases in Ca^{2+} transients and cell shortening in mouse ventricular myocytes," *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 520–526, 2008.

[65] T. D. Carter and J. D. Pearson, "Regulation of prostacyclin synthesis in endothelial cells," *NIPS*, vol. 7, pp. 64–69, 1992.

[66] M. E. Gerritsen, "Physiological and pathophysiological roles of eicosanoids in the microcirculation," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 720–732, 1996.

[67] A. Mebazaa, R. Wetzel, M. Cherian, and M. Abraham, "Comparison between endocardial and great vessel endothelial cells: morphology, growth, and prostaglandin release," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 268, no. 1, pp. H250–H259, 1995.

[68] D. S. Damron and B. A. Summers, "Arachidonic acid enhances contraction and intracellular Ca^{2+} transients in individual rat ventricular myocytes," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 272, no. 1, pp. H350–H359, 1997.

[69] M. M. Couttenye, N. M. de Clerck, A. G. Herman, and D. L. Brutsaert, "Effects of prostacyclin on contractile properties of isolated mammalian cardiac muscle," *Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 971–976, 1985.

[70] K. Schror and T. Hohlfeld, "Inotropic actions of eicosanoids," *Basic Research in Cardiology*, vol. 87, no. 1, pp. 2–11, 1992.

[71] P. Mohan, D. L. Brutsaert, and S. U. Sys, "Myocardial performance is modulated by interaction of cardiac endothelium derived nitric oxide and prostaglandins," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 637–640, 1995.

[72] R. J. Hendrickson, C. Cappadona, E. N. Yankah, J. V. Sitzmann, P. A. Cahill, and E. M. Redmond, "Sustained pulsatile flow regulates endothelial nitric oxide synthase and cyclooxygenase expression in co-cultured vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells," *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 619–629, 1999.

[73] G. Klumpp, S. Schildknecht, W. Nastainczyk, V. Ullrich, and M. Bachschmid, "Prostacyclin in the cardiovascular system: new aspects and open questions," *Pharmacological Reports*, vol. 57, pp. S120–S126, 2005.

[74] K. Nishimaru, Y. Tanaka, H. Tanaka, and K. Shigenobu, "Positive and negative inotropic effects of muscarinic receptor stimulation in mouse left atria," *Life Sciences*, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 607–615, 2000.

[75] H. Tanaka, K. Nishimaru, M. Kobayashi, T. Matsuda, Y. Tanaka, and K. Shigenobu, "Acetylcholine-induced positive inotropy mediated by prostaglandin released from endocardial endothelium in mouse left atrium," *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology*, vol. 363, no. 5, pp. 577–582, 2001.

[76] S. Fong Yew, K. A. Reeves, and B. Woodward, "Effects of prostaglandin F(2α) on intracellular pH, intracellular calcium, cell shortening and L-type calcium currents in rat myocytes," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 538–545, 1998.

[77] H. Tanaka, K. Nishimaru, R. Makuta et al., "Possible involvement of prostaglandins F2α and D2 in acetylcholine-induced positive inotropy in isolated mouse left atria," *Pharmacology*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 157–162, 2003.

[78] V. J. Dzau, "Circulating versus local renin-angiotensin system in cardiovascular homeostasis," *Circulation*, vol. 77, no. 6, pp. 4–13, 1988.

[79] K. Sakurai, I. Norota, H. Tanaka, I. Kubota, H. Tomoike, and M. Endoh, "Negative inotropic effects of angiotensin II, endothelin-1 and phenylephrine in indo-1 loaded adult mouse ventricular myocytes," *Life Sciences*, vol. 70, no. 10, pp. 1173–1184, 2002.

[80] A. L. Scott, R. S. L. Chang, V. J. Lotti, and P. K. S. Siegl, "Cardiac angiotensin receptors: effects of selective angiotensin II receptor antagonists, DUP 753 and PD 121981, in rabbit heart," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 261, no. 3, pp. 931–935, 1992.

[81] C. Schomisch Moravec, M. D. Schluchter, L. Paranandi et al., "Inotropic effects of angiotensin II on human cardiac muscle in vitro," *Circulation*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 1973–1984, 1990.

[82] C. Holubarsch, G. Hasenfuss, S. Schmidt-Schweda et al., "Angiotensin I and II exert inotropic effects in atrial but not in ventricular human myocardium: an in vitro study under physiological experimental conditions," *Circulation*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 1228–1237, 1993.

[83] S. Wenzel, G. Taimor, H. M. Piper, and K. D. Schlüter, "Redox-sensitive intermediates mediate angiotensin II-induced p38 MAP kinase activation, AP-1 binding activity, and TGF-beta expression in adult ventricular cardiomyocytes," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 2291–2293, 2001.

[84] D. J. Grieve, J. A. Byrne, A. Siva et al., "Involvement of the nicotinamide adenosine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase isoform Nox2 in cardiac contractile dysfunction occurring in response to pressure overload," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 817–826, 2006.

[85] G. Taimor, K.-D. Schlüter, and H. M. Piper, "Hypertrophy-associated gene induction after β-adrenergic stimulation in adult cardiomyocytes," *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 503–511, 2001.

[86] D. Schröder, J. Heger, H. M. Piper, and G. Euler, "Angiotensin II stimulates apoptosis via TGF-β1 signaling in ventricular cardiomyocytes of rat," *Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 84, no. 11, pp. 975–983, 2006.

[87] S. Mufti, S. Wenzel, G. Euler, H. M. Piper, and K.-D. Schlüter, "Angiotensin II-dependent loss of cardiac function: mechanisms and pharmacological targets attenuating this effect," *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, vol. 217, no. 1, pp. 242–249, 2008.

[88] J. Palomeque, L. Sapia, R. J. Hajjar, A. Mattiazzi, and M. Vila Petroff, "Angiotensin II-induced negative inotropy in rat ventricular myocytes: role of reactive oxygen species and p38 MAPK," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 290, no. 1, pp. H96–H106, 2006.

[89] A. Sabri and P. A. Lucchesi, "ANG II and cardiac myocyte contractility: p38 is not stressed out!," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 290, no. 1, pp. H72–H73, 2006.

[90] V. C. de Giusti, C. I. Caldiz, I. E. Ennis, N. G. Pérez, H. E. Cingolani, and E. A. Aiello, "Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) as signaling molecules of intracellular pathways triggered by the cardiac renin-angiotensin II-aldosterone system (RAAS)," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 4, pp. 1–9, 2013.

[91] R. D. Vaughan-Jones, F. C. Villafuerte, P. Swietach, T. Yamamoto, A. Rossini, and K. W. Spitzer, "pH-regulated Na$^+$ influx into the mammalian ventricular myocyte: the relative role of Na$^+$-H$^+$ exchange and Na$^+$-HCO$_3^-$ Co-transport," *Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. S134–S140, 2006.

[92] N. G. Pérez, M. C. C. de Hurtado, and H. E. Cingolani, "Reverse mode of the Na$^+$-Ca^{2+} exchange after myocardial stretch: underlying mechanism of the slow force response," *Circulation Research*, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 376–382, 2001.

[93] E. C. Rothstein, K. L. Byron, R. E. Reed, L. Fliege, and P. A. Lucchesi, "H$_2$O$_2$-induced Ca^{2+} overload in NRVM involves ERK$_{1/2}$ MAP kinases: role for an NHE-1-dependent pathway," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 283, no. 2, pp. H598–H605, 2002.

[94] A. Bril, "Ion transporters and cardiovascular diseases: pH control or modulation of intracellular calcium concentration," *Annales de Cardiologie et d'Angeiologie*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 41–51, 2003.

[95] P. E. Morgan, M. V. Correa, I. E. Ennis, A. A. Diez, N. G. Pérez, and H. E. Cingolani, "Silencing of sodium/hydrogen exchanger in the heart by direct injection of naked siRNA," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 111, no. 2, pp. 566–572, 2011.

[96] K.-D. Schlüter, "PTH and PTHrP: similar structures but different functions," *News in Physiological Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 243–248, 1999.

[97] K.-D. Schlüter, C. Katzer, K. Frischkopf, S. Wenzel, G. Taimor, and H. M. Piper, "Expression, release, and biological activity of parathyroid hormone- related peptide from coronary endothelial cells," *Circulation Research*, vol. 86, no. 9, pp. 946–951, 2000.

[98] J. Jansen, P. Gres, C. Umschlag et al., "Parathyroid hormone-related peptide improves contractile function of stunned myocardium in rats and pigs," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 284, no. 1, pp. H49–H55, 2003.

[99] K.-D. Schlüter, M. Weber, and H. M. Piper, "Effects of PTHrP (107-111) and PTHrP(7-34) on adult cardiomyocytes," *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*, vol. 29, pp. 3057–3065, 1997.

[100] R. Schreckenberg, S. Wenzel, R. M. D. C. Rebelo, A. Röthig, R. Meyer, and K.-D. Schlüter, "Cell-specific effects of nitric oxide

deficiency on parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) responsiveness and PTH1 receptor expression in cardiovascular cells," *Endocrinology*, vol. 150, no. 8, pp. 3735–3741, 2009.

[101] E. M. Parodi and B. Kuhn, "Signaling between microvascular endothelium and cardiomyocytes through neuregulin," *Cardiovascular Research*, 2014.

[102] T. Holbro and N. E. Hynes, "ErbB receptors: directing key signaling networks throughout life," *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, vol. 44, pp. 195–217, 2004.

[103] S. Burden and Y. Yarden, "Neuregulins and their receptors: a versatile signaling module in organogenesis and oncogenesis," *Neuron*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 847–855, 1997.

[104] J. W. Schneider, A. Y. Chang, and A. Garratt, "Trastuzumab cardiotoxicity: speculations regarding pathophysiology and targets for further study," *Seminars in Oncology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 22–28, 2002.

[105] D. L. Falls, "Neuregulins: functions, forms, and signaling strategies," *Experimental Cell Research*, vol. 284, no. 1, pp. 14–30, 2003.

[106] A. N. Garratt, C. Özcelik, and C. Birchmeier, "ErbB2 pathways in heart and neural diseases," *Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 80–86, 2003.

[107] S. A. Crone, Y.-Y. Zhao, L. Fan et al., "ErbB2 is essential in the prevention of dilated cardiomyopathy," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 459–465, 2002.

[108] C. Özcelik, B. Erdmann, B. Pilz et al., "Conditional mutation of the ErbB2 (HER2) receptor in cardiomyocytes leads to dilated cardiomyopathy," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 99, no. 13, pp. 8880–8885, 2002.

[109] H. Ueda, A. Oikawa, A. Nakamura, F. Terasawa, K. Kawagishi, and T. Moriizumi, "Neuregulin receptor ErbB2 localization at T-tubule in cardiac and skeletal muscle," *Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 87–91, 2005.

[110] K. Lemmens, P. Fransen, S. U. Sys, D. L. Brutsaert, and G. W. de Keulenaer, "Neuregulin-1 induces a negative inotropic effect in cardiac muscle: role of nitric oxide synthase," *Circulation*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 324–326, 2004.

[111] I. Fleming and R. Busse, "Molecular mechanisms involved in the regulation of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase," *The American Journal of Physiology—Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, vol. 284, no. 1, pp. R1–R12, 2003.

[112] K. Lemmens, V. F. M. Segers, M. Demolder, and G. W. de Keulenaer, "Role of neuregulin-1/ErbB2 signaling in endothelium-cardiomyocyte cross-talk," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 28, pp. 19469–19477, 2006.

[113] M. Seddon, A. M. Shah, and B. Casadei, "Cardiomyocytes as effectors of nitric oxide signalling," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 315–326, 2007.

[114] B. Manoury, V. Montiel, and J.-L. Balligand, "Nitric oxide synthase in post-ischaemic remodelling: new pathways and mechanisms," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 304–315, 2012.

[115] R. Carnicer, M. J. Crabtree, V. Sivakumaran, B. Casadei, and D. A. Kass, "Nitric oxide synthases in heart failure," *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, vol. 18, pp. 1078–1099, 2013.

[116] A. Rakhit, C. T. Maguire, H. Wakimoto et al., "*In vivo* electrophysiologic studies in endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS)-deficient mice," *Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1295–1301, 2001.

[117] H. Wang, M. J. Kohr, D. G. Wheeler, and M. T. Ziolo, "Endothelial nitric oxide synthase decreases β-adrenergic responsiveness via inhibition of the L-type Ca^{2+} current," *The American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, vol. 294, no. 3, pp. H1473–H1480, 2008.

[118] L. A. Barouch, R. W. Harrison, M. W. Skaf et al., "Nitric oxide regulates the heart by spatial confinement of nitric oxide synthase isoforms," *Nature*, vol. 416, no. 6878, pp. 337–339, 2002.

[119] M. Scherrer-Crosbie, R. Ullrich, K. D. Bloch et al., "Endothelial nitric oxide synthase limits left ventricular remodeling after myocardial infarction in mice," *Circulation*, vol. 104, no. 11, pp. 1286–1291, 2001.

[120] H. Ruetten, S. Dimmeler, D. Gehring, C. Ihling, and A. M. Zeiher, "Concentric left ventricular remodeling in endothelial nitric oxide synthase knockout mice by chronic pressure overload," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 444–453, 2005.

[121] E. Takimoto, H. C. Champion, M. Li et al., "Oxidant stress from nitric oxide synthase-3 uncoupling stimulates cardiac pathologic remodeling from chronic pressure load," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 115, no. 5, pp. 1221–1231, 2005.

[122] K. E. Torfgard and J. Ahlner, "Mechanisms of action of nitrates," *Cardiovascular Drugs and Therapy*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 701–717, 1994.

[123] A. Kollau, M. Beretta, M. Russwurm et al., "Mitochondrial nitrite reduction coupled to soluble guanylate cyclase activation: lack of evidence for a role in the bioactivation of nitroglycerin," *Nitric Oxide—Biology and Chemistry*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 53–60, 2009.

[124] A. Kollau, A. Hofer, M. Russwurm et al., "Contribution of aldehyde dehydrogenase to mitochondrial bioactivation of nitroglycerin: evidence for the activation of purified soluble guanylate cyclase through direct formation of nitric oxide," *Biochemical Journal*, vol. 385, no. 3, pp. 769–777, 2005.

[125] N. S. Kirkby, P. W. F. Hadoke, A. J. Bagnall, and D. J. Webb, "The endothelin system as a therapeutic target in cardiovascular disease: great expectations or bleak house?" *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 153, no. 6, pp. 1105–1119, 2008.

[126] M. Gomberg-Maitland, C. Dufton, R. J. Oudiz, and R. L. Benza, "Compelling evidence of long-term outcomes in pulmonary arterial hypertension? A clinical perspective," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 1053–1061, 2011.

[127] B. D'Autréaux and M. B. Toledano, "ROS as signalling molecules: mechanisms that generate specificity in ROS homeostasis," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 8, pp. 813–824, 2007.